

Blow-up solutions for a Kirchhoff type elliptic equation with trapping potential

Helin Guo^a, Yimin Zhang^b and Huan-Song Zhou^b *

^aUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences and

Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, CAS, Wuhan 430071, China

^bCenter for Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics,

Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China

Abstract We study a Kirchhoff type elliptic equation with trapping potential. The existence and blow-up behavior of solutions with normalized L^2 -norm for this equation are discussed.

Keywords: Constrained variational method; elliptic equation; Schwarz symmetrization; Energy estimates.

MSC: 35J20; 35J60; 47J30.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the existence and blow-up behavior of solutions with normalized L^2 -norm (i.e., L^2 -norm equals 1) for the following type elliptic problem

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = \beta|u|^p u + \lambda u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 \leq N \leq 4$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, a and b are positive constants, $\beta > 0$, $V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $p \in (0, 2^* - 2)$ with $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ if $N = 3, 4$ and $2^* = +\infty$ if $N = 1, 2$. (1.1) is a steady-state equation of certain type of generalized Kirchhoff equation, which is usually called a Kirchhoff type elliptic equation. Classical Kirchhoff equation was proposed in [15], which is essentially a modified one dimensional wave equation and can be used to give a more accurate description on the transversal oscillations of a stretched string, see e.g., [1, 6, 15] for more backgrounds and related results on the classical Kirchhoff equations.

Over the last decade, much attention has been paid to the Kirchhoff type elliptic equation (1.1), for examples, when $V(x)$ is a nonnegative constant, the existence of radial solutions of (1.1) was proved in [14] for $p > 2$. He and Zou in [13] studied (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$ and $p \in (2, 4)$, in which the mountain pass theorem and the Nehari manifold were directly used to obtain a positive ground state solution to (1.1). For the case $p \in (0, 1)$, He and Li [11] obtained a positive ground state solution to (1.1) by constructing a special Palais-Smale sequence. Problem (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$ and $p \in (1, 4)$ was discussed in [18] and a positive ground state solution was found by solving a constrained minimization over a Nehari-Pohozaev type manifold. Note that when $b = 0$, problem (1.1) is related to the so-called Gross-Pitaevskii equation which arises in the study of Bose-Einstein condensates, see e.g., [5, 7]. In the case of $b = 0$, the solutions with normalized L^2 -norm, i.e., L^2 -norm is equal to 1, have special interest in physics [8, 24] and the existence of this kind of solutions has been established in [8, 24] for (1.1) with $N = 2$ and $p = 2$. Particularly, some detailed analysis on the asymptotic behavior of this kind of solutions were also discussed in [8] as β approaches a critical value. Motivated by [8], the authors of [9] studied the behavior of normalized solutions of (1.1) for fixed β and $b = 0$, but $p \nearrow 2$. Some more generalizations on the results of [9] can be founded in [25, 26]. Recently, also inspired by [8], in papers [22, 23, 27] the authors studied the existence of solution u with $\|u\|_{L^2} = c$ ($c > 0$ is a constant) for the Kirchhoff type elliptic problem (1.1) with $\beta = 1$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$,

*Corresponding author.

Email address: H.L.Guo: qfguohelin@126.com; Y.M.Zhang: zhangyimin@whut.edu.cn; H.S.Zhou: hszhou@whut.edu.cn. This work was supported by NFSC Grants 11471331, 11501555 and 11471330).

and their results show that the existence of this kind solutions depend heavily on the constant c . The main aim of this paper is to extend the results of [8, 9, 25] on problem (1.1) with $b = 0$ (local case) to the nonlocal case (i.e. $b \neq 0$), that is, we are interested in the existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions with normalized L^2 -norm for problem (1.1) when $b \neq 0$ and p approaches the critical value $p^* \triangleq \frac{8}{N}$. For this purpose, we consider the following constrained minimization problem:

$$d_\beta(p) = \inf_{u \in S_1} E_p^\beta(u) \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$E_p^\beta(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx, \quad (1.3)$$

$$S_1 = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = 1 \right\} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{H} \triangleq \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx < \infty \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{H} = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $V(x) \equiv 0$. Moreover, if $N \geq 3$, then $p \in (0, \frac{4}{N-2}]$ is necessary to ensure that the functional given by (1.3) is well defined in \mathcal{H} . On the other hand, for any fixed $u_0 \in S_1$, it is easy to see that $u_0^\sigma(x) = \sigma^{\frac{N}{2}} u_0(\sigma x) \in S_1$ for any $\sigma > 0$, but

$$E_p^\beta(u_0^\sigma) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{if} \quad p > \frac{8}{N},$$

this means that $d_\beta(p) = -\infty$ if $p > \frac{8}{N}$. Therefore, throughout the paper, we always assume that

$$0 < p \leq \frac{8}{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad p \leq \frac{4}{N-2} \quad \text{if} \quad N \geq 3 \implies N \leq 4.$$

These implies that N can be 1, 2, 3 or 4. Note that $N < 4$ is essentially required in [22], (see the derivation of (2.6) in [22]). When $V(x) \equiv 0$ and $N < 4$, by almost the same tricks as that of [22], we know that (1.2) has no minimizers for all $\beta > 0$ if $p \geq \frac{8}{N}$, but there exists $\beta^* > 0$ such that (1.2) has a minimizer if and only if $\beta \in (\beta^*, +\infty)$ as $p \in (0, \frac{4}{N}]$, or $\beta \in [\beta^*, +\infty)$ as $p \in (\frac{4}{N}, \frac{8}{N})$. However, using the methods of [22], β^* can be calculated only for $p \in (0, \frac{4}{N}]$. In this paper, we successfully obtain the explicit expression of β^* for all $p \in (0, \frac{8}{N})$ and $1 \leq N \leq 4$. We mention that, when $V(x) \equiv 0$ in (1.2), although the existence of minimizers for (1.2) is essentially proved in [22, 23] ($N \leq 3$), here we provide a very simple and direct proof for the existence of minimizers of (1.2) with $V(x) \equiv 0$, and $N = 4$ is also included, see section 2. Comparing to the case of $V(x) \equiv 0$, the other aim of the paper is to know whether there is any new phenomena for problem (1.2) when $V(x) \neq 0$. In fact, our results of this paper show that the situation of (1.2) with $V(x) \neq 0$ is totally different from that of $V(x) \equiv 0$. Roughly speaking, we prove that (1.2) has always a minimizer for all $\beta > 0$ when $p \in (0, \frac{8}{N})$, and there exists $\beta^* > 0$ such that (1.2) with $p = \frac{8}{N}$ has a minimizer if and only if $\beta \in (0, \beta^*]$, and β^* can be given explicitly, see our Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Moreover, we establish a detailed analysis on the asymptotic behavior of the minimizer of (1.2) as $p \nearrow p^* = \frac{8}{N}$, see our Theorem 1.5.

For stating our results, we introduce the following semilinear elliptic equation:

$$-\frac{Np}{4} \Delta u + \left(1 + \frac{p}{4}(2-N)\right) u - u^{p+1} = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad 1 \leq N \leq 4, \quad 0 < p < 2^* - 2, \quad (1.5)$$

it is well-known that this equation has a unique (*up to translations*) positive solution $\phi_p \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is radially symmetric and decays exponentially at infinity, see e.g., [10, 17, 20].

Theorem 1.1 *If $V(x) \equiv 0$, $p \in (0, p^*]$ with $p^* = \frac{8}{N}$ and $1 \leq N \leq 4$, let*

$$\tilde{\beta}_p = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 < p < \frac{4}{N}, \\ a \|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{N^2}}, & \text{if } p = \frac{4}{N}, \\ 2 \|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p \left(\frac{2a}{8-Np} \right)^{\frac{8-Np}{4}} \left(\frac{b}{Np-4} \right)^{\frac{Np-4}{4}}, & \text{if } \frac{4}{N} < p < p^* = \frac{8}{N}, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\tilde{\beta}_{p^*} = \begin{cases} \frac{b}{2} \|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{N}}, & \text{if } N \leq 3, p = p^*, \\ bS^2, & \text{if } N = 4, p = p^*, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where ϕ_p is the unique positive solution of (1.5) and

$$S = \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u\|_{L^4}^2} > 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Then,

(i) For $p \in (0, \frac{8}{N})$, problem (1.2) has a minimizer if and only if

$$\beta > \tilde{\beta}_p \text{ with } 0 < p \leq \frac{4}{N}, \text{ or } \beta \geq \tilde{\beta}_p \text{ with } \frac{4}{N} < p < \frac{8}{N}. \quad (1.9)$$

Therefore, under the conditions of (1.9), problem (1.1) has always a positive solution $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|u\|_{L^2} = 1$ for some $\lambda < 0$.

