

# A MULTI-LEVEL MIXED ELEMENT SCHEME OF THE TWO DIMENSIONAL HELMHOLTZ TRANSMISSION EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

YINGXIA XI, XIA JI, AND SHUO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present a multi-level mixed element scheme for the Helmholtz transmission eigenvalue problem on polygonal domains that are not necessarily able to be covered by rectangle grids. We first construct an equivalent linear mixed formulation of the transmission eigenvalue problem and then discretize it with Lagrangian finite elements of low regularities. The proposed scheme admits a natural nested discretization, based on which we construct a multi-level scheme. Optimal convergence rate and optimal computational cost can be obtained with the scheme.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the numerical method of the Helmholtz transmission eigenvalue problem in two dimension. For the scattering of time-harmonic acoustic waves by a bounded simply connected inhomogeneous medium  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^2$ , the transmission eigenvalue problem is to find  $k \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\phi, \varphi \in H^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta\phi + k^2 n(x)\phi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta\varphi + k^2\varphi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi - \varphi = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu} - \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The index of refraction  $n(x)$  is assumed to be positive. Values of  $k$  such that there exists a nontrivial solution to (1) are called transmission eigenvalues.

The transmission eigenvalue problem is arising in inverse scattering theory [2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 23]. Since the transmission eigenvalues can be determined from the far field pattern [9], they can be used to obtain estimates for the material properties of the scattering object [3]. Furthermore, transmission eigenvalues have theoretical importance in the uniqueness and reconstruction in inverse scattering theory [8]. The problem thus has been attracting wide interests on the mathematical and numerical analysis.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65N25,65N30,47B07.

*Key words and phrases.* Mixed finite element method; transmission eigenvalue; multi-level scheme.

X. Ji is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271018, No. 91630313) and National Centre for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

S. Zhang is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant No. 11471026 and National Centre for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Contrast to some existing model problems, the Helmholtz transmission eigenvalue problem is non-self-adjoint, and thus all classical theoretical tools can not be applied directly. It can be proved that the transmission eigenvalues form at most a discrete set with infinity as the only possible accumulation point by applying the analytic Fredholm theory [11]. However, little was known about the existence of the transmission eigenvalues except some special cases. In [22], Päivärinta and Sylvester show the existence of a finite number of transmission eigenvalues provided that the index of refraction is large enough. Cakoni and Haddar [5] extend the idea of [22] and prove the existence of finitely many transmission eigenvalues for a larger class of problems. The idea is further extended to show the existence of an infinite discrete set of transmission eigenvalues that accumulate at infinity [4].

Besides, there is an infinite-dimensional eigenspace corresponding to the non-physical transmission eigenvalue  $k = 0$ . Actually, it is readily seen that any harmonic function on  $\Omega$  is an eigenfunction by setting  $k = 0$  in (1) such that the first equation and the second equation become the same. Following [17], we define  $V := H_0^2(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega) : u = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$  and introduce a new variable  $u = \phi - \varphi \in V$ , and then  $u$  and  $k$  satisfy the fourth order problem

$$(2) \quad (\Delta + k^2 n(x)) \frac{1}{n(x) - 1} (\Delta + k^2) u = 0.$$

It is obvious that  $k = 0$  is not a nontrivial eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem (2) any longer. The nonlinear eigenvalue problem (2) is then a physically consistent formulation.

As (2) falls into the category of fourth order problems, the conforming Argyris element method, proposed by [10], is a natural approach. The BFS element was analogously discussed for rectangular grids in [18, 13]. Due to the high compliancy of the conforming elements, the nonconforming Morley element method was studied in [19]. Further, the discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method, such as the  $C^0$ -IPG method using standard  $C^0$  Lagrange finite elements was also applied on the transmission eigenvalue problem [12]. A mixed element method was discussed in [17]. For this method, only  $C^0$  finite elements are required. Some methods other than finite element methods were also reported, such as the recursive integral method (RIM) proposed in [15, 25]. The related source problem [14, 24] and other multi-level type methods [16] have also been discussed.

In this paper, we present a multi-level mixed element method of (2). As well known, the multi-level algorithm based on nested essence has been a key tool in the fields of computational mathematics and scientific computing. For eigenvalue problems, many multi-level algorithms have been designed and implemented. A type of multi-level scheme is presented by Lin-Xie [21, 26]. The method is related to [20, 29, 27, 28], and has presented a framework of designing multi-level schemes which works well for the elliptic eigenvalue problem and stable saddle point problem, provided a series of subproblems with intrinsic nestedness constructed. For the fourth order problem in primal formulations where the second order Sobolev spaces are involved, the discretizations can hardly be nested. The only known nested finite element other than spline type ones is the BFS element on rectangular grids, and its multi-level algorithm has been discussed for (2) by [18, 13], but no results are known on triangular grids. In this paper, we will first construct a mixed element method for (2). The newly constructed mixed formulation employs Sobolev spaces of zeroth

and first orders only such that nested hierarchy can be naturally expected, and then we implement Lin-Xie's framework onto the formulation to construct a multi-level algorithm on triangular grids. Optimal accuracy and optimal computational cost can be obtained.

We remark that for the mixed element method for (2) presented in [17], it remains open whether and how this method is equivalent to the primal formulation (2), especially on non-convex domains. Moreover, the generated schemes is not topologically nested. In our present method, the order reduced formulation is equivalent to the primal formulation, and the generated schemes are topologically nested, and thus Lin-Xie's framework can be utilised.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some necessary preliminaries. In Section 3, we present a mixed formulation of the transmission eigenvalue problem. The equivalence between the linear order reduced formulation and the primal formulation is proved. Section 4 constructs the discretization scheme and a multi-level algorithm follows. Numerical examples are then given in Section 5 with the discussion about complex eigenvalues. Finally, in Section 6 some concluding remarks are given.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Transmission eigenvalue problem.** For the scattering of time-harmonic acoustic waves by a bounded simply connected inhomogeneous medium  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^2$ , the transmission eigenvalue problem is to find  $k \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\phi, \varphi \in H^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi + k^2 n(x)\phi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta\varphi + k^2\varphi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi - \varphi = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu} - \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Following the same procedure in [17], introducing a new variable  $u = \phi - \varphi \in V$ ,  $u$  satisfies

$$(\Delta + k^2)u = k^2(1 - n(x))\phi, \quad \text{namely} \quad \frac{1}{1 - n(x)}(\Delta + k^2)u = k^2\phi.$$

We apply  $(\Delta + k^2 n(x))$  to both sides of the above equation to obtain

$$(\Delta + k^2 n(x)) \frac{1}{n(x) - 1} (\Delta + k^2)u = 0.$$

Note that  $k = 0$  is not a nontrivial eigenvalue any longer, since  $(\frac{1}{n(x) - 1} \Delta u, \Delta u) = 0$  and  $u \in V$  implies that  $u = 0$ . On the other hand, it's easy to see the equivalence by setting  $\phi = \frac{1}{k^2(1 - n(x))}(\Delta + k^2)u$  and  $\varphi = \phi - u$ .

