

## GAUSSIAN ESTIMATES: A BRIEF HISTORY

D.G.Aronson  
 School of Mathematics  
 University of Minnesota  
 Minneapolis MN 55455  
 e-mail: arons001@umn.edu

ABSTRACT: Two-sided Gaussian estimates for the fundamental solution of a second order linear parabolic differential equation are upper and lower bounds in terms of the fundamental solution of the classical heat conduction equation. In his seminal 1958 paper Nash stated, without proof, two-sided non-Gaussian bounds for the fundamental solution of a uniformly parabolic divergence structure equation assuming only boundedness of the coefficients. In his 1967-1968 papers Aronson derived truly Gaussian estimates for the fundamental solutions of a large class of linear parabolic equations (including the divergence structure equations) under minimal non-regularity assumptions on the coefficients. Subsequently in 1986 Fabes & Stroock derived Gaussian estimates for the divergence structure equation directly from the ideas of Nash and went on to prove Nash's continuity theorem and the Harnack inequality as a consequence of their estimate. In this note I describe these results together with various extensions.

Let  $\Gamma(x, t; \xi, \tau)$  denote the *fundamental solution* of the divergence structure second order linear parabolic equation

$$\partial_t u - \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_{x_j} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N a_{ij}(x, t) \partial_{x_i} u + a_j(x, t) u \right\} - \sum_{j=1}^N b_j(x, t) \partial_{x_j} u - c(x, t) u = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $(x, t) \in S = \mathbf{R}^N \times (0, T)$  for some  $T > 0$ . If the coefficients of (1) are smooth then the fundamental solution exists in the classical sense, otherwise it must be interpreted in the weak sense (cf. [2]). The *two-sided Gaussian estimate* for  $\Gamma$  is the following:

**Theorem:** *There exist positive constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $C$  depending only on  $T$  and the structure of equation (1) such that*

$$C^{-1} g_1(x - \xi, t - \tau) \leq \Gamma(x, t; \xi, \tau) \leq C g_2(x - \xi, t - \tau) \quad (2)$$

for all  $(x, t), (\xi, \tau) \in S$  with  $t > \tau$ , where  $g_i(x, t)$  denotes the (Gaussian) fundamental solution of the heat equation  $\alpha_i \Delta u = \partial_t u$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Note that this estimate does not require any smoothness assumption on the coefficients of equation (1).

The Gaussian estimate (2) was first proved in 1967 for the special case of the equation

$$\partial_t u - \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_{x_j} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N a_{ij}(x, t) \partial_{x_i} u \right\} = 0 \quad (3)$$

in [1] and subsequently extended to the general case of equation (1) in [2]. In his seminal 1958 paper [10] John Nash considers equation (3) and proves Hölder continuity of solutions assuming only uniform parabolicity and bounded measurable coefficients (as is also done in [1]). In the appendix to his paper he states two-sided estimates for the fundamental solution, but his estimates are not Gaussian and complete proofs are not provided. He also points out that it is possible to derive the Harnack inequality from his bounds for the fundamental solution.

There are two distinct methods of deriving Gaussian estimates for the fundamental solution of (3). In the original 1967 derivation [1] the estimates are obtained as a consequence of an energy estimate, along with the Harnack inequality and Hölder continuity of solutions proved in [9] and [3]. On the other hand in their 1986 paper, Fabes & Stroock made a detailed study of Nash's work and discovered that his method can be made to yield the two-sided Gaussian estimate directly. They then showed that the estimate can be used to derive the Hölder continuity of solutions and the Harnack inequality. Neither Nash or Fabes & Stroock consider the full equation (1). Thus the Gaussian estimates for the full equation (1) are still only known as a consequence of the various properties of weak solutions proved in [9] and [3].

