

GROUP METRICS FOR GRAPH PRODUCTS OF CYCLIC GROUPS

GIANLUCA PAOLINI AND SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. We complement the characterization of the graph products of cyclic groups $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ admitting a Polish group topology of [9] with the following result. Let $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) there is a metric on Γ which induces a separable topology in which E_Γ is closed;
- (ii) $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ is embeddable into a Polish group;
- (iii) $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ is embeddable into a non-Archimedean Polish group.

We also construct left-invariant separable group ultrametrics for $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ and Γ a closed graph on the Baire space, which is of independent interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph and $\mathfrak{p} : V \rightarrow \{p^n : p \text{ prime}, n \geq 1\} \cup \{\infty\}$ a graph coloring. We define a group $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ with the following presentation:

$$\langle V \mid a^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} = 1, bc = cb : \mathfrak{p}(a) \neq \infty \text{ and } bEc \rangle.$$

We call the group $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ the Γ -product¹ of the cyclic groups $\{C_{\mathfrak{p}(v)} : v \in \Gamma\}$, or simply the *graph product* of (Γ, \mathfrak{p}) . These groups have received much attention in combinatorial and geometric group theory. In [9] the authors characterized the graph products of cyclic groups admitting a Polish group topology, showing that G has to have the form $G_1 \oplus G_2$ with G_1 a countable graph product of cyclic groups and G_2 a direct sum of finitely many continuum sized vector spaces over a finite field. In the present study we complement the work of [9] with the following results:

Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma = (\omega^\omega, E)$ be a graph and $\mathfrak{p} : V \rightarrow \{p^n : p \text{ prime}, n \geq 1\} \cup \{\infty\}$ a graph coloring. Suppose further that E is closed in the Baire space ω^ω , and that $\mathfrak{p}(\eta)$ depends only on $\eta(0)$. Then $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ admits a left-invariant separable group ultrametric extending the standard metric on the Baire space.

Theorem 3. Let $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (a) there is a metric on Γ which induces a separable topology in which E_Γ is closed;
- (b) G is embeddable into a Polish group;
- (c) G is embeddable into a non-Archimedean Polish group;

Corollary 4. Let $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (a) there is a metric on Γ which induces a separable topology in which E_Γ is closed;

Partially supported by European Research Council grant 338821. No. F1668 on Shelah's publication list.

¹Notice that this is consistent with the general definition of graph products of groups from [6]. In fact every graph product of cyclic groups can be represented as $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ for some Γ and \mathfrak{p} as above.

- (b) G is embeddable into the automorphism group of the random graph;
(c) G is embeddable into the automorphism group of Hall's universal locally finite group.

The condition(s) occurring in Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 fail e.g. for the \aleph_1 -half graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(\aleph_1)$, i.e. the graph on vertex set $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_1\} \cup \{b_\beta : \beta < \aleph_1\}$ with edge relation defined as $a_\alpha E_\Gamma b_\beta$ if and only if $\alpha < \beta$.

Theorem 2 is of independent interest and generalizes results on left-invariant group metrics on free groups on continuum many generators, see [2], [3] and [4].

2. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

Convention 5. In Definition 1 it is usually assumed that for every $a \in \Gamma$ we have $\{a, a\} \notin E_\Gamma$. In order to make our proofs more transparent we will diverge from this convention and assume that our graphs Γ are such that $a \in \Gamma$ implies $a E_\Gamma a$. This is of course irrelevant from the point of view of the group $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$, since an element $a \in G$ always commutes with itself.

Proposition 6. Let G be a separable topological group which is metrizable (resp. ultrametrizable) by the metric d and $V \subseteq G$. Then the metric (resp. ultrametric) $d \upharpoonright V \times V$ makes V into a separable space such that for every group term σ the set $\{\bar{a} \in V^{|\sigma|} : G \models \sigma(\bar{a}) = e\}$ is closed in the induced topology.

Proof. For every group term σ the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \sigma(\bar{a})$ is continuous. Thus the set $\{\bar{a} \in G^{|\sigma|} : G \models \sigma(\bar{a}) = e\}$ is closed in (G, d) , and so the set:

$$\{\bar{a} \in V^{|\sigma|} : G \models \sigma(\bar{a}) = e\} = \{\bar{a} \in G^{|\sigma|} : G \models \sigma(\bar{a}) = e\} \cap V^{|\sigma|}$$

is closed in $(V, d \upharpoonright V \times V)$. ■

- Notation 7.** (1) Given a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and a set R , by a map $h : \Gamma \rightarrow R$ we mean a map with domain V . Furthermore, given a map $h : \Gamma \rightarrow R$ we let $h(E) = \{\{h(a), h(b)\} : \{a, b\} \in E\}$.
(2) Given $\eta \in X^\omega$, $n < \omega$ and $\nu \in X^n$, we write $\nu \triangleleft \eta$ to mean that $\eta \upharpoonright n = \nu$.
(3) Given $\eta \neq \eta' \in X^\omega$, we let $\eta \wedge \eta'$ be the unique $\nu \in X^n$ such that $\nu \triangleleft \eta$, $\nu \triangleleft \eta'$ and n is maximal, and in this case we also let $lg(\eta \wedge \eta') = lg(\nu) = n$.
(4) Given a topological space X and $Y \subseteq X$, we denote by \overline{Y} the topological closure of Y in X . Also, we denote by Δ_X the set $\{(x, x) : x \in X\}$.

