## BERGMAN KERNEL ESTIMATES AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON HOLOMORPHIC LINE BUNDLES

#### SAID ASSERDA

ABSTRACT. We characterize operator-theoretic properties (boundedness, compactness, and Schatten class membership) of Toeplitz operators with positive measure symbols on Bergman spaces of holomorphic hermitian line bundles over Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifolds in terms of geometric or operator-theoretic properties of measures.

#### 1. Introduction and statment of results

The purpose of this paper is to extend the standard theory dealing with boundedness, compactness, and Schatten class membership of Toeplitz operators with nonnegative measure symbols on generalized Bargman-Fock spaces [6,12,14,15,16,21,22,25,30] to Bergman spaces of holomorphic sections of hermitian holomorphic line bundles over Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifolds. As an application, we give a characterization of self-holomorphic maps whose composition operators bounded, compact or belongs to the Schatten ideal class which extend previous results for generalized Bargman-Fock spaces [4,27,28,29,34]. Let (M,g) be a complex hermitian manifold and  $(L,h) \longrightarrow M$  be an holomorphic hermitian line bundle. For  $p \in ]0,\infty]$ , define  $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of holomorphic sections  $s:M \longrightarrow L$  such that

$$||s||_2 := \left(\int_M |s|_h^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

Let P the orthogonal projection from the Hilbert space of  $L^2(M, L)$  onto its closed subspace  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ . Let  $K \in C^{\infty}(M \times M, L \otimes \overline{L})$  the reproducing ( or Bergman ) kernel of P, that is

$$K(z,w) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} s_j(z) \otimes \overline{s_j(w)}$$

where  $\overline{L}$  is the conjugate bundle of L,  $(s_j)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  and  $d = dim \mathcal{F}^2(M,L) \leq \infty$ .

The first result of this paper is a pointwise estimate for the Bergman kernel of L in spirit of those obtained in [1,5,20] for n=1 and in [8,18,26] for  $n \geq 2$ .

Date: August 27, 2018.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B35; Secondary 32A25, 30H20.

Key words and phrases. Toeplitz operator, Bergman space, line bundle, Schatten class.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let (M,g) be a Stein Kähler manifold with bounded geometry. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_q$$

for some positive constant a. There are constants  $\alpha$ , C>0 such that for all  $z,w\in M$ ,

$$|K(z,w)| \le Ce^{-\alpha d_g(z,w)}$$

For a positive measure  $\mu$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\mu}$  with symbol  $\mu$  is defined formally by

$$T_{\mu}s(z) = \int_{M} K(z, w) \bullet s(w) d\mu(w)$$

where  $z \to K(z, w) \bullet s(w) \in L_z$  is the holomorphic section of L defined

$$K(z, w) \bullet s(w) := \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle s(w), s_{j}(w) \rangle_{L_{w}} s_{j}(z)$$

Let  $\tilde{\mu}: M \to \mathbb{R}^+$  the Berezin transform of  $\mu$ :

$$\tilde{\mu}(z) := \int_{M} |k_z(w)|^2 d\mu(w)$$

where

$$k_z(w) := \frac{K(w, z)}{\sqrt{|K(z, z)|}}$$

Let  $T: H_1 \to H_2$  be a compact operator from two Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  and

$$Tf = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n < f, e_n > \sigma_n, \quad f \in H_1,$$

its Schmidt decomposition where  $(e_n)$  (resp.  $(\sigma_n)$ ) is an orthonormal basis of  $H_1$  (resp.  $H_2$ ) and  $(\lambda_n)$  a sequence with  $\lambda_n > 0$  and  $\lambda_n \to 0$  (see [30]). For 0 , the compact operator <math>T belongs to the Schatten-von Neumann p-class  $\mathcal{S}_p(H_1, H_2)$  if and only if

$$||T||_{\mathcal{S}_p}^p := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^p < \infty$$

Let  $(N, \omega_N)$  be an herimitian manifold. Let  $\Phi: N \to M$  be a holomorphic map and

$$C_{\Phi}: \mathcal{F}^2(M, L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(N, \Phi^*L)$$
  
 $s \longrightarrow s \circ \Phi$ 

the composition operator associated to  $\Phi$ . We define the transform  $B_{\Phi}$  (related to the usual Berezin transform) associated to  $\Phi$  to be a function on M as follows

$$B_{\Phi}(z)^2 = \int_M |K(z, w)|^2 d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

where  $\nu_{\Phi}$  is the pull-back measure defined as follows: for all Borel set  $E \subset M$ 

$$\nu_{\Phi}(E) = \int_{N} \mathbf{1}_{\Phi^{-1}(E)}(w) dv_{\omega_{N}}(w)$$

Our second result of this paper is the characterization of operator-theoretic properties (boundedness, compactness, and Schatten class membership) of Toeplitz operators with positive measure symbols on Bergman space of holomorpic sections which extend those for generalized Bargman-Fock spaces.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifold with bounded geometry and uniformly subexponentially volume growth. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a holomorphic hermitian line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_g$$

for some positive constant a. Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on M. Let  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . The following conditions are equivalent

- (a) The operator  $T_{\mu}: \mathcal{F}^p(M,L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$  is bounded  $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ .
- (b)  $\mu$  is a Carleson measure.
- (c)  $\tilde{\mu}$  is bounded on M.
- (d) There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the function  $z \to \mu(B_g(z, \delta))$  is bounded.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifold with bounded geometry and uniformly subexponentially volume growth. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a holomorphic hermitian line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_g$$

for some positive constant a. Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on M. Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The operator  $T_{\mu}: \mathcal{F}^2(M,L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  is compact.
- (b)  $\mu$  is a vanishing Carleson measure.
- (c)  $\lim_{d_g(z,z_0)\to\infty} \tilde{\mu}(z) = 0.$
- (d) There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\lim_{d_g(z,z_0)\to\infty} \mu(B_g(z,\delta)) = 0$

**Theorem 1.4.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifold with bounded geometry and uniformly subexponentially volume growth. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a holomorphic hermitian line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_g$$

for some positive constant a. Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on M. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The operator  $T_{\mu}: \mathcal{F}^2(M,L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}_p$  (0 .
- (b)  $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p(M, dv_g)$ .
- (c) There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the function  $z \to \mu(B_g(z, \delta)) \in L^p(M, dv_g)$ .
- (d) There exists  $\delta > 0$  and an r-lattice  $(a_j)$  such that  $\{\mu(B_g(a_j, \delta))\} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ . Morever there is a positive constant C such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p(M, dv_g)} \le \|T_{\mu}\|_{\mathcal{S}_p} \le C \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p(M, dv_g)}$$

For boundedness, compactness, and Schatten class membership of composition operators, we have the following result which exend those for Bargman-Fock spaces.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifold with bounded geometry and uniformly subexponentially volume growth. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a holomorphic hermitian line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_g$$

for some positive constant a. Let  $(N, \omega_N)$  be an Hermitian manifold. Let  $\Phi: M \to M$  be a holomorphic map and

$$C_{\Phi}: \mathcal{F}^2(M, L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(N, \Phi^*L)$$
  
 $s \longrightarrow s \circ \Phi$ 

the composition operator associated to  $\Phi$ . Let 0 . Then

- (i)  $C_{\Phi}$  is bounded if and only if  $\nu_{\Phi}$  is a Carleson measure for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  if and only if  $B_{\Phi}$  is bounded.
- (ii)  $C_{\Phi}$  is compact if and only if  $\nu_{\Phi}$  is a vanishing Carleson measure for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  if and only if  $B_{\Phi}$  vanishes at infinity.
- (iii)  $C_{\Phi}$  is in Schatten p-class if and only if  $B_{\Phi} \in L^p(M, dv_g)$ . Morever there is a positive constant C such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \|B_{\Phi}\|_{L^{p}(M, dv_{g})} \le \|C_{\Phi}\|_{\mathcal{S}_{p}} \le C \|B_{\Phi}\|_{L^{p}(M, dv_{g})}$$

Characterizations of bounded, compact and Schatten class Toeplitz operators with positive measure symbols on generalized Bargmann-Fock space or on weighted Bergman spaces of bounded strongly pseudoconvex domains, in terms of Carleson measures and the Berezin transform, depend strongly on off-diagonal exponential decay of the Bergman kernel. In the spirit of [8], we establish a similar off-diagonal decay of the Bergman kernel associated to holomorphic hermitian line bundles whose curvature is uniformly comparable to the metric form.

This paper consists of five sections. In the next section, we will recall some definitions and properties of Kähler manifolds, Bergman Kernel of line bundles,  $\bar{\partial}$ -methods and Toeplitz operators. In section 3 we provide useful estimates for Bergman kernel and we prove Theorem 1.1. In section 4 we will prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3. In section 5 we will prove theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

#### 2. Preliminary

2.1. Curvatures in Kählerian Geometry. Let (M, J, g) be a complex n-manifold with a Riemannian metric g which is Hermitian i.e.

$$g(JX,JY) = g(X,Y), \ \forall X,Y \in TM$$
 ( real tangent vectors )

and a complex structure  $J:TM\to TM$  i.e  $J^2=-Id_{TM}$ . Assume furthermore that g is Kähler, i.e the real 2-form

$$\omega_g(X,Y) = g(JX,Y)$$

is closed. In local coordinates  $z^1, z^2, \cdots, z^n$  of M

$$g = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{i\bar{j}} dz^{i} \otimes d\bar{z}^{j}, \quad \omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{i\bar{j}} dz^{i} \wedge d\bar{z}^{j}$$

The coefficients of the curvature tensor R of g are given by

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -\frac{\partial^2 g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z^k \partial \bar{z}^l} + \sum_{p,q=1}^n g^{q\bar{p}} \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{p}}}{\partial z^k} \frac{\partial g_{q\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}^l}$$

The sectional curvature of a 2-plane  $\sigma \subset T_xM$  is defined as

$$K(\sigma) := R(X, Y, Y, X) = R(X, Y, JY, JX)$$

where  $\{X,Y\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that (M, g) has non-positive sectional curvature if

$$K(\sigma) \leq 0$$
 for all  $2 - \text{plane } \sigma \subset TM$ 

A Cartan-Hadamad manifold is a simply connected complete manifold with negative sectional curvature. Since each point in a Cartan-Hadamard manifold is a pole then the square of the distance function at such point is smooth.

The Ricci curvature of g is the (1,1)-forme

$$Ric(g) := \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{i,jk,l=1}^{n} g^{k\bar{l}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} dz^{i} \wedge d\bar{z}^{j}$$

In local coordinates

$$Ric(g) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \log \det(g_{k\bar{l}})}{\partial z^{i} \partial \bar{z}^{j}} dz^{j} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{l}}$$

**Definition 2.2.** We say that the Ricci curvature of (M, g) has lower bound  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  if

$$Ric(g)(X,X) \ge C\omega_g(X,X)$$
 for all  $X \in T^{(1,0)}M$ 

Denote by  $d_g(z, w)$  the Riemannian distance from  $z \in X$  to  $w \in X$  and  $B(z, r) = \{w \in M : d_g(w, z) < r\}$  the open geodesic ball. The manifold (M, g) is complete if  $(M, d_g)$  is a complete metric space.

Given (M, g) a Riemannian manifold, we say that a family  $(\Omega_k)$  of open subsets of M is a uniformly locally finite covering of M if the following holds

 $(\Omega_k)$  is a covering of M, and there exits an integer N such that each point  $x \in M$  has a neighborhood which intersect at most N of the  $\Omega_k$ . One then has the following Gromov's Packing Lemma [11].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let (M,g) be a smooth, compete Riemannian n-manifol with Ricci curvature bounded from below by some K real, and let  $\rho > 0$  be given. There exists a sequence  $(x_i)$  of points of M such that for every  $r \geq \rho$ :

(i) the family  $(B_g(x_i, r))$  is a uniformly locally finite covering of M, and there is an upper bound for N in terms of  $n, r, \rho$ , and K

(ii) for any  $i \neq j$ ,  $B_g(x_i \frac{\rho}{2}) \cap B_g(x_j, \frac{\rho}{2}) = \emptyset$ 

**Definition 2.4.** We say that the volume of (M, g) grows uniformly subexponentially if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $C < \infty$  such that, for ll r > 0 and all  $z \in M$ 

$$vol_q(B(z,r)) \le Ce^{\epsilon r} vol_q(B(z,1))$$

**Definition 2.5.** A Hermitian manifold (M, g) is said to have bounded geometry if there exists positive numbers R and c such that for all  $z \in M$  there exists a biholomorphic mapping  $F_z: (U, 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow (V, z) \subset M$  such that

- (i)  $F_z(0) = z$ ,
- (ii)  $B_g(z,R) \subset F_z(U)$  and
- (iii)  $\frac{1}{c}g_e \leq F_z^*g \leq cg_e$  on  $F_z^{-1}(B_g(z,R))$  where  $g_e$  is the euclidean metric.