The variational formulation of the transmission eigenvalue problem is to find  $(k^2 \neq 0, u) \in \mathcal{C} \times V$ , such that

$$(3) \quad \left( \frac{1}{n(x) - 1} (\Delta u + k^2 u), \Delta v + k^2 n(x)v \right) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Here  $0 < n_s \leq n(x) \leq n_b$ .

**2.2. Fundamental results of spectral approximation of compact operators.** In this subsection, we present some fundamental results on the spectral approximation of compact operators. They can be found from [1].

First of all, we introduce the symbol  $\ll$  to denote an order of complex numbers. Let  $\mathbf{c}_k = \rho_k e^{i\theta_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , be two complex numbers, with  $\rho_k \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \theta_k < 2\pi$ . Then  $\mathbf{c}_1 \ll \mathbf{c}_2$  if and only if one of the items below holds:

- (1)  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ ;
- (3)  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 \neq 0$ , and  $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2$ .

It is evident that if  $\mathbf{c}_1 \ll \mathbf{c}_2$  and  $\mathbf{c}_2 \ll \mathbf{c}_3$ , then  $\mathbf{c}_1 \ll \mathbf{c}_3$ .

Coherently, we use the symbol " $\gg$ ", whereas  $\mathbf{c}_2 \gg \mathbf{c}_1$  if and only if  $\mathbf{c}_1 \ll \mathbf{c}_2$ .

**Lemma 1.** ([7]) *Let  $T$  be a compact operator on the Banach space  $X$ , then all its eigenvalues, counting multiplicity, can be listed in a (finite or infinite) sequence as*

$$(4) \quad \mu_1 \gg \mu_2 \gg \cdots \gg 0.$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\{T_h\}$  be a family of compact operators on  $X$ , such that  $\|T_h - T\|_{X \rightarrow X} \rightarrow 0$  as  $h$  tends to zero. List the eigenvalues of  $T_h$  in a sequence as*

$$(5) \quad \mu_1^h \gg \mu_2^h \gg \cdots \gg 0.$$

Then  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu_i^h = \mu_i$  for any  $i$ .

A **gap** between two closed subspaces  $M$  and  $N$  of  $X$  is defined by

$$\hat{\delta}(M, N) = \max(\delta(M, N), \delta(N, M)), \text{ with } \delta(M, N) = \sup_{x \in M, \|x\|=1} \text{dist}(x, N).$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mu_i$  be a nonzero eigenvalue of  $T$ . Then*

$$(6) \quad \hat{\delta}(M(\mu_i), M_h(\mu_i^h)) \leq C \|(T - T_h)|_{M(\mu_i)}\|_{X \rightarrow X},$$

where  $M(\mu_i)$  and  $M_h(\mu_i^h)$  respectively are the eigenspace corresponding to  $\mu_i$  and  $\mu_i^h$ .

**Remark 4.** *Particularly, we consider there are a family of subspaces  $\{X_h\}_{h>0}$  of  $X$ , and a family of idempotent operators  $\{P_h\}_{h>0}$  from  $X$  onto  $X_h$ , such that  $T_h = P_h T$ . Then*

$$(7) \quad \hat{\delta}(M(\mu_i), M_h(\mu_i^h)) \leq C \|(Id - P_h)|_{M(\mu_i)}\|_{X \rightarrow X}.$$

2.2.1. *A multi-level scheme for the eigenvalue problem.* **Algorithm 1** presents a multi-level scheme for computing the first  $k$  (as ordered in (4)) eigenvalues of a compact operator  $T$ . The algorithm is the scheme by Lin-Xie [21, 26] rewritten in the operator formulation.

### 3. MIXED FORMULATION OF THE TRANSMISSION EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

In this section, we present a stable equivalent mixed formulation of the transmission eigenvalue problem. We discuss the cases  $n_s > 1$  on  $\Omega$  and  $n_b < 1$  on  $\Omega$  separately. As the original problem is a quadratic eigenvalue problem on  $H^2$  space, we will adopt a two-step process to transform the problem to a linear order reduced formulation.

#### 3.1. Case I: $n_s > 1$ .

---

**Algorithm 1** A multi-level algorithm for the first  $k$  eigenvalues of  $T$ .

---

**Step 0:** Construct a series of nested spaces  $G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_N \subset X$ . Set  $\tilde{G}_0 = G_0$ .

**Step 1:** For  $i = 1 : 1 : N$ , generate auxiliary spaces  $\tilde{G}_i$  recursively.

**Step 1.i.1:** Define idempotent operators  $\tilde{P}_{i-1} : H \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{i-1}$ , and solve eigenvalue problem for its first  $k$  eigenpairs  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_j^{i-1}, \tilde{u}_j^{i-1})\}_{j=1, \dots, k}$

$$\tilde{P}_{i-1} T \tilde{u} = \tilde{\mu} \tilde{u};$$

**Step 1.i.2:** Define idempotent operators  $P_i : H \rightarrow G_i$ . Compute

$$\hat{u}_j^i = \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_j^{i-1}} P_i T \tilde{u}_j^{i-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k;$$

