

Computation of Green's functions through algebraic decomposition of operators[†]

F. Adrián F. Tojo[‡]

Departamento de Análise Matemática, Facultade de Matemáticas,

Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

e-mail: fernandoadrian.fernandez@usc.es

Abstract

In this article we use linear algebra to improve the computational time for the obtaining of Green's functions of linear differential equations with reflection (DER). This is achieved by decomposing both the 'reduced' equation (the ODE associated to a given DER) and the corresponding two-point boundary conditions.

Acknowledgements

The author wants to acknowledge his gratitude towards the anonymous referee for helping improve the manuscript, specially in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Keywords: Green's functions, ODE, reflection, decomposition.

Classification: 34B, 47L, 34K.

Competing Interests: The author declares to not have any competing interests.

1 Introduction

Differential operators with reflection have recently been of great interest, partly due to their applications to Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics [11, 17, 18] or topological methods applied to nonlinear analysis [5].

In the last years the works in this field have been related to either the obtaining of eigenvalues and explicit solutions of different problems [12, 13, 15, 16], their qualitative properties [1, 5] or the obtaining of the associated Green's function [6–10, 19, 20]. In [10] the authors described a method to derive the Green's function of differential equations with constant coefficients, reflection and two-point boundary conditions. This algorithm was implemented in *Mathematica* (see [21]) in order to put it to a practical use. Unfortunately, it was soon observed that, although theoretically correct, there were severe limitations when it came to compute the Green's functions of problems of high order. In this respect, we have to point

[†]Partially supported by Consellería de Cultura, Educación e Ordenación Universitaria, Xunta de Galicia, Spain, project EM2014/032.

[‡]Supported by FPU scholarship, Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte, Spain.

out that an order n linear DER is reduced to an order $2n$ ordinary differential equation –see Theorem 2.5 and compare equations (2.4) and (2.5). This particularity poses a great challenge, for the computational time increases greatly with n .

To sort this out, the best option is to go back from an order $2n$ problem to *two* problems of order n . This procedure, compared to solving directly the order $2n$, is much faster. Furthermore, it also happens that, in some cases, the decomposition provides two equivalent problems or a problem and its adjoint. In those cases the improvement is even more notorious.

In the next Section we contextualize the problem with a brief introduction to differential equations with reflection and state some basic results concerning the Green's function associated to them. In Section 3 we develop some theoretical results which provide a way of decomposing the DER we are dealing with. Finally, in Section 4 we establish a suitable decomposition for the boundary conditions, state criteria for self-adjointness of the decomposed problem and provide examples to illustrate the theory.

2 Differential equations with reflection

In order to establish a useful framework to work with these equations, we consider the differential operator D , the pullback operator of the reflection $\varphi(t) = -t$, denoted by $\varphi^*(u)(t) = u(-t)$, and the identity operator, Id.

Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $I := [-T, T]$. We now consider the algebra $\mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$ consisting of the linear operators of the form

$$L = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k \varphi^* + b_k) D^k. \quad (2.1)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, which act as

$$Lu(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k u^{(k)}(-t) + \sum_{k=0}^n b_k u^{(k)}(t), \quad t \in I,$$

on any function $u \in W^{n,1}(I)$. The operation in the algebra is the usual composition of operators; we will omit the composition sign. We observe that $D^k \varphi^* = (-1)^k \varphi^* D^k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, which makes it a *noncommutative algebra*. We will consider, for convenience, the sums $\sum_{k=0}^n \equiv \sum_k$ such that $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, but taking into account that the coefficients a_k, b_k are zero for big enough indices.

Notice that $\mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$ is not a unique factorization domain. For instance,

$$D^2 - 1 = (D + 1)(D - 1) = -(\varphi^* D + \varphi^*)^2.$$

Let $\mathbb{R}[D]$ be the ring of polynomials with real coefficients on the variable D . The following property is crucial for the obtaining of a Green's function.

Theorem 2.1 ([10, Theorem 2.1]). *Take L as defined in (2.1) and define*

$$R := \sum_k a_k \varphi^* D^k + \sum_l (-1)^{l+1} b_l D^l \in \mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $RL = LR \in \mathbb{R}[D]$.

Remark 2.2. If $S := RL = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k D^k$, then

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0, & k \text{ odd,} \\ 2 \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} (-1)^l (a_l a_{k-l} - b_l b_{k-l}) + (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(a_{\frac{k}{2}}^2 - b_{\frac{k}{2}}^2 \right) & k \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that the *reduced* operator RL has only coefficients for the even powers of the derivative, so the equation is self-adjoint. If the boundary conditions are appropriate (we will clarify this statement in Theorem 4.4), then the Green's function is symmetric [2]. Observe that $c_0 = a_0^2 - b_0^2$. Also, if $L = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i \varphi^* + b_i) D^i$ with $a_n \neq 0$ or $b_n \neq 0$, we have that $c_{2n} = (-1)^n (a_n^2 - b_n^2)$. Hence, if $a_n = \pm b_n$, then $c_{2n} = 0$. This shows that composing two elements of $\mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$ we can get another element which has simpler terms in the sense of derivatives of less order. This is quite a difficulty when it comes to compute the Green's functions, for in this case we could have one, many or no solutions of our original problem [10]. The following example is quite illustrative.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Consider the equation

$$x^3(t) + x^3(-t) = \sin t, \quad t \in I.$$

This equation cannot have a solution, for the left hand side is an even function while the right hand side is an odd function.

