Zeros of exceptional orthogonal polynomials and the maximum of the modulus of an energy function

Yu Luo[∗]

Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

arXiv:1707.05202v1 [math.CA] 24 Jun 2017 arXiv:1707.05202v1 [math.CA] 24 Jun 2017

Abstract

We propose a new property of the zeros of exceptional orthogonal polynomials. It has been known that exceptional orthogonal polynomials (XOP) have both real and complex zeros. By fixing *m* variables at the imaginary parts of the complex zeros of XOP, we find that in some cases the modulus of the energy function of a many-particle system attains its maximum at the zeros of XOP. We give a sufficient condition for this result with respect to the denominators of the weight function of XOP.

Keywords: Exceptional orthogonal polynomials, Energy function, Many-particle system *MSC:* 33C50, 33E30

1. Introduction

Exceptional orthogonal polynomial systems (XOPS) have been extensively studied these years. They differ from the classical ones (Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi) in that there are a finite number of degrees do not exist in their degree sequence. The number of the missing degrees is called the codimension of the corresponding XOPS. In spite of the absence of degrees XOPS form the basis of a weighted Hilbert space, and they are also eigenfunctions of a second-order differential operator which has rational instead of polynomial coefficients. The most typical examples of XOPS can be found in [\[1,](#page-15-0) [2\]](#page-15-1) (exceptional Hermite polynomials), [\[3,](#page-15-2) [4\]](#page-15-3) (exceptional Laguerre polynomials) and [\[5,](#page-15-4) [6\]](#page-15-5) (exceptional Jacobi polynomials).

It has recently been shown that every XOPS can be obtained by applying a finite sequence of Darboux transformations to a classical orthogonal polynomial system (COPS) [\[7,](#page-15-6) Theorem 1.2.]. This places on safe ground the constructive approach to a full classification of XOPS. In this classification all the XOPS fall into Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi type, respectively, corresponding to the support *I* and weight function $\hat{\omega}(x)$ shown in table 1, where η_H , η_L , η_J are real-valued polynomials which are non-vanishing on *I*. It immediately follows that the XOPS return to the classical one as long as the denominator of $\hat{\omega}(x)$ is a constant.

[∗]Corresponding author

Email address: luo.yu.68e@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp (Yu Luo)

XOPS	$\hat{\omega}(x)$	
Hermite type	$e^{-x^2}/\eta_H(x)^2$	$(-\infty, \infty)$
Laguerre type	$e^{-x}x^{\alpha}/\eta_L(x)^2$	$(0, \infty)$
Jacobi type	$(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}/\eta_J(x)^2$	$(-1, 1)$

Table 1: Classifiction of XOPS

The zeros of XOPS are divided into two groups: regular zeros which lie in the domain of orthogonality, and exceptional zeros (usually complex) which lie in the exterior of the domain. A conjecture considering the location of zeros of exceptional orthogonal polynomials was drafted as follow:

Conjecture 1.1 (A. B. Kuijlaars and R. Milson, [\[8\]](#page-15-7)). *The regular zeros of exceptional orthogonal polynomials have the same asymptotic behavior as the zeros of their classical counterpart. The exceptional zeros converge to the zeros of the denominator polynomial* $n(x)$ *.*

Moreover, properties like the location and asymptotic behavior of zeros of exceptional Hermite polynomials are described by A. B. Kuijlaars and R. Milson [\[8\]](#page-15-7), of exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials by C. L. Ho, R. Sasaki [\[9\]](#page-15-8) and D. Gómez-Ullate, M. García-Ferrero, R. Milson [\[10\]](#page-15-9). It concludes that the zeros of exceptional orthogonal polynomials usually share similar properties as their classical counterparts, especially for the regular zeros.

Below we revisit an energy problem by making use of properties of exceptional orthogonal polynomials. Considering the maximum of the following energy function

$$
T_{\omega}(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \omega(x_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} |x_i - x_j|^2,\tag{1.1}
$$

where the *n* points x_1, \dots, x_n lie on a compact set *E*. In the case of $\omega(x) = 1$, I. Schur showed that the maximum of T_{ω} is obtained at the zeros of certain orthogonal polynomials [\[11\]](#page-15-10). If $\omega(x)$ takes a classical weight, namely with Hermite weight $\omega(x) = e^{-x^2}$, with Laguerre weights $\omega_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}$, with Laguerre weights $\omega_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}$, with Jacobi weights $\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$, then the maximum of T_{ω} is attained at the zeros of orthogonal polynomials corresponding to (i), (i), (i), (i), (i), respectively [12]. Results for the of orthogonal polynomials corresponding to ω , $\omega_{\alpha-1}$, $\omega_{\alpha-1,\beta-1}$, respectively [\[12\]](#page-15-11). Results for the zeros of general orthogonal polynomials can be found in [\[13\]](#page-15-12). In addition, A. P. Horváth proved that the set of regular zeros of exceptional Hermite polynomials is the solution of the maximum problem with respect to the weight $\hat{\omega}(x)P_m^2(x)$, where $\hat{\omega}(x)$ is the weight of exceptional Hermite
polynomials *P* (*x*) is a polynomial whose zeros are the exceptional zeros of an exceptional Herpolynomials, $P_m(x)$ is a polynomial whose zeros are the exceptional zeros of an exceptional Hermite polynomial of codimension *m* [\[14\]](#page-15-13). Similar results have also been reported in the cases of the so-called *Xm*-Laguerre polynomials and *Xm*-Jacobi polynomials [\[15\]](#page-15-14).

Remark 1.1. As is pointed out in [\[13\]](#page-15-12), T_{ω} is called an energy function in light of its potential theoretic background. In fact, taking the logarithm in (1.1) , the maximization problem of (1.1) is equivalent to the minimization problem of the following function

$$
-\log(T_{\omega}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \frac{1}{\omega(x_i)} + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \log \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^2}.
$$

The second summation in the right hand side can be interpreted as the energy of a system of *n* like-charged particles located at the points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ $n_{i=1}^n$, where the repelling force between two particles is proportional to the reciprocal of the square of the distance between them. The first summation refers to the total external potential of this system. Thus, $-\log(T_\omega)$ is the total energy of this reportial external n-particle system.