By (iii)

$$\forall w \in B_g(z, R) : \frac{1}{c} \|F_z^{-1}(w)\|_e \le d_g(w, z) \le c \|F_z^{-1}(w)\|_e$$

Remark 2.6. If an Hermitian manifold (M, g) has bounded geometry then the geodesic exponential map  $\exp_z : T_z^{\mathbb{R}}M \to M$  is defined on a ball  $B(0, r) \subset T_z^{\mathbb{R}}M$  for any r < R and provide a diffeomorphism of this ball onto the ball  $B_g(z, r) \subset M$ . It follows that the manifold (M, g) is complete.

Remark 2.7. It is well known that if (M, g) has bounded geometry and  $Ric(g) \ge Kg$  then (M, g) satisfy the uniform ball size condition ([7] Prop. 14), i.e. for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 

$$\inf_{z \in M} vol(B_g(z, r)) > 0$$
 and  $\sup_{z \in M} vol(B_g(z, r)) < \infty$ 

Also by volume comparison theorem [3], there are nonnegative constants  $C, \alpha, \beta$  such that

$$vol_g(B_g(z,r)) \le Cr^{\alpha}e^{\beta r}, \quad \forall \ r \ge 1, \ z \in M$$

Bounded geometry allows one to produce an exhausion function which behaves like the distance function and whose gradient and hessian are bounded on M [23].

**Lemma 2.8.** Let (M,g) be a Hermitian manifold with bounded geometry. For every  $z \in M$  there exists a smooth function  $\Psi_z : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $C_1 d_g(.,z) \le \Psi_z \le C_2 (d_g(.,z) + 1),$
- (ii)  $|\partial \Psi_z|_g \leq C_3$ , and
- $(iii) C_4 \omega_g \le i \partial \bar{\partial} \Psi_z \le C_5 \omega_g.$

Furthermore, the constants in (i), (ii) and (iii) depend only on the constants associated with the bounded geometry of (M, g).

2.2. Bergman Kernel of Line Bundles. Let L be a holomorphic hermitian line bundle over a complex manifold M, and let  $(U_j)$  be a covering of the manifold by open sets over which L is locally trivial. A section s of L is then represented by a collection of complex valued functions  $s_j$  on  $U_j$  that are related by the transition functions  $(g_{jk})$  of the bundle

$$s_j = g_{jk} s_k \quad \text{on } U_j \cap U_k$$

We say that s is holomorphic if each  $s_i$  is holomorphic on  $U_j$  and we write  $\bar{\partial} s = 0$ . The conjugate bundle of L is the hermitian anti-holomorphic line bundle  $\bar{L}$  whose transition functions are  $(\bar{g}_{jk})$ . A metric h on L is given by a collection of real valued functions  $\Phi_j$  on  $U_j$ , related so that

$$|f_j|^2 e^{-\Phi_j} =: |s|_h^2$$

is globally well defined. We will write h for the collection  $(\Phi_j)$ , and refer to h the metric on L. We say that L is positive, L > 0, if h can be chosen smooth with curvature

$$c(L) := i\partial \bar{\partial} \Phi_i$$

strictly positive, and that L is semipositive,  $L \geq 0$ , if it has a smooth metric of semipositive curvature. We say that h is a singular metric if each  $\Phi_j$  is only plurisubharmonic.

**Definition 2.9.** A holomophic Hermitian line bundle  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  has bounded curvature if

$$-M\omega_q \le c(L) \le M\omega_q$$

for some positive constant M.

Fix  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . Let the Lebesgue spaces

$$L^{p}(M,L) := \{s : M \longrightarrow L : ||s||_{p} := \left( \int_{M} |u|_{h}^{p} dv_{g} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \}$$
  

$$L^{\infty}(M,L) := \{s : M \longrightarrow L : ||s||_{\infty} := \sup_{z \in M} |s(z)|_{h} < \infty \},$$

and the Bergman spaces of holomorphic sections

$$\mathcal{F}^p(M,L) := \{ s \in L^p(M,L) : \bar{\partial}s = 0 \}$$
  
$$\mathcal{F}^{\infty}(M,L) := \{ s \in L^{\infty}(M,L) : \bar{\partial}s = 0 \}$$

Let us note an important property of the space  $\mathcal{F}^2(X,L)$  which follows from the Cauchy estimates for holomorphic functions. Namely, for every compact set  $G \subset M$  there exists  $C_G > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in G} |s(x)| \le C_G ||s||_2 \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{F}^2(X, L)$$
(2.1)

We deduce that  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(M,L)$  one can show also that  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  is separable (cf. [31, p.30]).

**Definition 2.10.** The Bergman projection is the orthogonal projection

$$P: L^2(M,L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$$

In view of (2.1), the Riesz representation theorem shows that for a fixed  $z \in M$  there exists a section  $K(z,.) \in L^2(M, L_z \otimes \overline{L})$  such that

$$s(z) = \int_{M} K(z, w) \bullet s(w) dv_g \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$$
 (2.2)

The distribution kernel K is called the Bergman Kernel of  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$ . If  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L) = 0$  we have of course K(z,z) = 0 for all  $z \in M$ . If  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L) \neq 0$ ,

consider an orhonormal basis  $(s_j)_{j=1}^d$  of  $\mathcal{F}^2(X,L)$  (where  $1 \leq d \leq \infty$ ). By estimates (1.1)

$$K(z,w) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} s_j(z) \otimes \overline{s_j(w)} \in L_z \otimes \overline{L_w}$$

where the right hand side converges on every compact together with all its derivates (see [31, p.62]). Thus  $K(z,w) \in C^{\infty}(M \times M, L \otimes \overline{L})$ . It follows that

$$(Ps)(z) = \int_M K(z, w) \bullet s(w) dv_g(w), \text{ for all } s \in L^2(M, L),$$

that is K(.,.) is the integral kernel of the Bergman projection P. Since

$$|K(z,w)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d \langle s_j(z) \otimes \overline{s_j(w)}, s_k(z) \otimes \overline{s_k(w)} \rangle_{L_z \otimes \overline{L_w}}$$
$$= \sum_j \sum_k \langle s_j(z), s_k(z) \rangle_{L_z} \overline{\langle s_j(w), s_k(w) \rangle_{L_w}}$$

then K(z, w) is symetric

$$|K(z, w)| = |K(w, z)|$$

The function |K(z,z)| is called the Bergman function of  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ . It satisfies

$$|K(z,z)| = \int_{M} |K(z,w)|^{2} dv_{g}(w)$$

2.3.  $\bar{\partial}$ -Methods. We recall Demailly's theorem [9], which generalizes Hörmander's  $L^2$  estimates [13] (Theorem 2.2.1, p. 104) for forms with values in a line bundle.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a complete Kähler manifold, (L, h) a holomorphic hermitian line bundle over X, and let  $\phi$  be a locally integrable function over X. If the curvature c(L) is such that

$$c(L) + Ric(\omega) + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \ge \gamma\omega$$

for some positive and continuous function  $\gamma$  on X, then for all  $v \in L^2_{(0,1)}(X, L, loc)$ ,  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed and such that

$$\int_X \gamma^{-1} |v|^2 dv_\omega < \infty$$

there exists  $u \in L^2(X, L)$  such that

$$\bar{\partial}u = v \quad and \quad \int_X |u|_h^2 dv_\omega \le \int_X \gamma^{-1} |v|_{\omega,h}^2 dv_\omega$$

Also, we recall J.McNeal-D.Varolin's theorem [19] (Theorem 2.2.1, p. 104), which generalizes Berndtsson-Delin's improved  $L^2$ -estimate of  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation having minimal  $L^2$ -norm [2],[8] for forms with values in a line bundle.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let (M,g) be a Stein Kähler manifold, and  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  a holomorphic hermitian line bundle with Hermitian metric h. Suppose there exists a smooth function  $\eta: M \to \mathbb{R}$  and a positive, a.e. strictly positive Hermitian (1,1)-form  $\Theta$  on M such that

$$c(L) + Ric(g) + i\partial\bar{\partial}\eta - i\partial\eta \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta \ge \Theta$$

Let v be an L-valued (0,1)-form such that  $v = \bar{\partial}u$  for some L-valued section u satisfying

$$\int_{M} |u|_{h}^{2} dv_{g} < \infty$$

Then the solution  $u_0$  of  $\bar{\partial}u = v$  having minimal  $L^2$ -norm i.e

$$\int_{M} \langle u_0, \sigma \rangle dv_g = 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\int_{M} |u_0|_h^2 e^{\eta} dv_g \le \int_{M} |v|_{\Theta,h}^2 e^{\eta} dv_g.$$

### 3. Estimates for the Bergman Kernel

## 3.1. Weighted Bergman Inequalities.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let (M,g) be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with bounded geometry and lower Ricci curvature bound. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature. Fix  $p \in ]0,\infty[$ . Then for each r > 0 there exists a constant  $C_r$  such that if  $s \in \mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  then

$$|s(z)|^p \le C_r^p \int_{B_q(z,r)} |s|^p dv_g \tag{3.1}$$

in particular  $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L) \subset \mathcal{F}^{\infty}(M,L)$  and

$$|\nabla |s(z)|^p|_g(z) \le C_r^p \int_{B_g(z,r)} |s|^p dv_g$$
 (3.2)

*Proof.* Since (M,g) has bounded geometry there exists positive numbers R and c such that for all  $z \in M$  there exists a biholomorphic mapping  $\Psi_z : (U,0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow (V,z) \subset M$  such that

- (i)  $\Psi_z(0) = z$ ,
- (ii)  $B_g(z,R) \subset \Psi_z(U)$  and
- (iii)  $\frac{1}{c}g_e \leq \Psi_z^*g \leq cg_e$  on  $\Psi_z^{-1}(B_g(z,R))$  where  $g_e$  is the euclidean metric. Consider the (1,1)-forme defined on  $B_e(0,\delta(R)) \subset \subset \Psi_z^{-1}(B_g(z,R)) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  by

$$\Theta := \Psi_z^* c(L)$$

Since  $-K\omega_g \leq c(L) \leq K\omega_g$ , by [25] Lemma 4.1 there exists a function  $\phi \in C^2(B_e(0,\delta))$  such that

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi = \Theta$$
 and  $\sup_{B_e(0,\delta)} (|\phi| + |d\phi|_{g_e}) \le M$ 

On  $B_q(z,\eta) \subset \Psi_z(B_e(0,\delta(R)))$ , consider the  $C^2$ -function

$$\psi := \phi \circ \Psi_z^{-1}$$

By (iii) we have

$$i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi = c(L)$$
 and  $\sup_{B_g(z,\eta)} (|\psi| + |\nabla \psi|_g) \le M'$ 

where M' and  $\eta$  depend only on R and c.