As we said before, $S = RL$ is a usual differential operator with constant coefficients. Consider now the following problem.

$$Su(t) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k u^{(k)}(t) = h(t), \quad t \in I, \quad B_k u := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_{kj} u^{(j)}(-T) + \beta_{kj} u^{(j)}(T)] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.3)$$

The existence of Green's functions for problems such as (2.3) is a classical result (see, for instance, [3]). We present it here adapted to our framework.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume the following homogeneous problem has a unique solution*

$$Su(t) = 0, \quad t \in I, \quad B_k u = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

*Then there exists a unique function, called **Green's function**, such that*

(G1) G is defined on the square I^2 .

(G2) The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^k G}{\partial t^k}$ exist and are continuous on I^2 for $k = 0, \dots, n-2$.

(G3) $\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}$ and $\frac{\partial^n G}{\partial t^n}$ exist and are continuous on $I^2 \setminus \{(t, t) : t \in I\}$.

(G4) The lateral limits $\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^+)$ and $\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^-)$ exist for every $t \in (a, b)$ and

$$\frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^-) - \frac{\partial^{n-1} G}{\partial t^{n-1}}(t, t^+) = \frac{1}{a_n}.$$

(G5) For each $s \in (a, b)$ the function $G(\cdot, s)$ is a solution of the differential equation $Su = 0$ on $I \setminus \{s\}$.

(G6) For each $s \in (a, b)$ the function $G(\cdot, s)$ satisfies the boundary conditions $B_k u = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Furthermore, the function $u(t) := \int_a^b G(t, s) h(s) ds$ is the unique solution of problem (2.3).

Now we can state the result which relates the Green's function of a problem with reflection to the Green's function of its associated reduced problem.

In order to do that, given an operator \mathcal{L} defined on some set of functions of one variable, we will define the operator \mathcal{L}_+ as $\mathcal{L}_+ G(t, s) := \mathcal{L}(G(\cdot, s))|_t$ for every s and any suitable function G of two variables.

Theorem 2.5 ([10]). *Let $I = [-T, T]$. Consider the problem*

$$Lu(t) = h(t), \quad t \in I, \quad B_i u = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.4)$$

where L is defined as in (2.1), $h \in L^1(I)$ and

$$B_k u := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_{kj} u^j(-T) + \beta_{kj} u^j(T)], \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, there exists $R \in \mathbb{R}[D, \varphi^*]$ (as in (2.2)) such that $S := RL \in \mathbb{R}[D]$ and the unique solution of problem (2.4) is given by $\int_a^b R_+ G(t, s) h(s) ds$ where G is the Green's function associated to the problem

$$Su = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$B_k u = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.6)$$

$$B_k R u = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.7)$$

assuming it has a unique solution.

As stated in Section 1, Theorem 2.5 was implemented in Mathematica in [21]. We now proceed to describe some steps which could be added to the algorithm in order to improve it.

3 Decomposing the reduced equation

The computation of Green's functions is prohibitive in computation time terms [21], mostly for high order equations, so it is necessary to find ways to palliate this problem. Our approach will consist of decomposing our problem in order to deal with equations of less order.

First observe that, from Remark 2.2, we know that the reduced equation has no derivatives of odd indices. For convenience, if p is a real (complex) polynomial, we will denote by p_- the polynomial with the same principal coefficient and opposite roots.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{2k} x^{2k}$ a real polynomial of order $2n$. Then there is a complex polynomial q of order n such that $p = \alpha_{2n} q q_-$. Furthermore, if $\tilde{p}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{2k} x^k$ has no negative roots, q is a real polynomial.*

Proof. First observe that p is a polynomial on x^2 , and therefore, if λ is an root of p , so has to be $-\lambda$. Hence, using the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, the first part of the result can be derived by separating the monomials that compose p in two different polynomials with opposite roots.

Let us do that explicitly to show how in the case \tilde{p} has no negative roots, q is a real polynomial.

Take the change of variables $y = x^2$. Then, $p(x) = \tilde{p}(y)$ and, by the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{2k} y^k &= \alpha_{2n} y^\sigma (y - \lambda_1^2) \cdots (y - \lambda_m^2) (y + \lambda_{m+1}^2) \\ &\quad \cdots (y + \lambda_{\overline{m}}^2) (y^2 + \mu_1 y + \nu_1^2) \cdots (y^2 + \mu_l y + \nu_l^2), \end{aligned}$$

