

A Computational Role for Astrocytes in Memory

Leo Kozachkov

leo.kozachkov@rutgers.edu

Konstantinos Michmizos

konstantinos.michmizos@cs.rutgers.edu

Department of Computer Science

Rutgers University

Piscataway, NJ 08816

October 19, 2022

Abstract

The neuronal paradigm of studying the brain has left us with major limitations in both our understanding of how neurons process information to achieve biological intelligence and how such knowledge can be translated into artificial intelligence. Overturning our fundamental assumptions of how the brain works, the recent exploration of glia is revealing that these long-neglected brain cells, and in particular astrocytes, dynamically contribute to and regulate neuronal activity by interacting with neurons at the synaptic level. Following recent glial-specific *in vivo* calcium imaging studies, we built a biologically-constrained model of an astrocytic-neural network and analyzed the dynamics of the astrocyte-neural interaction. We show that the inclusion of astrocytes was sufficient to trigger transitions between learned states in a Hopfield-type model of memory. The timing of the transitions was governed by the dynamics of the calcium-dependent slow-currents in the astrocytic processes ensheathing the synapses. Our results align with calcium imaging studies that in the last several years have revealed that astrocytes respond to neural activity on a much faster timescale than previously believed, and provide a possible computational mechanism for the long-suspected role of astrocytes in learning and memory. Overall, this paper aims to steer and expand our concept of information processing in the brain to include non-neuronal elements.

1 Introduction

Since Ramon Y Cajal’s first drawings of the microscopic brain structures [4], neurons have monopolized brain research, being at the forefront of disciplines such as computational neuroscience [27] and inspiring artificial intelligence [23]. Therefore, it comes as a shock to many to learn that perhaps up to 90 percent of the brain’s cells are not neurons, but are instead glial cells [17]. Spurred by the recent technological advancements in glial-specific Ca^{2+} imaging *in vivo* [30], a new interest in these electrically silent cells is starting to reveal their computational roles in the brain.

Astrocytes, the most well-studied and abundant type of glial cell [2], receive input *from* neurons and also provide input *to* them. They do this through processes extending from their somas, which can contact up to 10^5 nearby synapses [14, 6]. This three body arrangement (astrocyte process, pre-synaptic neuron, post-synaptic neuron) is called a *tripartite synapse* [1]. The main signaling mechanism that astrocytes have is the elevation of their Ca^{2+} concentration [2]. The cells communicate with one another by propagating long-distance intercellular waves of Ca^{2+} ions [20, 13, 21]; they are also known to propagate intracellular waves within themselves—the conditions under which these two signaling modes occur remain largely mysterious [2, 30]. Individual astrocyte processes respond to pre-synaptic input also with an elevation in their internal Ca^{2+} levels [2]. Interestingly, this astrocytic-neural interaction is dynamic and plastic, although little is known about the exact form of this plasticity [29]. The timescale of astrocyte Ca^{2+} excitability was previously believed to be entirely on the order of seconds to hours, yet recent experiments have found a faster astrocytic response to synaptic activity—on the order of hundreds of milliseconds,

taking place at the astrocytic process [22, 9]. The role of this recently discovered “fast” Ca^{2+} signal remains unknown, although some authors have linked it to hemodynamic response [22, 33].

Astrocytes share the same mechanisms with neurons as they, too, modulate the flux of ions into and out of the neurons, through gliotransmitters. The current injected into the neurons can be positive or negative—denoted slow-inward current (SIC) and slow-outward current (SOC), respectively [19, 26, 11]. SICs appear to be released into postsynaptic neurons only when the Ca^{2+} level inside the astrocyte reaches a certain threshold from below [31]. Less is known about SOCs, although they seem to follow a similar time-course to SICs [25]. In this paper, we propose a model of how an astrocyte may employ the SICs and SOCs to enable the transition between memories in a biologically constrained astrocytic-neural network. We also propose a simple, co-occurrence (Hebbian-type) learning rule between the astrocyte process and the post-synaptic neuron which formalizes the notion of astrocyte-neuron plasticity [29].