**Step 1.i.3:** Set

$$\tilde{G}_i = G_0 + \text{span}\{\hat{u}_j^i\}_{j=1}^k.$$

**Step 2:** Define idempotent operators  $\tilde{P}_N : H \rightarrow \tilde{G}_N$ , solve eigenvalue problem for its first  $k$  eigenpairs  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_j^N, \tilde{u}_j^N)\}_{j=1, \dots, k}$ :

$$\tilde{P}_N T \tilde{u} = \tilde{\mu} \tilde{u}.$$


---

3.1.1. *Step I: on linearization of the eigenvalue problem.* If  $n(x) > 1$ , we rewrite the problem as

$$(8) \quad \left( \frac{1}{n(x)-1} (\Delta u + k^2 u), (\Delta v + k^2 v) \right) + k^4 (u, v) - k^2 (\nabla u, \nabla v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

Writing  $\lambda = k^2$ ,  $y = \lambda u$ ,  $z = \lambda v$  and  $\alpha := \frac{1}{n(x)-1}$ , we are going to find  $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{C} \times H_0^2(\Omega)$ , such that

$$(9) \quad (\alpha \Delta u + y), (\Delta v + z) + (y, z) - \lambda (\nabla u, \nabla v) = 0, \quad \text{and } y = \lambda u, \quad \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega), \quad z = \lambda v.$$

The variational problem is to find  $(u, y, p) \in U := H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , such that, for  $(v, z, q) \in U$ ,

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} (\alpha \Delta u, \Delta v) & + (\alpha y, \Delta v) & & = & \lambda (\nabla u, \nabla v) & - \lambda (p, v) \\ (\alpha \Delta u, z) & + ((1+\alpha)y, z) & - (p, z) & = & 0 \\ & & - (y, q) & = & -\lambda (u, q). \end{cases}$$

Define

$$(11) \quad a_{U,\alpha}((u, y, p), (v, z, q)) := (\alpha \Delta u, \Delta v) + (\alpha y, \Delta v) + (\alpha \Delta u, z) + ((1+\alpha)y, z) - (p, z) - (y, q).$$

**Lemma 5.**  $a_{U,\alpha}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $U$ , and

$$(12) \quad \inf_{(v,z,q) \in U} \sup_{(u,y,p) \in U} \frac{a_{U,\alpha}((u, y, p), (v, z, q))}{\|(u, y, p)\|_U \|(v, z, q)\|_U} \geq C > 0.$$

*Proof.* By elementary calculation,  $(\alpha \Delta u, \Delta u) + 2(\alpha \Delta u, y) + ((1+\alpha)y, z) \geq \frac{1}{n_s-1} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_s}}\right) (\|y\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{0,\Omega}^2)$  for  $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$  and  $y \in L^2(\Omega)$ . It is evident that  $\inf_{q \in L^2} \sup_{y \in L^2} \frac{(y, q)}{\|y\|_{0,\Omega} \|q\|_{0,\Omega}} =$

1. The proof is completed by Babuska-Brezzi theory.  $\square$

Define

$$b_U((u, y, p), (v, z, q)) := (\nabla u, \nabla v) - (p, v) - (q, u).$$

Then  $b_U(\cdot, \cdot)$  is symmetric and continuous on  $U$ .

Define  $T : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$(13) \quad a_{U, \alpha}(T(u, y, p), (v, z, q)) := b_U((u, y, p), (v, z, q)).$$

**Lemma 6.**  *$T$  is well-defined, and  $T$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Evidently,  $\|T(u, y, p)\|_V \leq C(\|-\Delta u - p\|_{-2, \Omega} + \|u\|_{0, \Omega}) \leq C(\|u\|_0 + \|p\|_{-2, \Omega})$ . Now, let  $\{(u_j, y_j, p_j)\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $V$ , then there is subsequence  $\{(u_{j_k}, y_{j_k}, p_{j_k})\}$ , such that  $\{u_{j_k}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and  $\{p_{j_k}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^{-2}(\Omega)$ . Therefore,  $\{T(u_{j_k}, y_{j_k}, p_{j_k})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $V$ , which, further, has a limit therein. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

3.1.2. *On the order reduction of  $H^2$ .* By writing  $\underline{\varphi} := \nabla u$  and introducing Lagrangian multipliers  $\sigma$  and  $r$ , we rewrite the eigenvalue problem (10) as: find  $(y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r) \in V := L^2(\Omega) \times \underline{H}_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L_0^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that, for any  $(z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s) \in V$ ,

$$(14) \quad a_\alpha((y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s)) = \lambda b((y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s)),$$

where

$$(15) \quad a_\alpha((y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s)) \\ := ((1 + \alpha)y, z) + (\alpha \operatorname{div} \underline{\varphi}, z) - (p, z) + (\alpha y, \operatorname{div} \underline{\psi}) + (\alpha \operatorname{div} \underline{\varphi}, \operatorname{div} \underline{\psi}) + (\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}, \operatorname{rot} \underline{\psi}) \\ + (\sigma, \operatorname{rot} \underline{\psi}) - (\nabla r, \underline{\psi}) + (\nabla r, \nabla v) - (y, q) + (\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}, \tau) - (\underline{\varphi}, \nabla s) + (\nabla u, \nabla s),$$

and

$$(16) \quad b((y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s)) := (\underline{\varphi}, \nabla v) - (p, v) - (u, q).$$

Define  $T_\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$(17) \quad a_\alpha(T_\alpha(y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s)) = b((y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s)), \quad \forall (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s) \in V.$$

**Lemma 7.**  *$T_\alpha$  is a compact operator from  $V$  to  $V$ .*

*Proof.* It is evident that  $a_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  are bounded on  $V$ . Now we rewrite (14) to an expanded formulation:

$$(18) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{llll} ((1 + \alpha)y, z) & + (\alpha \operatorname{div} \underline{\varphi}, z) & - (p, z) & = 0 \\ (\alpha y, \operatorname{div} \underline{\psi}) & + (\alpha \operatorname{div} \underline{\varphi}, \operatorname{div} \underline{\psi}) + (\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}, \operatorname{rot} \underline{\psi}) & + (\sigma, \operatorname{rot} \underline{\psi}) & - (\nabla r, \underline{\psi}) = 0 \\ & & & (\nabla r, \nabla v) = \lambda(\underline{\varphi}, \nabla v) - \lambda(p, v) \\ - (y, q) & & (\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}, \tau) & = -\lambda(u, q) \\ & & & = 0 \\ & - (\underline{\varphi}, \nabla s) & + (\nabla u, \nabla s) & = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Denote  $A((y, \underline{\varphi}, u), (z, \underline{\psi}, v)) := ((1+\alpha)y, z) + (\alpha \operatorname{div} \underline{\varphi}, z) + (\alpha y, \operatorname{div} \underline{\psi}) + (\alpha \operatorname{div} \underline{\varphi}, \operatorname{div} \underline{\psi}) + (\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}, \operatorname{rot} \underline{\psi})$ , then, again, with elementary calculation,

$$A((y, \underline{\varphi}, u), (y, \underline{\varphi}, u)) \geq \frac{1}{n_b - 1} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_s}} \right) (\|y\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \underline{\varphi}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}\|_{0,\Omega}^2).$$

