

Cauchy-Lipschitz theory for fractional multi-order dynamics – State-transition matrices, Duhamel formulas and duality theorems

Loïc Bourdin*

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to contribute to the development of the study of Cauchy problems involving Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives. Firstly existence-uniqueness results for solutions of non-linear Cauchy problems with vector fractional multi-order are addressed. A qualitative result about the behavior of local but non-global solutions is also provided. Finally the major aim of this paper is to introduce notions of *fractional state-transition matrices* and to derive fractional versions of the classical Duhamel formula. We also prove duality theorems relying left state-transition matrices with right state-transition matrices.

Keywords: Fractional calculus; Riemann-Liouville derivatives; Caputo derivatives; state-transition matrix; Duhamel formula; duality theorem.

AMS Classification: 26A33; 34A08; 34A12; 34A30; 34A34.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Basics on fractional calculus	6
2.1	Classical definitions and results in the scalar case	7
2.2	Some preliminaries on matrix computations	8
2.3	Multi-order fractional calculus for matrix functions	9
3	Two non-linear fractional multi-order vector Cauchy problems	10
3.1	An existence-uniqueness result for (VCP)	10
3.1.1	Properties of the dynamic f	10
3.1.2	Definition of a global solution and main results	11
3.2	Existence-uniqueness results for (${}_c$ VCP)	11
3.2.1	Properties of the dynamic f	11
3.2.2	Definition of a maximal solution and main results	12

*Université de Limoges, Institut de recherche XLIM, Département de Mathématiques et d'Informatique. UMR CNRS 7252. Limoges, France (loic.bourdin@unilim.fr).

4	Fractional state-transition matrices	13
4.1	Definitions	13
4.2	Fractional Duhamel formulas	14
4.3	Preliminaries and recalls on right fractional operators	15
4.4	Duality theorems	16
A	Proofs of Section 3.1	17
A.1	Proof of Proposition 6	17
A.2	Preliminary lemmas for Theorem 1	17
A.3	Proof of Theorem 1	18
B	Proofs of Section 3.2	19
B.1	Proof of Proposition 7	19
B.2	Proof of Theorem 2	20
B.3	Proof of Theorem 3	21
B.4	Proof of Theorem 4	23
C	Proofs of Section 4	24
C.1	Proof of Lemma 5	24
C.2	Proof of Theorem 5	25
C.3	Proof of Theorem 6	25
C.4	Proof of Theorem 7	26
C.5	Proof of Theorem 8	27

1 Introduction

The *fractional calculus* is the mathematical field that deals with the generalization of the classical notions of integral and derivative to any real order. The fractional calculus seems to be originally introduced in 1695 in a letter written by Leibniz to L'Hospital where he suggested to generalize his celebrated formula of the k^{th} -derivative of a product (where $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is a positive integer) to any positive real $k > 0$. In another letter to Bernoulli, Leibniz mentioned derivatives of *general order*. Since then, numerous renowned mathematicians introduced several notions of fractional operators. We can cite the works of Euler (1730's), Fourier (1820's), Liouville (1830's), Riemann (1840's), Sonin (1860's), Grünwald (1860's), Letnikov (1860's), Caputo (1960's), etc. All these notions are not disconnected. In most cases it can be proved that two different notions actually coincide or are correlated by an explicit formula.

For a long time, the fractional calculus was only considered as a pure mathematical branch. In 1974, a first conference dedicated to this topic was organized by Ross at the University of New Haven (Connecticut, USA). Since then, the fractional calculus and its applications experience a boom in several scientific fields. The uses are so varied that it seems difficult to give a complete overview of the current researches involving fractional operators. We can at least mention that the fractional calculus is widely applied in the physical context of anomalous diffusion, see *e.g.* [22, 41, 43, 44, 46, 53, 54]. Due to the non-locality of the fractional operators, they are also used in order to take into account of memory effects, see *e.g.* [4, 5, 15, 49] where viscoelasticity is modelled by a fractional differential equation. We also refer to studies in wave mechanic [3], economy [8], biology [16, 38], acoustic [40], thermodynamic [23], probability [36], etc. In a more general point of view, fractional differential equations are even considered as an alternative model to non-linear differential equations, see [6]. We refer to [24, 50] for a large panorama of applications of fractional calculus.

The first reference book [45] on fractional calculus, developing some mathematical aspects and applications, was written by Oldham and Spanier in 1974. In 1993, Miller and Ross [42] have studied fractional differential equations. The monographs [51] of Kilbas, Marichev and Samko in 1987 and [28] of Kilbas, Srivastava and Trujillo in 2006 are essential books on fractional calculus, dealing with mathematical aspects with rigorous proofs, in particular concerning regularity issues, with fractional differential equations and containing some applications. We also refer to [15, 26, 48] and some chapters of [17, 21, 39] for handy introductions to fractional calculus. Finally, we also mention [37] for the recent history of the fractional calculus.

The aim of the present paper is to contribute to the development of the study of Cauchy problems involving Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives, providing some new results of different types. Section 3 is devoted to existence-uniqueness results for solutions of fractional Cauchy problems. We also prove a qualitative result concerning the behavior of local but non-global solutions. Section 4 is devoted to the introduction of *fractional state-transition matrices* and to fractional versions of the classical Duhamel formula. We also prove duality theorems relating left state-transition matrices with right state-transition matrices. But, before detailing the contributions of these two sections, we feel that it is of interest to give first a brief overview of the existing results in the literature.

Brief overview on the existing fractional Cauchy-Lipchitz theory. The present paragraph is widely inspired by the survey [29] and by [28, Chapter 3]. Most of the investigations about fractional differential equations are concerned with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative D_{a+}^{α} . Precisely the usual non-linear Cauchy problem investigated has the form

$$\begin{cases} D_{a+}^{\alpha}[q](t) = f(q(t), t), \\ I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

considered on a compact interval $[a, b]$ with $a < b$, and with a fractional order $0 < \alpha < 1$. Essentially (and as in the classical theory), the investigations of the above fractional Cauchy problem are based on the integral formulation

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-a)^{\alpha-1}q_a + I_{a+}^{\alpha}[f(q, \cdot)](t). \quad (2)$$

The first paper dealing with this topic is due to Pitcher and Sewell [47] in 1938. They investigate the case where $q_a = 0$ and f is a continuous function satisfying a boundedness and a global Lipschitz continuity assumptions. Despite that Pitcher and Sewell present the original idea of reducing the differential problem into an integral one, their main result, providing the existence of a global continuous solution of the integral equation (2), is based on an erroneous proof. However, under the same kind of assumptions on f (but without $q_a = 0$), Al-Bassam [1] uses the method of successive approximations in 1965 in order to well establish the existence of a global continuous solution of the integral equation (2). Nevertheless, the hypotheses on f (in particular the boundedness) are very strong and avoid to apply this result to the academic example $f(x, t) = x$. In 1996, Delbosco and Rodino [11] consider an initial condition of type $q(a) = q_a$ instead of $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a$. Under some continuity assumption on f and using a fixed point theorem, they prove that the fractional Cauchy problem admits at least a local continuous solution. This result corresponds to a fractional version of the classical Peano theorem. Under a global Lipschitz continuity assumption, they moreover prove that the solution is unique and global. Note that Hayek *et al* [20] apply the same argument and obtain the same last result for the more usual initial condition $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a$. Recall that Kilbas *et al* establish existence-uniqueness results in spaces of integrable functions [30] and in

weighted spaces of continuous functions [31]. Actually, the subject is widely treated in several directions. We can cite [12, 25, 42] for other examples of studies.

As mentioned in [28, Chapter 3], the differential equations involving Caputo fractional derivatives have not been studied extensively. In a first period, only particular cases have been investigated in the view of giving explicitly the exact solutions, see *e.g.* the works of Gorenflo *et al* in [17, 18, 19]. In 2002, Diethelm and Ford [13] study the usual non-linear Cauchy problem involving the Caputo fractional derivative ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha$ given by

$$\begin{cases} {}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) = f(q(t), t), \\ q(a) = q_a, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

considered on a compact interval $[a, b]$ with $a < b$, and with a fractional order $0 < \alpha < 1$. They prove the existence and uniqueness of a local continuous solution under the assumptions of continuity and local Lipschitz continuity of f . They also investigate the dependence of the solution with respect to the initial condition and to the function f . Kilbas and Marzan [32, 33] also study the above fractional Cauchy problem via its integral formulation

$$q(t) = q_a + I_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)](t), \quad (4)$$

and prove existence and uniqueness of a global continuous solution in the case of continuous and global Lipschitz continuous function f . In [14], the authors address the very interesting question concerning the possibility (or not) of two intersecting solutions to the equation ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) = f(q(t), t)$. In the classical case $\alpha = 1$ it is well-known that the answer is no, however the study seems to be much more complex in the fractional case $0 < \alpha < 1$. We also mention the work of Kilbas *et al* [28] investigating the issue of boundary condition at any $t \in [a, b]$ (*i.e.* not necessarily at $t = a$).

Numerous studies have also been devoted to existence-uniqueness results for differential equations involving other notions of fractional operators. For example, we can cite the study [34] with Hadamard fractional derivatives.

Contributions of Section 3. The present paper is actually motivated by the needs of completing the existing fractional Cauchy-Lipschitz theory in order to investigate non-linear control systems involving Caputo fractional derivatives, and more precisely in order to derive a fractional version of the classical Pontryagin maximum principle in optimal control theory.¹

Section 3 is devoted to a general Cauchy-Lipschitz theory involving Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives that generalizes the basic notions and results of the classical theory surveyed *e.g.* in [7, 52]. Namely, we will study the fractional Cauchy problems (1) and (3) in the following framework:

- The dynamic f is a general Carathéodory function (not necessarily continuous in its second variable). Such a framework is crucial in order to deal with fractional control systems where controls can be discontinuous.
- The fractional Cauchy problems are considered on a general interval with lower bound a (*i.e.* the interval is not necessarily compact). Such a framework is crucial in order to deal with free final time optimal control problems (*e.g.* minimal time problems).

¹Work in progress. We mention here that first fractional versions of the Pontryagin maximum principle are addressed in [2, 27].

- The trajectories q are multidimensional, that is, with values in \mathbb{R}^m (with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ a positive integer) and α is a vector fractional multi-order in the sense that $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in (0, 1]^m$. Such a framework is crucial in fractional optimal control theory in order to be able to rewrite a Bolza or a Lagrange cost functional into a Mayer cost functional. Indeed, this classical tricky transformation makes arise in the fractional framework a vector fractional multi-order $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in (0, 1]^m$.
- The trajectories q are with values in a nonempty open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. We prove in Theorem 4 that any local solution of (3) that is not global must go out of any compact subset of Ω . This result is crucial in optimal control theory in order to prove stability results on the trajectories. In particular it allows to prove that the admissibility of a trajectory is stable under small L^1 -perturbations on the control.