Let e be a frame of L arround  $z \in B_g(z, \eta)$  and  $\Phi(w) = -\log |e(w)|^2$ . Then  $i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi = i\partial \bar{\partial} \Phi$  on  $B_g(z, \eta)$ . Hence the function

$$\rho(w) = \Phi(w) - \Phi(z) + \psi(z) - \psi(w)$$

is pluriharmonic. Then  $\rho = \Re(F)$  for some holomorphic function F with  $\Im(F)(z) = 0$  and

$$\sup_{B_g(z,\eta)} |\Phi - \Phi(z) - \Re(F)| = \sup_{B_g(z,\eta)} |\psi - \psi(z)| \le C$$
 (3.3)

$$\sup_{B_g(z,\eta)} |\nabla(\Phi - \Phi(z) - \Re(F))|_g = \sup_{B_g(z,\eta)} |\nabla\psi|_g \le C$$
 (3.4)

We can suppose  $0 < r \le \eta$ . According to [17], for all  $z \in M$  and all holomorphic function f on  $B_g(z, \eta)$  and all  $\zeta \in B_g(z, \eta/2)$ 

$$|f(\zeta)|^p \le \frac{C}{\operatorname{Vol}(B_g(\zeta, \eta/2))} \int_{B_g(\zeta, \eta)} |f(w)|^p dv_g$$

where C depend only in  $K, n, \eta$ . Since g has sbounded geometry  $\operatorname{Vol}(B_g(z, \eta/2)) \succeq 1$  uniformly in z. Hence

$$|f(\zeta)|^p \le C \int_{B_g(\zeta,\eta)} |f(w)|^p dv_g$$

Let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^p(M, L)$  and s = fe on  $B_g(z, \eta)$ . By (2.3) we have have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} |s|_h^p & = & |fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^p e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)} e^{-\frac{p}{2}(\Phi-\Phi(z)-\Re(F))} \\ & \leq & C^p |fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^p e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)} \end{array}$$

By mean value inequality

$$|f(z)e^{-\frac{F(z)}{2}}|^{p}e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)} \leq c_{r}^{p} \int_{B_{g}(z,r)} |fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^{p}e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)} dv_{g}$$

$$\leq C_{r}^{p} \int_{B_{g}(z,r)} |fe^{-\Phi(w)}|^{p} dv_{g}$$

Hence

$$|s(z)|_h^p \le C_r^p \int_{B_n(z,r)} |s|^p dv_g$$

By (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\begin{split} |\nabla|s|_{h}^{p}|_{g} & \leq e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)}e^{-\frac{p}{2}(\Phi-\Phi(z)-\Re(F)))}|\nabla|fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^{p}| \\ & + \frac{p}{2}|fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^{p}e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)}e^{-\frac{p}{2}(\Phi-\Phi(z)-\Re(F))}|\nabla(\Phi-\Phi(z)-\Re(F))|_{g} \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)}e^{-\frac{p}{2}(\Phi-\Phi(z)-\Re(F))}|\nabla|fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^{p}| \\ & + \frac{p}{2}|s|_{h}^{p}e^{-\frac{p}{2}(\Phi-\Phi(z)-\Re(F))}|\nabla(\Phi-\Phi(z)-\Re(F))|_{g} \\ & \leq C^{p}\left(e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)}|\nabla|fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^{p}| + \frac{p}{2}|s|_{h}^{p}\right) \end{split}$$

By mean value inequality ( Cauchy formula for partial derivates ), there exists  $c_r > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla|fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^{p}|(z)e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)} \leq c_{r}^{p} \int_{B_{g}(z,r)} |fe^{-\frac{F}{2}}|^{p}e^{-\frac{p}{2}\Phi(z)}dv_{g}$$

$$\leq C_{r}^{p} \int_{B_{g}(z,r)} |s|^{p}dv_{g}$$

From this, we get (2.2).

## 3.2. Slow Growth of Bergman Sections.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler manifold with bounded geometry and lower Ricci curvature Bound. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature. Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  with the following properties: if  $z \in M$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ ,  $||s||_p \leq 1$  then

$$|s(z)|_h \ge a \Longrightarrow |s(w)|_h \ge \frac{a}{2}, \ \forall \ w \in B_g(z, \delta).$$

*Proof.* Le  $R > \delta > 0$ . By (3.2) of proposition 3.1 and mean value theorem for all  $w \in B_g(z, R/2)$ 

$$||s(w)|_h^p - |s(z)|_h^p| \le C_r^p d_g(w, z) \left( \int_{B_g(z, R)} |s(\zeta)|^p dv_g \right)$$
  
  $\le \delta C_R^p ||s||_p^p$ 

Hence if  $\delta$  is small enough

$$\forall w \in B_g(z, \delta) : |s(w)|_h^p \ge a^p - \delta C_R^p \ge \frac{a^p}{2^p}$$

## 3.3. One-Point Interpolation with Uniform $L^p$ Estimates.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifold with bounded geometry. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_q$$

for some positive constant a. Let  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . If  $p \neq 2$  or  $p \neq \infty$ , suppose further that

$$\sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} e^{-\beta d_g(w,z)} dv_g < \infty$$

for all  $\beta > 0$ . Then there exists C > 0 such that for each  $z \in M$  and  $\lambda \in L_z$  there exists  $s \in \mathcal{F}^p(M, L)$  such that

$$s(z) = \lambda \text{ and } ||s||_p \le C|\lambda|_{L_z}$$

*Proof.* Let  $z \in M$  and fix a smmoth function  $\chi$  with compact support on  $B_g(z, Rc^{-1}/2)$  ( R and c are constants in definition 2.3) such that

- (i)  $0 \le \chi \le 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\chi \mid_{B_g(z,Rc^{-1}/4)} = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $|\bar{\partial}\chi|_q \leq 1$

Let  $s_0$  be a holomorphic section of L arround  $B_g(z, \eta)$  such that  $s_0(z) \neq 0$ . Since (L, h) is g-regular, for all  $w \in B_g(z, \eta)$ 

$$\Phi(w) \simeq \Phi(z) + \Re(F(w))$$

Let  $\lambda \in L_z = \{z\} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Consider the local section

$$s_z(w) = \lambda(w)e^{\Phi(z) + \Re(F(w))}s_0(w)$$

and the (0,1)-forme with values on L

$$v(w) = \bar{\partial}(\chi.s_z)(w) = \bar{\partial}\gamma(w).s_z(w)$$

Let  $\Phi_z \in C^{\infty}(M)$  as in lemma 2.8 and choose  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) - \epsilon \partial \bar{\partial} \Phi_z \ge g \text{ on } M$$

By (iii) in Definition 2.5 of bounded geometry

$$c^{-2n}dv_e \le \Psi_z^* dv_g \le c^{2n} dv_e$$
 on  $\Psi_z^{-1}(B_g(z,\eta))$ 

Hence

$$\operatorname{Vol}_g(B(z,\eta)) \asymp 1$$
 uniformly in  $z \in M$ 

Since M is Cartan-Hadamard  $d_g^2(.,z)$  is smooth. By comparison theorem for the Hessian [10] the function  $w \in M \to \phi_z(w) := \log d_g^2(z,z)$  is plurisousharmonic on M.

$$\int_{M} |v|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}} e^{-2n\phi_{z}} dv_{g} \leq \int_{B_{g}(z,\eta/2) \backslash B_{g}(z,\eta/4)} \frac{|v|^{2} e^{2\epsilon \Phi_{z}}}{d_{g}(.,z)^{2n}} dv_{g}$$

$$\leq |\lambda|^{2} \operatorname{Vol}_{g}(B_{g}(z,\eta/2) \backslash B_{g}(z,\eta/4))$$

$$\leq |\lambda|^{2} e^{-\Phi(z)} = |\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

Since a Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifold is Stein [32], by Theorem 2.8 there exists u such that  $\bar{\partial}u = v$  and

$$\int_{M} \frac{|u(w)|^2 e^{2\epsilon \Phi_z(w)}}{d_g(w,z)^{2n}} dv_g \preceq |\lambda|_{L_z}^2$$

Since  $w \longrightarrow d_q^{-2n}(w,z)$  is not summable near z, we have u(z) = 0. Let

$$s(w) = \chi(w)s_z(w) - u(w)$$

Then  $s(z) = \lambda$  and  $\bar{\partial} s = 0$ . Since  $(2n)!e^t \geq t^{2n}$  if  $t \geq 0$  and  $\Phi_z \approx d_g(., z)$ 

$$\int_{M} |u|^{2} dv_{g} \leq \int_{M} \frac{|v|^{2} e^{2\epsilon \Phi_{z}}}{d_{q}(\cdot,z)^{2n}} dv_{g} \leq |\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

Thus

$$\int_{M} |s|^{2} dv_{g} \leq 2 \int_{M} |\chi s_{z}|^{2} dv_{g} + 2 \int_{M} |u|^{2} dv_{g} \leq C|\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Psi_{z}(w)} dv_{g}(w) & \leq & \int_{M} |\chi(w)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}(w)} |e(w)|^{2} dv_{g} \\ & + \int_{M} \frac{|u(w)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}(w)}}{d_{g}(w,z)^{2n}} d_{g}^{2n}(w,z) e^{-\epsilon \Phi_{z}(w)} dv_{g}(w) \end{split}$$

Since  $|\Phi(w) \simeq \Phi(z) + \Re(F(w))$  and  $\Psi_z(w) \asymp d_g(w,z) \asymp 1$  uniformly on the support of  $\gamma$  and  $d_g^{2n}(w,z)e^{-\epsilon\Phi_z(w)} \asymp 1$  uniformly in  $z \in M$ , there exists C > 0 independent of z such that

$$\int_{M} |\chi(w)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}(w)} |s_{z}(w)|^{2} dv_{g} \le C|\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

and

$$\int_{M} \frac{|u(w)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}(w)}}{d_{g}(w,z)^{2n}} d_{g}^{2n}(w,z) e^{-\epsilon \Phi_{z}(w)} dv_{g}(w) \le C|\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

Hence

$$\int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}(w)} dv_{g}(w) \le C|\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

Since  $\|\partial \bar{\partial} \Phi_z\|_{\infty}$  is uniformly bounded in  $z \in M$ , the line bundle  $(L, he^{\epsilon \Phi_z})$  has bounded curvature. By (3.1) of proposition 3.1

$$|s(w)|^{2} \leq |s(w)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}}$$

$$\leq \int_{B_{g}(w,\eta)} |s(\zeta)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}(\zeta)} dv_{g}(\zeta)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} |s(\zeta)|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}(\zeta)} dv_{g}(\zeta)$$

$$\leq C|\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

Hence  $||s||_{\infty} \leq C|\lambda|_{L_z}$ . Also

$$|s(w)|^{2}e^{\epsilon\Phi_{z}(w)} \leq C_{R} \int_{B_{g}(w,R)} |s(\zeta)|^{2}e^{\epsilon\Phi_{z}(\zeta)} dv_{g}(\zeta)$$

$$\leq C_{R} \int_{M} |s(\zeta)|^{2}e^{\epsilon\Phi_{z}(\zeta)} dv_{g}(\zeta)$$

$$\leq C_{R} |\lambda|_{L_{z}}^{2}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} |s|^{p} dv_{g} &= \int_{M} \left( |s|^{2} e^{\epsilon \Phi_{z}} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} e^{-\frac{p}{2} \epsilon \Phi_{z}} dv_{g} \\ &\leq C |\lambda|^{p} \int_{M} e^{-\frac{p}{2} \epsilon \Phi_{z}} dv_{g} \\ &\leq C |\lambda|^{p}_{L_{z}} \int_{M} e^{-\frac{pC_{1}}{2} \epsilon d_{g}(w.z)} dv_{g}(w) \\ &\leq C^{p} |\lambda|^{p}_{L_{z}} \end{split}$$

Finally, there exists C > 0 independent of  $z \in M$  and  $p \in [1, +\infty]$  such that

$$||s||_p \le C|\lambda|_{L_z}.$$

3.4. **Diagonal Bounds for the Bergman Kernel.** As a consequence of (3.1) proposition 3.1 and proposition 3.3, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler manifold with bounded geometry and lower Ricci curvature Bound. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature. There is a constant C > 0 such that for all  $z \in M$ :  $|K(z,z)| \leq C$ . Therefore  $|K(z,w)| \leq C$  for all  $z,w \in M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(s_j]$  be a orhonormal basis of  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ . By definition of the Bergman Kernel

$$K(z,w) = \sum_{j} s_{j}(z) \otimes \overline{s_{j}(w)}$$

By (3.1) proposition 3.1 the evaluation

$$ev_z$$
:  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L) \longrightarrow L_z$   
 $s \longrightarrow s(z)$ 

is continuous and

$$|K(z,z)| \leq 1$$

uniformly in  $z \in M$ . Therefore

$$|K(z,w)| \le \sum_{j} |s_{j}(z)||s_{j}(w)|$$
  
  $\le \sqrt{|K(z,z)|}\sqrt{|K(w,w)|} \le 1$ 

The following result gives bounds for the Bergman kernel in a small but uniform neighborhood of the diagonal

**Proposition 3.5.** Let (M,g) be a Kähler manifold with bounded geometry and lower Ricci curvature Bound. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature. There are constants  $\delta, C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for all  $z \in M$  and  $w \in B_g(z, \delta)$ 

$$C_1|K(z,z)| \le |K(z,w)| \le C_2|K(z,z)|$$

*Proof.* Let  $z \in M$ . Fix a frame e in a neighborhood U of the point z and consider an orhonormal basis  $(s_i)_{i=1}^d$  of  $\mathcal{F}^2(X,L)$  (where  $1 \leq d \leq \infty$ ). In U each  $s_i$  is represented by a holomorphic function  $f_i$  such that  $s_i(x) = f_i(x)e(x)$ . Let

$$s_z(w) := |e(z)| \sum_{i=1}^d \overline{f_i(z)} s_i(w)$$

Then

$$|s_{z}(w)| = \left| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} \overline{f_{i}(z)} s_{i}(w) \right) \otimes \overline{e(z)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{i}(w) \otimes \overline{s_{i}(z)} \right|$$

$$= \left| K(w, z) \right|$$

and

$$\int_{M} |s_z|^2 dv_g(w) = \int_{M} |K(w, z)|^2 dv_g(w)$$
$$= |K(z, z)| \leq 1$$

Hence, by lemma 3.2, there exists  $C, \delta > 0$  independant of z such that

$$|K(w,z)| = |s_z(w)| \ge C|s_z(z)| = C|K(z,z)|$$

for all  $w \in B_q(z, \delta)$ .