Further denote  $B((y, \underline{\varphi}, u), (q, \tau, s)) := -(y, q) + (\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}, \tau) - (\underline{\varphi}, \nabla s) + (\nabla u, \nabla s)$ , and  $Z := \{(y, \underline{\varphi}, u) \in L^2(\Omega) \times \underline{H}_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) : B((y, \underline{\varphi}, u), (q, \tau, s)) = 0, \forall (q, \tau, s) \in L^2(\Omega) \times L_0^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)\}$ . Then

$$A((y, \underline{\varphi}, u), (y, \underline{\varphi}, u)) \geq C(\|y\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\underline{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u\|_{1,\Omega}^2) \text{ on } Z.$$

Meanwhile, given  $(q, \tau, s) \in L^2(\Omega) \times L_0^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ , take  $y = -q$ ,  $\underline{\varphi} \in \underline{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi} = \tau$  and  $\|\underline{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega} \leq C\|\operatorname{rot} \underline{\varphi}\|_{0,\Omega}$ , and  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , such that  $(\nabla u, \nabla v) - (\underline{\varphi}, \nabla v) = (\nabla s, \nabla v)$  for any  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then  $B((y, \underline{\varphi}, u), (q, \tau, s)) = (q, q) + (\tau, \tau) + (\nabla s, \nabla s)$  and  $\|y\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\underline{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|u\|_{1,\Omega} \leq C(\|q\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\tau\|_{0,\Omega} + \|s\|_{1,\Omega})$ . This proves the coercivity

(19)

$$\sup_{(y, \underline{\varphi}, u) \in L^2(\Omega) \times \underline{H}_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{B((y, \underline{\varphi}, u), (q, \tau, s))}{(\|y\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\underline{\varphi}\|_{1,\Omega} + \|u\|_{1,\Omega})(\|q\|_{0,\Omega} + \|\tau\|_{0,\Omega} + \|s\|_{1,\Omega})} \geq C,$$

for any  $(q, \tau, s) \in L^2(\Omega) \times L_0^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . This confirms the well-posed-ness of  $T_\alpha$ .

Now, let  $\{(y_i, \underline{\varphi}_i, u_i, p_i, \sigma_i, r_i)\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $V$ , then there is subsequence, labelled as  $\{(y_{i_k}, \underline{\varphi}_{i_k}, u_{i_k}, p_{i_k}, \sigma_{i_k}, r_{i_k})\}$ , such that  $\{\underline{\varphi}_{i_k}\}$ ,  $\{u_{i_k}\}$  and  $\{p_{i_k}\}$  are three Cauchy sequences in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  respectively. Therefore,  $\{T_\alpha(y_{i_k}, \underline{\varphi}_{i_k}, u_{i_k}, p_{i_k}, \sigma_{i_k}, r_{i_k})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $V$ , which, further, has a limit therein. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *The eigenvalue problem (14) is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem (8).*

*Proof.* If  $\lambda$  and  $(y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r)$  is a solution of (14), then  $y = \lambda u$ ,  $\underline{\varphi} = \nabla u$  and  $u \in V$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $u$  solves (8). Meanwhile, if  $\lambda$  and  $u$  solves (8), then substituting  $y = \lambda u$  and  $\underline{\varphi} = \nabla u$  into the system (18), a unique  $(p, \sigma, r) \in L^2(\Omega) \times L_0^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$  can be determined. The equivalence is confirmed, and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 9.** *The choice of  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ , of course, is not unique. For example, define*

$$(20) \quad \hat{b}((y, \underline{\varphi}, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \underline{\psi}, v, q, \tau, s)) := (\nabla u, \nabla v) - (p, v) - (u, q),$$

then the equation

$$(21) \quad a_\alpha((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s)) = \lambda \hat{b}((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s)),$$

has the same solution as (14). A difference can lie in utilizing the compact operator argument with (21).

**3.2. Case II:  $n_b < 1$ .** For the case  $n_b < 1$ , the procedure is the same as that for the case  $n_s > 1$ . The only difference is that we rewrite the original problem to:

$$(22) \quad \left( \frac{n(x)}{1-n(x)} (\Delta u + k^2 u), (\Delta v + k^2 v) \right) + (\Delta u, \Delta v) - k^2 (\nabla u, \nabla v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

Below, we only list the main results, and omit the proof. Set  $\beta = \frac{n(x)}{1-n(x)}$ , then

$$\frac{n_s}{1-n_s} \leq \beta(x) \leq \frac{n_b}{1-n_b}. \text{ Define}$$

$$(23) \quad a_\beta((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s)) \\ := (\beta y, z) + (\beta \operatorname{div} \varphi, z) - (p, z) + (\beta y, \operatorname{div} \psi) + ((1+\beta) \operatorname{div} \varphi, \operatorname{div} \psi) + (\operatorname{rot} \varphi, \operatorname{rot} \psi) \\ + (\sigma, \operatorname{rot} \psi) - (\nabla r, \psi) + (\nabla r, \nabla v) - (y, q) + (\operatorname{rot} \varphi, \tau) - (\varphi, \nabla s) + (\nabla u, \nabla s),$$

and  $T_\beta : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$a_\beta(T_\beta(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s)) = b((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s)), \quad \forall (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s) \in V.$$

$T_\beta$  is also a compact operator from  $V$  to  $V$ . The following theorem gives a consistent one-to-one match of eigenvalues between the primal eigenvalue system and the compact operator  $T_\beta$ .