for some integers $\sigma, m, \overline{m}, l$ and real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\overline{m}}, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_l, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_l$ such that $\lambda_k > 0$ and $\nu_k > |\mu_k|/2$ for every k in the appropriate set of indices. The terms of the form $y^2 + \mu_k y + \nu_k^2$ correspond to the pairs of complex roots of the polynomial. This means that the discriminant $\Delta = \mu_k^2 - 4\nu_k^2 < 0$, that is, $\nu_k > |\mu_k|/2$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \alpha_{2n} x^{2\sigma} (x^2 - \lambda_1^2) \cdots (x^2 - \lambda_m^2) (x^2 + \lambda_{m+1}^2) \\ &\quad \cdots (x^2 + \lambda_{\overline{m}}^2) (x^4 + \mu_1 x^2 + \nu_1^2) \cdots (x^4 + \mu_l x^2 + \nu_l^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have that

$$(x^2 - \lambda_k^2) = (x + \lambda_k)(x - \lambda_k), \quad (x^2 + \lambda_k^2) = (x + \lambda_k i)(x - \lambda_k i),$$

$$\text{and } (x^4 + \mu_k x^2 + \nu_k^2) = (x^2 - x\sqrt{2\nu_k - \mu_k} + \nu_k)(x^2 + x\sqrt{2\nu_k - \mu_k} + \nu_k),$$

for any k in the appropriate set of indices. Define

$$q(x) = x^\sigma(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_m)(x - \lambda_{m+1}i) \cdots (x - \lambda_{\bar{m}}i)(x^2 - x\sqrt{2\nu_1 - \mu_1} + \nu_1) \cdots (x^2 - x\sqrt{2\nu_l - \mu_l} + \nu_l),$$

and

$$q_-(x) = x^\sigma(x + \lambda_1) \cdots (x + \lambda_m)(x + \lambda_{m+1}i) \cdots (x + \lambda_{\bar{m}}i)(x^2 + x\sqrt{2\nu_1 - \mu_1} + \nu_1) \cdots (x^2 + x\sqrt{2\nu_l - \mu_l} + \nu_l).$$

We have that $p = \alpha_{2n} q q_-$.

Observe that if λ is a root of p , λ^2 is a root of \tilde{p} . Hence, if \tilde{p} has no negative roots, this is equivalent to p not having roots of the form $\lambda = ai$ with $a \neq 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \alpha_{2n} x^{2\sigma} (x^2 - \lambda_1^2) \cdots (x^2 - \lambda_m^2) (x^4 + \mu_1 x^2 + \nu_1^2) \cdots (x^4 + \mu_l x^2 + \nu_l^2), \\ q(x) &= x^\sigma (x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_m) (x^2 - x\sqrt{2\nu_1 - \mu_1} + \nu_1) \cdots (x^2 - x\sqrt{2\nu_l - \mu_l} + \nu_l), \\ q_-(x) &= x^\sigma (x + \lambda_1) \cdots (x + \lambda_m) (x^2 + x\sqrt{2\nu_1 - \mu_1} + \nu_1) \cdots (x^2 + x\sqrt{2\nu_l - \mu_l} + \nu_l). \end{aligned}$$

That is, q is a real polynomial. ■

Remark 3.2. Descartes' rule of signs establishes that the number of positive roots (with multiple roots counted separately) of a real polynomial on one variable is either equal to the number of sign differences between consecutive nonzero coefficients, or less than it by an even number, considering the case the terms of the polynomial are ordered by descending variable exponent. This implies that a sufficient criterion for a polynomial $p(x)$ to have no negative roots is for $p(-x)$ to have all coefficients with positive sign, that is, for $p(x)$ to have positive even coefficients and negative odd coefficients.

There exist algorithmic ways of determining the exact number of positive (or real) roots of a polynomial. For more information on this issue see, for instance, [14, 22, 23].

The following Lemma establishes a relation between the coefficients of q and q_- .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k$ be a complex polynomial. Then*

$$q_-(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{k+n} \alpha_k x^k.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction. For $n = 1$, $q(x) = \alpha(x - \lambda_1)$. Clearly, q has the root λ_1 and $q_-(x) = \alpha(x + \lambda_1) = (-1)^{1+1} \alpha x + (-1)^1 \alpha \lambda_1$ the root $-\lambda_1$.

Assume the result is true for some $n \geq 1$. Then, for $n + 1$, q is of the form $q(x) = (x - \lambda_{n+1})r(x)$ where $r(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k$ is a polynomial of order n , that is,

$$q(x) = (x - \lambda_{n+1}) \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k = x^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n [\alpha_{k-1} - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_k] x^k - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_0.$$

Now, $q_-(x) = (x + \lambda_{n+1})r_-(x)$. Since the formula is valid for n ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_-(x) &= (x + \lambda_{n+1})r_-(x) = (x + \lambda_{n+1}) \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{k+n} \alpha_k x^k \\ &= x^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+n+1} [\alpha_{k-1} - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_k] x^k - (-1)^{n+1} \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_0. \end{aligned}$$

So the formula is valid for $n + 1$ as well. ■

Remark 3.4. The result can be directly proven by considering the last statement in Remark 3.2. If we take a polynomial $p(x) = a(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_n)$, the polynomial $p(-x)$ has exactly opposite roots. Actually, $p(-x) = a(-x - \lambda_1) \cdots (-x - \lambda_n) = (-1)^n a(x + \lambda_1) \cdots (x + \lambda_n)$. It is easy to check that the coefficients of $p(-x)$ are precisely as described in the statement of Lemma 3.3 save for the factor $(-1)^n$.

