Mixingales on Riesz spaces^{*}

Wen-Chi Kuo[†]

School of Computational and Applied Mathematics University of the Witwatersrand Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

Jessica Vardy[‡]& Bruce A. Watson[§] School of Mathematics University of the Witwatersrand Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

October 1, 2018

Abstract

A mixingale is a stochastic process which combines properties of martingales and mixing sequences. McLeish introduced the term mixingale at the 4th Conference on Stochastic Processes and Application, at York University, Toronto, 1974, in the context of L^2 . In this paper we generalize the concept of a mixingale to the measure-free Riesz space setting (this generalizes all of the L^p , $1 \le p \le \infty$ variants) and prove that a weak law of large numbers holds for Riesz space mixingales. In the process we also generalize the concept of uniform integrability to the Riesz space setting.

1 Introduction

Mixingales were first introduced by D.L. McLeish in [14]. Mixingales are a generalization of martingales and mixing sequences. McLeish defines mixingales using the L^2 -norm. In [14] McLeish proves invariance principles under strong mixing conditions. In [15] a

^{*}**Keywords:** Riesz spaces, Vector lattices, mixingales, martingales, independence, dependent processes, laws of large numbers, *Mathematics subject classification (2000):* 47B60; 60G42; 60G20; 46G40.

[†]Funded by an FRC short term postdoctoral fellowship and by NRF Scarce Skills Postdoctoral Fellowship 82395

[‡]Funded in part by the FRC

[§]Funded in part by NRF grant IFR2011032400120 and the Centre for Applicable Analysis and Number Theory

strong law for large numbers is given using mixingales with restrictions on the mixingale numbers.

In 1988, Donald W. K. Andrews used mixingales to present L^1 and weak laws of large numbers, [1]. Andrews used an analogue of McLeish's mixingale condition to define L^1 mixingales. The L^1 -mixingale condition is weaker than McLeish's mixingale condition. Furthermore, Andrews makes no restriction on the decay rate of the mixingale numbers, as was assumed by McLeish. The proofs presented in Andrews are remarkably simple and self-contained. Mixingales have also been considered in a general L^p , $1 \le p < \infty$, by, amongst others de Jong, in [6, 7] and more recently by Hu, see [10].

In this paper we define mixingales in a Riesz space and prove a weak law of large numbers for mixingales in this setting. This generalizes the results in the L^p setting to a measure free setting. In our approach the proofs rely on the order structure of the Riesz spaces which highlights the underlying mechanisms of the theory. This develops on the work of Kuo, Labuschagne, Vardy and Watson, see [11, 12, 18, 19], in formulating the theory of stochastic processes in Riesz spaces. Other closely related generalizations were given by Stoica [16] and Troitsky [17].

In Section 2 we give a summary of the Riesz space concepts needed as well as the essentials of the formulation of stochastic processes in Riesz spaces. Analogous concepts in the classical probability setting can be found in [3]. Mixingales in Riesz spaces are defined in Section 3 and some of their basic properties derived. The main result, the weak law of large number for mixingales, Theorem 4.2, is proved in Section 4 along with a result on the Cesàro summability of martingale difference sequences.

We thank the referees for their valuable suggestions.

2 Riesz Space Preliminaries

In this section we present the essential aspects of Riesz spaces required for this paper, further details can be found in [2] or [20]. The foundations of stochastic processes in Riesz spaces will also given. These will form the framework in which mixingales will be studied in later sections.

A Riesz space, E, is a vector space over \mathbb{R} , with an order structure that is compatible with the algebraic structure on it, i.e. if $f, g \in E$ with $f \leq g$ then $f + h \leq g + h$ and $\alpha f \leq \alpha g$ for $h \in E$ and $\alpha \geq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. A Riesz space, E, is Dedekind complete if every non-empty upwards directed subset of E which is bounded above has a supremum. A Riesz space, E, is Archimedean if for each $u \in E_+ := \{f \in E | f \geq 0\}$, the positive cone of E, the sequence $(nu)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded if and only if u = 0. We note that every Dedekind complete Riesz space is Archimedean, [20, page 63].