**Theorem 10.** *If  $n_b < 1$ , the primal transmission eigenvalue problem (1) is equivalent to find  $(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r) \in V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that*

$$a_\beta((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s)) = \lambda b((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s)), \quad \forall (z, \psi, v, q, \tau, s) \in V.$$

#### 4. DISCRETIZATION

We discuss the case  $n_s > 1$  for illustration, and the case  $n_b < 1$  is the same.

**4.1. Discretisation schemes of (14).** To discretize (14), we have to discretize  $L^2$  (twice),  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  (twice) and  $L_0^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $L_h^2(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $H_{h0}^1 \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$\underline{H}_{h0}^1 \subset \underline{H}_0^1$ , and  $L_{h0}^2 \subset L_0^2(\Omega)$  be respective finite element subspaces. Define

$$(24) \quad V_h := L_h^2(\Omega) \times \underline{H}_{h0}^1 \times H_{h0}^1 \times L_h^2(\Omega) \times L_{h0}^2 \times H_{h0}^1.$$

We introduce the discretized mixed eigenvalue problem: find  $\lambda_h \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(y_h, \varphi_h, u_h, p_h, \sigma_h, r_h) \in$

$V_h$ , such that, for  $\forall (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h) \in V_h$ ,

$$(25) \quad a_\alpha((y_h, \varphi_h, u_h, p_h, \sigma_h, r_h), (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h)) = \lambda_h b((y_h, \varphi_h, u_h, p_h, \sigma_h, r_h), (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h)).$$



For the well-posedness of the discretized problem, we propose the assumption below.

**Assumption AIS.** The discrete inf-sup condition holds uniformly that

$$(26) \quad \inf_{q_h \in L_{h0}^2} \sup_{\psi_h \in \underline{H}_{h0}^1} \frac{(\text{rot} \psi_h, q_h)}{\|\nabla_h \psi_h\|_{0,\Omega} \|q_h\|_{0,\Omega}} \geq C.$$

**Remark 11.** The condition (26) is equivalent to the well studied inf-sup condition for the two-dimensional incompressible Stokes problem. It is sufficient to verify that for  $\underline{H}_{h0}^1$ .

Associated with  $a_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we define an operator  $T_{\alpha,h}$  by

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} a_\alpha(T_{\alpha,h}(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h)) &= b((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h)), \\ \forall (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h) &\in V_h. \end{aligned}$$

and an operator  $P_{\alpha,h}$  by

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} a_\alpha(P_{\alpha,h}(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h)) &= a_\alpha((y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r), (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h)), \\ \forall (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h) &\in V_h. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently,  $T_{\alpha,h} = P_{\alpha,h} T_\alpha$ . By the standard theory of finite element methods and by the same virtue of the proof of Lemma 7, we have the lemma below.

**Lemma 12.** *Provided the Assumption AIS (26),*

- (1)  $P_{\alpha,h}$  is a well-defined idempotent operator from  $V$  onto  $V_h$ ;
- (2) the approximation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_{\alpha,h}(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r) - (y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r)\|_V \\ &\leq C \inf_{(z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h) \in V_h} \|(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r) - (z_h, \psi_h, v_h, q_h, \tau_h, s_h)\|_{V_h}; \end{aligned}$$

- (3) If  $\|P_{\alpha,h}(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r) - (y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r)\|_V \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  for any  $(y, \varphi, u, p, \sigma, r) \in$

$V$ , then  $\|T_{\alpha,h} - T_\alpha\|_V \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ;

- (4) the operator  $T_{\alpha,h}$  is well defined and compact on  $V_h \subset V$ .

**Example of finite element spaces.** As the Hood-Taylor pair can guarantee **Assumption AIS**, we will consider the group of Lagrangian elements. Denote by  $\mathbf{L}_h^m$  the space of continuous piecewise polynomials of  $m$ -th degree, and  $\mathbf{L}_{h0}^m = \mathbf{L}_h^m \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathring{\mathbf{L}}_h^m = \mathbf{L}_h^m \cap L_0^2(\Omega)$ . Define

$$(29) \quad V_h^m := \mathbf{L}_h^{m-1} \times (\mathbf{L}_{h0}^m)^2 \times \mathbf{L}_{h0}^m \times \mathbf{L}_h^m \times \mathring{\mathbf{L}}_h^m \times \mathbf{L}_{h0}^m.$$

Then the discretisation (25) can be implemented with  $V_h^m$ , and an  $m$ -th order accuracy for eigenfunctions and  $(2m)$ -th order accuracy for eigenvalues can be expected.

**4.2. Implement the multi-level scheme.** Computing the first several smallest eigenvalues of (25) is corresponding to computing the first several biggest eigenvalues of  $T_{\alpha,h}$  defined by (27), and is fitting for the framework of **Algorithm 1**. In this subsection, we adopt the algorithm on the eigenvalue problem (25).

Note that the eigenvalue problem (25) is non-self-adjoint, and special attention has to be paid onto the complex eigenvalues. We begin with the observation below.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  (non-singular) and  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  be two real bilinear forms on real space  $V$ . If a complex pair  $\mu \sim g$  is such that  $a(g, w) = \lambda b(g, w)$  for any  $w \in V$ , then  $a(\bar{g}, w) = \bar{\lambda} b(\bar{g}, w)$  for any  $w \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $\mu = \mu_r + i\mu_i$  with  $\mu_r, \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g = g_r + ig_i$  with  $g_r, g_i \in V$ . Then

$$a(g_r + ig_i, w) = (\mu_r + i\mu_i)b(g_r + ig_i, w) = b(\mu_r g_r - \mu_i g_i, w) + ib(\mu_i g_r + \mu_r g_i, w),$$

namely

$$a(g_r, w) = b(\mu_r g_r - \mu_i g_i, w), \quad a(g_i, w) = b(\mu_i g_r + \mu_r g_i, w),$$

further, we can obtain

$$a(g_r - ig_i, w) = b(\mu_r g_r - \mu_i g_i, w) - ib(\mu_i g_r + \mu_r g_i, w) = (\mu_r - i\mu_i)b(g_r - ig_i, w).$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

In the practical implementation of the algorithm, the finite element spaces on coarse grid will always be enhanced with an approximated eigenfunction and its conjugate vector. This can be realised by enhancing the space with the real and imaginary parts of the vectors respectively.