This last Lemma allows the computation of the polynomials q and q_- related to the polynomial RL on the variable D using the formula given in Remark 2.2. We will assume that RL is of order $2n$, that is, $a_n^2 - b_n^2 \neq 0$. Otherwise the problem of computing q and q_- would be the same but these polynomials would be of less order. Also, assume RL , considered as a polynomial on D^2 , has no negative roots in order for q to be a real polynomial. If $L = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k \varphi^* + b_k) D^k$ and $q(D) = D^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k D^k$ then

$$RL = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k D^k = (-1)^n (a_n^2 - b_n^2) q(D) q_-(D).$$

This relation establishes the following system of quadratic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{2k} &= 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l (a_l a_{2k-l} - b_l b_{2k-l}) + (-1)^k (a_k^2 - b_k^2) \\ &= (a_n^2 - b_n^2) \left[2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l (\alpha_l \alpha_{2k-l}) + (-1)^k \alpha_k^2 \right], \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_k, b_k, \alpha_k = 0$ if $k \notin \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\alpha_n = 1$. These are n equations with n unknowns: $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$. We present here the case of $n = 2$ to illustrate the solution of these equations.

EXAMPLE 3.5. For $n = 2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} RL &= (a_2^2 - b_2^2) D^4 + (-a_1^2 + 2a_0 a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0 b_2) D^2 + a_0^2 - b_0^2, \\ (a_2^2 - b_2^2) q(D) q_-(D) &= (a_2^2 - b_2^2) D^4 + (2\alpha_0 - \alpha_1^2) (a_2^2 - b_2^2) D^2 + \alpha_0^2 (a_2^2 - b_2^2), \end{aligned}$$

and the system of equations is

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^2 - b_0^2 &= (a_2^2 - b_2^2) \alpha_0^2, \\ -a_1^2 + 2a_0 a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0 b_2 &= (a_2^2 - b_2^2) (2\alpha_0 - \alpha_1^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Before computing the solutions let us state explicitly the limitations that the fact that RL , considered as an order 2 polynomial on D^2 , that is, that $RL(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ has no negative roots implies. There are two options:

- (I) There are two complex roots, that is, $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac < 0$. This is equivalent to $ac > 0 \wedge |b| < 2\sqrt{ac}$. Expressed in terms of the coefficients of RL :

$$(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2) > 0 \text{ and } |-a_1^2 + 2a_0 a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0 b_2| < 2\sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)}.$$

- (II) There are two nonnegative roots, that is $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac \geq 0$ and

$$(-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}) / (2a) \leq 0.$$

This is equivalent to $(a, c \geq 0 \wedge -b \geq 2\sqrt{ac}) \vee (a, c \leq 0 \wedge b \geq 2\sqrt{ac})$. Expressed in terms of the coefficients of RL :

$$\left[(b_0^2 - a_0^2), (b_2^2 - a_2^2) \geq 0 \wedge -(-a_1^2 + 2a_0 a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0 b_2) \geq 2\sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} \right]$$

OR

$$\left[(b_0^2 - a_0^2), (b_2^2 - a_2^2) \leq 0 \wedge -(-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2) \geq 2\sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} \right].$$

Now, with these conditions, the solutions the system of equations (3.1) are:

Case (I). We have two solutions:

$$\alpha_0 = \sqrt{\frac{b_0^2 - a_0^2}{b_2^2 - a_2^2}},$$

$$\alpha_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2 \operatorname{sign}(a_2^2 - b_2^2) \sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} - (-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2)}{a_2^2 - b_2^2}}.$$

Case (II). We have four solutions depending on whether we choose $\xi = 1$ or $\xi = -1$:

$$\alpha_0 = \xi \sqrt{\frac{b_0^2 - a_0^2}{b_2^2 - a_2^2}},$$

$$\alpha_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2\xi \operatorname{sign}(a_2^2 - b_2^2) \sqrt{(b_0^2 - a_0^2)(b_2^2 - a_2^2)} - (-a_1^2 + 2a_0a_2 + b_1^2 - 2b_0b_2)}{a_2^2 - b_2^2}}.$$

These solutions provide well defined real numbers by conditions (I) and (II).

4 Decomposing the boundary conditions

Now we consider those cases where the problem can be decomposed in two equations. We will try to identify those circumstances when problem (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) can be expressed as an equivalent factored problem of the form

$$L_1 u = y, \quad V_j u = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.1)$$

$$L_2 y = Rh, \quad \tilde{V}_j y = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.2)$$

where $S = L_2 L_1$. If that where the case, we know the conditions (2.6)-(2.7) have to be equivalent to

$$V_j u = 0, \quad \tilde{V}_j L_1 u = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.3)$$

In this case, the Green's function of problem (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) can be expressed as

$$G(t, s) = \int_{-T}^T G_1(t, r) G_2(r, s) dr,$$

where G_1 is the Green's function associated to the problem (4.1) and G_2 the one associated to the problem (4.2) assuming both Green's functions exist.