With the above considerations, we give in Section 3 integral representations for solutions of (1) and (3) (see Propositions 6 and 7) and existence-uniqueness results (see Theorems 1, 2 and 3). As mentioned and referenced in the previous paragraph, similar results are already well-known in the literature. The originality here lies in the fact that we deal with a general interval (that is not necessarily compact) and with a vector fractional multi-order $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in (0, 1]^m$. The usual proofs have been extended to this case, and the details are provided in Appendices A and B for the reader's convenience. Nevertheless, we also prove in Section 3 that any local solution of (3) that is not global must go out of any compact subset of Ω (see Theorem 4). To the best of our knowledge, this result has not been addressed in the literature yet and, as above explained, should have many applications in stability theory of fractional control systems.

Classical state-transition matrices and classical Duhamel formula. In this section we give basic recalls about state-transition matrices and the Duhamel formula in the classical case $\alpha = 1$. Let $a < b$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be a positive integer and $A : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be a square matrix function. For any $s \in [a, b]$, we denote by $Z(\cdot, s) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ the unique solution of the homogeneous linear matrix Cauchy problem given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Z}(t) = A(t) \times Z(t), \\ Z(s) = \text{Id}_m. \end{cases}$$

The function $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the so-called *state-transition matrix* associated to A . In the case where $A(\cdot) = A$ is constant, it is well-known that $Z(t, s)$ can be expressed as the exponential matrix $e^{A(t-s)}$. An explicit expression of the unique solution q of the forward non-homogeneous linear vector Cauchy problem given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}(t) = A(t) \times q(t) + B(t), \\ q(a) = q_a, \end{cases}$$

where $B : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a vector function, can be derived and is well-known as the classical *Duhamel formula* given by

$$q(t) = Z(t, a) \times q_a + \int_a^t Z(t, s) \times B(s) ds.$$

Finally, it is also well-known that $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies a *duality property*. Precisely, for any $t \in [a, b]$, $Z(t, \cdot) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is the unique solution of the homogeneous linear matrix Cauchy problem given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Z}(s) = -Z(s) \times A(s), \\ Z(t) = \text{Id}_m. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, an explicit expression of the unique solution q of the following backward non-homogeneous linear vector Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}(s) = -A(s)^\top \times q(s) - B(s), \\ q(b) = q_b, \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$q(s) = Z(b, s)^\top \times q_b + \int_s^b Z(t, s)^\top \times B(t) dt.$$

The above duality property is crucial in optimal control theory in order to fully justify the definition of the backward adjoint vector with respect to the forward variation vectors.²

Contributions of Section 4. Section 4 is the major and the most original part of the present paper. To the best of our knowledge, all results presented in this section are not addressed in the literature yet. We introduce in Section 4 the notions of *Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional state-transition matrices* denoted respectively by $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ and ${}_c Z(\cdot, \cdot)$, see Definitions 18 and 19. They are associated to a square matrix function $A(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and to a matrix fractional multi-order $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$. In the case where α is a row constant matrix, we prove fractional versions of the classical Duhamel formula (see Theorems 5 and 6).

We mention here that fractional Duhamel formulas are already obtained in [10, 25], but only for constant square matrix functions $A(\cdot) = A$ and with a (uni-)order $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. In this particular case, the authors of [10, 25] interestingly express the state-transition matrices as follows:

$$Z(t, s) = (t - s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(A(t - s)^\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_c Z(\cdot, \cdot) = E_{\alpha, 1}(A(t - s)^\alpha),$$

where $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ denotes the classical Mittag-Leffler function. However, the square matrix functions involved in the definitions of variation vectors in fractional optimal control theory are not constant in general, and thus the generalization of the previous results to the non-constant case $A(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ reveals interests.

Finally, we prove in Section 4 duality theorems (see Theorems 7 and 8) that generalize the duality property mentioned in the previous paragraph. These last results state that the left state-transition matrices associated to $A(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and to a row constant matrix fractional multi-order $\alpha \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$ coincide with the right state-transition matrices associated to A and to α^\top , where α^\top denotes the column constant transpose of α .

Before detailing our results in Sections 3 and 4, we first give basic recalls on fractional calculus in Section 2. All proofs of Sections 3 and 4 are detailed in Appendices A, B and C.

2 Basics on fractional calculus

Throughout the paper, the notation \mathbb{N}^* stands for the set of positive integers and the abbreviation R-L stands for Riemann-Liouville. This section is devoted to basic recalls about R-L and Caputo fractional operators. All definitions and results of Section 2.1 are very usual and are mostly extracted from the monographs [28, 51]. In Section 2.1 we focus on R-L and Caputo derivatives of onedimensional functions $q(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}$ with a fractional (uni-)order $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Sections 2.2 and 2.3 are devoted to the generalization of these notions to matrix functions $A(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with matrix

²Actually the generalization of the duality property to the fractional case, in order to fully justify the definition of the backward adjoint vector in fractional optimal control problems, is at the origin of the present paper.

fractional multi-order $\alpha \in [0, 1]^{m \times n}$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. A similar generalization was already considered in the literature, see *e.g.* [9, 35].

We first introduce some notations available throughout the paper. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval with a nonempty interior and let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be a positive integer. We denote by:

- $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the classical Lebesgue space of integrable functions defined on I with values in \mathbb{R}^m , endowed with its usual norm $\|\cdot\|_1$;
- $L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the classical Lebesgue space of essentially bounded functions defined on I with values in \mathbb{R}^m ;
- $C(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the classical space of continuous functions defined on I with values in \mathbb{R}^m , endowed with the classical uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$;
- $AC(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the classical subspace of $C(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ of all absolutely continuous functions defined on I with values in \mathbb{R}^m ;
- $H^\lambda(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the classical subspace of $C(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ of all λ -Holderian continuous functions defined on I with values in \mathbb{R}^m , where $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

Let us consider $E(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ one of the above space. We denote by $E_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the set of all functions $q : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $q \in E(J, \mathbb{R}^m)$ for every compact subinterval $J \subset I$. Let us consider $E(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ one of the three last above spaces and let $a \in I$. In that case, we denote by $E_a(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the set of all functions $q \in E(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $q(a) = 0$.

2.1 Classical definitions and results in the scalar case

In this section we fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}$ where

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+} := \{I \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ interval such that } \{a\} \not\subset I \subset [a, +\infty)\}.$$

Note that I is not necessarily compact. Precisely I can be written either as $I = [a, +\infty)$, or as $I = [a, b)$ with $b > a$, or as $I = [a, b]$ with $b > a$.

Definition 1. The left R-L fractional integral $I_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ of order $\alpha > 0$ of $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is defined on I by

$$I_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} q(\tau) d\tau,$$

provided that the right-hand side term exists. For $\alpha = 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, we define $I_{a+}^0[q] := q$.

If $\alpha \geq 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, then $I_{a+}^\alpha[q](t)$ is defined for almost every $t \in I$.

For the next results, we refer to [28, Lemma 2.1 p.72] (Propositions 1 and 2), to [51, Theorem 3.6 p.67] (Propositions 3 and 4) and to [28, Lemma 2.3 p.73] (Proposition 5).

Proposition 1. *If $\alpha \geq 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, then $I_{a+}^\alpha[q] \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proposition 2. *If $\alpha \geq 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})$, then $I_{a+}^\alpha[q] \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})$.*

Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$. Throughout the paper, if $I_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ is equal almost everywhere on I to a continuous function on I , then $I_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ is automatically identified to its continuous representative. In that case, $I_{a+}^\alpha[q](t)$ is defined for every $t \in I$.

Proposition 3. *If $\alpha > 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})$, then $I_{a+}^\alpha[q] \in H_{a,\text{loc}}^{\min(\alpha, 1)}(I, \mathbb{R}) \subset C_a(I, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proposition 4. *If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $q \in L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})$, then $I_{a+}^\alpha[q] \in H_a^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}) \subset C_a(I, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proposition 5. *If $\alpha_1 \geq 0$, $\alpha_2 \geq 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, then*

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha_1} \left[I_{a+}^{\alpha_2} [q] \right] = I_{a+}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} [q],$$

almost everywhere on I . If moreover $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$, the above equality is satisfied everywhere on I .

Definition 2. We say that $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ possesses on I a left R-L fractional derivative $D_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ of order $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ if and only if $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] \in AC_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$. In that case $D_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ is defined by

$$D_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) := \frac{d}{dt} \left[I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] \right](t),$$

for almost every $t \in I$. In particular $D_{a+}^\alpha[q] \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 3. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We denote by $AC_{a+}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all functions $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ possessing on I a left R-L fractional derivative $D_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ of order α .

If $\alpha = 1$, $AC_{a+}^1(I, \mathbb{R}) = AC_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$ and $D_{a+}^1[q] = \dot{q}$ for any $q \in AC_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$.

If $\alpha = 0$, $AC_{a+}^0(I, \mathbb{R}) = L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ and $D_{a+}^0[q] = q$ for any $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 4. We say that $q \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ possesses on I a left Caputo fractional derivative ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ of order $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ if and only if $q - q(a) \in AC_{a+}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$. In that case ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ is defined by

$${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) := D_{a+}^\alpha[q - q(a)](t),$$

for almost every $t \in I$. In particular ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q] \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 5. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We denote by ${}_cAC_{a+}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all functions $q \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ possessing on I a left Caputo fractional derivative ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q]$ of order α .

If $\alpha = 1$, ${}_cAC_{a+}^1(I, \mathbb{R}) = AC_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$ and ${}_cD_{a+}^1[q] = \dot{q}$ for any $q \in AC_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$.

If $\alpha = 0$, ${}_cAC_{a+}^0(I, \mathbb{R}) = C(I, \mathbb{R})$ and ${}_cD_{a+}^0[q] = q - q(a)$ for any $q \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Example 1. The constant function $q = 1 \in AC_{a+}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap {}_cAC_{a+}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$ for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. It holds that ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[1] = 0$ for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We also have $D_{a+}^1[1] = 0$ and

$$D_{a+}^\alpha[1](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}(t-a)^{-\alpha},$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and for every $t \in I$, $t > a$.

