

# Dynamics of meromorphic functions outside a countable set of essential singularities

P. Domínguez <sup>a\*</sup>, M.A. Montes de Oca<sup>a</sup> and G. Sienra <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Fac. de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas., BUAP., C.U., Puebla Pue. 72570 México.*

<sup>b</sup> *Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM. Av. Universidad 3000, C.U. México, D.F., 04510, México.*

*Dedicated to Professor I.N. Baker*

## Abstract

We consider a class of functions, denoted by  $\mathcal{K}$  in this paper, which are meromorphic outside a compact and countable set  $B(f)$ , investigated by A. Bolsch in his thesis in 1997. The set  $B(f)$  is the closure of isolated essential singularities. We review main definitions and properties of the Fatou and Julia sets of functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ . It is studied the role of  $B(f)$  in this context. Following Eremenko it is defined escaping sets and we prove some results related to them. For instance, the dynamics of a function is extended to its singularities using escaping hairs. We give an example of an escaping hair with a wandering singular end point, where the hair is contained in a wandering domain of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ .

## 1 Introduction

The iteration of complex analytic functions has its origins in two detailed examinations of Newton's method. The first paper containing two parts (1870 and 1871), [82] [83], was written by the German mathematician E. Schröder (1841-1902) and the second [28] (appeared in 1879) by the British mathematician A. Cayley (1821-1895).

Schröder and Cayley each studied the convergence of Newton's method for the complex quadratic function. Since then, many other mathematicians have worked on the problem of analytic iteration and the iteration of complex functions, see e.g. [1].

In 1907 P. Montel (1876-1975), a French mathematician, received his doctorate in Paris which was related to infinite sequences of both real and complex functions. A

---

*The authors were supported by CONACYT project number 253202.*

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 37F10, Secondary 30D05.

*Key Words:* Iteration, Fatou set, Julia set and Escaping set, Baker domain, wandering domain

few years later Montel worked in complex function theory and introduced the theory of normal families. In his papers, published in 1912 and 1916, he treated Picard's theory. Montel considered his study of Picard's theory to be one of the most important applications of his theory of normal families. Montel's theory of normal families was quite important in the iteration of analytic functions.

Between 1918 and 1920, two French mathematicians, P. Fatou (1878-1929) and G. Julia (1893-1978) obtained several results related to the iteration of rational functions of a single complex variable, [42], [50]. Each of them, based his approach on Montel's theory of normal families. The main objects of the theory are the Stable set where the iterates form a normal family and the Chaotic set which is the complement of the Stable set. From now on, as it is usual in this area, we will call the Stable set as the Fatou set and the Chaotic set as the Julia set (of an holomorphic function  $f$ ) and denote them by  $F(f)$  and  $J(f)$  respectively.

In 1926 Fatou [43] extended some results of the Fatou and Julia sets from the rational case to the transcendental entire case. He also studied the dynamics of the function  $f(z) = e^{-z} + z + 1$ , for which the sequence of iteration of points in the Fatou set converges to  $\infty$ . Since  $\infty$  is an essential singularity of  $f$ , the component in the Fatou set with such behaviour was called *domain of indetermination*. Fatou put forward the conjecture that the Julia set of the exponential map is the Riemann sphere ( $J(e^z) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ ) and leave many other open questions.

In 1953, H. Rådström [67] working on dynamics, showed that among planar domains with holomorphic self-maps  $f : D \rightarrow D$ , the most dynamically interesting cases are:  $D = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , if  $f$  rational;  $D = \mathbb{C}$ , if  $f$  entire; or  $D = \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , if  $f$  is an endomorphism of the punctured plane. In all other cases every point of  $D$  is a stable point for  $f$ .

Some years later, in 1955, I.N. Baker used the theory of iteration of analytic functions, developed mainly by Fatou and Julia, in his results [3], [4] and [5] Baker showed that some dynamical properties of entire functions are quite different from those of rational maps. In 1970 Baker gave the first example in [6] of an entire function for which the Julia set is the whole Riemann sphere, he proved that  $J(\lambda ze^z) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  for suitable value of  $\lambda$ . Later in [62] Misiurewicz proved Fatou's conjecture.

The connected components in the Fatou set can be either periodic, pre-periodic or wandering, see Section 4 for definitions. An open problem since Fatou and Julia was the existence of wandering domains. In 1976 Baker (1932-2001) in [7] proved that a transcendental entire function with a multiply connected Fatou component must be wandering. In 1985 D. Sullivan [88] showed that for the rational case there were not wandering domains in the Fatou set, the main tools in his proof were Riemann surface theory, planar topology, prime ends and the theory of quasi-conformal mappings. The last concept was introduced by A. Grötzsch [45], developed by Teichmüller [89], used and called as we know now by L. Ahlfors in [2].

There is a kind of classification of periodic components in the Fatou set started by Cremer [30] and Fatou [42], see for a discussion [19]. Currently, the classification due to I.N. Baker, J. Kotus and Lü [10] states that a periodic component can be either attracting, parabolic, Siegel disk, Herman ring or indetermination domain.

Using techniques of quasi conformal surgery, Shishikura in [84] constructed examples of rational functions which have multiply connected rotation domains with the rotation number irrational, these domains are Herman rings. For transcendental entire functions there are not Herman rings. The results mentioned above show that the dynamics of the rational case and the transcendental entire case are quite different.

For the rational functions the set of critical values is finite, so with this idea A. Eremenko and M. Lyubich in [39] investigated the class of transcendental entire functions, where the set of singular values is finite (asymptotic and critical values). They proved that for functions in their class there are neither wandering domains nor indetermina-tion domains. The last domains were called *Baker domains* for the first time by the authors in [39], thus from now on in this paper we will refer to this name.

Going back to the analytic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , we want to mention some mathematician who were working on the dynamics of these functions. P. Battacharyya in his PhD thesis [24], studied the dynamics of those functions. Later on L. Keen [51], J. Kotus [54] and P. Makienko [57] also investigated the dynamics of functions in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

We know by definition that a transcendental meromorphic function is analytic in the whole plane except at poles, each one of those poles goes to infinity and infinity is either an essential singularity or an accumulation point of poles. It was a natural question to ask if for a transcendental meromorphic function, the Fatou and Julia sets could be defined. Between 1990 and 1991 I.N Baker, J. Kotus, and Y. Lü produced four papers [10, 11, 12, 13] in which they investigated the dynamics of transcendental meromorphic functions. For a general survey of transcendental meromorphic functions, the readers are referred to [19].

A natural generalization is to study the iteration of functions that are analytic outside a compact set of singularities, this set is in some sense small. A. Bolsch [26] and M. Herring [48] in their PhD thesis investigated such functions.

Bolsch in [25, 26, 27], investigated the iteration of analytic functions outside a compact countable set, which is the closure of isolated essential singularities. In this class infinity may not be an essential singularity, so the way to treat this kind of functions is different to either rational, transcendental entire or transcendental meromorphic functions.

As we can see, the historical development of the study of complex functions starts with basic concepts of holomorphic functions on the Riemann sphere concerning the Fatou and Julia sets. However, there are other kind of functions which have been studied extending the theory of holomorphic dynamics, such as [31], [32], [44], [49] and [61] specially page 17.

In this paper we want to continue the research started in [26] of a class of functions defined on subsets of the Riemann sphere. We can think this class as the smallest semi group with the composition operation, containing all transcendental meromorphic functions. We denote this class by  $\mathcal{K}$  and we will refer to this kind of functions as  $\mathcal{K}$ -meromorphic functions.

In this paper the topics are divided as follows: in Section 2 we define and study classes of functions, the set of singularities, exceptional values and examples of the definitions

mentioned before. Section 3 contains the iteration of the functions given in Section 2 and a classification of their compositions. In Section 4 we review the definitions and properties of the Fatou and Julia sets for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  and give some well known properties for those sets, including the classification of the periodic components of the Fatou set. In Section 5 we show some results related to the dynamics of functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ . In Section 6 the escaping sets given by Eremenko in [38] are generalized in two different kinds:  $I_e(f)$  which is the escaping set to a specific singularity  $e \in B(f)$  and the general escaping set  $I_g(f)$  related to the whole  $B(f)$ . We also classify the dynamics in the general escaping set  $I_g(f)$  and extend the dynamics of  $f$  to the singularities using curves contained in  $I_g(f)$ . Section 7 contains examples of Baker and wandering domains for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ , we use such examples to construct escaping hairs with wandering singular end-points.

## 2 $\mathcal{K}$ -meromorphic functions

In what follows we shall denote the complex plane by  $\mathbb{C}$ , the sphere by  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and the punctured plane by  $\mathbb{C}^* = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ . We consider the following classes of functions.

$$\mathcal{E} = \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is transcendental entire}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \{f : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \mid f \text{ is transcendental holomorphic}\}.$$

We shall distinguish the following two types of maps in class  $\mathcal{P}$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{f \in \mathcal{P} \mid 0 \text{ is a pole and an omitted value}\}$$

$$= \{f(z) = \frac{e^{h(z)}}{z^n}, h(z) \text{ entire non-constant}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{f \in \mathcal{P} \mid 0 \text{ is an essential singularity}\}$$

$$= \{f(z) = z^n e^{(g(z)+h(1/z))}, g, h \text{ entire non-constant}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We recall that a function  $f$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  if  $f$  is analytic except at *poles*.

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid f \text{ is transcendental meromorphic with one not omitted pole}\}.$$

A class of functions which contains all the classes mentioned above and their iterations is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{K} = \{f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus B(f) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid f \text{ is not constant and meromorphic in } \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus B(f)\},$$

where the set  $B(f)$  is a compact countable set and it is the closure of the set of isolated essential singularities of  $f$ . We assume that  $B(f)$  has at least one element. In other words,  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  is analytic in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus B(f)$  except at poles. We are calling this functions  *$\mathcal{K}$ -meromorphic*.

Examples of the above classes of functions which for their dynamics have been studied, are the following:

- (a)  $f_\lambda(z) = \lambda e^z$  and  $f_\lambda(z) = \lambda \sin z$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , for functions in class  $\mathcal{E}$ ;
- (b)  $f_\lambda(z) = \lambda e^z/z$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and  $f_\alpha(z) = e^{\alpha(z-1/z)}$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , for functions in class  $\mathcal{P}$ ;
- (c)  $f_\lambda(z) = \lambda \tan z$ ,  $f_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \lambda e^z + \mu/z$  and  $f_{\lambda,\epsilon,p}(z) = \lambda \sin z + \frac{\epsilon}{z-p}$  where  $p \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mu, \lambda, \epsilon, \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , for functions in class  $\mathcal{M}$ ;
- (d)  $\lambda e^{R(z)} + \mu$  with  $R(z)$  either a rational function or a transcendental meromorphic function, and  $f_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \tan(\lambda \tan(\mu z))$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** (a) For a function  $f$  either in class  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E}$  or  $\mathcal{M}$  we know that  $\infty$  is an essential singularity or an accumulation of poles, so it is in the set  $B(f)$ .