In this paper we investigate the maximum of the energy function (1.1) with respect to $\omega(x)$ = $\hat{\omega}(x)p(x)$, where $p(x)$ is the coefficient of the following second-order differential equation satisfied by the exceptional orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\hat{\omega}(x)$

$$
p(x)y''(x) + q(x)y'(x) + r(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x),
$$
\n(1.2)

the prime denotes derivative with respect to *x*, $y'(x) = dy(x)/dx$. Note that $p(x)$, $q(x)$, $r(x)$ are rational functions satisfying the following conditions: rational functions satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\deg(p(x)) \le 2, \deg(q(x)) \le 1, \deg(r(x)) \le 0,
$$
\n(1.3)

only in the case when the solutions of (1.2) are classical orthogonal polynomials, $p(x)$, $q(x)$, $r(x)$ return to polynomials. Here the degree of a rational function $f(x) = P(x)/Q(x)$, where $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are both polynomials, is given by

$$
\deg(f(x)) = \deg(P(x)) - \deg(Q(x)).
$$

In the next section, we give a brief introduction on the definition and properties of XOPS, and the so-called Stieltjes-Calogero type relations of the zeros of polynomial solutions of any linear second order differential equations. In particular, we derive the Stieltjes-Calogero type relations of the zeros of XOPS which can be used to prove our main results. In section 3, some examples on the observations of the relationship between the zeros of certain XOPS and the energy function of an electrostatic model is provided. In section 4, we prove our main results, which provide as a sufficient condition for the modulus of an energy function to attain its maximum at the zeros of XOPS. Finally, section 5 concludes this paper.

2. Preliminaries

Our main results in section 4 will be proved using the properties such as second-order differential equations of exceptional orthogonal polynomials and the Stieltjes-Calogero type relations. To this end we introduce some basic knowledge about these properties.

2.1. Exceptional Orthogonal Polynomials

A sequence of polynomials ${P_n(x)}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called an orthogonal polynomial sequence if it satisfies

$$
\int_{I} P_m(x) P_n(x) \omega(x) dx = h_n \delta_{mn}, \ \deg(P_n(x)) = n,
$$

where $\omega(x)$ is the weight function, *I* is called the interval of orthogonality and δ_{mn} is Kronecker's delta. If $h_n = 1$, then the polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are orthonormal. Note that the weight function $\omega(x)$ should be continuous and positive on *I* such that the moments exist.

$$
\mu_n := \int_I x^n \omega(x) dx, \ \ n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots
$$

The most extensively studied families of orthogonal polynomials are the classical orthogonal polynomials (named by Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi), which are the eigenfunctions of certain second-order linear differential operators. The eigenvalue equation, which takes the form of (1.2), can be rewritten as the well known Sturm-Liouville type equation

$$
(P(x)y'(x))' + R(x)y(x) = \lambda \omega(x)y(x),
$$

where $P(x) = \omega(x)p(x)$, $R(x) = \omega(x)r(x)$. The weight function $\omega(x)$ satisfies the Pearson equation

$$
(p(x)\omega(x))' = q(x)\omega(x)
$$
\n(2.1)

and the conditions

$$
p(x)\omega(x)x^k = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$
\n(2.2)

on the boundary of the interval *I*.

The exceptional orthogonal polynomial system generalizes classical orthogonal polynomial system in that it allows gaps in the polynomial sequence while preserving as eigenfunctions of a Sturm-Liouville problem [\[7\]](#page-15-6). Which means the exceptional weights $\hat{\omega}(x)$'s still satisfy the Pearson equation (2.1) and the boundary conditions (2.2). As a result of the missing degrees, coefficients of the second-order differential equation (1.2) appear to be rational functions, which implies the existence of poles. Specifically, the second-order differential equations whose solutions are the three types exceptional orthogonal polynomials describe as [\[2,](#page-15-1) [3,](#page-15-2) [5\]](#page-15-4)

$$
H_n''(x) - 2\left(x + \frac{\eta_H'(x)}{\eta_H(x)}\right)H_n'(x) + \left(\frac{\eta_H''(x)}{\eta_H(x)} + 2x\frac{\eta_H'(x)}{\eta_H(x)} + 2n - 2k - 2u_{\mathcal{F}}^H\right)H_n(x) = 0, \tag{2.3}
$$

$$
xL_{n}''(x) + \left(\alpha + k' + 1 - x - 2x \frac{\eta_{L}'(x)}{\eta_{L}(x)}\right) L_{n}'(x)
$$

+
$$
\left(x \frac{\eta_{L}''(x)}{\eta_{L}(x)} + (x - \alpha - k') \frac{\eta_{L}'(x)}{\eta_{L}(x)} + n - k_{1} - u_{\mathcal{F}}^{L}\right) L_{n}(x) = 0, \quad \alpha > -1, k' > 0,
$$

$$
x^{2} \cdot P_{n}''(x) + \left(\beta - \alpha - 2k_{2}' - (\alpha + \beta + 2k_{1}' + 2)x - 2(1 - x^{2}) \frac{\eta_{L}'(x)}{\eta_{L}(x)}\right) P_{n}'(x)
$$

$$
(2.4)
$$

$$
(1 - x^{2})P_{n}''(x) + \left(\beta - \alpha - 2k_{2}' - (\alpha + \beta + 2k_{1}' + 2)x - 2(1 - x^{2})\frac{\eta_{J}(x)}{\eta_{J}(x)}\right)P_{n}'(x)
$$

+
$$
\left((1 - x^{2})\frac{\eta_{L}''(x)}{\eta_{L}(x)} + [\alpha - \beta + 2k_{2}' + (2k_{1}' + \alpha + \beta)x]\frac{\eta_{J}'(x)}{\eta_{J}(x)} + \lambda(n - u_{\mathcal{F}}^{J}) - \lambda(k_{1}')\right)P_{n}(x) = 0, \quad (2.5)
$$

$$
\alpha, \beta > -1, k_{1}' + k_{2}' > 0,
$$

where $H_n(x)$, $L_n(x)$, $P_n(x)$ denote exceptional Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi polynomials of degree *n*, respectively. $\eta_H(x)$, $\eta_L(x)$, $\eta_J(x)$ are polynomials whose degrees coincide with the codimension of the related exceptional orthogonal polynomial systems, α , β , k , k' , k_1 , k'_1
constants and $\lambda(x)$ is a real-valued function, we shall omit the details k'_1, k'_2 u^L_2 , $u^L_{\mathcal{F}}$, $u^L_{\mathcal{F}}$ are certain constants and $\lambda(x)$ is a real-valued function, we shall omit the details about these functions and constants in this paper for the convenience of discussion.

2.2. Stieltjes-Calogero type relations

There are many literatures considering the Stieltjes-Calogero type relations for zeros of orthogonal polynomials, the most famous result among which was obtained by T. J. Stieltjes[\[16\]](#page-15-15) as follow

$$
\sum_{k=1, k\neq j}^{n} \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} = x_j
$$

,

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are zeros of Hermite polynomial of degree *n*. Stieltjes noted that this result implies an appealing interpretation of the location of zeros of Hermite polynomials as equilibrium positions of a simple one-dimensional n-particle problem. Moreover, He obtained similar relations for zeros of Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials thereafter. Interest in such kind of relations was revived by the work of Calogero and co-workers on integrable many-body systems[\[17,](#page-15-16) [18,](#page-15-17) [19\]](#page-15-18). Since then substantial efforts have been made on finding the Stieltjes-Calogero type relations for the purpose of revealing the relationship between zeros of polynomial systems and certain manybody systems.