To model distinct memories, we designed a Hopfield-type recurrent neural-astrocytic network, where each synapse is ensheathed by an astrocytic process (see Fig 1). The current leading hypothesis—which faces many interesting competitors [8]—is that memories are stored as the connection strengths between neurons [15]. A simple and computationally elegant model, the Hopfield network, incorporates the above features to perform autoassociation: in other words, the tag to retrieve a network state is a corrupted version of the state itself [5]. Learning in the Hopfield network [16] can be understood as creating new attractors in the configuration space of the system, so that when the system is put into a configuration close to a stored configuration (i.e when the network is presented a noisy version of a fundamental memory), it will dynamically relax towards the nearest fundamental memory, and stay there indefinitely. This model—as well as its continuous analogs [10]—have been used to explain neural dynamics in several brain regions, including persistent activity in cortex [32, 7] and path integration in hippocampus [24]. A challenge for Hopfield-type neural networks is explaining the origin of temporal sequences; in other words, how can a network be constructed so that the tag to retrieve a given sequence of memories is the first memory in that sequence? Hopfield recognized this problem and proposed a modification to his original model which allowed for the recall of temporal sequences by using an asymmetric synaptic weight matrix [16]. However, this method suffered from instabilities and was difficult to control. Sompolinsky et al [28], independently and in parallel with Kleinfeld [18], showed that this scheme could be made robustly stable by the introduction of “slow-synapses”—synapses which compute a weighted average of the pre-synaptic neuron state.

Incorporating the recently discovered short response time of the astrocytic processes to the presynaptic activity, we propose a model which uses the Ca^{2+} dynamics of astrocyte processes to transition between memories in a Hopfield-type neural network. In this memory model, astrocyte processes triggered the transitions between learned states, where the timing of the transitions was governed by the dynamic of the Ca^{2+} -dependent SICs and SOCs.

2 Methods

The neurons in our model are zero-temperature, spin-glass units, with 1 and 0 representing the active and quiescent states, respectively. The output of neuron i was aligned with the local field, h_i :

$$s_i(t + 1) = \text{sgn}(h_i(t)). \quad (1)$$

Here, we expanded h_i to include the effects of astrocyte-mediated post-synaptic SICs and SOCs, as follows:


$$h_i(t) = h_i(t)^{\text{neural}} + h_i(t)^{\text{astro}}, \quad (2)$$

$$h_i(t)^{\text{neural}} = \sum_{j=1}^N J_{ij} s_j(t), \quad (3)$$

$$h_i(t)^{\text{astro}} = \sum_{j=1}^N T_{ij} SC_j(t), \quad (4)$$

where N was the number of neurons, J_{ij} was the stabilizing, symmetric matrix, and T_{ij} was the matrix of amplitudes for the astrocyte-mediated slow-currents (SCs), either a SIC or a SOC.

Figure 1: a) An astrocyte ensheathing with its processes a fully-connected, recurrent neural network (here, $N = 4$). Neurons are represented as large colored circles, synaptic connections are represented as small colored circles, with the color corresponding to the color of the afferent neuron. No self-connections were allowed. The astrocyte processes are independent, and respond only to the presynaptic firing activity at the tripartite synapse. b) The tripartite synapse, showing the flowchart of a presynaptic activity $s_j(t)$ driving the astrocytic process state $P_j(t)$ which triggers the SC signal to get injected into the postsynaptic neuron for SC production.



Following experimental studies suggesting that most synapses are ensheathed by astrocytic processes [3], all N^2 synapses in the network were tripartite synapses. Since all the processes that take neuron i as its input were synchronized, the vector of SCs was of size N^2/N . Let ξ_i^μ denote the activity of neuron i during memory μ , and m denote the number of memories stored in the network:

$$J_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu}^m (2\xi_i^\mu - 1)(2\xi_j^\mu - 1), i \neq j, \quad (5)$$

$$T_{ij} = \frac{\lambda}{N} \sum_{\mu}^q (2\xi_i^{\mu+1} - 1)(2\xi_j^\mu - 1), i \neq j, \quad (6)$$