In order to guarantee that (2.6)-(2.7) and (4.3) are equivalent, let us establish the following definitions. Let

$$\Gamma_1 := (\alpha_{kj})_{k=1, \dots, n}^{j=0, \dots, n-1}, \quad X_n := (u(T), u'(T), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(T)),$$

$$\Theta_1 := (\beta_{kj})_{k=1, \dots, n}^{j=0, \dots, n-1}, \quad \bar{X}_n := (u(-T), u'(-T), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(-T)).$$

Then the boundary conditions (2.6) can be expressed as $\Gamma_1 \bar{X}_n + \Theta_1 X_n = 0$. In the same way, (2.7) can be written as $(\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3) \bar{X}_{2n} + (\Theta_2 \Theta_3) X_{2n} = 0$ for some matrices $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Theta_2, \Theta_3 \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$. So, globally, the conditions on equation (2.5) can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ \Gamma_2 & \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}_{2n} + \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & 0 \\ \Theta_2 & \Theta_3 \end{pmatrix} X_{2n} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Now, assume L_1 and \tilde{V}_j are of the form

$$L_1 = \sum_{l=0}^n c_l D^l,$$

$$\tilde{V}_j u = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\gamma_{jk} u^{(k)}(-T) + \delta_{jk} u^{(k)}(T)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\gamma_{jk}(-T)^* + \delta_{jk} T^*] D^k u, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

for some $c_l, \gamma_{jk}, \delta_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$, $l, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ and where a^* denotes the pullback by the constant a . Define now $\Phi := (\gamma_{jk})_{j,k}, \Psi := (\delta_{jk})_{j,k} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\Xi = (d_{jk})_{j=0, \dots, n-1}^{k=0, \dots, 2n-1} := \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_0 & \cdots & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_n \end{pmatrix} = (\Xi_1 \ \Xi_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 2n}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\Xi_1, \Xi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$, Ξ_2 is invertible (because $c_n \neq 0$) and Ξ_1 is invertible if and only if $c_0 \neq 0$.

Now we are ready to start the calculations. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{V}_j L_1 u)_j &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\gamma_{jk}(-T)^* + \delta_{jk} T^*] D^k \sum_{l=0}^n c_l D^l u \right)_j = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^n [\gamma_{jk} c_l (-T)^* + \delta_{jk} c_l T^*] D^{k+l} u \right)_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=k}^{k+n} [\gamma_{jk} c_{m-k} (-T)^* + \delta_{jk} c_{m-k} T^*] D^m u \right)_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} [\gamma_{jk} d_{km} u^{(m)}(-T) + \delta_{jk} d_{km} u^{(m)}(T)] \right)_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{jk} d_{km} \right)_{j,m} \bar{X}_{2n} + \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \delta_{jk} d_{km} \right)_{j,m} X_{2n} = \Phi \Xi \bar{X}_{2n} + \Psi \Xi X_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we would write (4.3) in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi} & 0 \\ \Phi \Xi_1 & \Phi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}_{2n} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi} & 0 \\ \Psi \Xi_1 & \Psi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} X_{2n} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Clearly, it is convenient to take $\tilde{\Phi} = \Gamma_1$ and $\tilde{\Psi} = \Theta_1$, that is, $V_j = B_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 4.1. *If Γ_1 and Γ_3 are invertible and $\Theta_2 = \Gamma_2 \Gamma_1^{-1} \Theta_1 + \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 - \Gamma_3 \Xi_2^{-1} \Xi_1 \Gamma_1^{-1} \Theta_1$, then, taking*

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \Gamma_1, \quad \tilde{\Psi} = \Theta_1, \quad \Phi = \text{Id}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi = \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1},$$

condition (4.4) is equivalent to condition (4.5) and, therefore, problems (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) and (4.1)-(4.2) are equivalent.

Proof. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ (\Xi_1 - \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \Gamma_2) \Gamma_1^{-1} & \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

A is invertible and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi} & 0 \\ \Phi \Xi_1 & \Phi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ \Gamma_2 & \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi} & 0 \\ \Psi \Xi_1 & \Psi \Xi_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & 0 \\ \Theta_2 & \Theta_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, conditions (4.4) and (4.5) are equivalent. ■

Analogously, we have a result where it is the Θ_1 and Θ_3 which are invertible.

Lemma 4.2. *If Θ_1 and Θ_3 are invertible and $\Gamma_2 = \Theta_2\Theta_1^{-1}\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3\Xi_2^{-1}\Xi_1 - \Theta_3\Xi_2^{-1}\Xi_1\Theta_1^{-1}\Gamma_1$, then, taking*

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \Theta_1, \tilde{\Phi} = \Gamma_1, \Psi = \text{Id}, \text{ and } \Phi = \Xi_2\Theta_3^{-1}\Gamma_3\Xi_2^{-1},$$

condition (4.4) is equivalent to condition (4.5) and, therefore, problems (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) and (4.1)-(4.2) are equivalent.

The following example illustrates this discussion explicitly.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Consider the following problem.