2.2 Some preliminaries on matrix computations

In this section we fix $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For any couple of matrices $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B = (B_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, we denote by $A \times B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ the usual matrix-matrix product. The notation \times will also be used for the classical matrix-vector product (*i.e.* for $k = 1$).

For any couple of same size matrices $A = (A_{ij})$, $B = (B_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we denote by $A \otimes B$ the classical Hadamard product given by

$$A \otimes B := \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n}B_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1}B_{m1} & \cdots & A_{mn}B_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$$

For a vector $A := (A_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m,1}$, we denote by $\overline{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ the row constant square matrix given by

$$\overline{A} := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_1 & \cdots & A_1 \\ A_2 & A_2 & \cdots & A_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_m & A_m & \cdots & A_m \end{pmatrix},$$

and by $\underline{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ the column constant square matrix given by $\underline{A} := \overline{A}^\top$, where \overline{A}^\top denotes the transpose of \overline{A} . One can easily prove the following series of lemmas. They will be useful in particular in Appendix C.

Lemma 1. *Let $A = (A_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ be a vector. Then*

$$\overline{A} \otimes \text{Id}_m = \underline{A} \otimes \text{Id}_m.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $A = (A_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ be a vector and $B = (B_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be a square matrix. Then*

$$(\overline{A} \otimes B) \times X = A \otimes (B \times X),$$

for any vector $X = (X_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $A = (A_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$, $B = (B_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ be two vectors and $C = (C_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be a square matrix. Then*

$$\underline{A} \otimes [(\overline{B} \otimes \text{Id}_m) \times C] = \overline{B} \otimes [C \times (\underline{A} \otimes \text{Id}_m)].$$

Lemma 4. *Let $A = (A_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$, $B = (B_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ be two vectors and $C = (C_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $D = (D_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $E = (E_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be three square matrices. Then*

$$\underline{A} \otimes [(\overline{B} \otimes (C \times D)) \times E] = \overline{B} \otimes [C \times (\underline{A} \otimes (D \times E))].$$

2.3 Multi-order fractional calculus for matrix functions

In the whole section we fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Definition 6. The left R-L fractional integral $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[A]$ of multi-order $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{m \times n}$ of a matrix function $A = (A_{ij}) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[A](t) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{a+}^{\alpha_{11}}[A_{11}](t) & \cdots & \mathbb{I}_{a+}^{\alpha_{1n}}[A_{1n}](t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{I}_{a+}^{\alpha_{m1}}[A_{m1}](t) & \cdots & \mathbb{I}_{a+}^{\alpha_{mn}}[A_{mn}](t) \end{pmatrix},$$

for almost every $t \in I$.

For the ease of notations, we introduce

$$\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] := \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_{ij})}(t - \tau)^{\alpha_{ij}-1} \right)_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

and we write

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[A](t) = \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes A(\tau) d\tau,$$

for every $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{R}_*^+)^{m \times n}$ and $A = (A_{ij}) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$.

Similarly to Section 2.1, one can easily define the corresponding operators \mathbb{D}_{a+}^α , ${}_c\mathbb{D}_{a+}^\alpha$ and the corresponding sets $\text{AC}_{a+}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$, ${}_c\text{AC}_{a+}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ for any matrix fractional multi-order $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in [0, 1]^{m \times n}$. All statements of Section 2.1 can be extended to matrix functions and to matrix fractional multi-orders.

3 Two non-linear fractional multi-order vector Cauchy problems

In the whole section we are interested in non-linear fractional multi-order Cauchy problems. Since the dynamics are non-linear, it is not of interest to consider matrix Cauchy problems. Indeed, $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ can be identified to \mathbb{R}^{mn} and it is sufficient to consider vector Cauchy problems. As a consequence, we fix in this section $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $n = 1$. The notation $|\cdot|_m$ stands for the Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^m and $\overline{B}_m(x, R)$ stands for the closed ball of \mathbb{R}^m centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and with radius $R > 0$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $f : \Omega \times I_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $(x, t) \mapsto f(x, t)$ be a Carathéodory function, where $I_f \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}$ and Ω is a nonempty open subset of \mathbb{R}^m . Finally, let $q_a \in \Omega$ and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in (0, 1]^m$ be a vector fractional multi-order. In this section we are interested in two different non-linear fractional multi-order vector Cauchy problems.

- The first vector Cauchy problem (**VCP**) is given by

$$\begin{cases} D_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) = f(q(t), t), \\ I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a, \end{cases} \quad (\text{VCP})$$

that involves a R-L fractional derivative D_{a+}^α and the initial condition $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a$. We will study this problem in Section 3.1, only in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m$.

- The second vector Cauchy problem (***c*VCP**) is given by

$$\begin{cases} {}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) = f(q(t), t), \\ q(a) = q_a, \end{cases} \quad ({}_c\text{VCP})$$

that involves a Caputo fractional derivative ${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha$ and the initial condition $q(a) = q_a$. We will study this problem in Section 3.2. In Section 3.2, in contrary to Section 3.1, we will not restrict Ω to be the entire space \mathbb{R}^m .

3.1 An existence-uniqueness result for (**VCP**)

In the whole section we assume that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m$. All proofs of this section are detailed in Appendix A.

3.1.1 Properties of the dynamic f

As in the classical Cauchy-Lipschitz theory, the existence and uniqueness of a solution of (**VCP**) require some assumptions on the dynamic f , whence the following series of definitions.

Definition 7. The dynamic f is said to be *preserving the integrability of zero* if

$$f(0, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m). \quad (\text{Hyp}_1^0)$$

In what follows this property will be referred to as (**Hyp₁⁰**).

Definition 8. The dynamic f is said to be *preserving the integrability* if

$$f(q, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m), \quad (\text{Hyp}_1)$$

for any $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$. In what follows this property will be referred to as (**Hyp₁**).

Definition 9. The dynamic f is said to be *globally Lipschitz continuous in its first variable* if for any $[c, d] \subset I_f$, there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_2, t) - f(x_1, t)|_m \leq L|x_2 - x_1|_m, \quad (\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for almost every $t \in [c, d]$. In what follows this property will be referred to as $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$.

Note that if f satisfies $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$, then f satisfies (Hyp_1) if and only if f satisfies (Hyp_1^0) .

3.1.2 Definition of a global solution and main results

We introduce here a notion of (global) solution of (VCP) .

Definition 10. A function $q : I_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be a *(global) solution* of (VCP) if and only if

- $q \in \text{AC}_{a+}^\alpha(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$;
- $\text{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a$;
- $\text{D}_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) = f(q(t), t)$ for almost every $t \in I_f$.

The following proposition gives an integral representation for (global) solutions of (VCP) .

Proposition 6 (Integral representation). *If f satisfies (Hyp_1) , a function $q : I_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a (global) solution of (VCP) if and only if $q \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= \text{D}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q_a](t) + \text{I}_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)](t), \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-a)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes q_a + \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes f(q(\tau), \tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t \in I_f$.

The next theorem provides an existence-uniqueness result for (VCP) .

Theorem 1. *If f satisfies (Hyp_1^0) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$, then (VCP) has a unique (global) solution.*

Similar results were already obtained in the literature. We refer to Introduction for details and references. Note that the proof of Theorem 1, detailed in Appendix A, is based on the introduction of an appropriate Bielecki norm. This method is widely inspired from [25].

3.2 Existence-uniqueness results for $({}_c\text{VCP})$

In the whole section we consider that Ω is a nonempty open subset of \mathbb{R}^m . In the sequel \mathcal{K}_Ω stands for the set of compact subsets of Ω . All results of this section are detailed in Appendix B.

3.2.1 Properties of the dynamic f

As in the classical Cauchy-Lipschitz theory, the existence and uniqueness of a solution of $({}_c\text{VCP})$ require some assumptions on the dynamic f , whence the following series of definitions.

Definition 11. The dynamic f is said to be *bounded on compacts* if, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega$ and for any $[c, d] \subset I_f$, there exists $M \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)|_m \leq M, \quad (\text{Hyp}_\infty)$$

for any $x \in K$ and for almost every $t \in [c, d]$. In what follows this property will be referred to as **(Hyp $_\infty$)**.

Definition 12. The dynamic f is said to be *locally Lipschitz continuous in its first variable* if, for every $(x, t) \in \Omega \times I_f$, there exist $R > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $L \geq 0$ such that $\overline{B}_m(x, R) \subset \Omega$ and

$$|f(x_2, \tau) - f(x_1, \tau)|_m \leq L|x_2 - x_1|_m, \quad (\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{B}_m(x, R)$ and for almost every $\tau \in [t - \delta, t + \delta] \cap I_f$. In what follows this property will be referred to as **(Hyp $_{\text{loc}}$)**.

Definition 13. The dynamic f is said to be *globally Lipschitz continuous in its first variable* if for any $[c, d] \subset I_f$, there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x_2, t) - f(x_1, t)|_m \leq L|x_2 - x_1|_m, \quad (\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ and for almost every $t \in [c, d]$. In what follows this property will be referred to as **(Hyp $_{\text{glob}}$)**.

Note that if f satisfies **(Hyp $_{\text{glob}}$)**, then f satisfies **(Hyp $_{\text{loc}}$)**.

Note that if f satisfies **(Hyp $_\infty$)**, then f satisfies **(Hyp $_1^0$)**.

3.2.2 Definition of a maximal solution and main results

We introduce

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+}^f := \{I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+} \text{ such that } I \subset I_f\}.$$

Now we introduce a notion of local solution of **($_c$ VCP)**.

Definition 14. A couple (q, I) is said to be a *local solution* of **($_c$ VCP)** if and only if

- $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}^f$;
- $q \in {}_c\text{AC}_{a+}^\alpha(I, \Omega)$ (in particular q is with values in Ω);
- $q(a) = q_a$;
- ${}_c\mathcal{D}_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) = f(q(t), t)$ for almost every $t \in I$.

Definition 15. Let (q, I) be a local solution of **($_c$ VCP)**. We say that (q', I') is an *extension* of (q, I) if (q', I') is a local solution of **($_c$ VCP)** and if $I \subset I'$ and $q' = q$ on I .

Definition 16. Let (q, I) be a local solution of **($_c$ VCP)**. We say that (q, I) is a *maximal solution* of **($_c$ VCP)** if $I' = I$ for any extension (q', I') of (q, I) .

Definition 17. Let (q, I) be a local solution of **($_c$ VCP)**. We say that (q, I) is a *global solution* of **($_c$ VCP)** if $I = I_f$.

Note that a global solution of **($_c$ VCP)** is necessarily maximal. The following proposition gives an integral representation for local solutions of **($_c$ VCP)**.