where $q < m$, the $\xi_i^{\mu+1}\xi_j^\mu$ terms define the sequence of memories, and λ controls the relative strength between the two matrices. We set all diagonal elements of both matrices to zero, not allowing self-connections. Following experimental evidence [25], the SCs exponentially decayed (see Fig 2) after a rapid rise time (negligible). :

$$SC = e^{-\frac{t-\delta_{cal}}{\tau_{SC}}}, \quad (7)$$

where δ_{cal} is the time at which the astrocyte Ca^{2+} reached the SC-release threshold, c_{thresh} . We propose a minimal model for Ca^{2+} level in the astrocyte process, P_j , where the time evolution of P_j depends linearly on the activity of the presynaptic neuron s_j and the previous state. Dropping the j subscript, an astrocyte process at time $t+1$, denoted P_{t+1} is given by:

$$P_{t+1} = \alpha P_t + \beta s_t, \quad (8)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

3 Results

The above equation can be solved in terms of s_t , α and β alone by defining the operator \hat{L} such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}P_t &\equiv P_{t-1} \\ \hat{L}^2P_t &\equiv P_{t-2} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: The dynamics of the two signals of interest in a single astrocyte process: Local Ca^{2+} wave (blue) which rises in response to the presynaptic activity and the related SIC (green) which is injected into the postsynaptic neuron. The y-axes are scaled for visibility/clarity, as their relative amplitudes are of no biological relevance for this study.

We can then arrive at an expression for P_t :

$$P_t = \frac{\beta s_t}{1 - \alpha \hat{L}} = \beta \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} (\alpha \hat{L})^{t'} s_t = \beta \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{t'} s_{t-t'}$$

We can determine the amount of time it takes for the Ca^{2+} to reach the SC-release threshold, which in turn will determine the duration of time a network spends in a given quasi-attractor (τ) (see Fig 3). The analysis is simplified in the continuous limit:

$$\frac{c_{thresh}}{\beta} = \int_0^{\tau} \alpha^{\tau-t} dt', \quad (9)$$

which has the general solution:

$$\tau = \frac{\ln(\frac{c_{thresh}}{\beta} \ln(\alpha) + 1)}{\ln(\alpha)}. \quad (10)$$

Though the choice of β and c_{thresh} are arbitrary (provided that τ remains a positive real number and $0 < c_{thresh} < 1$), if one makes the simplifying assumption that $\beta \alpha^t$ is normalized to unity, this expression becomes:

$$\tau = \frac{\ln(1 - c_{thresh})}{\ln(\alpha)}. \quad (11)$$

This equation says that for a fixed α , the time to reach the SC-threshold scales logarithmically with the threshold value. The biological interpretation of the normalization is that the more the astrocyte processes depends on its own Ca^{2+} level in the previous timestep (determined by α), the less it depends on the presynaptic neural activity (determined by β), since normalization implies that $\beta = \ln(\frac{1}{\alpha})$.

Having this parameter, we can now examine the dynamics of the network in detail. The analysis is simplified by switching to the $s_i = \pm 1$ neural representation, which is related to the $s_i = 0, 1$ representation by the transformation $2s_i - 1$.

Imagine that at time $t = 0$ the network has entered into the attractor for memory ξ^1 . The Ca^{2+} thresholds have not been hit (i.e. $SC_j(t) = 0$ for all j). Therefore, the total field felt by neuron i is simply:




Figure 3: The amount of time the network spends in each quasi-attractor τ , as a function of α and c_{thresh} . For a fixed α , increasing c_{thresh} increases the time it takes for an astrocyte processes to release a SC, thus increasing τ .

$$h_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N J_{ij} \xi_j^1 = \xi_i^1 + noise. \quad (12)$$

If we assume low loading (i.e $p \ll N$), the noise term vanishes. This field persists until $t = \tau$, the time at which c_{thresh} is reached by the active astrocyte processes. Now the field becomes:

$$h_i(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^m \xi_i^\mu \xi_j^\mu \xi_j^1 + \frac{\lambda}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^q \xi_i^{\mu+1} \xi_j^\mu SC_j(t). \quad (13)$$

Since the SCs are only released from an astrocyte process if the neuron has been in the active state for $0 < t < \tau$, the vector of SCs at $t = \tau$ is equal to the vector of neuron states when $0 < t < \tau$. In other words, we identify $SC_j(t) = \xi_j^1$, which permits the simplification:

$$h_i(t) = \xi_i^1 + \lambda \xi_i^2. \quad (14)$$

In the zero noise limit, the neurons will align with memory ξ^2 . This field persists until $t = 2\tau$, when the next transition is precipitated by the astrocyte processes (see Fig 4).