We recall from [2, page 323], for the convenience of the reader, the definition of order convergence of an order bounded net $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in a Dedekind complete Riesz space: (f_{α}) is order convergent if and only if

$$\limsup_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} = \liminf_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}.$$

Here

$$\limsup_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} = \inf \{ \sup\{f_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \ge \beta\} \mid \beta \in \Lambda \}, \\ \liminf_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} = \sup\{\inf\{f_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \ge \beta\} \mid \beta \in \Lambda \}.$$

Bands and band projections are fundamental to the methods used in our study. A non-empty linear subspace B of E is a band if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the order interval [-|f|, |f|] is in B for each $f \in B$;
- (ii) for each $D \subset B$ with $\sup D \in E$ we have $\sup D \in B$.

The above definition is equivalent to saying that a band is a solid order closed vector subspace of E. The band generated by a non-empty subset D of E is the intersection of all bands of E containing D, i.e. the minimal band containing D. A principal band is a band generated by a single element. If $e \in E_+$ and the band generated by e is E, then eis called a weak order unit of E and we denote the space of e bounded elements of E by

$$E^e = \{ f \in E : |f| \le ke \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}.$$

In a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit every band is a principal band and, for each band B and $u \in E^+$,

$$P_B u := \sup\{v : 0 \le v \le u, v \in B\}$$

exists. The above map P_B can be extended to E by setting $P_B u = P_B u^+ - P_B u^-$ for $u \in E$. With this extension, P_B is a positive linear projection which commutes with the operations of supremum and infimum in that $P(u \lor v) = Pu \lor Pv$ and $P(u \land v) = Pu \land Pv$. Moreover $0 \le P_B u \le u$ for all $u \in E_+$ and the range of P_B is B.

In order to study stochastic processes on Riesz spaces, we need to recall the definition of a conditional expectation operator on a Riesz space from [11]. As only linear operators between Riesz spaces will be considered, we use the term operator to denote a linear operator between Riesz spaces. Let $T: E \to F$ be an operator where E and F are Riesz spaces. We say that T is a positive operator if T maps the positive cone of E to the positive cone of F, denoted $T \ge 0$.

In this paper we are concerned with order continuous positive operators between Riesz spaces.

Definition 2.1 Let E and F be Riesz spaces and T be a positive operator between E and F. We say that T is order continuous if for each directed set $D \subset E$ with $f \downarrow_{f \in D} 0$ in E we have that $Tf \downarrow_{f \in D} 0$.

Here a set D in E is said to be downwards directed if for $f, g \in D$ there exists $h \in D$ with $h \leq f \wedge g$. In this case we write $D \downarrow$ or $f \downarrow_{f \in D}$. If, in addition, $g = \inf D$ in E, we write $D \downarrow g$ or $f \downarrow_{f \in D} g$.

Note that if T is a positive order continuous operator with $0 \le S \le T$ (i.e. $0 \le Sg \le Tg$ for all $g \in E$) then S is order continuous. In particular band projections are order continuous.

Definition 2.2 Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit, e. We say that T is a conditional expectation operator in E if T is a positive order continuous projection which maps weak order units to weak order units and has range, $\mathcal{R}(T)$, a Dedekind complete Riesz subspace of E.

If T is a conditional expectation operator on E, as T is a projection it is easy to verify that at least one of the weak order units of E is invariant under T. Various authors have studied stochastic processes and conditional expectation type operators in terms of order (i.e. in Riesz spaces and Banach lattices), see for example [13, 16, 17].

To access the averaging properties of conditional expectation operators a multiplicative structure is needed. In the Riesz space setting the most natural multiplicative structure is that of an f-algebra. This gives a multiplicative structure that is compatible with the order and additive structures on the space. The space E^e , where e is a weak order unit of E and E is Dedekind complete, has a natural f-algebra structure generated by setting $(Pe) \cdot (Qe) = PQe = (Qe) \cdot (Pe)$ for band projections P and Q. Now using Freudenthal's Theorem this multiplication can be extended to the whole of E^e and in fact to the universal completion E^u . Here e becomes the multiplicative unit. This multiplication is associative, distributive and is positive in the sense that if $x, y \in E_+$ then $xy \geq 0$.