Lemma 8. Let Γ be a graph and $\mathfrak{p} : \Gamma \rightarrow \omega$ a graph colouring. Suppose that Γ admits a separable metric d which makes E_Γ closed in the induced topology. Then:

- (1) Γ admits an ultrametric d' with the same properties;
(2) there exists a one-to-one map $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ and a map $\mathfrak{p}^* : \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that:
(a) $\overline{h(E_\Gamma) \cup \Delta_{\omega^\omega}} \cap h(\Gamma \times \Gamma) = h(E_\Gamma)$;
(b) $\mathfrak{p}(a) = \mathfrak{p}^*(h(a))$, for every $a \in \Gamma$;
(c) $\eta_1(0) = \eta_2(0)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}^*(\eta_1) = \mathfrak{p}^*(\eta_2)$, for every $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \omega^\omega$.

Proof. Let (Γ, \mathfrak{p}) and d be as in the statement of the lemma. If Γ is countable the lemma is clearly true. Assume then that Γ is uncountable. Let $D \subseteq \Gamma$ be a countable dense set of (Γ, d) , and \leq_D a well-order of D of order type ω . Renaming the elements of Γ we can assume that $D = \omega$ and \leq_D is the usual order of the

natural numbers. For $a \in \Gamma$ we define $\eta_a \in \omega^\omega$ by letting:

$$\eta_a(n) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}(a) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ x(a, n) & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases}$$

where:

- (i) $x(a, n)$ is at distance $< 1/4^n$ from a ;
- (ii) $x(a, n)$ is minimal under the condition (i).

We define $d' : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that:

$$d'(a, b) = \frac{1}{lg(\eta_a \wedge \eta_b) + 2}.$$

Clearly d' is an ultrametric. We verify d' is as required.

(*)₁ (Γ, d') is separable.

For each $\nu \in \omega^{<\omega}$ choose a_ν such that $\nu \triangleleft \eta_{a_\nu}$, if possible, and arbitrarily otherwise. Let $D' = \{a_\nu : \nu \in \omega^{<\omega}\}$. We claim that D' is dense in (Γ, d') . This suffices, since obviously D' is a countable subset of Γ . Let then $b \in \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we shall find $a \in D'$ such that $d'(a, b) < \varepsilon$. Choose $n > 0$ such that $1/(n+2) < \varepsilon$, and let $\nu = \eta_b \upharpoonright n$. Now, by the choice of ν , $a_\nu \in D'$ and $\nu \triangleleft \eta_{a_\nu}$. Furthermore, clearly $\nu \trianglelefteq \eta_{a_\nu} \wedge \eta_b$, and so $lg(\eta_{a_\nu} \wedge \eta_b) \geq lg(\nu) = n$. Thus we have:

$$d'(a_\nu, b) = \frac{1}{lg(\eta_{a_\nu} \wedge \eta_b) + 2} \leq \frac{1}{n+2} < \varepsilon.$$

(*)₂ E_Γ is closed in (Γ, d') .

Let $a, b \in \Gamma$ and suppose that $\{a, b\} \notin E_\Gamma$. Since E_Γ is closed in (Γ, d) , there is $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$(1) \quad a', b' \in \Gamma, d(a, a') < \varepsilon, d(b, b') < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \{a', b'\} \notin E_\Gamma.$$

Let $n < \omega$ be such that $n > 1$ and $1/n < \varepsilon$, we shall prove that:

$$(2) \quad a', b' \in \Gamma, d'(a, a') < \frac{1}{n+2}, d'(b, b') < \frac{1}{n+2} \Rightarrow \{a', b'\} \notin E_\Gamma.$$

Now, for any a' as in (2) we have that $lg(\eta_a \wedge \eta_{a'}) > n$, and so $\eta_a(n) = \eta_{a'}(n)$. Hence:

$$d(a, a') \leq d(a, \eta_a(n)) + d(a', \eta_a(n)) < \frac{1}{4^n} + \frac{1}{4^n} < 1/n < \varepsilon.$$

Using the same argument we see that for any b' as in (2) we have that $d(b, b') < \varepsilon$, and so by (1) we conclude that $\{a', b'\} \notin E_\Gamma$, as wanted.