(b) The classes  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are disjoint.

From now on we will work with functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ , otherwise we will mention the class of functions we are working on. In what follows we will give several definitions related to the class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The set of *singular values* of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , denoted by  $SV(f)$ , is defined as follows:

$$SV(f) = \overline{C(f) \cup A(f)},$$

where  $C(f)$  is the set of critical values of  $f$  and  $A(f)$  is the set of asymptotic values of  $f$ . We recall that a *critical value or an algebraic singularity* is the image of a critical point. A point  $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is called an *asymptotic value or a transcendental singularity* of  $f$  at  $e$  if there is a path  $\gamma(t) \rightarrow e$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $f(\gamma(t)) \rightarrow a$ , where  $e \in B(f)$ . In this case, for every punctured disc  $D_a$  of  $a$ , there is an open set  $U_a \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , such that the restriction  $f : U_a \rightarrow D_a$  is an infinite (possibly branched) covering and moreover, there are infinitely many paths  $\gamma_i(t) \rightarrow e$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $f(\gamma_i(t)) = f(\gamma(t))$ . We say that  $U_a$  is a *tract over  $D_a$* .

If  $a$  has a simply connected neighbourhood  $V$  such that for some component  $U$  of the set  $f^{-1}(V)$  the map  $f : U \rightarrow V \setminus \{a\}$  is a universal covering, then  $a$  is called a *logarithmic branch point* and  $U$  is called an *exponential tract*.

We say that  $w$  is an *omitted value* of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  if for every  $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus B(f)$  we have  $f(z) - w = 0$  has no solutions and that  $w$  is a *Picard exceptional value* of  $f$  if  $f(z) - w = 0$  has finite solutions. Observe that if  $w$  is an omitted value of  $f$ , then  $w$  is a Picard exceptional value of  $f$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  we say that  $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  has the *Picard Property* if every punctured neighbourhood of  $z_0$  (in the domain of  $f$ ) is mapped into the Riemann sphere infinitely many times except for at most two points which are called *local Picard exceptional values of  $f$  at  $z_0$* .

**Remark 2.3.** (a) Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . The point  $e \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  has the *Picard Property* if and only if  $e \in B(f)$ .

(b) If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $e \in B(f)$ , then  $e$  is either an essential isolated singularity or an accumulation of those singularities.

(c) By the Picard Property, functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  may have at most two Picard exceptional values.

(d) A point  $w$  is a Picard exceptional value of  $f$  if and only if  $w$  is a local Picard exceptional value of all singularities.

Examples of functions having omitted values, Picard exceptional values and local Picard exceptional values are the following:

(i) The exponential map  $e^z$  has two omitted values, zero and  $\infty$  which are also Picard exceptional values;

(ii) The map  $e^z/z$  has  $\infty$  as a Picard exceptional value but it is not an omitted value, while zero is an omitted value;

(iii) The map  $e^{(z-1/z)}$ , has two omitted values, 0 and  $\infty$ , such points are also essential singularities.

(iv) If  $f(z) = e^{1/z} \tan z$ , then  $B(f) = \{0, \infty\}$  and the set of local Picard exceptional values at zero is  $\{0, \infty\}$ . Since  $f(k\pi) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f((2k-1)\pi/2) = \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , therefore, 0 and  $\infty$  are not Picard exceptional values of  $f$ .

**Problem 2.4.** *Is there an example of a function in class  $\mathcal{K}$ , such that it has local Picard exceptional values at each essential singularity but not Picard exceptional values? Observe that such example cannot be meromorphic.*

**Problem 2.5.** *Are the asymptotic values  $\pm i$  Picard exceptional values of  $f$  in Example (iv)?*

If  $f$  is a function, the sequence formed by its iterates is denoted by  $f^0 := \text{Id}$ ,  $f^n := f \circ f^{n-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\circ$  means composition and  $f^n$  is the  $n$ -iterate of  $f$ .

Given  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  we define the *forward orbit*, the *backward orbit* and the *grand orbit* of a point  $z$  as  $O^+(z) = \{w : f^n(z) = w \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $O^-(z) = \{w : f^n(w) = z \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $O(z) = O^+(z) \cup O^-(z)$  respectively. Also, we define  $E(f)$  as the set of *Fatou exceptional values* of  $f$ , this is, points whose inverse orbit  $O^-(z)$  is finite. For instance, take the map  $f(z) = e^z$ , the Fatou exceptional values of  $f$  are zero and  $\infty$  (both are omitted values). Now observe the map  $h(z) = -e^z + 1/z$ , it has no omitted values, the Picard exceptional value is  $\infty$  but it is not a Fatou exceptional value since  $f^{-n}(\infty)$  is not finite, so  $E(h) = \emptyset$ .

As a result of the Iversen's Theorem the corollary in [90] states the following.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Any Picard exceptional value of a transcendental meromorphic function is also an asymptotic value. Hence, a transcendental entire function must have  $\infty$  as its asymptotic value.*

The above corollary can be extended to functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ , in the sense that every local Picard exceptional value of  $f$  is an asymptotic value of  $f$ . It is clear that omitted

values are Fatou exceptional values which are Picard exceptional values and by the Corollary 2.6, they are asymptotic values. These observations are resumed in the diagram in Figure 1 which shows the proper contentions between the sets of different kind of values.




Figure 1: Contentions

### 3 Iteration of functions in class $\mathcal{K}$

When we iterate a function  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  it can be proved that  $f^n \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $B(f^n) = \{\infty\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Functions in class  $\mathcal{P}_1$  have an omitted pole and one essential singularity, observe that under the second iteration the omitted pole becomes an essential singularity. Thus the new function has two essential singularities. For instance, the map  $f(z) = e^z/z$  has  $B(f) = \{\infty\}$  and  $B(f^n) = \{\infty, 0\}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  is not true that  $f^n \in \mathcal{M}$ , in this case,  $B(f) = \{\infty\}$  but, due to the existence of poles,  $B(f^n)$  has more than one point for all  $n \geq 2$ .

Bolsch in [26] proved that for functions  $f, g$  in class  $\mathcal{K}$  the composition  $f \circ g$  is in  $\mathcal{K}$ , where  $B(f \circ g) = B(g) \cup g^{-1}(B(f))$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote  $f^{-n}(B(f)) = \{z : f^n(z) = e \in B(f)\}$ .

The following theorem follows from [25] and [48].

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $f^n \in \mathcal{K}$  and for each  $n \geq 1$  the natural boundary of  $f^n$  is the set*

$$B_n = B(f^n) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} f^{-j}(B(f)),$$

so that  $f^n$  is meromorphic function in the region

$$D_n = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus B_n.$$

Further, the sets  $B_n$  are all compact and countable. Moreover,  $f^n$  cannot be continued meromorphically over any point of  $B_n$ .

We say that  $p$  is a *pre-pole of order  $n$*  in case that  $p \in f^{-n}(\infty)$ , for some  $n \geq 2$ .

We say that  $p$  is a *pre-singularity of order  $n$*  if  $e \in B(f)$  and  $p \in f^{-n}(e)$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ . Observe that for the function  $-e^z + 1/z$  zero is a pole and a pre-singularity of order one, while the function  $e^{1/z} + 1/(z-1)$  has one as pole and it is not a pre-singularity of any order.

From now on, we will denote the set of all pre-singularities and singularities of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  by  $B^-(f) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{-j}(B(f))$ . Observe that the set  $B^-(f)$  is countable.

In what follows we will define the following classes of functions.

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{K} \mid \#B(f) = 0\};$$

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \{f \in \mathcal{K} \mid \#B(f) = n, n \in \mathbb{N}\};$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\infty} = \{f \in \mathcal{K} \mid \#B(f) = \infty\}.$$

The symbol  $\#B(f)$  means the number of isolated essential singularities of the function  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** (a) Functions in classes  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are in  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{f \in \mathcal{K} \mid \#B(f) = 1\}$ , for such functions  $B(f) = \{\infty\}$ . If  $e \in B(f)$  it can be normalized to be  $\infty$ , in this case  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}$ .

(b) Functions in class  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are in  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{f \in \mathcal{K} \mid \#B(f) = 2\}$ , where  $B(f) = \{\infty, 0\}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{K}_2$ ,  $B(f) = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $e_1, e_2$  are omitted values, so they can be normalized to be  $\{\infty, 0\}$ .

**Remark 3.3.** (a) If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $B^-(f) = \{\infty\}$ .

(b) If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_1$ , then  $B^-(f) = \{\infty, 0\}$  ( $0$  is an omitted pole of  $f$ ).

(c) If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_2$ , then  $B^-(f) = \{\infty, 0\}$  ( $0 \in B(f)$  and  $0$  is an omitted value).

(d) After normalization we have that, if  $f \in \mathcal{K} \setminus (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{P})$ , then  $B^-(f)$  is an infinite set.

For functions  $f \in \mathcal{K}_n$  and  $g \in \mathcal{K}_m$ , where  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ , the composition  $f \circ g$  (or  $g \circ f$ ) is in  $\mathcal{K}_r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ . To calculate  $r$  we should consider several cases, for instance the ones given below.

Case I.  $f \in \mathcal{K}_0$  and  $g \in \mathcal{K}_1$ .

(a) The composition  $f \circ g$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_1$ . We recall that  $\mathcal{K}_1$  is the disjoint union of  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ , thus the the composition of  $f \circ g$  can be in any of them. For instance: take  $f(z) = z^n + 1$  a polynomial in  $\mathcal{K}_0$  and  $g(z) = e^z/(z-1)$  a function in class  $\mathcal{M}$ . The composition  $(f \circ g)(z) = e^{nz}/(z-1)^n + 1$ , thus  $(f \circ g)(z) \in \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_1$ .



(b) Now, the composition  $g \circ f$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_r$ , where  $r$  is the number of poles of  $f$  without multiplicity. In the special case that  $f$  is a polynomial,  $f(z) = \infty$  implies that  $z = \infty$  and so  $g \circ f \in \mathcal{K}_1$ . In contrast with the previous example, if  $f(z) = z^2/(z-1) \in \mathcal{K}_0$  and  $g(z) = e^z$ , then  $(g \circ f)(z) = e^{z^2/(z-1)} \in \mathcal{K}_2$  and  $B(g \circ f) = \{\infty, 1\}$ , while  $f \circ g \in \mathcal{K}_1$ . Observe that the singularity 1 is not omitted by  $g \circ f$ , so after normalization,  $g \circ f \in \mathcal{K}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$ .