If T is a conditional expectation operator on the Dedekind complete Riesz space E with weak order unit e = Te, then restricting our attention to the f-algebra $E^e T$ is an averaging operator, i.e. T(fg) = fTg for $f, g \in E^e$ and $f \in R(T)$. In fact E is an E^e module which allows the extension of the averaging property, above, to $f, g \in E$ with at least one of them in E^e . For more information about f-algebras and the averaging properties of conditional expectation operators we refer the reader to [4, 5, 8, 9, 12].

Definition 2.3 Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit and T be a strictly positive conditional expectation on E. The space E is universally complete with respect to T, i.e. T-universally complete, if for each increasing net (f_{α}) in E_+ with (Tf_{α}) order bounded, we have that (f_{α}) is order convergent.

If E is a Dedekind complete Riesz space and T is a strictly positive conditional expectation operator on E, then E has a T-universal completion, see [12], which is the natural domain of T, denoted dom(T) in the universal completion, E^u , of E, also see [8, 9]. Here dom(T) = D - D and $Tx := Tx^+ - Tx^-$ for $x \in \text{dom}(T)$ where

$$D = \{ x \in E^u_+ | \exists (x_\alpha) \subset E_+, x_\alpha \uparrow x, (Tx_\alpha) \text{ order bounded in } E^u \}$$

and $Tx := \sup_{\alpha} Tx_{\alpha}$, for $x \in D$, where (x_{α}) is an increasing net in E_+ with $(x_{\alpha}) \subset E_+$, (Tx_{α}) order bounded in E^u .

Building on the concept of a conditional expectation, if (T_i) is a sequence of conditional expectations on E indexed by either \mathbb{N} or \mathbb{Z} , we say that (T_i) is a filtration on E if

$$T_i T_j = T_i = T_j T_i$$
, for all $i \le j$.

If (T_i) is a filtration and T is a conditional expectation with $T_iT = T = TT_i$ for all i, then we say that the filtration is compatible with T. The sequence (T_i) of conditional expectations in E being a filtration is equivalent to $\mathcal{R}(T_i) \subset \mathcal{R}(T_j)$ for $i \leq j$. If (T_i) is a filtration on E and (f_i) is a sequence in E, we say that (f_i) is adapted to the filtration (T_i) if $f_i \in \mathcal{R}(T_i)$ for all i in the index set of the sequence (f_i) . The double sequence (f_i, T_i) is called a martingale if (f_i) is adapted to the filtration (T_i) and in addition

$$f_i = T_i f_j$$
, for $i \le j$.

The double sequence (g_i, T_i) is called a martingale difference sequence if (g_i) is adapted to the filtration (T_i) and

$$T_i g_{i+1} = 0.$$

We observe that if (f_i) is adapted to the filtration (T_i) then $(f_i - T_{i-1}f_i, T_i)$ is a martingale difference sequence. Conversely, if (g_i, T_i) is a martingale difference sequence, then (s_n, T_n) is a martingale, where

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i, \quad n \ge 1,$$

and the martingale difference sequence generated from (s_n, T_n) is precisely (g_n, T_n) .

We now give some basic aspects of independence in Riesz spaces. An in depth discussion of independence in the context of Markov processes in Riesz spaces can be found in [18, 19].

Definition 2.4 Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation T and weak order unit e = Te. Let P and Q be band projections on E, we say that P and Q are T-conditionally independent with respect to T if

$$TPTQe = TPQe = TQTPe. (2.1)$$

We say that two Riesz subspaces E_1 and E_2 of E containing $\mathcal{R}(T)$, are T-conditionally independent with respect to T if all band projections P_i , i = 1, 2, in E with $P_i e \in E_i$, i = 1, 2, are T-conditionally independent with respect to T. **Corollary 2.5** Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation T and let e be a weak order unit which is invariant under T. Let P_i , i = 1, 2, be band projections on E. Then P_i , i = 1, 2, are T-conditionally independent if and only if the closed Riesz subspaces $E_i = \langle P_i e, \mathcal{R}(T) \rangle$, i = 1, 2, are T-conditionally independent.