3.5. Off-Diagonal Decay of the Bergman Kernel. A key tool we use is the following off-diagonal upper bound exponential decay for the Bergman kernel of L.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let (M, g) be a Stein Kähler manifold with bounded geometry. Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_g$$

for some positive constant a. There are constants  $\alpha$ , C > 0 such that for all  $z, w \in M$ ,

$$|K(z,w)| < Ce^{-\alpha d_g(z,w)}$$

*Proof.* Let  $z, w \in M$  such that  $d_q(z, w) \geq \delta$  where  $\delta > 0$  as in Proposition 3.4. Fix a smooth function  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_g(w, \delta/2))$  such that

- (i)  $0 \le \chi \le 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\chi = 1$  in  $B_g(w, \delta/4)$ ,

(iii)  $|\bar{\partial}\chi|_g \leq \chi$ . Let  $s_z \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  defined by

$$s_z(w) := |e(z)| \sum_{i=1}^d \overline{f_i(z)} s_i(w)$$

where  $(s_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  and e is a a local vframe of L arround z. Then  $|s_z(w)| = |K(w, z)|$  and  $||s_z||_2 = |K(z, z)| \leq 1$ . Also

$$s_z(w) \otimes \frac{\overline{e(z)}}{|e(z)|} = K(w, z)$$

By (3.1) Proposition 3.1

$$|s_z(w)|^2 \leq \int_{B(w,\delta/2)} \chi(\zeta) |s_z(\zeta)|^2 dv_g \leq ||s_z||_{L^2(\chi dv_g)}^2$$

We have  $||s_z||_{L^2(\chi dV_g)} = \sup_{\sigma} |\langle \sigma, s_z \rangle_{L^2(\chi dv_g)}|$  where  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}^2(B_g(z, \delta), L)$  such that  $||\sigma||_{L^2(\chi dv_g)} = 1$ . we have

$$\left| \langle \sigma, s_z \rangle_{L^2(\chi dv_g)} \right|_{\mathbb{C}} = \left| \int_M \langle \chi(w)\sigma(w), s_z(w) \rangle dv_g(w) \right|_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \int_M \langle \chi(w)\sigma(w), |e(z)| \overline{f_i(z)} s_i(w) \rangle dv_g(w) \right|_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \int_M \langle \chi(w)\sigma(w), s_i(w) \rangle f_i(z) |e(z)| dv_g(w) \right|_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \int_M \langle \chi(w)\sigma(w), s_i(w) \rangle f_i(z) e(z) dv_g(w) \right|_{L_z}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \int_M \langle \chi(w)\sigma(w), s_i(w) \rangle s_i(z) dv_g(w) \right|_{L_z}$$

$$= \left| \int_M K(z, w) \bullet \chi(w)\sigma(w) dv_g(w) \right|_{L_z}$$

$$= |P(\chi\sigma)(z)|_{L_z}$$

Since  $c(L)+Ricci(g)\geq ag$ , by Theorem 2.11 there exists a solution u of  $\bar{\partial}u=\bar{\partial}\chi.\sigma$  such that

$$\int_{M} |u|^{2} dv_{g} \leq \int_{M} |\bar{\partial}\chi|_{g}^{2} |\sigma|^{2} dv_{g} < \infty$$

Let  $u_{\sigma} = \chi \sigma - P(\chi \sigma)$  be the solution having minimal  $L^2$ -norm of

$$\bar{\partial}u=\bar{\partial}\chi.\sigma$$

Since  $\chi(z) = 0$ 

$$\left| \langle \sigma, s_z \rangle_{L^2(\chi dv_g)} \right|_{\mathbb{C}} = |P(\chi \sigma)(z)|_{L_z} = |u_{\sigma}(z)|_{L_z}$$

Since  $B(z, \delta/2) \cap B(w, \delta/2) = \emptyset$ , the section  $u_{\sigma}$  is holomorphic in  $B_g(z, \delta/2)$ . Let  $\epsilon \in ]0, 2/\delta]$ , By (3.1) Proposition 3.1

$$|u_{\sigma}(z)|_{L_{z}}^{2} \leq \int_{B_{\sigma}(z,\delta/2)} |u_{\sigma}(\zeta)|_{L_{\zeta}}^{2} dv_{g} \leq \int_{B_{\sigma}(z,\delta/2)} e^{-\epsilon d(\zeta,z)} |u_{\sigma}(\zeta)|_{L_{\zeta}}^{2} dv_{g}$$
 (3.5)

Let  $\eta := -\epsilon \Phi_z$  where  $\Phi_z$  is as in lemma 2.8 and  $\Theta = \epsilon \omega_g$ . Choose  $\epsilon$  small enough such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) - i\epsilon \partial \bar{\partial} \Phi_z - i\epsilon^2 \partial \Phi_z \wedge \bar{\partial} \Phi_z - \epsilon \omega_g \ge 0$$

By Theorem 2.12

$$\int_{M} e^{-\epsilon \Phi_{z}} |u_{\sigma}|^{2} dv_{g} \leq \int_{M} e^{-\epsilon \Phi_{z}} |\bar{\partial}\chi|_{g}^{2} |\sigma|^{2} dv_{g}$$

Since  $C_1d_g(.,z) \leq \Phi_z \leq C_2(d_g(.,z)+1)$ , we obtain

$$|u_{\sigma}(z)|_{L_z}^2 \leq \int_M e^{-\epsilon C_1 d_g(\zeta,z)} \chi(\zeta) |\sigma(\zeta)|^2 dv_g$$

Since  $\zeta \in B_g(w, \delta)$  we have

$$d_g(\zeta, z) \geq d_g(z, w) - d_g(w, \zeta)$$
  
$$\geq d_g(z, w) - \delta \geq d_g(z, w)$$

Finally

$$|K(z,w)| \leq \sup_{\sigma} |u_{\sigma}(z)|_{L_z} \leq e^{-\alpha d_g(z,w)}.$$

3.6. Boundedness of the Bergman Projection on  $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ . The following poposition is a consequence of Theorem 3.6

**Proposition 3.7.** Let (M, g) be a Kähler Cartan-Hadamard manifold with bounded geometry. such that

$$\sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} e^{-\beta d_g(w,z)} dv_g < \infty$$

for all  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_g$$

for some positive constant a. Let  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . Then the Bergman projection is bounded as a map from  $L^p(M, L)$  to  $\mathcal{F}^p(M, L)$ .

*Proof.* If  $p = \infty$ , we have

$$||Ps||_{\infty} = \left\| \int_{M} K(z, w).s(w)dv_{g}(w) \right\|_{\infty}$$

$$\leq ||s||_{\infty} \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} |K(z, w)|dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\leq ||s||_{\infty} \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(z, w)} dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\leq ||s||_{\infty}$$

P is bounded from  $L^{\infty}(M,L)$  to  $\mathcal{F}^{\infty}(M,L)$ . If  $p \in [1,\infty[$ ,

$$\int_{M} |Ps(z)|^{p} dv_{g}(w) = \int_{M} \left| \int_{M} K(z, w).s(w) dv_{g}(w) \right|^{p} dv_{g}(z) \\
\leq \int_{M} \left| \int_{M} |s(w)| K(z, w) |dv_{g}(w) \right|^{p} dv_{g}(z) \\
\leq \int_{M} \left( \left( \int_{M} |K(z, w)| dv_{g}(w) \right)^{p-1} \\
\times \int_{M} |s(w)|^{p} |K(z, w)| dv_{g}(w) \right) dv_{g}(z) \text{ Jensen inequality}) \\
\leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(w, z)} dv_{g}(w) \right)^{p-1} \\
\times \int_{M} |s(w)|^{p} |K(z, w)| dv_{g}(w) dv_{g}(z)$$

Thus

$$\int_{M} |Ps(z)|^{p} dv_{g}(w) \leq \int_{M} \int_{M} |s(w)|^{p} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(w,z)} dv_{g}(w) dv_{g}(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} |s(w)|^{p} dv_{g}(w)$$

and then P is bounded from  $L^p(M, L)$  to  $\mathcal{F}^p(M, L)$ .

## 4. Boundedness and Compactness for Toeplitz Operators

Let (M,g) is a Kähler manifold. Consider the following conditions:

- (1) (M, g) is a Cartan-Hadamard manifold.
- (2) (M,q) has bounded geometry,
- (3)  $(L,h) \longrightarrow (M,g)$  is a hermitian holomorphic line bundle with bounded curvature such that

$$c(L) + Ricci(g) \ge a\omega_g$$

for some positive constant a.

(4) For all  $\beta > 0$ 

$$\sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} e^{-\beta d_g(w,z)} dv_g(w) < \infty$$

Remark 4.1. Let (M,g) has bounded geometry and  $Ricci(g) \geq Kg$ . Since

$$\int_{M} e^{-\beta d_g(w,z)} dv_g(w) \simeq \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta r} vol(B_g(z,r)) dr$$

if the volume of (M, g) grows uniformly subexponentially then it satisfies the condition (4). In particular this is true if the volume of (M, g) grows uniformly polynomially.

# 4.1. Carleson Measures for $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A positive measure  $\mu$  on M is Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^p(M, L)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if the exists  $C_{\mu,p} > 0$  such that

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{F}^p(M,L) : \int_M |s|^p d\mu \le C_{\mu,p} \int_M |s|^p dv_g$$

If  $p = \infty$ , the measure  $\mu$  on M is Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^{\infty}(M, L)$  if there exists C, r > 0 such that  $\mu(B(z, r)) \leq C$ .

The following is a geometric characterization of Carleson measures established earlier for classical Bargman-Fock space by Ortega Cerda [22] and for generalized Bargman-Fock space by Schuster-Varolin [23].

**Theorem 4.3.** Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold which satisfies (1), (2) and (3). Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on M. Let  $p \in [1, \infty[$ . If  $p \neq 2$  or  $p \neq \infty$ , suppose further

$$\sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} e^{-\beta d_g(w,z)} dv_g(w) < \infty$$

for all  $\beta > 0$ . The following are equivalent.

- (a) The measure  $\mu$  is Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ .
- (b) There exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $\mu(B_g(z,r)) \leq C_{r_0}$  for any  $z \in M$ .
- (c) For each r > 0 there exists  $C_r > 0$  such that  $\mu(B_g(z, r)) \leq C_r$  for any  $z \in M$ .

*Proof.*  $(c) \Longrightarrow (b)$  is trivial. For  $(b) \Longrightarrow (c)$ , fix  $r > r_0$  and an  $r_0$ -lattice  $(a_k)$  in M. There exists an integer N such that each point  $z \in M$  has a neighborhood which intersect at most N of the  $B_k(a_k, r_0)$ 's. Hence

$$\mu(B(z,r)) \le \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mu(B_g(a_k, r_0)) \le NC_{r_0}$$

 $(b) \Longrightarrow (a)$ . Let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ . By (3.1) of proposition 3.1

$$\int_{B_g(a_k, r_0/2)} |s|^p d\mu \leq \mu(B(a_k, r_0/2)) \sup_{w \in B_g(a_k, r_0/2)} |s(w)|^p 
\leq \sup_{w \in B_g(a_k, r_0/2)} |s(w)|^2 
\leq \int_{B_g(a_k, r_0)} |s(w)|^p dv_g$$

Hence

$$\int_{M} |s|^{p} d\mu \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{g}(a_{k}, r_{0})} |s|^{p} d\mu$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{g}(a_{k}, r_{0})} |s|^{2} dv_{g}$$

$$\leq \int_{M} |s|^{p} dv_{g}$$

 $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$ . Let  $z \in M$ . By proposition 3.3 there is a section  $s_z \in \mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$  such that

$$|s_z(z)| = 1$$
 and  $\int_M |s_z|^p dv_g \le C$ 

for some C>0 independant of z. Also by lemma 3.2 there exists  $0<\delta< R$  such that

$$\forall w \in B_g(z, \delta) : |s_z(w)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence

$$\mu(B_g(z,\delta)) \leq \int_{B_g(z,\delta)} |s_z|^p d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_M |s_z|^p d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_M |s_z|^p dv_g$$

$$\leq 1 \quad (by Carleson condition)$$

4.2. Vanishing Carleson Measures for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ . Recall that a bounded linear operator  $T: \mathcal{F}^2(M, L) \longrightarrow L^2(M, L, d\mu)$  is a compact operator if for all sequence  $(s_i) \subset \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  converging weakly to zero section i.e

$$\forall \ \sigma \in \mathcal{F}^2(M,L) : \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_M \langle \sigma(w), s_j(w) \rangle dv_g = 0$$

we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_M |Ts_j|^p d\mu = 0$$

The following lemma is a consequence of proposition 3.1, Montel's Theorem and Alaouglu's Theorem.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $(s_j)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ . The following are equivalent. (a)  $(s_j)$  converges weakly zero.