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) + u(-t) + u(t) &= h(t), \quad t \in I, \\ u(-1) - u''(1) &= 0, \quad u'(-1) = u'(1), \quad u''(-1) - u(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $h(t) = \sin t$. Then, the operator we are studying is $L = D^3 + \varphi^* + 1$. If we take $R := D^3 + \varphi^* - 1$, we have that $RL = D^6$, which admits a simple decomposition in $\mathbb{R}[D]$ as $RL = (D^3)(D^3) = L_2L_1$.

The boundary conditions are

$$[(-1)^* - 1^*D^2]u = 0, [(-1)^*D - 1^*D]u = 0, [(-1)^*D^2 - 1^*]u = 0.$$

Taking this into account, we add the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [(-1)^* - 1^*D^2]Ru = u'''(-1) - u^{(5)}(1), \\ 0 &= [(-1)^*D - 1^*D]Ru = u^{(4)}(-1) - u^{(4)}(1), \\ 0 &= [(-1)^*D^2 - 1^*]Ru = u^{(5)}(-1) - u'''(1). \end{aligned}$$

That is, our new *reduced* problem, writing the boundary conditions in matrix form, is

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(6)}(t) &= f(t), \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(-1) \\ u'(-1) \\ u''(-1) \\ u'''(-1) \\ u^{(4)}(-1) \\ u^{(5)}(-1) \end{pmatrix} &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ u'(1) \\ u''(1) \\ u'''(1) \\ u^{(4)}(1) \\ u^{(5)}(1) \end{pmatrix} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where $f(t) = Rh(t) = h'''(t) + h(-t) - h(t) = -3 \sin t$.

Now, we can check that we are working in the conditions of Lemma 4.1. We have that $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_3 = \text{Id}$, $\Gamma_2 = \Theta_2 = 0$ and

$$\Theta_1 = \Theta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Xi = (\Xi_1 \ \Xi_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, it is straightforward to check that

$$\Gamma_2\Gamma_1^{-1}\Theta_1 + \Theta_3\Xi_2^{-1}\Xi_1 - \Gamma_3\Xi_2^{-1}\Xi_1\Gamma_1^{-1}\Theta_1 = \Theta_2 = 0,$$

and therefore the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1 are satisfied. The conditions \tilde{V}_j are given by the matrices $\Phi = \text{Id}$ and $\Psi = \Xi_2 \Gamma_3^{-1} \Theta_3 \Xi_2^{-1} = \Theta_3$. Hence, we know that this problem is equivalent to factored system,

$$u'''(t) = v(t), \quad u(-1) - u''(1) = 0, \quad u'(-1) = u'(1), \quad u''(-1) - u(1) = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$v'''(t) = -3 \sin t, \quad v(-1) - v''(1) = 0, \quad v'(-1) = v'(1), \quad v''(-1) - v(1) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Thus, it is clear that

$$u(t) = \int_{-1}^1 G_1(t,s)v(s) ds, \quad v(t) = \int_{-1}^1 G_2(t,s)f(s) ds,$$

where, $G_1 = G_2$ are, respectively, the Green's functions of (4.8) and (4.9). The Green's functions of problems involving linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients and two-point boundary conditions can be computed with the *Mathematica* notebooks [4] or [21]. Explicitly,

$$G_1(t,s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4}(s-t)(s(t-1)+t-3), & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ -\frac{1}{4}(s-t)((s-1)t+s-3), & -1 < t < s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the Green's function G for problem (4.7) is given by

$$G(t,s) = \int_{-1}^1 G_1(t,r)G_2(r,s) dr = \frac{1}{480} \begin{cases} 2s^5(t+1) - 5s^4(t(t+2)+3) + 20s^3t(t+3) - 5s^2(t^2(t+2)^2-5) \\ \quad + 2st(t^2(t(t+5)+30) - 166) - 2t^5 - 15t^4 + 25t^2 - 102, & -1 < t < s \leq 1, \\ -2s^5 - 15s^4 - 5(s^2(s+2)^2-5)t^2 + 2(s^2(s(s+5)+30) - 166)st \\ \quad + 25s^2 + 2(s+1)t^5 - 5(s(s+2)+3)t^4 + 20(s+3)st^3 - 102, & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, using Theorem 2.5, the Green's function for problem (4.6) is

$$\bar{G}(t,s) = R_{\perp} G(t,s) = \frac{\partial^3 G}{\partial t^3}(t,s) + G(-t,s) + G(t,s) = \frac{1}{120} \begin{cases} -(s-1)t^5 + 10(s-3)st^3 + 30(s-1)t^2 - 30(s-3)s \\ \quad - (s^5 - 5s^4 + 30s^3 + 30s^2 - 226s + 90)t, & -1 \leq |t| \leq s \leq 1, \\ s^5(-(t-1)) + 10s^3(t-3)t - 30s^2(t-1) + 30(t-3)t \\ \quad + s(-t^5 + 5t^4 - 30t^3 + 30t^2 + 106t + 90), & -1 \leq |s| < t \leq 1, \\ s^5(-(t+1)) - 10s^3t(t+3) - 30s^2(t+1) - 30t(t+3) \\ \quad - s(t^5 + 5t^4 + 30t^3 - 30t^2 - 226t - 90), & -1 \leq |s| < -t \leq 1, \\ -(s+1)t^5 - 10s(s+3)t^3 + 30(s+1)t^2 + 30s(s+3) \\ \quad - (s^5 + 5s^4 + 30s^3 + 30s^2 - 106s + 90)t, & -1 \leq |t| \leq -s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the solution of problem (4.6) is given by

$$u(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \bar{G}(t,s) \sin(s) ds = -\frac{1}{60}(-30 - 91t - 30t^2 + 10t^3 + t^5) \sin(1) \\ + \frac{2}{3}(t^3 - 7t - 3) \cos(1) + 2 \sin(t) + \cos(t).$$