(ii) For $p = p^* (= \frac{8}{N})$, (1.2) has no minimizers for any $\beta > 0$.

Let

$$\beta_p = \frac{b}{2} \|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p, \quad (1.10)$$

where ϕ_p is the unique positive solution of (1.5). Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 Let $0 < p < p^*$ and $1 \leq N \leq 4$. If $V(x)$ satisfies

$$0 \neq V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^+), \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = 0. \quad (1.11)$$

Then, for any fixed $\beta > 0$, problem (1.2) has at least one minimizer.

When $N = 4$, since $p^* + 2 = 2^* = 4$ is the Sobolev critical exponent, then we have to confront simultaneously the noncompactness problems caused by the unboundedness of the domain \mathbb{R}^N and the Sobolev critical growth. In this case, it is well-known that even the embedding of the radially symmetric space of \mathcal{H} into $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is not compact. For these reasons, we can prove the following results only for $N \leq 3$.

Theorem 1.3 If $1 \leq N \leq 3$ and $V(x)$ satisfies the condition (1.11) Then, for $p = p^*$, we have

- (i) $d_\beta(p^*) > 0$ and (1.2) has at least one minimizer if $0 < \beta \leq \beta_{p^*} \triangleq \frac{b}{2} \|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^{p^*}$.
- (ii) $d_\beta(p^*) = -\infty$ and (1.2) has no minimizers if $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$.

Based on the above existence results, our following theorem gives some asymptotic properties of the minimizers of (1.2) as $p \nearrow p^*$.

Theorem 1.4 For any given $\beta \in (0, \beta_{p^*})$ and $1 \leq N \leq 3$, let $V(x)$ satisfy (1.11) and let $u_p \in S_1$ be a nonnegative minimizer of problem (1.2) for each $p \in (0, p^*)$. Then, there is a subsequence of $\{u_p\}$, still denoted by $\{u_p\}$, such that, for some $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$d_\beta(p) \rightarrow d_\beta(p^*) \quad \text{and} \quad u_p \rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } \mathcal{H} \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

Moreover, $u_0 \in S_1$ is a minimizer of $d_\beta(p^*)$.

For any fixed $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$, we know that there exists a positive constant m (independent of p) such that $\frac{\beta}{\beta_{p^*}} > m > 1$. Then, it follows from $\beta_p \rightarrow \beta_{p^*}$ as $p \nearrow p^*$ that

$$\left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_{p^*} p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*. \quad (1.12)$$

Using the fact of (1.12), we have the following theorem, which describes the concentration behavior of minimizers for (1.2) as $p \nearrow p^*$.

Theorem 1.5 For any fixed $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$ and $1 \leq N \leq 3$, let $V(x)$ satisfy (1.11) and let u_p be a nonnegative minimizer of (1.2) for each $p \in (0, p^*)$. Then, for any sequence of $\{u_p\}$ with $p \nearrow p^*$, there exist $\{y_{\epsilon_p}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \epsilon_p^{\frac{N}{2}} u_p(\epsilon_p x + \epsilon_p y_{\epsilon_p}) = \frac{1}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}} \phi_{p^*}(|x - y_0|) \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where

$$\epsilon_p = \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_{p^*}} \right)^{-\frac{p^*}{4(p^* - p)}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, $\{y_{\epsilon_p}\}$ satisfies $\epsilon_p y_{\epsilon_p} \rightarrow z_0$ as $p \nearrow p^*$, and z_0 is a global minimal point of $V(x)$, i.e., $V(z_0) = 0$.

2 Existence and nonexistence for (1.2) with $V(x) \equiv 0$

In this section, we prove first Theorem 1.1, and then establish some energy estimates which are required in next section. Before going to the proofs, we recall the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [21]

$$\|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \leq \frac{p+2}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{Np}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{2+\frac{p}{2}(2-N)}, \quad N \geq 1, \quad 0 < p < 2^* - 2, \quad (2.1)$$

where ϕ_p is given in (1.6). Moreover, by (1.5) and the Pohozaev identity, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_p^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_p|^2 dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_p^2 dx = \frac{2}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi_p|^{p+2} dx. \quad (2.2)$$

When $V(x) \equiv 0$, for the sake of simplicity, we rewrite (1.2) as follows:

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = \inf_{u \in \tilde{S}_1} \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u), \quad (2.3)$$

where \tilde{E}_p^β is given by

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\tilde{S}_1 = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ is well defined and

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \beta > 0, \text{ and } \tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \leq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

In fact, for any $\beta > 0$ and $u \in \tilde{S}_1$, using (2.1) and the definition of (2.4) we see that

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u) \geq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Np}{4}}, \quad (2.6)$$

this implies that \tilde{E}_p^β is bounded from below on \tilde{S}_1 since $0 < p < \frac{8}{N}$ and $0 < \frac{Np}{4} < 2$, and (2.3) is well defined. By (2.6), it is obvious that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \geq 0$ for all $\beta \leq 0$. Moreover, taking $u \in \tilde{S}_1$ and letting $u_t(x) = t^{\frac{N}{2}} u(tx)$ ($t > 0$), then $u_t \in \tilde{S}_1$ and

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \leq \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_t) = \frac{at^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{bt^4}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta t^{\frac{Np}{2}}}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Hence, (2.5) is proved.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $1 \leq N \leq 4$ and $p \in (0, \frac{8}{N})$. Then, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0$ if and only if $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_p$, where $\tilde{\beta}_p$ is defined by (1.6). Moreover, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$ for all $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_p$ and $p \in (0, \frac{8}{N})$.*

Proof. We prove this lemma by three cases: Case 1. $p \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$; Case 2. $p = \frac{4}{N}$ and Case 3. $p \in (\frac{4}{N}, \frac{8}{N})$. The first two cases can be proved by similar way to that of Lemma 2.3 in [22]. But, for the third case, we have to use a new approach which allows us to get an explicit expression of $\tilde{\beta}_p$ and to include $N = 4$, these are impossible by following [22].

Case 1: $p \in (0, \frac{4}{N})$. In this case, $0 < \frac{Np}{2} < 2$ and $\tilde{\beta}_p = 0$ by (1.6), then (2.7) shows that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0$ for all $\beta > 0 = \tilde{\beta}_p$, and $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$ for all $\beta \leq 0 = \tilde{\beta}_p$ by (2.5).

Case 2: $p = \frac{4}{N}$. In this case, $\tilde{\beta}_p = \tilde{\beta}_{4/N}$ by (1.6), and we have two different situations.

• If $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_p$, then $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$ for each $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_p$. Indeed, let $u \in \tilde{S}_1$, it follows from (2.1) and (2.6) that

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u) \geq \frac{a(\tilde{\beta}_p - \beta)}{2\tilde{\beta}_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 > 0, \text{ note now that } Np/4 = 1 \text{ in (2.6),}$$

hence, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \geq 0$, and then $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$ since (2.5).

• If $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_p$, then $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0$. In fact, let ϕ_p be given by (2.1) and set

$$u_t = \frac{t^{\frac{N}{2}} \phi_p(tx)}{\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}}, \text{ for } t > 0, \quad (2.8)$$

then $u_t \in \tilde{S}_1$ and it follows from (2.2) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx = \frac{t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_p|^2 dx}{\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^2} = t^2, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_t^{p+2} dx = \frac{t^{\frac{Np}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi_p|^{p+2} dx}{\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^{p+2}} = \frac{(p+2)t^{\frac{Np}{2}}}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p}. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that, in this case, $p = \frac{4}{N}$ and $\frac{Np}{2} = 2$. Hence,

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_t) = \left(\frac{a}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{N}}} \right) t^2 + \frac{b}{4} t^4.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \leq \inf_{t>0} \tilde{E}_p^\beta = -\frac{a^2}{4b} \left(\frac{\beta}{\tilde{\beta}_p} - 1 \right)^2 < 0$ if $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_p$.

