

A CFAR ADAPTIVE MATCHED DETECTOR FOR TARGET DETECTION IN NON-GAUSSIAN NOISE WITH INVERSE GAMMA TEXTURE

Shiwen Lei*, Andreas Jakobsson*, and Zhiqin Zhao†

*Dept. of Mathematical Statistics, Lund University, Sweden

†School of Electronic Engineering, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, China

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we propose an adaptive matched detector of a signal corrupted by a non-Gaussian noise with an inverse gamma texture. The detector is formed using a set of secondary data measurements, and is analytically shown to have a constant false alarm rate. The analytic performance is validated using Monte Carlo simulations, and the proposed detector is shown to offer preferable performance as compared to the related one-step generalized likelihood ratio test (1S-GLRT) and the adaptive subspace detector (ASD).

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of detecting a partly known target corrupted by an additive noise is commonly occurring in a variety of fields, such as, for instance, radar and sonar applications. Early works focused on the case of homogeneous noise fields, where in the noise in different test cells was assumed to have the same statistical properties, introducing classical detectors such as the generalized likelihood ratio tests (GLRTs) [1,2] and the adaptive matched filters (AMFs) [3,4]. These works were later extended to cases wherein the primary and secondary data are allowed to have different statistical properties, and detectors such as the matched subspace detectors (MSDs) [5,6] and the adaptive subspace detectors (ASDs) [7,8] were introduced. Of these, the former assumes that the noise covariance matrix (NCM) is known *a priori*, whereas the latter estimates the NCM using secondary data. In cases when the background noise can no longer be assumed homogeneous, or even partially homogeneous, such as in target detection in a sea or earth background, one often the noise to be non-Gaussian, using an inverse gamma texture model [9–11]. In such cases, the noise is typically assumed to be formed by two independent parameters, namely that of the texture, κ , and the speckle, \mathbf{g} [12–14]. Well known contributions to this problem includes the texture-free GLRT (TF-GLRT) [15], which does not consider the influence of κ , and the one-step GLRT (1S-GLRT), the two-step GLRT (2S-GLRT), and the maximum *a posteriori* GLRT (MAP-GLRT)

detectors [16]. The three latter have the same test statistic, but their exact performance are complicated to calculate. In cases when the statistical properties in different channels are the same, the TF-GLRT coincides with the 1S-GLRT. Further extensions include detectors taking into account the persymmetric property of the NCM, offering improved performance in case of non-Gaussian noise [17–19]. However, this improvement strongly relies on the symmetric distribution of the measurement array. In this work, we strive to include the influence of κ , designing a matched detector in the case of non-Gaussian noise, without imposing the persymmetric assumption. We derive the exact performance probabilities for both deterministic and fluctuating targets, showing that the proposed estimator has a constant false alarm rate (CFAR). The accuracy of the presented probabilities are verified using numerical simulations, and the effectiveness of the proposed detector is assessed by comparing with the 1S-GLRT [16] and the ASD [8].


2. ADAPTIVE MATCHED DETECTION IN NON-GAUSSIAN NOISE

Consider the $N \times 1$ measurement vector $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}$, where \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{x} denote the known $N \times r$ dimensional system response matrix, with $r \ll N$, and the $r \times 1$ dimensional target echo, respectively, and with \mathbf{v} denoting a $N \times 1$ dimensional additive non-Gaussian noise. The noise is assumed to have an inverse gamma texture, such that $\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{\kappa}\mathbf{g}$, where the texture parameter, $\kappa > 0$, and the speckle parameter, \mathbf{g} , are assumed independent. The texture is assumed to follow an inverse Gamma distribution with shape parameter α and scaling parameter β , having the PDF


$$f_{IG}(\kappa; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \kappa^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\beta\kappa}\right) \quad (1)$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{+\infty} u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u} du$ denotes the Gamma function. Furthermore, the speckle, describing the structural information, is assumed to be zero mean and have the same NCM in adjacent cells, i.e., $\mathbf{g} \sim CN(0, \mathbf{R})$. The detection problem of