To the best of the author's knowledge, the existing most generic method of obtaining this kind of relations was described in [\[21\]](#page-15-19). We apply this method to give some nontrivial results in the proceeding part. Let

$$
S_{m,j} := \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{n} \frac{1}{(x_j - x_k)^m},
$$

if it satisfies that

$$
\sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{n} \frac{1}{(x_j - x_k)^m} = f(x_j),
$$

where $f(x_j)$ is a rational function about x_j , then the above formula is called a Stieltjes-Calogero type relation.

Consider an *n*-th order differential equation

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i(x) y^{(n-i)}(x) = f(x),
$$
\n(2.6)

where $A_i(x)$ and $f(x)$ belong to $C^{\infty}(-\infty, \infty)$ Suppose that (2.6) has a monic polynomial solution $y(x)$ with simple roots: $y(x)$ with simple roots:

$$
y(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i),
$$

then let *y*_{*j*}(*x*) be defined as $y(x) = (x - x_i)y_i(x)$, i.e.

$$
y_j(x) = \prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^n (x - x_i).
$$

It follows that

$$
y^{(r)}(x_j) = ry_j^{(r-1)}(x_j), \ \ r \ge 1,
$$

so that (2.6) becomes, after division by $y'(x)$ and evaluation at $x = x_j$

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-i)A_i(x_j) \frac{y_j^{(n-i-1)}(x_j)}{y_j(x_j)} = \frac{f(x_j)}{y'(x_j)}.
$$
\n(2.7)

 $S_{1,j}$ can easily be obtained by observing the right hand side of the following formula

$$
S_{1,j} = \frac{y_j'(x)}{y_j(x)}\Big|_{x=x_j}
$$

thus the other terms immediately follow by differentiating at $x = x_j$

$$
\left(\frac{y_j'(x)}{y_j(x)}\right)^{(s)}\big|_{x=x_j} = (-1)^s s! S_{s+1,j}, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.
$$

In light of the above formula $S_{r,j}(r = 2, 3, \dots)$ can be derived by analyzing a new function $Z_r(x)$

$$
Z_r(x) := \frac{y_j^{(r)}(x)}{y_j(x)}
$$

where $Z_r(x)$ satisfies a recurrence relation

$$
Z_{r+1}(x) = Z'_r(x) + Z_1(x)Z_r(x),
$$

and the initial condition

$$
Z_1(x_j) = S_{1,j}.
$$

Immediately we can rewrite (2.7) as

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-i)A_i(x_j)Z_{n-i-1}(x_j) = \frac{f(x_j)}{y'(x_j)}.
$$
\n(2.8)

In the case of exceptional orthogonal polynomials, a second-order differential equation with rational coefficients in the shape of (1.2) was satisfied, one can easily obtain

$$
S_{1,j} = -\frac{q(x_j)}{2p(x_j)},
$$
\n(2.9)

$$
S_{2,j} = \frac{2[p'(x_j) + q(x_j)]S_{1,j} + [q'(x_j) + r(x_j)]}{3p(x_j)} + S_{1,j}^2,
$$
\n(2.10)

$$
S_{3,j} = -\frac{1}{8p(x_j)} \Big\{ 3[2p'(x_j) + q(x_j)][S_{1,j}^2 - S_{2,j}] + 2[p''(x_j) + 2q'(x_j) + r(x_j)]S_{1,j} \Big\} + \frac{3}{2} S_{1,j} S_{2,j} - \frac{1}{2} S_{1,j}^3,
$$
(2.11)

and $S_{4,j}$, $S_{5,j}$, \cdots , by inductively computing $Z_r(x)$, $r = 2, 3, \cdots$, and differentiating on (1.2).

Making use of the above method, we obtain the following properties on the zeros of exceptional orthogonal polynomials according to the second-order differential equations (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5).

Let x_1, \dots, x_n denote the *n* zeros of the exceptional Hermite polynomial of degree *n*, then the Stieltjes-Calogero type relations of x_1, \dots, x_n follow

$$
S_{1,j} = x_j + \frac{\eta'_H(x_j)}{\eta_H(x_j)},
$$

\n
$$
S_{2,j} = \frac{2}{3}(n-1-k-u_{\mathcal{F}}^H) - \frac{1}{3}\Big[x_j^2 + \frac{\eta''_H(x_j)}{\eta_H(x_j)} - \Big(\frac{\eta'_H(x_j)}{\eta_H(x_j)}\Big)^2\Big],
$$

\n
$$
S_{3,j} = \frac{1}{2}x_j.
$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_n denote the *n* zeros of the exceptional Laguerre polynomial of degree *n*, then the Stieltjes-Calogero type relations of x_1, \dots, x_n follow

$$
S_{1,j} = -\frac{\alpha + 1 + k' - x_j}{2x_j} + \frac{\eta'_L(x_j)}{\eta_L(x_j)},
$$

\n
$$
S_{2,j} = -\frac{1}{12} \Big\{ \frac{(\alpha + 1 + k')(\alpha + 5 + k')}{x_j^2} - \frac{2(2n + \alpha + 1 + k' - 2k'_1 - 2u_{\mathcal{F}}^L + 2\frac{\eta'_L(x_j)}{\eta_L(x_j)})}{x_j} + 1 + 4\frac{\eta''_L(x_j)}{\eta_L(x_j)} - 4\Big(\frac{\eta'_L(x_j)}{\eta_L(x_j)}\Big)^2 \Big\}.
$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_n denote the *n* zeros of the exceptional Jacobi polynomial of degree *n*, then the Stieltjes-Calogero type relations of x_1, \dots, x_n follow

$$
S_{1,j} = -\frac{\alpha - \beta + 2k'_2 + (\alpha + \beta + 2 + 2k'_1)x_j}{2(1 - x_j^2)} + \frac{\eta'_J(x_j)}{\eta_J(x_j)}.
$$

Remark 2.1. Only the first several terms of these relations are listed here, the other terms, which tend to be more complicated (although some special terms may have elegant forms like $S_{3,i}$ for the zeros of exceptional Hermite polynomials), can be easily computed using this method. Notice that in the case of classical orthogonal polynomials all the terms containing k , k' , k_1 , k'_1 k'_{1}, k'_{2} $u^a_{\mathcal{F}}$, $u^a_{\mathcal{F}}$, η $\frac{d}{dx}(x_j)/\eta_a(x_j)$ and $\eta_a''(x_j)/\eta_a(x_j)$ ($a = H, L, J$) disappear automatically.