Proposition 7 (Integral representation). *If f satisfies (Hyp_∞) , a couple (q, I) is a local solution of (cVCP) if and only if $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}^f$, $q \in C(I, \Omega)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= q_a + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)](t), \\ &= q_a + \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes f(q(\tau), \tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in I$.

The next theorems provide existence-uniqueness results for (cVCP) .

Theorem 2. *If f satisfies (Hyp_∞) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$, then (cVCP) has a unique maximal solution (q, I) . Moreover (q, I) is the maximal extension of any other local solution of (cVCP) .*

Theorem 3. *If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m$ and if f satisfies (Hyp_∞) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$, then the maximal solution (q, I) of (cVCP) is global, that is, $I = I_f$.*

Similar results were already obtained in the literature. We refer to Introduction for details and references.

Remark 1. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m$, if f satisfies (Hyp_∞) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$ and if $q_a = 0$, then the unique maximal solution (that is moreover global) of (cVCP) coincides with the unique global solution of (VCP) . In particular, in that case, the unique global solution of (VCP) belongs to $C_a(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

As far as we know, the following last result was not addressed in the literature yet. It provides informations on the behavior of a maximal solution. Precisely, it states that a maximal solution that is not global must go out of any compact of Ω .

Theorem 4. *If f satisfies (Hyp_∞) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$ and if (q, I) is the maximal solution of (cVCP) , then:*

- either $I = I_f$, that is, (q, I) is global;
- either $I = [a, b)$ with $b \in I_f$, $b > a$, and moreover, for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega$, there exists $t \in I$ such that $q(t) \notin K$.

4 Fractional state-transition matrices

In Section 4.1 we focus on homogeneous linear square matrix Cauchy problems and we define fractional state-transition matrices. Our aim is to provide in Section 4.2 fractional versions of the classical Duhamel formula. Finally, Sections 4.3 and 4.4 are devoted to duality theorems relying left and right state-transition matrices. All proofs of Section 4 are detailed in Appendix C.

4.1 Definitions

In the whole section we fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let us consider a square matrix function $A = (A_{ij}) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ and a square matrix fractional multi-order $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$. For every $s \in I$, $s < \sup I$, we denote by $I^s := I \cap [s, +\infty)$. Note that $I^s \in \mathbb{I}_{s+}$. The following Proposition-Definitions clearly follow from Propositions 6 and 7 and from Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

Definition 18. For every $s \in I$, $s < \sup I$, the homogeneous linear square matrix Cauchy problem given by

$$\begin{cases} D_{s+}^{\alpha}[Z](t) = A(t) \times Z(t), \\ I_{s+}^{1-\alpha}[Z](s) = \text{Id}_m, \end{cases} \quad (\text{LMCP})$$

admits, in virtue of Theorem 1, a unique (global) solution denoted by $Z(\cdot, s) \in \text{AC}_{a+}^{\alpha}(I^s, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$. The function $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called the *left R-L state-transition matrix* associated to A and α . It follows from Proposition 6 that

$$Z(t, s) = \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s)] d\tau,$$

for almost every $t, s \in I$ with $t > s$.

Definition 19. For every $s \in I$, $s < \sup I$, the homogeneous linear square matrix Cauchy problem given by

$$\begin{cases} {}_cD_{s+}^{\alpha}[Z](t) = A(t) \times Z(t), \\ Z(s) = \text{Id}_m, \end{cases} \quad ({}_c\text{LMCP})$$

admits, in virtue of Theorems 2 and 3, a unique maximal solution, that is moreover global, denoted by ${}_cZ(\cdot, s) \in {}_c\text{AC}_{a+}^{\alpha}(I^s, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$. The function ${}_cZ(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called the *left Caputo state-transition matrix* associated to A and α . It follows from Proposition 7 that

$${}_cZ(t, s) = \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times {}_cZ(\tau, s)] d\tau,$$

for every $t, s \in I$ with $t \geq s$.

Example 2. As recalled and referenced in Introduction, if $A(\cdot) = A$ is constant and if α is row and column constant, then

$$Z(t, s) = (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha}) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_cZ(t, s) = E_{\alpha, 1}(A(t-s)^{\alpha}),$$

where $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ denotes the classical Mittag-Leffler function. We refer to [10, 25] for more details.

We are now in a position to state fractional versions of the classical Duhamel formula in the next section. Before coming to that point, we first need to state the following technical but useful lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let $b \in I$ with $b > a$. There exists $\Theta^b \geq 0$ such that*

$$|Z_{ij}(t, s)| \leq (t-s)^{\alpha_{ij}-1} \Theta^b,$$

for almost every $a \leq s < t \leq b$ and for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. In particular, $Z(t, \cdot) \in L^1([a, t], \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ for almost every $t \in I$, $t > a$.

4.2 Fractional Duhamel formulas

In this section we fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $q_a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and let $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in (0, 1]^m$ be a vector fractional multi-order. Let us consider a square matrix function $A = (A_{ij}) \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ and a vector function $B = (B_i) \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Let $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the left R-L state-transition matrix associated to A and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$. Let ${}_cZ(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the left Caputo state-transition matrix associated to A and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$. The main results of this paper are stated as follows.

Theorem 5 (Duhamel formula). *The non-homogeneous linear vector Cauchy problem given by*

$$\begin{cases} D_{a+}^{\alpha}[q](t) = A(t) \times q(t) + B(t), \\ I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a, \end{cases} \quad (\text{LVCP})$$

admits a unique (global) solution denoted by q and it is given by the fractional Duhamel formula

$$q(t) = Z(t, a) \times q_a + \int_a^t Z(t, s) \times B(s) ds,$$

for almost every $t \in I$.

Theorem 6 (Duhamel formula). *The non-homogeneous linear vector Cauchy problem given by*

$$\begin{cases} {}_cD_{a+}^{\alpha}[q](t) = A(t) \times q(t) + B(t), \\ q(a) = q_a, \end{cases} \quad ({}_c\text{LVCP})$$

admits a unique maximal solution, that is moreover global, denoted by q and it is given by the fractional Duhamel formula

$$q(t) = {}_cZ(t, a) \times q_a + \int_a^t {}_cZ(t, s) \times B(s) ds,$$

for every $t \in I$.

In the fractional Duhamel formula associated to (${}_c\text{LVCP}$), note that both $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ and ${}_cZ(\cdot, \cdot)$ are involved.

Remark 2. By curiosity one would wonder what are the Cauchy problems associated to the functions q_1, q_2 defined by

$$q_1(t) := Z(t, a) \times q_a + \int_a^t {}_cZ(t, s) \times B(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad q_2(t) := {}_cZ(t, a) \times q_a + \int_a^t {}_cZ(t, s) \times B(s) ds.$$

Similarly to the proofs of Theorems 5 and 6, it can be proved that q_1 is the unique global solution of

$$\begin{cases} D_{a+}^{\alpha}[q](t) = A(t) \times q(t) + I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[B](t), \\ I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a, \end{cases}$$

and q_2 is the unique global solution of

$$\begin{cases} {}_cD_{a+}^{\alpha}[q](t) = A(t) \times q(t) + I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[B](t), \\ q(a) = q_a. \end{cases}$$

4.3 Preliminaries and recalls on right fractional operators

In Section 2.1 we have recalled the usual definitions and results about left fractional operators. The corresponding right fractional operators are defined as follows. We fix $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I \in \mathbb{I}_{b-}$ where

$$\mathbb{I}_{b-} := \{I \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ interval such that } \{b\} \not\subset I \subset (-\infty, b]\}.$$

Definition 20. The right R-L fractional integral $I_{b-}^{\alpha}[q]$ of order $\alpha > 0$ of $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is defined on I by

$$I_{b-}^{\alpha}[q](t) := \int_t^b \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\tau - t)^{\alpha-1} q(\tau) d\tau,$$

provided that the right-hand side term exists. For $\alpha = 0$ and $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, we define $I_{b-}^0[q] := q$.

Definition 21. We say that $q \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$ possesses on I a right R-L fractional derivative $D_{b-}^\alpha[q]$ of order $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ if and only if $I_{b-}^{1-\alpha}[q] \in AC_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$. In that case $D_{b-}^\alpha[q]$ is defined by

$$D_{b-}^\alpha[q](t) := -\frac{d}{dt} \left[I_{b-}^{1-\alpha}[q] \right](t),$$

for almost every $t \in I$. In particular $D_{b-}^\alpha[q] \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 22. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We denote by $AC_{b-}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all functions $q \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$ possessing on I a right R-L fractional derivative $D_{b-}^\alpha[q]$ of order α .

Definition 23. We say that $q \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ possesses on I a right Caputo fractional derivative ${}_cD_{b-}^\alpha[q]$ of order $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ if and only if $q - q(b) \in AC_{b-}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$. In that case ${}_cD_{b-}^\alpha[q]$ is defined by

$${}_cD_{b-}^\alpha[q](t) := D_{b-}^\alpha[q - q(b)](t),$$

for almost every $t \in I$. In particular ${}_cD_{b-}^\alpha[q] \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 24. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We denote by ${}_cAC_{b-}^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all functions $q \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ possessing on I a right Caputo fractional derivative ${}_cD_{b-}^\alpha[q]$ of order α .

All results of Section 2.1 can be extended to right fractional operators. Similarly to Section 2.3, the right fractional operators can be extended to matrix functions and to matrix fractional multi-orders. Finally, all results about left Cauchy problems obtained in Sections 3 and 4 can also be adapted to the right case.

4.4 Duality theorems

In this section we fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $A = (A_{ij}) \in L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ be a square matrix function and let $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in (0, 1]^m$ be a vector fractional multi-order.

The following duality theorem states that the left R-L state-transition matrix associated to A and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$ coincides with the right R-L state transition matrix associated to A and $\underline{\alpha} \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$.

Theorem 7 (Duality theorem). *Let $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the left R-L state-transition matrix associated to A and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$. Then, $Z(t, \cdot)$ is the unique (global) solution of*

$$\begin{cases} D_{t-}^\alpha[Z](s) = Z(s) \times A(s), \\ I_{t-}^{1-\alpha}[Z](t) = \text{Id}_m, \end{cases}$$

for almost every $t \in I$, $t > a$.

The exact analogous of the above theorem for the left Caputo state-transition matrix does not hold true in general. Indeed, one can easily see that the proof of Theorem 7 cannot be adapted to this case. Nevertheless, the following duality theorem can be proved if $A(\cdot) = A$ is constant.

