# THE FEFFERMAN-STEIN TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR THE MULTILINEAR STRONG MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS

JUAN ZHANG, HIROKI SAITO, AND QINGYING XUE

ABSTRACT. Let  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, ..., \omega_m)$  be a multiple weight and  $\{\Psi_j\}_{j=1}^m$  be a sequence of Young functions. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}$  be the multilinear strong maximal function with Orlicz norms which is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}, R \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{\Psi_j, R}$$

where the supremum is taken over all rectangles with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. If  $\Psi_j(t) = t$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{t}}$  coincides with the multilinear strong maximal function  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  defined and studied by Grafakos et al. In this paper, we first investigated the Fefferman-Stein type inequality for  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}$  when  $\vec{\omega}$  satisfies the  $A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}$  condition. Then, for arbitrary  $\vec{\omega} \geq 0$  (each  $\omega_j \geq 0$ ), the Fefferman-Stein type inequality for the multilinear strong maximal function  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  associated with rectangles will be given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Hardy-Littlewood and strong maximal functions. Let f be a locally integrable function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the family of all cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Let M be the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal function defined by

(1.1) 
$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}, Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f(y)| dy$$

It was well known that M is of weak type (1, 1) and strong type (p, p) for p > 1. Moreover, for arbitrary weight  $\omega$ , it was shown by Fefferman and Stein [4] that M enjoys the following property:

(1.2) 
$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(x) > t\}) \le \frac{C}{t} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, M\omega)}, \quad t > 0.$$

By interpolation, it gives immediately that

(1.3) 
$$||Mf||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\omega)} \le C||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,M\omega)}, \quad p > 1.$$

Inequalities in (1.2) and (1.3) are all called the Fefferman-Stein type inequalities.

Date: June 30, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B20; Secondary 47G10.

*Key words and phrases.* Multilinear strong maximal function, Fefferman-Stein type inequality, Young function, multiple weights.

The third author was supported partly by NSFC (No. 11471041, 11671039), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2014KJJCA10) and NCET-13-0065 Corresponding author: Qingying Xue, Email: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn.

Instead of cubes, more general geometry structure has been assigned to the operator M. For example, if the family Q is replaced by  $\mathcal{R}$ , the family of all rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes, then the maximal function becomes the well known strong maximal function as follows :

$$M_{\mathcal{R}}f(x) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}, R \ni x} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} |f(y)| dy.$$

In 1935, a maximal theorem for  $M_{\mathcal{R}}$  was given by Jessen, Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [9]. They showed that  $M_{\mathcal{R}}$  is not of weak type (1, 1), which is quite different from the properties of the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. As a replacement of the weak (1, 1) estimate, it was demonstrated in [9] that  $M_{\mathcal{R}}$  enjoys the following end-point behavior property:

(1.4) 
$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; M_{\mathcal{R}}f(x) > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \left( \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{n-1} \right) dx.$$

In 1975, Córdoba and Fefferman [3] gave a geometric proof of (1.4) and established a covering lemma for rectangles. Their covering lemma is quite useful by the reason that it overcomes the failure of the Besicovitch covering argument for rectangles with arbitrary eccentricities. Subsequently, achievements have been made to obtain the corresponding weighted version of (1.4). Among those achievements are the nice works of Bagby and Kurtz [1], Capri and Gutiérrez [2], Mitsis [13], Luque and Parissis [12]. In [12], Luque and Parissis formulated a weighted version of Córdoba-Fefferman's covering lemma and showed that the following weighted inequality holds for  $\omega \in A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}$ : (1.5)

$$\omega\big(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; M_{\mathcal{R}}f(x) > \lambda\}\big) \lesssim_{\omega, n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \left(\log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{n-1}\right) M_{\mathcal{R}}\omega(x) dx.$$

Recently, for n = 2, the condition  $\omega \in A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}$  in (1.5) was extended to any weight  $\omega \geq 0$  by Saito and Tanaka [14] as follows:

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : M_{\mathcal{R}}f(x) > t\}) \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|f(x)|}{t} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right) W(x) dx, t > 0,$$

where  $W = M_{\mathcal{R}} M_{\mathcal{Q}} \omega$  and the constant C > 0 does not depend on  $\omega$  and f.