Case 3: $p \in (\frac{4}{N}, \frac{8}{N})$. Let u_t be given by (2.8), then $u_t \in \tilde{S}_1$ and (2.9) (2.10) hold, Therefore,

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_t) = \frac{a}{2} t^2 + \frac{b}{4} t^4 - \frac{\beta}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p} t^{\frac{Np}{2}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Note now that $\frac{4}{N} < p < \frac{8}{N}$ and $2 < \frac{Np}{2} < 4$. By Young inequality, we know that

$$f(t) \triangleq \frac{a}{2} t^2 + \frac{b}{4} t^4 \geq \left(\frac{at^2}{2p_1} \right)^{p_1} \left(\frac{bt^4}{4q_1} \right)^{q_1} = t^{2+2q_1} \left(\frac{a}{2p_1} \right)^{p_1} \left(\frac{b}{4q_1} \right)^{q_1},$$

where $p_1 + q_1 = 1$ and the equality holds if and only if $\frac{at^2}{2p_1} = \frac{bt^4}{4q_1}$. If we let $2q_1 = \frac{Np}{2} - 2$, then $2p_1 = 4 - \frac{Np}{2}$ and

$$f(t) \geq t^{\frac{Np}{2}} \left(\frac{2a}{8 - Np} \right)^{\frac{8 - Np}{4}} \left(\frac{b}{Np - 4} \right)^{\frac{Np - 4}{4}}. \quad (2.12)$$

So, in the case of $p \in (\frac{4}{N}, \frac{8}{N})$, we define

$$\tilde{\beta}_p = 2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p \left(\frac{2a}{8 - Np} \right)^{\frac{8 - Np}{4}} \left(\frac{b}{Np - 4} \right)^{\frac{Np - 4}{4}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Then, there are two different situations have to be considered.

- If $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_p$, we choose t_0 such that $\frac{at_0^2}{2p_1} = \frac{bt_0^4}{4q_1}$, then

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \leq \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_{t_0}) = \frac{a}{2}t_0^2 + \frac{b}{4}t_0^4 - \frac{\beta}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p}t_0^{\frac{Np}{2}} = \frac{t_0^{\frac{Np}{2}}}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p}(\tilde{\beta}_p - \beta) < 0.$$

- If $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_p$, it follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that, for any $u \in \tilde{S}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u) &= \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx \\ &\geq \frac{\tilde{\beta}_p - \beta}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Np}{4}} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \geq 0$. Using the fact of (2.5), we see that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$ for any $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_p$. So, the lemma is proved by combining all the above cases. \square

Lemma 2.2 *If $1 \leq N \leq 4$ and $p = p^* = \frac{8}{N}$. Then, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*) = 0$ for all $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$, and $\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*) = -\infty$ for all $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$, where $\tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$ is defined by (1.7).*

Proof: For $1 \leq N \leq 3$, this lemma can be proved similarly to that of [22, Lemma 2.4] where $N = 4$ is not allowed. In fact, for $1 \leq N \leq 3$, simply replacing p and ϕ_p in (2.8) by p^* and ϕ_{p^*} respectively, we still have (2.9)-(2.11), but we note now that $p = p^* = 8/N$ and $\frac{Np}{2} = 4$, then (2.11) becomes

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_t) = \frac{a}{2}t^2 + \left(\frac{b}{4} - \frac{\beta}{2\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p}\right)t^4, \text{ for any } t > 0,$$

using this fact and the definition of $\tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$ in (1.7), it is easy to see that the lemma is true for $1 \leq N \leq 3$. However, if $N = 4$, the power $p = p^* = 8/N = 2$ becomes the critical Sobolev exponent, in this case, although we still have unique solution ϕ_{p^*} (up to translations) for equation (1.5), but now $\phi_{p^*} \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and the above procedures for $1 \leq N \leq 3$ do not work anymore. So, when $N = 4$, we have to redefine $\tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$ as in (1.7). Then, for any $\beta \in (0, \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}]$ and $p^* = \frac{8}{N} = 2$, by using (1.8) we have

$$\tilde{E}_{p^*}^\beta(u) \geq \frac{(\tilde{\beta}_{p^*} - \beta)}{4S^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 \geq 0, \text{ for all } u \in S_1,$$

this together with (2.7) imply that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*) = 0$ for all $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$.

On the other hand, for any $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$, let

$$U(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1 + |x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

By [20, Theorem 1.42], we know that $U(x)$ is a minimizer for S and $U(x)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\nabla U(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |U(x)|^4 dx = S^2, \quad (2.14)$$

where S is defined by (1.8). Taking $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$ such that $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, $\eta(x) \equiv 0$ if $|x| \geq 2$, and $|\nabla \eta(x)| \leq C_0$. Letting

$$u_\tau(x) = A_\tau \tau^2 \eta(x) U(\tau x),$$

where A_τ is chosen so that $\|u_\tau\|_L^2 = 1$. Then, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_\tau(x)|^2 dx = A_\tau^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \eta^2\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right) U^2(x) dx = 1. \quad (2.15)$$

Since $U(x) \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \eta^2\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right) U^2(x) dx \geq \int_{|x| \leq \tau} U^2(x) dx \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \eta^2\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right) U^2(x) dx \leq \int_{|x| \leq 2\tau} U^2(x) dx \leq M \ln 2\tau \text{ as } \tau \text{ large enough.} \quad (2.17)$$

By (2.15)-(2.17), we know that

$$A_\tau^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad A_\tau^2 \ln 2\tau \geq \frac{1}{M} \text{ as } \tau \text{ large enough.} \quad (2.18)$$

Using (2.14) and (2.18), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} u_\tau^4(x) dx = A_\tau^4 \tau^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \eta^4\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right) U^4(x) dx \geq A_\tau^4 \tau^4 \int_{|x| \leq \tau} U^4(x) dx, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\nabla u_\tau(x)|^2 dx = A_\tau^2 \tau^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\nabla \eta(x) U(\tau x) + \tau \eta(x) \nabla U(\tau x)|^2 dx \leq A_\tau^2 \tau^2 S^2 + O(A_\tau^2 \tau). \quad (2.20)$$

Since $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$, it follows from (2.14) and (2.18)-(2.20) that

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*) \leq \tilde{E}_{p^*}^\beta(u_\tau) \leq \frac{a}{2} A_\tau^2 \tau^2 S^2 + \frac{A_\tau^4 \tau^4}{4} \left(bS^4 - \beta \int_{|x| \leq \tau} U^4(x) dx \right) + O(A_\tau^4 \tau^3). \quad (2.21)$$

Then, by (2.14) and (2.18), we have

$$A_\tau^2 \tau = A_\tau^2 \ln 2\tau \frac{\tau}{\ln 2\tau} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad bS^4 - \beta \int_{|x| \leq \tau} U^4(x) dx \rightarrow S^2(bS^2 - \beta) < 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.22)$$

Hence, by (2.21), (2.22) and let $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$, we see that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*) = -\infty$ for all $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($N \geq 1$), then there exists a nonnegative, non-increasing function $u^* \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^*|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx$$

for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^*|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Proof. The proof of the lemma can be found in [2, appendix A.III]. \square

Lemma 2.4 [4, Proposition 1.7.1] *Let $\{u_n\} \subset H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded sequence. If $N \geq 2$ or if $u_n(x)$ is a non-increasing function of $|x|$ for every $n \geq 0$, then there exist a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 0}$ and $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ if $N \geq 3$, or $q \in (2, +\infty)$ if $N = 1, 2$.*

Lemma 2.5 *If $V(x) \equiv 0$, $p \in (0, \frac{8}{N})$, $1 \leq N \leq 4$ and $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0$. Then, (1.2) has at least a nonnegative minimizer.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset \tilde{S}_1$ be a minimizing sequence of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, then it is easy to know that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by using (2.6) and $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0$. By Lemma 2.3, we know that there exists $\{u_n^*\} \subset H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which are nonnegative, non-increasing function and $\{u_n^*\} \subset \tilde{S}_1$ is also a minimizing sequence for $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$. Moreover, $\{u_n^*\}$ is still bounded in $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Lemma 2.4, there exist a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n^*\}$, and some $u_0 \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$u_n^* \xrightarrow{r} u_0 \text{ weakly in } H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ and } u_n^* \xrightarrow{r} u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (2.23)$$

for $q \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ if $N \geq 3$, or $q \in (2, +\infty)$ if $N = 1, 2$.