An important point to note is that the results of the model are insensitive to the choice of response function for astrocytic Ca^{2+} , so long as the Ca^{2+} crosses the threshold with some periodicity. It is interesting to consider cases when tau is time-dependent, since simulations of biophysically-detailed calcium response [13] suggest that astrocytes can perform FM, and AFM encoding of synaptic information. Let us consider the simple case of a frequency modulated sinusoid and its first time derivative, which can be written in the following way:

$$y(t) = \cos(\omega(t)t)$$

and

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \left[\frac{d\omega}{dt} t + \omega(t) \right] \sin(\omega(t)t)$$

To solve for τ , we attempt to solve for t such that: $y(t_{thresh}) = 0$ and $\frac{dy}{dt}|_{t_{thresh}} > 0$. Where we assume that SC-threshold equals zero without loss of generality.




Figure 4: The overlap of the neural network state with the stored memories. $N = 500$, $p=7$, $q=6$. Lower inset: average SCs released into the post-synaptic neurons. The slight divergence in SC timing is due to the asynchronous dynamics of the neural network during state transitions.

Since the SC-threshold must be crossed from below for the astrocyte to release gliotransmitters. For example, if $\omega(t) = w_0 t$ (i.e the frequency increases linearly with time) then one can easily show that the time between the n^{th} ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$) SC-threshold crossings (i.e τ) can be written:

$$\tau_n = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\omega_0} (\sqrt{4n+1} - \sqrt{4n-3})}$$

which, for large n , approximately equals $\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\omega_0 n}}$. Note that τ_n tends to zero for large n , as one would expect when the frequency tends to infinity.

For learning, we propose a simple, Hebbian-type mechanism by which the astrocytic neural network could arrive at the correct form of the matrix T . Assume that at $t = 0$ the network is presented a pattern, ξ^μ , until some later time $t = t_{\text{switch}}$ when the network is presented $\xi^{\mu+1}$. If $t_{\text{switch}} \gg 0$, the astrocyte process which takes neuron i as its input will be very nearly equal to ξ^μ . At $t = t_{\text{switch}}$, the astrocyte process correlates its current state with the state of the post-synaptic neuron and adjusts the levels of future gliotransmitter release accordingly—changing the sign and amplitude of future SC release. This can be expressed as follow:

$$\Delta T_{ij} = \eta s_i(t_{\text{switch}}) P_j(t_{\text{switch}}) = \eta s_i(t_{\text{switch}}) s_j(0) = \eta \xi_i^{\mu+1} \xi_i^\mu, \quad (15)$$

which yields exactly the T -matrix used above (assuming the learning rate, η , equals 1 and the sequence is presented to the network exactly one time), in the $s_i = \pm 1$ representation. Interestingly enough, this mechanism requires retrograde signaling between the post-synaptic neuron and astrocyte process, which is known to occur through endocannabinoid mediated pathways [11].

4 Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a biologically plausible astrocytic-neural network, where astrocytes were sufficient to trigger transitions between stored memories. By injecting a “fast” Ca^{2+} triggered current in the postsynaptic neuron, astrocytes modulated the neural activity into coherent, predictable

patterns across time, sharpening a particular input to our memory and recalling a learned memory sequence. The ability of astrocytes to modulate neuronal excitability and synaptic strength can have several immediate applications to systems neuroscience and artificial intelligence.