Theorem 8 (Duality theorem). *Let us assume that $A(\cdot) = A$ is constant and let ${}_cZ(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the left Caputo state-transition matrix associated to A and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$. Then, ${}_cZ(t, \cdot)$ is the unique maximal solution, that is moreover global, of*

$$\begin{cases} {}_cD_{t-}^\alpha[Z](s) = Z(s) \times A(s), \\ Z(t) = \text{Id}_m, \end{cases}$$

for every $t \in I$, $t > a$.

A Proofs of Section 3.1

A.1 Proof of Proposition 6

We first prove the necessary condition. Let $q : I_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a (global) solution of (VCP). Since $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] = \mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^1 \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left[\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] \right] \right] = q_a + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^1 \left[\mathbb{D}_{a+}^\alpha[q] \right] = q_a + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^1[f(q, \cdot)],$$

everywhere on I_f . Since q , q_a and $\mathbb{D}_{a+}^\alpha[q] = f(q, \cdot) \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$, it holds from Proposition 5 that

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+}^1[q] = \mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[q_a] + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^1 \left[\mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)] \right],$$

almost everywhere on I_f , and then everywhere on I_f from continuity. Since q and $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)] \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$, differentiating the previous equality leads to

$$q(t) = \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q_a](t) + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)](t),$$

for almost every $t \in I_f$.³

Now let us prove the sufficient condition. Since $q \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and since f satisfies (Hyp₁), it holds that $f(q, \cdot) \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$. We also know that $\mathbb{D}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q_a] \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and one can easily prove from the classical Beta function that $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[\mathbb{D}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q_a]] = q_a$ everywhere on I_f . Finally, since we have

$$q = \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q_a] + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)],$$

almost everywhere on I_f , we get from Proposition 5 that

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] = q_a + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^1[f(q, \cdot)],$$

almost everywhere on I_f . Since $f(q, \cdot) \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^1[f(q, \cdot)] \in \text{AC}_{a,\text{loc}}(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q]$ can be identified to $q_a + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^1[f(q, \cdot)] \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Thus $q \in \text{AC}_{a+}^\alpha(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a$ and

$$\mathbb{D}_{a+}^\alpha[q] = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] \right] = f(q, \cdot),$$

almost everywhere on I_f .

A.2 Preliminary lemmas for Theorem 1

We introduce

$$\mathbb{I}_{a+}^f := \{I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+} \text{ such that } I \subset I_f\}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 in the next section, we first prove in this section two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}^f$. If $q : I_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a (global) solution of (VCP), then the restriction $q|_I : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a (global) solution of the restricted Cauchy problem (VCP)_{|I} given by*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_{a+}^\alpha[q](t) = f_I(q(t), t), \\ \mathbb{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a, \end{cases} \quad (\text{VCP})_{|I}$$

where $f|_I$ is the restriction $f|_I : \mathbb{R}^m \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ of f .

³Note that Hypothesis (Hyp₁) is not required for the necessary condition.

Proof. Since $q \in \text{AC}_{a+}^{\alpha}(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have $q \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\text{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q] \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Moreover one can easily prove that $\text{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q]|_I = \text{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q|_I]$ on I . Thus $q|_I \in \text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\text{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q|_I] \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, that is $q|_I \in \text{AC}_{a+}^{\alpha}(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Moreover $\text{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q|_I](a) = \text{I}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q](a) = q_a$ and $\text{D}_{a+}^{\alpha}[q|_I](t) = \text{D}_{a+}^{\alpha}[q](t) = f(q(t), t) = f|_I(q|_I(t), t)$ for almost every $t \in I$. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $b > a$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The Bielecki norm defined on $\text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ by*

$$\|q\|_{1,k} := \int_a^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} |q(\tau)|_m d\tau,$$

is equivalent to the classical norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. In particular, $\text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ endowed with the Bielecki norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,k}$ is complete.

Proof. Indeed it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|q\|_{1,k} &= \int_a^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} |q(\tau)|_m d\tau \leq \int_a^b |q(\tau)|_m d\tau = \|q\|_1 \\ &\leq \int_a^b e^{k(b-\tau)} |q(\tau)|_m d\tau = e^{k(b-a)} \int_a^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} |q(\tau)|_m d\tau = e^{k(b-a)} \|q\|_{1,k}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $q \in \text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$. \square

A.3 Proof of Theorem 1

We first prove Theorem 1 in the case where $I_f = [a, b]$ with $b > a$. Let L be associated with $[a, b] \subset I_f$ in **(Hyp_{glob})**. In that case $\text{L}_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m) = \text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ and we endow $\text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the Bielecki norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,k}$ provided in Lemma 7 with $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ sufficiently large in order to have $\ell := L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{k^{\alpha_i}} < 1$. Since f satisfies **(Hyp₁⁰)** and **(Hyp_{glob})**, f satisfies **(Hyp₁)**. As a consequence we can correctly define the application

$$\begin{aligned} F : \text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m) &\longrightarrow \text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m) \\ y &\longmapsto \text{D}_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q_a] + \text{I}_{a+}^{\alpha}[f(y, \cdot)]. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 6, our aim is to prove that F admits a unique fixed point. Let $y_1, y_2 \in \text{L}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$. From **(Hyp_{glob})** and from the classical Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(y_2) - F(y_1)\|_{1,k} &= \int_a^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} |\text{I}_{a+}^{\alpha}[f(y_2, \cdot) - f(y_1, \cdot)](\tau)|_m d\tau \\ &= \int_a^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} \left| \int_a^{\tau} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\tau-s)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes (f(y_2(s), s) - f(y_1(s), s)) ds \right|_m d\tau \\ &\leq \int_a^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^{\tau} (\tau-s)^{\alpha_i-1} (f_i(y_2(s), s) - f_i(y_1(s), s)) ds \right| d\tau \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^b \int_a^{\tau} e^{-k(\tau-a)} (\tau-s)^{\alpha_i-1} |f_i(y_2(s), s) - f_i(y_1(s), s)| ds d\tau \\ &\leq L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^b \int_a^{\tau} e^{-k(\tau-a)} (\tau-s)^{\alpha_i-1} |y_2(s) - y_1(s)|_m ds d\tau \\ &\leq L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^b |y_2(s) - y_1(s)|_m \int_s^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} (\tau-s)^{\alpha_i-1} d\tau ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^b e^{-k(\tau-a)} (\tau-s)^{\alpha_i-1} d\tau &= e^{-k(s-a)} \int_0^{b-s} e^{-k\tau} \tau^{\alpha_i-1} d\tau \\ &\leq e^{-k(s-a)} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-k\tau} \tau^{\alpha_i-1} d\tau = \frac{e^{-k(s-a)}}{k^{\alpha_i}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-u} u^{\alpha_i-1} du = \frac{e^{-k(s-a)}}{k^{\alpha_i}} \Gamma(\alpha_i). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have proved that F is a ℓ -contraction map. It follows from the classical Banach fixed point theorem that F has a unique fixed point.

Now let us prove Theorem 1 in the case where $I_f = [a, b]$ with $b > a$ and in the case where $I_f = [a, +\infty)$. In both cases, one can easily write $I_f = \cup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} I_p$ where $I_p := [a, b_p]$ and $(b_p)_p \subset I_f$ is an increasing sequence with $b_0 > a$. Let us denote by f_p the restriction $f|_{I_p}$ of f . From the previous case and for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique (global) solution $q_p : I_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to the restricted Cauchy problem (VCP_p) given by

$$\begin{cases} D_{a+}^\alpha [q](t) = f_p(q(t), t), \\ I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q](a) = q_a. \end{cases} \quad (\text{VCP}_p)$$

From Lemma 6 and since $f_{p+1}|_{I_p} = f_p$, it clearly follows from the uniqueness of q_p that $q_{p+1} = q_p$ almost everywhere on I_p . As a consequence, we can correctly define $q : I_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ by $q(t) := q_p(t)$ if $t \in I_p$. Our aim is now to prove that q is a (global) solution of (VCP) . For any $b \in I_f$, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[a, b] \subset I_p$ and then $q = q_p$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$. As a consequence, one can easily conclude that $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q] \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$, that is $q \in \text{AC}_{a+}^\alpha(I_f, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q](a) = q_a$ and $D_{a+}^\alpha [q] = f(q, \cdot)$ almost everywhere on I_f . Hence q is a (global) solution of (VCP) . By contradiction, let us assume that q is not unique. Let Q be another (global) solution of (VCP) . From Lemma 6, the restriction $Q|_{I_p}$ is then the unique (global) solution of (VCP_p) , that is, $Q = q_p = q$ almost everywhere on I_p . Since this last equality is true for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that $Q = q$ almost everywhere on I_f and the uniqueness is proved.

B Proofs of Section 3.2

B.1 Proof of Proposition 7

Since f satisfies (Hyp_∞) , note that $f(q, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ for every couple (q, I) such that $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}^f$ and $q \in C(I, \Omega)$.

We first prove the necessary condition. Let (q, I) be a local solution of (cVCP) . Then $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}^f$ and $q \in {}_c\text{AC}_{a+}^\alpha(I, \Omega) \subset C(I, \Omega)$. Since $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)] \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)] &= I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)](a) + I_{a+}^1 \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left[I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)] \right] \right] \\ &= I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)](a) + I_{a+}^1 [{}_c D_{a+}^\alpha [q]] = I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)](a) + I_{a+}^1 [f(q, \cdot)], \end{aligned}$$

everywhere on I . From Proposition 3 and since $q - q(a) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, it holds that $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)](a) = 0$, even if $\alpha_i = 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. Finally we have proved that

$$I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q - q(a)] = I_{a+}^1 [f(q, \cdot)],$$

everywhere on I . Since $q - q(a)$ and $f(q, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we obtain from Proposition 5 that

$$I_{a+}^1 [q - q(a)] = I_{a+}^1 \left[I_{a+}^\alpha [f(q, \cdot)] \right],$$

everywhere on I . Since $f(q, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have $I_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)] \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ from Proposition 3. Since $q - q(a)$ and $I_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)] \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, differentiating the previous equality leads to

$$q - q(a) = I_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)],$$

everywhere on I .