3. Examples

In this section we provide some examples which give evidence for our main result considering the case of exceptional Hermite polynomials. The exceptional Hermite polynomials are defined upon Wronskian determinants whose entries are Hermite polynomials according to a double par-tition [\[1\]](#page-15-0). Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers

$$
0\leq \lambda_1\leq \lambda_2\leq \cdots \leq \lambda_r,
$$

we call λ a double (or even) partition if *r* is even and $\lambda_{2i-1} = \lambda_{2i}$, $i = 1, \dots, r/2$. The exceptional Hermite polynomials with respect to λ are defined as Hermite polynomials with respect to λ are defined as

$$
H_n^{(\lambda)} = \text{Wr}[H_{\lambda_1}, H_{\lambda_2+1}, \cdots, H_{\lambda_r+r-1}, H_{n-|\lambda|+r}], \quad n-|\lambda|+r \in \mathbb{N}\setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2+1, \cdots, \lambda_r+r-1\},
$$

where Wr denotes the Wronskian determinant, H_j is the *j*th Hermite polynomial and $|\lambda| = \sum_i^r \lambda_i$. where Wr denotes the Wronskian determinant, H_j is the *j*th Hermite polynomial and $|\lambda| = \sum_i^r \lambda_i$.
From this definition it is clear that deg $H_n^{(\lambda)}(z) = n$. Recall from table 1 the weight function of exceptional Hermite polynomials is $\hat{\omega}_H(z) = e^{-z^2}/\eta_H^2(z)$, where we can now give η_H as

$$
\eta_H := \eta_H^{(\lambda)} = \mathrm{Wr}[H_{\lambda_1}, H_{\lambda_2+1}, \cdots, H_{\lambda_r+r-1}].
$$

It is known that η_H has no zeros on the real line when λ is a double partition [\[1\]](#page-15-0), hence $\hat{\omega}_H$ is a well-defined weight function on the real line. Since $\text{deg}\eta_H = |\lambda|$, η_H has $|\lambda|$ complex zeros. According to theorem 2.3 of [\[8\]](#page-15-7), if all the zeors of $H_n^{(\lambda)}$ are simple then the exceptional (complex) zeros converge to the zeros of n_H .

The problem described in the introduction is to find the maximum value of

$$
T_{\omega}(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \omega(x_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} |x_i - x_j|^2,
$$

where $\omega = \hat{\omega}p$, specifically $\omega(x) = e^{-x^2}/\eta_H^2(x)$ in the current case. Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ be the set of zeros of $H_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$. In order to check whether $|T_{\omega}|$ has a maximum value at *Z* or not, define

$$
f(z) = \left| \frac{T_{\omega}(z_1 + z, \cdots, z_n + z)}{T_{\omega}(z_1, \cdots, z_n)} \right|,
$$

for different partition λ we observe the value of $f(z)$ around $z = 0$.

Example 1. When $\lambda = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, $\eta_H = \text{Wr}[H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4]$, the associated exceptional Hermite polynomials are

$$
H_n^{(\lambda)} = \text{Wr}[H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_n], \quad n \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.
$$

Let $n = 8$, then $H_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ has 4 complex zeros and 4 real zeros, $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_8\}$. Numerical results show that $z = 0$ is a saddle point of $f(z)$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (since T ($z + z$) \ldots , $z + z$) is a holomorphic show that $z = 0$ is a saddle point of $f(z)$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (since $T_{\omega}(z_1 + z, \dots, z_n + z)$ is a holomorphic function, according to the maximum modulus principle the modulus $|T_{\omega}(z_1 + z, \dots, z_n + z)|$ cannot exhibit a true local maximum within the domain). Nevertheless, if $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(z)$ attains its maximum at $z = 0$.

Example 2. For $\lambda = (1, 1, 3, 3)$, $\eta_H = \text{Wr}[H_1, H_2, H_5, H_6]$, the associated exceptional Hermite polynomials are

$$
H_n^{(\lambda)} = \mathrm{Wr}[H_1, H_2, H_5, H_6, H_{n-4}], \quad n-4 \notin \{1, 2, 5, 6\}.
$$

Let $n = 8$, then $H_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ has 6 complex zeros and 2 real zeros, $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_8\}$. Again, it follows numerically that $z = 0$ is a saddle point of $f(z)$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and a maximum point of $f(z)$ if $z \in \mathbb{R}$ numerically that $z = 0$ is a saddle point of $f(z)$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and a maximum point of $f(z)$ if $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.1. Example 1 and example 2 show that in some cases *Z* is a saddle point of $|T_{\omega}|$ while at the same time a maximum point of $|T_{\omega}|$ if all the imaginary parts of z_i 's are fixed. However, this phenomenon does not arise for all cases.

Example 3. For $\lambda = (2, 2, 3, 3)$, $\eta_H = \text{Wr}[H_2, H_3, H_5, H_6]$, the associated exceptional Hermite polynomials are

 $H_n^{(\lambda)} = \text{Wr}[H_2, H_3, H_5, H_6, H_{n-6}], \quad n \notin \{2, 3, 5, 6\}.$

Let $n = 10$, then $H_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ has 8 complex zeros and 2 real zeros, $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_{10}\}$. In this case one can observe from the numerical simulation of $f(z)$ that $z = 0$ is neither a maximum point nor a can observe from the numerical simulation of $f(z)$ that $z = 0$ is neither a maximum point nor a saddle point of $f(z)$, hence $|T_{\omega}|$ has no maximum at *Z*.

4. Main results

The examples in section 3 indicate that in some special cases the modulus of the energy function T_{ω} attains its maximum at the zeros of a XOP. In this section we investigate under what kind of conditions it leads to these special cases, the main results are concluded as Theorem 4.4. Before proving the main theorems we will give several lemmas which consider the positive definiteness of a matrix and the uniqueness of the maximum point of T_{ω} .