In light of the fact that almost all neurological conditions are now regarded as conditions where both neurons and glia are affected, the computational role of astrocytes in brain diseases is indisputable. Astrocytic-neural networks could be used to study hypotheses on astrocytes failing to perform their critical synaptic functions. Mounting evidence suggests that astrocytes change their strength of their connections in learning [12]. Crucially, little is known about the interactions between the localized Ca^{2+} response of individual astrocyte processes and the global Ca^{2+} events [22]. We speculate that this interaction might enable training the astrocytic-neural network to not only learn the correct sequence of memories, but also the time spent in each memory for a given sequence. This is the more biologically relevant case, as the amount of time spent in each memory (e.g the duration of a note when humming a melody) is crucial for correctly recalling a learned sequence. In the framework of our model, this can be achieved by dynamically modifying τ —which in turn is controlled by the SC-release threshold and the astrocytic sensitivity to pre-synaptic activity.

Memory underlies all cognitive functions; therefore, understanding memory is one of the basic, fundamental goals of computational brain science. By reproducing the functional organization of astrocytic-neural networks, as well as the dynamics of astrocytic Ca^{2+} activity and astrocyte-neuron interactions, we aim to computationally reveal the role of information processing on different temporal and spatial scales in astrocyte-neuron networks. The theoretical benefit of having parallel processing on different temporal and spatial scales is an open question in computational brain science: Our model aims to tackle this problem by combining millisecond-scale neural activity with the comparatively slow calcium activity of astrocytes. Although our understanding of neural-astrocytic dynamics is still in its infancy, translating the new “bottom-up” knowledge of astrocytic function into artificial intelligence is a promising research area.

References

- [1] Alfonso Araque, Vladimir Parpura, Rita P Sanzgiri, and Philip G Haydon. Tripartite synapses: glia, the unacknowledged partner. *Trends in neurosciences*, 22(5):208–215, 1999.
- [2] Narges Bazargani and David Attwell. Astrocyte calcium signaling: the third wave. *Nature neuroscience*, 19(2):182–189, 2016.
- [3] Yann Bernardinelli, Dominique Muller, and Irina Nikonenko. Astrocyte-synapse structural plasticity. *Neural plasticity*, 2014, 2014.
- [4] Santiago Ramón y Cajal. *Estructura de los centros nerviosos de las aves*, volume 1. Rev. Trim. Histol. Norm. Pat., 1888.
- [5] Rishidev Chaudhuri and Ila Fiete. Computational principles of memory. *Nature neuroscience*, 19(3):394–403, 2016.
- [6] Laura E Clarke and Ben A Barres. Emerging roles of astrocytes in neural circuit development. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 14(5):311–321, 2013.
- [7] Rosa Cossart, Dmitriy Aronov, and Rafael Yuste. Attractor dynamics of network up states in the neocortex. *Nature*, 423(6937):283–288, 2003.
- [8] F Crick. Memory and molecular turnover. *Nature*, 312(5990):101, 1984.
- [9] Maria Amalia Di Castro, Julien Chuquet, Nicolas Liaudet, Khaleel Bhaukaurally, Mirko Santello, David Bouvier, Pascale Tiret, and Andrea Volterra. Local ca^{2+} detection and modulation of synaptic release by astrocytes. *Nature neuroscience*, 14(10):1276–1284, 2011.
- [10] Chris Eliasmith. A unified approach to building and controlling spiking attractor networks. *Neural computation*, 17(6):1276–1314, 2005.
- [11] Tommaso Fellin, Olivier Pascual, Sara Gobbo, Tullio Pozzan, Philip G Haydon, and Giorgio Carmignoto. Neuronal synchrony mediated by astrocytic glutamate through activation of extrasynaptic nmda receptors. *Neuron*, 43(5):729–743, 2004.