Case II.  $f \in \mathcal{K}_0$  and  $g \in \mathcal{K}_n$ .

The composition  $f \circ g$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_n$ . For instance, given  $g \in \mathcal{K}_2$ , the composition  $f \circ g$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_2$  if and only if  $f(z) = \lambda z^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . We recall that  $B(g) = \{\infty, 0\}$  after normalization.

The following proposition does not need a proof since it is clear by the definitions and the basic concepts presented above.

**Proposition 3.4.** *After normalization, the following cases hold:*

I. For  $f \in \mathcal{K}_1$  and  $B(f) = \{\infty\}$  we have:

- (i) If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $B(f^n) = \{\infty\}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii) If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_1$ , then  $B(f^n) = \{\infty, 0\}$ , for  $n \geq 2$ .
- (iii) If  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\#B(f^n) = \infty$ , for  $n \geq 3$ .

II. For  $f \in \mathcal{K}_2$  and  $B(f) = \{\infty, 0\}$  we have:

- (i) If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_2$ , then  $B(f^n) = \{\infty, 0\}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii) If  $f \in \mathcal{K}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$ , then  $\#B(f^n) = \infty$ , for  $n \geq 3$ .

III. For  $f \in \mathcal{K}_m$  and  $m \geq 3$ , the number of essential singularities is  $\#B(f^n) = \infty$ , for all  $n \geq 2$ .

IV. For  $f \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P}\}$ ,  $f^n \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$  for all  $n \geq 3$ .

## 4 The Fatou and Julia sets

In this section we will work with the dynamics of functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ , having in mind the properties of the Julia and Fatou sets in classes  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . We will start the section with the following concepts.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . If  $n$  is the minimum such that  $z$  satisfies  $f^n(z) = z$ , we say that  $z$  is a *periodic point of period  $n$* . The set  $\{z = z_1, z_i = f(z_{i-1}), \dots, z_n = z_1\}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , is called a *periodic cycle* at  $z$ . If  $z$  is a fixed point of period  $n$  the quantity

$$\prod_{j=1}^n f'(z_j) = \lambda(z)$$

is called the *eigenvalue or multiplier* of the cycle at  $z$ . When any value  $z_i$  is the point at infinity the factor  $f'(z_i)$  is replaced by the derivative of  $1/f(1/z)$  at the origin.

The classification of a periodic point  $z_0$  of period  $p$  of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  is given as follows:

- (a) *super-attracting* if  $|\lambda(z_0)| = 0$ ;
- (b) *attracting* if  $0 < |\lambda(z_0)| < 1$ ;
- (c) *repelling* if  $|\lambda(z_0)| > 1$ ;
- (d) *rationally indifferent* if  $|\lambda(z_0)| = 1$  and  $(f^n)'(z_0)$  is a root of unit, in this case  $z_0$  is known also as a *parabolic periodic point*;
- (e) *irrationally indifferent* if  $|\lambda(z_0)| = 1$ , but  $\lambda(z_0)$  is not a root of unit.

Now we are able to give the definitions of the Fatou and Julia sets and some of their properties.

For functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  we define the *Fatou set*, denoted by  $F(f)$ , as the maximal open set  $G$  such that all  $f^n$  are analytic and forms a normal family in  $G$  (in the sense of Montel). The complement of the Fatou set is called the *Julia set* which is denoted by  $J(f) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus F(f)$  and therefore  $B(f) \subset J(f)$ .

The following properties of the Fatou and Julia sets were initially proved by Fatou and Julia, in [42] and [50] respectively, for rational functions. Fatou [43] and Baker [3] proved the properties for transcendental entire functions  $\mathcal{E}$  and Battacharyya [24] for functions in  $\mathcal{P}$ . While Baker, Kotus and Lü [10] for transcendental meromorphic functions  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and Bolsch [26], for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ . We mention that the properties of the Julia and Fatou sets are much the same for each of those classes, but different proofs were needed and some discrepancies arise by the time they were proved. The properties are the following.

- (a) The Fatou set  $F(f)$  is open and the Julia set  $J(f)$  is closed.
- (b) The Julia set  $J(f)$  is perfect.
- (c) The set  $F(f)$  is completely invariant, this means, it is forward invariant  $f(F(f)) \subseteq F(f)$  and backward invariant  $f^{-1}(F(f)) \subseteq F(f)$ .  $J(f)$  is also completely invariant in the sense that  $f(J(f) \setminus B(f)) \subseteq J(f)$  and  $f^{-1}(J(f)) \subseteq J(f)$ .
- (d) For a positive integer  $p$ ,  $F(f^p) = F(f)$  and  $J(f^p) = J(f)$ .
- (e) The Julia set  $J(f)$  is the closure of the set of repelling periodic points of all periods of  $f$ .

For a function in class  $\mathcal{K}$  a Fatou component  $U$  can be either:

- (a) *periodic* if  $f^n(U) \subset U$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (b) *pre-periodic* if  $f^m(U)$  is periodic for some integer  $m \geq 0$  or
- (c) *wandering* if  $U$  is neither periodic nor pre-periodic.

Consider  $U_1 \subseteq F(f)$  a periodic component, we say that  $\{U_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , is a *periodic cycle of period  $p$*  if  $f(U_i) \subseteq U_{i+1}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ , and  $f(U_p) \subseteq U_1$ .

If  $U$  is a periodic component of  $F(f)$  of period  $p$ , the classification of the periodic

component is given as follows.

1.  $U$  contains an attracting periodic point  $z_0$  of period  $p$  such that  $f^{np}(z) \rightarrow z_0$  for  $z \in U$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $U$  is called the *immediate attracting component* of  $z_0$ .
2.  $\partial U$  contains a periodic point  $z_0$  of period  $p$  and  $f^{np}(z) \rightarrow z_0$  for  $z \in U$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $(f^p)'(z_0) = 1$  if  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . In this case,  $U$  is called either a *Leau domain* or a *parabolic component*.
3. There exists an analytic homeomorphism  $\varphi : U \rightarrow D$  where  $D$  is the unit disc such that  $\varphi(f^p(\varphi^{-1}(z))) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}z$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . The component  $U$  is called a *Siegel disc*.
4. There exists an analytic homeomorphism  $\varphi : U \rightarrow A$  where  $A$  is an annulus  $A = \{z : 1 < |z| < r\}$ ,  $r > 1$ , such that  $\varphi(f^p(\varphi^{-1}(z))) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}z$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . The component  $U$  is called a *Herman ring*.
5. There exists  $z_0 \in \partial U$  such that  $f^{np}(z) \rightarrow z_0$ , for  $z \in U$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $f^p(z_0)$  is not defined. In this case,  $U$  is called a *Baker domain* and the point  $z_0$  is called the *absorbing point* of  $U$ .

We recall that  $SV(f)$  is the set of singular values of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . We define the *postcritical set* of  $f$  as follows:

$$SV^+(f) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(SV(f)).$$

The relation between some of the periodic Fatou components of a function  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and the set of its singular values  $SV(f)$  is given below in Theorem 4.1, see [48] for a proof.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\{U_i\}$  a periodic cycle of period  $p$ .*

(a) *If the elements of the cycle are attracting or a parabolic components, then for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $U_i \cap SV(f) \neq \emptyset$ .*

(b) *If  $U_i$  is a Siegel disk or a Herman ring, then  $\partial U_i \subset \overline{SV^+(f)}$ .*

The relation between  $SV(f)$  and a Baker domains is more complicated as the following cases show, for each one of them, there exist a transcendental meromorphic function  $f$  with an invariant Baker domain  $U$  satisfying: (a)  $U \cap SV(f) \neq \emptyset$ ; (b)  $U \cap SV(f) = \emptyset$ ; (c)  $\partial U \subset \overline{SV^+(f)}$ , and (d)  $\partial U$  has no finite points of  $\overline{SV^+(f)}$ . For more details see [19].

The following theorem of Baker given in [9], for a more general class of functions, shows the relation between wandering domains of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $SV(f)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $U$  is a wandering domain of  $f$ , then any limit function of a sequence in  $U$  is a constant which is an accumulation point of  $SV^+(f)$ .*

Concerning Herman rings we shall mention some results for the different classes of functions given in Section 2.

(a) For functions in classes  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1$  there are no Herman rings. It is possible to have doubly connected domains in  $F(f)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  which are wandering domains, see the discussion in Subsection 4.2.

(b) For functions in class  $\mathcal{P}_2$  it is known that the Fatou set can have at most one doubly connected component in the Fatou set. An example given in [8] of a function with a Herman ring is  $\lambda z e^{\alpha(z-1/z)}$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ ,  $\lambda = e^{2\pi i \beta}$  and  $\beta$  chosen in such a way that satisfies a diophantine condition.

(c) For functions in class  $\mathcal{M}$ , it was shown in [35], that it is possible to produce Herman rings. Even more, they constructed Herman rings for some  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ .

In the following two subsections we shall give a discussion related with some examples of Baker domains and wandering domains for the different classes of functions given in Section 2.

## 4.1 Baker domains

Let  $\{U_i\}$  be a periodic cycle of period  $p$ , we say that it is a *cycle of Baker domains* if for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $U_i$  is a Baker domain.

If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $U$  is a Baker domain and  $z_0$  is an absorbing point of  $U$ , then we have the following statements.

(i)  $z_0$  is in  $B^-(f)$  and for any cycle of Baker domains at least one absorbing point is in  $B(f)$ .

(ii) If  $z_0 \in B(f)$  and  $w_0$  is the absorbing point of a Baker domain  $V$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ , then  $w_0$  is an asymptotic value of  $f$  at  $z_0$ .

### I. Baker domains for functions in class $\mathcal{E}$ .

Having in mind the classification of a periodic component  $U$  in the Fatou set, it is natural to study  $U$  and its boundary in connection with the Riemann map  $\Psi : D(0,1) \rightarrow U$ , where  $D(0,1)$  is the disk with center at 0 and radius 1. We denote by  $\Psi(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Psi(re^{i\theta})$ ,  $e^{i\theta} \in \partial D$ , the *radial limit* and define

$$\Theta = \{e^{i\theta} : \Psi(e^{i\theta}) = \infty\}.$$

For  $e^{i\theta} \in \partial D$  and  $g$  analytic in  $D$  the *cluster set*  $C(g, e^{i\theta})$  is the set of all  $w \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  for which there exist sequences  $z_n$  in  $D$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  and  $g(z_n) \rightarrow w$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now define the set

$$\Xi = \{e^{i\theta} : \infty \in C(g, e^{i\theta})\}.$$

Observe that  $\overline{\Theta} \subset \Xi$ . In [17] Baker and Weinreich proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $U \subset F(f)$  is an unbounded invariant component which is not a Baker domain, then  $\infty \in C(\Psi, e^{i\theta})$ , for every  $e^{i\theta} \in \partial D$ , this is  $\Xi = \partial D$ .*

Theorem 4.3 no longer holds for Baker domains. An example was given in [17] where  $f(z) = z + \gamma + e^{2\pi i}$ , for suitable choices of  $\gamma$ , so that  $f^n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $U$  and  $\partial U$  is a Jordan curve in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , so each  $C(f, e^{i\theta})$  is a different singleton, i.e.,  $\Theta$  and  $\Xi$  consist of only one point. In [20] Bergweiler showed that  $h(z) = 2 - \log 2 + 2z - e^z$  has the same property.