(b) There exists C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{j} \int_{M} |s_{j}|^{2} dv_{g} \le C$$

and for all compact  $F \subset M$ 

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sup_{z \in F} |s_j(z) - s(z)| = 0$$

**Definition 4.5.** A positive measure  $\mu$  on M is a vanishing Carleson if the inclusion  $i_{\mu}: \mathcal{F}^2(M,L) \longrightarrow L^2(M,L,\mu)$  is a compact operator.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold which satisfies (1), (2) and (3). Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on M. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) The measure  $\mu$  is a vanishing Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ .
- (b) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists r > 0 such that  $\mu(B_g(z, R)) \leq \epsilon$  for any  $z \in M \setminus B_g(z_0, r)$ , where  $z_0 \in M$  fixed.

*Proof.* (b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a) Let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ . By proposition (2.1)

$$|s(z)| \leq \int_M \mathbf{1}_{B_g(z,1)} |s|^2 dv_g$$

Hence

$$\int_{M} |s(z)|^{2} d\mu \leq \int_{M} \int_{M} \mathbf{1}_{B_{g}(z,1)} |s(w)|^{2} dv_{g}(w) d\mu(z)$$

$$= \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} \mu(B(z,1)) dv_{g}(w)$$

Let  $(s_j) \subset \mathcal{F}^2(M; L)$  be a sequence converging weakly to zero. By lemma 3.4  $(s_j)$  is bounded by C on  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  and converge to zero locally uniformly in M. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and r > 0 such that  $\mu(B_g(z, 1)) < \epsilon$  for  $z \in M \setminus B_g(z_0, r)$ . For j large enough

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} |s_{j}|^{2} d\mu & \; \preceq \; \; \int_{B_{g}(z_{0},r)} |s_{j}(z)|^{2} \mu(B_{g}(z,1)) dv_{g}(z) \\ & + \epsilon \int_{M \backslash B_{g}(z_{0},r)} |s_{j}(z)|^{2} \mu(B_{g}(z,1)) dv_{g}(z) \\ & \; \preceq \; \; \int_{B_{g}(z_{0},r)} |s_{j}(z)|^{2} \mu(B_{g}(z,1)) dv_{g}(z) + C\epsilon \\ & \; \prec \; \; 2C\epsilon \end{split}$$

Thus  $\mu$  is a vanishing Carleson measure.

 $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$  Let  $(z_j) \subset M$  such that  $d_g(z_j, z_0) \longrightarrow \infty$ . For each j, let  $s_j \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  such that

$$|s_j(w)| = |K(w, z_j)|$$
 and  $||s_j||_2 \approx 1$ 

Then  $s_j \longrightarrow 0$  locally uniformly in M. Since  $\mu$  is vanishing Carleson

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_M |s_j|^2 d\mu = 0$$

By proposition 3.5 there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  and  $\delta$  such that

$$|K(z,w)| \ge C_1 |K(z,z)| \ge C_2$$

for all  $w \in B_q(z, \delta)$ . Then

$$\int_{M} |s_{j}|^{2} d\mu \geq \int_{B_{g}(z_{j},\delta)} |s_{z_{j}}|^{2} d\mu$$

$$= \int_{B_{g}(z_{j},\delta)} |K(z,z_{j})|^{2} d\mu$$

$$\succeq \mu(B_{g}(z_{j},\delta))|K(z_{j},z_{j})|^{2}$$

$$\succeq \mu(B_{g}(z_{j},\delta))$$

since  $|K(z_j, z_j)| \approx 1$  uniformly in j. Hence

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(B_g(z_j, \delta)) = 0$$

Since  $B_g(z_j, 1)$  is covered by N balls  $B_g(a_{k_1}, \delta), \dots, B_g(a_{k_N}, \delta)$  ( $\delta$ -lattice), it follows that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(B_g(z_j, 1)) = 0$$

4.3. Berezin Transforms of Carleson Measures. Let  $\mu$  be a positive meaure on M. The Berezin transform of  $\mu$  is the function  $\tilde{\mu}: M \to \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}(z) := \int_{M} |k_z(w)|^2 d\mu(w)$$

where

$$k_z(w) := \frac{K(w, z)}{\sqrt{|K(z, z)|}}$$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3). Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on M. Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . If  $p \neq 2$  or  $p \neq \infty$  suppose further

$$\sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} e^{-\beta d_g(w,z)} dv_g(w) < \infty$$

for all  $\beta > 0$ . The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\mu$  is Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ .
- (b)  $\tilde{\mu}$  is bounded on M.

Proof. (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b) For  $z \in M$  let  $s_z \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  such that  $|s_z(w)| = |K(z, w)|$ . By off-diagonal estimate  $|s_z(w)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha d_g(z,w)} \leq 1$ . Let  $(a_i)$  be a lattice of M. Since  $\mu$  is Carleson by Theorem 4.1  $\mu(B_g(a_i, r)) \leq C$ . We have

$$\tilde{\mu}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|K(z,z)|}} \int_{M} |s_{z}|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j} \int_{B_{g}(a_{j},r)} |s_{z}|^{2} d\mu(w) \text{ (since } |K(z,z)| \approx 1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j} \left( \int_{B_{g}(a_{j},r)} |s_{z}|^{p} d\mu(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{B_{g}(a_{j},r)} |s_{z}|^{q} d\mu(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j} \left( \int_{B_{g}(a_{j},r)} |s_{z}|^{p} d\mu(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(B_{g}(a_{j},r))^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{B_{g}(a_{j},r)} |s_{z}(w)|$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{M} |s_{z}|^{p} d\mu(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{M} |s_{z}|^{p} dv_{g}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (\mu \text{ is Carleson for } \mathcal{F}^{p}(M,L))$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{M} |s_{z}|^{p} dv_{g}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq 1$$

Hence if  $\mu$  is a Carleson then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is uniformly bounded.

 $(b) \Longrightarrow (a)$  Suppose that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is bounded on M. Then there exists C > 0 such that for all  $\delta > 0$  and  $z \in M$ 

$$\int_{B_q(z,\delta)} |k_z(w)|^2 d\mu(w) \le \tilde{\mu}(z) \le C$$

By diagonal estimates for the Bergman Kernel there exists  $C_1, \delta > 0$  independent of z such that for all  $w \in B_g(z, \delta)$ 

$$|K(z,w)| \ge C_1 |K(z,z)|$$

Since  $|K(z,z)| \approx 1$ 

$$|k_z(w)|^2 \succeq 1, \ \forall \ w \in B_g(z, \delta)$$

Hence

$$\mu(B_q(z,\delta)) \leq 1$$
 uniformly for  $z \in M$ 

and by Theorem 4.3  $\mu$  is Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ .

## 4.4. Berezin Transforms of Vanishing Carleson Measures.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold satisfying the conditions (1),(2) and (3). Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on M. The following are equivalent.

(a)  $\mu$  is vanishing Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ .

(b) 
$$\lim_{d_g(z,z_0)\to\infty} \tilde{\mu}(z) = 0.$$

*Proof.*  $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$  Let  $(z_n) \in M$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_g(z_n, z_0) = \infty$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $s_n \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  such that  $|s_n(w)| = |K(w, z_n)|$ . Put

$$\tilde{s}_n(w) = \frac{s_n(w)}{\sqrt{|K(z_n, z_n)|}}$$

Then  $\tilde{s}_n \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ . Since  $|K(z_n, z_n)| \times 1$  uniformly in n and

$$|\tilde{s}_n(w)| \le Ce^{-\alpha d_g(w,z_n)}$$

then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{s}_n(w) = 0$  and

$$\int_{M} |\tilde{s}_n|^2 dv_g(w) = 1$$

So  $\tilde{s}_n \to 0$  uniformly on compacts of M. By lemma 4.4  $\tilde{s}_n \to 0$  weakly on  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ . Since  $\mu$  is vanishing Carleson

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\mu}(z_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_M |\tilde{s}_n(w)|^2 d\mu(w) = 0$$

 $(b)\Longrightarrow(a)$  Following the proof of  $(b)\Longrightarrow(a)$  in Theorem 4.3 we have

$$\mu(B(z,r)) \preceq \tilde{\mu}(z)$$

Hence

$$\lim_{d_g(z,z_0)\to\infty}\mu(B(z,r)) \leq \lim_{d_g(z,z_0)\to\infty}\tilde{\mu}(z) = 0$$

By Theorem 4.6  $\mu$  is vanishing Carleson.

4.5. **Proof ot Theorem 1.2.** (b)  $\iff$  (c) follows from Theorem 4.7

 $(b) \iff (d)$  follows from Theorem 4.3.

 $(b) \iff (a)$  Suppose that  $\mu$  is a Carleson measure. Fix  $p \in ]1, \infty[$ . Let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ . Then

$$\int_{M} \left| \int_{M} \langle s(w), K(w, z > d\mu(w)) \right|^{p} dv_{g}(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |s| |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \right)^{p} dv_{g}(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |s| |K(w, z)|^{\frac{1}{p}} |K(w, z)|^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(w) \right)^{p} dv_{g}(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |s(w)|^{p} |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \right) \left( \int_{M} |K(z, w)| d\mu(w) \right)^{p-1} dv_{g}(z)$$

Let  $s_z \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  such that  $|s_z(w)| = |K(w, z)|$ . Then

$$\int_{M} |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) = \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)| d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)| dv_{g}(w) \text{ ($\mu$ is Carleson for $\mathcal{F}^{1}(M, L)$)}$$

$$= \int_{M} |K(w, z)| dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\leq C \int_{M} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(w, z)} dv_{g}(w) \leq 1$$

and

$$\int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} |K(w,z)| d\mu(w) \right) dv_{g}(z) \\
\leq \int_{M} |s(w)|^{p} \left( \int_{M} |K(w,z)| dv_{g}(z) \right) d\mu(w) \\
\leq \int_{M} |s|^{p} d\mu(w) \text{ (by off-diagonal estimate)} \\
\leq \int_{M} |s|^{p} dv_{g} (\mu \text{ is Carleson for } \mathcal{F}^{p}(M,L))$$

Hence

$$\int_{M} |T_{\mu}s(w)|^{p} dv_{g}(z) \le C_{\mu} \int_{M} |s|^{p} dv_{g}$$

If 
$$f \in \mathcal{F}^1(M, L)$$
 then 
$$\int_M \Big| \int_M \langle s(w), K(w, z > d\mu(w) \Big| dv_g(z) \Big|$$

$$\leq \int_M \Big( \int_M |s| |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \Big) dv_g(z)$$

$$\leq \int_M \Big( \int_M |s| |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \Big) dv_g(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} |s(w)| \left( \int_{M} |K(z, w)| dv_{g}(z) \right) d\mu(w) 
\leq \int_{M} |s(w)| \left( \int_{M} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(z, w)} dv_{g}(z) \right) d\mu(w) 
\leq \int_{M} |s(w)| d\mu(w) 
\leq \int_{M} |s(w)| dv_{g}(w) \quad (\mu \text{ is Carleson for } \mathcal{F}^{1}(M, L)).$$

Hence

$$\int_{M} |T_{\mu}s(w)| dv_{g}(z) \le C_{\mu} \int_{M} |s| dv_{g}$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\infty}(M, L)$  then

$$\sup_{z \in M} \left| \int_{M} \langle s(w), K(w, z > d\mu) \right| \leq \|s\|_{\infty} \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} |K(z, w)| d\mu(w)$$

$$= \|s\|_{\infty} \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)| d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq \|s\|_{\infty} \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)| dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\leq \|s\|_{\infty} \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} |K(z, w)| dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\leq \|s\|_{\infty} \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(z, w)} dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\leq \|s\|_{\infty}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{z \in M} |T_{\mu}s(z)| \le C_{\mu} \sup_{z \in M} |s(z)|$$

We conclude that  $T_{\mu}: \mathcal{F}^p(M,L) \to \mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$  is well defined and bounded if  $\mu$  is Carleson.