Computationally, this procedure poses a big advantage: it is always easier to obtain the Green's function for two order n problems than to do so for one order $2n$ problem. Furthermore, if the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied and we are able to obtain a factorization of the aforementioned kind using q and q_{-} in the place of L_1 and L_2 , we have an extra advantage: the differential equation given by q_{-} is the adjoint equation of the one given by q multiplied by the factor $(-1)^n$. This fact, together with the following result –which can be found, although not stated as in this work, in [2], illustrates that in this case it may be possible to solve problem (2.4) just computing the Green's function of one order n problem.

Theorem 4.4. Consider an interval $J = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, functions $\sigma, a_i \in L^1(J)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, real numbers $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, h_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, $D(L_n) \subset W^{n,1}(J)$ a vector subspace, the operator

$$L_n u(t) = a_0 u^{(n)}(t) + a_1(t) u^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_{n-1}(t) u'(t) + a_n(t) u(t), \quad t \in J, \quad u \in D(L_n),$$

with $a_0 = 1$ and the problem

$$L_n u(t) = \sigma(t), \quad t \in J, \quad U_i(u) = h_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$U_i(u) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{ij} u^{(j)}(a) + \beta_{ij} u^{(j)}(b)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, the associated adjoint problem is

$$L_n^\dagger v(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j a_{n-j}(t) u^{(j)}(t), \quad t \in J, \quad v \in D(L_n^\dagger), \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$D(L_n^\dagger) = \left\{ v \in W^{n,2}(J) : (b^* - a^*) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^{(j-i-1)} (a_{n-j} v)^{j-i-1} u^{(i)} \right) = 0, \quad u \in D(L_n) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, if $G(t, s)$ is the Green's function of problem (4.10), then the one associated to problem (4.11) is $G(s, t)$.

Hence, if we can decompose problem (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) in two adjoint problems of the form (4.1)-(4.2), its Green's function will be

$$G(t, s) = \int_{-T}^T G_1(t, r) G_2(r, s) dr = \int_{-T}^T G_1(t, r) G_1(s, r) dr.$$

where G_1 is the Green's function of (4.1) and $G_2(t, s) = G_1(s, t)$ the one of (4.2). We note though, that unless the operator q_- is the adjoint equation times $(-1)^n$, the boundary conditions may not be the adjoint ones.

EXAMPLE 4.5. Consider the problem

$$u'(-t) + u(t) + \sqrt{2}u(-t) = f(t) := e^t, \quad t \in [-1, 1], \quad u(-1) = u(1), \quad (4.12)$$

Taking $R = \varphi^* D + \sqrt{2}\varphi^* - \text{Id}$ and composing problem (4.12) with this operator we obtain the reduced problem

$$u''(t) - u(t) = Rf(t), \quad t \in [-1, 1], \quad u(-1) = u(1), \quad u'(-1) = u'(1). \quad (4.13)$$

Problem (4.13) is equivalent to the factored system

$$u'(t) + u(t) = v(t), \quad u(-1) = u(1), \quad (4.14)$$

$$-v'(t) + v(t) = -Rf(t), \quad v(-1) = v(1). \quad (4.15)$$

for $t \in [-1, 1]$. Observe problem (4.15) is the adjoint problem of (4.14). Since the Green's function of problem (4.14) is given by

$$G_1(t, s) := \begin{cases} \frac{e^{s-t+2}}{e^2 - 1}, & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{e^{s-t}}{e^2 - 1}, & -1 < t < s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and, therefore, $G_1(s, t)$ is the Green's function of problem (4.15), the Green's function of problem (4.13) is

$$G(t, s) = - \int_{-1}^1 G_1(t, r) G_1(s, r) dr = \begin{cases} -\frac{e^{s-t+2} + e^{t-s}}{2e^2 - 2}, & -1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ -\frac{e^{s-t} + e^{-s+t+2}}{2e^2 - 2}, & -1 < t < s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the Green's function of problem (4.12) is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{G}(t, s) &= R_+ G(t, s) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(-t, s) + \sqrt{2}G(-t, s) - G(t, s) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-s-t} [(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t+1)}) + e^{2s+2} + e^{2t} - \sqrt{2} - 1]}{2(e^2 - 1)}, & |t| \leq -s, \\ \frac{e^{-s-t} [(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t)}) + e^{2s+2} + e^{2t} - (1 + \sqrt{2})e^2]}{2(e^2 - 1)}, & |s| < t, \\ \frac{e^{-s-t} [(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t+1)}) + e^{2s} + e^{2t+2} - \sqrt{2} - 1]}{2(e^2 - 1)}, & |s| < -t, \\ \frac{e^{-s-t} [(\sqrt{2}-1)(-e^{2(s+t)}) + e^{2s} + e^{2t+2} - (1 + \sqrt{2})e^2]}{2(e^2 - 1)}, & |t| \leq s. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the solution of problem (4.12) is $u(t) :=$