Baker and Wienreich also proved that if  $U$  is a Baker domain and  $\partial U$  is a Jordan curve in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $f$  is univalent in  $U$ .

The function  $f(z) = e^{-z} + z + 1$  is one of the functions discussed in the Fatou's fundamental paper [43], for which  $f^n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $U$ , where  $U$  contains the right half plane, in other words,  $f$  has a Baker domain. Now if we take the function  $G(z) = f(z) + \epsilon + k(z)$ , where  $f(z) = e^{-z} + z + 1$  (Fatou's function),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  is a constant and  $k$  is an entire function such that  $|k(z)| \leq \text{Min}(\epsilon, 1/|z|^2)$  on the strip  $S = \{z = x + iy : |y| < \pi, x < 0\}$ . Then  $G(z)$  has a Baker domain  $U$  and  $\overline{\Theta} = \partial D$ , see [15] for a proof. When  $\epsilon = 0$  we get  $G(z) = f(z)$ , so we have the Fatou's function.

The following theorem was proved in [15] and [52].

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $U$  is an unbounded invariant component, which is a Baker domain, such that  $f|_U$  is not univalent, then  $\overline{\Theta}$  contains a non-empty perfect set in  $\partial D$ .*

With the hypothesis of Theorem 4.4, is it necessarily the case that  $\overline{\Theta} = \partial D$ ? Bargman in [14] proved that this is the case for a doubly parabolic Baker domain that we define below.

Classifications of a Baker domain have been given by Cowen in [29], by N. Fagella and C. Henriksen in [41]. Here we mention the one given in [41].

Let  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $U$  be an invariant Baker domain of  $f$ . Then  $f|_U$  is a Riemann surface equivalent to exactly one of the following cylinders:

- (a)  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -s < \text{Im}z < s\}/\mathbb{Z}$ , for some  $s > 0$ ;
- (b)  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}z > 0\}/\mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (c)  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

In case (a)  $U$  is called *hyperbolic*, in case (b) *simply parabolic* and in case (c) *doubly parabolic*.

Examples of the above classification of invariant Baker domains when: (i) the function is univalent can be found, for instance in [17], [18], [20], [39] [41] and [47]. (ii) For hyperbolic Baker domains in which the function is not univalent we refer to [71] and [73].

Bergweiler and Zheng point it up that the classification given above for an invariant

Baker domain is the same as the given by Cowen, see the discussion in Section 2 in [23]. Also they showed the following two theorems.

**Theorem 4.5.** *There exist  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  with a simply parabolic Baker domain in which  $f$  is not univalent.*

The Baker domain in Theorem 4.5 can be chosen such that  $\Xi \neq \partial D$ . In particular this implies that  $\bar{\Theta} \neq \partial D$ . The following Theorem gives the existence of an example of a hyperbolic Baker domain with this property.

**Theorem 4.6.** *There exists  $f_1 \in \mathcal{E}$  with a simply parabolic Baker domain  $U_1$  such that  $f_1|_{U_1}$  is not univalent and the set  $\Xi$  defined above satisfies  $\Xi \neq \partial D$ . There also exists  $f_2 \in \mathcal{E}$  with hyperbolic Baker domain  $U_2$ , satisfying  $\Xi \neq \partial D$  such that  $f_2|_{U_2}$  is not univalent.*

An interesting family studied by Rippon and Stallard in [72] and [73] is  $f(z) = az + bz^k e^{-z}(1 + o(1))$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \geq 1$  and  $b > 0$ . They proved that  $f$  has an invariant Baker domain  $U$  where  $f$  is not univalent. Also, they proved the following result.

**Theorem 4.7.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist an entire function  $f$  which has a periodic cycle of period  $n$  of Baker domains on which  $f$  is univalent.*

Another transcendental entire family with Baker domains studied by Lauber in [56] is the family  $f_c(z) = z - c + e^z$ . More precisely he proved: (a) If  $\text{Real}(c) > 0$ , then the Fatou set of  $f_c$  consists of only one component which is a Baker domain and (b) If  $c \in i\mathbb{R}$  there are two possibilities to have Baker domains: (i) If  $c = 0$ , then the Fatou set consists of infinitely many Baker domains and their pre-images. (ii) If  $c = 2\pi i\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is in the Brjuno set, then the Fatou set of  $f_c$  consists of a univalent Baker domain and its pre-images. (iii) If  $c = 2\pi i\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is irrational not in the Brjuno set, then the Fatou set of  $f_c$  is empty. Accordingly to the previous cases, we can observe that the Baker domain can vanish or split into infinitely many components as  $\text{Real}(c)$  goes to zero.

## II. Baker domains for functions in class $\mathcal{P}$ .

Martí-Pete in [59] gave the first explicit examples of functions in class  $\mathcal{P}$  with Baker domains which can be hyperbolic, simply parabolic or doubly parabolic. For instance, the function  $f(z) = \lambda z e^{(e^{-z}+1)/z}$ , for every  $\lambda > 1$ , has a hyperbolic Baker domain escaping to  $\infty$ , and the function  $f(z) = z e^{(e^{-z}+1)/z}$  has a simply parabolic Baker domain escaping to  $\infty$ ; observe that in both examples the absorbing point is  $\infty$ .

## III. Baker domains for functions in class $\mathcal{M}$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $U$  is an unbounded component in the Fatou set it is known that  $U$  has to be simply-connected. This result not longer hold if  $f$  is allowed to have even

one pole. For instance, the function  $f(z) = z + 2 + e^{-z} + \frac{\epsilon}{z-a}$ , where  $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$  and  $a = 1 + \pi i$ , has a multiply-connected unbounded invariant component  $H$  in the Fatou set in which  $f^n \rightarrow \infty$ , see [34] for a proof.

The function  $f(z) = -e^z + 1/z$  given in [12] has a Baker domain of period two, this is, the function has a cycle of Baker domains  $\{F_\infty, F_0\}$  say, for which  $\infty$  and zero are the absorbing points of  $F_\infty$  and  $F_0$  respectively.

A generalization of the above example is the family  $f(z) = \lambda e^z + \mu/z$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , for some parameters  $\lambda, \mu$ , which has been studied in [64]. In this family, some of the Baker domains have infinitely many critical points. In [63] some interesting problems concerning the connectivity of the Baker domains in this family were presented.

#### IV. Baker domains for functions in class $\mathcal{K}$ .

The first example of a function in  $\mathcal{K}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$  with Baker domains was given in [12], as we mentioned before. The Baker domains  $F_0$  and  $F_\infty$  of  $f(z) = -e^z + 1/z$  are invariant for  $f^2 \in \mathcal{K}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$ . This remain true for the functions in [64], in this case, note that zero has infinitely many preimages, then  $f^n \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Even more, when  $n$  is even  $f^n$  has two invariant Baker domains and if  $n$  is odd then  $f^n$  has a Baker domain of period two.

Another explicit example of a function in class  $\mathcal{K}$  with Baker domains is treated in Section 7 of this paper. Independently of this work, we know by A. Esparza (oral communication) that using results of Rippon and Stallard there are functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  of the form  $f(z) = z + e^{g(z)}$ , where  $g(z)$  is meromorphic, having Baker domains.

### 4.2 Wandering domains

We recall that a wandering domain is a domain which is neither periodic nor pre-periodic. In what follows we mention some examples of wandering domain given by different authors.

#### I. Wandering domains for functions in class $\mathcal{E}$ .

For functions in class  $\mathcal{E}$  Baker in [7] constructed the first example of a multiply connected wandering domain, in such example the connectivity is infinite. Latter on examples of simply connected wandering components, for functions in class  $\mathcal{E}$ , were constructed by Eremenko and Lyubich by using results on approximation theory, see [39]. Also, Herman in [46] gave the examples  $g(z) = z + 1 + e^{-z} + 2\pi i$  and  $h(z) = z + \lambda \sin(2\pi z) + 1$ , where  $1 + 2\pi\lambda = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$  for suitable  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  which have simply connected wandering components. Since the above examples, several mathematicians have discussed the existence of wandering components see [19] for more examples.

An open question, posted by Baker, of whether multiply connected wandering components of finite connectivity could be possible. A positive answer was given by Kisaka

and Shishikura who constructed a wandering domain of connectivity two by using quasi-conformal surgery, see [53] for details.

## II. Wandering domains for functions in class $\mathcal{P}$ .

Baker [8], Kotus [55] and Mukhamedshin [65] showed that there are functions in class  $\mathcal{P}$  having wandering domains by using approximation theory. Martí-Pete gave in [59] an explicit example,  $f(z) = ze^{(\sin z + 2\pi)/z}$  which has a bounded wandering domain  $U$ , the orbit of points in  $U$  tend to infinity.

## III. Wandering domains for functions in class $\mathcal{M}$ .

In [11] the authors presented, using results on complex approximation, examples of wandering domains (bounded or unbounded) of any connectivity, so they solved the connectivity problem for transcendental meromorphic functions.

## IV. Wandering domains for functions in class $\mathcal{K}$ .

Doubly connected wandering domains for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  have been given in [36], by using quasi-conformal surgery. In Section 7, of this paper, we give an example of a function  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  which has infinity wandering domains with disjoint orbits.

# 5 Some results related to functions in class $\mathcal{K}$

For a periodic component in the Fatou set, Bolsch in [26] prove the following theorem by using Ahlfors theory of covering surfaces.

**Theorem 5.1.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $U$  is a periodic component of the Fatou set, then  $U$  has connectivity 1, 2 or  $\infty$ .*

The above result improves the result of Baker, Kotus and Lü given in [12] for invariant components.