We claim that $u_0 \not\equiv 0$. Otherwise, (2.23) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^*|^{p+2} dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.24)$$

Then, by the definition of (2.4) we know that

$$0 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{n_k}^*|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{n_k}^*|^2 dx \right)^2 \right] = \tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence, $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ and

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_0) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_n^*) = \tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0. \quad (2.25)$$

Let $\gamma = \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2$, then $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and $u_\gamma(x) := u_0(\gamma^{\frac{1}{N}}x) \in \tilde{S}_1$. Hence, it follows from (2.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_\beta(p) &\leq \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_\gamma) = \frac{a\gamma^{\frac{2}{N}-1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \frac{b\gamma^{\frac{4}{N}-2}}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{\gamma(p+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{p+2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{a\gamma^{\frac{2}{N}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \frac{b\gamma^{\frac{4}{N}-1}}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{p+2} dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_0) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \tilde{d}_\beta(p), \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

this implies that $\frac{1}{\gamma} \leq 1$ since $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) < 0$. Then, $\gamma \geq 1$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = 1$. Hence, (2.25) implies that u_0 is a minimizer of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$. Moreover, by the definition of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, we know that $|u_0|$ is also a minimizer, so we may assume that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ has a nonnegative minimizer. \square

Now, we are ready to prove our Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 : (i) When $p \in (0, 8/N)$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$ for all $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_p$, which then shows that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ has no any minimizer for all $\beta < \tilde{\beta}_p$. Otherwise, if there exists $\beta < \tilde{\beta}_p$ such that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ has a minimizer $u \in S_1$, that is, $\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u) = \tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$, and then, by $\beta < \tilde{\beta}_p$ and the definition of (2.3) we see that

$$0 = \tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}^-(p) \leq \tilde{E}_p^{\tilde{\beta}_p}(u) < \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u) = 0,$$

which is impossible. Particularly, if $p \in (0, 4/N]$, we claim that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ has no minimizer even for $\beta = \tilde{\beta}_p$. In fact, by the definition (1.6) we know that $\tilde{\beta}_p = 0$ for $p \in (0, 4/N)$ and $\tilde{\beta}_p > 0$ for $p = 4/N$. If $\beta = \tilde{\beta}_p = 0$ and there is a minimizer u_0 for $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, we then have $u_0 \equiv 0$ by using (2.6) and the fact that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_0) = 0$, which leads to a contradiction since $u_0 \in \tilde{S}_1$. On the other hand, for $p = \frac{4}{N}$, we know also that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$ for $\beta = \tilde{\beta}_p$, if there exists $u_0 \in \tilde{S}_1$ such that $\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_0) = \tilde{d}_\beta(p) = 0$. Then, using (2.1) and the value of $\tilde{\beta}_p$ for $p = \frac{4}{N}$ in (1.6), we have

$$\frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^2 = \frac{\beta}{2 + \frac{4}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} dx \leq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx,$$

this implies that $u_0 = 0$, which contradicts $u_0 \in \tilde{S}_1$.

Now, we come to prove the existence. When $p \in (0, \frac{8}{N})$ and $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_p$, as a straightforward consequent of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5 we know that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ has a nonnegative minimizer. When $\beta = \tilde{\beta}_p$, we know that $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}^-(p) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. In what follows, we show that, if $p \in (\frac{4}{N}, \frac{8}{N})$, $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}^-(p) = 0$ has also a minimizer.

Let $\beta_n = \tilde{\beta}_p + \frac{1}{n}$ with $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then, for each β_n , Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5 tell us that there exists $u_n \in \tilde{S}_1$ such that

$$\tilde{d}_{\beta_n}^-(p) = \tilde{E}_p^{\beta_n}(u_n) < 0, \quad (2.27)$$

and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 that there exists $u_n^* \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \tilde{S}_1$ which is also a minimizer of $\tilde{d}_{\beta_n}(p)$ and $\{u_n^*\} \subset H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is bounded. Hence, there is $u_0 \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$u_n^* \xrightarrow{n} u_0 \text{ weakly in } H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ and } u_n^* \xrightarrow{n} u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (2.28)$$

for $q \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ if $N \geq 3$, or $q \in (2, +\infty)$ if $N = 1, 2$. Since $\beta_n = \tilde{\beta}_p + \frac{1}{n}$, by the definition of $\tilde{E}_p^{\beta_n}(u_n^*)$ and (2.27) we have

$$\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p) - \frac{1}{n(p+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^*|^{p+2} dx \leq \tilde{E}_p^{\beta_n}(u_n^*) = \tilde{d}_{\beta_n}(p) < 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Note that $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1, we then follows from (2.29) that

$$\tilde{d}_{\beta_n}(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.30)$$

For u_0 given in (2.28), we claim that $u_0 \neq 0$. Otherwise, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^*|^{p+2} dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then by (2.30), we have $\frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^*|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^*|^2 dx \right)^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n^*|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, using (2.6) for u_n^* and β_n , by $1 < Np/4 < 2$ we know that $\tilde{d}_{\beta_n}(p) = \tilde{E}_p^{\beta_n}(u_n^*) > 0$ for n large enough, this however contradicts (2.29). So, $u_0 \neq 0$. Moreover, it follows from (2.28) that $0 < \|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq 1$ and

$$\tilde{E}_p^{\tilde{\beta}_p}(u_0) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{E}_p^{\beta_n}(u_n^*) = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

Let $\gamma = \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2$, then $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and $u_\gamma(x) := u_0(\gamma^{\frac{1}{N}}x) \in \tilde{S}_1$. If $\gamma \neq 1$, i.e., $0 < \gamma < 1$, similar to the derivation of (2.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p) &\leq \tilde{E}_p^{\tilde{\beta}_p}(u_\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{a\gamma^{\frac{2}{N}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \frac{b\gamma^{\frac{4}{N}-1}}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\tilde{\beta}_p}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{p+2} dx \right] \\ &< \frac{1}{\gamma} \tilde{E}_p^{\tilde{\beta}_p}(u_0) \leq 0, \text{ by } \gamma < 1 \text{ and (2.31),} \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p) < 0$. However, $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. So, $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \gamma = 1$ and then, using (2.31), we know that u_0 is a minimizer of $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p) (= 0)$.

If u is a minimizer of $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p)$, it is well-known that there is a lagrange multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = \beta |u|^p u + \lambda u.$$

Since $\|u\|_{L^2} = 1$, multiplying u both sides in the above equation and integrating, we have

$$\lambda = a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx. \quad (2.32)$$

Moreover, u satisfies the following Pohozaev identity [18]

$$\frac{a(N-2)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b(N-2)}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta N}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx = \frac{N}{2} \lambda,$$

which together with (2.32) imply that

$$\lambda = \frac{(N-2)\beta p - 4\beta}{2(p+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx < 0.$$

This shows that, for some $\lambda < 0$, (1.1) has a nonnegative solution $u \geq 0$ with $\|u\|_{L^2} = 1$ and we know also that $u > 0$ by the strong maximum principle.