Still more recently, Tanaka [15] further essentially extended the results in [14] to higher dimensions. We summarize the results in [15] as follows:

**Theorem A.** ([15]). For p > 1 and any weight  $\omega$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a constant C > 0 which does not depend on  $\omega$  and f, such that the following inequality holds

(1.6) 
$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{R}}f(x) > t\})^{1/p} \le \frac{C}{t} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, W)}, \quad for \ t > 0$$

where  $W = M_{\mathcal{R}} M_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} \dots M_{\mathcal{R}}^{1} \omega$  and  $M_{\mathcal{R}}^{c}$   $(c = 1, \dots, n-1)$  is the strong maximal operator with the complexity c defined in Section 2.

1.2. Multilinear strong maximal functions. In order to state more clearly, we first introduce one definition.

**Definition 1.1** (Multilinear strong maximal function with Orlicz norms, [10]). Let  $\vec{f} = (f_1, ..., f_m)$  be an *m*-dimensional vector of locally integrable functions. The multilinear strong maximal function with Orlicz norms is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}, R \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{\Psi_j, R}$$

where  $\{\Psi_j\}_{j=1}^m$  is a sequence of Young functions and the supremum is taken over all rectangles with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

**Remark 1.2.** In particular, if  $\Psi_j(t) = t$ , for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and all  $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\Psi}$  coincides with the multilinear strong maximal function  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  introduced and studied by Grafakos et al. [5] in 2011. The authors [5] demonstrated that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$  still enjoys a similar endpoint  $L \log L$  type estimate as follows: for any  $\lambda > 0$ 

(1.7) 
$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})(x) > \lambda^m \right\} \right| \lesssim_{m,n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_n^{(m)} \left( \frac{|f_i(y)|}{\lambda} \right) dy \right)^{1/m}$$

where  $\Phi_n(t) := t[1 + (\log^+ t)^{n-1}]$  (t > 0) and  $\Phi_n^{(m)}$  is *m*-times compositions of the function  $\Phi_n$  with itself. Furthermore, the exponent is sharp in the sense that we cannot replace  $\Phi_n^{(m)}$  by  $\Phi_n^{(k)}$  for  $k \le m-1$ .

This paper will be devoted to investigate the Fefferman-Stein type inequalities for the multilinear strong maximal functions. The first main results of this paper concerns with the multilinear strong maximal functions with Orlicz norms  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $1 < p_1, ..., p_m < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a basis and  $\{\Psi_j\}_{j=1}^m$  is a sequence of Young functions such that  $\Psi_j \in B_{p_j}^*$ . Let  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, ..., \omega_m)$  and  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{j=1}^m \omega_j^{p/p_j} \in A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}$ , then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for all nonnegative functions f, the following inequality holds

(1.8) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(y)]^p \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(y) dy \le C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}\omega_j)}^p$$

Note that in Theorem 1.1, we need to assume that  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in A_{\infty,R}$ . For arbitrary weights, the methods to establish the Fefferman-Stein type inequalities are quite different from Theorem 1.1. Moreover,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}\omega_j$  in (1.8) will be replaced by more larger maximal functions. For simplicity, we only consider the multilinear strong maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $1 < p_1, ..., p_m < \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Let  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, ..., \omega_m)$  and suppose that each  $\omega_j$  is an arbitrary weight. Denote by  $W_j = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} ... \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^1 \omega_j$  and Set  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{j=1}^m \omega_j^{p/p_j}$ . Then, there exists a positive constant C which does not depend on  $\omega_i$  and  $f_i$ , such that the following inequality holds

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\left(x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})(x) > t^m\right)^{1/p} \le \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{C}{t} \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, W_j)}.$$

By interpolation, Theorem 1.2 yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $1 < p_1, ..., p_m < \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Given  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_m)$ , where each  $\omega_j$  is an arbitrary weight. Set  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{j=1}^m \omega_j^{p/p_j}$  and  $W_j = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} ... \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^1 \omega_j$ . Then, there exists a positive constant C which does not depend on  $\omega_j$  and  $f_j$ , such that the following inequality holds

(1.9) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})(y)]^p \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(y) dy \le C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, W_j)}^p$$

2. NOTIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

First, we give the definitions of two kinds of maximal functions.

**Definition 2.1** (multilinear maximal operator with cubes,[11]). Given  $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_m)$ , we define the maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}$  by

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f_j(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing x, with sides parallel to the coordinate axes .