$$\frac{e^{-t}(-2(1 + \sqrt{2})t + e^2(2(1 + \sqrt{2})t + 3\sqrt{2})) + e^{2t}(-2t + e^2(2t + \sqrt{2} - 4) - \sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{2} + 4}{4(e^2 - 1)}.$$

References

- [1] Ashyralyev, A., Sarsenbi, A.M.: *Well-posedness of an elliptic equation with involution*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2015**(284), 1–8 (2015)
- [2] Cabada, A.: *Green's Functions in the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. Springer (2014)
- [3] Cabada, A., Cid, J.Á.: *On the sign of the Green's function associated to Hill's equation with an indefinite potential*. Appl. Math. Comput. **205**(1), 303–308 (2008)
- [4] Cabada, A., Cid, J.A., Máquez-Villamarín, B.: *Green's Functions Computation* (2014). URL <http://library.wolfram.com/infocenter/MathSource/8825/>
- [5] Cabada, A., Infante, G., Tojo, F.A.F.: *Nontrivial solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with reflections*. Bound. Value Probl. **2013**(1), 1–22 (2013)
- [6] Cabada, A., Tojo, F.A.F.: *Comparison results for first order linear operators with reflection and periodic boundary value conditions*. Nonlinear Anal. **78**, 32–46 (2013)
- [7] Cabada, A., Tojo, F.A.F.: *Solutions of the first order linear equation with reflection and general linear conditions*. Global Journal of Mathematical Sciences **2**(1), 1–8 (2013)
- [8] Cabada, A., Tojo, F.A.F.: *Existence results for a linear equation with reflection, non-constant coefficient and periodic boundary conditions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412**(1), 529–546 (2014)
- [9] Cabada, A., Tojo, F.A.F.: *Solutions and Green's function of the first order linear equation with reflection and initial conditions*. Bound. Value Probl. **2014**(1), 99 (2014)
- [10] Cabada, A., Tojo, F.A.F.: *Green's functions for reducible functional differential equations*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (to appear)

- [11] Gamboa, J., Plyushchay, M., Zanelli, J.: *Three aspects of bosonized supersymmetry and linear differential field equation with reflection*. Nucl. Physics B **543**(1), 447–465 (1999)
- [12] Kritskov, L., Sarsenbi, A.: *Spectral properties of a nonlocal problem for a second-order differential equation with an involution*. Differential Equations **51**(8), 984–990 (2015)
- [13] Kritskov, L.V., Sarsenbi, A.M.: *Basicity in L_p of root functions for differential equations with involution*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2015**(278), 1–9 (2015)
- [14] Liang, S., Zhang, J.: *A complete discrimination system for polynomials with complex coefficients and its automatic generation*. Sci. China Ser. E **42**(2), 113–128 (1999)
- [15] Piao, D., Sun, J.: *Besicovitch almost periodic solutions for a class of second order differential equations involving reflection of the argument*. Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations **2014**(41), 1–8 (2014)
- [16] Piao, D., Xin, N.: *Bounded and almost periodic solutions for second order differential equation involving reflection of the argument*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.0616 (2013)
- [17] Post, S., Vinet, L., Zhedanov, A.: *Supersymmetric quantum mechanics with reflections*. J. Phys. A - Math. Theor. **44**(43), 435,301 (2011)
- [18] Roychoudhury, R., Roy, B., Dube, PP: *Non-Hermitian oscillator and R-deformed Heisenberg algebra*. J. Math. Phys. **54**(1), 012,104 (2013)
- [19] Sarsenbi, A.: *The Green's function of the second order differential operator with an involution and its application*. In: Advancements in Mathematical Sciences: Proceedings of the International Conference on Advancements in Mathematical Sciences, vol. 1676, p. 020010. AIP Publishing (2015)
- [20] Sarsenbi, A.A.: *Green's function of the second-order differential operator with involution from boundary conditions of Neumann*. In: Advancements in Mathematical Sciences: Proceedings of the International Conference on Advancements in Mathematical Sciences, vol. 1676, p. 020074. AIP Publishing (2015)
- [21] Tojo, F.A.F., Cabada, A., Cid, J.A., Máquez-Villamarín, B.: *Green's Functions with Reflection* (2014). URL <http://library.wolfram.com/infocenter/MathSource/9087/>
- [22] Yang, L., Hou, X.R., Zeng, Z.B.: *A complete discrimination system for polynomials*. Sci. China Ser. E **39**(6) (1996)
- [23] Yang, L., Xia, B.: *Explicit criterion to determine the number of positive roots of a polynomial*. MM Research Preprints **15**, 134–145 (1997)