(ii) For $p = \frac{8}{N}$, by Lemma 2.2 it is clear that $\tilde{d}_{\tilde{\beta}_p}(p^*)$ has no minimizer for any $\beta > \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$. Suppose that

there exists some $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$ such that $\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*)$ has a minimizer $u_0 \in \tilde{S}_1$, then by $\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*) = 0$ (Lemma 2.2) and (1.8) if $N = 4$, or (2.1) if $N \leq 3$, we have

$$\frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^2 = \frac{\beta}{2 + \frac{8}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{2 + \frac{8}{N}} dx \leq \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^2,$$

that is, $u_0 \equiv 0$, which is impossible. Hence, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p^*)$ has no minimizer for all $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}_{p^*}$, either. \square

In the end of this section, we give some estimates on $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, which are required in section 3.

Lemma 2.6 *For $p \in (0, p^*)$ and β_p in (1.10), let $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ be defined in (2.3). Then, for any fixed $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$ and $1 \leq N \leq 3$, we have*

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p) = -\frac{b(p^* - p)}{4p} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{d}_\beta(p) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

Proof. Let $u \in \tilde{S}_1$, then, it follows from (1.10) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u) &= \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx \\ &\geq \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{2 \|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Np}{4}} \\ &= \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{b\beta}{4\beta_p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Np}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Denoting

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 = r \quad \text{and} \quad h(r) \triangleq \frac{b}{4} r - \frac{b\beta}{4\beta_p} r^{\frac{p}{p^*}} (r > 0), \quad (2.34)$$

by simple computation, we know that the function h has a unique minimum at $r := r_p$ with

$$r_p \triangleq \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}}. \quad (2.35)$$

That is,

$$h(r) \geq h(r_p) = -\frac{b(p^* - p)}{4p} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}}. \quad (2.36)$$

Then, (2.33) and (2.36) shows that

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \geq -\frac{b(p^* - p)}{4p} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}},$$

and we have a lower bound for $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$. Now, we come to estimate the upper bound for $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$.

Let $u_t = \frac{t^{\frac{N}{2}} \phi_p(tx)}{\|\phi_p\|_{L^2}}$ for $t > 0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_t^2 dx = 1$. Similar to (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_t) = \frac{a}{2} t^2 + \frac{b}{4} t^4 - \frac{b\beta}{4\beta_p} (t^4)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}.$$

Taking $t^4 = \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}}$, then

$$\tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_t) = \frac{a}{2} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{2(p^* - p)}} - \frac{b(p^* - p)}{4p} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}}. \quad (2.37)$$

By (1.12), we know that

$$\frac{\frac{a}{2} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{2(p^*-p)}}}{\frac{b(p^*-p)}{4p} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

Then, using (2.37) we see that

$$\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \leq \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_t) = -\frac{b(p^*-p)}{4p} \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} (1 + o(1)),$$

So, we finish the proof of the lemma. \square

3 Case of $V(x) \not\equiv 0$

In this section, we come to prove Theorems 1.2-1.5. For this purpose, we first recall an embedding theorem which can be found in [19, Theorem XIII.67] or [3, Theorem 2.1], etc.

Lemma 3.1 *For any $N \geq 1$, let $V(x)$ satisfy the condition (1.11), then the embedding from \mathcal{H} into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($2 \leq q < 2^*$) is compact. \square*

Proof of Theorem 1.2 : For any fixed $\beta > 0$, $0 < p < p^*$ and $u \in S_1$, it follows from (1.10) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} E_p^\beta(u) &= \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+2} dx \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{2 \|\phi_p\|_{L^2}^p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Np}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Since $0 < \frac{Np}{4} < 2$, using (3.1) it is easy to see that $d_\beta(p) > -\infty$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence of $d_\beta(p)$, then it is not difficult to know that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in \mathcal{H} . Then, by Lemma 3.1, for some $u \in S_1$, we may assume that, passing to a subsequence if necessary,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{H}, \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ with } q \in [2, 2^*)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right)^2.$$

Hence

$$d_\beta(p) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_p^\beta(u_n) \geq E_p^\beta(u) \geq d_\beta(p).$$

Therefore, u is a minimizer of $d_\beta(p)$ for all $\beta > 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 : (i) Taking $p = p^* = 8/N$ and $u \in S_1$ in (3.1), by the definition of β_{p^*} and (2.1), it is easy to see that there exists some constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p^*}^\beta(u) &\geq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx + \frac{\beta_{p^*} - \beta}{2 \|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^{p^*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx \geq c \|u\|_{L^2}^2 = c > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

since $\beta \in (0, \beta_{p^*}]$ and the embedding lemma 3.1. This shows that $d_\beta(p^*) > 0$. Now, we come to prove that $d_\beta(p^*)$ can be attained for all $\beta \in (0, \beta_{p^*}]$. In fact, let $\{u_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for $d_\beta(p^*)$, then, by (3.2) we know that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in \mathcal{H} and $\{u_n\}$ converges weakly in \mathcal{H} to some $u \in S_1$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Therefore,

$$d_\beta(p^*) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{p^*}^\beta(u_n) \geq E_{p^*}^\beta(u) \geq d_\beta(p^*),$$

That is, u is a minimizer of $d_\beta(p^*)$ for all $\beta \in (0, \beta_{p^*}]$.

(ii) Let $\varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ such that $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ if $|x| \geq 2$, and $|\nabla\varphi(x)| \leq 2$. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we set

$$u_\tau = \frac{A_\tau \tau^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}} \varphi(x - x_0) \phi_{p^*}(\tau(x - x_0)),$$

where $A_\tau > 0$ is chosen so that $\|u_\tau\|_{L^2} = 1$. By the exponential decay of ϕ_{p^*} (see, e.g. [10]), we know that

$$\frac{1}{A_\tau^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\varphi^2\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right) - 1 \right) \phi_{p^*}^2(x) dx \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, $A_\tau \geq 1$ and $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} A_\tau = 1$. Moreover, it follows from (1.10) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\tau|^2 dx &= \frac{A_\tau^2 \tau^N}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \varphi(x - x_0) \phi_{p^*}(\tau(x - x_0)) + \tau \varphi(x - x_0) \nabla \phi_{p^*}(\tau(x - x_0))|^2 dx \\ &= A_\tau^2 \tau^2 + O(\tau^{-\infty}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\tau|^{p^*+2} dx &= \frac{A_\tau^{p^*+2} \tau^{N+4}}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^{p^*+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^{p^*+2}(x - x_0) \phi_{p^*}^{p^*+2}(\tau(x - x_0)) dx \\ &= \frac{b A_\tau^{p^*+2} \tau^4 (p^* + 2)}{4 \beta_{p^*}} + O(\tau^{-\infty}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_\tau^2 dx &= \frac{A_\tau^2 \tau^N}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \varphi^2(x - x_0) \phi_{p^*}^2(\tau(x - x_0)) dx \\ &= \frac{A_\tau^2}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V\left(\frac{x}{\tau} + x_0\right) \varphi^2\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right) \phi_{p^*}^2(x) dx. \\ &= V(x_0) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where, and in what follows, the notation $O(\tau^{-\infty})$ means that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} |O(\tau^{-\infty}) \tau^s| = 0$ for any $s > 0$.

Since $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$ and $A_\tau \geq 1$, it follows from (3.3)–(3.5) that

$$d_\beta(p^*) \leq E_{p^*}^\beta(u_\tau) = \frac{a}{2} A_\tau^2 \tau^2 + \frac{b}{4} \tau^4 \left(A_\tau^4 - \frac{\beta}{\beta_{p^*}} A_\tau^{p^*+2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} V(x_0) + o(1) + O(\tau^{-\infty}) \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that $d_\beta(p^*) = -\infty$ when $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$. So, there is no minimizer for $d_\beta(p^*)$ if $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4 : Let $u_p \geq 0$ be a minimizer of (1.2), it follows from (1.10) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_p^2 dx &= d_\beta(p) + \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_p|^{p+2} dx \\ &\leq d_\beta(p) + \frac{b\beta}{4\beta_p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Np}{4}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

However, since $\beta > 0$, by the definitions of $d_\beta(p)$ and E_p^β it is clear that, for any $\xi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap S_1$, we have

$$d_\beta(p) \leq E_p^\beta(\xi) \leq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \xi^2 dx := C \quad (\text{independent of } p).$$

Then,

$$\frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \right)^2 \leq C + \frac{b\beta}{4\beta_p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{Np}{4}}. \quad (3.7)$$