**Definition 2.2 (Strong maximal operator with complexity** c, [15]). Let c = 1, 2, ..., n. We say that the set of rectangles  $\mathcal{R}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have the complexity c whenever the side lengths of R are exactly  $\alpha_1$  or  $\alpha_2...$  or  $\alpha_c$  for varying  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2,...$  or  $\alpha_c > 0$ . That is, the set of rectangles with complexity c is the c-parameter family of rectangles. For a locally integrable function f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the strong maximal operator with complexity c is defined by

$$M_{\mathcal{R}}^{c}f(x) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}_{c}, R \ni x} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} |f(y)| dy,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_c$  is the set of all rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and having the complexity c.

Then we can define the multilinear setting of it. That is,

Definition 2.3 (Multilinear strong maximal operator with complexity c). Let c = 1, 2, ..., n, and  $\vec{f} = (f_1, ..., f_m)$  is an *m*-dimensional vector of locally integrable functions, the strong maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^c(\vec{f})$  is defined by

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{c}f(x) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}_{c}, R \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} |f_{j}(y)| dy$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_c$  is the set of all rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and having the complexity c. **Remark 2.4.** If c = n, it is easy to check that  $M_{\mathcal{R}}^c$  coincides with the strong maximal function  $M_{\mathcal{R}}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^c$  coincides with the multilinear strong maximal operators  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

2.1. Basic facts about weights. For  $1 , a weight <math>\omega$  associated with  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to satisfy the  $A_{p,\mathcal{R}}$  condition, if it holds that

$$\sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega^{1-p'} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} < \infty$$

In the case p = 1, we say that  $\omega$  satisfies the  $A_{1,\mathcal{R}}$  condition if  $M_{\mathcal{R}}\omega(x) \leq c\omega(x)$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows from these definitions and the Hölder inequality that  $A_{p,\mathcal{R}} \subset A_{q,\mathcal{R}}$  if  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ . Then it is natural to define the class  $A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}$  by setting  $A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}} = \bigcup_{p>1} A_{p,\mathcal{R}}$ . Recall that  $\omega$  is said to satisfy Condition (A) [6] if there are constants  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $0 < c(\lambda) < \infty$  such that for all measurable sets E, it holds that  $\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}[\chi_E](x) > \lambda\}) \leq c(\lambda)\omega(E)$ . A basic fact is presented by Hagelstein, and Parissis [7] that the asymptotic estimate for the constant in Condition (A) is equivalent to  $\omega \in A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}$ .

The multiple version of  $A_{p,\mathcal{R}}$  is defined as follows:

**Definition 2.5** ([5]). Let  $1 \leq p_1, ..., p_m < \infty$ . Given  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, ..., \omega_m)$ , set  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i^{p/p_i}$ . The *m*-tuple weight  $\vec{\omega}$  associated with  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to satisfy the  $A_{\vec{p},\mathcal{R}}$  condition if

$$\sup_{R\in\mathcal{R}}\left(\frac{1}{|R|}\int_{R}\nu_{\vec{\omega}}dx\right)\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{|R|}\int_{R}\omega_{j}^{1-p_{j}'}dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p_{j}'}}<\infty.$$

When  $p_j = 1$ ,  $\left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \omega_j^{1-p'_j}\right)^{1/p'_j}$  is understood as  $(\inf_R \omega_j)^{-1}$ .

2.2. Basic facts about Young functions. First, we need to recall some definitions and basic facts about Young functions.

**Definition 2.6** ([5]). A Young function is a continuous, convex, increasing function  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Phi(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ . For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $t \ge 0$ , the properties of  $\Phi$  easily imply that

$$\Phi(\varepsilon t) \le \varepsilon \Phi(t).$$

The  $\Phi$ -norm of a function f over a set E with finite measure is defined by

$$||f||_{\Phi,E} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0: \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}) dx \le 1\right\}.$$

Associated with each Young function  $\Phi$ , one can define its complementary function

$$\bar{\Phi}(s) = \sup_{t>0} \{st - \Phi(t)\}, \quad \text{for s} \ge 0.$$

It is well known that  $\overline{\Phi}$ -norms are related to the  $L_{\Phi}$ -norms via the following generalized Hölder inequality:

$$\frac{1}{|E|} \int_{E} |f(x)g(x)| dx \le 2||f||_{\Phi,E} ||g||_{\bar{\Phi},E}.$$

**Definition 2.7** (Strong  $B_p^*$  condition, [10]). Let  $1 . A Young function <math>\Phi$  is said to satisfy the strong  $B_p^*$  condition, or  $\Phi \in B_p^*$ , if there is a positive constant c such that the following inequality holds

$$\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{n}(\Phi(t))}{t^{p}} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty,$$

where  $\Phi_n(t) := t[\log(e+t)]^{n-1} \sim t[1 + (\log^+ t)^{n-1}]$  for all t > 0.