Concerning completely invariant components in the Fatou set the Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 in [12] can be generalize to functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . A completely invariant component of the Fatou set  $U$  has connectivity either 1 or  $\infty$ .*

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $U$  be a completely invariant component of the Fatou set. Then  $\partial U = J(f)$ .*

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . If there are two or more completely invariant components in the Fatou set, then each one is simply connected.*



As an analogy with the definition given by Eremenko and Lyubich in [40] we define the class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}$  as the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  such that the set of singular values is finite. We denote the sets  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$  for the classes of functions  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  respectively.

For functions in class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$  it was proved by Baker, Kotus and Lü [12] that the Fatou set has at most two completely invariant domains. It is an open question if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  have at most two completely invariant domains. The same question is open for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

In [13] and in [40] the authors proved that for functions in class  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ , with a finite set of singular values there are neither wandering components nor Baker domains. The same statement works for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  and the proofs are similar to those in [13] or in [37], so we state the following Theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** *For functions in class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}$  there are neither wandering domains nor Baker domains.*

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}$  and suppose that there is an attracting fixed point whose Fatou component  $H$  contains all the singular values of  $f$ . Then  $J(f)$  is totally disconnected.*

For functions in class  $\mathcal{E}$  and class  $\mathcal{P}$  we must recall that  $\infty$  is an exceptional Picard value, which is in the Julia set and it is a singular value of  $f$ . Thus functions in class  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  do not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.6.

The following result is a corollary of Theorem 9.1.1 in [48] which applies to functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . If  $U$  is a component of  $F(f)$  such that  $B(f) \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ , then  $f : U \rightarrow f(U)$  is a finite branched cover.*

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $U$  be a Fatou multiply connected component,  $\gamma \subset U$  be a simple closed curve and  $\Delta$  be the compact region bounded by  $\gamma$  such that  $\Delta \cap B(f) = \emptyset$ ,  $\Delta$  has no singular values of  $f$  and  $\text{Int}(\Delta) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Then every  $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$  a connected component is multiply connected.*

**Sketch of the proof of Proposition 5.8.** Let  $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$  a connected component. As  $f$  is a covering on  $V$  to  $U$  (possibly branched), then there is  $D \subset f^{-1}(\Delta)$  a connected component such that  $D \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  on  $D$  is a covering (no branched) to  $\Delta$ , then  $\text{Int}(D)$  is a simply connected domain such that  $\text{Int}(D) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $D \cap B(f) = \emptyset$  and  $f(\partial D) = \gamma$ , therefore  $\partial D \subset F(f)$ , so  $\partial D \subset V$ , thus  $V$  is multiply connected.

**Corollary 5.9.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K}}$ ,  $U$  is a Fatou component of infinity connectivity, and  $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$  is a connected component, then  $V$  is of infinity connectivity.*

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $U$  be a Fatou multiply connected component,  $\gamma \subset U$  be a simple closed curve and  $\Delta$  be the compact region bounded by  $\gamma$  such that  $\text{Int}(\Delta) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\Delta \cap B(f) = \emptyset$  and  $\Delta$  has no poles. If  $V$  is the connected component in  $F(f)$  containing  $f(U)$ , then  $V$  is multiply connected.*

**Sketch of the proof of Proposition 5.10.** Since  $f$  is analytic on  $\Delta$ , then  $f(\Delta)$  is a compact subset of the plane, by the maximum principle the interior of  $\Delta$  is mapped in the interior of  $f(\Delta)$ , therefore  $f(\Delta) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $V$  is multiply connected.

**Corollary 5.11.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $U$  is a Fatou component of infinity connectivity,  $B(f)$  is finite and  $f$  has finite poles. If  $V$  is the connected component in  $F(f)$  containing  $f(U)$ , then  $V$  is multiply connected.*

**Corollary 5.12.** *Let  $f \in (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{M})$  with a finite set of poles and  $U$  is a Fatou component of infinity connectivity. If  $V$  is the connected component in  $F(f)$  containing  $f(U)$ , then  $V$  is multiply connected.*

**Remark 5.13.** *In Corollary 5.12 there is an example in [75] where the connectivity of  $U$  is infinite but the connectivity of  $V$  is finite.*

## 6 The escaping set for functions in class $\mathcal{K}$

In 1989 Eremenko in [38] defined the escaping set  $I(f)$  of a transcendental entire function and proved some important properties of  $I(f)$ . Many researchers have been studied the escaping set since then, for instance Bergweiler [21], Domínguez [34], Osborne [66], Rempe [68, 69, 70], Rippon and Stallard [74, 76, 77, 78, 79], Schleicher and Zimmer [81] and Sixsmith [85, 86].

An interesting topic is the Hausdorff dimension of the escaping set  $I(f)$  investigated by McMullen in [60]. Later, Kotus and Bergweiler in [22] showed that for a class of transcendental meromorphic functions the Hausdorff dimension of the escaping set is strictly less than 2. Other results concerning the Hausdorff dimension of Julia set of transcendental meromorphic functions can be revised in [87].

In 2001, Baker, Domínguez and Herring [16] worked in a class of meromorphic functions which contains the class  $\mathcal{K}$ . In this section we will present some of their results which apply to class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

We introduce the concept of escaping set, following [16], for functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  as follows. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $e \in B(f)$ , the set

$$I_e(f) = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(z) = e\}$$

is called the escaping set to  $e$  of  $f$ . A point  $z \in I_e(f)$  is called an *escaping point* to  $e$ . The limit in the previous definition is well defined, so  $f^n(z)$  is well defined for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^n(z) \notin B(f)$ .

We refer to the following properties as the *Basic Properties* of the escaping set  $I_e(f)$ . We omit the proofs since they are similar to those in [16].

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $e \in B(f)$ . Then:*

1.  $I_e(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,

2.  $I_e(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,
3.  $\partial I_e(f) = J(f)$  and
4.  $I_e(f)$  is completely invariant.

**Remark 6.2.** (1)  $I_e(f) \cap J(f)$  is completely invariant. (2)  $I_e(f)$  is neither open nor close. (3) If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $I_e(f) \subseteq I_e(f^n)$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $e \in B(f)$ , then  $I_e(f) \cap J(f)$  is a dense set in  $J(f)$ .

*Proof.* Indeed by (1) of Theorem 6.1 there exist  $z \in I_e(f) \cap J(f)$  since  $\lim f^n(z) = e$ , when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f^n(z) \neq e$ , then the cardinality of the forward orbit  $O^+(z, f)$  is  $\infty$ . Therefore, there is  $w \in O^+(z, f) \subset J(f)$  such that  $w$  is not a Picard exceptional value. Thus the backward orbit  $O^-(w, f)$  is dense in  $J(f)$ .  $\square$

The following cases show examples for which  $I_e(f) \cap F(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

(1) If  $e \in B(f)$  is an absorbing point for an invariant Baker domain  $U$ , then  $U \subset I_e(f)$ . So the escaping set of  $f$  to a singularity  $e$  may contain points in the Fatou set, as an example of this fact we have the function  $f(z) = e^{-z} + z + 1$ , see [43].

(2) Wandering domains may be contained in the escaping set for some  $e \in B(f)$ . The example is given by the function  $f(z) = e^{-z} + 2\pi z + 1$  in [19].

We mention in the previous section that functions  $f \in S_K$  have neither Baker nor wandering domains, so we have the following result.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $f \in S_K$  and  $e \in B(f)$ , then  $\overline{I_e(f)} = J(f)$ .

## 6.1 The general escaping set

In order to get a better description on the dynamics of functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$  we introduce the general escaping set.

**Definition 6.5.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . The omega limit set of  $z_0$  under  $f$ , denoted by  $\omega(z_0, f)$ , is defined as the set of  $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that there exist a subsequence  $\{f^{n_k}(z_0)\}$  contained in the forward orbit  $O^+(z_0, f)$  such that  $\lim f^{n_k}(z_0) = z$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 6.6.** If  $\omega(z, f)$  is defined for a point  $z$ , then  $z \notin B^-(f)$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , we define the *general escaping set* of  $f$  as follows:

$$I_g(f) = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \mid \omega(z, f) \subseteq B^-(f)\}.$$

Now we shall verify some basic properties of  $I_g(f)$ .

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then*

1.  $I_g(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,
2.  $I_g(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,
3.  $\partial I_g(f) = J(f)$  and
4.  $I_g(f)$  is completely invariant.

*Proof.* For any  $e \in B(f)$  we have  $I_e(f) \subseteq I_g(f)$ , so  $I_g(f) \neq \emptyset$  and  $I_g(f) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Now, points in  $I_e(f)$  and repelling periodic points accumulate at any point in  $J(f)$ , therefore  $\partial I_g(f) \supseteq J(f)$ ; points in the interior of  $I_g(f)$  are in the Fatou set, so  $\partial I_g(f) \subseteq J(f)$ . Finally,  $\omega(z, f) = \omega(f(z), f)$  and for any  $w \in f^{-1}(z)$ ,  $\omega(w, f) = \omega(z, f)$ , thus  $I_g(f)$  is completely invariant.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $I_g(f) \subseteq I_g(f^n)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $z \in I_g(f)$ , then  $\omega(z, f) \subseteq B^-(f)$ . Since  $\omega(z, f^n) \subseteq \omega(z, f)$  and  $B^-(f) = B^-(f^n)$ , then  $z \in I_g(f^n)$ .  $\square$

**Question 6.9.** *When  $I_g(f) = I_g(f^n)$ ?*

For any periodic cycle of Baker domains  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$  with absorbing points  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$ , so for all  $z \in U_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the omega limit  $\omega(z, f) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \subseteq B^-(f)$  and therefore we have the following result.

**Proposition 6.10.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $U$  is a periodic Baker domain, then  $U \subset I_g(f)$ .*

**Proposition 6.11.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then*

$$\bigcup_{e \in B(f)} I_e(f) \subseteq I_g(f).$$

Indeed if  $z \in I_e(f)$  for some  $e \in B(f)$ , then  $\omega(z, f) = \{e\}$ , thus  $z \in I_g(f)$ .

For functions in class  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have that  $I_g(f) = I_\infty(f)$ . For functions in class  $\mathcal{M}$  the usual escaping set concept is what we have called  $I_\infty$ . In contrast, the function  $f(z) = -e^z + 1/z \in \mathcal{M}$  holds that  $I_g(f) \neq I_\infty(f)$ . Since  $f$  has a cycle of period two of Baker domains, say  $F_\infty$  and  $F_0$ , with  $\infty$  and zero as the absorbing points respectively, then the Baker domains  $F_\infty$  and  $F_0$  are contained in  $I_g(f)$  but not in  $I_\infty(f)$ , in fact  $F_\infty \subset I_\infty(f^{2n})$  and  $F_\infty \cap I_\infty(f^{2n+1}) = \emptyset$ , while  $F_\infty \subset I_g(f^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 6.12.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f$  has a cycle of Baker domains of period greater than one, with at least two different absorbing points for two Baker domains in this cycle, then*

$$\bigcup_{e \in B(f)} I_e(f) \subsetneq I_g(f).$$

**Question 6.13.** *Is it true that if  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\#B(f) > 1$ , then  $\bigcup_{e \in B(f)} I_e(f) \subsetneq I_g(f)$ ?*

As a consequence of some results in [58], we know that for any function  $f$  in  $P_2$ , there is a point  $z \in I_g(f)$  such that  $\omega(z, f) = \{0, \infty\} = B(f)$ , therefore in this case the answer to Question 6.13 is yes.