This implies that, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{p \nearrow p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \leq M. \quad (3.8)$$

Otherwise, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \nearrow p^*$, by noting that $2 = \frac{Np^*}{4} > \frac{Np}{4}$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta_{p^*})$, then, for p close to p^* , it follows from (3.7) that,

$$\frac{b}{4} \leq \lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{N}{4}(p^*-p)} \leq \frac{b\beta}{4\beta_{p^*}} < \frac{b}{4},$$

this leads to a contradiction. Hence, (3.8) holds and using again (3.6) we know that $\{u_p\}$ is bounded in \mathcal{H} . So, for some $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, we may assume that

$$u_p \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{H}, \text{ and } u_p \rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ with } q \in [2, 2^*),$$

as $p \nearrow p^*$. By Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_p|^{p+2} dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p^*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_p|^{p^*+2} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}.$$

Note that u_p is a minimizer of (1.2) and $p^* + 2 < 2^*$ since $N < 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} d_\beta(p) &= \liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_p^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_p|^{p+2} dx \right\} \\ &\geq \liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_p^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_p|^{p^*+2} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_0^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{p^*+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{p^*+2} dx \\ &= E_{p^*}^\beta(u_0) \geq d_\beta(p^*). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

But, by the definition of d_β we know that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $u_\epsilon \in S_1$ such that

$$E_{p^*}^\beta(u_\epsilon) \leq d_\beta(p^*) + \epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{p \nearrow p^*} d_\beta(p) &\leq \limsup_{p \nearrow p^*} E_p^\beta(u_\epsilon) \\ &= \limsup_{p \nearrow p^*} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_\epsilon^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{p+2} dx \right\} \\ &= E_{p^*}^\beta(u_\epsilon) + \limsup_{p \nearrow p^*} \left\{ \frac{\beta}{p^*+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{p^*+2} dx - \frac{\beta}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{p+2} dx \right\} \\ &\leq d_\beta(p^*) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So, combining (3.9) and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{p \nearrow p^*} d_\beta(p) = d_\beta(p^*) = E_{p^*}^\beta(u_0).$$

This shows that u_0 is a minimizer of $d_\beta(p^*)$. Moreover, $u_p \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in \mathcal{H} as $p \nearrow p^*$. We finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Before going to prove the Theorem 1.5, we need to establish some energy estimates on the minimizers of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$. By Lemma 2.5 and 2.6, we know that for any given $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$, $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$ has a minimizer when p approaches p^* . Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *For $1 \leq N \leq 3$, let $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$ be given and let $\tilde{u}_p \geq 0$ be a minimizer of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$. Then*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2 \approx \frac{4\beta}{b(p+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx \approx \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} \triangleq r_p, \quad (3.10)$$

where, and in what follows, we always use $m \approx n$ to denote that $\frac{m}{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $p \nearrow p^*$.

Proof. The same as (2.34), we let $h(r) \triangleq \frac{b}{4}r - \frac{b\beta}{4\beta_p} r^{\frac{p}{p^*}}$.

We prove the lemma by contradiction. If there is a subsequence of $p \nearrow p^*$ such that, for some $\theta \geq 0$,

$$\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2}{r_p} \rightarrow \theta, \text{ as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

We claim that there always a contradiction either $\theta \in [0, 1)$, or $\theta > 1$.

In fact, if $\theta \in [0, 1)$, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\delta \triangleq \theta + \epsilon < 1$ and $\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2}{r_p} \leq \delta$ as $p \nearrow p^*$. Hence,

$$\lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \frac{h(\delta r_p)}{h(r_p)} = \lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \frac{\delta r_p - \frac{\beta}{\beta_p} (\delta r_p)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}}{r_p - \frac{\beta}{\beta_p} (r_p)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} = \lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \frac{p^* \delta^{\frac{p}{p^*}} - p\delta}{p^* - p} = \delta(1 - \ln \delta) \in (0, 1), \text{ for all } \delta \in (0, 1).$$

For p close to p^* , since $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2 \leq \delta r_p$ and $h(r)$ has a unique minimum point at r_p , these properties of the function h imply that $0 > \tilde{d}_\beta(p) \geq h(\delta r_p)$. Then

$$\lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \frac{\tilde{d}_\beta(p)}{h(r_p)} \leq \lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \frac{h(\delta r_p)}{h(r_p)} = \delta(1 - \ln \delta) < 1,$$

this contradicts Lemma 2.6.

Similarly, if $\theta > 1$, we have also a contradiction.

Thus, $\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2}{r_p} \rightarrow 1$ as $p \nearrow p^*$. Moreover, by (1.12), $r_p \rightarrow +\infty$ as $p \nearrow p^*$, we then have

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx}{r_p} = \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2}{r_p} \cdot \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

Finally, since

$$\frac{\tilde{d}_\beta(p)}{r_p} = \frac{a}{2r_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4r_p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{(p+2)r_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx,$$

it follows from Lemma 2.6 and $p \nearrow p^*$ that

$$\lim_{p \nearrow p^*} \frac{\beta}{(p+2)r_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx = \frac{b}{4},$$

that is, $\frac{4\beta}{b(p+2)r_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx \rightarrow 1$ as $p \nearrow p^*$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.3 *For $1 \leq N \leq 3$, let \tilde{u}_p be a nonnegative minimizer of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, set*

$$\epsilon_p = r_p^{-\frac{1}{4}} \text{ with } r_p = \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*} \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} \text{ as in (3.10), and } \tilde{w}_p(x) = \epsilon_p^{\frac{N}{2}} \tilde{u}_p(\epsilon_p x), \quad (3.11)$$

then, there exist $w_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a sequence $\{\tilde{y}_{\epsilon_p}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$w_p(x) = \tilde{w}_p(x + \tilde{y}_{\epsilon_p}) \rightarrow w_0 \text{ strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } p \nearrow p^*,$$

and

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}} \phi_{p^*}(|x - x_0|) \text{ for some } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{w}_p^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}_p^2 dx = 1, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{w}_p|^2 dx \right)^2 = \epsilon_p^4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2 \approx r_p^{-1} \cdot r_p = 1 \text{ as } p \rightarrow p^*. \quad (3.13)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{w}_p|^{p+2} dx = \epsilon_p^{\frac{Np}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx \approx r_p^{-\frac{p}{p^*}} \cdot \frac{b(p+2)}{4\beta} r_p \approx \frac{b(p^*+2)}{4\beta_{p^*}} \text{ as } p \rightarrow p^*. \quad (3.14)$$

By \tilde{u}_p is a minimizer of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, we know that there exists $\lambda_p \in \mathbb{R}$ (Lagrange multiplier) such that

$$- \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right) \Delta \tilde{u}_p = \beta |\tilde{u}_p|^p \tilde{u}_p + \lambda_p \tilde{u}_p. \quad (3.15)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_p &= a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \right)^2 - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx \\ &= 4\tilde{d}_\beta(p) - a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx - \frac{\beta(p-2)}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.6, 3.2 and (3.11), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_p \epsilon_p^4 &= 4\tilde{d}_\beta(p) \cdot r_p^{-1} - a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx \cdot r_p^{-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{b(p-2)}{4} \cdot \frac{4\beta}{b(p+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_p|^{p+2} dx \cdot r_p^{-1} \rightarrow -\frac{b(4-N)}{2N} \text{ as } p \nearrow p^*. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Since (3.14), by the concentration-compactness principle we know that there is a sequence $\{\tilde{y}_{\epsilon_p}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, and $R, \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} \int_{B_R(\tilde{y}_{\epsilon_p})} |\tilde{w}_p|^2 dx \geq \gamma > 0.$$

Let

$$w_p(x) = \epsilon_p^{\frac{N}{2}} \tilde{u}_p(\epsilon_p x + \epsilon_p \tilde{y}_{\epsilon_p}) = \tilde{w}_p(x + \tilde{y}_{\epsilon_p}), \quad (3.17)$$

then

$$\liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} \int_{B_R(0)} |w_p|^2 dx \geq \gamma > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