## 3. The F-S inequality with weights in $A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}$

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1, first we give two lemmas which play an important role in our proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $1 < p_1, ..., p_m < \infty$  and  $0 such that <math>\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_j}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a basis and that  $\{\Psi_j\}_{j=1}^m$  is a sequence of Young functions such that  $\Psi_j \in B_{p_j}^*$ , then,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times ... \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{M}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{R}}$  be the Orlicz maximal operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\Psi}(f)(x) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}, R \ni x} \|f\|_{\Psi, R},$$

where the supremum is taken over all rectangles with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

Observing that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for all nonnegative functions  $\vec{f} = (f_1, ..., f_m)$ , multilinear Orlicz maximal function is controlled by the *m*-fold tensor product of the Orlicz maximal function of each variable. That is,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\Psi_{j}}(f_{j})(x).$$

Since  $\Psi_j \in B_{p_j}^*$ , it follows that every  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\Psi_j}$  is bounded on  $L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ([10], Theorem 2.1). This yields immediately that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \ldots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 3.1** ([5]). Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a basis and let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . A finite sequence  $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i=1}^M \subset \mathcal{R}$  of sets of finite dx-measure is called  $\alpha$ -scattered with respect to the Lebesgue measure if

$$\left| \tilde{A}_i \cap \bigcup_{s < i} \tilde{A}_s \right| \le \alpha |\tilde{A}_i|, \quad \text{for all } 1 < i \le M.$$

**Lemma 3.2** ([5]). Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a basis and let  $\omega$  be a weight associated with this basis. Suppose further that  $\omega$  satisfies condition (A) for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $0 < c(\lambda) < \infty$ . Then given any finite sequence  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^M$  of sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , it holds that

(1) we can find a subsequence  $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i\in I}$  of  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^M$  which is  $\lambda$ -scattered with respect to the Lebesgue measure;

(2)  $\tilde{A}_i = A_i, i \in I;$ 

(3) for any 
$$1 \le i < j \le M + 1$$
  

$$\omega\left(\bigcup_{s < j} A_s\right) \le c(\lambda) \left[\omega\left(\bigcup_{s < i} A_s\right) + \omega\left(\bigcup_{i \le s < j} \tilde{A}_s\right)\right]$$

Now, we are in the position to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* The argument we will employ here is essentially a combination of the ideas from [5], [8], [10]. Let N > 0 be a large integer. We will prove the required estimate for the quantity

$$\int_{2^{-N} < \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f}) \le 2^{N+1}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x)^p \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(x) dx$$

with a bound independent of N. First, for each integer k,  $|k| \leq N$ , there exist a compact set

$$K_k \subset \left\{ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x) > 2^k \right\}$$

satisfying

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(K_k) \le \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x) > 2^k\} \le 2\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(K_k)$$

and a finite sequence  $b_k = \{B_r^k\}_{r\geq 1}$  of sets  $B_r^k \in \mathcal{R}$  with

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \|f_j\|_{\Psi_j, B_r^k} > 2^k$$

We set  $b_k = \emptyset$  if |k| > N and

$$\Omega_k = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{r} B_r^k, & |k| \le N, \\ r & \\ \emptyset, & |k| > N. \end{cases}$$

Observe that these sets are decreasing in k, i.e., $\Omega_{k+1} \subset \Omega_k$ . We now distribute the sets in  $\bigcup_k b_k$  over  $\mu$  sequences  $\{A_i(l)\}_{i\geq 1}, 0 \leq l \leq \mu - 1$ , where  $\mu$  will be chosen momentarily to be an appropriately large natural number. Set  $i_0(0) = 1$ . In the first  $i_1(0) - i_0(0)$  entries of  $\{A_i(0)\}_{i\geq 1}$ , i.e., for

