Towards Understanding the Evolution of the WWW Conference

Pavel Savov Polish-Japanese Academy of Information Technology ul. Koszykowa 86 Warsaw, Poland pavel.savov@pja.edu.pl Adam Jatowt Kyoto University Yoshida-honmachi, Sakyo-ku Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan adam@dl.kuis.kyotou.ac.jp Radoslaw Nielek Polish-Japanese Academy of Information Technology ul. Koszykowa 86 Warsaw, Poland nielek@pja.edu.pl

ABSTRACT

The World Wide Web conference is a well-established and mature venue with an already long history. Over the years it has been attracting papers reporting many important research achievements centered around the Web. In this work we aim at understanding the evolution of WWW conference series by detecting crucial years and important topics. We propose a simple yet novel approach based on tracking the classification errors of the conference papers according to their predicted publication years.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.4 [Information Systems Applications]: Miscellaneous

Keywords

WWW, Evolution, WWW research

1. INTRODUCTION

2017 marks the 26th year of the International World Wide Web (WWW) conference. The conference has served as an important publication venue influencing many researches that center around diverse aspects of the Web. Analyzing its evolution should offer clues as for the characteristics, trends and tendencies in research related to the Web. The objective of this work is then to support analysis of the way in which WWW conference evolved over the years.

The evolution of a conference can be analyzed in various ways. In this work, we focus on identifying crucial years in which the key changes occurred. We introduce a novel approach employing a classifier for predicting the publication years of papers. Based on the classification error of papers published at a given year to quantify the importance of that year.

The development of research areas [3] and changes in the topics of academic conferences and journals over time have already been investigated in prior works [5]. Examples of

©2017 International World Wide Web Conference Committee (IW3C2), published under Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 License. *WWW'17 Companion*, April 3–7, 2017, Perth, Australia. ACM 978-1-4503-4914-7/17/04. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3041021.3054252



topic model-based approach include [2] and [4]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no prior works have focused on the WWW conference series or employed the classification based approach that we propose.

2. DATASET AND TOPIC MODEL

Our dataset contains in total 3,105 papers being all full papers and posters published in the proceedings of the WWW conference between the years 1986 and 2016, except 1989, 1994, 1996 and 1997 due to data unavailability. For the purpose of topic extraction and classifier training elements such as page headers and footers, tables, references and acknowledgments have been removed. We have then constructed a 20-topic Latent Dirichlet Allocation [1] model to represent papers by 20-dimensional vectors reflecting their topic distributions.

The topic with the highest occurrence probability is the one we call "WWW Fundamentals" (Fig. 1). Its popularity however, has been declining for many years in favor of other, more specific topics. This suggests the specialization of research over time. Examples of topics particularly popular in rather short periods include: Semantic Web (Fig. 2), Security, Advertisements, Social Media and Crowdsourcing.



Figure 1: WWW Fundamentals topic



Figure 2: Semantic Web topic

3. PREDICTING PUBLICATION YEARS

We used a multiclass linear SVM classifier trained on the paper set. The classifier has 27 classes – one for each year when the conference occurred whose papers we managed to collect. Our classifier is available for testing¹.

4. IDENTIFYING TURNAROUND YEARS

The underlying motivation behind our approach is as follows. A paper is considered innovative if its topic distribution matches the topic distribution of papers published in the future, especially, in the distant future. The more innovative papers are published in year y, the more significant yis. In other words – the greater the mean prediction error for papers in year y towards the future, in particular, future distant from y, the more important y is.

Let Y_b be the year of the first conference (i.e. 1986), Y_e – the year of the last one (i.e. 2016), P_y – the set of papers published in year y and $\hat{y}(p)$ – predicted publication year for paper p. Next we define $Future_y = \{p \in P_y \mid \hat{y}(p) > y\}$ as the set of documents published in y yet predicted as being "from the future", and $Past_y = \{p \in P_y \mid \hat{y}(p) < y\}$ as the set of documents published in y but predicted as "from the past". We can now define the innovation score of year y as:

$$S(y) = \frac{Err_F(y)}{|P_y|} \cdot N_F(y) - \frac{Err_P(y)}{|P_y|} \cdot N_P(y)$$
(1)

Where $Err_F(y) = \sum_{p \in Future_y} (\hat{y}(p) - y)$ is the total prediction error for all papers in $Future_y$ and $Err_P(y) = \sum_{p \in P_y} (y - \hat{y}(p))$ is the total prediction error for all papers in $Past_y$. $N_F(y)$ and $N_P(y)$ are the normalization factors for documents predicted as "from the future" and "from the past" respectively, used to eliminate bias due to the position of y within $[Y_b, Y_e]$. Years with the highest scores are then considered turnaround years (see Fig. 3).

Note that instead of this classification approach one could try looking into temporal distributions of individual topics for detecting years with many trending topics. The advantage of our method, however, is that it considers all the topic distributions as a whole. It then captures topics that both gain and lose importance as well as the relationships between topics' probabilities in each year.



Figure 3: Year importance scores by S(y)

5. RESULTS

We first define the error function as $E(x) = \hat{y}(x) - y(x)$, where $\hat{y}(x)$ is the predicted year and y(x) is the actual year to measure the prediction quality of our model by *mean absolute error*. The average *mean absolute error* over all folds in a 10-fold cross-validation is 4.27 years. Fig. 4 shows the confusion matrix as a heat map, where darker shades of red represent higher numbers and paler shades of yellow represent lower numbers. The concentration of higher numbers in two "squares" between years 2004 - 2010 and 2012 - 2016 indicates that papers are often misclassified within these periods based on their topic distributions. This may suggest trends or "epochs" of research. We interpret them as follows: 2004 - 2010: decline of topic "Web Services", rising popularity of "Social Media", "Recommendation", "Advertisements" 2012 - 2016: rising popularity of "Crowdsourcing". Another important year (see Fig. 3), is 1999, which brings an increase in the popularity of "XML".



Figure 4: Confusion Matrix

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the way in which WWW conference has evolved over the course of its years. We focused in particular on identifying key years that signposted research breakthroughs. For this we have proposed a novel classification approach that predicts publication dates of articles.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Japan's MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.15K12158) and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie (No.690962).

8. REFERENCES

- D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan. Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3(4-5):993-1022, 2003.
- [2] D. Hall, D. Jurafsky, and C. D. Manning. Studying the History of Ideas Using Topic Models. In Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP '08, pages 363–371, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 2008. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [3] M. Meyer, I. Lorscheid, and K. G. Troitzsch. The development of social simulation as reflected in the first ten years of jasss: a citation and co-citation analysis. *Journal of Artificial Societies* and Social Simulation, 12(4):12, 2009.
- [4] D. Mimno. Computational Historiography: Data Mining in a Century of Classics Journals. J. Comput. Cult. Herit., 5(1):3:1-3:19, Apr. 2012.
- [5] D. Saft and V. Nissen. Analysing full text content by means of a flexible co-citation analysis inspired text mining method exploring 15 years of jasss articles. *International Journal of Business Intelligence and Data Mining*, 9(1):52-73, 2014.

¹http://paper-year-prediction.appspot.com/