Lemma 4.1. *An Hermitian strictly diagonally dominant matrix with real positive diagonal entries is positive definite.*

Proof. Let *A* denote an Hermitian strictly diagonally dominant matrix with real positive diagonal entries, then it follows from the Gershgorin circle theorem that all the eigenvalues of *A* are positive, which implies that *A* is positive definite. \Box

Lemma 4.2 (Uniqueness of the maximum point of T_{ω}). Let ω be a non-nagative, continuous *weight on* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *such that logw is concave, i.e.,* $(log \omega(x))'' \leq 0$, $\forall x \in I$ *, then the maximum point of* T_{ω} *is unique.*

Proof. Assume that $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ $_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ $\frac{n}{i-1}$ are maximum points of T_{ω} enumerated in increasing order, let $c_i = (a_i + b_i)/2$. We consider the value of T_ω at the point $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$ $_{i=1}^n$. Rewrite T_ω as

$$
T_{\omega}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \omega(x_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} |x_i - x_j|^2 = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} |x_i - x_j|^2 [\omega(x_i) \omega(x_j)]^{\frac{4}{n(n-1)}},
$$

then because of the ordering of the points and the log-concavity of ω , using the arithmeticgeometric mean inequality,

$$
\log\{|c_i - c_j|^2[\omega(c_i)\omega(c_j)]^{\frac{4}{n(n-1)}}\} = \log|c_i - c_j|^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-1)}[\log \omega(c_i) + \log \omega(c_j)]
$$

\n
$$
= \log\left(\frac{a_i - a_j}{2}\right) + \left|\frac{b_i - b_j}{2}\right|^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-1)}[\log \omega(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2}) + \log \omega(\frac{a_j + b_j}{2})]
$$

\n
$$
\geq \log|a_i - a_j||b_i - b_j| + \frac{2}{n(n-1)}[\log \omega(a_i) + \log \omega(b_i) + \log \omega(a_j) + \log \omega(b_j)]
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2}\log\{|a_i - a_j|^2[\omega(a_i)\omega(a_j)]^{\frac{4}{n(n-1)}}\} + \frac{1}{2}\log\{|b_i - b_j|^2[\omega(b_i)\omega(b_j)]^{\frac{4}{n(n-1)}}\}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $a_i = b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, which establishes the uniqueness.

As it is pointed out in [\[20\]](#page-15-20) that Stieltjes shows (when ω is a classical weight) $-\log T_{\omega}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ attains a minimum when x_1, \dots, x_n are the zeros of the corresponding classical orthogonal polynomial. However, according to the observation of an author of [\[20\]](#page-15-20) Stieljes does not explicitly show that this position is a minimum (even though he explicitly mentions that it is a minimum). Here we reformulate these results as the following theorem and give an explicit proof.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\omega(x) = \hat{\omega}(x)p(x)$, where $\hat{\omega}(x)$ takes a classical weight, namely $\omega(x) = e^{-x^2}$ for *Hermite polynomials, or* $\omega(x) = x$, x^2e^{-x} for *Laguerre polynomials, or* $\omega(x) = (1 - x^2) \cdot (1 - x^2)$ *Hermite polynomials, or* $\omega(x) = x \cdot x^{\alpha} e^{-x}$ *for Laguerre polynomials, or* $\omega(x) = (1 - x^2) \cdot (1 - x^2)^{\alpha} (1 + x)^{\beta}$ *for Lacobi polynomials. Then in the domain I with respect to* $\hat{\omega}(x)$ *the energy function* $\int x^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$ for Jacobi polynomials. Then in the domain I with respect to $\hat{\omega}(x)$, the energy function
T attains its maximum at the set of zeros of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials *^T*ω *attains its maximum at the set of zeros of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials.*

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n denote the zeros of classical orthogonal polynomial of degree *n* with respect to $\hat{\omega}(x)$ (with $\eta(x) = 1$),

$$
\frac{\partial \log T_{\omega}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_i} = \left(\frac{\omega'}{\omega}\right)(y_i) + \sum_{k=1, k\neq i}^n \frac{2}{y_i - y_k},
$$

$$
= \frac{\hat{\omega}'(y_i)}{\hat{\omega}(y_i)} + \frac{p'(y_i)}{p(y_i)} + \sum_{k=1, k\neq i}^n \frac{2}{y_i - y_k},
$$

it follows from Pearson equation (2.1) and the Stieltjes-Calogero type relation (2.9)

$$
\frac{\hat{\omega}'(x)}{\hat{\omega}(x)} + \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} = \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}, \quad S_{1,i} = \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i - x_k} = -\frac{q(x_i)}{2p(x_i)}.
$$

that

$$
\frac{\partial \log T_{\omega}(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}{\partial x_i} = 0.
$$

Thus, $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a critical point of the energy function T_ω , which means T_ω has a local partners of *X*. Northus, consider the Hassis metric *H* of ($\Delta \in \mathcal{F}$) if *H* is notitive definite at *X*. extremum at *X*. Next we consider the Hessian matrix *H* of $(-\log T_{\omega})$, if *H* is positive definite at *X*, then T_{ω} has a local maximum at *X*. The off-diagonal and diagonal elements of *H* are given by

$$
H_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2(-\log(T_{\omega}(y_1, \dots, y_n)))}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = -\frac{2}{(y_i - y_j)^2}, \quad i \neq j
$$

$$
H_{ii} = \frac{\partial^2(-\log(T_{\omega}(y_1, \dots, y_n)))}{\partial y_i^2} = (-\frac{\omega'}{\omega})'(y_i) + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n \frac{2}{(y_i - y_j)^2}
$$

$$
= \frac{q(y_i)p'(y_i) - p(y_i)q'(y_i)}{p(y_i)^2} + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n \frac{2}{(y_i - y_j)^2}
$$

Since $q(x)p'(x) - p(x)q'(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, *H* is Hermitian, strictly diagonally dominant, and has real positive diagonal entries thus it immediately follows that *H* is positive definite, which has real positive diagonal entries, thus it immediately follows that *H* is positive definite, which means T_{ω} has a maximum value at $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. In fact, $q(x)p'(x) - p(x)q'(x) > 0$ is always

true in the case of classical orthogonal polynomials, denote the left hand side of the inequality as *F*(*x*). For Hermite polynomials, $p(x) = 1$, $q(x) = -2x$, $F(x) = 2 > 0$; for Laguerre polynomials, $p(x) = x, q(x) = \alpha + 1 - x, F(x) = \alpha + 1 > 0$ (since $\alpha > -1$); for Jacobi polynomials, $p(x) =$ 1 − *x*², *q*(*x*) = β − α − (α + β + 2)*x*, *F*(*x*) = (α + β + 2)(1 + *x*²) −(β − α)2*x* > 0 (since α, β > −1).
The uniqueness follows from the fact that each weight $ω(x)$ is log-concave in the related do

The uniqueness follows from the fact that each weight $\omega(x)$ is log-concave in the related domain *I*. Moreover, T_{ω} tends to zero at the boundary of the domain related to $\hat{\omega}$, thus it attains a unique maximum at $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. unique maximum at $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