$$i_0(0) \le i < i_1(0),$$

we place the elements of the sequence  $b_N = \{B_r^N\}_{r\geq 1}$  in the order indicated by the index r. For the next  $i_2(0) - i_1(0)$  entries of  $\{A_i(0)\}_{i\geq 1,}$ , i.e., for

$$i_1(0) \le i < i_2(0)$$

we place the elements of the sequence  $b_{N-\mu}$ . Continue in this way until we reach the first integer  $m_0$  such that  $N - m_0 \mu \ge -N$ , when we stop. For indices *i* satisfying

$$i_{m_0}(0) \le i < i_{m_0+1}(0),$$

we place in the sequence  $\{A_i(0)\}_{i\geq 1}$  the elements of  $b_{N-m_0\mu}$ . The sequences  $\{A_i(l)\}_{i\geq 1}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq \mu - 1$ , are defined similarly, starting from  $b_{N-l}$  and using the families  $b_{N-l-s\mu}, s = 0, 1, ..., m_l$ , where  $m_l$  is chosen so that  $N - l - m_l\mu \geq -N$ . Since  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \in A_{\infty,\mathcal{R}}, \nu_{\vec{\omega}}$  satisfies condition (A), and we may apply Lemma 3.2 to each  $\{A_i(l)\}_{i\geq 1}$  for some fixed  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then we obtain sequences

$$\{\tilde{A}_i(l)\}_{i\geq 1} \subset \{A_i(l)\}_{i\geq 1}, 0 \le l \le \mu - 1,$$

which are  $\lambda$ -scattered with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In view of the definition of the set  $\Omega_k$  and the construction of the families  $\{A_i(l)\}_{i\geq 1}$ , we can use assertion (3) of Lemma 3.2 to obtain

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\Omega_k) \le c \left[ \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\Omega_{k+\mu}) + \nu_{\vec{\omega}} \left( \bigcup_{\substack{im_l \le i < im_{l+1}}} \tilde{A}_i(l) \right) \right]$$
$$\le c\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\Omega_{k+\mu}) + c \sum_{i=im_l(l)}^{im_{l+1}(l)-1} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\tilde{A}_i(l))$$

if  $k = N - l - m_l \mu$ . It will be enough to consider these indices k because the sets  $\Omega_k$  are decreasing.

Now all the sets  $\{\tilde{A}_i(l)\}_{i=i_m(l)}^{i_{m+1}(l)-1}$  belong to  $b_k$  with  $k = N - l - m_l \mu$ , and therefore

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{\Psi_j, \tilde{A}_i(l)} > 2^k.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\int_{2^{-N} < \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f}) \le 2^{N+1}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x)^p \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(x) dx \le 2^p \sum_k 2^{kp} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\Omega_k) := I_1$$

and then

$$I_{1} \leq C \sum_{k} 2^{kp} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\Omega_{k+\mu}) + C \sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} \sum_{i \in I(l)} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\tilde{A}_{i}(l)) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_{j}\|_{\Psi_{j},\tilde{A}_{i}(l)} \right)^{p}$$
$$= C 2^{-p\mu} \sum_{k} 2^{kp} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\Omega_{k}) + C \sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} \sum_{i \in I(l)} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\tilde{A}_{i}(l)) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_{j}\|_{\Psi_{j},\tilde{A}_{i}(l)} \right)^{p}$$

If we choose  $\mu$  so large that  $C2^{-\mu p} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and since everything involved is finite, the first term on the right-hand side can be subtracted from the left-hand side. This yields that

$$\int_{2^{-N} < \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f}) \leq 2^{N+1}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}}(\vec{f})(x)^{p} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(x) dx$$

$$\leq 2^{p+1} C \sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} \sum_{i \in I(l)} \nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\tilde{A}_{i}(l)) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_{j}\|_{\Psi_{j},\tilde{A}_{i}(l)}\right)^{p}$$

$$\leq 2^{p+1} C \sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} \sum_{i \in I(l)} \frac{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\tilde{A}_{i}(l))}{|\tilde{A}_{i}(l)|} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_{j}\|_{\Psi_{j},\tilde{A}_{i}(l)}\right)^{p} |\tilde{A}_{i}(l)|.$$