Now let us prove the sufficient condition. Let us assume that $I \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}^f$, $q \in C(I, \Omega)$ and

$$q(t) = q_a + I_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)](t),$$

for every $t \in I$. Since $f(q, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have $I_{a+}^\alpha[f(q, \cdot)] \in C_a(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ from Proposition 3 and thus $q(a) = q_a$. Moreover, since $q - q(a)$ and $f(q, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we obtain from Proposition 5 that

$$I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q - q(a)] = I_{a+}^1[f(q, \cdot)],$$

everywhere on I . Since $f(q, \cdot) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$, it clearly follows that $q \in {}_c\text{AC}_{\text{loc}}^\alpha(I, \Omega)$ and

$${}_cD_{a+}^\alpha[q] = \frac{d}{dt} [I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}[q - q(a)]] = f(q, \cdot),$$

almost everywhere on I . We conclude that (q, I) is a local solution of $({}_c\text{VCP})$.

B.2 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 easily follows from the three following propositions.

Proposition 8. *Every local solution of $({}_c\text{VCP})$ can be extended to a maximal solution.*

Proof. Let (q, I) be a local solution of $({}_c\text{VCP})$. Let \mathcal{F} be the nonempty set of all extensions of (q, I) ordered by

$$(q_1, I_1) \leq (q_2, I_2) \text{ if and only if } (q_2, I_2) \text{ is an extension of } (q_1, I_1).$$

Our aim is to prove that \mathcal{F} admits a maximal element. From the classical Zorn lemma, it is sufficient to prove that \mathcal{F} is inductive. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{(q_p, I_p)\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a nonempty totally ordered subset of \mathcal{F} . Let us prove that \mathcal{G} admits an upper bound in \mathcal{F} . Let us define $I' := \cup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} I_p$. Clearly $I' \in \mathbb{I}_{a+}^f$. For every $t \in I'$, there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $t \in I_p$ and, since \mathcal{G} is totally ordered, if $t \in I_{p_1} \cap I_{p_2}$ then $q_{p_1}(t) = q_{p_2}(t)$. Consequently, we can (correctly) define $q' : I' \rightarrow \Omega$ by $q'(t) := q_p(t) \in \Omega$ if $t \in I_p$. Similarly to the end of the proof of Theorem 1, one can easily prove that (q', I') is a local solution of $({}_c\text{VCP})$. Moreover (q', I') extends (q, I) . As a consequence $(q', I') \in \mathcal{F}$ and is clearly an upper bound of \mathcal{G} . The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 9. *If f satisfies (Hyp_∞) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$, then $({}_c\text{VCP})$ has a local solution.*

Proof. Let R, δ and L be associated with $(q_a, a) \in \Omega \times I_f$ in $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$. We assume that δ is sufficiently small in order to have $[a, a + \delta] \subset I_f$. Let M be associated with $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_m(q_a, R) \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega$ and $[a, a + \delta]$ in (Hyp_∞) . Consider $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta$ sufficiently small in order to have $M \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha_i)} \leq R$ and $\ell := L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha_i)} < 1$. Then we construct the ℓ -contraction map given by

$$\begin{aligned} F : C([a, a + \varepsilon], \overline{\mathbb{B}}_m(q_a, R)) &\longrightarrow C([a, a + \varepsilon], \overline{\mathbb{B}}_m(q_a, R)) \\ y &\longmapsto F(y), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) : [a, a + \varepsilon] &\longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{B}}_m(q_a, R) \\ t &\longmapsto q_a + I_{a+}^\alpha[f(y, \cdot)](t). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, from **(Hyp_∞)** and Proposition 3, we infer that $F(y) \in C([a, a + \varepsilon], \mathbb{R}^m)$ for every $y \in C([a, a + \varepsilon], \overline{B}_m(q_a, R))$. From **(Hyp_∞)**, we claim that $|F(y)(t) - q_a|_m \leq R$ for every $y \in C([a, a + \varepsilon], \overline{B}_m(q_a, R))$ and every $t \in [a, a + \varepsilon]$. Finally, from **(Hyp_{loc})**, we infer that $\|F(y_2) - F(y_1)\|_\infty \leq \ell \|y_2 - y_1\|_\infty$ for every $y_1, y_2 \in C([a, a + \varepsilon], \overline{B}_m(q_a, R))$. It follows from the classical Banach fixed point theorem that F has a unique fixed point denoted by q . It follows from Proposition 7 that $(q, [a, a + \varepsilon])$ is a local solution of **(cVCP)**. \square

Proposition 10. *We assume that f satisfies **(Hyp_∞)** and **(Hyp_{loc})**. Let (q, I) and (q', I') be two local solutions of **(cVCP)**. If $I \subset I'$, then (q', I') is an extension of (q, I) .*

Proof. By contradiction let us assume that $A := \{t \in I, q'(t) \neq q(t)\}$ is not empty and let us consider $b := \inf A \in I$. Necessarily it holds that $q' = q$ on $[a, b]$ and $b < \sup I$. Let R, δ and L be associated with $(q(b), b) \in \Omega \times I_f$ in **(Hyp_{loc})**. We assume that δ is sufficiently small in order to have $[b, b + \delta] \subset I \subset I_f$. We introduce $z \in C([b, b + \delta], \mathbb{R}^m)$ given by

$$\forall t \in [b, b + \delta], \quad z(t) := q_a + \int_a^b \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes f(q(\tau), \tau) d\tau.$$

The continuity of z can be proved from the classical Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Also note that $z(b) = q(b)$. Let M be associated with $\overline{B}_m(q(b), R) \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega$ and $[b, b + \delta]$ in **(Hyp_∞)**. Consider $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta$ sufficiently small in order to have $\ell := L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_i)} < 1$ and

$$|z(t) - q(b)|_m + M \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_i)} \leq R, \quad |q(t) - q(b)|_m \leq R, \quad |q'(t) - q(b)|_m \leq R,$$

for every $t \in [b, b + \varepsilon]$. Finally, as in the proof of Proposition 9, we consider the ℓ -contraction map given by

$$\begin{aligned} F : C([b, b + \varepsilon], \overline{B}_m(q(b), R)) &\longrightarrow C([b, b + \varepsilon], \overline{B}_m(q(b), R)) \\ y &\longmapsto F(y), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) : [b, b + \varepsilon] &\longrightarrow \overline{B}_m(q(b), R) \\ t &\longmapsto z(t) + \int_b^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes f(y(\tau), \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the classical Banach fixed point theorem that F has a unique fixed point. Since (q, I) and (q', I') are local solutions of **(cVCP)** and since $q = q'$ on $[a, b]$, one can easily prove that q and q' are fixed points of F . We conclude that $q' = q$ on $[b, b + \varepsilon]$ and then on $[a, b + \varepsilon]$. This raises a contradiction with the definition of b . Consequently A is empty and the proof is complete. \square

B.3 Proof of Theorem 3

We first need to state the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *Let $b > a$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The Bielecki norm defined on $C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ by*

$$\|q\|_{\infty, k} := \max_{t \in [a, b]} |e^{-k(t-a)} q(t)|_m,$$

is equivalent to the classical norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. In particular, $C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ endowed with the Bielecki norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, k}$ is complete.

Proof. Indeed one can easily prove that

$$\|q\|_{\infty,k} \leq \|q\|_{\infty} \leq e^{k(b-a)} \|q\|_{\infty,k},$$

for every $q \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$. \square

Now let us prove Theorem 3. Since f satisfies $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$, f satisfies $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$. From Theorem 2, since f also satisfies (Hyp_{∞}) , (cVCP) admits a unique maximal solution denoted by (q, I) and (q, I) is the maximal extension of any other local solution of (cVCP) . In order to prove that (q, I) is global, it is then sufficient to prove that (cVCP) admits a local solution $(Q, [a, b])$ for every $b \in I_f$, $b > a$.

Let $b \in I_f$ with $b > a$. Let L be associated with $[a, b] \subset I_f$ in $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$. We endow $C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the Bielecki norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,k}$ provided in Lemma 8 with $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ sufficiently large in order to have $\ell := L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{k^{\alpha_i}} < 1$. Then we consider

$$\begin{aligned} F : C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m) &\longrightarrow C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m) \\ y &\longmapsto F(y), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) : [a, b] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \\ t &\longmapsto q_a + \mathbf{I}_{a+}^{\alpha} [f(y, \cdot)](t). \end{aligned}$$

Let $y_1, y_2 \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$. From $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{glob}})$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-k(t-a)}(F(y_2) - F(y_1))(t)|_m &= |e^{-k(t-a)} \mathbf{I}_{a+}^{\alpha} [f(y_2, \cdot) - f(y_1, \cdot)](t)|_m \\ &= \left| e^{-k(t-a)} \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes (f(y_2(\tau), \tau) - f(y_1(\tau), \tau)) d\tau \right|_m \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \frac{e^{-k(t-a)}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_i-1} (f_i(y_2(\tau), \tau) - f_i(y_1(\tau), \tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{e^{-k(t-a)}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_i-1} |f_i(y_2(\tau), \tau) - f_i(y_1(\tau), \tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{e^{-k(t-a)}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_i-1} |y_2(\tau) - y_1(\tau)|_m d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in [a, b]$. On the other hand, note that $|y_2(\tau) - y_1(\tau)|_m \leq e^{k(\tau-a)} \|y_2 - y_1\|_{\infty,k}$ for every $\tau \in [a, b]$. As a consequence, it holds that

$$|e^{-k(t-a)}(F(y_2) - F(y_1))(t)|_m \leq L \|y_2 - y_1\|_{\infty,k} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_a^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_i-1} e^{-k(t-\tau)} d\tau,$$

for every $t \in [a, b]$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, one can easily prove that

$$\int_a^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha_i-1} e^{-k(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_i)}{k^{\alpha_i}}.$$

Finally, we have proved that F is a ℓ -contraction map. It follows from the classical Banach fixed point theorem that F has a unique fixed point denoted by Q . The proof is complete.

B.4 Proof of Theorem 4

Theorem 4 corresponds to the last proposition of this section.