In a seminar, Nuria Fagella asked the following question.

**Question 6.14.** *If  $z \in I_g(f)$  is it true that  $\omega(f, z) \subset B(f)$ ?*

The answer is no because of the example  $f(z) = -e^z + 1/z$  mentioned above. In this case all the points in the Baker domains are oscillating between a singularity and a non singularity point.

**Question 6.15.** *For all  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  with at least one non omitted singularity in  $B(f)$ , is it true that  $I_g(f) \neq \{z \in I_g(f) | \omega(z, f) \subset B(f)\}$ ?*

**Definition 6.16.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $E \subset B^-(f)$  such that the accumulation points of  $E$  do not belong to  $E$ . For  $I$  a countable set of indexes and let  $E = \bigcup_{k \in I} \{e_k\}$ . For all  $e_k \in E$  choose an open neighbourhood  $U_k$  of  $e_k$  with the property that  $U_k \cap U_j = \emptyset$  if  $k \neq j$ ,  $s \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$ .*

*We say that  $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_n, \dots\}$  is eventually an itinerary of  $z \in I_g(f)$  if:*

(1)  $\omega(z, f) = \overline{E}$  and,

(2) *there exist  $N, M \geq 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^{N+n}(z) \in U_k$  if and only if  $s_{M+n} = e_k$ .*

*In this case we say that  $z$  escapes to  $E$  with eventual itinerary  $s$  and we say that  $\{U_k\}_{k \in I}$  is a separating open cover of  $E$ .*

In the previous definition we choose  $E$  to be finite or not. Observe that, by property (2),  $s$  is eventually an itinerary of  $z$  independently on how we choose the separating open cover of  $E$ . However if we change the separating open cover, the values  $N$  and  $M$  may change, so by the previous definition it is not hard to prove the following result.

**Proposition 6.17.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $z \in I_g(f)$  and  $s \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$  an eventual itinerary of  $z$ .  $t \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$  is eventually an itinerary of  $z$  if and only if the orbits of  $s$  and  $t$  under the shift map intersect.*

**Definition 6.18.** *Let  $z \in I_g(f)$ .*

1.  *$z$  is an escaping oscillating point of  $f$  if the cardinality of its omega limit set is greater than one, this is  $\#\omega(z, f) > 1$ ,*
2.  *$z$  is an escaping periodic point of  $f$  if it has a periodic eventual itinerary,*
3.  *$z$  is an escaping wandering point of  $f$  if its omega limit set is an infinite set.*

If  $z \in I_e(f)$  for some  $e \in B(f)$ , then  $z$  is an escaping periodic point of  $f$  of period 1. A point  $z$  in a Baker domain  $U$  is an escaping periodic point, where the period is  $\#\omega(z, f)$  which is not always the period of  $U$ . For instance, all points in Baker domains of any entire function are escaping periodic points of period 1. In [58] is shown that all functions in  $P_2$  have escaping oscillating points, some of them are periodic and others are non-periodic.

Using Definition 6.18 we can reformulate the Questions 6.13 and 6.15 as follows:

1. If  $\#B(f) > 1$ , is it true that  $f$  has escaping oscillating points?
2. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $e \in B(f)$  such that is not an omitted value of  $f$ . Is there an escaping oscillating point  $z \in I_g(f)$  such that  $\omega(z, f) \not\subseteq B(f)$ ?

**Question 6.19.** *Is there an example of a function in class  $\mathcal{K}$  with escaping wandering points?*

## 6.2 Escaping hairs

For some transcendental meromorphic functions the escaping points can be connected to infinity with a curve  $\gamma$  contained in  $I_\infty(f)$ , see for instance [33], [79] and [80].

**Definition 6.20.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $e \in B(f)$ . Suppose that there is a simple curve  $\gamma \subset I_e(f)$  such that  $e$  is an endpoint of  $\gamma$ , we called  $\gamma$  a escaping hair to  $e$  and  $e$  is called a singular end point of  $\gamma$ .*

In the example  $f(z) = -e^z + 1/z$ , the points in the Baker domain  $F_0$  can be connected with a curve  $\gamma$  to zero, which is in  $B^-(f) - B(f)$  (not a singularity of  $f$ ),  $\gamma$  is contained in  $I_g(f) \cap F_0$ . With this in mind we state the following definition.

**Definition 6.21.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . Suppose that there exist  $E \subset B^-(f)$ ,  $\{U_k\}$  is a separating open cover of  $E$ ,  $s = \{s_1, \dots, s_n, \dots\} \in E^\mathbb{N}$  and a simple curve  $\gamma \subset I_g(f)$  with end point  $p \in B^-(f)$  such that:*

1. *for all  $z \in \gamma$ ,  $z$  escapes to  $E$ ,*
2. *exist  $N, M \geq 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^{N+n}(\gamma) \subset U_k$  if and only if  $s_{M+n} = e_k$ .*

*We say that  $\gamma$  is an escaping hair to  $E$  with eventual itinerary  $s$  and a singular end point  $p$ .*

Observe that in the conditions of the previous definition, for all  $z \in \gamma$ ,  $s$  is an eventual itinerary of  $z$ .

**Definition 6.22.** *Let  $\gamma \subset I_g(f)$  an escaping hair to  $E$  with eventual itinerary  $s$  and singular end point  $p_0$ . We say that the set  $O^*(p_0, \gamma, f) = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots\}$  is a singular orbit of  $p_0$ , if  $p_n \in B^-(f)$  is a singular end point of  $f^n(\gamma)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Definition 6.23.** Let  $O^*(p, \gamma, f)$  be a singular orbit of an endpoint  $p$  of an escaping hair  $\gamma$  to  $E$ ,  $p$  can be classified as follows:

1. *periodic singular end point if a singular orbit is periodic,*
2. *pre-periodic singular end point if a singular orbit is pre-periodic,*
3. *oscillating singular end point if  $\#E > 1$  and,*
4. *wandering singular end point if its singular orbit is an infinite set.*

Observe that (i) by definition every pre-periodic singular end point is periodic and (ii) by choosing different escaping hairs with a singular end point  $p$ , it may have different classification.

**Question 6.24.** *Is there an example of a function  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $p \in B^-(f)$  such that  $p$  has singular orbits for each of the cases in the previous definition?*

We are defining in some way the dynamics of a singular end point  $p$  using escaping hairs, even when  $f^n(p)$  is not defined for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In Section 7 we give an explicit example of a function in class  $\mathcal{K}$  with a wandering singular end point.

In the example  $f(z) = -e^z + 1/z$ , there is  $x \in \mathbb{R}^-$  such that  $\gamma = (-\infty, x]$  is an escaping hair to  $E = \{\infty, 0\}$  with singular end point  $\infty$  which is periodic, with singular orbit  $O^*(\infty, \gamma, f) = \{\infty, 0, \infty, 0, \dots\}$ . While  $f(\gamma)$  is an escaping hair with zero as a periodic singular end point and singular orbit  $O^*(0, f(\gamma), f) = \{0, \infty, 0, \infty, \dots\}$ , see Figure 2. Due to a result in [80],  $I_\infty(f)$  contains a escaping hair  $\gamma$  with singular end point  $\infty$ , so its singular orbit  $O^*(\infty, \gamma, f) = \{\infty, \infty, \infty, \dots\}$ . Therefore, in this case,  $p = \infty$  has different dynamics according to Definition 6.23.

We mention below some open question related to the previous discussion.

**Question 6.25.** *Is there an example of a pre-periodic singular end point?*

**Question 6.26.** *Is there an example of an oscillating singular end point non periodic neither pre-periodic?*

**Question 6.27.** *Is there an example of an escaping hair to  $E$  such that  $E$  is infinite?*

## 7 Example of escaping hairs with wandering singular end points

In this section we give two examples, in the first one we show that for each of the finite essential singularities of the function  $g(z) = e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} + z$ , has infinitely many Baker domains attached to it. In the second one, for each finite essential singularity of the function  $f(z) = e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} + z + 2\pi$ , there are infinitely many wandering domains attached to it. Our motivation to present these functions in class  $\mathcal{K}$ , is to construct escaping hairs with wandering singular end points.




Figure 2: Escaping hairs with periodic singular end point.

## 7.1 Properties of the functions $g$ and $f$

(1) The set  $\{\pi k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is the set of the zeros of  $\sin z$ , thus poles of  $\frac{1}{\sin z}$ , therefore essential singularities of  $e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}}$  and so, for  $g$  and  $f$ .

(2) To each essential singularity  $\pi k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are attached two tracts (due to the exponential function), one is mapped to a punctured neighbourhood of 0, and the other to a punctured neighbourhood of  $\infty$ . The points 0 and  $\infty$  are the only asymptotic singularities, see Figure 3.

(3) These are tracts that intersect the real line, so we can talk of tracts at the right or left of the points  $\pi k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Its dynamics is symmetric with respect to the real line. Moreover, tracts at the left of the points  $\pi 2k$  together with the tracts at the right of the points  $\pi(2k + 1)$  are mapped to a punctured neighbourhood of 0. Also tracts at the right of the points  $\pi 2k$  together with the tracts at the left of the points  $\pi(2k + 1)$  are mapped to a punctured neighbourhood of  $\infty$ .

In the following section, we show that there are  $g$ -invariant domains contained in tracts of  $g$  and they are the ones at the left of the points  $\pi 2k$ , together with two tracts at  $\infty$ .

## 7.2 Tracts containing invariant domains

We will denote by  $T_k$  the left tract at  $\pi 2k$  and by  $T_\infty^+$  the upper tract at infinity. Since  $g$  is symmetric respect the real line, there is also a lower tract at infinity, but the arguments are the same, so we omit details for this tract.