Inversely, suppose  $T_{\mu}: \mathcal{F}^p(M,L) \to \mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$  is bounded. Let  $s_z \in \mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  such that  $|s_z(w)| = |K(w,z)|$ . By reproducing property of the Bergman kernel

$$s_z(w) = \int_M \langle s_z(t), K(t, w) \rangle dv_g(t)$$

By diagonal bounds for the Bergman kernel, there exists  $C, \delta > 0$  such that  $|s_z(w)| \ge C$  for all  $w \in B_q(z, \delta)$ . We have

$$\mu(B_{g}(z,\delta)) \leq \int_{B_{g}(z,\delta)} |s_{z}(w)|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

$$= \int_{M} \langle s_{z}(w), \int_{M} \langle s_{z}(t), K(t,w) \rangle dv_{g}(t) \rangle d\mu(w)$$

$$= \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} \langle s_{z}(w), \langle s_{z}(t), K(t,w) \rangle \rangle d\mu(w) \right) dv_{g}(t)$$

$$= \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} \langle s_{z}(t), \langle s_{z}(w), K(w,t) \rangle \rangle d\mu(w) \right) dv_{g}(t)$$

$$= \int_{M} \langle s_{z}(t), \int_{M} \langle s_{z}(w), K(w,t) \rangle d\mu(w) \rangle dv_{g}(t)$$

$$= \int_{M} \langle s_{z}(t), T_{\mu}s_{z}(t) \rangle dv_{g}(t)$$

$$\leq \|T_{\mu}s_{z}\|_{p} \|s_{z}\|_{q} \leq \|T_{\mu}\| \|s_{z}\|_{p} \|s_{z}\|_{q} \leq C$$

Therfore by Theorem 4.3  $\mu$  is Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^p(M,L)$ .

- 4.6. **Proof ot Theorem 1.3.**  $(b) \iff (c)$  follows from Theorem 4.8.
- $(b) \iff (d)$  follows from Theorem 4.6.
- $(b) \iff (a)$  Suppose that  $\mu$  is vanishing Carleson. Let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ . Let  $s_z$  the holomorphic section such that  $|s_z(w)| = |K(w, z)|$ . Then

$$\int_{M} |T_{\mu}(z)|^{2} dv_{g}(z) = \int_{M} \left| \int_{M} \langle s(w), K(w, z) \rangle d\mu(w) \right|^{2} dv_{g}(z) 
\leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \right) \left( \int_{M} |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \right) dv_{g}(z) 
= \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \right) \left( \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)| d\mu(w) \right) dv_{g}(z) 
\leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) \right) \left( \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)| dv_{g}(w) \right) dv_{g}(z) 
\leq \int_{M} \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} |K(w, z)| d\mu(w) dv_{g}(z) \left( \sup_{z \in M} \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)| dv_{g}(w) \right) 
\leq \int_{M} \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} |K(z, w)| dv_{g}(z) d\mu(w) 
\leq \int_{M} |s(w)|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

Hence  $||T_{\mu}|| \leq C||i_{\mu}||$  and this follows that  $T_{\mu}$  is compact.

Inversely suppose that  $T_{\mu}: \mathcal{F}^{2}(M,L) \to \mathcal{F}^{2}(M,L)$  is compact. Let  $(z_{j}) \in M$  such that  $d_{g}(z_{j},z_{0}) \to 0$  and  $s_{z_{j}} \in \mathcal{F}^{2}(M,L)$  such that  $|s_{z_{j}}(w)| = |K(w,z_{n})|$ . By off-diagonal estimate, the sequence  $(s_{z_{j}})$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{F}^{2}(M,L)$  and converge

locally uniformly to zero section. Hence  $(s_{z_j})$  converge weakly to the zero. Since  $T_{\mu}$  is compact and

$$\Big| \int_{M} \langle T_{\mu} s_{z_{j}}, s_{z_{j}} \rangle dv_{g} \Big| \le ||T_{\mu} s_{z_{j}}||_{2} ||s_{z_{j}}||_{2}$$

we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_M \langle T_{\mu} s_{z_j}, s_{z_j} \rangle dv_g = 0$$

From

$$\Big| \int_{M} \langle T_{\mu} s_{z_{j}}, s_{z_{j}} \rangle dv_{g} \Big| = \int_{M} |s_{z_{j}}|^{2} dv_{g}$$

the diagonal estimates  $|s_{z_i}(w)| \succeq 1$  on  $B_g(z_i, \delta)$ , we get

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(B_g(z_j, \delta)) \le \lim_{j \to \infty} \left| \int_M \langle T_\mu s_{z_j}, s_{z_j} \rangle dv_g \right| = 0$$

By Theorem 4.8  $\mu$  is vanishing Carleson for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ .

### 5. Schatten Class Memberhip of Toeplitz Operators

Suppose that T is a compact operator between Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Then T has a Schmidt decomposition, so that there are orthonormal bases  $(e_n)$  and  $(\sigma_n)$  of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively and a sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  with  $\lambda_n > 0$  and  $\lambda_n \to 0$  such that for all  $f \in H_1$ 

$$Tf = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n < f, e_n > \sigma_n$$

For 0 , such a compact operator <math>T belongs to the Schatten-von Neumann p-class  $S_p = S_p(H_1, H_2)$  if and only if

$$||T||_{\mathcal{S}_p}^p := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^p < \infty$$

If  $p \ge 1$  then  $S_p$  is a Banach space. If  $0 then <math>S_p$  is a Frechet space. For all  $T, S \in S_p(H_1, H_1)$ .

$$||T + S||_{\mathcal{S}_n}^p \le 2(||T||_{\mathcal{S}_n}^p + ||S||_{\mathcal{S}_n}^p)$$
(5.1)

By Proposition 6.3.3 in [33], if T is a positive operator on a Hilbert space H and 0 then

$$\langle T^p e_m, e_m \rangle \leq \langle T e_m, e_m \rangle^p$$

where  $(e_m)$  is an orthonormal set of H. It give that

$$||T||_{\mathcal{S}_p}^p \le \sum_{m,k}^{\infty} |\langle Te_m, e_k \rangle|^p$$

We will introduce the complex interpolation of Schatten p-class.

**Lemma 5.1.** If  $1 \le p \le \infty$  then

$$[\mathcal{S}_{p_0},\mathcal{S}_{p_1}]_{ heta}=\mathcal{S}_p$$

with equal norm for all  $1 \le p_0 < p_1 \le \infty$  and all  $\theta \in ]0,1[$ , where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$$

We will let  $(a_j)$  denote an r-lattice of M and  $\tilde{\mu}$  the Berezin transform of the positive measure on M. For  $z \in M$  let  $s_z \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  such that

$$s_z(w) \otimes \frac{\overline{e(z)}}{|e(z)|} = K(w, z)$$

where e is a frame of L around z.

**Lemma 5.2.** If T is a positive operator on  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ , then

$$tr(T) \asymp \int_{M} \tilde{T}(z) dv_g(z)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}(z) = \int_{M} \langle Ts_z(w), s_z(w) \rangle dv_g(w)$$

is the Berezin transform of T. In particular, T is trace-class if and only if the integral above converges.

*Proof.* Since T is positive then  $T = R^2$  for some  $R \ge 0$ . Let  $(e_j)$  is an orhonormal basis of  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle Te_j, e_j \rangle \approx \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||Re_j||^2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_M |Re_j(z)|^2 dv_g(z)$$

$$= \int_M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Re_j(z)|^2 dv_g(z)$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{tr}(T) = \int_{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big| \int_{M} \langle Re_{j}(w), K(w, z) \rangle dv_{g}(w) \Big|^{2} dv_{g}(z) 
= \int_{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big| \int_{M} \langle Re_{j}(w), s_{z}(w) \otimes \frac{\overline{e(z)}}{|e(z)|} \rangle dv_{g}(w) \Big|^{2} dv_{g}(z) 
= \int_{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big| \int_{M} \langle Re_{j}(w), s_{z}(w) \rangle \frac{\overline{e(z)}}{|e(z)|} dv_{g}(w) \Big|^{2} dv_{g}(z) 
= \int_{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big| \int_{M} \langle Re_{j}(w), s_{z}(w) \rangle dv_{g}(w) \Big|^{2} dv_{g}(z) 
= \int_{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big| \int_{M} \langle e_{j}(w), Rs_{z}(w) \rangle dv_{g}(w) \Big|^{2} dv_{g}(z) 
\approx \int_{M} \|Rs_{z}\|^{2} dv_{g}(z) \approx \int_{M} \langle Ts_{z}, s_{z} \rangle dv_{g}(z) = \int_{M} \tilde{T}(z) dv_{g}(z)$$

Corollary 5.3. Let  $\nu$  is a positive measure on M. Then  $T_{\nu} \in \mathcal{S}_1$  if and only if  $\mu(M) < \infty$ . In particular, if the support of  $\mu$  is compact then  $T_{\mu} \in \mathcal{S}_p$  for each  $p \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mu(M) < \infty$ . By Lemma 5.2

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_{\mu}) = \int_{M} \tilde{T}_{\mu}(z) dv_{g}(z)$$

$$\approx \int_{M} \int_{M} \langle T_{\mu} s_{z}(w), s_{z}(w) \rangle dv_{g}(w) dv_{g}(z)$$

$$\approx \int_{M} \int_{M} |s_{z}(w)|^{2} d\mu(w) dv_{g}(z)$$

$$\approx \int_{M} \int_{M} |K(w, z)|^{2} |dv_{g}(z)| d\mu(w)$$

$$\approx \int_{M} |K(w, w)| d\mu(w) \approx \mu(M)$$

Let  $T_{\mu} \in \mathcal{S}_1$  and  $z_0 \in M$  fixed. By diagonal bound estimates we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(T) \ \asymp \ \int_{M} \tilde{T}(z) dv_{g}(z) \asymp \int_{M} \left( \int_{M} |K(w,z)|^{2} dv_{g}(z) \right) d\mu(w)$$

$$\succeq \int_{M} \left( \int_{B_{g}(z_{0},\delta)} |K(w,z)|^{2} |dv_{g}(w) \right) d\mu(z) \asymp \operatorname{vol}_{g}(B_{g}(z_{0},\delta)\mu(M))$$

$$\succeq \mu(M)$$

We will need the following simple lemma that is well known in the classical Fock space setting [33].

**Lemma 5.4.** Let r > 0 and let  $(e_j)$  be any orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ . If  $(a_j)$  is an r-latice of M and H is the operator on  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  defined by  $He_j := s_{a_j}$  then H extends to a bounded operator on all of  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  whose operator norm is bounded above by a constant that only depends on r.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma, t \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  then

$$\langle H\sigma, t \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \sigma, e_j \rangle \langle s_{a_j}, t \rangle$$

Since

$$s_{a_j}(w) \otimes \frac{e(a_j)}{|e(a_j)|} = K(w, a_j)$$

where e is a frame of L around  $a_i$ . Since

$$t(a_j) = \int \int_M \langle t(w), K(w, a_j) \rangle dv_g(w)$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Proposition 3.1

$$|\langle A\sigma, t \rangle| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \sigma, e_{j} \rangle_{L^{2}}| |\langle s_{a_{j}}, t \rangle_{L^{2}}|$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \sigma, e_{j} \rangle_{L^{2}}| |\langle s_{a_{j}}, t \rangle_{L^{2}} \frac{e(a_{j})}{|e(a_{j})|}|_{L_{a_{j}}}$$

$$\leq \|\sigma\|_{2} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Big|\int_{M} \langle t(w), K(w, a_{j}) \rangle dv_{g}(w)\Big|_{L_{a_{j}}}^{2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \|\sigma\|_{2} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t(a_{j})|^{2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \|\sigma\|_{2} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{g}(a_{j}, r)} |t|^{2} dv_{g}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \|\sigma\|_{2} \|t\|_{2}$$

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $p \geq 1$ . If  $\phi \in L^p(M, dv_g)$  and  $T_{\phi}$  the Toeplitz operator with smbol  $\phi$ 

$$T_{\phi}s(z) = \int_{M} \langle s(w), K(w, z) \rangle \phi(w) dv_{g}(w)$$

for all  $s \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  then  $T_{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}_p$ .