Lemma 9. *We assume that f satisfies (Hyp_∞) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$. Let (q, I) be the maximal solution of (cVCP) . If (q, I) is not global, then $I = [a, b)$ with $b \in I_f$, $b > a$. Moreover, q cannot be continuously extended at $t = b$ with a Ω -value.*

Proof. Let us prove the first part of Lemma 9. Precisely, we prove here that if $I = [a, b)$, then $b = \max I_f$ (and thus $I = I_f$). By contradiction let us assume that $I = [a, b)$ with $b < \sup I_f$. Let R, δ and L be associated with $(q(b), b) \in \Omega \times I_f$ in $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$. We assume that δ is sufficiently small in order to have $[b, b + \delta] \subset I_f$. We introduce $z \in C([b, b + \delta], \mathbb{R}^m)$ given by

$$\forall t \in [b, b + \delta], \quad z(t) := q_a + \int_a^b \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes f(q(\tau), \tau) d\tau.$$

The continuity of z can be proved from the classical Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Also note that $z(b) = q(b)$. Let M be associated with $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_m(q(b), R) \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega$ and $[b, b + \delta]$ in (Hyp_∞) . Consider $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta$ sufficiently small in order to have $\ell := L \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_i)} < 1$ and

$$|z(t) - q(b)|_m + M \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha_i)} \leq R,$$

for every $t \in [b, b + \varepsilon]$. Finally, as in the proof of Proposition 9, we introduce a ℓ -contraction map given by

$$\begin{aligned} F : C([b, b + \varepsilon], \bar{\mathbf{B}}_m(q(b), R)) &\longrightarrow C([b, b + \varepsilon], \bar{\mathbf{B}}_m(q(b), R)) \\ y &\longmapsto F(y), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) : [b, b + \varepsilon] &\longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{B}}_m(q(b), R) \\ t &\longmapsto z(t) + \int_b^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes f(y(\tau), \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the classical Banach fixed point theorem that F has a unique fixed point denoted by Q . One can easily prove that $q' : [a, b + \varepsilon] \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by

$$q'(t) := \begin{cases} q(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b], \\ Q(t) & \text{if } t \in [b, b + \varepsilon], \end{cases}$$

is a local solution of (cVCP) and is an extension of (q, I) with $I \subsetneq [a, b + \varepsilon]$. This raises a contradiction with the maximality of (q, I) and the proof of the first part is complete.

Let us prove the second part of Lemma 9. By contradiction let us assume that q can be continuously extended at $t = b$ with a value $\xi \in \Omega$, that is, $\lim_{t \rightarrow b, t < b} q(t) = \xi \in \Omega$. Let $q' : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$ be the continuous function defined by

$$q'(t) := \begin{cases} q(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b), \\ \xi & \text{if } t = b. \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to prove that $(q', [a, b])$ is a local solution of (cVCP) . Since $(q, [a, b))$ is a local solution of (cVCP) , it holds that

$$q'(t) = q(t) = q_a + \mathbf{I}_{a+}^\alpha [f(q, \cdot)](t) = q_a + \mathbf{I}_{a+}^\alpha [f(q', \cdot)](t),$$

for every $t \in [a, b)$. Since $f(q', \cdot) \in L^\infty([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$, we infer from Proposition 4 that $\mathbf{I}_{a+}^\alpha [f(q', \cdot)] \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$. From continuity, the above equality also holds true at $t = b$. It follows that $(q', [a, b])$ is a local solution of (cVCP) and is an extension of $(q, [a, b))$ with $[a, b] \subsetneq [a, b)$, raising a contradiction with the maximality of $(q, [a, b))$. The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 11. *We assume that f satisfies (Hyp_∞) and $(\text{Hyp}_{\text{loc}})$. Let (q, I) be the maximal solution of (cVCP) . If (q, I) is not global, then $I = [a, b)$ with $b \in I_f$, $b > a$, and, for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega$, there exists $t \in I$ such that $q(t) \notin K$.*

Proof. The first part of this result is already proved in the first lemma of this section. By contradiction let us assume that there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega$ such that $q(t) \in K$ for every $t \in [a, b)$. As a consequence, from (Hyp_∞) , $f(q, \cdot) \in L^\infty([a, b), \mathbb{R}^m)$ and then $q \in H^\alpha([a, b), \mathbb{R}^m)$ from Proposition 4. In particular, q is uniformly continuous on $[a, b)$ and thus can be continuously extended at $t = b$ with a value $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Since K is closed, we conclude that $\xi \in K \subset \Omega$. The proof is complete from the previous lemma. \square

C Proofs of Section 4

C.1 Proof of Lemma 5

Let us fix $b \in I$ with $b > a$. Since $A \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$, there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $|A_{ij}(\tau)| \leq M$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and for almost every $\tau \in [a, b]$. Since $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in (0, 1]^{m \times m}$, we denote by $\beta := \min_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \in (0, 1]$ and by $\gamma := \max_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \in (0, 1]$. Finally, we denote by

$$\delta := \begin{cases} \beta & \text{if } b - a < 1, \\ \gamma & \text{if } b - a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, it follows from Definition 18 that

$$0 \leq |Z_{ij}(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_{ij})} (t - s)^{\alpha_{ij} - 1} + M \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{I}_{s+}^{\alpha_{ij}} [|Z_{kj}(\cdot, s)|](t),$$

for almost every $a \leq s < t \leq b$. Now let us fix $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. One can prove by induction that

$$0 \leq |Z_{ij}(t, s)| \leq (t - s)^{\alpha_{ij} - 1} \left(\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} M^p \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_{ij} + \sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{k_q j})} (t - s)^{\sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{k_q j}} \right) + M^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mathbb{I}_{s+}^{\alpha_{ij} + \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k_q j}} [|Z_{k_n j}(\cdot, s)|](t),$$

for almost every $a \leq s < t \leq b$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Thus

$$0 \leq |Z_{ij}(t, s)| \leq (t - s)^{\alpha_{ij} - 1} \left(\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (M(b - a)^\delta)^p \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_{ij} + \sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{k_q j})} \right) + M^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mathbb{I}_{s+}^{\alpha_{ij} + \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k_q j}} [|Z_{k_n j}(\cdot, s)|](t),$$

for almost every $a \leq s < t \leq b$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, we have $(p + 1)\beta \geq 2$ and thus

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_{ij} + \sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{k_q j})} \leq \frac{m^p}{\Gamma((p + 1)\beta)}.$$

From the definition of the classical Mittag-Leffler function, we conclude that the series

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (M(b - a)^\delta)^p \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_{ij} + \sum_{q=1}^p \alpha_{k_q j})},$$

converges to some $\Theta_{ij}^b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now let us assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is sufficiently large in order to have $n\beta \geq 2$. Then, for almost every $a \leq s < t \leq b$, it holds that

$$M^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \Gamma_{s+}^{\alpha_{ij} + \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{k_q j}} [|Z_{k_n j}(\cdot, s)|](t) \leq \frac{M^n m^{n-1} (b-a)^{n\delta-1}}{\Gamma(n\beta)} \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t |Z_{kj}(\tau, s)| d\tau,$$

that tends to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we have proved that

$$0 \leq |Z_{ij}(t, s)| \leq (t-s)^{\alpha_{ij}-1} \Theta_{ij}^b,$$

for almost every $a \leq s < t \leq b$. To conclude, one has to define $\Theta^b := \max_{ij} \Theta_{ij}^b$.

C.2 Proof of Theorem 5

From Lemma 5, we can correctly define the function $q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ by

$$q(t) := Z(t, a) \times q_a + \int_a^t Z(t, s) \times B(s) ds,$$

for almost every $t \in I$. Let us prove that q is the unique (global) solution of (LVCP) provided in virtue of Theorem 1. From Definition 18 it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= \left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-a)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m + \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, a)] d\tau \right) \times q_a \\ &+ \int_a^t \left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s)] d\tau \right) \times B(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t \in I$. It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes q_a + \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, a) \times q_a + B(\tau)] d\tau \\ &+ \int_a^t \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s) \times B(s)] d\tau ds, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t \in I$. From the classical Fubini formula it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^t \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s) \times B(s)] d\tau ds \\ = \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \right] \otimes \left[A(\tau) \times \int_a^\tau Z(\tau, s) \times B(s) ds \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two previous equalities we conclude that

$$q(t) = D_{a+}^{1-\alpha} [q_a](t) + I_{a+}^\alpha [A \times q + B](t),$$

for almost every $t \in I$. We conclude from Proposition 6 that q is the unique (global) solution of (LVCP).

C.3 Proof of Theorem 6

This proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5. From Lemma 5, we can correctly define the function $q \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$ by

$$q(t) := {}_c Z(t, a) \times q_a + \int_a^t Z(t, s) \times B(s) ds,$$

for every $t \in I$. Let us prove that q is the unique maximal solution, that is moreover global, of (\mathbf{cLVCP}) provided in virtue of Theorems 2 and 3. From Definitions 18 and 19 it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= \left(\text{Id}_m + \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times {}_c Z(\tau, a)] d\tau \right) \times q_a \\ &+ \int_a^t \left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s)] d\tau \right) \times B(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in I$. It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= q_a + \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times {}_c Z(\tau, a) \times q_a + B(\tau)] d\tau \\ &+ \int_a^t \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s) \times B(s)] d\tau ds, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in I$. From the classical Fubini formula it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^t \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s) \times B(s)] d\tau ds \\ = \int_a^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[A(\tau) \times \int_a^\tau Z(\tau, s) \times B(s) ds \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two previous equalities we conclude that

$$q(t) = q_a + \mathbb{I}_{a+}^\alpha [A \times q + B](t),$$

for every $t \in I$. We conclude from Proposition 7 that q is the unique maximal solution, that is moreover global, of (\mathbf{cLVCP}).

C.4 Proof of Theorem 7

Since $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the left R-L state-transition matrix associated to A and $\bar{\alpha}$, it follows from Definition 18 that

$$Z(t, s) = \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times Z(\tau, s)] d\tau,$$

for almost every $t, s \in I$ with $t > s$. From Lemma 5, we can correctly define $T(t, s)$ by

$$T(t, s) := \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (t-s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau-s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [Z(t, \tau) \times A(\tau)] d\tau,$$

for almost every $t, s \in I$ with $t > s$. Our aim is prove that $Z(\cdot, \cdot) = T(\cdot, \cdot)$. It follows from Definition 18 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau-s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [Z(t, \tau) \times A(\tau)] d\tau \\ = \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau-s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[\left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m \right) \times A(\tau) \right] d\tau \\ + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau-s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[\left(\int_\tau^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t-\xi)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\xi) \times Z(\xi, \tau)] d\xi \right) \times A(\tau) \right] d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t, s \in I$ with $t > s$. From the classical Fubini formula and from Lemmas 3 and 4, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [Z(t, \tau) \times A(\tau)] d\tau \\ &= \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[A(\tau) \times \left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m \right) \right] d\tau \\ &+ \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \xi)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[A(\xi) \times \left(\int_s^\xi \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [Z(\xi, \tau) \times A(\tau)] d\tau \right) \right] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t, s \in I$ with $t > s$. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [Z(t, \tau) \times A(\tau)] d\tau \\ &= \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[A(\tau) \times \left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_s^\tau \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\xi - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [Z(\tau, \xi) \times A(\xi)] d\xi \right) \right] d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $t, s \in I$ with $t > s$. We finally use Lemma 1 in order to conclude that

$$T(t, s) = \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A(\tau) \times T(\tau, s)] d\tau,$$

for almost every $t, s \in I$ with $t > s$. From the definition and the uniqueness of $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$, we obtain that $T(\cdot, \cdot) = Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the proof is complete.