Since  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \omega_j^{p/p_j}$ , applying the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\frac{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\tilde{A}_i(l))}{|\tilde{A}_i(l)|} = \frac{1}{|\tilde{A}_i(l)|} \int_{\tilde{A}_i(l)} \prod_{j=1}^m \omega_j^{p/p_j} dx$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{|\tilde{A}_i(l)|} \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \int_{\tilde{A}_i(l)} \omega_j dx \right)^{p/p_j}$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{\omega_j(\tilde{A}_i(l))}{|\tilde{A}_i(l)|} \right)^{p/p_j}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(3.1) \qquad 2^{p+1}C\sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1}\sum_{i\in I(l)}\frac{\nu_{\vec{\omega}}(\tilde{A}_{i}(l))}{|\tilde{A}_{i}(l)|}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m}\|f_{j}\|_{\Psi_{j},\tilde{A}_{i}(l)}\right)^{p}|\tilde{A}_{i}(l)| \\ \leq 2^{p+1}C\sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1}\sum_{i\in I(l)}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left\|f_{j}\left(\frac{\nu_{\omega_{j}}(\tilde{A}_{i}(l))}{|\tilde{A}_{i}(l)|}\right)^{1/p_{j}}\right\|_{\Psi_{j},\tilde{A}_{i}(l)}^{p}|\tilde{A}_{i}(l)| \\ \leq 2^{p+1}C\sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1}\sum_{i\in I(l)}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left\|f_{j}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}\omega_{j}\right)^{1/p_{j}}\right\|_{\Psi_{j},\tilde{A}_{i}(l)}^{p}|\tilde{A}_{i}(l)|.$$

For each l, let  $E_1(l) = \tilde{A}_1(l)$  and  $E_i(l) = \tilde{A}_i(l) \setminus \bigcup \tilde{A}_s(l)$ , i > 1. Recall that the sequences  $a(l) = {\tilde{A}_i(l)}_{i \in I(l)}$  are  $\lambda$ -scattered with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Hence, it holds that

$$|\tilde{A}_i(l)| \le \frac{1}{1-\lambda} |E_i(l)|, i > 1.$$

Therefore, (3.1) can be further controlled by

(3.2) 
$$\frac{C}{1-\lambda} \sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} \sum_{i \in I(l)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left\| f_j \left( \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_j \right)^{1/p_j} \right\|_{\Psi_j, \tilde{A}_i(l)}^p |\tilde{E}_i(l)|.$$

Now since the family  $\{E_i(l)\}_{i,l}$  consists of pairwise disjoint sets, we can therefore apply Lemma 3.1 to estimate the inequality (3.2). Hence,

$$\frac{C}{1-\lambda} \sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} \sum_{i\in I(l)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left\| f_j \left( \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_j \right)^{1/p_j} \right\|_{\Psi_j, \tilde{A}_i(l)}^p |\tilde{E}_i(l)| \\
\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\vec{\Psi}} \left( f_1 \left( \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_1 \right)^{1/p_1}, \dots, f_m \left( \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_m \right)^{1/p_m} \right) (x)^p dx \\
\leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \left\| f_j \left( \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_j \right)^{1/p_j} \right\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = C \prod_{j=1}^m \| f_j \|_{L^{p_j}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_j)}^p.$$

#### 4. The F-S inequality with arbitrary weights

This section will be devoted to give the proof of Theorem 1.2. In order to demonstrate this theorem clearly, we consider more general setting, the multilinear strong maximal operator with complexity c. Theorem 1.2 follows immediately once the following estimate is proved:

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}}\left(x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^c_{\mathcal{R}}(\vec{f})(x) > t^m\right)^{1/p} \le \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{C}{t} \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, W_j)}$$

where c = 1, 2..., n and  $W_j = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^c \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{c-1} ... \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^1 \omega_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., m. The same selection procedure as in [15] will be used in our proof. We only consider the bilinear case, the multilinear case can be obtained in the similar way easily. Moreover, we also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** ([11]). Let  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{p_m}$  and  $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{j=1}^m \omega_j^{\frac{p}{p_j}}$ , if  $1 \le p_j < \infty$ , then for arbitrary weights  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_j$ , it holds that

$$\|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{\omega}})} \le c \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathcal{M}\omega_j)}$$