In [1] it is assumed that the coefficients  $a_{ij}(x, t)$  in equation (3) are bounded and measurable in  $S$ , and that there exists a constant  $\nu > 0$  such that (with summation over repeated indices)

$$a_{ij}(x, t) \zeta_i \zeta_j \geq \nu |\zeta|^2$$

almost everywhere in  $S$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^N$ . The existence of the weak fundamental solution  $\Gamma$  is proved under conditions which include these in [2]. The constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $C$  in the estimate (2) depend only on  $\nu, N, T$  and the bounds for the coefficients of (3). For the general equation (1) the Gaussian bounds are proved in [2] under the following assumptions on the structure of equation (3) which will be referred to collectively as (H). There exist constants  $0 < \nu, M < \infty$  and  $0 \leq M_0 < \infty$  such that the coefficients of equation (1) satisfy

(H.1) For all  $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^N$  and for almost all  $(x, t) \in S$

$$a_{ij}(x, t) \zeta_i \zeta_j \geq \nu |\zeta|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |a_{ij}| \leq M.$$

(H.2) Each of the coefficient  $a_j$  and  $b_j$  belong to some Bochner space  $L^{pq}(S)$ , where

$$2 < p, q \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{N}{2p} + \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{2},$$

and  $|a_j(x, t)|, |b_j(x, t)| \leq M_0$  almost everywhere in  $S$ .

(H.3)  $c \in L^{pq}(S)$ , where

$$1 < p, q \leq \infty \text{ and } \frac{N}{2p} + \frac{1}{q} < 1$$

and  $c(x, t) \leq M_0$  almost everywhere in  $S$ .

existence of a weak fundamental solution under the hypothesis (H) is proved in [2]. The constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $C$  in the estimate (2) depend only on  $N, T$  and the structure of equation (1), i.e., the hypothesis (H).

The proof of the lower bound in (2) in both [1] and [2] rely heavily on consequences of the regularity of solutions and the pointwise Harnack inequality proved in [3] and [9]. On the other hand the proof of the upper bound does not involve the Harnack inequality or its consequences. Instead it uses the estimate (first proved by Nash in the special case of equation (3))

$$\Gamma(x, t; \xi, \tau) \leq C(t - \tau)^{-N/2} \quad (4)$$

in  $S \times S$  for  $t > \tau$ , where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $N, T$  and (H); a technical lemma which gives an estimate for the magnitude inside a ball of a solution which is initially supported in the exterior of that ball; and the semigroup (reproducing) property of the fundamental solution.

In his book [4], Davis considers equation (3) in the case the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  are independent of  $t$  and depend only on  $x$ . He introduces a technique which enables him to sharpen the upper bound in (2) by replacing the Euclidian distance with the Riemannian distance associated with the coefficients. Fabes & Stroock [6] refine Nash's sketch of the argument he indicated to establish his non-Gaussian upper bound and use it together with Davis' method to prove the Gaussian upper bound (2) for the fundamental solution of equation (3). They point out "*that the upper bound itself is an important tool for our understanding and simplification of those ideas of Nash needed to obtain the lower bound*" in (2). Although their procedure is basically due to Nash, the Gaussian upper bound allows them to simplify his argument and refine his lower bound. The derivation of the lower bound in [6] depends on two estimates, both essentially due to Nash: the inequality (4) and the existence of a constant  $B < \infty$  depending only on  $\nu$  such that for all

$$|x| \leq 1 \int e^{-\pi|y|^2} \log \Gamma(x, 1; y, 0) dy \geq -B.$$

Using these estimates together with the semigroup property of the fundamental solution yield the Gaussian lower bound. Using the Gaussian bound (2), Fabes & Stroock go on to derive Nash's Hölder continuity result and the Harnack inequality. For the latter result they use the methods of Krylov & Safonov [7]. It should be emphasized that Fabes & Stroock derive their bounds directly from equation (3) without any reference to regularity properties of the solution and, indeed derive the regularity results as a consequence of their bounds. Fabes [5] extended Nash's 'moment' bound to estimate all of the moments of the fundamental solution of (3) and applies Davis' techniques to prove Davis' Riemannian

upper bound. He also shows that these methods can yield upper bounds for heat kernels on a class of complete Riemann manifolds.