By \tilde{u}_p satisfying (3.15), we then know that $w_p(x)$ satisfies

$$-a\epsilon_p^2 \Delta w_p - b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_p|^2 dx \cdot \Delta w_p = \lambda_p \epsilon_p^4 w_p + \beta \epsilon_p^{(4-\frac{Np}{2})} w_p^{p+1}. \quad (3.19)$$

Using (2.35) and (3.11), we see that

$$\beta \epsilon_p^{(4-\frac{Np}{2})} = \beta \epsilon_p^{\frac{N}{2}(p^*-p)} = \beta \left(r_p^{\frac{p^*-2}{p^*}} \right)^{-1} = \frac{\beta_p p^*}{p} \rightarrow \beta_{p^*} \text{ as } p \nearrow p^*. \quad (3.20)$$

For any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows from (1.12), (2.35) and Hölder inequality that

$$\left| \epsilon_p^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla w_p \nabla \eta dx \right| \leq C \epsilon_p^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_p|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C r_p^{-\frac{3}{4}} r_p^{\frac{1}{4}} (1 + o(1)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

By (3.12), (3.13) and (3.17), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_p^2 dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_p|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{w}_p|^2 dx \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*. \quad (3.21)$$

Hence, $\{w_p\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we may assume that

$$w_p \rightharpoonup w_0 \geq 0 \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^* \quad (3.22)$$

for some $w_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $w_0 \not\equiv 0$ by (3.18). Moreover, it follows from (3.16), (3.19)-(3.22) that w_0 satisfies

$$-b\Delta w_0 = -\frac{b(4-N)}{2N} w_0 + \beta_{p^*} w_0^{p^*+1}. \quad (3.23)$$

Combining (3.23) and the Pohozaev identity, we have

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_0|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0^2 dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_0|^{p^*+2} dx = \frac{b(4+N)}{2N\beta_{p^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0^2 dx. \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

Then, it follows from (2.1) and (3.24) that

$$\frac{2N\beta_{p^*}}{b(4+N)} \leq \frac{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_0|^2 dx)^2 (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0^2 dx)^{\frac{4-N}{N}}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_0|^{p^*+2} dx} = \frac{2N\beta_{p^*}}{b(4+N)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0^2 dx \right)^{\frac{4}{N}}, \quad (3.25)$$

this shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0^2 dx \geq 1,$$

which together with (3.21) and (3.22), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0^2 dx = 1. \quad (3.26)$$

Hence,

$$w_p \rightarrow w_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

and it follows from (3.21) and (3.24) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_p|^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_0|^2 dx \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

So, $w_p \rightarrow w_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $p \nearrow p^*$.

Furthermore, (3.22) and the strong maximum principle imply that $w_0 > 0$. Note that w_0 is a positive solution of (3.43) and also of (1.5) (up to a rescaling), then the uniqueness of positive solution of (1.5) implies that

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}} \phi_{p^*}(|x - x_0|), \quad \text{for some } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.27)$$

□

Now, we turn to showing the decay property for w_p defined by (3.17). By Lemma 3.3, we see that if \tilde{u}_p is a nonnegative minimizer of $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, then there exist a subsequence $\{p_k\}$ with $p_k \nearrow p^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and a positive function w_0 such that

$$w_{p_k} \rightarrow w_0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.28)$$

Hence, for any $\alpha \in [2, 2^*)$,

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} |w_{p_k}|^\alpha dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly for large } k. \quad (3.29)$$

By (3.16), (3.19) and (3.20), we know that

$$-\Delta w_{p_k} - c(x)w_{p_k} \leq 0, \quad \text{for large } k,$$

where $c(x) = \frac{2\beta_{p^*}}{b} w_p^p$. By applying De-Giorgi-Nash-Morse theory (similar to the proof of [12, Theorem 4.1]), we deduce that

$$\max_{B_1(\xi)} w_{p_k} \leq C \left(\int_{B_2(\xi)} |w_{p_k}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.30)$$

where ξ is an arbitrary point in \mathbb{R}^N , and C is a constant depending only on the bound of $\|w_{p_k}\|_{L^3(B_2(\xi))}$. Hence,

$$w_{p_k}(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{uniformly for large } k. \quad (3.31)$$

Note from (3.16), (3.19), (3.20) and (3.31) that there exists a $R > 0$, independent of k , such that w_{p_k} satisfies

$$-\Delta w_{p_k}(x) + \frac{4-N}{4N} w_{p_k}(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{uniformly for large } k \text{ and } |x| > R. \quad (3.32)$$

Applying the comparison principle [16] to compare w_{p_k} with $Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}|x|}$, we then know that there exists $C > 0$, independent of k , such that

$$w_{p_k}(x) \leq Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly for large } k \text{ and } |x| > R. \quad (3.33)$$

Lemma 3.4 *If $1 \leq N \leq 3$ and $V(x)$ satisfies (1.11), let $\beta > \beta_{p^*}$ and u_p be a minimizer of (1.2). Then*

$$0 \leq d_\beta(p) - \tilde{d}_\beta(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*, \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_p^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*. \quad (3.35)$$

Proof. By the definition of $d_\beta(p)$ and $\tilde{d}_\beta(p)$, it is easy to see that

$$d_\beta(p) - \tilde{d}_\beta(p) \geq 0.$$

Now we come to get an upper bound for $d_\beta(p) - \tilde{d}_\beta(p)$. Let $\xi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $0 \leq \xi(x) \leq 1$ such that $\xi(x) \equiv 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, $\xi(x) \equiv 0$ if $|x| \geq 2$, and $|\nabla \xi(x)| \leq C_0$. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we take

$$\bar{u}_p(x) = A_p \xi(x - x_0) \epsilon_p^{-\frac{N}{2}} w_p \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon_p} \right) = A_p \xi(x - x_0) \tilde{u}_p(x - x_0 + \epsilon_p \tilde{y}_{\epsilon_p}),$$

where $A_p > 0$ is chosen so that $\|\bar{u}_p\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$ and w_p is given by (3.17). Then, it follows from (3.21) that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_p|^2 dx = 1$ and

$$1 \leq A_p^2 = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_p|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi^2(\epsilon_p x) w_p^2(x) dx} \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_p|^2 dx}{\int_{|\epsilon_p x| \leq 1} \xi^2(\epsilon_p x) w_p^2(x) dx}.$$

By (1.12) and (3.33), we see that

$$0 \leq A_p^2 - 1 \leq \frac{\int_{|\epsilon_p x| \geq 1} |w_p|^2 dx}{\int_{|\epsilon_p x| \leq 1} \xi^2(\epsilon_p x) w_p^2(x) dx} \leq Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}} \epsilon_p^{-1}} \quad (3.36)$$

as $p \nearrow p^*$, and

$$1 \leq A_p^{p+2} \leq \left(1 + Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}\epsilon_p^{-1}}\right)^{\frac{p+2}{2}} \leq 1 + 6Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}\epsilon_p^{-1}}. \quad (3.37)$$

By (3.36),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\bar{u}_p^2 dx = A_p^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_p x + x_0)\xi^2(\epsilon_p x)w_p^2(x)dx \rightarrow V(x_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0^2 dx = V(x_0) \quad (3.38)$$

as $p \nearrow p^*$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\bar{u}_p|^{p+2} &= \epsilon_p^{-\frac{NF}{2}} A_p^{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi^{p+2}(\epsilon_p x)w_p^{p+2}(x)dx \\ &= (A_p^{p+2} - 1)\epsilon_p^{-\frac{NF}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi^{p+2}(\epsilon_p x)w_p^{p+2}(x)dx \\ &\quad + \epsilon_p^{-\frac{NF}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\xi^{p+2}(\epsilon_p x) - 1)w_p^{p+2}(x)dx + \epsilon_p^{-\frac{NF}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_p^{p+2}(x)dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}_p^{p+2}(x)dx + Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}\epsilon_p^{-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

as $p \nearrow p^*$. Similarly, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \bar{u}_p|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx + Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}\epsilon_p^{-1}} \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \bar{u}_p|^2 dx\right)^2 \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_p|^2 dx\right)^2 + Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}\epsilon_p^{-1}} \quad (3.41)$$

as $p \nearrow p^*$. Taking $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $V(x_0) = 0$, then we deduce from (3.38)-(3.41) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq d_\beta(p) - \tilde{d}_\beta(p) &\leq E_p^\beta(\bar{u}_p) - \tilde{E}_p^\beta(\tilde{u}_p) \\ &= \tilde{E}_p^\beta(\bar{u}_p) - \tilde{E}_p^\beta(\tilde{u}_p) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\bar{u}_p^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}V(x_0) + Ce^{-\sqrt{\frac{4-N}{4N}}\epsilon_p^{-1}} + o(1) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $p \nearrow p^*$. Furthermore, if u_p is a minimizer of $d_\beta(p)$, we should have