This work was supported in part by the Swedish Research Council and Carl Trygger's foundation.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 1. Detection probability for deterministic target detection with: (a) $(\alpha, \beta)=(2,0.5)$ and (b) $(\alpha, \beta)=(5,2)$.

interest may thus be formulated as the binary hypothesis test


$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{v} \sim CN(0, \kappa \mathbf{R}) \\ H_1 : \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v} \sim CN(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \kappa \mathbf{R}) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the NCM is typically formed using K secondary data vectors, using, for instance, the normalized sample covariance matrix (NSCM), i.e.,


$$\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{N}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^H}{\mathbf{y}_k^H \mathbf{y}_k} \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{y}_k denotes the k :th secondary data, and $(\cdot)^H$ the Hermitian conjugate transpose. From (2), the PDFs of the measurement are

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : f_0(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\pi^N \kappa^N |\mathbf{R}|} \text{etr}(\kappa^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_0) \\ H_1 : f_1(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\pi^N \kappa^N |\mathbf{R}|} \text{etr}(\kappa^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_1) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$



(a)



(b)

Fig. 2. Detection probability for fluctuating target detection with: (a) $(\alpha, \beta)=(2,0.5)$ and (b) $(\alpha, \beta)=(5,2)$.

where $\text{etr}(\mathbf{A}) = \exp(\text{tr}\{\mathbf{A}\})$, $\mathbf{T}_0 = \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^H$, and $\mathbf{T}_1 = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^H$. The test statistic may thus be formed as

$$\Lambda = \frac{\max_{\mathbf{x}} f_1(\mathbf{y})}{f_0(\mathbf{y})} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\gtrless}} \Lambda_0 \quad (5)$$

with Λ_0 denoting the detection threshold. Setting the first-order derivation of $f_1(\mathbf{y})$ with respect to (w.r.t.) \mathbf{x} equal to zero, the MLE of \mathbf{x} may be formed as

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \quad (6)$$

which, if substituted into (5), and replacing \mathbf{R} with $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$, as given by (3), yields the non-Gaussian adaptive matched detector (nG-AMD) as

$$\Lambda = \mathbf{y}^H \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{A}^H \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\gtrless}} \Lambda_0 \quad (7)$$

It is worth noting that the nG-AMD has the same form as the AMD for detecting target in partially homogeneous background [20], although the latter assumes a constant texture, whereas nG-AMD allows for the texture to vary.




Fig. 3. Detection probabilities for a deterministic target, with $P_{FA} = 10^{-2}$: (a) $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, 0.5)$ and (b) $(\alpha, \beta) = (5, 2)$.

3. THEORETICAL DETECTION PERFORMANCE

Since both deterministic target and fluctuating target commonly appear in target detection problems (see, e.g., [8, 21, 22]), we will here consider the detection performance of nG-AMD in both these cases. As shown in [20], the considered test statistic will follow an F distribution, and may thus be expressed as the quotient of two independent chi-square distributions, such that

$$\rho\Lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi_{2r}^2}{\chi_{2(K-N+1)}^2}, & \text{under } H_0 \\ \frac{\chi_{2r}^2(\mu_0)}{\chi_{2(K-N+1)}^2}, & \text{under } H_1 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $\mu_0 = 2\rho\mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{A}^H (\kappa\mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$, and χ_n^2 represents a chi-square distribution function with n degrees of freedom with non-central parameter μ_0 , with $\mu_0 = 0$ if not specified. Let $2t_\ell$ and 2τ denote the numerator, under hypothesis ℓ , and the denominator in (8), respectively. Then, the PDFs of t_ℓ and τ , i.e., $f_t(t|H_0)$, $f_t(t|H_1)$, and $f_\tau(\tau)$ will be given by (A.23), (A.24), and (A.25) in [20], respectively. Similarly, the PDF

of ρ , i.e., $f_\rho(\rho)$, will be given by (16) in [20]. The test statistic in (7) may thus be rewritten as $t \geq \tau\rho\Lambda_0$. Let

$$\mu_1 = \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{A}^H \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mu = \mu_0 / (2\rho\kappa) = \mu_1 / \kappa \quad (9)$$