*Proof.* Assume p = 1. Let  $g \in L^1(M, dv_g)$  and  $(e_j)$  be an orthonormal set on  $\mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ . By Fubini theorem

$$< T_{\phi} e_j(z), e_j(z) > = \int_M |e_j(z)|^2 \phi(z) dv_g(z)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle T_{\phi}e_{j}, e_{j} \rangle| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{M} |e_{j}(w)|^{2} \phi(w) dv_{g}(w) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |e_{j}(w)| |\phi(w)| dv_{g}(w)$$

$$= \int_{m} |\phi(w)| |K(w, w)| d_{g}(w)$$

$$\leq ||\phi||_{1} \quad \text{(by diagonal estimate)}$$

Thus, for p = 1,  $T_{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}_1$  and  $||T_{\mu}||_{\mathcal{S}_1} \leq ||\phi||_1$ . Also  $||T_{\mu}||_{\mathcal{S}_{\infty}} \leq ||\phi||_{\infty}$ . By interpolation of Lemma 5.1, we can get  $T_{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}_p$  and  $||T_{\phi}||_{\mathcal{S}_p} \leq ||\phi||_p$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** Suppose that (M, g) satisfies the conditions (1)(2), (3) and (4) of section 4. Let r > 0 and 0 . The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p(M, dv_q)$
- (b)  $\mu(B_g(.,r) \in L^p(M,dv_g)$
- (c)  $\mu(B_q(a_i,r)) \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$

*Proof.*  $(c) \Longrightarrow (a)$  We have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mu}(z) &= \int_{M} |k_{z}(w)|^{2} d\mu(w) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{g}(a_{j},r)} \frac{|K(w,z)|^{2}}{|K(z,z)|} d\mu(w) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{g}(a_{j},r)} e^{-2\alpha d_{g}(w,z)} d\mu(w) \end{split}$$

Since  $d_g(w, ) \ge d_g(z, a_j) - d_g(a_j, w)$  for all  $w \in B_g(a_j, r)$ 

$$\tilde{\mu}(z) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_g(a_j,r)} e^{-2\alpha d_g(w,z)} d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_g(a_j,r)} e^{-2\alpha (d_g(z,a_j)-r)} d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\alpha d_g(z,a_j)} \mu(B_j(a_j,r))$$

By Hölder inequality  $\tilde{\mu}(z)^p \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-2p\alpha d_g(z,a_j)} \mu(B_j(a_j,r))^p$ . Hence

$$\int_{M} \tilde{\mu}(z)^{p} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{M} e^{-2p\alpha d_{g}(z,a_{j})} \mu(B_{j}(a_{j},r))^{p}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_{j}(a_{j},r))^{p} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{M} e^{-2p\alpha d_{g}(z,a_{j})}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_{j}(a_{j},r))^{p} < \infty$$

 $(a)\Longrightarrow (b)$  By diagonal bound estimate  $|K(z,z)|\asymp 1$  and  $|K(z,w)|\succeq |K(z,z)|$  for all  $w\in B_g(z,\delta)$ 

$$\tilde{\mu}(z) = \int_{M} |k_{z}(w)|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

$$\geq \int_{B_{g}(z,r)} |k_{z}(w)|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

$$\succeq \int_{B_{g}(z,r)} |K(w,z)|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

$$\succeq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{g}(z,r)\cap B_{g}(a_{j},\delta)} |K(w,z)|^{2} d\mu(w)$$

$$\succeq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{g}(z,r)\cap B_{g}(a_{j},\delta)} d\mu(w) \succeq \mu(B(z,r))$$

 $(b) \Longrightarrow (c)$  We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_g(a_j, \frac{r}{2})} \mu(B(z, r))^p dv_g(z) \preceq \int_M \mu(B_g(z, r))^p dv_g(z)$$

Since for any  $z \in B_g(a_j, \frac{r}{2})$ :  $\mu(B_g(z, r)) \ge \mu(B_g(a_j, \frac{r}{2})$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_g(a_j, \frac{r}{2}))^p \preceq \int_M \mu(B_g(z, r))^p dv_g(z)$$

Thus  $\mu(B_g(.,r)) \in L^p(M,dv_g)$  implies that  $(\mu(B_g(a_j,r)) \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ .

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4 for the case  $1 \le p < \infty$ .  $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$ . Since  $T_{\mu}$  is a positive operator then  $T_{\mu} \in \mathcal{S}_p$  if and only if  $T_{\mu}^p \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . By Proposition 6.3.3 in

[33]

$$\tilde{T}^{p}_{\mu}(z) = \int_{M} \langle T^{p}_{\mu} s_{z}(w), s_{z}(w) \rangle dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\geq \left( \int_{M} \langle T_{\mu} s_{z}(w), s_{z}(w) \rangle \right)^{p}$$

$$= (\tilde{\mu}(z))^{p}$$

Hence by Lemma 5.2

$$\int_{M} (\tilde{\mu}(z))^{p} dv_{g}(z) \leq \int_{M} |\tilde{T}^{p}_{\mu}(z)| \leq \operatorname{tr}(T_{\mu}^{p}) < \infty$$

Then  $\phi \in L^p(M, dv_g)$ .

 $(b) \Longrightarrow (c)$  Put

$$\phi_r(z) = \mu(B_g(z, r))$$

By diagonal estimates for the Bergman kernel, for some  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\mu(B_g(z,\epsilon)) \leq \int_{B_g(z,\epsilon)} |K(z,w)|^2 d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|K(z,z)|} \int_{B_g(z,\epsilon)} |K(z,w)|^2 d\mu(w)$$

$$\leq \tilde{\mu}(z)$$

Hence  $z \to \phi_{\epsilon}(z) := \mu(B_g(z, \epsilon)) \in L^p(M, dv_g)$ .

 $(c) \Longrightarrow (a)$ . Suppose that  $T_{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ . For  $z_{0} \in M$  fixed, write  $\mu = \mu_{1} + \mu_{2}$  where

$$\mu_1 := \mu \mid_{B_g(z_0,\epsilon)}$$
 and  $\mu_2 := \mu \mid_{M \setminus B_g(z_0,\epsilon)}$ 

By Corollary 5.5  $T_{\mu_1} \in \mathcal{S}_p$ . Hence it suffices to show that  $T_{\mu_2} \in \mathcal{S}_p$ . If  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  we have

$$< T_{\phi_{\epsilon}} \sigma, \sigma > = \int_{M} |\sigma(w)| \phi_{\epsilon}(w) dv_{g}(w)$$

$$= \int_{M} |\sigma(w)|^{2} \mu(B_{g}(w, \epsilon)) dv_{g}(w)$$

$$\geq \int_{z \in M} \int_{B_{g}(z, \epsilon)} |\sigma(w)|^{2} dv_{g}(w) d\mu(z)$$

$$\succeq \int_{M \setminus B_{g}(z_{0}, \epsilon)} |\sigma(z)|^{2} d\mu(z) \quad \text{(Prop. 3.1)}$$

$$\succeq < T_{\mu_{2}} \sigma, \sigma >$$

Hence  $T_{\mu_2} \leq T_{\phi_{\epsilon}}$  so that  $||T_{\mu_2}||_p \leq ||T_{\phi_{\epsilon}}||_p$  and then  $T_{\mu_2} \in \mathcal{S}_p$ .

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4 for the case**  $0 . By lemma 5.6, it suffices to prove <math>(a) \Longrightarrow (d)$  and  $(b) \Longrightarrow (a)$ .

(a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (d) Suppose that  $T_{\mu} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$ . By near diagonal uniform estimate for the Bergman kernel there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\forall z \in M, \ \forall \ w \in B_g(z, \delta) : |K(w, z)| \succeq 1$$
 (5.2)

Let  $r \geq 2\delta$  and  $(a_j)$  an r-lattice. Let  $(a_{k_j}) \subset (a_j)$  such that  $d_g(a_{k_j}, a_{k_l}) > r$  if  $j \neq l$  so that

$$d_q(w, a_{k_i}) \le r/2 \Longrightarrow d_q(w, a_{k_i}) \ge r/2 \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$d_g(w, a_{k_j}) \le r/2 \Longrightarrow d_g(w, a_{k_l}) \ge \frac{1}{2} d_g(a_{k_j}, a_{k_l}) \tag{5.4}$$

Let  $\nu$  be the positive measure

$$\nu := \sum_j 1_{B_g(a_j, \delta)} \mu$$

Then  $T_{\nu} \leq T_{\mu}$  so that  $||T_{\nu}||_{p} \leq ||T_{\mu}||_{p}$ . Let  $(e_{t})$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{F}^{2}(M,L)$  and  $H: \mathcal{F}^{2}(M,L) \to \mathcal{F}^{2}(M,L)$  the operator defined by

$$He_m = s_{a_{k_m}}$$

here  $s_{a_{k_m}} \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  defined as

$$s_{a_{k_m}}(w) \otimes \frac{e(a_{k_m})}{|e(a_{k_m})|} = K(w, a_{k_m})$$

where e is a frame of L arround  $a_{k_m}$ . By off-diagonal estimate for the Bergman kernel

$$\forall w \in M : |s_{a_{k_m}}(w)| \leq e^{-\alpha d_g(w, a_{k_m})}$$

By lemma 5.4, H extends to a bounded operator on all of  $\mathcal{F}^2(M,L)$  whose operator norm is bounded above by a constant that only depends of  $(a_{k_m})$ . If  $R = H^*T_{\nu}H$  then

$$||R||_p \le ||T_\nu||_p \le ||T_\mu||_p$$

Consider the operators  $\Delta$  and E defined by

$$\Delta s := \sum_{m} \langle He_m, e_m \rangle \langle s, e_m \rangle e_m$$
 and  $E = R - \Delta$ 

By (5.1) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta\|_p^p - \|E\|_p^p \le \|H\|_p^p \le \|T_\mu\|_p^p \tag{5.5}$$

We estimate  $\|\Delta\|_p$  from below,

$$\|\Delta\|_{p}^{p} = \sum_{m} \langle De_{m}, e_{m} \rangle^{p}$$

$$= \sum_{m} \langle T_{\nu} a_{k_{m}}, a_{k_{m}} \rangle^{p}$$

$$= \sum_{m} \left( \int_{M} |s_{a_{k_{m}}}(w)|^{2} d\nu(w) \right)^{p}$$

$$= \sum_{m} \left( \int_{M} |K(w, a_{k_{m}})|^{2} d\nu(w) \right)^{p}$$

$$\geq \sum_{m} \left( \int_{B_{g}(a_{k_{m}}, \delta)} |K(w, a_{k_{m}})|^{2} d\nu(w) \right)^{p}$$

$$\geq \sum_{m} (\mu(B_{g}(a_{k_{m}}, \delta))^{p}$$

Thus

$$\|\Delta\|_p^p \succeq \sum_m (\mu(B_g(a_{k_m}, \delta))^p \tag{5.6}$$

We estimate  $||E||_p$  from above,

$$||E||_{p}^{p} \leq \sum_{l\neq m} \langle Re_{m}, e_{k} \rangle^{p}$$

$$= \sum_{l\neq m} \langle T_{\nu}e_{m}, e_{k} \rangle^{p}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l\neq m} \langle T_{\nu}s_{a_{k_{m}}}, s_{a_{k_{l}}} \rangle^{p}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l\neq m} \left( \int_{M} |s_{a_{k_{m}}}(w)| |s_{a_{k_{l}}}(w)| d\nu(w) \right)^{p}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l\neq m} \left( \int_{M} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(w, a_{k_{m}})} e^{-\alpha d_{g}(w, a_{k_{l}})} d\nu(w) \right)^{p}$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}} \sum_{m\neq l} \left( \int_{M} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} d_{g}(w, a_{k_{m}})} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} d_{g}(w, a_{k_{l}})} d\nu(w) \right)^{p} (5.3)$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}} \sum_{m\neq l} \left( \sum_{j} \int_{B_{g}(a_{k_{j}}, \delta)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} d_{g}(w, a_{k_{m}})} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} d_{g}(w, a_{k_{l}})} d\nu(w) \right)^{p}$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}} \sum_{m\neq l} \left( \sum_{j} \mu(B_{g}(a_{k_{j}}, \delta)) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4} d_{g}(a_{k_{m}}, a_{k_{j}})} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4} d_{g}(a_{k_{l}}, a_{k_{j}})} d\nu(w) \right)^{p} (5.4)$$

Since 0

$$||E||_{p}^{p} \leq e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}} \sum_{j} \mu(B_{g}(a_{k_{j}}, \delta))^{p} \sum_{m \neq k} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}d_{g}(a_{k_{m}}, a_{k_{j}})} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}d_{g}(a_{k_{l}}, a_{k_{j}})}$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}} \sum_{j} \mu(B_{g}(a_{k_{j}}, \delta))^{p} \left(\sum_{l} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}d_{g}(a_{k_{l}}, a_{k_{j}})}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}} \sum_{j} \mu(B_{g}(a_{k_{j}}, \delta))^{p}$$

Thus

$$||E||_p^p \leq e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}} \sum_i \mu(B_g(a_{k_j}, \delta))^p$$
 (5.7)

By (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7), for r large enough

$$||T_{\mu}||_{p}^{p} \geq \left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} - c_{2}e^{\frac{-\alpha pr}{2}}\right) \sum_{j} \mu(B_{g}(a_{k_{j}}, \delta))^{p}$$
  
$$\succeq \sum_{j} \mu(B_{g}(a_{k_{j}}, \delta))^{p}$$

for each sub-lattice  $(a_{k_i})$  of the r-lattice  $(a_j)$ . Thus

$$\sum_{j} \mu(B_g(a_j, \delta))^p \leq \|T_\mu\|_p^p$$

 $(b) \Longrightarrow (a)$  Suppose that  $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p(M, dv_g)$ . By Lemma 5.6 it suffice to show

$$\mu(B_g(.,\delta)) \in L^p(Mdv_g) \Longrightarrow T_\mu \in \mathcal{S}_p$$

Let  $\phi_r(z) := \mu(B_g(z, \delta))$ . If  $s \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$  we have

Thus  $T_{\mu} \leq T_{\phi_r}$ . Since  $T_{\phi_r} \in \mathcal{S}_p$  (Lemma 5.5) we get  $T_{\mu} \in \mathcal{S}_p$ .