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof.* Notice that Theorem 1.2 holds for c = 1. In fact, when c = 1,  $\mathcal{R}_c$  is the set of cubes, then Theorem 1.2 follows by Lemma 4.1. We assume that this theorem holds for c = m - 1 and then we shall prove it for c = m. With a standard argument, we may assume that the basis  $\mathcal{R}_m$  is the set of all dyadic rectangles(Cartesian products of dyadic intervals). We further assume that, when  $R \in \mathcal{R}_m$ , the sidelengths  $|P_i(R)|$  decrease and

$$|P_1(R)| = |P_2(R)| = \dots = |P_{\hat{m}}(R)| > |P_{\hat{m}+1}(R)|.$$

For any compact set  $K \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{m-1}(\vec{f})(x) > t^2\}$ , there exist  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^M \subset \mathcal{R}_m$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^M R_i$  and

(4.1) 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{|R_i|} \int_{R_i} |f_j| dy > t^2, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., M$$

First, relabel if necessary so that the  $R'_is$  are ordered in a way such that their long sidelengths  $|P_1(R_i)|$  decrease. We now give a selection procedure to find subcollection  $\{\tilde{R}_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \{R_i\}_{i=1}^M$ .

Take  $\tilde{R}_1 \doteq R_1$  and suppose that we have now chosen the rectangles  $\tilde{R}_1, \tilde{R}_2, ..., \tilde{R}_{i-1}$ . We select  $\tilde{R}_i$  to be the first rectangle  $R_k$  occurring after  $\tilde{R}_{i-1}$  so that

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{R}_j \cap R_k \right| < \frac{1}{2} |R_k|, \quad i = 2, 3, ..., N.$$

Thus,  $\tilde{R}_i$  enjoys the property that

(4.2) 
$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{R}_j \cap \tilde{R}_i \right| < \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{R}_i|$$

Set  $\Omega \doteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{R}_i$ . We claim that

(4.3) 
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} R_i \subset \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{m-1}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}, \mathbf{1}_{\Omega})(x) > \frac{1}{2^2} \right\}$$

Indeed, choose any point x inside a rectangle  $R_j$  that is not one of the selected rectangles  $\tilde{R}_i$ . Then, there exists a unique  $J \leq N$  such that

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \tilde{R}_{j} \cap R_{j} \right| \ge \frac{1}{2} |R_{j}|.$$

Since,  $|P_l(\tilde{R}_i)| \ge |P_l(R_j)|$  for  $l = 1, 2, ..., \hat{m}$  and i = 1, 2, ..., J, if  $\tilde{R}_i \cap R_j \ne \emptyset$ , we have  $P_l(\tilde{R}_i) \cap P_l(R_j) = P_l(R_j).$ 

Therefore, we obtain

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \tilde{R}_{j} \cap R_{j} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\hat{m}} P_{l}(R_{j}) \right) \times \left( \prod_{l=\hat{m}+1}^{n} P_{l}(\tilde{R}_{i}) \cap P_{l}(R_{j}) \right)$$
$$= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\hat{m}} P_{l}(R_{j}) \right) \times \bigcup_{i=1}^{J} \left( \prod_{l=\hat{m}+1}^{n} P_{l}(\tilde{R}_{i}) \cap P_{l}(R_{j}) \right)$$

Hence,

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{J} \left( \prod_{l=\hat{m}+1}^{n} P_l(\tilde{R}_i) \cap P_l(R_j) \right) \right| \ge \frac{1}{2} \left| \prod_{l=\hat{m}+1}^{n} P_l(R_j) \right|$$

Thanks to the fact that  $|P_{\hat{m}+1}(R_j)| < |P_{\hat{m}}(R_j)|$ , this implies that

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{K} \tilde{R}_i \cap R \right| \ge \frac{1}{2} |R|,$$

where R is a unique dyadic rectangle containing x and satisfies

$$|P_1(R)| = |P_2(R)| = \dots = |P_{\hat{m}}(R)| = |P_{\hat{m}+1}(R_j)|.$$

This proves (4.3), by the reason that such R should belong to  $\mathcal{R}_{m-1}$ . From this, we get

(4.4) 
$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} R_i \right)^{1/p} \leq \nu_{\vec{\omega}} (\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{m-1}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}, \mathbf{1}_{\Omega})(x) > 1/2^2\})^{1/p}$$
$$\leq C \prod_{j=1}^{2} \|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, U_j)},$$

where  $U_j = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{m-1} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^{m-2} \dots \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}^1 \omega_j$ . Set  $E(\tilde{R}_1) = \tilde{R}_1$ . For  $i = 2, 3, \dots, N$ , set  $E(\tilde{R}_i) = \tilde{R}_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{R}_j.$ 