If $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a probability space and $f_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda$, is a family in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, indexed by Λ , the family is said to be uniformly integrable if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is c > 0 so that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\alpha}(c)} |f_{\alpha}| \, d\mu \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in \Lambda,$$

where $\Omega_{\alpha}(c) = \{x \in \Omega : |f_{\alpha}(x)| > c\}$. This concept can be extended to the Riesz space setting as *T*-uniformity, see the definition below, where *T* is a conditional expectation operator. In the case of the Riesz space being $L^{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and *T* being the expectation operator, the two concepts coincide.

Definition 2.6 Let *E* be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation operator *T* and weak order unit e = Te. Let $f_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda$, be a family in *E*, where Λ is some index set. We say that $f_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda$, is *T*-uniform if

$$\sup\{TP_{(|f_{\alpha}|-ce)^{+}}|f_{\alpha}|:\alpha\in\Lambda\}\to0\quad as\quad c\to\infty.$$
(2.2)

Lemma 2.7 Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation Tand let e be a weak order unit which is invariant under T. If $f_{\alpha} \in E, \alpha \in \Lambda$, is a T-uniform family, then the set $\{T|f_{\alpha}| : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is bounded in E.

Proof: As the sequence $f_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda$, is T-uniform

$$J_c := \sup\{TP_{(|f_{\alpha}|-ce)^+} | f_i | : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad c \to \infty.$$

In particular this implies that J_c exists for c > 0 large and that, for sufficiently large K > 0, the set $\{J_c : c \ge K\}$ is bounded in E. Hence there is $g \in E_+$ so that

$$TP_{(|f_{\alpha}|-ce)^{+}}|f_{\alpha}| \leq g, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Lambda, c \geq K,$$

By the definition of $P_{(|f_{\alpha}|-ce)^+}$,

$$(I - P_{(|f_{\alpha}| - ce)^+})|f_{\alpha}| \le ce, \text{ for } \alpha \in \Lambda, c > 0.$$

Combining the above for c = K gives

$$T|f_{\alpha}| = TP_{(|f_{\alpha}|-Ke)^{+}}|f_{\alpha}| + T(I - P_{(|f_{\alpha}|-Ke)^{+}})|f_{\alpha}| \le g + Ke,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

3 Mixingales in Riesz Spaces

In terms of classical probability theory $((f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}})$ is a mixingale in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ if $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an increasing sequence of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{A} and $(f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of \mathcal{A} measurable functions with $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[f_i|\mathcal{A}_{i-m}]|]$ and $\mathbb{E}[|f_i - \mathbb{E}[f_i|\mathcal{A}_{i+m}]|]$ existing and $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[f_i|\mathcal{A}_{i-m}]|] \leq c_i \Phi_m$ and $\mathbb{E}[|f_i - \mathbb{E}[f_i|\mathcal{A}_{i+m}]|] \leq c_i \Phi_{m+1}$ for some sequences $(c_i), (\Phi_i) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\Phi_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. They were first introduced, in [15], with the additional assumption that $(f_i) \subset L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, this was later generalized, in [1], to $(f_i) \subset L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. We now formulate a measure free abstract definition of a mixingale in the setting of Riesz spaces with conditional expectation operator. This generalizes the above classical definitions.

Definition 3.1 Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation operator, T, and weak order unit e = Te. Let $(T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a filtration on E compatible with T in that $T_iT = T = TT_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in E. We say that (f_i, T_i) is a mixingale in E compatible with T if there exist $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E_+$ and $(\Phi_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\Phi_m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ and for all $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

(i) $T|T_{i-m}f_i| \le \Phi_m c_i$, (ii) $T|f_i - T_{i+m}f_i| \le \Phi_{m+1}c_i$.

The numbers $\Phi_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, are referred to as the mixingale numbers. These numbers give a measure of the temporal dependence of the sequence (f_i) . The constants (c_i) are chosen to index the 'magnitude' of the the random variables (f_i) .

In many applications the sequence (f_i) is adapted to the filtration (T_i) . The following theorem sheds more light on the structure of mixingales for this special case.

We recall that if T is a conditional expectation operator on a Riesz space E then $T|g| \ge |Tg|$.