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** For the proof of Theorem 1.5, we need some preliminary lemmas.

Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold and  $(L, h) \to M$  be a holomorphic hermitian line bundle. Let  $(N, \omega_N)$  be a an Hermitian manifold. For a holomorphic map  $\Phi: N \to M$ , let  $(\Phi^*L, \Phi^*h) \to N$  the holomorpic hermitian line bundle, called the pull back of L, whose fibers are  $(\Phi^*L)_x = L_{\Phi(x)}$  with metrics  $(\Phi^*h)(x) = h(\Phi(x))$  where  $x \in N$ . We define the composition operator

$$C_{\Phi}: \mathcal{F}^2(M, L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(N, \Phi^*L)$$
  
 $s \longrightarrow s \circ \Phi$ 

The transform  $B_{\Phi}$  (related to the usual Berezin transform) associated to  $\Phi$  is the function on M defined as follows

$$B_{\Phi}(z)^2 := \int_M |K(z, w)|^2 d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

where  $\nu_{\Phi}$  is the pull-back measure defined as follows: for all Borel set  $E \subset M$ 

$$\nu_{\Phi}(E) = \int_{N} \mathbf{1}_{\Phi^{-1}(E)}(w) dv_{\omega_{N}}(w)$$

Let  $z \in M$ . Fix a frame e in a neighborhood U of the point z and consider an orhonormal basis  $(s_j)_{j=1}^d$  of  $\mathcal{F}^2(X,L)$  (where  $1 \leq d \leq \infty$ ). In U each  $s_i$  is represented by a holomorphic function  $f_i$  such that  $s_i(x) = f_i(x)e(x)$ . Let

$$s_z(w) := |e(z)| \sum_{i=1}^d \overline{f_i(z)} s_i(w)$$

Then  $s_z$  is a holomorphic section and

$$|s_{z}(w)| = \left| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} \overline{f_{i}(z)} s_{i}(w) \right) \otimes \overline{e(z)} \right|$$
$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{i}(w) \otimes \overline{s_{i}(z)} \right|$$
$$= |K(w, z)|$$

By proposition 3.3

$$\int_{M} |s_z|^2 dv_g(w) = \int_{M} |K(w,z)|^2 dv_g(w)$$
$$= |K(z,z)| \times 1$$

Lemma 5.7. We have

$$< C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} s_z, s_z > = B_{\Phi}(z)^2$$
  
 $B_{\Phi}(z)^2 = \int_M |s_z(w)|^2 d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$ 

and

$$\int_{M} |B_{\Phi}(z)|^{p} dv_{g}(z) = \int_{M} \langle C_{\Phi}^{*} C_{\Phi} s_{z}, s_{z} \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} dv_{g}(z)$$

where  $\nu_{\Phi}$  is the pull-back measure defined as follows: for all Borel set  $E \subset M$ 

$$\nu_{\Phi}(E) = \int_{N} \mathbf{1}_{\Phi^{-1}(E)}(w) dv_{\omega_{N}}(w)$$

*Proof.* We have

$$< C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} s_z, s_z > = < C_{\Phi} s_z, C_{\Phi} s_z >$$

$$= \int_N |s_z(\Phi(w))|^2 d\nu_{\omega_N}(w)$$

$$= \int_M |s_z(w)|^2 d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

$$= \int_M |K(z, w)|^2 d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

$$= \int_M |K(z, \Phi(w))|^2 d\nu_g(w)$$

$$= B_{\Phi}(z)^2$$

The following lemma presents a desired connection between composition operators and Toeplitz operators.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold and let  $\Phi : N \to M$  be a holomorphic map such that  $C_{\Phi}$  is bounded. Then

$$C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} = T_{\nu_{\Phi}}$$

where

$$T_{\nu_{\Phi}}s(z) = \int_{M} \langle s(w), K(w, z) \rangle d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

*Proof.* Since  $C_{\Phi}$  is bounded, for all  $s, \sigma \in \mathcal{F}^2(M, L)$ 

Since

$$\sigma(w) = \int_{M} \langle \sigma(z), K(z, w) \rangle dv_{g}(z)$$

By Fubini Theorem

$$< C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} s, \sigma > = \int_M < s(w), \int_M K(w, z).\sigma(t) dv_g(z) > d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

$$= \int_M \int_M < s(w), K(w, z).\sigma(t) > dv_g(z) d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

$$= \int_M \int_M < K(z, w).s(w), \sigma(t) > dv_g(z) d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

$$= \int_M < \int_M K(z, w).s(w) d\nu_{\Phi}(w), \sigma(z) > dv_g(z)$$

$$= < \int_M K(., w).s(w) d\nu_{\Phi}(w), \sigma >$$

we get

$$C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} s(z) = \int_M \langle s(w), K(w, z) \rangle d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$

Corollary 5.9. Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold and let  $\Phi : N \to M$  be a holomorphic map such that  $C_{\Phi} : \mathcal{F}^2(M, L) \to \mathcal{F}^2(N, \Phi^*L)$  is bounded. If  $0 , then <math>C_{\Phi} \in \mathcal{S}_p$  if and only if  $T_{\nu_{\Phi}} \in \mathcal{S}_{p/2}$ .

Since  $|K(z,z)| \approx 1$  and

$$\tilde{\nu}_{\Phi}(z) = \frac{1}{|K(z,z)|} \int_{M} |K(z,w)|^{2} d\nu_{\Phi}(w) \simeq \int_{M} |K(z,w)|^{2} d\nu_{\Phi}(w)$$
$$\approx B_{\Phi}(z)^{2}$$

then the proof of Theorem 1.5 follows from Theorems 1.2;1.3 and 1.4.

#### References

- [1] S.Asserda, H.Amal: Pointwise estimate for the Bergman kernel of the weighted Bergman spaces with exponential type weights, Comptes Rendus Mathématiques, Volume 352, Issue 1, Pages 13-16 (2014).
- [2] B.Berndtsson: Uniform estimates weights for the  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, J. Geom. Anal. 7 (1997),195-215.
- [3] R.L.Bishop, R.J.Crittenden: Geometry of manifolds. AP, New York, 1964.
- [4] B.Carswell, B.D.MacCluer and A. Schuster: Composition operators on the Fock space, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), 871-887.
- [5] M. Christ: On the  $\bar{\partial}$  equation in weighted  $L^2$  norms in  $\mathbb{C}$ , J. Geom. Anal. 3 (1991), 193-230.
- [6] H.R.Cho, J.Isralowitz, J-C.Joo: Toeplitz operators on Fock-Sobolev type spaces. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 82 (2015), 1-32.
- [7] C.B.Croke: Some isoperimetric inequalities and eigenvalue estimates. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 13 (1980) 419-435.
- [8] H.Delin: Pointwise estimates for the weighted Bergman projection kernel in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , using a weighted  $L^2$  estimate for the  $\bar{\partial}$  equation, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 48 (1998), 967-997.
- [9] J.P.Demailly : Estimations  $L^2$  pour l'opérateur  $\partial$  d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semipositif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4e Sér. 15 (1982) 457-511.
- [10] R.E.Greene, H.H.Wu: Function Theory on Manifolds which Possess a Pole, Springer, LNM 699, Berlin 1979.
- [11] M.Gromov : Curvature, diameter and Betti numbers. Comment Math. Helvetici 56(1981), 179-195.
- [12] S. M. Grudsky and N. L. Vasilevski: Toeplitz operators on the Fock space: radial component effects, Integral Equations Operator Theory. 44 (2002), 10-37.
- [13] L.Hörmander: An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables, third ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [14] Z.Hu and X.Lv: Toeplitz operators from one Fock space to another, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 70 (2011), 541-559.
- [15] J.Isralowitz, J.Virtanen, Wolf,L.: Schatten class Toeplitz operators on generalized Fock spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 421(1), 329-337 (2015)
- [16] J.Isralowitz, K.Zhu: Toeplitz operators on the Fock space. Integral Equ.Oper. Theory 66, 593-611 (2010)
- [17] P.Li, R.Schoen:  $L^p$  and mean value properties of subharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds. Acta Mathematica 1984, Volume 153, pp 279-301.

- [18] N.Lindholm: Sampling in weighted  $L^p$  spaces of entire functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and estimates of the Bergman kernel. J. Funct. Anal. 182, 390426 (2001)
- [19] J.D.McNeal, D.Varolin:  $L^2$  estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}$  operator. Bull.Math.Sci.(5) 179-249 (2015).
- [20] J.Marzo, J.Ortega-Cerdà: Pointwise estimates for the Bergmam kernel of the weighted Fock space, J. Geom. Anal. 19 (2009) 890-910.
- [21] T.Mengestie: On Toeplitz operators between Fock spaces. Integral Equ.Oper. Theory 78 (2014), Issue 2, pp 213-224.
- [22] T.Mengestie: Carleson type measures for Fock-Sobolev spaces. Complex Analysis and Operator Theory 8 (2014), pp 1225-1256.
- [23] T.Napier: Convexity properties of coverings of smooth projective varieties, Math. Ann. 286 (1990),433-479.
- [24] J.Ortega-Cerdà: Sampling measures Publ.Mat.42(2) 559-566 (1998).
- [25] A.Schuster and D.Varolin: Toeplitz operators and Carleson measures on generalized Bergman-Fock spaces, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 72 (2012), 363-392.
- [26] A.Schuster, D.Varolin: New estimates for the minimal  $L^2$  solution of  $\bar{\partial}$  and applications to geometric function theory in weighted Bergman spaces. J. Reine Angew. Math. 691 (2014), 173-201.
- [27] S.Stevi'c: Weighted composition operators on Fock-type spaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Applied Mathematics and Computation, 215 (2009), 27502760.
- [28] S.Ueki: Weighted composition operators on some function spaces of entire functions, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 17 (2010), 343-353.
- [29] S. Ueki: Weighted composition operator on the Fock space, Proc. Amer. Math. Sci. 135 (2007), 1405-1410.
- [30] X.Wang, G.Cao, K.Zhu: Boundedness and compactness of operators on the Fock space. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 77 (2013), 355-370
- [31] A.Weil: Introduction à l'étude des variétés kählériennes, Actualités scientifiques et industrielles, vol. 1267, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [32] H.H.Wu: Function theory on non compact Kähler manifolds. Complex Differential Geometry, 67-155, Birkhäuer, Basel, (1983)
- [33] K.Zhu: Operator Theory in Function Spaces, 2nd edn. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138. AMS, Providence (2007)
- [34] K.Zhu: Analysis on Fock Spaces. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 263. Publisher Springer US 2012.

IBN TOFAIL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PO 242 KENITRA MOROCCO.

E-mail address: asserda-said@univ-ibntofail.ac.ma