If the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  in equation (3) are independent of  $t$  and  $N \geq 3$ , then

$$\int_0^\infty \Gamma(x, t; \xi, 0) = G(x, \xi),$$

where  $G(x, \xi)$  is the fundamental solution of the elliptic equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \partial_{x_j} \sum_{i=1}^N a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} u = 0.$$

As noted in [1], in this case the constants in estimate (2) can be chosen independent of  $T$  and we can integrate these estimates over  $R^+$  to obtain the estimate

$$K^{-1} |x - \xi|^{2-N} \leq G(x, \xi) \leq K |x - \xi|^{2-N}.$$

This estimate is not new having been derived directly from potential theoretic considerations by Littman, Stampacchia & Weinberger [8] and H. Royden [13].

Porper & Eidel'man [12] consider a slight generalization of equations (1) and (3) involving a coefficient  $p(x)$  multiplying  $\partial_t u$ . Using essentially the arguments employed in [1] and [2], they give a detailed account of the derivation of Hölder continuity, the Harnack inequality and the two-sided Gaussian estimate for the analogue of equation (3) and a very brief description of these results of the analogue of equation (1) under conditions similar to (H).

In [11] Norris and Stroock consider the operator

$$L \equiv \nabla \cdot (A(x, t) \nabla + AE(x, t) \cdot \nabla - \nabla \cdot (A\hat{E}(x, t))) + C(x, t),$$

where the coefficients of  $L$  are measurable functions on  $\mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}$ . Here  $A$  is an  $N \times N$  positive-definite symmetric matrix,  $E$  and  $\hat{E}$  are in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  and  $C$  is in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Their main result is a very precise two-sided estimate for the fundamental solution of  $Lu = \partial_t u$  based on energy functions associated with the coefficients of  $L$ . However they are forced to assume the uniform continuity of  $A$  and  $E - \hat{E}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. D.G.Aronson *Bounds for the Fundamental Solution of a Parabolic Equation*, Bull. AMS **73**(1967), 890-896.
2. D.G.Aronson *Non-Negative Solutions of Linear Parabolic Equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22**(1968), 607-694: *Non-Negative Solutions of Linear Parabolic Equations: An Addendum*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **25**(1971), 221-228.
3. D.G.Aronson & J.Serrin, *Local Behavior of Solutions of Quasilinear Parabolic Equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **25**(1967), 81-122.
4. E.B.Davis **Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory**, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **92**, Cambridge University Press 1989.

5. E.B.Fabes *Gaussian Upper Bounds on the Fundamental Solution of Parabolic Equations: the Method of Nash*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1563**(1993), 1-20, Springer-Verlag.
6. E.B.Fabes & D.W.Stroock *A New Proof of Moser's Parabolic Harnack Inequality Using Old Ideas of Nash*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **96**(1986), 327-338.
7. N.V.Krylov & M.V.Safonov *A certain property of solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients*, Math. USSR Izv. **16**(1981), 151-164.
8. W.Littman, G.Stampacchia & H.F.Weinberger *Regular Points for Elliptic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **17**(1968), 43-77.
9. J.Moser *A Harnack Inequality for Parabolic Differential Equations* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **17**(1964), 101-134; *A Correction to "A Harnack Inequality for Parabolic Differential Equations"*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **20**(1967), 231-236.
10. J.Nash *Continuity of Solutions of Parabolic and Elliptic Equations*, Amer. J. Math. **80**(1958), 931-954.
11. James R. Norris & Daniel W. Stroock *Estimates on the Fundamental Solution to Heat Flows with Uniformly Elliptic Coefficients*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **62**(1991), 373-402.
12. F.O.Proper & S.D.Eidel'man *Two-Sided Estimates of the Fundamental Solution of Second Order Parabolic Equations and Some Applications*, Russian Math. Surveys **39**(1984), 119-178.
13. H.Royden *The Growth of a Fundamental Solution of an Elliptic Divergence Structure Equation*, **Studies in Mathematical Analysis and Related Topics: Essays in Honor of George Polya**, Stanford 1962, 333-340.