Lemma 3.2 Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation operator, T, and weak order unit e = Te. Let $(f_i, T_i)_{i\geq 1}$ be a mixingale in E compatible with T.

- (a) The sequence (f_i) has T-mean zero, i.e. $Tf_i = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) If in addition $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *T*-conditionally independent and $\mathcal{R}(T_i) = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}, \mathcal{R}(T) \rangle$ then the mixingale numbers may be taken as zero, where $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}, \mathcal{R}(T) \rangle$ is the order closed Riesz subspace of *E* generated by f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1} and $\mathcal{R}(T)$.

Proof: (a) Here we observe that the index set for the filtration (T_i) is \mathbb{Z} , thus

$$Tf_i| = |TT_{i-m}f_i|$$

$$\leq T|T_{i-m}f_i|$$

$$\leq \Phi_m c_i$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

giving $Tf_i = 0$ for all $i \ge 0$.

(b) As $f_i \in \mathcal{R}(T_{i+1}) \subset \mathcal{R}(T_{i+m})$, it follows that

$$f_i - T_{i+m} f_i = 0$$
, for all $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We recall from [18] that two closed Riesz spaces, say E_1 and E_2 , are *T*-conditionally independent if and only if $T_i f = Tf$ for all $f \in E_{3-i}$, i = 1, 2. As (f_i) is *T*-conditionally independent and as (f_i) has *T*-mean zero (from (a)), we have that

$$T_{i-m}f_i = Tf_i = 0,$$

for $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$ Thus we can choose $\Phi_m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

4 The Weak Law of Large Numbers

We now show that the above generalization of mixingales to the measure free Riesz space setting admits a weak law of large numbers. For a sequence (f_i) , we shall denote its Cezàro mean by

$$\overline{f}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i.$$

Lemma 4.1 Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation operator T, weak order unit e = Te and filtration $(T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ compatible with T. Let (f_i) be an e-uniformly bounded sequence adapted to the filtration (T_i) , and $g_i := f_i - T_{i-1}f_i$, then (g_i, T_i) is a martingale difference sequence with

$$T|\overline{g}_n| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof: Clearly

$$T_i g_{i+1} = T_i f_{i+1} - T_i^2 f_{i+1} = 0$$

and (g_i) is adapted to (T_i) so indeed (g_i, T_i) is a martingale difference sequence.

Let B > 0 be such that $|f_i| \leq Be$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For j > i, as $T_jT_i = T_i$ and $T_if_i = f_i$ it follows that $T_ig_i = g_i$ and

$$T_i g_j = T_i f_j - T_i T_{j-1} f_j = T_i f_j - T_i f_j = 0$$

In addition,

$$|g_i| \le |f_i| + |T_{i-1}f_i| \le |f_i| + T_{i-1}|f_i| \le 2Be$$
, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

 Set

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i.$$

As $|g_i| \leq 2Be$ we have that g_i is in the *f*-algebra E^e . Hence the product g_ig_j is defined in E^e . Now as T_j is an averaging operator, see [12], and $g_j \in \mathcal{R}(T_j)$ we have

$$T_i(g_ig_j) = g_iT_ig_j = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad j > i.$$

Combining these results gives

$$T(s_n^2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n T(g_i g_j)$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^n T(g_i^2) + 2 \sum_{i < j} T(g_i g_j)$
= $\sum_{i=1}^n T(g_i^2) + 2 \sum_{i < j} TT_i(g_i g_j)$
= $\sum_{i=1}^n T(g_i^2) + 0.$

Thus

$$T(s_n^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(g_i^2).$$

 But

$$g_i^2 = |g_i|^2 \le 4B^2 e$$

as e is the algebraic identity of the f-algebra E^e and $|g_i| \leq 2Be$. Thus

$$T(s_n^2) \le 4nB^2e. \tag{4.1}$$

Now let

$$J_n = P_{s_n^+} - (I - P_{s_n^+})$$

where $P_{s_n^+}$ is the band projection on the band in E generated by s_n^+ . From the definition of the *f*-algebra structure on E^e , if P and Q are band projections then (Pe)(Qe) = PQewhich together with Freudenthal's Theorem [20, page 216] enables us to conclude