Then, using (1), the PDF of μ is given as

$$f_\mu(\mu) = \frac{1}{(\beta\mu_1)^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \mu^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{\beta\mu_1}\right) \quad (10)$$

where $\mu > 0$. According to (8), the false alarm probability of nG-AMD, here denoted $P_{FA}^{\text{nG-AMD}}$, will thus depend on the system dimension, N , and the signal dimension, r , but not on the noise, and therefore has a CFAR. The false alarm probability may be calculated as

$$P_{FA}^{\text{nG-AMD}} = \int_0^1 P_{FA|\rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}} f_\rho(\rho) d\rho \quad (11)$$

where the conditional false alarm probability, $P_{FA|\rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}}$, is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{FA|\rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}} &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\tau\rho\Lambda_0}^{+\infty} f_t(t|H_0) dt f_\tau(\tau|H_0) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + \rho\Lambda_0)^{K-N+1}} \sum_{i=1}^r C_{K-N+r-i}^{r-i} \frac{(\rho\Lambda_0)^{r-i}}{(1 + \rho\Lambda_0)^{r-i}} \end{aligned}$$

with $C_n^m = n! / (m!(n-m)!)$ denoting the binomial coefficients. We proceed to determine the probability of detection for a deterministic target, i.e., for the case when μ_1 , as defined in (9), is deterministic. Under H_1 , the conditional detection probability $P_{D|\mu, \rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}}$ is then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{D|\mu, \rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}} &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_\omega^{+\infty} f_t(t|H_1) dt f_{\omega|\rho}(\omega) d\omega \\ &= 1 - \frac{(\rho\Lambda_0)^r}{(1 + \rho\Lambda_0)^{r+K-N}} \sum_{i=0}^{K-N} C_{K-N+r}^{r+i} \\ &\quad \times (\rho\Lambda_0)^i \exp\left(-\frac{\mu\rho}{1 + \rho\Lambda_0}\right) \sum_{m=0}^i \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\mu\rho}{1 + \rho\Lambda_0}\right)^m \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = \tau\rho\Lambda_0$, and

$$f_{\omega|\rho}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(k-N)!} \frac{1}{\rho\Lambda_0} \left(\frac{\omega}{\rho\Lambda_0}\right)^{K-N} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{\rho\Lambda_0}\right) \quad (12)$$

Hence, the conditional detection probability, $P_{D|\rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}}$, may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{D|\rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}} &= \int_0^{+\infty} P_{D|\mu, \rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}} f_\mu(\mu) d\mu \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(\beta\Lambda_0)^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\rho\Lambda_0}{1 + \rho\Lambda_0}\right)^r \left(\frac{1}{1 + \rho\Lambda_0}\right)^{K-N} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{K-N} C_{K-N+r}^{r+i} (\rho\Lambda_0)^i \sum_{m=0}^i \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\rho}{1 + \rho\Lambda_0}\right)^m \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\rho}{1 + \rho\Lambda_0} + \frac{1}{\beta\mu_1}\right)^{-(m+\alpha)} \Gamma(m + \alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$




Fig. 4. Detection probabilities for a fluctuating target, with $P_{FA} = 10^{-2}$: (a) $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, 0.5)$ and (b) $(\alpha, \beta) = (5, 2)$.

yielding the detection probability of nG-AMD for deterministic target detection

$$P_D^{\text{nG-AMD}} = \int_0^1 P_{D|\rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}} f_\rho(\rho) d\rho \quad (14)$$