Note that the zeros of classical orthogonal polynomials are all real, simple and distinct [\[16,](#page-15-15) chapter 6.2], which guarantees that T_{ω} dose not vanish at the set of these zeros. However, the exceptional orthogonal polynomials have complex zeros and were conjectured to have simple zeros except possibly for the zeros at $z = 0$ [\[8\]](#page-15-7). Here we assume an exceptional orthogonal polynomial $P_{n+m}(z)$ of degree $n+m$ has simple zeros, denote the set of zeros of $P_{n+m}(z)$ as

$$
Z = \{z_1, \cdots, z_n, z_{n+1}, \cdots, z_{n+m}\}
$$

where $z_1 = x_1, \dots, z_n = x_n$ are the *n* real zeros and $z_{n+1} = x_{n+1} + i\mu_1, \dots, z_{n+m} = x_{n+m} + i\mu_m$ are the *m* complex zeros. Fix μ_1, \dots, μ_m , we consider the function $T_\omega(Y) = T_\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1} +$ $i\mu_1, \dots, y_{n+m} + i\mu_m$ with $n+m$ real variables. $T_\omega(Y)$ is a complex-valued function as long as $m \ge 1$, so we check the maximum value of $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2 = T_{\omega}(Y)\overline{T_{\omega}(Y)}$ instead. First, rewrite T_{ω} as

$$
T_{\omega}(Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \omega(y_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \omega(y_{n+j} + i\mu_j) \cdot \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} |y_i - y_j|^2 \cdot \prod_{1 \le k < l \le m} |y_{n+k} + i\mu_k - (y_{n+l} + i\mu_l)|^2
$$

$$
\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \le s \le n \\ 1 \le t \le m}} |y_s - (y_{n+t} + i\mu_t)|^2,
$$

then we have

$$
|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2 = \prod_{i=1}^n \omega^2(y_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m \omega(y_{n+j} + i\mu_j) \omega(y_{n+j} - i\mu_j) \cdot \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} |y_i - y_j|^4 \cdot \prod_{1 \le k < l \le m} |(y_{n+k} - y_{n+l})^2 + (\mu_k - \mu_l)^2|^2
$$

$$
\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \le s \le n \\ 1 \le t \le m}} |(y_s - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2)|^2.
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$. [∂]log|*T*ω(*Y*)[|]

$$
\frac{\log |T_{\omega}(Y)|^2}{\partial y_i} = 2 \frac{\omega'(y_i)}{\omega(y_i)} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{4}{y_i - y_j} + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{4(y_i - y_{n+t})}{(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2},
$$

=
$$
2 \frac{\omega'(y_i)}{\omega(y_i)} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{4}{y_i - y_j} + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{4}{y_i - (y_{n+t} + i\mu_t)} - \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{4i\mu_t}{(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2},
$$

notice that the sum of the first three terms on the right hand side equals 0 at $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}\}$ due to (2.1) and (2.9) , which implies

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{\mu_t}{(x_i - x_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2} = 0,
$$
\n(4.1)

since the left side is real. For $n + 1 \le i \le n + m$,

$$
\frac{\partial \log |T_{\omega}(Y)|^2}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\omega'(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})} + \frac{\omega'(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})} + \sum_{l=1, l \neq i-n}^{m} \frac{4(y_i - y_{n+l})}{(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 + (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{4(y_i - y_s)}{(y_i - y_s)^2 + \mu_{i-n}^2}
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \frac{\omega'(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})} + \sum_{l=1, l \neq i-n}^{m} \frac{4}{(y_i + i\mu_{i-n}) - (y_{n+l} + i\mu_l)} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{4}{(y_i + i\mu_{i-n}) - y_s}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{\omega'(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})} - \frac{\omega'(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})} + \sum_{l=1, l \neq i-n}^{m} \frac{4i(\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)}{(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 + (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{4i\mu_{i-n}}{(y_i - y_s)^2 + \mu_{i-n}^2}
$$

again we find that the sum of the first three terms on the right hand side equals 0 at $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}\}$, thus implies thus implies

$$
\frac{\omega'(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})} - \frac{\omega'(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})} + \sum_{l=1, l \neq i-n}^m \frac{4i(\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)}{(x_i - x_{n+l})^2 + (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2} + \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{4i\mu_{i-n}}{(x_i - x_s)^2 + \mu_{i-n}^2} = 0.
$$
 (4.2)

Therefore we have shown that $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}\}$ is a critical point of $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$.
The Hessian matrix H of $(-\log |T_{\omega}(Y)|^2)$ has four types off-diagonal

The Hessian matrix *H* of $(-log|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2)$ has four types off-diagonal elements and two types diagonal elements:

$$
H_{ij} = -\frac{4}{(y_i - y_j)^2}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le n, i \ne j,
$$

\n
$$
H_{ij} = -\frac{4[(y_i - y_j)^2 - \mu_{j-n}^2]}{[(y_i - y_j)^2 + \mu_{j-n}^2]^2}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, n + 1 \le j \le n + m,
$$

\n
$$
H_{ij} = -\frac{4[(y_i - y_j)^2 - \mu_{i-n}^2]}{[(y_i - y_j)^2 + \mu_{i-n}^2]^2}, \quad n + 1 \le i \le n + m, 1 \le j \le n,
$$

\n
$$
H_{ij} = -\frac{4[(y_i - y_j)^2 - (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_{j-n})^2]}{[(y_i - y_j)^2 + (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_{j-n})^2]^2}, \quad n + 1 \le i \le n + m, n + 1 \le j \le n + m, i \ne j,
$$

and

$$
H_{ii} = \left(-\frac{2\omega'(y_i)}{\omega(y_i)}\right)' + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \frac{4}{(y_i - y_j)^2} + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{4[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 - \mu_t^2]}{[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2]^2}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,
$$

\n
$$
H_{ii} = \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' + \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' + \sum_{l=1, l\neq i}^{m} \frac{4[(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 - (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2]}{[(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 + (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2]^2}
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{4[(y_i - y_s)^2 - \mu_{i-n}^2]}{[(y_i - y_s)^2 + \mu_{i-n}^2]^2}, \quad n+1 \leq i \leq n+m.
$$

In order to find the condition for *H* to be positive definite, it should be satisfied that

$$
H_{ii} > 0
$$
 and $H_{ii} > \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n+m} |H_{ij}|, \quad 1 \le i \le n+m,$
12

which is equivalent as

$$
H_{ii} > \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n+m} |H_{ij}|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+m.
$$