$$|s_n| = J_n s_n = (J_n e) s_n$$

and $(J_n e)^2 = e$, as $J_n^2 = I$. But

$$0 \leq \left(\frac{J_n e}{n^{1/4}} - \frac{s_n}{n^{3/4}}\right)^2$$

= $\left(\frac{J_n e}{n^{1/4}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{s_n}{n^{3/4}}\right)^2 - 2\frac{J_n e}{n^{1/4}}\frac{s_n}{n^{3/4}}$
= $\frac{e}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{s_n^2}{n^{3/2}} - 2\frac{|s_n|}{n}$

giving

$$\frac{e}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{s_n^2}{n^{3/2}} \ge 2\frac{|s_n|}{n}.$$

Applying T to this inequality gives

$$\frac{e}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{T(s_n^2)}{n^{3/2}} \ge 2\frac{T|s_n|}{n}$$

Combining the above inequality with (4.1) gives

$$2\frac{T|s_n|}{n} \leq \frac{e}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{T(s_n^2)}{n^{3/2}}$$
$$\leq \frac{e}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{4nB^2e}{n^{3/2}}$$
$$= \frac{1+4B^2}{n^{1/2}}e,$$

and thus

$$T|\overline{g}_n| \leq \frac{1+4B^2}{2n^{1/2}}e.$$
 (4.2)

Since E is an Archimedean Riesz space letting $n \to \infty$ in (4.2) gives $T|\overline{g}_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

We are now able to prove an analogue to the weak law of large numbers for mixingales in Riesz spaces.

Theorem 4.2 [Weak Law of Large Numbers] Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with conditional expectation operator T, weak order unit e = Te and filtration $(T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let $(f_i, T_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a T-uniform mixingale with c_i and Φ_i as defined in Definition 3.1.

(a) If
$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$
 is bounded in E then

$$T|\overline{f}_{n}| = T\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f_{i}\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

(b) If $c_i = T|f_i|$ for each $i \ge 1$ then

$$T|\overline{f}_n| = T \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right| \to 0 \quad \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof: (a) Let

$$y_{m,i} = T_{i+m}f_i - T_{i+m-1}f_i, \quad \text{for} \quad i \ge 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let B > 0. Let $h_i = (I - P_{(|f_i| - Be)^+})f_i$ and $d_i = P_{(|f_i| - Be)^+}f_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then $f_i = h_i + d_i$. Now $(T_{i+m}h_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is e-bounded and adapted to $(T_{i+m})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, so from Lemma 4.1 $(T_{i+m}h_i - T_{i+m-1}h_i, T_{i+m})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a martingale difference sequence with

$$T\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(T_{i+m}h_i - T_{i+m-1}h_i)\right| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Using the *T*-uniformity of (f_i) we have

$$T \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (T_{i+m}d_i - T_{i+m-1}d_i) \right| \leq T \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |T_{i+m}d_i - T_{i+m-1}d_i|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (TT_{i+m}|d_i| + TT_{i+m-1}|d_i|)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T|d_i|$$

$$\leq 2 \sup\{T|d_i| : i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Thus

$$T\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(T_{i+m}d_{i}-T_{i+m-1}d_{i})\right| \leq 2\sup\{T|P_{(|f_{i}|-Be)^{+}}f_{i}|:i\in\mathbb{N}\}.$$

Combining the above results gives

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} T \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (T_{i+m} f_i - T_{i+m-1} f_i) \right| \leq 2 \sup \{ TP_{(|f_i| - Be)^+} |f_i| : i \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

$$\to 0$$

as $B \to \infty$ by the *T*-uniformity of (f_i) . Thus $T|\overline{y}_{m,n}| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

We now make use of a telescoping series to expand \overline{f}_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f}_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f_i - T_{i+M} f_i + \sum_{m=-M+1}^M (T_{i+m} f_i - T_{i+m-1} f_i) + T_{i-M} f_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i - T_{i+M} f_i) + \sum_{m=-M+1}^M \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (T_{i+m} f_i - T_{i+m-1} f_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{i-M} f_i \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i - T_{i+M} f_i) + \sum_{m=-M+1}^M \overline{y}_{m,n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{i-M} f_i \end{aligned}$$