Consider  $T_\infty^+ = \{z : \text{Im}g(z) > 3\}$  a logarithmic tract of  $\sin z$  that is mapped to a pointed neighbourhood of  $\infty$ , by  $1/\sin z$  to a pointed neighbourhood of 0, which under






Figure 3: Tracts  $T_k$  on yellow,  $T_\infty^+$  and  $T_\infty^-$  on pink

the exponential function is mapped to a neighbourhood of 1. Therefore  $g(w)$  behaves as the function  $w + 1$ , for  $w \in T_\infty^+$ . It extends to an invariant Baker domain  $B_\infty^+$  attached to infinity and containing  $T_\infty^+$ . Similarly, there is an invariant Baker domain attached to  $\infty$ ,  $B_\infty^-$ . Clearly these Baker domains are simply parabolic.

### 7.2.1 The tracts $T_k$

In what follows we will give some general observations.

(1) Critical points of  $g$  do not accumulate at the points  $\pi 2k$  near the real line, because the critical points satisfies:

$$e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} (-\cos z / \sin^2 z) + 1 = 0,$$

that is,

$$e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} = \frac{\sin^2 z}{\cos z}.$$

Neither of both functions oscillates near zero, since  $\sin z \approx z$  at the points  $\pi 2k$ , so  $e^{1/z}$  does not oscillates (left hand of the equation) as well as  $z^2$  (right hand of the equation).

(2) We identify  $1/\sin z$  with  $1/z$  in a very small neighbourhood of 0. Let us consider  $T_k$  a small disc at the left of  $\pi 2k$ , so that it is sent to a left half plane by  $1/z$  and to

a small neighbourhood of 0 by the exponential function. By the periodicity of  $g$  it is enough to consider only  $T_0$ .

(i) If  $T_0$  is bounded by the circle with center at  $-\pi/8$  and radius  $\pi/8$ ,  $(\pi/8)e^{it} - \pi/8$ , then  $(-\pi/4, 0) = T_0 \cap \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $T_0$  goes under  $1/z$  to the left half plane bounded by the vertical line with real coordinate  $-\frac{1}{\pi/4}$ .

(ii) Each circle,  $C_m$ ,  $|m|e^{it} + im$ , intersects  $T_0$  in the curves  $\sigma_m$ , all tangent to the real line at 0. Under  $1/z$ , they go to  $\frac{1}{me^{it}+im} = \frac{m \cos t}{m^2 \cos^2 t + m^2 (\sin t + 1)^2} - i \frac{1}{2m}$ . In particular the curves  $\sigma_{\frac{1}{4\pi k}}$  goes to half horizontal lines at height  $-2\pi ik$ , see Figure 4.

(iii) The function  $g : T_0 \rightarrow N_0$  sends each arc  $\frac{1}{4\pi k}e^{it} + i\frac{1}{4\pi k}$  contained in  $T_0$ , to the real positive interval  $(0, e^{-\frac{4}{\pi}})$ , and the region in between two of such arcs to  $N_0$ , see Figure 4.

(iv) Let  $V_0$  the region contained in  $T_0$  and is between the circles  $C_{\frac{2}{\pi}}$  and  $C_{-\frac{2}{\pi}}$ , see Figure 4.




Figure 4: On green a fundamental region and on red the corresponding absorbing domain  $V_0$ ,  $a$  as in the text.

From the previous observations, in the tract  $T_0$  there are infinitely many regions  $V_0^j$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . More over, for each singularity  $\pi 2k$ , there are infinitely many regions  $V_k^j$  for  $k$  and  $j$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 7.1.** *The regions  $V_k^j$ ,  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are absorbing domains of  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $g$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, it is enough to prove the existence of the absorbing domain  $V_0 \subset T_0$ . For that, consider  $V_0$ , a neighbourhood of the real line in  $T_0$  which is bounded by two curves  $\sigma_r$  and  $\sigma_{-r}$ , with  $r$  small enough, to be specified bellow.

We shall prove  $g(V_0) \subset V_0$ .

(i) We are interested in the horizontal distance, for that, consider the image of the interval  $(-\pi/4, 0)$  under  $e^{1/z}$ , which is the ray  $(0, e^{\frac{-4}{\pi}} = (0, \frac{1}{e^{\pi/4}})$ . Therefore if  $-t \in (-\pi/4, 0)$ , then  $g(t) = -t + \frac{1}{e^{1/2t}}$ , which is carried towards the right of  $-t$  and  $-t + \frac{1}{e^{1/2t}} < 0$  for all  $t > 0$ ; now, by continuity this happens also for a small neighbourhood  $V_0$  of the interval  $(-\pi/4, 0)$  in  $T_0$ . See Figure 4.

(ii) We are interested in the vertical distance, the goal is to find  $r > 0$  such that  $V_0$  is bounded by  $\sigma_r$  and  $\sigma_{-r}$ . For that, consider a point  $w = -\alpha + iy \in V_0$ , above the real line, so  $y > 0$ . Then,  $\frac{1}{w} = \frac{-\alpha}{\alpha^2+y^2} + i\frac{-y}{\alpha^2+y^2}$  so  $e^{1/w} = e^{\frac{-\alpha}{\alpha^2+y^2}} e^{i\frac{-y}{\alpha^2+y^2}}$ , with  $\rho = |e^{1/w}| = e^{\frac{-\alpha}{\alpha^2+y^2}}$ , therefore  $Img(g(w)) = y + \rho \sin(\frac{-y}{\alpha^2+y^2})$ .

We want  $y + \rho \sin(\frac{-y}{\alpha^2+y^2}) < y$ . Since  $\rho > 0$ , so we have to choose  $\sin(\frac{-y}{\alpha^2+y^2}) < 0$ , therefore we can choose  $-\pi/4 \leq -\frac{y}{\alpha^2+y^2} \leq 0$ .

Now, consider the piece of circle  $\sigma_r$ , where  $y$  belongs, that is,  $\alpha^2 + (y - r)^2 = r^2$ , so  $\alpha^2 + y^2 = 2ry$ . This implies that,  $-\pi/4 \leq -\frac{y}{2yr} \leq 0$  i.e.  $r \geq \frac{2}{\pi}$ . To define  $V_0$ , let us choose  $r = \frac{2}{\pi}$ . □

The Baker domains attached to 0, denoted by  $B_0^j$ , are those containing  $V_0^j$  as absorbing domains. By the periodicity of the sine function,  $B_k^j = B_0^j + 2\pi k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

As consequence of the discussion in this section, we have the following examples.

**Example 7.2.** *The function  $g(z) = e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} + z$  contains infinitely many Baker domains  $B_k^j$  of doubly parabolic type attached to each of the essential singularities  $2\pi k$  of  $g$ .  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also  $g$  has a Baker domains  $B_\infty^+$  and  $B_\infty^-$  attached to  $\infty$  of simple parabolic type.*

**Example 7.3.** *The function  $f(z) = e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} + z + 2\pi$  has attached to each essential singularity  $2\pi k$  infinitely many wandering domains,  $B_k^j$ , see Figures 5 and 6.*

In what follows we give some problems related to this section.

**Problem 7.4.** *Tracts at the right of  $\pi(2k + 1)$ : In this case, we have that very near to the right of each singularity  $\pi(2k + 1)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; the function  $\sin(z)$  is approximated by  $-\frac{1}{z}$ . Thus we have a tract  $g : T_{-\pi} \rightarrow N_{-\pi}$ , with  $T_{-\pi}$  a small disc at the right of  $\pi$ .*

*Have the function  $g(z)$  infinitely many Baker domains attached to each singularity  $\pi(2k + 1)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and whose intersection with the real line is empty?*

**Problem 7.5.** *Functions with periodic Baker domains: The function  $z^n - 1$  has critical points 0 and  $\infty$ . Their zeros are the roots of unity,  $w_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$  and this points are the essential singularities of the maps  $f_n(z) = e^{\frac{1}{z^n-1}} + (1/n)e^{(2\pi i/n)z}$ .*

*Has the function  $f_n(z)$  a Baker domain of period  $n$ , each connected component attached to a different essential singularity?*




Figure 5: Escaping hairs with wandering singular end point on green and the singular end points on red in the dynamical plane.




Figure 6: A detail of the dynamical plane of  $f$  and  $g$  showing the Fatou components  $B_k^j$  close to zero. For  $g$  these components are Baker domains and zero is their absorbing point, for  $f$  they are wandering domains.

### 7.3 Escaping hairs with wandering singular end points

It is clear that each  $B_k^j$  is contained in  $I_g(g)$ , with absorbing point  $\pi 2k$ , so they are contained in  $I_{\pi 2k}(g)$ , while  $B_\infty^\pm \subset I_\infty(g)$ . According to Definition 6.18, we have that escaping points in all this Baker domains are not oscillating because they are escaping periodic of period 1.

In contrast, all wandering domains of  $f$  are contained in  $I_\infty(f)$ . The interval  $[-\pi/4, 0)$  is contained in  $B_0^0$ , and by construction, it is an escaping hair with wandering singular end point 0, with singular orbit  $\{\pi 2k\}_{k \geq 0}$ .

*Acknowledgements.* We wish to thank Professor I.N. Baker because after 16 years that he had leaved this world he continues giving us inspiration to continue working on Complex Dynamics.

## References

- [1] Alexander D.S., *A history of complex dynamics*, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1994.
- [2] Ahlfors L., Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen, *Acta Mathematica*. **65** (1), (1935), 157-194
- [3] Baker I. N., The iteration of entire transcendental functions and the solutions of the functional equation  $f(f) = F(z)$ , *Math. Ann.* **129** (1955), 174-180.
- [4] Baker I.N., Fixpoints and iterates of entire functions, *Math. Zeitschr.* **71** (1959), 146-153.
- [5] Baker I. N., Repulsive fixed points of entire functions, *Math. Zeitschr.* **104** (1968), 252-256.
- [6] Baker I. N., Limit functions and sets of non-normality in iteration theory, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A. I. Math.* **467** (1970), 1-11.
- [7] Baker I. N., An entire function which has wandering domains, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **22** (1976), 173-176.
- [8] Baker I. N., Wandering domains for maps of the punctured plane, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math.* **12** (1987), 191-198.
- [9] Baker I. N., Limit functions in wandering domains of meromorphic functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*.. bf 27 (2002), 499-505.
- [10] Baker I.N., Kotus J. and Lü Y., Iterates of meromorphic functions I, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems*. **11** (1991), 241-248.
- [11] Baker I.N., Kotus J. and Yinian Lü., Iterates of meromorphic functions II: Examples of wandering domains, *J. London. Math. Soc.* **42** (1990), 267-278.
- [12] Baker I. N., Kotus J. and Lü Y., Iterates of meromorphic functions III: Preperiodic domains, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. **11** (1991), 603-618.
- [13] Baker I.N., Kotus J. and Lü Y., Iterates of meromorphic functions IV: Critically finite functions, *Results in Mathematics*. **22** (1992), 651-65.