C.5 Proof of Theorem 8

This proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 7. Since $A(\cdot) = A$ is constant and since ${}_c Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the left Caputo state-transition matrix associated to A and $\bar{\alpha}$, it follows from Definition 19 that

$${}_c Z(t, s) = \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A \times {}_c Z(\tau, s)] d\tau,$$

for every $t, s \in I$ with $t \geq s$. We define $T(t, s)$ by

$$T(t, s) := \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [{}_c Z(t, \tau) \times A] d\tau,$$

for every $t, s \in I$ with $t \geq s$. Our aim is prove that ${}_c Z(\cdot, \cdot) = T(\cdot, \cdot)$. It follows from Definition 19 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [{}_c Z(t, \tau) \times A] d\tau = \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes A d\tau \\ &+ \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[\left(\int_\tau^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \xi)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [A \times {}_c Z(\xi, \tau)] d\xi \right) \times A \right] d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t, s \in I$ with $t \geq s$. With the help of a change of variable (and since $A(\cdot) = A$ is constant), from the classical Fubini formula and from Lemmas 3 and 4, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [{}_c Z(t, \tau) \times A] d\tau = \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes A d\tau \\ &+ \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \xi)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[A \times \left(\int_s^\xi \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes [{}_c Z(\xi, \tau) \times A] d\tau \right) \right] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t, s \in I$ with $t \geq s$. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\tau - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[{}_c Z(t, \tau) \times A \right] d\tau \\ &= \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[A \times \left(\text{Id}_m + \int_s^\tau \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\underline{\alpha})} (\xi - s)^{\underline{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[{}_c Z(\tau, \xi) \times A \right] d\xi \right) \right] d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t, s \in I$ with $t \geq s$. We actually have obtained that

$$T(t, s) = \text{Id}_m + \int_s^t \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha})} (t - \tau)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \right] \otimes \left[A \times T(\tau, s) \right] d\tau,$$

for every $t, s \in I$ with $t \geq s$. From the definition and the uniqueness of ${}_c Z(\cdot, \cdot)$, we conclude that $T(\cdot, \cdot) = {}_c Z(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the proof is complete.

References

- [1] M.A. Al-Bassam. Some existence theorems on differential equations of generalized order. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 218:70–78, 1965.
- [2] H.M. Ali, F. Lobo Pereira and S.M.A. Gama. A new approach to the Pontryagin maximum principle for nonlinear fractional optimal control problems. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2016.
- [3] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska and D.F.M. Torres. A fractional calculus of variations for multiple integrals with application to vibrating string. *J. Math. Phys.*, 51(3):033503, 12, 2010.
- [4] R.L. Bagley and P.J. Torvik. A theoretical basis for the application of fractional calculus in viscoelasticity. *Journal of Rheology*, 27:201–210, 1983.
- [5] R.L. Bagley and P.J. Torvik. On the fractional calculus model of viscoelasticity behavior. *Journal of Rheology*, 30:133–155, 1986.
- [6] B. Bonilla, M. Rivero, L. Rodríguez-Germá and J.J. Trujillo. Fractional differential equations as alternative models to nonlinear differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 187(1):79–88, 2007.
- [7] E.A. Coddington and N. Levinson. *Theory of ordinary differential equations*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.
- [8] F. Comte. Opérateurs fractionnaires en économétrie et en finance. *Prépublication MAP5*, 2001.
- [9] V. Daftardar-Gejji and H. Jafari. Analysis of a system of nonautonomous fractional differential equations involving Caputo derivatives. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 328(2):1026–1033, 2007.
- [10] S. Das. State trajectory control and control energy for fractional order multivariate dynamic system. *Tutorial for department of electrical engineering V.N.I.T-Nagpur*, 2011.
- [11] D. Delbosco and L. Rodino. Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 204(2):609–625, 1996.
- [12] K. Diethelm. *The analysis of fractional differential equations*, volume 2004 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. An application-oriented exposition using differential operators of Caputo type.

- [13] K Diethelm and N.J. Ford. Analysis of fractional differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 265(2):229–248, 2002.
- [14] K Diethelm and N.J. Ford. Volterra integral equations and fractional calculus: do neighboring solutions intersect?. *JJ. Integral Equations Appl.*, 24(1):25–37, 2012.
- [15] F. Dubois, A-C. Galucio and N. Point. Introduction à la dérivation fractionnaire. Théorie et applications. *Série des Techniques de l'ingénieur*, 2009.
- [16] W.G. Glöckle and T.F. Nonnenmacher. A fractional calculus approach to self-similar protein dynamics. *Biophysical Journal*, 68:46–53, 1995.
- [17] R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi. Fractional calculus: integral and differential equations of fractional order. In *Fractals and fractional calculus in continuum mechanics*. Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [18] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi and H.M. Srivastava. Special functions in fractional relaxation-oscillation and fractional diffusion-wave phenomena. In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Colloquium on Differential Equations (Plovdiv, 1997)*, pages 195–202, Utrecht, 1998. VSP.
- [19] Y. Luchko and R. Gorenflo. An operational method for solving fractional differential equations with the Caputo derivatives. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 24(2):207–233, 1999.
- [20] N. Hayek, J. Trujillo, M. Rivero, B. Bonilla and J.C. Moreno. An extension of Picard-Lindelöf theorem to fractional differential equations. *Appl. Anal.*, 70(3-4):347–361, 1999.
- [21] R. Hilfer. Threefold introduction to fractional derivatives. In *R. Klages, G. Radons, I. M. Sokolov, editors, Anomalous transport: foundations and applications*, Wiley-VCH, 2008.
- [22] E. Gerolymatou, I. Vardoulakis and R. Hilfer. Modelling infiltration by means of a nonlinear fractional diffusion model. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 39:4104–4110, 2006.
- [23] R. Hilfer. Fractional calculus and regular variation in thermodynamics. In *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, pages 429–463. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [24] R. Hilfer. Applications of fractional calculus in physics. *World Scientific, River Edge, New Jersey*, 2000.
- [25] D. Idczak and R. Kamocki. On the existence and uniqueness and formula for the solution of R-L fractional Cauchy problem in \mathbb{R}^n . *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 14:538–553, 2011.
- [26] P. Inizan. *Dynamique fractionnaire pour le chaos hamiltonien*. PhD thesis, Institut de Mécanique Céleste et de Calcul des Éphémérides, 2010.
- [27] R. Kamocki. Pontryagin maximum principle for fractional ordinary optimal control problems. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 37(11):1668–1686, 2014.
- [28] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [29] A.A. Kilbas and J.J. Trujillo. Differential equations of fractional order: methods, results and problems. I. *Appl. Anal.*, 78(1-2):153–192, 2001.
- [30] A.A. Kilbas, V. Bonilla and K. Trukhillo. Nonlinear differential equations of fractional order in the space of integrable functions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 374(4):445–449, 2000.

- [31] A.A. Kilbas, B. Bonilla and K. Trukhillo. Fractional integrals and derivatives, and differential equations of fractional order in weighted spaces of continuous functions. *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi*, 44(6):18–22, 123, 2000.
- [32] A.A. Kilbas and S.A. Marzan. Cauchy problem for differential equation with Caputo derivative. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 7(3):297–321, 2004.
- [33] A.A. Kilbas and S.A. Marzan. Nonlinear differential equations with the Caputo fractional derivative in the space of continuously differentiable functions. *Differ. Uravn.*, 41(1):82–86, 142, 2005.
- [34] A.A. Kilbas, S.A. Marzan and A.A. Tityura. Hadamard-type fractional integrals and derivatives, and differential equations of fractional order. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 389(6):734–738, 2003.
- [35] C.P. Li and F.R. Zhang. A survey on the stability of fractional differential equations. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 193(1):27–47, 2011.
- [36] P. Lévy. L’addition des variables aléatoires définies sur une circonférence. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 67:1–41, 1939.
- [37] J.T. Machado, V. Kiryakova and F. Mainardi. Recent history of fractional calculus. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 16(3):1140–1153, 2011.
- [38] R.L. Magin. Fractional calculus models of complex dynamics in biological tissues. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(5):1586–1593, 2010.
- [39] D. Matignon. Introduction à la dérivation fractionnaire. In *Lois d’échelle, fractales et ondelettes*, Hermes, 2002.
- [40] T. Hélie and D. Matignon. Diffusive representations for the analysis and simulation of flared acoustic pipes with visco-thermal losses. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 16(4):503–536, 2006.
- [41] R. Metzler and J. Klafter. The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. *Phys. Rep.*, 339:1–77, 2000.
- [42] K.S. Miller and B. Ross. *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1993.
- [43] A. Zoia, M.-C. Néel and A. Cortis. Continuous-time random-walk model of transport in variably saturated heterogeneous porous media. *Phys. Rev. E*, 81(3), Mar 2010.
- [44] A. Zoia, M.-C. Néel and M. Joelson. Mass transport subject to time-dependent flow with nonuniform sorption in porous media. *Phys. Rev. E*, 80, 2009.
- [45] K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier. *The fractional calculus*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1974. Theory and applications of differentiation and integration to arbitrary order, With an annotated chronological bibliography by Bertram Ross, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 111.
- [46] K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier. The replacement of Fick’s laws by a formulation involving semidifferentiation. *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 26:331–341, 1970.
- [47] E. Pitcher and W.E. Sewell. Existence theorems for solutions of differential equations of non-integral order. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 44(2):100–107, 1938.

- [48] I. Podlubny. *Fractional differential equations*, volume 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications.
- [49] T. Pfitzenreiter. A physical basis for fractional derivatives in constitutive equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, 84(4):284–287, 2004.
- [50] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal and J.A. Tenreiro Machado. *Advances in fractional calculus*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
- [51] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev. *Fractional integrals and derivatives*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993. Theory and applications, Translated from the 1987 Russian original.
- [52] M.W. Hirsch and S. Smale. *Differential equations, dynamical systems, and linear algebra*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1974. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 60.
- [53] G.M. Zaslavsky. Chaos, fractional kinetics, and anomalous transport. *Phys. Rep.*, 371(6):461–580, 2002.
- [54] G.M. Zaslavsky. *Hamiltonian chaos and fractional dynamics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. Reprint of the 2005 original.