Applying T to the above expression we can bound $T|\overline{f}_n|$ by means of the defining properties of a mixingales as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T|\overline{f}_{n}| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T|f_{i} - T_{i+M}f_{i}| + \sum_{m=-M+1}^{M} T|\overline{y}_{m,n}| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T|T_{i-M}f_{i}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{M+1}c_{i} + \sum_{m=-M+1}^{M} T|\overline{y}_{m,n}| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{M}c_{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in E there is $q\in E_{+}$ so that $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\leq q$, for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, which when combined with the above display yields

$$T|\overline{f}_n| \leq (\Phi_{M+1} + \Phi_M)q + \sum_{m=-M+1}^M T|\overline{y}_{m,n}|.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ gives

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} T |\overline{f}_n| \leq (\Phi_{M+1} + \Phi_M) q.$$

Now taking $M \to \infty$ gives

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} T |\overline{f}_n| = 0,$$

completing the proof of (a).

(b) By Lemma 2.7, $(T|f_i|)$ is bounded, say by $q \in E_+$, so

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T|f_i| \le q,$$

making (a) applicable.

References

- [1] D.W. ANDREWS, Laws of large numbers for dependent non-identically distributed random variables, *Econometric Theory*, **4** (1988), 458-467.
- [2] C.D. ALIPRANTIS, K. BORDER, Infinite dimensional analysis, a hitchhiker's guide, Springer Verlag, 3rd edition, 2006.
- [3] P. BILLINGSLEY, *Probability and measure*, John Wiley and Sons, 3rd edition, 1995.
- [4] K. BOULABIAR, G. BUSKES, A. TRIKI, Results in *f*-algebras, *Positivity*, *Trends* in *Mathematics* (2007), 73-96.
- [5] G. BUSKES, A. VAN ROOIJ, Almost *f*-algebras: Commutativity and the Cuachy-Schwartz inequality, *Positivity*, 4 (2000), 227-231.
- [6] R.M. DE JONG, Weak laws of large numbers for dependent random variables, Annals of Economics and Statistics, 51 (1998), 209-225.
- [7] R.M. DE JONG, Laws of large numbers for dependent heterogeneous processes, *Econometic Theory*, **11** (1995), 347-358.
- [8] P.G. DODDS, C.B. HUIJSMANS, B. DE PAGTER, Characterizations of conditional expectation-type operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, 141 (1990), 55-77.
- [9] J.J. GROBLER, B. DE PAGTER, Operators representable as multiplicationconditional expectation operators, J. Operator Theory, 48 (2002), 15-40.
- [10] Y. Hu, Complete convergence theorems for L^p-mixingales, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 290 (2004), 271-290.
- [11] W.-C. KUO, C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, B.A. WATSON, Discrete time stochastic processes on Riesz spaces, *Indag. Math. N.S.*, 15 (2004), 435-451.
- [12] W.-C. KUO, C.C.A. LABUSCHAGNE, B.A. WATSON, Conditional expectations on Riesz spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 303 (2005), 509-521.
- [13] W.A.J. LUXEMBURG, B. DE PAGTER, Representations of positive projections II, Positivity, 9 (2004), 569-605.
- [14] D.L. MCLEISH, Invariance Principles for Dependent Variables, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie vew. Gebiete., 32 (1975), 165 - 178.
- [15] D.L. MCLEISH, A maximal inequality and dependent strong laws, The Annals of Probability, 3 (1975), 829-839.
- [16] G. STOICA, Martingales in vector lattices, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie. (N.S.), 34(82) (1990), 357-362.
- [17] V. TROITSKY, Martingales in Banach lattices, *Positivity*, 9 (2005), 437-456.
- [18] J.J. VARDY, B.A. WATSON, Markov process in Riesz spaces, Positivity, 16 (2012), 373-391.
- [19] J.J. VARDY, B.A. WATSON, Erratum to: Markov process in Riesz spaces, Positivity, 16 (2012), 393.
- [20] A.C. ZAANEN, Introduction to Operator Theory in Riesz Space, Springer Verlag, 1997.