When $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$
H_{ii} - \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n+m} |H_{ij}| = \left(-\frac{2\omega'(y_i)}{\omega(y_i)} \right)' + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{4[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 - \mu_t^2]}{[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2]^2} - \sum_{t=1}^{m} \left| \frac{4[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 - \mu_t^2]}{[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2]^2} \right|
$$

$$
= \left(-\frac{2\omega'(y_i)}{\omega(y_i)} \right)' + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \left[\frac{4}{(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2} - \frac{8\mu_t^2}{[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2]^2} \right]
$$

$$
- \sum_{t=1}^{m} \left| \frac{4}{(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2} - \frac{8\mu_t^2}{[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2]^2} \right|
$$

$$
\geq \left(-\frac{2\omega'(y_i)}{\omega(y_i)} \right)' - \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{16\mu_t^2}{[(y_i - y_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2]^2}
$$

$$
\geq \left(-\frac{2\omega'(y_i)}{\omega(y_i)} \right)' - \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{4}{(y_i - y_{n+t})^2},
$$

when $n + 1 \le i \le n + m$,

$$
H_{ii} - \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n+m} |H_{ij}| = \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' + \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' + \sum_{l=1, l\neq i-n}^{m} \frac{4[(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 - (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2]}{[(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 + (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2]^2} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{4[(y_i - y_s)^2 - \mu_{i-n}^2]}{[(y_i - y_s)^2 + \mu_{i-n}^2]^2} - \sum_{l=1, l\neq i-n}^{m} \left|\frac{4[(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 - (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2]}{[(y_i - y_{n+l})^2 + (\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)^2]^2}\right| - \sum_{s=1}^{n} \left|\frac{4[(y_i - y_s)^2 - \mu_{i-n}^2]}{[(y_i - y_s)^2 + \mu_{i-n}^2]^2}\right| \n\geq \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' + \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' - \sum_{l=1, l\neq i-n}^{m} \frac{4}{(y_i - y_{n+l})^2} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{4}{(y_i - y_s)^2} = \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i + i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' + \left(-\frac{\omega'(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(y_i - i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' - \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n+m} \frac{4}{(y_i - y_j)^2}.
$$

The above computations show that if it holds that

$$
\left(-\frac{2\omega'(x_i)}{\omega(x_i)}\right)' > \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} \frac{4}{(x_i - x_j)^2} \tag{4.3}
$$

and

$$
\left(-\frac{\omega'(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' + \left(-\frac{\omega'(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})}\right)' > \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n+m} \frac{4}{(x_i - x_j)^2}
$$
(4.4)

thus $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ has a (local) maximum value at $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}\}$. According to these
computations we give the following theorem which provide a sufficient condition for $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ to computations we give the following theorem which provide a sufficient condition for $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ to obtain its maximum value at Y obtain its maximum value at *X*.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $\omega(x) = \hat{\omega}(x)p(x)$ *, where* $\hat{\omega}(x)$ *takes an exceptional weight, namely* $\omega(x)$ = $e^{-x^2}/\eta_H^2(x)$ *for exceptional Hermite polynomials, or* $\omega(x) = x \cdot x^{\alpha} e^{-x}/\eta_L^2(x)$ *for exceptional Laguerre*
polynomials, or $\omega(x) = (1 - x^2) \cdot (1 - x)^{\alpha} (1 + x)^{\beta}/n^2(x)$ for exceptional Jacobi polynomials. If the *polynomials, or* $\omega(x) = (1 - x^2) \cdot (1 - x)^{\alpha} (1 + x)^{\beta} / \eta_{j}^{2}(x)$ *for exceptional Jacobi polynomials. If the*
denominators $n_{\alpha}(x)$, $n_{\alpha}(x)$, $n_{\alpha}(x)$ satisfy the following conditions. *denominators* $\eta_H(x)$, $\eta_L(x)$, $\eta_J(x)$ *satisfy the following conditions*

$$
(\log \eta_{\alpha}(x))'' + k_{\alpha} \geq 0, \quad x \in I
$$
\n
$$
(4.5)
$$

$$
(\log \eta_{\alpha}(x))''|_{x=z_i} + k_{\alpha} > \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2}, \quad 1 \le i \le n+m. \tag{4.6}
$$

then in the domain I with respect to $\hat{\omega}(x)$, the real-valued function $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ attains its maximum value at X where α can be replaced by H, I, I respectively $k_{\text{U}} = 1$, $k_{\text{U}} = k_{\text{U}} = 0$ *value at X, where* α *can be replaced by H, L, J, respectively,* $k_H = 1$, $k_L = k_J = 0$.

Proof. Till now it has been known that if (4.3) and (4.4) are satisfied then $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ has a (local) maximum value at *Y*. The uniqueness requires that $(\log(\omega(x))^{\prime\prime} - (\alpha(x)/p(x))^{\prime} \le 0$. $\forall x \in I$ maximum value at *X*. The uniqueness requires that $(\log \omega(x))'' = (q(x)/p(x))' \leq 0, \forall x \in I$, provided the information of $p(x)$, $q(x)$ are given in (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), respectively, we check case by case using the same notations as we did in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Assuming it satisfies that

$$
(\log \eta_H(x))'' + 1 \geq 0, \ x \in (-\infty, \infty), \tag{4.7}
$$

$$
(\log \eta_L(x))'' \geq 0, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \tag{4.8}
$$

$$
(\log \eta_J(x))'' \geq 0, \ x \in (-1, 1), \tag{4.9}
$$

then for exceptional Hermite polynomials, it holds that

$$
F(x) = 2 + 2(\log \eta_H(x))'' \ge 0,
$$

as well as for exceptional Laguerre polynomials ($\alpha > -1, k' > 0$) we have

$$
F(x) = \alpha + k' + 1 + 2x^2(\log \eta_L(x))'' \ge 0,
$$

and for exceptional Jacobi polynomials $(\alpha, \beta > -1, k'_1 + k'_2 > 0)$ we have

$$
F(x) = (\alpha + \beta + 2k'_1 + 2)(1 + x^2) - (\beta - \alpha - 2k'_2)2x + 2(1 - x^2)^2(\log \eta_J(x))'' \ge 0,
$$

Moreover, since it holds respectively for the weight functions of exceptional Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi polynomial that

$$
\left(-\frac{\omega'(x)}{\omega(x)}\right)' = \begin{cases} 2 + 2(\log \eta_H(x))'' \\ \frac{\alpha + k' + 1}{x^2} + 2(\log \eta_L(x))'' > 2(\log \eta_L(x))'' \\ \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 2k_1' + 2)(1 + x^2) - (\beta - \alpha - 2k_2')2x}{(1 - x^2)^2} + 2(\log \eta_J(x))'' > 2(\log \eta_J(x))'' \end{cases}
$$

we can rewrite (4.3) and (4.4) as stronger conditions which are implied by (4.6):

$$
\begin{cases}\n1 + (\log \eta_H(x_i))'' \\
(\log \eta_L(x_i))'' \\
(\log \eta_J(x_i))''\n\end{cases} > \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2}, \quad 1 \le i \le n
$$
\n(4.10)

and

$$
\begin{cases} 2 + (\log \eta_H(x_i + i\mu_{i-n}))'' + (\log \eta_H(x_i - i\mu_{i-n}))'' \\ (\log \eta_L(x_i + i\mu_{i-n}))'' + (\log \eta_L(x_i - i\mu_{i-n}))'' \\ (\log \eta_J(x_i + i\mu_{i-n}))'' + (\log \eta_J(x_i - i\mu_{i-n}))'' \end{cases} > \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n+m} \frac{2}{(x_i - x_j)^2}, \quad n+1 \leq i \leq n+m. \quad (4.11)
$$

Recall that $\omega(x)$ decays quickly at the boundary, thus $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ tends to zero at the boundary.

