

DISTRIBUTION OF FULL WORDS IN BETA-EXPANSION

YAO-QIANG LI AND BING LI*

ABSTRACT. We study the structures of admissible words and full words for β -expansion. The distribution of full words are characterized by giving all the precise numbers of consecutive full words and non-full words with same lengths.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number. The β -expansion was introduced by Rényi [Ren57] in 1957. When $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, all the sequences in $\{0, 1, \dots, \beta - 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (except countable of them) are the β -expansion of some $x \in [0, 1)$ (called admissible). However, if $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, not all sequences in $\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are the β -expansion of some $x \in [0, 1)$ where $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of β . Parry [Pa60] obtained a criterion for admissability of sequences (see Lemma 2.4 below). Denoted by Σ_{β}^n the set of all the admissible words with length $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By estimating the cardinality of Σ_{β}^n in [Ren57], it is known that the topological entropy of β -transformation T_{β} is $\log \beta$. Any word in Σ_{β}^n is projected to a cylinder of order n , which is a left-closed and right-open interval in $[0, 1)$. The lengths of cylinders are irregular for $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, meanwhile, they are all regular for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Li and Wu [LiWu08] introduce a classification of $\beta > 1$ for characterising the lengths of cylinders and then the sizes of all corresponding classes are given by Li, Persson, Wang and Wu [LPWW14] from the measure and dimensional sense. Another different classification of $\beta > 1$ has been provided by Blanchard [Bla89] from the viewpoint of dynamical system, and then the sizes of all corresponding classes are given by Schmeling [Schme97] from the topological, measure, and dimensional sense.

A cylinder with order n is said to be full if it is mapped by the n -th iteration of β -transformation T_{β}^n onto $[1, 0)$ (see Definition 2.7 below, [Wal78] or [DK02]) or equivalently its length is maximal, that is, equal to β^{-n} (see Proposition 3.1 below, [FW12] or [BuWa14]). An admissible word is said to be full if the corresponding cylinder is full. Full words and cylinders have very good properties. For example, Walters [Wal78] proved that for any given $N > 0$, $[0, 1)$ is covered by the full cylinders of order at least N . Fan and Wang [FW12] obtained some good properties of full cylinders (see Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 below). Bugeaud and Wang [BuWa14] studied the distribution of full cylinders, showed that for $n \geq 1$, among every $(n + 1)$ consecutive cylinders of order n , there exists at least one full cylinder, and used it to prove a modified mass distribution principle to study the Hausdorff dimension of sets defined in terms of β -expansions. Zheng, Wu and Li used the lengths of full cylinders to prove that the extremely irregular set is residual (for details see [ZWL17]).

In this paper, we are interested in the distribution of full words in Σ_{β}^n and cylinders in $[0, 1)$. According to the lexicographical order, all the elements in Σ_{β}^n are arranged from small to large, i.e., all the cylinders of order n in $[0, 1)$ are arranged from left to right. We want to know whether the words and cylinders are full or not, and consider the distribution of the full words and non-full words in Σ_{β}^n , i.e., the distribution of the full cylinders and non-full cylinders of order n in $[0, 1)$.

The main objective of this paper is to study the precise numbers of maximal consecutive full words and maximal consecutive non-full words where "maximal" will be defined in Definition

Date: November 12, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11K99; Secondary 37B10.

Key words and phrases. β -expansion, full word, full cylinder, distribution.

*Corresponding author.

4.3 meaning that the string of consecutive full words and consecutive non-full words can not be elongated. It is a new way to study the distribution of full words and cylinders clearly. For achieving the goal, we study some criterions, properties and structures of full words and non-full words first, show that every admissible word has a unique and clear form in Proposition 3.7, and obtain a convenient way to judge whether an admissible word is full or not in Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 3.9. Then we give all the precise numbers of maximal consecutive full words in Theorem 4.5, from which we can see that the numbers of maximal consecutive full words rely on the nonzero terms in the β -expansion of 1. Immediately, the maximal number of consecutive full words and the minimal number of maximal consecutive full words are given in Corollary 4.10 and Corollary 4.11. After defining the function τ_β using the concept of numeration system in Definition 5.1, we obtain a convenient way to count the consecutive non-full words in Lemma 5.5, which can easily give the maximal number of consecutive full words in Corollary 5.7 and generalize the result given by Bugeaud and Wang mentioned above (see Remark 5.10). With the help of the maximal number of consecutive full words and the way to count the consecutive non-full words mentioned above, we obtain all the precise numbers of maximal consecutive non-full words in Theorem 5.11, from which we can see that the numbers of maximal consecutive non-full words rely on the positions of nonzero terms in the β -expansion of 1. Finally we give the minimal number of maximal consecutive non-full words in Corollary 5.12.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic notation and preliminary work needed. In Section 3, we study the structure of admissible words and full words. In Section 4 and Section 5, we obtain all the precise numbers of maximal consecutive full words and non-full words respectively as the main results of this paper.

Since the results of this paper for the case $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ are trivial, it means $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ when β is mentioned without emphasis.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let us introduce some basic notation and preliminary work needed.

- Let $\beta > 1$ and $T_\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be the map:

$$T_\beta(x) := \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor, \quad x \in [0, 1).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_\beta = \{0, 1, \dots, \beta - 1\}$ when $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A}_\beta = \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$ when $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\epsilon_n(x, \beta) := \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{n-1}(x) \rfloor, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, 1).$$

Then $\epsilon_n(x, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n(x, \beta) \beta^{-n}$$

is called the β -expansion of x . The sequence $\epsilon(x, \beta) := \epsilon_1(x, \beta)\epsilon_2(x, \beta) \cdots \epsilon_n(x, \beta) \cdots$ is also called the β -expansion of x . The system $([0, 1), T_\beta)$ is called a β -dynamical system.

- Let $\beta > 1$. We define

$$T_\beta(1) := \beta - \lfloor \beta \rfloor \text{ and } \epsilon_n(1, \beta) := \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{n-1}(1) \rfloor, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the number 1 can also be expanded into a series, denoted by

$$1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n(1, \beta) \beta^{-n},$$

which is called the β -expansion of 1. The sequence $\epsilon(1, \beta) := \epsilon_1(1, \beta)\epsilon_2(1, \beta) \cdots \epsilon_n(1, \beta) \cdots$ is also called the β -expansion of 1.

- In this paper, we always denote

$$w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \cdots \quad \text{for any } w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^{\mathbb{N}},$$

$$w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \quad \text{for any } w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^n,$$

and

$$\epsilon(1, \beta) = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_n \cdots$$

If there are infinite many n with $\epsilon_n \neq 0$, we call $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ infinite. Otherwise, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\epsilon_M \neq 0$ with $\epsilon_j = 0$ for all $j > M$ and we call $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ finite, also call that $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M in this paper.

- We define the *modified* β -expansion of 1 by

$$\epsilon^*(1, \beta) := \epsilon(1, \beta)$$

if $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite, and

$$\epsilon^*(1, \beta) := (\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} (\epsilon_M - 1))^\infty$$

if $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M . Here for a finite word $w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^n$, the word $(w)^\infty \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}$ denotes the infinite word with w recurring after n steps, that is,

$$(w)^\infty := w^\infty := w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \cdots$$

In this paper, we always denote

$$\epsilon^*(1, \beta) = \epsilon_1^* \epsilon_2^* \cdots \epsilon_n^* \cdots$$

no matter $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite or not.

- Let \prec and \preceq be the *lexicographical order* in $\mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}$. More precisely, $w \prec w'$ means that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_i = w'_i$ for all $1 \leq i < k$ and $w_k < w'_k$. Besides, $w \preceq w'$ means that $w \prec w'$ or $w = w'$. Similarly, the definitions of \prec and \preceq are extended to the sequences by identifying a finite word w with the sequence $w0^\infty$.

- For any $w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}$, we use $w|_k$ to denote the prefix of w with length k , i.e., $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^n$, we use $|w| := n$ to denote the *length* of w and $w|_k$ to denote the prefix of w with length k where $1 \leq k \leq |w|$.

- Let $\sigma : \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}$ be the *shift*

$$\sigma(w_1 w_2 \cdots) = w_2 w_3 \cdots \quad \text{for } w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}$$

and $\pi_\beta : \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the map

$$\pi_\beta(w) = \frac{w_1}{\beta} + \frac{w_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n} + \cdots \quad \text{for } w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 2.1 (Admissability).

- (1) A word $w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^n$ is called *admissible*, if there exists $x \in [0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon_i(x, \beta) = w_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote

$$\Sigma_\beta^n := \{w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^n : w \text{ is admissible}\} \text{ and } \Sigma_\beta^* := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_\beta^n.$$

- (2) A sequence $w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}$ is called *admissible*, if there exists $x \in [0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon_i(x, \beta) = w_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote

$$\Sigma_\beta := \{w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N} : w \text{ is admissible}\}.$$

The following two lemmas are basic facts.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\beta > 1$. Then*

- (1) *the word $w_1 \cdots w_n$ and the sequence $w_{n+1} w_{n+2} \cdots$ are admissible for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \Sigma_\beta$;*
- (2) *the sequence $w \prec \epsilon(1, \beta)$ for any $w \in \Sigma_\beta$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\beta > 1$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_n$ is admissible and maximal in the sense of lexicographical order in Σ_β^n .*

We give Parry's criterion for admissability of sequences below.

Lemma 2.4 (Parry's criterion[Pa60]). *Let $w \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^\mathbb{N}$. Then w is admissible (that is, $w \in \Sigma_\beta$) if and only if*

$$\sigma^k(w) \prec \epsilon^*(1, \beta) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

As a corollary of Parry's criterion, the following lemma can also be found in [Pa60].

Lemma 2.5. *Let w be a sequence of non-negative integers. Then w is the β -expansion of 1 for some β if and only if $\sigma^k w \prec w$ for all $k \geq 1$. Moreover, such β satisfies $w_1 \leq \beta < w_1 + 1$.*

Definition 2.6 (Cylinder). Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^*$. We call

$$[w] := \{v \in \Sigma_\beta : v_i = w_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq |w|\}$$

the *cylinder* generated by w and

$$I(w) := \pi_\beta([w])$$

the *cylinder* in $[0,1)$ generated by w .

Definition 2.7 (Full words and cylinders). Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$. If $T_\beta^n I(w) = [0, 1)$, we call the word w and the cylinders $[w], I(w)$ *full*. Otherwise, we call them *non-full*.

Lemma 2.8 ([BuWa14],[FW12]). *Suppose the word $w_1 \cdots w_n$ is admissible and $w_n \neq 0$. Then $w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}w'_n$ is full for any $w'_n < w_n$.*

3. THE STRUCTURES OF ADMISSIBLE WORDS AND FULL WORDS

The following proposition is a criterion of full words. The equivalence of (1), (2) and (4) can be found in [FW12]. We give some proofs for self-contained and more characterizations (3), (5), (6) are given here.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) w is full, i.e., $T_\beta^n I(w) = [0, 1)$;
- (2) $|I(w)| = \beta^{-n}$;
- (3) The sequence ww' is admissible for any $w' \in \Sigma_\beta$;
- (4) The word ww' is admissible for any $w' \in \Sigma_\beta^*$;
- (5) The word $w\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_k^*$ is admissible for any $k \geq 1$;
- (6) $\sigma^n[w] = \Sigma_\beta$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since w is full, $T_\beta^n I(w) = [0, 1)$. Noting that

$$x = \frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{T_\beta^n x}{\beta^n} \quad \text{for any } x \in I(w),$$

we can get

$$I(w) = \left[\frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n}, \frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{1}{\beta^n} \right)$$

By proving inclusions. Therefore $|I(w)| = \beta^{-n}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $x, x' \in [0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon(x, \beta) = w0^\infty$ and $\epsilon(x', \beta) = w'$. Then

$$x = \frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n} \quad \text{and} \quad x' = \frac{w'_1}{\beta} + \frac{w'_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots.$$

Let

$$y = x + \frac{x'}{\beta^n} = \frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{w'_1}{\beta^{n+1}} + \frac{w'_2}{\beta^{n+2}} \cdots.$$

We need to prove $ww' \in \Sigma_\beta$. It suffices to prove $y \in [0, 1)$ and $\epsilon(y, \beta) = ww'$. In fact, since $I(w)$ is a left-closed and right-open interval with $\frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n}$ as its left endpoint and $|I(w)| = \beta^{-n}$, we get

$$I(w) = \left[\frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n}, \frac{w_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{1}{\beta^n} \right) = [x, x + \frac{1}{\beta^n}).$$

So $y \in I(w) \subset [0, 1)$ and $\epsilon_1(y, \beta) = w_1, \dots, \epsilon_n(y, \beta) = w_n$. That is

$$y = \frac{w_1}{\beta} + \dots + \frac{w_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{T_\beta^n y}{\beta^n} = x + \frac{T_\beta^n y}{\beta^n},$$

which implies $T_\beta^n y = x'$. Then for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\epsilon_{n+k}(y, \beta) = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{n+k-1} y \rfloor = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{k-1} x' \rfloor = \epsilon_k(x', \beta) = w'_k.$$

Thus $\epsilon(y, \beta) = ww'$. Therefore $ww' \in \Sigma_\beta$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) follows from $\epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_k^* \in \Sigma_\beta^*$ for any $k \geq 1$ (see Lemma 2.3).

(5) \Rightarrow (1) We need to prove $T_\beta^n I(w) = [0, 1)$. It suffices to show $T_\beta^n I(w) \supset [0, 1)$ since the reverse inclusion is obvious. Indeed, let $x \in [0, 1)$ and $u = w_1 \dots w_n \epsilon_1(x, \beta) \epsilon_2(x, \beta) \dots$.

At first, we prove $u \in \Sigma_\beta$. By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to prove $\sigma^k(u) \prec \epsilon^*(1, \beta)$ for any $k \geq 0$ below.

① If $k \geq n$, we have

$$\sigma^k(u) = \epsilon_{k-n+1}(x, \beta) \epsilon_{k-n+2}(x, \beta) \dots = \sigma^{k-n}(\epsilon(x, \beta)) \stackrel{\text{by Lemma 2.4}}{\prec} \epsilon^*(1, \beta).$$

② If $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have

$$\sigma^k(u) = w_{k+1} \dots w_n \epsilon_1(x, \beta) \epsilon_2(x, \beta) \dots$$

Since $\epsilon(x, \beta) \prec \epsilon^*(1, \beta)$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\epsilon_1(x, \beta) = \epsilon_1^*, \dots, \epsilon_{m-1}(x, \beta) = \epsilon_{m-1}^*$ and $\epsilon_m(x, \beta) < \epsilon_m^*$. Combining $w \epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_m^* \in \Sigma_\beta^*$ and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\sigma^k(u) \prec w_{k+1} \dots w_n \epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_m^* 0^\infty = \sigma^k(w \epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_m^* 0^\infty) \prec \epsilon^*(1, \beta).$$

Therefore $u \in \Sigma_\beta$.

Let $y \in [0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon(y, \beta) = u$. Then $y \in I(w)$. Since

$$\epsilon_k(T_\beta^n y, \beta) = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{n+k-1} y \rfloor = \epsilon_{n+k}(y, \beta) = \epsilon_k(x, \beta) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

we get $x = T_\beta^n y \in T_\beta^n I(w)$.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (6) follows from the facts that the function $\epsilon(\cdot, \beta) : [0, 1) \rightarrow \Sigma_\beta$ is bijective and the commutativity $\epsilon(T_\beta x, \beta) = \sigma(\epsilon(x, \beta))$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $w, w' \in \Sigma_\beta^*$ be full and $|w| = n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (1) *the word ww' is full;*
- (2) *the word $\sigma^k(w) := w_{k+1} \dots w_n$ is full for any $1 \leq k < n$;*
- (3) *the digit $w_n < \lfloor \beta \rfloor$ if $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$. Particularly, $w_n = 0$ if $1 < \beta < 2$.*

Proof. (1) A proof has been given in [BuWa14]. We give another proof here for self-contained. Since w' is full, by Proposition 3.1 (5) we get $w' \epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_m^* \in \Sigma_\beta^*$ for any $m \geq 1$. Then $ww' \epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_m^* \in \Sigma_\beta^*$ by the fullness of w and Proposition 3.1 (4), which implies that ww' is full by Proposition 3.1 (5).

(2) Since w is full, by Proposition 3.1 (5) we get $w_1 \dots w_n \epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_m^* \in \Sigma_\beta^*$, also $w_{k+1} \dots w_n \epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_m^* \in \Sigma_\beta^*$ for any $m \geq 1$. Therefore $w_{k+1} \dots w_n$ is full by Proposition 3.1 (5).

(3) Since w is full, by (2) we know that $\sigma^{n-1}w = w_n$ is full. Then $|I(w_n)| = 1/\beta$ by Proposition 3.1 (2). Suppose $w_n = \lfloor \beta \rfloor$, then $I(w_n) = I(\lfloor \beta \rfloor) = [\lfloor \beta \rfloor/\beta, 1)$ and $|I(w_n)| = 1 - \lfloor \beta \rfloor/\beta < 1/\beta$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $w_n \neq \lfloor \beta \rfloor$. So $w_n < \lfloor \beta \rfloor$ noting that $w_n \leq \lfloor \beta \rfloor$. \square

Proposition 3.3. (1) *Any truncation of $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is not full (if it is admissible). That is, $\epsilon(1, \beta)|_k$ is not full for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (if it is admissible).*

(2) *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_k$ is full if and only if $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M which exactly divides k , i.e., $M|k$.*

Proof. (1) We show the conclusion by the cases that $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite or infinite.

Cases 1. $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M .

① If $k \geq M$, then $\epsilon(1, \beta)|_k = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_M 0^{k-M}$ is not admissible.

② If $1 \leq k \leq M-1$, combining $\epsilon_{k+1} \cdots \epsilon_M 0^\infty = \epsilon(T_\beta^k 1, \beta) \in \Sigma_\beta$, $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k \epsilon_{k+1} \cdots \epsilon_M 0^\infty = \epsilon(1, \beta) \notin \Sigma_\beta$ and Proposition 3.1 (1) (3), we know that $\epsilon(1, \beta)|_k = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k$ is not full.

Cases 2. $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite.

Combing $\epsilon_{k+1} \epsilon_{k+2} \cdots = \epsilon(T_\beta^k 1, \beta) \in \Sigma_\beta$, $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k \epsilon_{k+1} \epsilon_{k+2} \cdots \notin \Sigma_\beta$ and Proposition 3.1 (1) (3), we know that $\epsilon(1, \beta)|_k = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k$ is not full.

(2) \Leftarrow Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k = pM$. For any $n \geq 1$, we know that $\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{pM}^* \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_n^* = \epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_{k+n}$ is admissible by Lemma 2.3. Therefore $\epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_k = \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{pM}^*$ is full by Proposition 3.1 (1) (5).

\Rightarrow (By contradiction) Suppose that the conclusion is not true, that is, either $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite or finite with length M , but M does not divide k exactly.

① If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite, then $\epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_k = \epsilon(1, \beta)|_k$ is not full by (1), which contradicts our condition.

② If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , but $M \nmid k$, then there exists $p \geq 0$ such that $pM < k < pM + M$. Since $\epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_k$ is full, combining

$$\epsilon_{k-pM+1} \cdots \epsilon_M 0^\infty = \epsilon(T_\beta^{k-pM} 1, \beta) \in \Sigma_\beta,$$

and Proposition 3.1 (1) (3), we get $\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_k^* \epsilon_{k-pM+1} \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} \epsilon_M 0^\infty \in \Sigma_\beta$, i.e., $\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{pM}^* \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} \epsilon_M 0^\infty \in \Sigma_\beta$ which is false since $\pi_\beta(\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{pM}^* \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} \epsilon_M 0^\infty) = 1$. \square

The following lemma is a convenient way to show that an admissible word is not full.

Lemma 3.4. *Any admissible word ends with a prefix of $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is not full. That is, if $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-s} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s \in \Sigma_\beta^n$, then w is not full.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2 (2) and Proposition 3.3 (1). \square

Notation 3.5. *Denote the first position where w and $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ are different by*

$$\mathbf{m}(w) := \min\{k \geq 1 : w_k < \epsilon_k\} \quad \text{for } w \in \Sigma_\beta$$

and

$$\mathbf{m}(w) := \mathbf{m}(w 0^\infty) \quad \text{for } w \in \Sigma_\beta^*.$$

Remark 3.6. (1) Let $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ be finite with the length M . Then $\mathbf{m}(w) \leq M$ for any w in Σ_β or Σ_β^* .

(2) Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ and $\mathbf{m}(w) \geq n$. Then $w = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-1} w_n$ with $w_n \leq \epsilon_n$.

Proof. (1) follows from $w \prec \epsilon(1, \beta)$.

(2) follows from $w_1 = \epsilon_1, \dots, w_{n-1} = \epsilon_{n-1}$ and $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$. \square

Proposition 3.7 (The structure of admissible words). *Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$. Then w can be uniquely decomposed to the form*

$$\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_1-1} w_{n_1} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_2-1} w_{n_2} \cdots \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_p-1} w_{n_p} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{l-1} w_n, \quad (3.1)$$

where $p \geq 0$, $k_1, \dots, k_p, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = k_1 + \dots + k_p + l$, $n_j = k_1 + \dots + k_j$, $w_{n_j} < \epsilon_{k_j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$, $w_n \leq \epsilon_l$ and the words $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_1-1} w_{n_1}, \dots, \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_p-1} w_{n_p}$ are all full.

Moreover, if $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , then $k_1, \dots, k_p, l \leq M$. For the case $l = M$, we must have $w_n < \epsilon_M$.

Proof. Firstly, we show the decomposition by the cases that $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite or finite.

Case 1. $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite.

Compare w and $\epsilon(1, \beta)$. If $\mathbf{m}(w) \geq n$, then w has the form (3.1) with $w = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-1} w_n$ by Remark 3.6 (2). If $\mathbf{m}(w) < n$, let $n_1 = k_1 = \mathbf{m}(w) \geq 1$. Then $w|_{n_1} = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_1-1} w_{n_1}$ with $w_{n_1} < \epsilon_{k_1}$. Continue to compare the tail of w and $\epsilon(1, \beta)$. If $\mathbf{m}(w_{n_1+1} \cdots w_n) \geq n - n_1$, then $w_{n_1+1} \cdots w_n = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-n_1-1} w_n$ with $w_n \leq \epsilon_{n-n_1}$ by Remark 3.6 (2) and w has the form (3.1) with $w = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_1-1} w_{n_1} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-n_1-1} w_n$. If $\mathbf{m}(w_{n_1+1} \cdots w_n) < n - n_1$, let $k_2 = \mathbf{m}(w_{n_1+1} \cdots w_n) \geq 1$ and $n_2 = n_1 + k_2$. Then $w|_{n_2} = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_1-1} w_{n_1} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_2-1} w_{n_2}$ with $w_{n_2} < \epsilon_{k_2}$. Continue to compare the

tail of w and $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ for finite times. Then we can get that w must have the form (3.1).

Case 2. $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M .

By Remark 3.6(1), we get $\mathbf{m}(w), \mathbf{m}(w_{n_1+1} \cdots w_n), \dots, \mathbf{m}(w_{n_j+1} \cdots w_n), \dots, \mathbf{m}(w_{n_p+1} \cdots w_n) \leq M$ in Case 1. That is, $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p, l \leq M$ in (3.1). For the case $l = M$, combining $w_{n_p+1} = \epsilon_1, \dots, w_{n-1} = \epsilon_{M-1}$ and $w_{n_p+1} \cdots w_n \prec \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_M$, we get $w_n < \epsilon_M$.

Secondly, $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_1-1} w_{n_1}, \dots, \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{k_p-1} w_{n_p}$ are obviously full by Lemma 2.8. \square

Proposition 3.8 (The structural criterion of full words). *Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ and $w_* := \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{l-1} w_n$ be the suffix of w as in Proposition 3.7. Then*

$$w \text{ is full} \iff w_* \text{ is full} \iff w_n < \epsilon_{|w_*|}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 (1) (2), we know that w is full $\iff w_*$ is full. So it suffices to prove that w_* is full $\iff w_n < \epsilon_{|w_*|}$.

$\boxed{\Rightarrow}$ By $w_* \in \Sigma_\beta^*$, we get $w_n \leq \epsilon_l$. Suppose $w_n = \epsilon_l$, then $w_* = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_l$ is not full by Proposition 3.3 (1), which contradicts our condition. Therefore $w_n < \epsilon_l$.

$\boxed{\Leftarrow}$ Let $w_n < \epsilon_l$. We show that w_* is full by the cases that $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite or finite.

Case 1. When $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite. we know that w_* is full by $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{l-1} \epsilon_l \in \Sigma_\beta^*$, $w_n < \epsilon_l$ and Lemma 2.8.

Case 2. When $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , we know $l \leq M$ by Proposition 3.7.

If $l < M$, we get $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{l-1} \epsilon_l \in \Sigma_\beta^*$. Then w_* is full by $w_n < \epsilon_l$ and Lemma 2.8.

If $l = M$, we know that $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{l-1} (\epsilon_l - 1) = \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} (\epsilon_M - 1) = \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_M^*$ is full by Proposition 3.3 (2). Then w_* is full by $w_n \leq \epsilon_l - 1$ and Lemma 2.8. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$. Then w is not full if and only if it ends with a prefix of $\epsilon(1, \beta)$. That is, when $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite (finite with length M), there exists $1 \leq s \leq n$ ($1 \leq s \leq \min\{M-1, n\}$ respectively) such that $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-s} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$.*

Proof. $\boxed{\Rightarrow}$ follows from Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.8. $\boxed{\Leftarrow}$ follows from Lemma 3.4. \square

From Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 3.9 above, we can understand the structures of admissible words, full words and non-full words clearly, and judge whether an admissible word is full or not conveniently.

4. THE NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE FULL WORDS

Definition 4.1. Let $\beta > 1$. Define $\{n_i(\beta)\}$ to be the nonzero position of $\epsilon(1, \beta)$. That is,

$$n_1(\beta) := \min\{k \geq 1 : \epsilon_k \neq 0\} \text{ and } n_{i+1}(\beta) := \min\{k > n_i : \epsilon_k \neq 0\}$$

if there exists $k > n_i$ such that $\epsilon_k \neq 0$ for $i \geq 1$. We call $\{n_i(\beta)\}$ the *nonzero sequence* of β , also denote it by $\{n_i\}$ if there is no confusion.

Remark 4.2. Let $\beta > 1$, $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β . Then the following are obviously true.

- (1) $n_1 = 1$;
- (2) $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite if and only if $\{n_i\}$ is finite;
- (3) $\epsilon(1, \beta) = \epsilon_{n_1} 0 \cdots 0 \epsilon_{n_2} 0 \cdots 0 \epsilon_{n_3} 0 \cdots$.

Definition 4.3. We use $[w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(l)}]$ to denote l consecutive words from small to large in the sense of lexicographical order in Σ_β^n and call them a *string of consecutive words*. They are called a *string of maximal consecutive full words*, if they are all full with both the previous word of $w^{(1)}$ and the next word of $w^{(l)}$ are not full. When $w^{(1)} = 0^n$ or $w^{(l)} = \epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_n$, we regarded them the cases that the previous word of $w^{(1)}$ or the next word of $w^{(l)}$ is not full respectively. We use \mathcal{F}_β^n to denote the set of strings of maximal consecutive full words in Σ_β^n and F_β^n to denote the length set of \mathcal{F}_β^n , i.e.,

$$F_\beta^n := \{l \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exists } [w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(l)}] \in \mathcal{F}_\beta^n\}.$$

A string of consecutive non-full words, \mathcal{N}_β^n and N_β^n can be defined in similar way. Inside $\mathcal{F}_\beta^n \cup \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$, we use S_{max}^n to denote the string with that the last element is $\epsilon^*(1, \beta)|_n$.

Remark 4.4. For any $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ with $w \neq 0^n$ and $w_n = 0$, the previous word of w in the lexicographical order is $w_1 \cdots w_{k-1}(w_k - 1)\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{n-k}^*$ where $k = \max\{1 \leq i \leq n-1 : w_i \neq 0\}$.

Notice that we will use the basic fact above for many times in the proofs of the following results in this paper.

Theorem 4.5 (The numbers of maximal consecutive full words). *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β . Then*

$$F_\beta^n = \begin{cases} \{\epsilon_{n_i} : n_i \leq n\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite or finite with length } M \geq n; \\ \{\epsilon_{n_i}\} \cup \{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_M\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M < n \text{ and } M|n; \\ \{\epsilon_{n_i} : n_i \neq M\} \cup \{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_M\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M < n \text{ and } M \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from Definition 4.3, Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8 and the fact that $n_i \leq M$ for any i when $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M . \square

Remark 4.6. By Theorem 4.5, when $1 < \beta < 2$, we have

$$F_\beta^n = \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite or finite with length } M \geq n; \\ \{1, 2\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M < n. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β . Then the length set of $\mathcal{F}_\beta^n \setminus \{S_{max}^n\}$, i.e., $\{l \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exists } [w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(l)}] \in \mathcal{F}_\beta^n \setminus \{S_{max}^n\}\}$ is*

$$\begin{cases} \{\epsilon_{n_i} : n_i \leq n\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite or finite with length } M > n; \\ \{\epsilon_{n_i} : n_i \neq M\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M = n; \\ \{\epsilon_{n_i} : n_i \neq M\} \cup \{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_M\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M < n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $[w^{(1)}, w^{(l-1)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}] \in \mathcal{F}_\beta^n \setminus \{S_{max}^n\}$ and w which is not full be the next word of $w^{(1)}$. By Corollary 3.9, there exist $1 \leq s \leq n$, $0 \leq a \leq n-1$ with $a+s=n$ ($s \leq M-1$, when $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M), such that $w = w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$.

(1) If $s=1$, that is, $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} \epsilon_1$, then $w^{(1)} = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}(\epsilon_1 - 1)$, $w^{(2)} = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}(\epsilon_1 - 2)$, \dots , $w^{(\epsilon_1)} = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} 0$ are full by Lemma 2.8.

① If $n=1$ or $w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} = 0^{n-1}$, it's obvious that $l = \epsilon_1$.

② If $n \geq 2$ and $w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} \neq 0^{n-1}$, there exists $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ such that $w_k \neq 0$ and $w_{k+1} = \dots = w_{n-1} = 0$. Then the previous word of $w^{(\epsilon_1)}$ is

$$w^{(\epsilon_1+1)} = w_1 \cdots w_{k-1}(w_k - 1)\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{n-k}^*.$$

i) If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite or finite with length $M \geq n$, then $w^{(\epsilon_1+1)} = w_1 \cdots w_{k-1}(w_k - 1)\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-k}$ is not full by Lemma 3.4. Therefore $l = \epsilon_1$.

ii) If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length $M < n$, we divide this case into two parts according to $M \nmid n-k$ or $M|n-k$.

Ⓐ If $M \nmid n-k$, then $\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{n-k}^*$ is not full by Proposition 3.3 (2) and $w^{(\epsilon_1+1)}$ is also not full by Proposition 3.2 (2). Therefore $l = \epsilon_1$.

Ⓑ If $M|n-k$, then $\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{n-k}^*$ is full by Proposition 3.3 (2) and $w^{(\epsilon_1+1)}$ is also full by Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 3.2 (1). Let $w'_1 \cdots w'_{n-M} := w_1 \cdots w_{k-1}(w_k - 1)\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{n-k-M}^*$. Then

$$w^{(\epsilon_1+1)} = w'_1 \cdots w'_{n-M} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1}(\epsilon_M - 1).$$

The consecutive previous words

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(\epsilon_1+2)} &= w'_1 \cdots w'_{n-M} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1}(\epsilon_M - 2) \\ w^{(\epsilon_1+3)} &= w'_1 \cdots w'_{n-M} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1}(\epsilon_M - 3) \\ &\dots \\ w^{(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_M)} &= w'_1 \cdots w'_{n-M} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} 0 \end{aligned}$$

are all full by Lemma 2.8. Since $\epsilon_1 \neq 0$ and $M > 1$, there exists $1 \leq t \leq M - 1$ such that $\epsilon_t \neq 0$ and $\epsilon_{t+1} = \dots = \epsilon_{M-1} = 0$. Then, as the previous word of $w^{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_M)}$,

$$w^{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_M + 1)} = w'_1 \cdots w'_{n-M} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t-1} (\epsilon_t - 1) \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-t}$$

is not full by Lemma 3.4. Therefore $l = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_M$.

(2) If $2 \leq s \leq n$, we divide this case into two parts according to $\epsilon_s = 0$ or not.

① If $\epsilon_s = 0$, there exists $1 \leq t \leq s - 1$ such that $\epsilon_t \neq 0$ and $\epsilon_{t+1} = \dots = \epsilon_s = 0$ by $\epsilon_1 \neq 0$. Then $w = w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_t 0^{s-t}$, and $w^{(1)} = w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t-1} (\epsilon_t - 1) \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{s-t}$ is not full by Lemma 3.4, which contradicts our assumption.

② If $\epsilon_s \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(1)} &= w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{s-1} (\epsilon_s - 1) \\ w^{(2)} &= w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{s-1} (\epsilon_s - 2) \\ &\dots \\ w^{(\epsilon_s)} &= w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{s-1} 0 \end{aligned}$$

are full by Lemma 2.8. By nearly the same way of ①, we can prove that the previous word of $w^{(\epsilon_s)}$ is not full. Therefore $l = \epsilon_s$.

- i) If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite or finite with length $M > n$, combining $2 \leq s \leq n$ and $\epsilon_s \neq 0$, we know that the set of all values of $l = \epsilon_s$ is $\{\epsilon_{n_i} : 2 \leq n_i \leq n\}$.
- ii) If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ finite with length $M \leq n$, combining $2 \leq s \leq M - 1$ and $\epsilon_s \neq 0$, we know that the set of all values of $l = \epsilon_s$ is $\{\epsilon_{n_i} : 2 \leq n_i < M\}$.

By the discussion process above, we can see that every value of l in every case can be attained. Combining $n_i \leq M$ for any i when $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , $\epsilon_{n_1} = \epsilon_1$ and all the cases discussed above, we get the conclusion of this lemma. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$. If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M and $M|n$, then $S_{max}^n \in \mathcal{F}_\beta^n$ and the number of S_{max}^n is ϵ_M . Otherwise, $S_{max}^n \in \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$.*

Proof. Let $w^{(1)} = \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_n^*$.

If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M and $M|n$, then $w^{(1)}$ is full by Proposition 3.3 (2). We get $S_{max}^n \in \mathcal{F}_\beta^n$. Let $p = n/m - 1 \geq 0$. As the consecutive previous words of $w^{(1)}$, $w^{(2)} = (\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} (\epsilon_M - 1))^p \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} (\epsilon_M - 2)$, \dots , $w^{(\epsilon_M)} = (\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} (\epsilon_M - 1))^p \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{M-1} 0$ are full by Lemma 2.8. By nearly the same way in the proof of Lemma 4.7 (2) ①, we know that the previous word of $w^{(\epsilon_M)}$ is not full. Therefore the number of S_{max}^n is ϵ_M .

Otherwise, $w^{(1)}$ is not full by Proposition 3.3 (2). We get $S_{max}^n \in \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$. \square

Remark 4.9. All the locations of all the numbers in Theorem 4.5 can be found in the proof of Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8.

Corollary 4.10 (The maximal number of consecutive full words). *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\max F_\beta^n = \begin{cases} \lfloor \beta \rfloor + \epsilon_M & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M < n; \\ \lfloor \beta \rfloor & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite or finite with length } M \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows by $\epsilon_{n_i} \leq \epsilon_{n_1} = \epsilon_1 = \lfloor \beta \rfloor$ for any i and Theorem 4.5. \square

Corollary 4.11 (The minimal number of maximal consecutive full words). *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β . Then*

$$\min F_\beta^n = \begin{cases} \min_{n_i < M} \epsilon_{n_i} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M < n \text{ and } M \nmid n; \\ \min_{n_i \leq n} \epsilon_{n_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from $n_i \leq M$ for any i when $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M and Theorem 4.5. \square

Remark 4.12. It follows from Theorem 4.5 that the numbers of maximal consecutive full words rely on the nonzero terms in $\epsilon(1, \beta)$, i.e., $\{\epsilon_{n_i}\}$.

5. THE NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE NON-FULL WORDS

Let $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β . We use the concept of numeration system and greedy algorithm in [AlSh03, Section 3.1] to define the function τ_β below. See $\{n_i\}$ as a numeration system. For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write $s = \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i n_i$ greedily and uniquely where $a_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for any i and then define $\tau_\beta(s) = \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i$. Equivalently, we have the following.

Definition 5.1 (The function τ_β). Let $\beta > 1$, $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\tau_\beta(s)$ to be the number needed to add up to s greedily and repeatedly by $\{n_i\}$. We define it precisely below.

Let $n_{i_1} = \max\{n_i : n_i \leq s\}$. (Notice $n_1 = 1$.)

If $n_{i_1} = s$, define $\tau_\beta(s) := 1$.

If $n_{i_1} < s$, let $t_1 = s - n_{i_1}$ and $n_{i_2} = \max\{n_i : n_i \leq t_1\}$.

If $n_{i_2} = t_1$, define $\tau_\beta(s) := 2$.

If $n_{i_2} < t_1$, let $t_2 = t_1 - n_{i_2}$ and $n_{i_3} = \max\{n_i : n_i \leq t_2\}$.

...

Generally for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n_{i_j} = t_{j-1}$ ($t_0 := s$), define $\tau_\beta(s) := j$.

If $n_{i_j} < t_{j-1}$, let $t_j = t_{j-1} - n_{i_j}$ and $n_{i_{j+1}} = \max\{n_i : n_i \leq t_j\}$.

...

Noticing $n_1 = 1$, it's obvious that there exist $n_{i_1} \geq n_{i_2} \geq \dots \geq n_{i_d}$ all in $\{n_i\}$ such that $s = n_{i_1} + n_{i_2} + \dots + n_{i_d}$, i.e., $n_{i_d} = t_{d-1}$. Define $\tau_\beta(s) := d$.

In the following we give an example to show how to calculate τ_β .

Example 5.2. Let $\{1, 3, 8, \dots\}$ be the nonzero sequence of $\beta > 1$. Then the way to add up to 7 greedily and repeatedly is $7 = 3 + 3 + 1$. Therefore $\tau_\beta(7) = 3$.

Proposition 5.3 (Properties of τ_β). Let $\beta > 1$, $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

- (1) $\tau_\beta(n_i) = 1$ for any i ;
- (2) $\tau_\beta(s) = s$ for any $1 \leq s \leq n_2 - 1$, and $\tau_\beta(s) \leq s$ for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (3) $\{1, 2, \dots, k\} \subset \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$ for any $k \in \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$;
- (4) $\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, \max_{1 \leq s \leq n} \tau_\beta(s)\}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from Definition 5.1 and $n_1 = 1$.

- (3) Let $k \in \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$. If $k = 1$, the conclusion is obviously true. If $k \geq 2$, let $2 \leq t_0 \leq n$ such that $k = \tau_\beta(t_0)$, $n_{i_1} = \max\{n_i : n_i \leq t_0\}$ and $t_1 = t_0 - n_{i_1}$. Then $1 \leq t_1 < t_0 \leq n$ and it's obvious that $k - 1 = \tau_\beta(t_1) \in \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$ by Definition 5.1. By the same way, we can get $k - 2, k - 3, \dots, 1 \in \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$. Therefore $\{1, 2, \dots, k\} \subset \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$.

- (4) The inclusion $\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, \max_{1 \leq s \leq n} \tau_\beta(s)\}$ is obvious and the reverse inclusion follows from $\max_{1 \leq s \leq n} \tau_\beta(s) \in \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$ and (3).

□

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we use $r_n(\beta)$ to denote the maximal length of the strings of 0's in $\epsilon_1^* \dots \epsilon_n^*$ as in [FWL16], [HTY16] and [TYZ16], i.e.,

$$r_n(\beta) = \max\{k \geq 1 : \epsilon_{i+1}^* = \dots = \epsilon_{i+k}^* = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \leq i \leq n - k\}$$

with the convention that $\max \emptyset = 0$.

The following relation between $\tau_\beta(s)$ and $r_s(\beta)$ will be used in the proof of Corollary 5.9.

Proposition 5.4. Let $\beta > 1$. If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite, then $\tau_\beta(s) \leq r_s(\beta) + 1$ for any $s \geq 1$. If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , then the above inequality is true for any $1 \leq s \leq M$.

Proof. Let $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β and $n_{i_1} = \max\{n_i : n_i \leq s\}$. No matter $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite with $s \geq 1$ or finite with length $M \geq s \geq 1$, we have

$$\tau_\beta(s) - 1 = \tau_\beta(s - n_{i_1}) \leq s - n_{i_1} \leq r_s(\beta)$$

since $s - n_{i_1} = 0$ or $\epsilon_{n_{i_1}+1}^* \epsilon_{n_{i_1}+2}^* \cdots \epsilon_s^* = \epsilon_{n_{i_1}+1} \epsilon_{n_{i_1}+2} \cdots \epsilon_s = 0^{s-n_{i_1}}$. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ end with a prefix of $\epsilon(1, \beta)$, i.e., $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-s} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$ where $1 \leq s \leq n$. Then the previous consecutive $\tau_\beta(s)$ words starting from w in the sense of lexicographical order in Σ_β^n are not full, but the previous $(\tau_\beta(s) + 1)$ -th word is full.*

Remark 5.6. Notice that $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-s} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$ does not imply that $w_1 \cdots w_{n-s}$ is full. For example, when $\beta > 1$ with $\epsilon(1, \beta) = 1010010^\infty$, let $w = 001010 = w_1 \cdots w_4 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2$. But $w_1 \cdots w_4 = 0010$ is not full by Lemma 3.4.

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Let $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β and

$$w^{(1)} := w_1^{(1)} \cdots w_{a_1}^{(1)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s := w_1 \cdots w_{n-s} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s = w,$$

where $a_1 = n - s$. It's not full by Lemma 3.4.

...

Generally for any j , suppose $w^{(j)}, w^{(j-1)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}$ to be j consecutive non-full words in Σ_β^n where $w^{(j)} = w_1^{(j)} \cdots w_{a_j}^{(j)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t_{j-1}}$, $t_{j-1} > 0$ ($t_0 := s$). Let $w^{(j+1)} \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ be the previous word of $w^{(j)}$ and $n_{i_j} := \max\{n_i : n_i \leq t_{j-1}\}$.

If $n_{i_j} = t_{j-1}$, then $\epsilon_{t_{j-1}} > 0$ and $w^{(j+1)} = w_1^{(j)} \cdots w_{a_j}^{(j)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t_{j-1}-1} (\epsilon_{t_{j-1}} - 1)$ is full by Lemma 2.8. We get the conclusion of this lemma since $\tau_\beta(s) = j$ at this time.

If $n_{i_j} < t_{j-1}$, let $t_j = t_{j-1} - n_{i_j}$. Then $w^{(j)} = w_1^{(j)} \cdots w_{a_j}^{(j)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n_{i_j}} 0^{t_j}$ and the previous word is

$$w^{(j+1)} = w_1^{(j)} \cdots w_{a_j}^{(j)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n_{i_j}-1} (\epsilon_{n_{i_j}} - 1) \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t_j} =: w_1^{(j+1)} \cdots w_{a_{j+1}}^{(j+1)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t_j},$$

where $a_{j+1} = a_j + n_{i_j}$. By Lemma 3.4, $w^{(j+1)}$ is also not full. At this time, $w^{(j+1)}, w^{(j)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}$ are $j+1$ consecutive non-full words in Σ_β^n .

...

Noticing $n_1 = 1$, it's obvious that there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w^{(d)}, \dots, w^{(1)}$ are not full, and $s = n_{i_1} + n_{i_2} + \cdots + n_{i_d}$, i.e., $n_{i_d} = t_{d-1}$. Then $\epsilon_{t_{d-1}} > 0$ and $w^{(d+1)} = w_1^{(d)} \cdots w_{a_d}^{(d)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t_{d-1}-1} (\epsilon_{t_{d-1}} - 1)$ is full by Lemma 2.8. We get the conclusion of this lemma since $\tau_\beta(s) = d$ at this time. \square

Corollary 5.7 (The maximal number of consecutive non-full words). *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\max N_\beta^n = \begin{cases} \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite;} \\ \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{M-1, n\}\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $l \in N_\beta^n$ and $[w^{(l)}, w^{(l-1)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}] \in \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$. Then, by Corollary 3.9, there exists

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq s_0 \leq n & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite} \\ 1 \leq s_0 \leq \min\{M-1, n\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M \end{cases}$$

such that $w^{(1)} = w_1^{(1)} \cdots w_{n-s_0}^{(1)} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{s_0}$ and we have $l = \tau_\beta(s_0)$ by Lemma 5.5. Therefore

$$\max N_\beta^n \leq \begin{cases} \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite} \\ \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{M-1, n\}\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M \end{cases}$$

by the randomness of the selection of l . On the other hand, the equality follows from the fact that $0^{n-t_0} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{t_0} \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ included, the previous consecutive $\tau_\beta(t_0)$ words are not full by Lemma 5.5 where

$$\tau_\beta(t_0) = \begin{cases} \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is infinite;} \\ \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{M-1, n\}\} & \text{if } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M. \end{cases}$$

□

In the following we give an example to show how to calculate the maximal number of a string of consecutive non-full words in Σ_β^n .

Example 5.8. Let $n = 8$ and $\epsilon(1, \beta) = \epsilon_{n_1} 0 \epsilon_{n_2} 0 0 0 \epsilon_{n_3} 0 \cdots 0 \epsilon_{n_4} 0 \cdots 0 \epsilon_{n_5} 0 \cdots$, where $n_1 = 1, n_2 = 3, n_3 = 7, n_4 > 8, \epsilon_{n_i} \neq 0$ for any i . Then, by Corollary 5.7, the maximal number of a string of consecutive non-full words in Σ_β^8 is $\max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq 8\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = 1 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(1) = 1; & 2 = 1 + 1 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(2) = 2; & 3 = 3 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(3) = 1; \\ 4 = 3 + 1 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(4) = 2; & 5 = 3 + 1 + 1 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(5) = 3; & 6 = 3 + 3 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(6) = 2; \\ 7 = 7 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(7) = 1; & 8 = 7 + 1 &\Rightarrow \tau_\beta(8) = 2, \end{aligned}$$

we get that $\max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq 8\} = 3$ is the maximal length.

Corollary 5.9. *Let $\beta > 1$. We have $\max N_\beta^n \leq r_n(\beta) + 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , then $\max N_\beta^n \leq r_{M-1}(\beta) + 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. They follow from Corollary 5.7 and Proposition 5.4. If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite, then

$$\max N_\beta^n = \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\} \leq \max\{r_s(\beta) + 1 : 1 \leq s \leq n\} = r_n(\beta) + 1.$$

If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , then

$$\max N_\beta^n = \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{M-1, n\}\} \leq \max\{r_s(\beta) + 1 : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{M-1, n\}\}.$$

and we have $\max N_\beta^n \leq r_n(\beta) + 1$ and $\max N_\beta^n \leq r_{M-1}(\beta) + 1$. □

Remark 5.10. Combining Corollary 5.7 and $\tau_\beta(n) \leq n$ (or Corollary 5.9 and $r_n(\beta) + 1 \leq n$), we have $\max N_\beta^n \leq n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which contains the result about the distribution of full cylinders given by Bugeaud and Wang [BuWa14, Theorem 1.2]. Moreover, if $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M , then $\max N_\beta^n \leq M-1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\beta \in A_0$ which is a class of β given by Li and Wu [LiWu08], then $\max N_\beta^n$ has the upper bound $\max_{s \geq 1} r_s(\beta) + 1$ which does not rely on n .

Theorem 5.11 (The numbers of maximal consecutive non-full words). *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β . Then N_β^n is given by the following table.*

β		Condition	Conclusion	Case	
		$\epsilon(1, \beta)$	$N_\beta^n =$		
$\beta > 2$	infinite		D_1	(1)	
	finite with length M		D_2	(2)	
$1 < \beta < 2$	infinite	$n < n_2$	$\{n\}$	(3)	
		$n \geq n_2$	D_5	(4)	
	finite with length M	$n_2 = M$	$n < M$	$\{n\}$	(5)
			$n = M$	$\{M-1\}$	(6)
			$n > M$	D_4	(7)
		$n_2 < M$	$n < n_2$	$\{n\}$	(8)
			$n_2 \leq n < M$	D_5	(9)
			$n \geq M$	D_3	(10)

Here $D_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}\}$;
 $D_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{M-1, n\}\}\}$;
 $D_3 = \{1, 2, \dots, \max\{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq M-1\}\}$;
 $D_4 = \{1, 2, \dots, \min\{n-M, M-1\}\} \cup \{M-1\}$;
 $D_5 = \{1, 2, \dots, \min\{n_2-1, n-n_2+1\}\} \cup \{\tau_\beta(s) : n_2-1 \leq s \leq n\}$.

Corollary 5.12 (The minimal number of maximal consecutive non-full words). *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $\{n_i\}$ be the nonzero sequence of β . Then*

$$\min N_\beta^n = \begin{cases} M - 1 & \text{if } 1 < \beta < 2 \text{ and } \epsilon(1, \beta) \text{ is finite with length } M = n_2 = n; \\ n & \text{if } 1 < \beta < 2 \text{ and } n < n_2; \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.11. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.11. We prove the conclusions for the cases (1)-(10) from simple ones to complicate below.

Cases (3), (5) and (8) can be proved together. When $1 < \beta < 2$ and $n < n_2$, no matter $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite or not, noticing $\lfloor \beta \rfloor = 1$ and $\epsilon(1, \beta)|_{n_2} = 10^{n_2-2}1$, we get $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_n = 10^{n-1}$. Then all the elements in Σ_β^n from small to large are $0^n, 0^{n-1}1, 0^{n-2}10, \dots, 10^{n-1}$, where 0^n is full and the others are all not full by Lemma 3.4. Therefore $N_\beta^n = \{n\}$.

Case (6). When $1 < \beta < 2$, $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M and $n = n_2 = M$, noticing $\lfloor \beta \rfloor = 1$ and $\epsilon(1, \beta) = 10^{M-2}10^\infty$, we know that all the elements in Σ_β^n from small to large are $0^M, 0^{M-1}1, 0^{M-2}10, \dots, 010^{M-2}, 10^{M-1}$, where 0^M is full, 10^{M-1} is also full by Proposition 3.3 (2) and the others are all not full by Lemma 3.4. Therefore $N_\beta^n = \{M - 1\}$.

Case (1). When $\beta > 2$ and $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite, it suffices to prove $N_\beta^n \supset D_1$ since the reverse inclusion follows immediately from Corollary 5.7. By Proposition 5.3 (4), it suffices to show $N_\beta^n \supset \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq n\}$ below.

- ① For any $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$, let $u = 0^{n-s-1}10^s$. It's full by $\epsilon_1 = \lfloor \beta \rfloor \geq 2$ and Corollary 3.9. The previous word $u^{(1)} = 0^{n-s}\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$ is not full by Lemma 3.4. So $\tau_\beta(s) \in N_\beta^n$ by Lemma 5.5.
- ② For $s = n$, combining the fact that $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$ is maximal in the lexicographical order in Σ_β^n and Lemma 5.5, we get $\tau_\beta(s) \in N_\beta^n$.

Therefore $N_\beta^n = D_1$.

Case (2) can be proved by similar way as Case (1).

Case (10). When $1 < \beta < 2$, $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M and $n_2 < M \leq n$, we have $\epsilon(1, \beta) = 10^{n_2-2}1\epsilon_{n_2+1} \cdots \epsilon_M 0^\infty$. It suffices to prove $N_\beta^n \supset D_3$ since the reverse inclusion follows immediately from Corollary 5.7. By Proposition 5.3 (4), it suffices to show $N_\beta^n \supset \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq M - 1\}$ below.

- ① For any $n_2 - 1 \leq s \leq M - 1$, let $u = 0^{n-s-1}10^s$. It's full by $s \geq n_2 - 1$ and Corollary 3.9. The previous word $u^{(1)} = 0^{n-s}\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_s^* = 0^{n-s}\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$ is not full by Lemma 3.4. So $\tau_\beta(s) \in N_\beta^n$ by Lemma 5.5.
- ② For any $1 \leq s \leq n_2 - 2$, we get $n_2 - 1 \leq n_3 - n_2$ by Lemma 2.5. So $1 \leq s \leq n_2 - 2 \leq n_3 - n_2 - 1 \leq M - n_2 - 1 \leq n - n_2 - 1$ and then $n - n_2 - s \geq 1$. Let

$$u = 0^{n-n_2-s}10^{n_2+s-1}.$$

It's full by $n_2 + s - 1 \geq n_2 - 1$ and Corollary 3.9. Noticing $n_2 \leq n_2 + s - 1 < n_3$, the previous word of u is

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(1)} &= 0^{n-n_2-s+1}\epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{n_2+s-1}^* \\ &= 0^{n-n_2-s+1}\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n_2+s-1} \\ &= 0^{n-n_2-s+1}10^{n_2-2}10^{s-1} \\ &= 0^{n-n_2-s+1}10^{n_2-2}\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s \end{aligned}$$

which is not full by Lemma 3.4. So $\tau_\beta(s) \in N_\beta^n$ by Lemma 5.5.

Therefore $N_\beta^n = D_3$.

Case (7). When $1 < \beta < 2$, $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M and $n > n_2 = M$, we have $\epsilon(1, \beta) = 10^{M-2}10^\infty$.

On the one hand, we prove $N_\beta^n \subset D_4$. Let $l \in N_\beta^n$ and $[w^{(l)}, w^{(l-1)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}] \in \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$. By Corollary 3.9, there exist $1 \leq s \leq M - 1$, $2 \leq n - M + 1 \leq a \leq n - 1$ such that $a + s = n$

and $w^{(1)} = w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$. Then $l = \tau_\beta(s) = s$ by Lemma 5.5 and $s \leq n_2 - 1$. Moreover, $w^{(1)} = w_1 \cdots w_a 10^{s-1}$.

- ① If $w_1 \cdots w_a = 0^a$, then the next word of $w^{(1)}$ is $w := 0^{a-1}10^s$ which is full by $[w^{(l)}, w^{(l-1)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}] \in \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$. Combining $s \leq M - 1$ and Corollary 3.9, we get $s = M - 1$. Hence $l = M - 1 \in D_4$.
- ② If $w_1 \cdots w_a \neq 0^a$, we get $a \geq M$ by $w_{k+1} \cdots w_a 10^\infty \prec \epsilon(1, \beta) = 10^{M-2}10^\infty$ for any $k \geq 0$. Hence $s \leq n - M$ and $l = s \in D_4$.

On the other hand, we prove $N_\beta^n \supset D_4$.

- ① For $M - 1$, let $u = 0^{n-M}10^{M-1}$ which is full by Corollary 3.9. The consecutive previous words are $u^{(1)} = 0^{n-M+1}10^{M-2}, \dots, u^{(M-1)} = 0^{n-1}1, u^{(M)} = 0^n$ where $u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(M-1)}$ are not full by Lemma 3.4, and $u^{(M)}$ is full. Therefore $M - 1 \in N_\beta^n$.
- ② For any $1 \leq s \leq \min\{n - M, M - 1\}$, let

$$u^{(1)} = 0^{n-M-s} \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{M+s}^* = 0^{n-M-s} 10^{M-1} 10^{s-1} = 0^{n-M-s} 10^{M-1} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s.$$

- i) If $s = n - M$, then $u^{(1)} = \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{M+s}^*$ is maximal in the lexicographical order in Σ_β^n .
 - ii) If $s < n - M$, i.e., $n - M - s - 1 \geq 0$, then the next word of $u^{(1)}$ is $0^{n-M-s-1}10^{M+s}$ which is full by Corollary 3.9.
- Hence we must have $s = \tau_\beta(s) \in N_\beta^n$ by $s \leq n_2 - 1$ and Lemma 5.5.

Therefore $N_\beta^n = D_4$.

Cases (4) and (9) can be proved together. When $1 < \beta < 2$, $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite with $n \geq n_2$ or $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite with length M and $n_2 \leq n < M$, we have $\epsilon(1, \beta) = 10^{n_2-2}1\epsilon_{n_2+1}\epsilon_{n_2+2}\cdots$. By Proposition 5.3 (2), we get

$$D_5 = \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{n_2 - 1, n - n_2 + 1\} \text{ or } n_2 - 1 \leq s \leq n\}.$$

On the one hand, we prove $N_\beta^n \subset D_5$. Let $l \in N_\beta^n$ and $[w^{(l)}, w^{(l-1)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}] \in \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$. By Corollary 3.9, there exist $1 \leq s \leq n$, $0 \leq a \leq n - 1$ such that $a + s = n$ and $w^{(1)} = w_1 \cdots w_a \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$. Then $l = \tau_\beta(s)$ by Lemma 5.5.

- ① If $a = 0$, then $s = n$ and $l = \tau_\beta(n) \in D_5$.
- ② If $a \geq 1$, we divide it into two cases.
 - i) If $w_1 \cdots w_a = 0^a$, then the next word of $w^{(1)}$ is $0^{a-1}10^s$ which is full by $[w^{(l)}, w^{(l-1)}, \dots, w^{(2)}, w^{(1)}] \in \mathcal{N}_\beta^n$. Combining $\epsilon(1, \beta) = 10^{n_2-2}1\epsilon_{n_2+1}\epsilon_{n_2+2}\cdots$ and Corollary 3.9, we get $s \geq n_2 - 1$. Hence $l = \tau_\beta(s) \in D_5$.
 - ii) If $w_1 \cdots w_a \neq 0^a$, we get $a \geq n_2 - 1$ by $w_{k+1} \cdots w_a 10^\infty \prec \epsilon(1, \beta) = 10^{n_2-2}1\epsilon_{n_2+1}\epsilon_{n_2+2}\cdots$ for any $k \geq 0$. Hence $s \leq n - n_2 + 1$.
 - Ⓐ If $s \geq n_2 - 1$, then $l = \tau_\beta(s) \in \{\tau_\beta(s) : n_2 - 1 \leq s \leq n\} \subset D_5$.
 - Ⓑ If $s \leq n_2 - 1$, then $l = \tau_\beta(s) \in \{\tau_\beta(s) : 1 \leq s \leq \min\{n_2 - 1, n - n_2 + 1\}\} \subset D_5$.

On the other hand, we prove $N_\beta^n \supset D_5$.

- ① For any $n_2 - 1 \leq s \leq n$, let $u^{(1)} = 0^{n-s} \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_s^*$. No matter $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite or finite with length $M > n$ (which implies $s < M$), we get $u^{(1)} = 0^{n-s} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$ which is not full by Lemma 3.4.
 - i) If $s = n$, then $u^{(1)} = \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_n^*$ is maximal in the lexicographical order in Σ_β^n .
 - ii) If $n_2 - 1 \leq s \leq n - 1$, then the next word of $u^{(1)}$ is $0^{n-s-1}10^s$ which is full by $s \geq n_2 - 1$ and Corollary 3.9.

Hence we must have $\tau_\beta(s) \in N_\beta^n$ by Lemma 5.5.

- ② For any $1 \leq s \leq \min\{n_2 - 1, n - n_2 + 1\}$, let

$$u^{(1)} = 0^{n-n_2-s+1} \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_{n_2+s-1}^*.$$

No matter $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite or finite with length $M > n$ (which implies $n_2 + s - 1 \leq n < M$), we get

$$u^{(1)} = 0^{n-n_2-s+1}\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n_2+s-1}.$$

Since Lemma 2.5 implies $n_2 - 1 \leq n_3 - n_2$, we get $1 \leq s \leq n_2 - 1 \leq n_3 - n_2$ and then $n_2 \leq n_2 + s - 1 < n_3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(1)} &= 0^{n-n_2-s+1}10^{n_2-2}10^{s-1} \\ &= 0^{n-n_2-s+1}10^{n_2-2}\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s \end{aligned}$$

which is not full by Lemma 3.4.

i) If $s = n - n_2 + 1$, then $u^{(1)} = \epsilon_1^* \cdots \epsilon_n^*$ is maximal in the lexicographical order in Σ_β^n .

ii) If $s < n - n_2 + 1$, i.e., $n - n_2 - s \geq 0$, then the next word of $u^{(1)}$ is $0^{n-n_2-s}10^{n_2+s-1}$ which is full by Corollary 3.9.

Hence we must have $\tau_\beta(s) \in N_\beta^n$ by Lemma 5.5.

Therefore $N_\beta^n = D_5$. □

Remark 5.13. It follows from Theorem 5.11 that the numbers of maximal consecutive non-full words rely on the positions of nonzero terms in $\epsilon(1, \beta)$, i.e., $\{n_i\}$.

Acknowledgement. The work was supported by NSFC 11671151 and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation 2014A030313230.

REFERENCES

- [AlSh03] J.-P. ALLOUCHE AND J. SHALLIT, *Automatic sequences*, Theory, applications, generalizations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [Bla89] F. BLANCHARD, *β -expansions and symbolic dynamics*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 65 (1989), no. 2, 131-141.
- [BaLi14] J.-C. BAN AND B. LI, *The multifractal spectra for the recurrence rates of beta-transformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 420 (2014), no. 2, 1662-1679.
- [BuWa14] Y. BUGEAUD AND B.-W. WANG, *Distribution of full cylinders and the Diophantine properties of the orbits in β -expansions*, J. Fractal Geom. 1 (2014), no. 2, 221-241.
- [DK02] K. DAJANI AND C. KRAAIKAMP, *Ergodic theory of numbers*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, 29. Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 2002.
- [FW12] A.-H. FAN AND B.-W. WANG, *On the lengths of basic intervals in beta expansions*, Nonlinearity 25 (2012), no. 5, 1329-1343.
- [FWL16] L. FANG, M. WU AND B. LI, *Approximation orders of real numbers by β -expansions* (arXiv:1603.08402v1).
- [HTY16] H. HU, X. TONG AND Y.-L. YU, *On consecutive 0 digits in the β -expansion of 1*, J. Number Theory 166 (2016), 219-234.
- [LPWW14] B. LI, T. PERSSON, B. WANG AND J. WU, *Diophantine approximation of the orbit of 1 in the dynamical system of beta expansions*, Math. Z. 276 (2014), no. 3-4, 799-827.
- [LiWu08] B. LI AND J. WU, *Beta-expansion and continued fraction expansion*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339 (2008), no. 2, 1322-1331.
- [Pa60] W. PARRY, *On the β -expansions of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 11 1960 401-416.
- [PoYu98] M. POLLICOTT AND M. YURI, *Dynamical systems and ergodic theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 40. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Ren57] A. RÉNYI, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 8 1957 477-493.
- [Schme97] J. SCHMELING, *Symbolic dynamics for β -shifts and self-normal numbers*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 17 (1997), no. 3, 675-694.
- [TaWa11] B. TAN AND B.-W. WANG, *Quantitative recurrence properties for beta-dynamical system*, Adv. Math. 228 (2011), no. 4, 2071-2097.
- [TWXX13] B. TAN, B.-W. WANG, J. WU AND J. XU, *Localized Birkhoff average in beta dynamical systems*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33 (2013), no. 6, 2547-2564.
- [TYZ16] X. TONG, Y.-L. YU AND Y.-F. ZHAO, *On the maximal length of consecutive zero digits of β -expansions*, Int. J. Number Theory 12 (2016), no. 3, 625-633.
- [Wal78] P. WALTERS, *Equilibrium States for β -Transformation and Related Transformations*, Math. Z. 159 (1978), no. 1, 65-88.
- [ZWL17] L. ZHENG, M. WU AND B. LI, *The topological property of the irregular sets on the lengths of basic intervals in beta-expansions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 449 (2017), no. 1, 127-137.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, 510641, P.R.
CHINA

E-mail address: `scutyaoqiangli@qq.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, 510641, P.R.
CHINA

E-mail address: `sbbingli@scut.edu.cn`