- [14] Bargman D., Iteration of inner functions and boundary of components of the Fatou set, *Transcendental Dynamics and Complex Analysis, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.* 348 Cambridge Univ. Press (2008) 1-36.
- [15] Baker I.N. and Domínguez P., Boundaries of unbounded Fatou components of entire functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. J. Analysis.* **24** (1999), 437-464.
- [16] Baker I.N., Domínguez P. and Herring M.E., Dynamics of functions meromorphic outside a small set, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.* **21** (2001), 647-672.
- [17] Baker. I.N. and Weinreich J., Boundaries which arise in the dynamics of entire functions, *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliqués,* **36** (1991), 413-420.
- [18] Baranski K. and Fagella N., Univalent Baker domains, *Nonlinearity.* **14** (2001), 411-429.
- [19] Bergweiler W., Iteration of meromorphic functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **29** (1993), 151-188.
- [20] Bergweiler W., Invariant domains and singularities, *Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc.* **117**(3) (1995), 525-532.
- [21] Bergweiler W., The fast escaping set of quasi regular mappings, *Analysis and Mathematical Physics.* **4** (1) (2014), 83-98.
- [22] Bergweiler W. and Kotus J., On the Hausdorff dimension of the escaping set of certain meromorphic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**, (2012), 5369-5394.
- [23] Bergweiler W. and Zhen, Jian-Hua., Some examples of Baker domains *Nonlinearity.* **25** (2012), 1033-1044.
- [24] Bhattacharyya P., Iteration of analytic functions, PhD Thesis University of London (1969).
- [25] Bolsch A., Repulsive periodic points of meromorphic function, *Complex Variables Theory and Applications.* **31** (1996), 75-79.
- [26] Bolsch A., Iteration of meromorphic functions with countably many singularities. Dissertation, Berlin (1997).
- [27] Bolsch A., Periodic Fatou components of meromorphic functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), 543-555.
- [28] Cayley A., Applications of the Newton-Furier method to an imaginary root of an equation, *Quart. J. of Pure and Applied Math.* **16** (1879), 179-185.
- [29] Cowen C.C., Iteration and the solution of functional equations for functions analytic in the unit disk, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **265** (1981), 69-95.

- [30] Cremer H., Über die Schrödersche Funktionalgleichung und das Schwarzsche Eckenabbildungsproblem, *Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig, Math-Phys. Kl.* **84** (1932), 291-324.
- [31] Crowe W. D., Hasson R., Rippon P. J. and Strain-Clark P. E. D., On the structure of the Mandelbar set, *Nonlinearity* **2** (4) (1989).
- [32] Cruz M., Dynamics of piecewise conformal automorphism of the Riemann sphere, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.* **25** (2005), 1767-1774.
- [33] Devaney R. & Krych M., Dynamics of  $\exp(z)$ , *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.* **4** (1984), 35-52.
- [34] Domínguez P., Dynamics of transcendental meromorphic functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math.* **23** (1998), 225-250.
- [35] Domínguez P. and Fagella N., Existence of Herman Rings for Meromorphic functions. *Complex Variables Theory and Applications.* **49** (12) (2004), 851-870.
- [36] Domínguez P. and Sienna G., Doubly connected wandering domains for meromorphic functions. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations: An International Journal.* **55** (4) (2010), 407-416.
- [37] Epstein A.L., Towers of finite type complex analytic maps. PhD thesis (1993) City University of New York.
- [38] Eremenko A., On the iteration of entire functions, *Banach Center, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw.* **23** (1998), 339-345.
- [39] Eremenko A. and Lyubich M.Yu., Examples of entire functions with pathological dynamics. *J. London Math. Soc.* **2** 36 (1988), 458-468.
- [40] Eremenko A. and Lyubich M.Yu, The dynamics of analytic transformations, *Leningrad Math. Journal.* **1** (1990), 563-632.
- [41] Fagella N. and Henriksen C., Deformations of entire functions with Baker domains, *Discrete Continuos Dynamical Systems.* **15** (2006), 379-394.
- [42] Fatou P., Sur les équations fonctionnelles, *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* **47** (1919), 161-271; **48** (1920), 33-94. 208-314.
- [43] Fatou P., Sur l' itération des fonctions transcendentes entières, *Acta Math.* **47** (1926), 337-370.
- [44] Goetz A., Dynamics of piecewise isometries III, *Journal Maths.* **44** (2000), 134-144.
- [45] Grötzch H., Übbber möglichst konforme Abbildungen von schlichten Bereichen., *Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig.* **84** (1932), 114-120.

- [46] Herman M., Exemples de fractions ayant une dense sur sphere de Riemann., *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* **112** (1984), 93-142.
- [47] Herman M., Are there critical points on the boundary of singular domains?, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **99** (1985), 593612
- [48] Herring M.E., An extension of the Julia-Fatou theory of iteration. PhD thesis (1994) Imperial College, London.
- [49] King-Dávalos J., Méndez-Lango H. and Sienra-Loera G., Some dynamical properties of  $F(z) = z^2 + az$ , *Qualitative Theory of Dynamical System.* **5** (1) (2004), 101-120.
- [50] Julia G., Mémoire sur l'itération des applications fonctionnelles, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **8** 1 (1918), 47-245.
- [51] Keen L., Dynamics of holomorphic self-maps of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , Holomorphic functions and moduli I, MSRI Publications 10, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [52] Kisaka M., On the connectivity of Julia sets of transcendental entire functions, *Bull. Josai Univ. Special Issue* **1** (1997), 77-87.
- [53] Kisaka M and Shishikura M., On multiply connected wandering domains of entire functions, *Transcendental Dynamics and Complex Analysis, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.* 348 Cambridge Univ. Press (2008) 217-250.
- [54] Kotus J., Iterated holomorphic maps of the punctured plane, *Lecture Notes Math. Syst. and Ec.* **287** (1987), 10-29.
- [55] Kotus J., The domains of normality of holomorphic self-maps of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , *Annales Academia Scientiarum Fennicae Series A. I. Mathematica.* **15** (1990), 329-340.
- [56] Lauber A., Bifurcation of Baker domains, *Nonlinearity.* **20** (2007), 1535-1545.
- [57] Makienko P., Iteration of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR.* **297** (1987), 35-37.
- [58] Martí-Pete D., The escaping set of transcendental self-maps of the punctured plane, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, (2016) 1-22.
- [59] Martí-Pete D., Structural theorems for holomorphic self-maps of the punctured plane. PhD thesis (2016) Open University.
- [60] McMullen C., Area and Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of entire functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **300** (1987), 329-342.
- [61] Milnor J., Remarks on Iterated Cubic Maps, *Experimental Mathematics*, **1** (1) (1992), 5-24.



- [62] Misiurewicz, M., On iterates of  $e^z$ , *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. **1** (1981), 103-106.
- [63] Montes de Oca M. A., Aspectos dinámicos de la familia  $f_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \lambda e^z + \mu/z$ , Dissertation (2015) México, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
- [64] Montes de Oca M. A., Sienna G. and King J., Baker domains of period two for the family  $f_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \lambda e^z + \mu/z$ , *Int. J. of Bif. and Chaos*. **24** (5) (2014).
- [65] Mukhamedshin A.N. Mapping the punctured plane with wandering domains, *Siberian Math. J.* **32** (2) (1991), 337-339.
- [66] Osborne J.W., The structure of spider's web fast escaping sets, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*. **44** (3) (2012), 503-519.
- [67] Rådström H., On the iteration of analytic functions, *Math. Scand.* **1** (1953), 85-92.
- [68] Rempe L., Topological dynamics of exponential maps on their escaping set, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. **26** (2006), 1939-1975.
- [69] Rempe L., On a question of Eremenko concerning escaping components of entire functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **39** (4) (2007), 661-666.
- [70] Rempe L., Connected escaping sets of exponential maps, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **36** (2011), 71-80.
- [71] Rippon P., Baker domains of meromorphic functions, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. **26** (2006), 1225-1233.
- [72] Rippon P.J. and Stallard G., Families of Baker domains I, *Nonlinearity*. **12** (1999), 1005-1012.
- [73] Rippon P.J. and Stallard G., Families of Baker domains II, *Conformal Geometry Dynamics*. **3** (1999), 67-78.
- [74] Rippon P.J. and Stallard G.M., Escaping points of meromorphic functions with a finite set of poles, *Journal D'Analyse Mathématique*. **96** (2005), 225-245.
- [75] Rippon P. J. and Stallard G. M., On multiply connected wandering domains of meromorphic functions, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*. **77** (2008), 405-423.
- [76] Rippon P.J. and Stallard G.M., Escaping points of entire functions of small growth, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*. **281** (3), (2009), 557-570.
- [77] Rippon P.J. and Stallard G.M., Slow escaping points of meromorphic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (8) (2011), 4171-4201.
- [78] Rippon P.J. and Stallard G.M. Boundary of escaping Fatou components *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. **139** (8) (2011), 2807-2820.

- [79] Rippon P.J. and Stallard G.M., Fast escaping points of entire functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 105:4 (2012), 787-820.
- [80] Rottenfußer G., Rückert J., Rempe L. and Shleicher D., Dynamic Rays of bounded-type entire functions, *Ann. of Maths.* **2** 1:173 (2011), 77-125.
- [81] Schleicher, D., and J. Zimmer: Escaping points of exponential maps, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 67:2 (2003), 380-400.
- [82] Schröder E., Über unendlich viele algorithmen zur auflösung der gleichungen, *Math Ann.* **2** (1870), 317-365.
- [83] Schröder E., Über iterite funktionen, *Math Ann.* **3** (1871), 296-332.
- [84] Shishikura M., On the quasi-conformal surgery of rational functions, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **20** (4) (1987), 1-29.
- [85] Sixsmith, D., Entire functions for which the escaping set is a spider's web, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **151** (3) (2011), 551-571.
- [86] Sixsmith, D., Maximally and non-maximally fast escaping points of transcendental entire functions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **158** (2) (2015), 365-383.
- [87] Stallard G.M., Dimensions of Julia sets of transcendental meromorphic functions, *Transcendental dynamics and complex analysis*, 425-446, London Math Soc. Lecture Notes Ser.,348, *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, (2008).
- [88] Sullivan D., Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics I: Solutions of the Fatou-Julia problem on wandering domains, *Ann. of Math.* **122** (3) (1985), 401-418.
- [89] Teichmüller O., Bestimmung der extremalen quasikonformen Abbildungen und quadratische differentiale. *Abh. Preuss: Akad. Wiss, Math-Naturw. Kl.* **22** (1939), 1-197.
- [90] Zhang Guan- Hou, *Theory of entire and meromorphic functions: Deficient and asymptotic value and directions*. Traslation of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 122, 1993.