- [12] R Douglas Fields, Alfonso Araque, Heidi Johansen-Berg, Soo-Siang Lim, Gary Lynch, Klaus-Armin Nave, Maiken Nedergaard, Ray Perez, Terrence Sejnowski, and Hiroaki Wake. Glial biology in learning and cognition. *The neuroscientist*, 20(5):426–431, 2014.
- [13] Mati Goldberg, Maurizio De Pittà, Vladislav Volman, Hugues Berry, and Eshel Ben-Jacob. Nonlinear gap junctions enable long-distance propagation of pulsating calcium waves in astrocyte networks. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 6(8):e1000909, 2010.
- [14] Michael M Halassa, Tommaso Fellin, Hajime Takano, Jing-Hui Dong, and Philip G Haydon. Synaptic islands defined by the territory of a single astrocyte. *The Journal of neuroscience*, 27(24):6473–6477, 2007.
- [15] Donald Olding Hebb. *The organization of behavior: A neuropsychological theory*. Psychology Press, 2005.
- [16] John J Hopfield. Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 79(8):2554–2558, 1982.
- [17] Eric R Kandel, James H Schwartz, Thomas M Jessell, Steven A Siegelbaum, and A James Hudspeth. *Principles of neural science*, volume 4. McGraw-hill New York, 2000.
- [18] D Kleinfeld and H Sompolinsky. Associative neural network model for the generation of temporal patterns. theory and application to central pattern generators. *Biophysical Journal*, 54(6):1039–1051, 1988.
- [19] Adrienn Kovács and Balázs Pál. Astrocyte-dependent slow inward currents (sics) participate in neuromodulatory mechanisms in the pedunculo pontine nucleus (ppn). *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience*, 11, 2017.
- [20] Leo Kozachkov and Konstantinos P Michmizos. The causal role of astrocytes in slow-wave rhythmogenesis: A computational modelling study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.03993*, 2017.
- [21] Nahoko Kuga, Takuya Sasaki, Yuji Takahara, Norio Matsuki, and Yuji Ikegaya. Large-scale calcium waves traveling through astrocytic networks in vivo. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 31(7):2607–2614, 2011.
- [22] Barbara Lykke Lind, Alexey R Brazhe, Sanne Barsballe Jessen, Florence CC Tan, and Martin J Lauritzen. Rapid stimulus-evoked astrocyte ca²⁺ elevations and hemodynamic responses in mouse somatosensory cortex in vivo. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(48):E4678–E4687, 2013.
- [23] Warren S McCulloch and Walter Pitts. A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *Bulletin of mathematical biology*, 52(1):99–115, 1990.
- [24] Bruce L McNaughton, Francesco P Battaglia, Ole Jensen, Edvard I Moser, and May-Britt Moser. Path integration and the neural basis of the ‘cognitive map’. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 7(8):663–678, 2006.
- [25] Balázs Pál. Astrocytic actions on extrasynaptic neuronal currents. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience*, 9, 2015.
- [26] Vladimir Parpura and Philip G Haydon. Physiological astrocytic calcium levels stimulate glutamate release to modulate adjacent neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(15):8629–8634, 2000.
- [27] Eric L Schwartz. *Computational neuroscience*. 1993.
- [28] Haim Sompolinsky and I Kanter. Temporal association in asymmetric neural networks. *Physical review letters*, 57(22):2861, 1986.
- [29] Dionysia T Theodosis, Dominique A Poulain, and Stéphane HR Oliet. Activity-dependent structural and functional plasticity of astrocyte-neuron interactions. *Physiological reviews*, 88(3):983–1008, 2008.

- [30] Andrea Volterra, Nicolas Liaudet, and Iaroslav Savtchouk. Astrocyte ca_{2+} signalling: an unexpected complexity. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 15(5):327–335, 2014.
- [31] John J Wade, Liam J McDaid, Jim Harkin, Vincenzo Crunelli, and JA Scott Kelso. Bidirectional coupling between astrocytes and neurons mediates learning and dynamic coordination in the brain: a multiple modeling approach. *PloS one*, 6(12):e29445, 2011.
- [32] Klaus Wimmer, Duane Q Nykamp, Christos Constantinidis, and Albert Compte. Bump attractor dynamics in prefrontal cortex explains behavioral precision in spatial working memory. *Nature neuroscience*, 17(3):431–439, 2014.
- [33] Ian R Winship, Nathan Plaa, and Timothy H Murphy. Rapid astrocyte calcium signals correlate with neuronal activity and onset of the hemodynamic response in vivo. *The Journal of neuroscience*, 27(23):6268–6272, 2007.