Similarly, one may form the detection probability for a fluctuating target, i.e., when the distribution of $\mu_1 \sim \mathbf{x}$. Let $\mathbf{x} \sim CN(0, \mathbf{R}_x)$. Then, the conditional detection probability of nG-AMD w.r.t. ρ and μ_1 will have the same form as (13), i.e., $P_{D|\mu_1, \rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}}$ for a fluctuating target will have the same form as $P_{D|\rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}}$ for a deterministic target. The detection probability of nG-AMD for fluctuating target detection is

$$P_D^{\text{nG-AMD}} = \int_0^1 \int_0^{+\infty} P_{D|\mu_1, \rho}^{\text{nG-AMD}} f_{\mu_1}(\mu_1) d\mu_1 f_\rho(\rho) d\rho \quad (15)$$

where $f_{\mu_1}(\mu_1)$ is the PDF of μ_1 . Let $\mathbf{R}_0 = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$, and denote $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$ the r eigenvalues of \mathbf{R}_0 , and \mathbf{u}_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$ the corresponding eigenvectors. Furthermore, let $a_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i^H \mathbf{R}_x \mathbf{u}_i$, and assume there are m ($m < r$) different values for a_i . Let e_k , for $k = 1, \dots, m$, denote the different values of a_i , i.e., $e_i \neq e_j$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $i \neq j$,

with each value occurring $n_k + 1$ times. Hence, $n_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^m n_k = r - m$. Using [23], the PDF of μ_1 may then be expressed as

$$f_{\mu_1}(\mu_1) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^m n_k! \right)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \exp(-\mu_1 e_k^{-1}) \times \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \left[C_{n_k}^i \sum_{p=0}^i c(e_k, p) \mu_1^p \right] \quad (16)$$

for $\mu_1 > 0$, where $c(e_k, p) = C_i^p d_{n_k-i}(e_k) (r-p)^{(i-p)} e_k^{r-i-p}$,

$$d_{n_k-i}(e_k) = \frac{\partial^{(n_k-i)} [e_k^2 \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^m (n_i!)^{-1} (e_k - e_i)^{n_i+1}]^{-1}}{\partial e_k^{(n_k-i)}}$$

and $(n)^{(m)} = n(n-1) \dots (n-m+1)$, for $m \geq 1$, and $(n)^{(m)} = 1$ for $m = 0$.

4. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

This section validates the correctness of our results. The simulation results are obtained from (7), using $100/P_{FA}$ Monte Carlo simulations, whereas the theoretical results are formed by computing Λ_0 for a desired P_{FA} using (11), and then forming P_D using (14) or (15). Let $N = 6$, $r = 2$, and $K = 16$, with $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = \kappa_0 0.9^{|i-j|}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, where κ_0 is a scaling factor meeting the desired signal to noise ratio (SNR), here defined for a deterministic target and fluctuating target as $\text{SNR}_1 = 10 \log_{10}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 / \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}))$ and $\text{SNR}_2 = 10 \log_{10}(\text{tr}(\mathbf{R}_x) / \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}))$, respectively. Furthermore, the ℓ th column of $\mathbf{A}(:, \ell) = \exp(-2j\pi f_\ell)$, for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where $f_\ell = \ell \times 1.8[0 : N-1]^T / N$, and the covariance matrix of the fluctuating target signal is set to be

$$\mathbf{R}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5j \\ -0.5j & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