## 5. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

As in practice, the  $n_s > 1$  case is of dominant interest [8]. In this section, we focus ourselves on this one. The case  $n_b < 1$  follows similarly. Numerical experiments are conducted on a convex domain (a triangle domain  $\Omega_1$ , left of Figure 1) and a non-convex domain (a reshaped L-shaped domain  $\Omega_2$ , right of Figure 1). Note that neither domain can be covered by rectangular grids.

We discretize (14) with  $V_h^m$ ,  $m = 2, 3$ , defined as (29). Both single- and multi-level algorithms are tested. The initial mesh for  $V_h^2$  is  $h_0 \approx 1/8$  (as showed in Figure 1), while the initial mesh for  $V_h^3$  is  $h_0 \approx 1/4$ . A series of nested grids  $\{\mathcal{T}_{h_i}\}_{i=0}^4$  are constructed by regular bisection refinements with  $h_i \approx h_0(1/2)^i$ .

For each series of meshes and every scheme, we obtain the eigenvalue series  $\{\lambda_{h_i}\}$  and eigenfunction series  $\{(y_{h_i}, \varphi_{h_i}, u_{h_i}, p_{h_i}, \sigma_{h_i}, r_{h_i})\}$ . The convergent orders

are computed by

$$(30) \quad \text{eigenvalue: } \log_2\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_{h_4} - \lambda_{h_{i-1}}}{\lambda_{h_4} - \lambda_{h_i}}\right|\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

$$(31) \quad \text{component } u \text{ of eigenfunction: } \log_2\left(\left\|\frac{u_{h_4} - u_{h_{i-1}}}{u_{h_4} - u_{h_i}}\right\|_{H^1}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and the same for } \varphi.$$




FIGURE 1. The initial mesh, left: triangle domain ( $\Omega_1$ ), right: the reshaped L-shaped domain ( $\Omega_2$ ).

**5.1. A summary of numerical experiments.** In the paper, we consider the following examples.

Example 1:  $\Omega_1$  with the index of refraction  $n(x) = 24$ .

Example 2:  $\Omega_1$  with the index of refraction  $n(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$ .

Example 3:  $\Omega_2$  with the index of refraction  $n(x) = 16$ .

Example 4:  $\Omega_2$  with the index of refraction  $n(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$ .

We use  $V_h^m$ ,  $m = 2, 3$ , to discretize the problem. For every example, the lowest six eigenvalues are listed in a sequence with the order “ $\leq$ ”. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the results of the single-level  $V_h^m$  schemes on the finest meshes. Tables 3 and 4 summarize the results of the multi-level  $V_h^m$  schemes on the finest meshes.

From experiments, we can verify the following results.

- (1) The performances of the discretization schemes are consistent to the theory.
- (2) The multi-level algorithms play the same as the corresponding single-level ones. So in Section 5.3, we just give the results for multi-level algorithms.
- (3) For the convex domain, when the mesh size is small enough, the series of computed **real** eigenvalues tends to decrease monotonously. Namely, a guaranteed upper bound of the eigenvalue can be expected to be computed by the single-level and multi-level algorithms.

We discuss the convergence behavior of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions ( $u_h$  and  $\varphi_h$ ) in Section 5.3.

**5.2. Discussion about complex eigenvalues.** For transmission eigenvalue problem (1), the non-self-adjointness admits the existence of complex eigenvalues and complex eigenfunctions. The same situation comes across for the discretizations. As real transmission eigenvalues will deserve bigger attention, a question is concerning if a real transmission eigenvalue will be missed in the computation. Experiments show that the sequences of computed complex eigenvalues tend to a complex limit away from the real ax; namely, a **real** transmission eigenvalue can not be approximated by a series of **complex** computed transmission eigenvalues. Therefore, in practical computation, we can adopt such algorithms that focus on the computation of real eigenvalues rather than on all eigenvalues, which may bring convenience.

TABLE 1. The transmission eigenvalues on the finest mesh with single-level  $V_h^2$  scheme ( $\lambda = k^2$ ).

$\Omega$	$n(x)$	DOFs	The first six eigenvalues
$\Omega_1$	24	295511	$\lambda_1 = 2.1389, \lambda_2 = 3.4375, \lambda_3 = 3.4375,$ $\lambda_4 = 5.3173, \lambda_5 = 5.3173, \lambda_6 = 5.4636.$
$\Omega_1$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	295511	$\lambda_1 = 15.2871 - 9.2904i, \lambda_3 = 25.3979, \lambda_5 = 27.9052,$ $\lambda_2 = 15.2871 + 9.2904i, \lambda_4 = 25.3979, \lambda_6 = 35.5305.$
$\Omega_2$	16	465671	$\lambda_1 = 12.8210, \lambda_2 = 14.0055, \lambda_3 = 14.5524,$ $\lambda_4 = 15.2335, \lambda_5 = 16.7663, \lambda_6 = 19.0633.$
$\Omega_2$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	465671	$\lambda_1 = 55.1035, \lambda_3 = 45.6601 - 40.7527i, \lambda_5 = 56.0094 - 34.4999i,$ $\lambda_2 = 56.4701, \lambda_4 = 45.6601 + 40.7527i, \lambda_6 = 56.0094 + 34.4999i.$

TABLE 2. The transmission eigenvalues on the finest mesh with single-level  $V_h^3$  scheme ( $\lambda = k^2$ ).

$\Omega$	$n(x)$	DOFs	The first six eigenvalues
$\Omega_1$	24	165175	$\lambda_1 = 2.1389, \lambda_2 = 3.4375, \lambda_3 = 3.4375,$ $\lambda_4 = 5.3172, \lambda_5 = 5.3172, \lambda_6 = 5.4636.$
$\Omega_1$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	165175	$\lambda_1 = 15.2871 - 9.2904i, \lambda_3 = 25.3979, \lambda_5 = 27.9052,$ $\lambda_2 = 15.2871 + 9.2904i, \lambda_4 = 25.3979, \lambda_6 = 35.5305.$
$\Omega_2$	16	294151	$\lambda_1 = 12.8215, \lambda_2 = 14.0055, \lambda_3 = 14.5521,$ $\lambda_4 = 15.2338, \lambda_5 = 16.7665, \lambda_6 = 19.0632.$
$\Omega_2$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	294151	$\lambda_1 = 55.1054, \lambda_3 = 45.6624 - 40.7568i, \lambda_5 = 56.0097 - 34.4995i,$ $\lambda_2 = 56.4720, \lambda_4 = 45.6624 + 40.7568i, \lambda_6 = 56.0097 + 34.4995i.$

TABLE 3. The transmission eigenvalues on the finest mesh with multi-level  $V_h^2$  scheme ( $\lambda = k^2$ ).