Then, the sets  $E(\tilde{R}_i)$  are pairwise disjoint and by (4.2), it holds that

(4.5) 
$$|E(\tilde{R}_i)| \ge \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{R}_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N.$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2} \|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}\|_{L^{p_{j}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, U_{j})} = \prod_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}} \le \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} U_{j}(\tilde{R}_{i})\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}}.$$

Hence by (4.1), one may obtain that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_{j}(\tilde{R}_{i}) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}} \times 1$$
  
$$\leq \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_{j}(\tilde{R}_{i}) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}} \times \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{t |\tilde{R}_{i}|} \int_{\tilde{R}_{i}} |f_{j}(y)| dy$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{t^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_{j}(\tilde{R}_{i}) \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{R}_{i}|} \int_{\tilde{R}_{i}} |f_{j}(y)| dy \right)^{p_{j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}}$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{N} U_j(\tilde{R}_i) \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{R}_i|} \int_{\tilde{R}_i} |f_j(y)| dy \right)^{p_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{R}_i|} \int_{\tilde{R}_i} |f_j| dy \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{R}_i|} \int_{\tilde{R}_i} U_j dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p_j}} \right)^{p_j} |\tilde{R}_i| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{R}_i|} \int_{\tilde{R}_i} |f_j| W_j^{\frac{1}{p_j}} dy \right)^{p_j} |E(\tilde{R}_i)| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{M}_m[f_j W_j^{1/p_j}])^{p_j} dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_j|^{p_j} W_j dx, \end{split}$$

Combining them together with (4.4), we have

$$\nu_{\vec{\omega}} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} R_i \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{C}{t^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_j|^{p_j} W_j dx \right).$$

where we have used (4.5), and the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $\mathcal{M}_m$ . Altogether, we obtain the desired result.

#### References

- R. J. Bagby, D. S. Kurtz, L(log L) spaces and weights for the strong maximal function, J. Anal. Math. 44 (1984/1985), 21-31.
- [2] O. N. Capri, C. E. Gutiérrez, Weighted inequalities for a vector-valued strong maximal function, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 18 (1988), 565-570.
- [3] A. Córdoba, and R. Fefferman, A geometric proof of the strong maximal theorem, Ann. of Math.
   (2) 102 (1975), no. 1, 95-100.
- [4] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, Some Maximal Inequalities, Amer. J. math., 93 (1971), no. 1, 107-115.
- [5] L. Grafakos, L. Liu, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres, *The Multilinear Strong Maximal Function*, J. Geom. Anal, **21** (2011), 118-149.
- [6] P. A. Hagelstein, T. Luque, and I. Parissis, *Tauberian conditions, Muckenhoupt weights, and differentiation properties of weighted bases*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **367** (2015), no.11, 7999-8032.
- [7] P. A. Hagelstein, and I. Parissis, The endpoint Fefferman-Stein inequality for the strong maximal function, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), no. 1, 199-212.
- [8] B. Jawerth, Weighted inequalities for maximal operators: linearization, localization, and factorization, Amer. J. Math., 108 (1986), 361-414.
- B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz, and A. Zygmund, Note on the differentiability of multiple integrals, Fund. Math. 25 (1935), 217-234.
- [10] L. Liu and T. Luque, A B<sub>p</sub> condition for the strong maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 366 (2014), no. 11, 5707-5726.
- [11] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombros, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres, R. Trujillo-González, New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, Adv. in Math., 220 (2009), no. 4, 1222-1264.
- [12] T. Luque and I. Parissis, The endpoint Fefferman-Stein inequality for the strong maximal function, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), no. 1, 199-212.
- [13] T. Mitsis, The weighted weak type inequality for the strong maximal function, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 12 (6) (2006) 645-652.
- [14] H. Saito, and H. Tanaka, The Fefferman-Stein type inequality for strong and directional maximal operators in the plane, arXiv:1610.03186v1.
- [15] H. Tanaka, The Fefferman-Stein type inequality for strong maximal operators in the heigher dimensions, arXiv:1611.01252v2.

JUAN ZHANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, LABO-RATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address*: zhangjuanw@mail.bnu.edu.cn

College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Narashinodai 7-24-1, Fun-Abashi City, Chiba, 274-8501, Japan

*E-mail address*: saitou.hiroki@nihon-u.ac.jp

QINGYING XUE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, LAB-ORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address*: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn