

Jacobi-Lie symmetry and Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models on group manifolds

A. Rezaei-Aghdam^{*} and M. Sefid[†]

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Azarbaijan Shahid
Madani University, 53714-161, Tabriz, Iran*

November 18, 2021

Abstract

Using the concept of Jacobi-Lie group and Jacobi-Lie bialgebra, we generalize the definition of Poisson-Lie symmetry to Jacobi-Lie symmetry. In this regard, we generalize the concept of Poisson-Lie T-duality to Jacobi-Lie T-duality and present Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models on Lie groups, which have Jacobi-Lie symmetry. Using this symmetry, new cases of duality appear and some examples are given. This generalization may provide insights to understand the quantum features of Poisson-Lie T-duality, in a more satisfactory way.

^{*}rezaei-a@azaruniv.edu

[†]s.sefid@azaruniv.edu

1 Introduction

Target-space duality is one of the most important symmetries of string theories and (in general) sigma models. Before introducing the Poisson-Lie symmetry [1, 2] there was a common belief among the people that the existence of T-duality mainly depends on the isometry of target manifold. Depending on the kind of isometry, T-duality was called Abelian or non-Abelian (for a review see [3, 4]). It must be mentioned that, in non-Abelian case, the dual model may not have isometry, so, it is not possible to obtain the original model from the dual one. The requirement of isometry in acquiring the dual model was removed by Klimčík and Ševera in their pioneering work, i.e., Poisson-Lie T-duality and Poisson-Lie symmetry [1, 2]. Poisson-Lie symmetry does not require the isometry in the original target manifold. In this case, the Noether's currents associated with the action of Lie group G on target manifold are not conserved but the components of the Noether's currents satisfy in the flat connection condition, i.e. they satisfy the Maurer-Cartan equation with structure constants of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (Lie algebra of the Lie group \tilde{G} with the same dimension as G) [1, 2] such that G and \tilde{G} are Poisson-Lie groups [5] and their Lie algebras form a Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ [5, 6]. Up to now, several attempts in the construction of Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models [7, 8] and investigation of Poisson-Lie symmetry of some WZW models [9, 10] have been performed. The generalization of Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models on supermanifolds has been done in [11, 12, 13]. Also, canonical equivalence of the Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models already has been shown in [1, 2, 14, 15], but in general the quantization of these T-dual sigma models is still a challenging problem (the quantum equivalence of the Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models under one-loop renormalization group flow is studied for some special examples in [7, 9, 16] and has been shown in general in [17] and [18]). Here, we will try to generalize the concept of Poisson-Lie symmetry and Poisson-Lie T-duality to Jacobi-Lie symmetry and Jacobi-Lie T-duality. We hope that this generalization and some new cases of this duality lead us to understand the quantum features of Poisson-Lie T-duality.

In Jacobi-Lie symmetry, we investigate the two-dimensional sigma models on target manifold alongside with σ -functions. Moreover, the components of Noether's currents satisfy in generalized flat connection condition, that is, they satisfy the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation with 1-cocycle X_0 and structure constants of the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (related to the Lie group \tilde{G}); such that G and \tilde{G} are Jacobi-Lie groups [19] and their Lie algebras form a Jacobi-Lie bialgebra [19, 20].

The outline of the paper is as follows: In second section, we define the concept of Jacobi-Lie symmetry using the ansatz that the components of Noether's currents are related to the original sigma model with target manifold M (such that the model is invariant under the action of Lie group G on target manifold M) satisfy the generalized flat connection condition. We have shown that the integrability of Jacobi-Lie symmetry gives some conditions of Jacobi-Lie bialgebras $((\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0), (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0))$ [20]. Also, the integrability condition of Jacobi-Lie symmetry on the dual model has led to other conditions of Jacobi-Lie bialgebras. Furthermore, it has been shown that the Poisson-Lie symmetry can be obtained as a special case of Jacobi-Lie symmetry and also we will have other new cases. In the third section, we provide the formalism of construction of Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models on Lie groups. The Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models can be obtained as a special case; furthermore, we provide some new dual models. An example of such Jacobi-Lie T-dual models is given in section four. Some discussions are given in concluding remarks.

2 Jacobi-Lie symmetry

Here, we will try to extend the Poisson-Lie symmetry [1, 2] to Jacobi-Lie symmetry. We hope that this generalization has some new insights to understand the quantum features of Poisson-Lie T-duality. We study the two-dimensional sigma model on target space M with background matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}$ ¹ and a σ -function on M ($\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$), as follows

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- e^{\sigma(x)} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}(x) \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- L, \quad (1)$$

where ξ^\pm and $\{x^\mu\}$ are coordinates of the worldsheet Σ and target manifold M , respectively. Suppose that a Lie group G acts freely on manifold M . One can consider this action by the following transformation

¹ $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are components of the metric and antisymmetric tensor field on the target manifold M .

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \epsilon^i(\xi^+, \xi^-)v_i^\mu, \quad (2)$$

where the left-invariant vector fields v_i^μ corresponds to the right action of the Lie group G on the target manifold M and $\epsilon^i(\xi^+, \xi^-)$ is an infinitesimal function of light cone coordinate of Σ . Now, one can calculate the variation of S under this transformation as follows

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- e^{\sigma(x)} \epsilon^i (\mathcal{L}_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} + v_i^\lambda \partial_\lambda \sigma \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}) \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu - \frac{1}{2} \int d\epsilon^i \wedge \star J_i, \quad (3)$$

where Hodge star of the Noether's currents J_i has the following form

$$\star J_i = e^{\sigma(x)} (\mathcal{E}_{\mu\gamma} v_i^\gamma \partial_+ x^\mu d\xi^+ - \mathcal{E}_{\gamma\nu} v_i^\gamma \partial_- x^\nu d\xi^-). \quad (4)$$

If we consider $\phi_0 = d\sigma \in \Omega^1(M)$ as an 1-cocycle on \mathfrak{g} (Lie algebra of the Lie group G) with values in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^2$ then we have ϕ_0 -Lie derivative in the sense of D. Iglesias and J. C. Marrero as follows [21]

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} + \langle \phi_0, v_i \rangle \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

where \langle, \rangle is the inner product between forms and vector fields on M . Therefore, one can rewrite the variation of S using the above definition for ϕ_0 -Lie derivative as follows

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- e^{\sigma(x)} \epsilon^i (\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu - \frac{1}{2} \int d\epsilon^i \wedge \star J_i. \quad (6)$$

Now, with $\delta S = 0$ we have

$$d \star J_i = e^{\sigma(x)} [(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu + v_i^\lambda (\text{equations of motion})] d\xi^- \wedge d\xi^+, \quad (7)$$

where the equations of motion have the following form

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu} + \mathcal{E}_{\nu\lambda}) \partial_+ \partial_- x^\nu + (\partial_\mu \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu} + \partial_\nu \mathcal{E}_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_\lambda \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma + \mathcal{E}_{\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu \sigma - \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda \sigma) \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu = 0. \quad (8)$$

The equation (7) can be obtained by direct calculation on the relation (4). The solution of the equation (8) is a two-dimensional extremal surface in the target space M . In other words, on this extremal surface, we have $\delta S = 0$ and

$$d \star J_i = e^{\sigma(x)} [(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu] d\xi^- \wedge d\xi^+. \quad (9)$$

We see that using the above relation, the isometry symmetry is not equal to $\mathcal{L}_{v_i}(\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}) = 0$, but we have isometry symmetry with new condition $(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Therefore, in this new approach if $(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = 0$, then G is an isometry group of M and we have conserved Noether's currents. On the other hand, we assume that the 1-forms $\star J_i$ are not closed and we have an ansatz that they obey the following generalized Maurer-Cartan equation [21]

$$d \star J_i = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\sigma} (\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i - \alpha^j \delta^k{}_i + \alpha^k \delta^j{}_i) \star J_j \wedge \star J_k, \quad (10)$$

with $\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i$ are some coefficients (we will see that they are the structure constants of dual Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$) and α^i are coefficients of $X_0 = d\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{g}$ (i.e. $X_0 = \alpha^i X_i$ where $\{X_i\}$ is the basis of \mathfrak{g} , and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a function in the dual manifold \tilde{M}). Then, using (9) and (10) the condition of *Jacobi-Lie symmetry* can be formulated in the following form

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = (\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i - \alpha^j \delta^k{}_i + \alpha^k \delta^j{}_i) v_j^\lambda v_k^\eta \mathcal{E}_{\mu\eta} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu}. \quad (11)$$

In this way, the sigma model (1) has the Jacobi-Lie symmetry under the action of Lie group G on the target manifold M if the background matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the relation (11). The Jacobi-Lie symmetry is the generalization of Poisson-Lie symmetry in the presence of 1-cocycles X_0 and ϕ_0 . In other words, when $\alpha^i = \beta_i = 0$ (or $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma} = 0$) the Jacobi-Lie symmetry (11) is converted to the well-known Poisson-Lie symmetry relation [1, 2]

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i v_j^\lambda v_k^\eta \mathcal{E}_{\mu\eta} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu}. \quad (12)$$

²Here, one can represent the elements of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (dual space of \mathfrak{g}) in terms of the elements of the 1-forms on M , i.e. $\Omega^1(M)$.

Now, we will consider the integrability condition for the ϕ_0 -Lie derivative

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{[v_i, v_j]} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} (\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_j} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} - (\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_j} (\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (13)$$

According to the definition of the ϕ_0 -Lie derivative presented in (5), and 1-cocycle condition on ϕ_0 [20], i.e.,

$$\beta_k f_{ij}{}^k = 0, \quad (14)$$

where $\beta_k \equiv v_k^\lambda \partial_\lambda \sigma$, the integrability condition for ϕ_0 -Lie derivative is equivalent to the integrability condition for usual Lie derivative, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_{[v_i, v_j]} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{v_i} \mathcal{L}_{v_j} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{L}_{v_j} \mathcal{L}_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (15)$$

Now, using (5) and (11), after some computations, the integrability condition (15) gives the first condition of Jacobi-Lie bialgebra $((\mathbf{g}, \phi_0), (\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, X_0))$ [20], as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{ij}{}^k \tilde{f}^{mn}{}_k - f_{ik}{}^m \tilde{f}^{kn}{}_j - f_{ik}{}^n \tilde{f}^{mk}{}_j - f_{kj}{}^m \tilde{f}^{kn}{}_i - f_{kj}{}^n \tilde{f}^{mk}{}_i + \beta_i \tilde{f}^{mn}{}_j - \beta_j \tilde{f}^{mn}{}_i + \alpha^m f_{ij}{}^n - \alpha^n f_{ij}{}^m \\ & + (\alpha^k f_{ik}{}^m - \alpha^m \beta_i) \delta_j{}^n - (\alpha^k f_{jk}{}^m - \alpha^m \beta_j) \delta_i{}^n - (\alpha^k f_{ik}{}^n - \alpha^n \beta_i) \delta_j{}^m + (\alpha^k f_{jk}{}^n - \alpha^n \beta_j) \delta_i{}^m = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In other words, the integrability condition of the ϕ_0 -Lie derivative together with the Jacobi-Lie symmetry (11) provide the result that the dual space $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ is a Lie algebra with structure constants $\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i$ and 1-cocycle X_0 such that the first condition of the Jacobi-Lie bialgebra $((\mathbf{g}, \phi_0), (\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, X_0))$ is satisfied [20].

In the same way, one can consider the dual sigma model on the manifold \tilde{M} with background matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}$ in the presence of a $\tilde{\sigma}$ -function on \tilde{M} as follows

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- e^{\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x})} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \partial_+ \tilde{x}^\mu \partial_- \tilde{x}^\nu = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- \tilde{L}. \quad (17)$$

Now, one can consider the variation of \tilde{S} under the action of dual Lie group \tilde{G} on \tilde{M} with the following transformation

$$\tilde{x}^\mu \rightarrow \tilde{x}^\mu + \tilde{\epsilon}_i(\xi^+, \xi^-) \tilde{v}^{i\mu}, \quad (18)$$

where the left-invariant vector fields $\tilde{v}^{i\mu}$ correspond to the right action of the Lie group \tilde{G} on the target manifold \tilde{M} . Therefore, similar to (6) we will have

$$\delta \tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- e^{\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x})} \tilde{\epsilon}_i(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{v}^i} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{v}^{i\lambda} \tilde{\partial}_\lambda \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu}) \partial_+ \tilde{x}^\mu \partial_- \tilde{x}^\nu - \frac{1}{2} \int d\tilde{\epsilon}_i \wedge \star \tilde{J}^i. \quad (19)$$

If we consider $X_0 = d\tilde{\sigma} \in \Omega^1(\tilde{M})$ as a 1-cocycle on $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ with values in \mathbf{g} , then using X_0 -Lie derivative [21]

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^i} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{v}^i} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} + \langle X_0, \tilde{v}^i \rangle \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (20)$$

$\delta \tilde{S}$ can be rewritten as follows

$$\delta \tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- e^{\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x})} \tilde{\epsilon}_i(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^i} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} \partial_+ \tilde{x}^\mu \partial_- \tilde{x}^\nu - \frac{1}{2} \int d\tilde{\epsilon}_i \wedge \star \tilde{J}^i, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\star \tilde{J}^i = e^{\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x})} (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\gamma} \tilde{v}^{i\gamma} \partial_+ \tilde{x}^\mu d\xi^+ - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\gamma\nu} \tilde{v}^{i\gamma} \partial_- \tilde{x}^\nu d\xi^-). \quad (22)$$

Similar to the original model, if we use the equations of motion related to the dual model \tilde{S} and the following generalized Maurer-Cartan equation for the Noether's currents $\star \tilde{J}^i$

$$d \star \tilde{J}^i = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\tilde{\sigma}} (f_{jk}{}^i - \beta_j \delta_k{}^i + \beta_k \delta_j{}^i) \star \tilde{J}^j \wedge \star \tilde{J}^k, \quad (23)$$

where $f_{jk}{}^i$ are the structure constants of the Lie algebra \mathbf{g} and β_i are coefficients of $\phi_0 = d\sigma \in \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ i.e. $\phi_0 = \beta_i \tilde{X}^i$, $\{\tilde{X}^i\}$ being the basis for $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ (σ is the function in the original model), then we have the Jacobi-Lie symmetry on the dual model as follows

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^i} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} = (f_{jk}{}^i - \beta_j \delta_k^i + \beta_k \delta_j^i) \tilde{v}^{j\lambda} \tilde{v}^{k\eta} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\eta} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda\nu}. \quad (24)$$

In the same way, the integrability condition for $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^i}$

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{[\tilde{v}^m, \tilde{v}^n]} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^m} (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^n} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} - (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^n} (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X_0})_{\tilde{v}^m} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (25)$$

with the 1-cocycle condition for X_0 [20] i.e.,

$$\alpha^k \tilde{f}^{mn}{}_k = 0, \quad (26)$$

with $\alpha^k \equiv \tilde{v}^{k\lambda} \tilde{\partial}_\lambda \tilde{\sigma}$, is equivalent to the integrability condition for $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})_{\tilde{v}^i}$ as follows

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{[\tilde{v}^m, \tilde{v}^n]} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{v}^m} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{v}^n} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{v}^n} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{v}^m} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (27)$$

Using (20) and (24), after some calculation, we see that the structure constants of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and coefficients α^i and β_j (coefficients of 1-cocycles X_0 and ϕ_0 , respectively) must be satisfied in the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{ij}{}^k \tilde{f}^{mn}{}_k - f_{ik}{}^m \tilde{f}^{kn}{}_j - f_{ik}{}^n \tilde{f}^{mk}{}_j - f_{kj}{}^m \tilde{f}^{kn}{}_i - f_{kj}{}^n \tilde{f}^{mk}{}_i + \beta_i \tilde{f}^{mn}{}_j - \beta_j \tilde{f}^{mn}{}_i + \alpha^m f_{ij}{}^n - \alpha^n f_{ij}{}^m \\ & + (\beta_k \tilde{f}^{mk}{}_i - \alpha^m \beta_i) \delta_j{}^n - (\beta_k \tilde{f}^{mk}{}_j - \alpha^m \beta_j) \delta_i{}^n - (\beta_k \tilde{f}^{nk}{}_i - \alpha^n \beta_i) \delta_j{}^m + (\beta_k \tilde{f}^{nk}{}_j - \alpha^n \beta_j) \delta_i{}^m = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The above relation is the first condition of Jacobi-Lie bialgebra $((\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0), (\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0))$ [20]. Finally, with subtraction of the relations (16) and (28), we obtain the third condition of Jacobi-Lie bialgebras $((\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0), (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0))$ and $((\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0), (\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0))$, i.e., [20]

$$\alpha^k f_{ik}{}^m - \beta_k \tilde{f}^{mk}{}_i = 0. \quad (29)$$

This condition is symmetrizing the Jacobi-Lie bialgebras with respect to (\mathfrak{g}, ϕ_0) and $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0)$ i.e., if $((\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0), (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0))$ is a Jacobi-Lie bialgebra then $((\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0), (\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0))$ will also be a Jacobi-Lie bialgebra [20]. Now, if α^i and β_i in relations (14), (16), (26), (28) and (29) are satisfied in the following relation

$$\alpha^i \beta_i = 0, \quad (30)$$

then, we have the second condition of the Jacobi-Lie bialgebras $((\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0), (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0))$ and $((\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0), (\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0))$ [20]. The equation (30) can be obtained from isometry symmetry in 1-cocycles X_0 and ϕ_0 . In other words, if we have the following relations

$$(\mathcal{L})_{X_0} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad , \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{L}})_{\phi_0} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (31)$$

the relation (30) is satisfied. Therefore, if the sigma models S and \tilde{S} on M and \tilde{M} are invariant under the freely action of G on M and \tilde{G} on \tilde{M} such that we have the Jacobi-Lie symmetry, then the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ together with 1-cocycles X_0 and ϕ_0 construct the Jacobi-Lie bialgebra structure $((\mathfrak{g}, \phi_0), (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, X_0))$. The Jacobi-Lie symmetry is a generalization of the Poisson-Lie symmetry [1, 2] and subsequently isometry symmetry.

In the next section, we will consider this symmetry in more details for the T -dual sigma models on Lie groups.

3 Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models on Lie groups

In this section, we want to find a simple way for solving Eqs. (11) and (24). For this aim, we shall discuss the case in which the Lie group G acts transitively and freely on the target space M . In this case, the manifold M is the same as the Lie group G . For constructing the sigma models S and \tilde{S} , we will consider two orthogonal linear subspaces ϵ^+ and ϵ^- such that $\epsilon^+ + \epsilon^-$ span the whole $2n$ -dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^3$ with the commutation relations between $\{X_i\}$ and $\{\tilde{X}^j\}$ (the basis of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively) as follows [20]

³ $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = n$

$$[X_i, X_j] = f_{ij}{}^k X_k, \quad [\tilde{X}^i, \tilde{X}^j] = \tilde{f}^{ij}{}_k \tilde{X}^k,$$

$$[X_i, \tilde{X}^j] = (\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^k \delta_i{}^j - \alpha^j \delta_i{}^k) X_k + (f_{ki}{}^j - \frac{1}{2}\beta_k \delta_i{}^j + \beta_i \delta_k{}^j) \tilde{X}^k, \quad (32)$$

where \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are isotropic vector spaces ⁴, i.e.,

$$\langle X_i, X_j \rangle = \langle \tilde{X}^i, \tilde{X}^j \rangle = 0, \quad \langle X_i, \tilde{X}^j \rangle = \delta_i{}^j. \quad (35)$$

It is convenient to write [1, 2]

$$g^{-1}\epsilon^+g = \text{Span} \{X_i + E^+{}_{ij}(g)\tilde{X}^j\}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (36)$$

$$g^{-1}\epsilon^-g = \text{Span} \{X_i - E^-{}_{ij}(g)\tilde{X}^j\}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \forall g \in G \quad (37)$$

i.e. we have a graph $E^\pm : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, as follows

$$E^\pm(g) = (a(g) \pm E^\pm(e)b(g))^{-1} E^\pm(e)d(g), \quad (38)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}X_i g &= a(g)_i{}^j X_j, \\ g^{-1}\tilde{X}^i g &= b(g)^{ij} X_j + d(g)^i{}_j \tilde{X}^j. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

In this presentation, we have $\langle \epsilon^+, \epsilon^- \rangle = 0$, but from (32), we do not have the Ad-invariance on $\langle X_i, \tilde{X}^j \rangle = \delta_i{}^j$, therefore in general, unlike Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models [1] we do not have equality $E^+(g) = (E^-(g))^t$ (where $(E^-(g))^t$ is the transpose matrix of $(E^-(g))$). For this reason, we consider sigma model on the Lie group G in the following form

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- [E^{n+}(g)]_{ij} (g^{-1}\partial_+g)^i (g^{-1}\partial_-g)^j. \quad (40)$$

Comparing the above action with the sigma model action (1), and using the following expansion for $(g^{-1}\partial_+g)^i$ according to the left invariant 1-forms

$$(g^{-1}\partial_\pm g)^i = L_\pm{}^i = L^i{}_\mu \partial_\pm x^\mu, \quad (41)$$

and the definition of the left-invariant vector fields

$$(L^{-1})_i{}^\mu = v_i{}^\mu, \quad \langle v_i, L^j \rangle = \delta_i{}^j, \quad (42)$$

we will have

$$e^\sigma \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = L^i{}_\mu L^j{}_\nu E^{n+}{}_{ij}(g). \quad (43)$$

The Jacobi-Lie symmetry (11) for $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ (using the definition of ϕ_0 -Lie derivation and relation (43)) is converted to the following relation for $E^{n+}(g)$

$$v_i{}^\lambda \partial_\lambda E_{lm}^{n+} - f_{il}{}^k E_{km}^{n+} - f_{im}{}^k E_{lk}^{n+} - e^{-\sigma} (\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i - \alpha^j \delta^k{}_i + \alpha^k \delta^j{}_i) E_{lk}^{n+} E_{jm}^{n+} = 0. \quad (44)$$

Now, we define the following relation for $E^{n+}(g)$

$$E^{n+}(g) = (a(g) + E^+(e)a^{-t}(g)\Lambda(g))^{-1} E^+(e)a^{-t}(g), \quad (45)$$

⁴In general case, $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is not a Lie algebra; but with additional conditions [20]

$$\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^j \delta^k{}_i - \alpha^k \delta^j{}_i), \quad (33)$$

and

$$f_{jk}{}^i = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_j \delta_k{}^i - \beta_k \delta_j{}^i), \quad (34)$$

$\mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Lie algebra, one can obtain these relations by imposing the Jacobi identity to $\mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with (32)

where $\Lambda(g)$ is the Jacobi structure on the Lie group G [19, 22]. By substituting (45) in (44) and using the following relation (from (39)) [15]

$$\partial_\mu a(g)_i{}^j = L^l{}_\mu a(g)_i{}^k f_{kl}{}^j, \quad (46)$$

one can see that $\Lambda^{ij}(g)$ must be satisfied in the following condition

$$\partial_\mu \Lambda^{ij} = L^k{}_\mu \{e^{-\sigma}(\tilde{f}^{ij}{}_k - \alpha^i \delta^j{}_k + \alpha^j \delta^i{}_k) + f_{kl}{}^{[i} \Lambda^{j]l}\}. \quad (47)$$

For the proof of the relation (47), we use the relation $\Lambda = \hat{r} - e^{-\sigma} \bar{r}$ for the coboundary Jacobi-Lie bialgebras where 2-vector $\hat{r}(\bar{r})$ on Lie group G is right(left)-translation of classical r -matrix (see [19, 22]). Using the right and left invariant vector fields ($v_i^{r\mu}$ and $v_j^{l\mu}$), $\Lambda(g)$ is rewritten as follows

$$\Lambda^{\mu\nu} = r^{ij}(v_i^{r\mu} v_j^{r\nu} - e^{-\sigma} v_i^{l\mu} v_j^{l\nu}). \quad (48)$$

But from the $v_i^{r\mu} = a(g)_i{}^m v_m^{l\mu}$ and $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}(g) = v_i^{l\mu} v_j^{l\nu} \Lambda^{ij}(g)$, after some calculations, we have the following relation

$$\Lambda^{ij} = r^{mn} a(g)_m{}^i a(g)_n{}^j - e^{-\sigma} r^{ij}. \quad (49)$$

Now, if r^{ij} is independent from the group coordinates and using (46) and $\beta_k \equiv v_k^{l\lambda} \partial_\lambda \sigma$, then we have

$$\partial_\mu \Lambda^{ij} = L^k{}_\mu (r^{mn} a(g)_m{}^l a(g)_n{}^j f_{lk}{}^i + r^{mn} a(g)_m{}^i a(g)_n{}^l f_{lk}{}^j + e^{-\sigma} \beta_k r^{ij}), \quad (50)$$

such that from (46), (49) and the generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation for coboundary Jacobi-Lie bialgebras [22], this relation is converted to (47).

To see that the original definition of duality in a field theory [23] is satisfied for our model (i.e., the *equations of motion* with Jacobi-Lie symmetry of the above model are equal to the following generalized flat connection condition identity on \tilde{G}) we should choose $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ valued 1-forms $A_{\pm i}$ such that they satisfy in the following generalized flat connection condition

$$\partial_+ A_{-i}(g) - \partial_- A_{+i}(g) - e^{-\sigma} (\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i - \alpha^j \delta^k{}_i + \alpha^k \delta^j{}_i) A_{-j}(g) A_{+k}(g) = 0. \quad (51)$$

To this aim, we assume the ansatz that $A_{\pm i}(g)$ is the combination of 1-forms on the Lie group G , i.e.

$$A_{\pm i}(g) = \pm E^{n\mp}{}_{ij}(g) (g^{-1} \partial_\pm g)^j, \quad (52)$$

such that $E^{n-}(g) = (E^{n+}(g))^t$. Using (41)-(43), we will have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{+i}(g) &= e^\sigma v_i{}^\nu \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \partial_+ x^\mu, \\ A_{-i}(g) &= -e^\sigma v_i{}^\mu \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \partial_- x^\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

then from (51) and (53), the flat connection equation (generalized Maurer-Cartan equation) on \tilde{G} is rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} -v_i{}^\lambda [(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu} + \mathcal{E}_{\nu\lambda}) \partial_+ \partial_- x^\nu + (\partial_\mu \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu} + \partial_\nu \mathcal{E}_{\mu\lambda} + \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma + \mathcal{E}_{\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu \sigma) \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu] - (\partial_\nu v_i{}^\lambda \mathcal{E}_{\mu\lambda} + \partial_\mu v_i{}^\lambda \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu}) \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu \\ + (\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i - \alpha^j \delta^k{}_i + \alpha^k \delta^j{}_i) v_j{}^\lambda v_k{}^\eta \mathcal{E}_{\mu\eta} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu} \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Finally, from the equations of motion (8) and the definition of usual Lie derivative and ϕ_0 -Lie derivative (5), the above equations can be rewritten as follows

$$-v_i{}^\lambda (\text{equations of motion}) - [(\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0})_{v_i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} - (\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_i - \alpha^j \delta^k{}_i + \alpha^k \delta^j{}_i) v_j{}^\lambda v_k{}^\eta \mathcal{E}_{\mu\eta} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda\nu}] \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu = 0. \quad (55)$$

Therefore, we show that the generalized flat connection condition on the Lie group \tilde{G} is equivalent to the equations of motion of sigma model on the Lie group G if we have the Jacobi-Lie symmetry for this model. Note that, in this way, we see that the sigma model (40) with (45) has the Jacobi-Lie symmetry and the equation of motion of the original model (40) is equivalent to the flat connection in the dual model.

In the same way, one can obtain the following Jacobi-Lie symmetric T -dual sigma model

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^+ \wedge d\xi^- \tilde{E}^{n+}(\tilde{g})^{ij} (\tilde{g}^{-1} \partial_+ \tilde{g})_i (\tilde{g}^{-1} \partial_- \tilde{g})_j, \quad (56)$$

with

$$\tilde{E}^{n+}(\tilde{g}) = (\tilde{a}(\tilde{g}) + \tilde{E}^+(\tilde{e})\tilde{a}^{-t}(\tilde{g})\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{g}))^{-1} \tilde{E}^+(\tilde{e})\tilde{a}^{-t}(\tilde{g}). \quad (57)$$

For obtaining the relation between $E^\pm(e)$ and $\tilde{E}^\pm(\tilde{e})$, it is enough to note that the graphs $E : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\tilde{E} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are non-degenerate maps, so we have

$$E^\pm(e)\tilde{E}^\pm(\tilde{e}) = \tilde{E}^\pm(\tilde{e})E^\pm(e) = I. \quad (58)$$

In this way, we have constructed a Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models (40) and (56) such that these models have the Jacobi-Lie symmetry, i.e., the equations of motion for the original model (40) are equivalent to the identities in the dual model (56) and vice versa. This is an original definition of duality in a field theory [23] and it is a generalization of Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models on group manifolds [1]

4 An Example

For real three dimensional Poisson-Lie groups, we do not have dual pair $(V, V.i)$ as a three dimensional real Lie bialgebra [8, 24]. Therefore, we have not Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models for this pair. But, for the case of Jacobi-Lie groups we have the Jacobi-Lie bialgebra $((V, \phi_0), (V.i, X_0))$ where $X_0 = -2(X_2 + X_3)$ and $\phi_0 = -2\tilde{X}^1$ (i.e. $\alpha^2 = \alpha^3 = -2$, $\alpha^1 = 0$ and $\beta_1 = -2$, $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$) and we have the nonzero commutation relations for V and $V.i$ as follows [20]

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1, X_2] &= -X_2, & [\tilde{X}^1, \tilde{X}^2] &= \tilde{X}^1, \\ [X_1, X_3] &= -X_3, & [\tilde{X}^1, \tilde{X}^3] &= \tilde{X}^1, \\ & & [\tilde{X}^2, \tilde{X}^3] &= \tilde{X}^2 - \tilde{X}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

In this section, we will consider the Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models on this Jacobi-Lie bialgebra. For this purpose, we should calculate the commutation relations between $\{X_i\}$ and $\{\tilde{X}^j\}$. Using the relation (32), the nonzero commutation relations are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1, \tilde{X}^1] &= -\tilde{X}^1, & [X_2, \tilde{X}^2] &= X_2, & [X_3, \tilde{X}^3] &= X_3, \\ [X_1, \tilde{X}^2] &= X_1 - \tilde{X}^2, & [X_2, \tilde{X}^3] &= X_2, \\ [X_1, \tilde{X}^3] &= X_1 - \tilde{X}^3, & [X_3, \tilde{X}^2] &= X_3. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

4.1 The original model

For constructing sigma model (40), we choose the following representation for $g \in G$

$$g = e^{xX_1} e^{yX_2} e^{zX_3}. \quad (61)$$

By this choice, from (39), (49), (59), (60) and $r = X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3 + \gamma X_2 \wedge X_3$ (where γ is a real constant) [22], one can obtain $a(g)$ and $\Lambda(g)$, as follows

$$a(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y & -z \\ 0 & e^x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda(g) = e^x \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 - e^x & 1 - e^x \\ e^x - 1 & 0 & z - y \\ e^x - 1 & y - z & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (62)$$

Then, by choosing $E^+(e)$ ⁵ as

$$E^+(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (63)$$

⁵ $E^-(e) = (E^+(e))^t$

and substituting (62) and (63) in (45), the model (40) is written as follows

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{e^{-2x}}{\lambda} \left\{ -z(2y - z + 2e^x) \partial_+ x \partial_- x - z e^x \partial_+ x \partial_- y + [e^x(e^x - 2) + (e^x - 1)(2y - z)] \partial_+ x \partial_- z \right. \\ \left. - [2z + (2y - 3z)e^x] \partial_+ y \partial_- x + e^x(e^x - 2) \partial_+ y \partial_- y - (e^x - 1)(e^x - 2) \partial_+ y \partial_- z \right. \\ \left. [z - e^x(e^x + z)] \partial_+ z \partial_- x - e^x(e^x - 1) \partial_+ z \partial_- y + (e^x - 1)^2 \partial_+ z \partial_- z \right\} d\xi^+ d\xi^-. \quad (64)$$

By identifying the above action with the sigma model action of the form (1), the background symmetric metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ and antisymmetric tensor field $B_{\mu\nu}$ take the following forms

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} -z(2y - z + 2e^x) & -z + (z - y)e^x & (e^x - 1)(y - z) - e^x \\ -z + (z - y)e^x & e^x(e^x - 2) & -(e^x - 1)^2 \\ (e^x - 1)(y - z) - e^x & -(e^x - 1)^2 & (e^x - 1)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (65)$$

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z + (y - 2z)e^x & (e^x + y)(e^x - 1) \\ -z - (y - 2z)e^x & 0 & e^x - 1 \\ -(e^x + y)(e^x - 1) & 1 - e^x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (66)$$

where $\lambda = e^x(e^x - 2) + 2(e^x - 1)(y - z)$ and the function $\sigma = -2x$, which is compatible with σ in [22].

4.2 The dual model

For constructing dual sigma model (56), we choose the following representation for $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$

$$\tilde{g} = e^{\tilde{x}\tilde{X}_1} e^{\tilde{y}\tilde{X}_2} e^{\tilde{z}\tilde{X}_3}. \quad (67)$$

Similar to the original model, one can obtain $\tilde{a}(\tilde{g})$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{g})$, using $\tilde{r} = -(1 + \delta)\tilde{X}^1 \wedge \tilde{X}^2 + \delta\tilde{X}^1 \wedge \tilde{X}^3$ (where δ is a real constant), [22] as follows

$$\tilde{a}(\tilde{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}} & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{x}e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}} & e^{\tilde{z}} & 1 - e^{\tilde{z}} \\ -\tilde{x}e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}} & (1 - e^{\tilde{y}})e^{\tilde{z}} & 1 - (1 - e^{\tilde{y}})e^{\tilde{z}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\Lambda}(\tilde{g}) = e^{2(\tilde{y}+\tilde{z})} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 - e^{-\tilde{y}} & e^{-\tilde{y}}(1 - e^{-\tilde{z}}) \\ e^{-\tilde{y}} - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ e^{-\tilde{y}}(e^{-\tilde{z}} - 1) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (68)$$

Then, by choosing $\tilde{E}^+(\tilde{e})$ as

$$\tilde{E}^+(\tilde{e}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (69)$$

the dual sigma model will be in the following form

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{\zeta} \left\{ (e^{\tilde{z}} - 1) \partial_+ \tilde{x} \partial_- \tilde{y} + e^{\tilde{z}} \partial_+ \tilde{x} \partial_- \tilde{z} + (e^{\tilde{z}} - 1)(2e^{-\tilde{z}} - 1) \partial_+ \tilde{y} \partial_- \tilde{x} + e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}} [2(\tilde{x} - 1)(1 - e^{-\tilde{z}}) + e^{\tilde{y}}] \partial_+ \tilde{y} \partial_- \tilde{y} \right. \\ \left. + e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}} [\tilde{x}(3 - 2e^{-\tilde{z}}) + 2(e^{\tilde{y}} - 1)] \partial_+ \tilde{y} \partial_- \tilde{z} + (2 - e^{\tilde{z}}) \partial_+ \tilde{z} \partial_- \tilde{x} + \tilde{x} e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}} (\partial_+ \tilde{z} \partial_- \tilde{y} + 2\partial_+ \tilde{z} \partial_- \tilde{z}) \right\} d\xi^+ d\xi^-. \quad (70)$$

By comparing the above model with the dual model (17), one can read off the symmetric metric $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ and antisymmetric tensor field $\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}$ as follows

$$\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2 - e^{\tilde{z}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 - e^{-\tilde{z}} & 1 \\ 1 - e^{-\tilde{z}} & e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}}(2(\tilde{x} - 1)(1 - e^{-\tilde{z}}) + e^{\tilde{y}}) & e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}}(\tilde{x}(2 - e^{-\tilde{z}}) + e^{\tilde{y}} - 1) \\ 1 & e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}}(\tilde{x}(2 - e^{-\tilde{z}}) + e^{\tilde{y}} - 1) & 2\tilde{x}e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (71)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2 - e^{\tilde{z}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2(\cosh(\tilde{z}) - 1) & e^{\tilde{z}} - 1 \\ 2(1 - \cosh(\tilde{z})) & 0 & e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}}(\tilde{x}(1 - e^{-\tilde{z}}) + e^{\tilde{y}} - 1) \\ 1 - e^{\tilde{z}} & -e^{\tilde{y}+\tilde{z}}(\tilde{x}(1 - e^{-\tilde{z}}) + e^{\tilde{y}} - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (72)$$

where $\zeta = 2e^{2\tilde{y}+2\tilde{z}} - e^{2\tilde{y}+3\tilde{z}}$ and the function $\tilde{\sigma} = -2(\tilde{y} + \tilde{z})$, which is compatible with $\tilde{\sigma}$ in [22].

5 Some concluding remarks

We have generalized the Poisson-Lie symmetry to the Jacobi-Lie symmetry and obtained the Jacobi-Lie T-duality as a generalization of Poisson-Lie T-duality. In this way, some new cases of duality are presented. Also, we have given the formalism for construction of the Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models on Lie groups. There are some open problems as follows: i) Investigation of WZW models which have Jacobi-Lie symmetry, ii) Construction of Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models with spectator fields and proving the canonical equivalence of Jacobi-Lie T-dual sigma models. This generalization is expected to provide us with better understanding the quantum features of Poisson-Lie T-duality. Some of these problems are under investigation.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a research fund No. 217/D/1639 from Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University. The authors would like to thank F. Darabi for their useful comments.

References

- [1] C. Klimčik and P. Ševera,, "Dual non-Abelian duality and the Drinfeld double", Phys. Lett. B. **351** (1995) 455-462, arXiv:hep-th/9502122.
- [2] C. Klimčik, "Poisson-Lie T-duality", Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **46** (1996) 116-121, arXiv:hep-th/9509095.
- [3] A. Giveon, M. Porrati, E. Rabinovici, "Target space duality in string theory", Phys. Rep. **244** (1994) 77-202, arXiv:hep-th/9401139.
- [4] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, Y. Lozano, "An introduction to T-duality in string theory", Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **46** (1995) 1-20, arXiv:hep-th/9410237.
- [5] V. G. Drinfeld, "Quantum groups", Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, Vol. 1 (1986) 789-820.
- [6] A.Yu. Alekseev and A.Z. Malkin, "Symplectic Structures Associated to Lie-Poisson Groups ", Commun. Math. Phys. **162** (1994) 147-173, arXiv:hep-th/9303038.
- [7] K. Sfetsos, "Poisson-Lie T-duality beyond the classical level and the renormalization group ", Phys. Lett. B. **432** (1998) 365-375, arXiv:hep-th/9803019.
- [8] M. A. Jafarizadeh and A. Rezaei-Aghdam, "Poisson-Lie T-duality and Bianchi type algebras", Phys. Lett. B. **458** (1999) 477-490, arXiv:hep-th/9903152.
- [9] A. Yu. Alekseev, C. Klimčik and A. A. Tseytlin, "Quantum Poisson-Lie T-duality and WZNW model ", Nucl. Phys. B. **458** (1996) 430-444, arXiv:hep-th/9509123.
- [10] A. Eghbali, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, "Poisson Lie symmetry and D-branes in WZW model on the Heisenberg Lie group H_4 ", Nucl. Phys. B **899** (2015) 165-179, arXiv:1506.06233[hep-th].
- [11] A. Eghbali, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, "Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models on supermanifolds ", JHEP **09** (2009) 094, arXiv:0901.1592[hep-th].
- [12] A. Eghbali, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, "Super Poisson-Lie symmetry of the $GL(1|1)$ WZNW model and worldsheet boundary conditions ", Nucl. Phys. B **866** (2013) 26, arXiv:1207.2304[hep-th].
- [13] A. Eghbali, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, "WZW models as mutual super Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models", JHEP **07** (2013) 134, arXiv:1303.4069[hep-th].
- [14] C. Klimčik and P. Ševera, "Poisson-Lie T-duality and loop groups of Drinfeld doubles ", Phys. Lett. B. **372** (1996) 65-71, arXiv:hep-th/9512040.
- [15] K. Sfetsos, "Canonical equivalence of non-isometric σ -models and Poisson-Lie T-duality ", Nucl. Phys. B. **517** (1998) 549-566, arXiv:hep-th/9710163.

- [16] J. Balog, P. Forgacs, N. Mohammadi, L. Palla and J. Schnittger, "On quantum T -duality in σ models", Nucl. Phys. B. **535** (1998) 461-482, arXiv:hep-th/9806068.
- [17] K. Sfetsos and K. Siampos, "Quantum equivalence in Poisson-Lie T -duality", JHEP **082** (2009) 0906, arXiv:0904.4248[hep-th].
- [18] K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos and Daniel C. Thompson, "Renormalization of Lorentz non-invariant actions and manifest T -duality", Nucl. Phys. B. **827** (2010) 545-564, arXiv:0910.1345[hep-th].
- [19] D. Iglesias and J. C. Marrero, "Generalized Lie bialgebras and Jacobi structures on Lie groups", Isr. J. Math. **133** (2003) 285-320, arXiv:math/0102171.
- [20] A. Rezaei-Aghdam and M. Sephid, "Classification of real low-dimensional Jacobi (generalized)-Lie bialgebras", Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **14** (2017) 1750007, arXiv:1407.4236[math-ph]
- [21] D. Iglesias and J. C. Marrero, "Generalized Lie bialgebroids and Jacobi structures", J. Geom. Phys. **40** (2001) 176-199, arXiv:math/0008105.
- [22] A. Rezaei-Aghdam and M. Sephid, "Classical r -matrices of real low-dimensional Jacobi-Lie bialgebras and their Jacobi-Lie groups", Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **13** (2016) 1650087, arXiv:1407.7106[math-ph].
- [23] A. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, "Quantum equivalence of dual field theories", Ann. Phys. **162** (1985) 31-48.
- [24] L. Hlavaty and L. Snobl, "Classification of 6-dimensional real Manin triples", arXiv:math/0202209.