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u_p^2 dx = d_\beta(p) - \tilde{E}_p^\beta(u_p(x)) \leq d_\beta(p) - \tilde{d}_\beta(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5 : Let $u_p \geq 0$ be a minimizer of $d_\beta(p)$. Using (3.34) and (3.35), similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have also that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_p|^2 dx\right)^2 \approx \frac{4\beta}{b(p+2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_p|^{p+2} dx \approx \left(\frac{\beta p}{\beta_p p^*}\right)^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} = r_p \quad (3.42)$$

Similar to (3.18), there exist $\{\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, and $R, \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} \int_{B_R(0)} |\bar{w}_p|^2 dx \geq \gamma > 0, \quad (3.43)$$

where

$$\bar{w}_p(x) = \epsilon_p^{\frac{N}{2}} u_p(\epsilon_p x + \epsilon_p \bar{y}_{\epsilon_p}), \quad \text{where } \epsilon_p \text{ is given by (3.11).}$$

Since u_p is a minimizer of $d_\beta(p)$, similar to (3.19), there exists $\bar{\lambda}_p$ such that

$$-a\epsilon_p^2\Delta\bar{w}_p - b\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla\bar{w}_p|^2dx \cdot \Delta\bar{w}_p + \epsilon_p^4V(\epsilon_px + \epsilon_p\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p})\bar{w}_p = \bar{\lambda}_p\epsilon_p^4\bar{w}_p + \beta\epsilon_p^{(4-\frac{Np}{2})}\bar{w}_p^{p+1}. \quad (3.44)$$

We claim that $\{\epsilon_p\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p}\}$ is bounded uniformly in $p \nearrow p^*$. Otherwise, we may assume that, there is a subsequence $p_n \nearrow p^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\epsilon_{p_n}|\bar{y}_{\epsilon_{p_n}}| \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \epsilon_{p_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since (3.35), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u_p^2(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(\epsilon_px + \epsilon_p\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p})\bar{w}_p^2(x)dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \nearrow p^*. \quad (3.45)$$

By (1.11), there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that $V(x) \geq C_0$ for $|x|$ being large enough. We then derive from (3.43) and Fatou's Lemma that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(\epsilon_{p_n}x + \epsilon_{p_n}\bar{y}_{\epsilon_{p_n}})\bar{w}_{p_n}^2(x)dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\epsilon_{p_n}x + \epsilon_{p_n}\bar{y}_{\epsilon_{p_n}})\bar{w}_{p_n}^2(x)dx \geq \gamma C_0 > 0,$$

which contradicts (3.45).

So, $\{\epsilon_p\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p}\}$ is bounded uniformly in $p \nearrow p^*$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\epsilon_p\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p} \rightarrow z_0$ as $p \nearrow p^*$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. It follows from (3.43) and Fatou's Lemma that

$$\liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(\epsilon_px + \epsilon_p\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p})\bar{w}_p^2(x)dx \geq V(z_0) \int_{B_R(0)} \liminf_{p \nearrow p^*} \bar{w}_p^2(x)dx \geq V(z_0)\eta,$$

this together with (3.45) imply that $V(z_0) = 0$.

Finally, by (3.42) and similar to Lemma 3.3, we know that $\bar{w}_p = \epsilon_p^{\frac{N}{2}}u_p(\epsilon_px + \epsilon_p\bar{y}_{\epsilon_p})$ satisfies

$$\bar{w}_p \rightarrow \bar{w}_0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } p \nearrow p^*.$$

and \bar{w}_0 satisfies the following equation

$$-b\Delta\bar{w}_0 = -\frac{b(4-N)}{2N}\bar{w}_0 + \beta_{p^*}\bar{w}_0^{p^*+1}.$$

Thus, the uniqueness (up to translations) of positive solution of (1.5) implies that

$$\bar{w}_0 = \frac{1}{\|\phi_{p^*}\|_{L^2}}\phi_{p^*}(|x - y_0|) \text{ for some } y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The proof is completed. □

References

- [1] A. Arosio, S. Panizzi. On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348**(1), 305-330 (1996).
- [2] H. Berestycki. P. L. Lions. Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **82**(4), 313-346 (1983).
- [3] T. Bartsch and Z. Q. Wang. Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N : Existence and multiplicity results. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* **20**(9-10), 1725-1741 (1995).
- [4] T. Cazenave. *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences. Vol **10** (2003).

- [5] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari. Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases. *Rev. Modern Phys.* **71**(3), 463-512 (1999).
- [6] P. D’Ancona, S. Spagnolo. Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data. *Invent. Math.* **108**(1), 247-262 (1992).
- [7] A. L. Fetter. Rotating trapped Bose-Einstein condensates. *Rev. Modern Phys.* **81**(2), 647 (2009).
- [8] Y. J. Guo and R. Seiringer. On the mass concentration for Bose-Einstein condensates with attractive interactions. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **104**(2), 141-156 (2014).
- [9] Y. J. Guo, X. Y. Zeng and H. S. Zhou. Concentration behavior of standing waves for almost mass critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Differential Equations.* **256**(7), 2079-2100 (2014).
- [10] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n . *Math. Anal. Appl. Part A Adv. Math. Suppl. Studies* 7, 369-402 (1981).
- [11] Y. He, G. B. Li. Standing waves for a class of Kirchhoff type problems in \mathbb{R}^3 involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations.* **54**(3), 3067-3106 (2015).
- [12] Q. Han, F. H. Lin. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations.* Courant Lect. Notes Math. (2011).
- [13] X. M. He, W. M. Zou. Existence and concentration behavior of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Differential Equations.* **252**(2), 1813-1834 (2012).
- [14] J. H. Jin, X. Wu. Infinitely many radial solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems in \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **369**(2), 564-574 (2010).
- [15] G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, (1883).
- [16] O. Kaviani, F. B. Weissler. Self-similar solutions of the pseudo-conformally invariant nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Michigan Math. J.* **41**(1), 151-173 (1994).
- [17] K. McLeod, J. Serrin. Uniqueness of solutions of semilinear Poisson equations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **78**(11), 6592-6595 (1981)
- [18] G. B. Li, H. Y. Ye. Existence of positive ground state solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Differential Equations.* **257**(2), 566-600 (2014).
- [19] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. IV. Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, New York-London, (1978).
- [20] M. Willem. *Minimax Theorems.* Birkhäuser, Boston (1996).
- [21] M. I. Weinstein. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **87**(4), 567-576 (1983).
- [22] H. Y. Ye. The sharp existence of constrained minimizers for a class of nonlinear Kirchhoff equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **38**(13), 2663-2679 (2015).
- [23] H. Y. Ye. The existence of normalized solutions for L^2 -critical constrained problems related to Kirchhoff equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **66**(4), 1483-1497, (2015).
- [24] J. Zhang. Stability of attractive Bose-Einstein condensates. *J. Stat. Phys.* **101**(3), 731-746 (2000).
- [25] X. Y. Zeng. Asymptotic properties of standing waves for mass subcritical nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **37**(3), 1749-1762 (2017)
- [26] X. Y. Zeng, Y. M. Zhang. Existence and asymptotic behavior for the ground state of quasilinear elliptic equation, arXiv:1703.00183, (2017).
- [27] X. Y. Zeng, Y. M. Zhang. Existence and uniqueness of normalized solutions for the Kirchhoff equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **74**, 52-59 (2017).