Figures 1-2 show the curves of P_D of nG-AMD for a deterministic and a fluctuating target. For the former, it is clear that the simulation closely follows the theoretical results, proving the correctness of (14). For a fluctuating target, the simulations only fits the theoretical results for high detection probabilities, such as $P_D > 40\%$. This deviation is due to the (approximative) numerical integration used in forming the (infinite) integral in (15); excluding the truncation error, we assume that the simulations would follow the theoretical results also for lower detection probabilities. Figures 3-4 show the comparisons of the theoretical performance of different detectors for deterministic target and fluctuating target detection, respectively. It can be noted that nG-AMD is always performing better than ASD, whereas it has similar performance as IS-GLRT. However, since the P_{FA} of IS-GLRT is related with the scaling parameter β , it should be noted that IS-GLRT does not have the CFAR property. More precisely, the exact detection probability of IS-GLRT requires the exact knowledge of scaling factor β , which is not necessary for nG-AMD.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] E. J. Kelly, "An Adaptive Detection Algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 115–127, March 1986.
- [2] H.R. Park and H. Wang, "Adaptive Polarization-space-time Domain Generalized Likelihood Ratio Detection of Radar Targets," *Signal Process.*, 1995.
- [3] F.C. Robe, D.R. Fuhrmann, E.J. Kelly, and R. Nitzberg, "A CFAR Adaptive Matched Filter Detector," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 41, pp. 208–216, 1992.
- [4] A. De Maio and G. Ricci, "A CFAR Adaptive Matched Filter," *Signal Process.*, vol. 81, pp. 2583–2589, 2001.
- [5] L. L. Scharf and B. Friedlander, "Matched Subspace Detectors," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 42, pp. 2146–2157, August 1994.
- [6] K.A. Burgess and B.D.V. Veen, "Subspace-based Adaptive Generalized Likelihood Ratio Detection," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 912–927, 1996.
- [7] S. Kraut, L. L. Scharf, and L. T. McWhorter, "Adaptive Subspace Detectors," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 49, pp. 1–16, January 2001.
- [8] J. Liu, Z.J. Zhang, Y. Yang, and H. Liu, "A CFAR Adaptive Subspace Detector for First-Order or Second-Order Gaussian Signal Based on a Single Observation," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 5127–5140, 2011.
- [9] M. Akcakaya and A. Nehorai, "Adaptive MIMO Radar Design and Detection in Compound-Gaussian Clutter," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 2200–2207, 2011.
- [10] C.M. Javier, G.M. Javier, A.L. Alberto, and B.D.C. Alvaro, "Small-Target Detection in High-Resolution Heterogeneous Sea-Clutter: an Empirical Analysis," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 1880–1898, 2011.
- [11] K.J. Sangston, F. Gini, and M.S. Greco, "Coherent Radar Target Detection in Heavy-Tailed Compound-Gaussian Clutter," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 64–77, 2012.
- [12] P. Wang, H. Li, and B. Himed, "A Parametric Moving Target Detector for Distributed MIMO Radar in non-Homogeneous Environments," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 729–738, 2013.
- [13] N. Li, G. Cui, L. Kong, and X. Yang, "Rao and Wald Tests Design of Multiple-Input Multiple-Output Radar in Compound-Gaussian Clutter," *IET Radar, Sonar, Navig.*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 729–738, 2012.
- [14] M. Hurtado and A. Nehorai, "Polarimetric Detection of Targets in Heavy Inhomogeneous Clutter," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 1349–1361, 2008.
- [15] D. Pastina, P. Lombardo, and T. Bucciarelli, "Adaptive Polarimetric Target Detection With Coherent Radar Part ii: Detection Against non-Gaussian Background," *IEEE Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1207–1220, 2011.
- [16] X. Shang and H. Song, "Radar Detection Based on Compound-Gaussian Model With Inverse Gamma Texture," *IET Radar, Sonar, Navig.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 315–321, 2011.
- [17] Y. Gao, G. Liao, S. Zhu, and D. Yang, "A Persymmetric GLRT for Adaptive Detection in Compound-Gaussian Clutter With Random Texture," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 615–618, 2013.
- [18] G. Pailloux, P. Forster, J.P. Ovarlez, and F. Pascal, "Persymmetric Adaptive Radar Detectors," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 2376–2390, 2011.
- [19] A. De Maio and D. Orlando, "An Invariant Approach to Adaptive Radar Detection Under Covariance Persymmetry," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 1297–1309, March 2015.
- [20] S. Lei, Z. Zhao, Z. Nie, and Q. H. Liu, "Adaptive Polarimetric Detection Method for Target in Partially Homogeneous Background," *Signal Process.*, vol. 104, pp. 301–311, 2015.
- [21] Y. Jin and B. Friedlander, "A CFAR Adaptive Subspace Detector for Second-Order Gaussian Signals," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 871–884, 2005.
- [22] S.K. Jayaweera, "Bayesian Fusion Performance and System Optimization for Distributed Stochastic Gaussian Signal Detection Under Communication Constraints," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1238–1250, 2007.
- [23] J. Liu, Z. J. Zhang, P. L. Shui, and H. Liu, "Exact Performance Analysis of an Adaptive Subspace Detector," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 4945–4950, 2012.