Relatingly $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ has a unique maximum at *X* if (4.5) (4.6) are satisfied Concludingly, $|T_{\omega}(Y)|^2$ has a unique maximum at *X* if (4.5), (4.6) are satisfied. \Box

Notice that in (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$
\frac{\mu_t}{(x_i - x_{n+t})^2 + \mu_t^2} = \frac{1}{2i} \Big[\frac{1}{(x_i - x_{n+t}) - i\mu_t} - \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{n+t}) + i\mu_t} \Big],
$$

and

$$
\frac{4i(\mu_{i-n}-\mu_l)}{(x_i-x_{n+1})^2+(\mu_{i-n}-\mu_l)^2} = 2\bigg[\frac{1}{(x_i-x_{n+1})-i(\mu_{i-n}-\mu_l)}-\frac{1}{(x_i-x_{n+1})+i(\mu_{i-n}-\mu_l)}\bigg],
$$
\n
$$
\frac{4i\mu_{i-n}}{(x_i-x_s)^2+\mu_{i-n}^2} = 2\bigg[\frac{1}{(x_i-x_s)-i\mu_{i-n}}-\frac{1}{(x_i-x_s)+i\mu_{i-n}}\bigg],
$$

thus obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *If an exceptional orthogonal polynomial* $P_{n+m}(z)$ *has n* + *m simple zeros consisting of n real zeros and m complex zeros:*

$$
z_1 = x_1, \ldots, z_n = x_n, z_{n+1} = x_{n+1} + i\mu_1, \ldots, z_{n+m} = x_{n+m} + i\mu_m,
$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \ldots, n + m, \mu_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \ldots, m$, then it satisfies

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{n+t}) + i\mu_t} = \sum_{t=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{n+t}) - i\mu_t}, \quad 1 \le i \le n,
$$
\n(4.12)

and

$$
\frac{\omega'(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})} + \sum_{l=1, l \neq i-n}^{m} \frac{2}{(x_i - x_{n+l}) + i(\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{2}{(x_i - x_s) + i\mu_{i-n}}
$$
(4.13)

$$
= \frac{\omega'(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})} + \sum_{l=1, l \neq i-n}^{m} \frac{2}{(x_i - x_{n+l}) - i(\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{2}{(x_i - x_s) - i\mu_{i-n}}, \quad n+1 \leq i \leq n+m.
$$

In particular, notice that

$$
\frac{\omega'(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})} = \frac{\hat{\omega}'(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{\hat{\omega}(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})} + \frac{p'(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})}{p(x_i + i\mu_{i-n})} = -2S_{1,i},
$$

it follows that the left hand side of (4.13) is 0, consequently implies

$$
\frac{\omega'(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})}{\omega(x_i - i\mu_{i-n})} + \sum_{l=1, l \neq i-n}^{m} \frac{2}{(x_i - x_{n+l}) - i(\mu_{i-n} - \mu_l)} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{2}{(x_i - x_s) - i\mu_{i-n}} = 0, \quad n+1 \leq i \leq n+m.
$$

Acknowledgements

The author thanks to Satoshi Tsujimoto for fruitful discussions and helpful advices. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- [1] D. Gómez-Ullate, Y. Grandati, R. Milson. Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 2013; 47:015203.
- [2] A. J. Durán. Exceptional Charlier and Hermite orthogonal polynomials. *Journal of Approximation Theory* 2014; 182:29-58.
- [3] A. J. Duran. Exceptional Meixner and Laguerre orthogonal polynomials. ´ *Journal of Approximation Theory* 2014; 184:176-208.
- [4] A. J. Durán. Pérez M. Admissibility condition for exceptional Laguerre polynomials. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2015; 424:1042-1053.
- [5] A. J. Durán. Exceptional Hahn and Jacobi orthogonal polynomials. [arXiv:1510.02579\[](http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.02579)math.OA].
- [6] R. Sasaki, S. Tsujimoto, A. Zhedanov. Exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials and the corresponding potentials through Darboux-Crum transformations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 2010; 43:315204.
- [7] M. García-Ferrero, D. Gómez-Ullate, R. Milson. A Bochner type classification theorem for exceptional orthogonal polynomials. [arXiv:1603.04385\[](http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04385)math.OA].
- [8] A. B. Kuijlaars, R. Milson. Zeros of exceptional Hermite polynomials. *Journal of Approximation Theory* 2015; 200:28-39.
- [9] C. L. Ho, R. Sasaki. Zeros of the exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials. *ISRN Mathematical Physics* 2012 27:920475.
- [10] D. Gomez-Ullate, F. Marcellan, R. Milson. Asymptotic and interlacing properties of zeros of exceptional Jacobi ´ and Laguerre polynomials. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2013; 399:480-495.
- [11] I. Schur. Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 1918; 1:377-402.
- [12] Á. P. Horváth. $\rho(w)$ -normal point systems. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* 1999; **85**:9-27.
- [13] M.E.H Ismail. An electrostatic model for zeros of general orthogonal polynomials. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 2000; 193:355-369.
- [14] Á. P. Horváth. The energy function with respect to the zeros of the exceptional Hermite polynomials. [arXiv:1601.06138\[](http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.06138)math.OA].
- [15] Á. P. Horváth. The electrostatic properties of zeros of exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials and stable interpolation. *Journal of Approximation Theory* 2015; 194:87-107.
- [16] G. Szego. Orthogonal Polynomials. Fourth ed. in: Amer. Math. Soc. Collq. Publ., vol. 23, American Mathemat- ¨ ical Society, Providence, R. I., 1975.
- [17] S. Ahmed, M. Bruschi, F. Calogero, M. A. Olshanetsky, A. M. Perelomov. Properties of the zeros of the classical polynomials and of the Bessel functions. *Nuovo Cimento* 1979; 49B:173-199.
- [18] F. Calogero. On the zeros of Hermite polynomials. *Lettere Nuovo Cimento* 1977; 20:489-490.
- [19] F. Calogero. Equilibrium configuration of the one-dimensional n-body problem with quadratic and inversely quadratic pair potentials. *Lettere Nuovo Cimento* 1977; 20:251-253.
- [20] G. Valent, W. V. Assche. The impact of Stieltjes' work on continued fractions and orthogonal polynomials additional material. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 1995; 65:419-447.
- [21] A. Ronveaux, M. E. Muldoon. Stieltjes sums for zeros of orthogonal polynomials. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 1995; 57:261-269.