$\Omega$	$n(x)$	DOFs	The first six eigenvalues
$\Omega_1$	24	295511	$\lambda_1 = 2.1389, \lambda_2 = 3.4375, \lambda_3 = 3.4375,$ $\lambda_4 = 5.3173, \lambda_5 = 5.3173, \lambda_6 = 5.4636.$
$\Omega_1$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	295511	$\lambda_1 = 15.2871 - 9.2904i, \lambda_3 = 25.3979, \lambda_5 = 27.9052,$ $\lambda_2 = 15.2871 + 9.2904i, \lambda_4 = 25.3979, \lambda_6 = 35.5305.$
$\Omega_2$	16	465671	$\lambda_1 = 12.8210, \lambda_2 = 14.0055, \lambda_3 = 14.5524,$ $\lambda_4 = 15.2335, \lambda_5 = 16.7663, \lambda_6 = 19.0633.$
$\Omega_2$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	465671	$\lambda_1 = 55.1035, \lambda_3 = 45.6601 - 40.7527i, \lambda_5 = 56.0094 - 34.4999i,$ $\lambda_2 = 56.4701, \lambda_4 = 45.6601 + 40.7527i, \lambda_6 = 56.0094 + 34.4999i.$

**5.3. Convergence behavior of the numerical experiments by multi-level algorithm.** In the following figures, 'p2p1' denotes  $V_h^2$  discretization, and 'p3p2' denotes  $V_h^3$  discretization. The key feature of the stability of the finite element spaces (**Assumption AIS**) is this way emphasized. All the results are obtained by the multi-level algorithm.

5.3.1. *Example 1.* Figure 2 gives the convergence rates for eigenvalues. The convergence rates for  $V_h^2$  are 4 and for  $V_h^3$  are 6 which are both optimal. Figure 3 and

TABLE 4. The transmission eigenvalues on the finest mesh with multi-level  $V_h^3$  scheme ( $\lambda = k^2$ ).

$\Omega$	$n(x)$	DOFs	The first six eigenvalues
$\Omega_1$	24	165175	$\lambda_1 = 2.1389$ , $\lambda_2 = 3.4375$ , $\lambda_3 = 3.4375$ , $\lambda_4 = 5.3172$ , $\lambda_5 = 5.3172$ , $\lambda_6 = 5.4636$ .
$\Omega_1$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	165175	$\lambda_1 = 15.2871 - 9.2904i$ , $\lambda_3 = 25.3979$ , $\lambda_5 = 27.9052$ , $\lambda_2 = 15.2871 + 9.2904i$ , $\lambda_4 = 25.3979$ , $\lambda_6 = 35.5305$ .
$\Omega_2$	16	294151	$\lambda_1 = 12.8215$ , $\lambda_2 = 14.0055$ , $\lambda_3 = 14.5521$ , $\lambda_4 = 15.2338$ , $\lambda_5 = 16.7665$ , $\lambda_6 = 19.0632$ .
$\Omega_2$	$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4$	294151	$\lambda_1 = 55.1054$ , $\lambda_3 = 45.6624 - 40.7568i$ , $\lambda_5 = 56.0097 - 34.4995i$ , $\lambda_2 = 56.4720$ , $\lambda_4 = 45.6624 + 40.7568i$ , $\lambda_6 = 56.0097 + 34.4995i$ .

FIGURE 2. The convergence rates for the lowest six eigenvalues for Example 1 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $|\lambda_{h_i} - \lambda_{h_4}|$ .

4 give the convergence rates for the eigenfunction components  $u_h$  and  $\varphi_h$  respectively. The convergence rates are 2 for  $V_h^2$  and 3 for  $V_h^3$  which are consistent with the theoretical expectation. Both single-level and multi-level algorithms give upper bounds for real eigenvalues.

5.3.2. *Example 2.* Figure 5 gives the convergence rates for eigenvalues which are also optimal. And the optimal convergence rates for eigenfunction components  $u_h$  and  $\varphi_h$  are also obtained as in Figures 6 and 7. Again, both algorithms give upper bounds for real eigenvalues.

5.3.3. *Example 3.* Figure 8 gives the convergence rates for eigenvalues by multi-level scheme. The rates are not optimal due to the low regularity. Figures 9, 10 give the convergence rates for the eigenfunction components  $u_h$  and  $\varphi_h$ , respectively.




FIGURE 3. The convergence rates for  $u_h$  for Example 1 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $\|u_{h_i} - u_{h_4}\|_{H^1}$ .




FIGURE 4. The convergence rates for the second component of eigenfunction for Example 1 by multi-level algorithm.

5.3.4. *Example 4.* Figure 11 gives the convergence rates for eigenvalues by multi-level scheme. The rates are still not optimal. Figures 12, 13 give the convergence rates for the eigenfunction components  $u_h$  and  $\varphi_h$ , respectively.

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we discuss the transmission eigenvalue problem discretized by a mixed finite element scheme. The proposed mixed FEM is equivalent to the primal eigenvalue problem. At the continuous level, it doesn't bring in any spurious eigenvalue. The usage of triangular finite elements enables us to deal with arbitrary




FIGURE 5. The convergence rates for the lowest six eigenvalues for Example 2 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $|\lambda_{h_i} - \lambda_{h_4}|$ .




FIGURE 6. The convergence rates for  $u_h$  for Example 2 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $\|u_{h_i} - u_{h_4}\|_{H^1}$ .

polygon domain. Particularly, in this paper, we choose conforming Lagrangian FEM for the convenience on constructing a multi-level scheme to improve the efficiency. We remark that, concerning the discretisation alone, nonconforming low-order finite elements may provide different options with interesting properties.

In this paper, we are concerned with the simply-connected two dimensional domains. Principally, similar discussions can be carried on domains with multiply-connected feature and in three dimension. This can be discussed in future. The analogous generalisation of the schemes to other kinds of transmission eigenvalue




FIGURE 7. The convergence rates for the second component of eigenfunction for Example 2 by multi-level algorithm..




FIGURE 8. The convergence rates for the lowest six eigenvalues for Example 3 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $|\lambda_{h_i} - \lambda_{h_4}|$ .

problems seems natural, such as the elastic transmission eigenvalue problem, which can be discussed in future. Finally we remark that transmission eigenvalue problems with anisotropic index of refraction would also be of our interest in future.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Babuška and J. Osborn, Eigenvalue Problems. In: Lions, P.G., Ciarlet P.G. (eds.) Handbook of Numerical Analysis, Vol. II, Finite Element Methods (Part 1), North-Holland, Amsterdam (1991), 641-787.
- [2] F. Cakoni, D. Colton, P. Monk, and J. Sun, The inverse electromagnetic scattering problem for anisotropic media, Inverse Probl. 26 (2010), 074004.






FIGURE 9. The convergence rates for  $u_h$  for Example 3 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $\|u_{h_i} - u_{h_4}\|_{H^1}$ .




FIGURE 10. The convergence rates for the second component of eigenfunction for Example 3 by multi-level algorithm.

[3] F. Cakoni, M. ÇAyören, and D. Colton, Transmission eigenvalues and the nondestructive testing of dielectrics, *Inverse Probl.* 24 (2008), 065016.  
 [4] F. Cakoni, D. Gintides, and H. Haddar, The existence of an infinite discrete set of transmission eigenvalues, *Siam J. Math. Anal.* 42 (2010), 237-255.  
 [5] F. Cakoni and H. Haddar, On the existence of transmission eigenvalues in an inhomogeneous medium, *Appl. Anal.* 88 (2009), 475-493.  
 [6] F. Cakoni and H. Haddar, Transmission eigenvalues in inverse scattering theory, G. Uhlmann editor, *Inside Out II*, 60, MSRI Publications, 2012, 526-578.  
 [7] K. Chang and Y. Lin, *Lecture notes of Functional Analysis*, Peking University Press, 1990.  
 [8] D. Colton and R. Kress, *Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.




FIGURE 11. The convergence rates for the lowest six eigenvalues for Example 4 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $|\lambda_{h_i} - \lambda_{h_4}|$ .




FIGURE 12. The convergence rates for  $u_h$  for Example 4 by multi-level algorithm, X-axis means the size of mesh and Y-axis means  $\|u_{h_i} - u_{h_4}\|_{H^1}$ .

- [9] D. Colton and P. Monk, The inverse scattering problem for acoustic waves in an inhomogeneous medium, *Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* 41 (1988), 97-125.
- [10] D. Colton, P. Monk, and J. Sun, Analytical and computational methods for transmission eigenvalues, *Inverse Probl.* 26 (2010), 045011.
- [11] D. Colton, L. Päiväranta, and J. Sylvester, The interior transmission problem, *Inverse Probl. Imag.* 1 (2007), 13-28.
- [12] H. Geng, X. Ji, J. Sun, and L. Xu, (CIP)-I-0 methods for the transmission eigenvalue problem, *J. Sci. Comput.* 68 (2016), 326-338.
- [13] J. Han, Y. Yang, and H. Bi, A new multigrid finite element method for the transmission eigenvalue problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 292 (2016), 96-106.




FIGURE 13. The convergence rates for the second component of eigenfunction for Example 4 by multi-level algorithm.

- [14] G. Hsiao, F. Liu, J. Sun, and L. Xu, A coupled BEM and FEM for the interior transmission problem in acoustics, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 235 (2011), 5213-5221.
- [15] R. Huang, A. Struthers, J. Sun, and R. Zhang, Recursive integral method for transmission eigenvalues, *J. Comput. Phys.* 327 (2016), 830-840.
- [16] X. Ji and J. Sun, A multi-level method for transmission eigenvalues of anisotropic media, *J. Comput. Phys.* 255 (2013), 422-435.
- [17] X. Ji, J. Sun, and T. Turner, A mixed finite element method for Helmholtz transmission eigenvalues, *ACM T. Math. Software*, 38 (2012), Algorithm 922.
- [18] X. Ji, J. Sun, and H. Xie, A multigrid method for Helmholtz transmission eigenvalue problems, *J. Sci. Comput.* 60 (2014), 276-294.
- [19] X. Ji, Y. Xi, and H. Xie, Nonconforming finite element method for the transmission eigenvalue problem, *Adv. Appl. Math. Mech.* 9 (2017), 92-103.
- [20] Q. Lin, Some problems about the approximate solution for operator equations, *Acta Math. Sin.* 22 (1979), 219-230,
- [21] Q. Lin and H. Xie, A multi-level correction scheme for eigenvalue problems, *Math. Comput.* 84 (2015), 71-88.
- [22] L. Päiväranta and J. Sylvester, Transmission eigenvalues, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 40 (2008), 738-753.
- [23] J. Sun and A. Zhou, *Finite Element Methods for Eigenvalue Problems*, CRC Press, 2016.
- [24] X. Wu and W. Chen, Error estimates of the finite element method for interior transmission problems, *J. Sci. Comput.* 57 (2013), 331-348.
- [25] Y. Xi and X. Ji, Recursive integral method for a non-linear non-selfadjoint transmission eigenvalue problem, accepted.
- [26] H. Xie, A multigrid method for eigenvalue problem, *J. Comput. Phys.* 274 (2014), 550-561.
- [27] J. Xu, A new class of iterative methods for nonselfadjoint or indefinite problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 29 (1992), 303-319.
- [28] J. Xu, A novel two-grid method for semilinear elliptic equations, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 15 (1994), 231-237.
- [29] J. Xu and A. Zhou, A two-grid discretization scheme for eigenvalue problems, *Math. Comput.* 70 (2001), 17-25.

LSEC, INSTITUTE OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC/ENGINEERING COMPUTING, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* `yxiaxi@lsec.cc.ac.cn`

LSEC, INSTITUTE OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC/ENGINEERING COMPUTING, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* `jixia@lsec.cc.ac.cn`

LSEC, INSTITUTE OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC/ENGINEERING COMPUTING, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* `szhang@lsec.cc.ac.cn`