(*)₃ The map $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ such that $h(a) = \eta_a$ is one-to-one.

If $\eta_a = \eta_b$, then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_a(n) = a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_b(n) = b.$$

(*)₄ $\overline{h(E_\Gamma)} \cap h(\Gamma \times \Gamma) = h(E_\Gamma)$.

Notice that for $(c_n)_{n < \omega} \in \Gamma^\omega$ and $c \in \Gamma$ we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{c_n} = \eta_c \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c \text{ in } (\Gamma, d').$$

Thus, if we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{a_n} = \eta_a, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{b_n} = \eta_b \text{ and } \bigwedge_{n < \omega} a_n E_\Gamma b_n,$$

then $a E_\Gamma b$, since E_Γ is closed in (Γ, d') .

(*)₅ Let $\mathfrak{p}^* : \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega$ be such that:

$$\mathfrak{p}^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} \eta(0) & \text{if } \exists \eta_a (\eta_a(0) = \eta(0)) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the map \mathfrak{p}^* is clearly as wanted. \blacksquare

We need some basic word combinatorics for $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$.

Definition 9. Let (Γ, \mathfrak{p}) be as usual and $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$.

- (1) A word w in the alphabet Γ is a sequence $(a_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, a_k^{\alpha_k})$, with $a_i \neq a_{i+1} \in \Gamma$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.
- (2) We denote words simply as $a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_k^{\alpha_k}$ instead of $(a_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, a_k^{\alpha_k})$.
- (3) We call each $a_i^{\alpha_i}$ a syllable of the word $a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_k^{\alpha_k}$.
- (4) We say that the word $a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_k^{\alpha_k}$ spells the element $g \in G$ if $G \models g = a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_k^{\alpha_k}$.
- (5) We say that the word w is reduced if there is no word with fewer syllables which spells the same element of G .
- (6) We say that the consecutive syllables $a_i^{\alpha_i}$ and $a_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}}$ are adjacent if $a_i E_\Gamma a_{i+1}$.
- (7) We say that the word w is a normal form for g if it spells g and it is reduced.

Fact 10 ([7][Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3]). Let $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$.

- (1) If the word $a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_k^{\alpha_k}$ spelling the element $g \in G$ is not reduced, then there exist $1 \leq p < q \leq k$ such that $a_p = a_q$ and a_p is adjacent to each vertex $a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_{q-1}$.
- (2) If $w_1 = a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_k^{\alpha_k}$ and $w_2 = b_1^{\beta_1} \dots b_k^{\beta_k}$ are normal forms for $g \in G$, then w_1 can be transformed into w_2 by repeatedly swapping the order of adjacent syllables.

Definition/Proposition 11. Let $\Gamma = (\omega^\omega, E)$, with E closed in the Baire space, and $\mathfrak{p} : V \rightarrow \{p^n : p \text{ prime}, n \geq 1\} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}(\eta)$ depends only on $\eta(0)$. For $0 < n < \omega$, let:

$$E_n = \{(\eta, \nu) : \eta, \nu \in \omega^n \text{ and there are } (\eta', \nu') \in E \text{ such that } \eta \triangleleft \eta' \text{ and } \nu \triangleleft \nu'\},$$

and $G_n = G((\omega^n, E_n), \mathfrak{p}_n)$, where $\mathfrak{p}_n(\eta) = \mathfrak{p}(\eta')(0)$ for any $\eta \triangleleft \eta'$. For $g \in G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p}) - \{e\}$ and $\eta_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \eta_k^{\alpha_k}$ a word spelling g , we define $n(g)$ as the minimal $0 < n < \omega$ such that:

$$G_n \models (\eta_1 \upharpoonright n)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\eta_k \upharpoonright n)^{\alpha_k} \neq e.$$

Finally, for $g \in G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p}) - \{e\}$, we define $d(g) = 2^{-n(g)}$, and $d(e) = 0$.

Proof. We have to show that $n(g)$ does not depend on the choice of the word spelling g . So let $\eta_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \eta_k^{\alpha_k}$ and $\theta_1^{\beta_1} \dots \theta_m^{\beta_m}$ be words spelling $g \in G$, we want to show that, for every $0 < n < \omega$, the words $(\eta_1 \upharpoonright n)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\eta_k \upharpoonright n)^{\alpha_k}$ and $(\theta_1 \upharpoonright n)^{\beta_1} \dots (\theta_m \upharpoonright n)^{\beta_m}$ spell the same element $g' \in G_n$. By Fact 10 this is clear, since $\eta_1 E \eta_2$ implies $\eta_1 \upharpoonright n E_n \eta_2 \upharpoonright n$, and $\mathfrak{p}(\eta)$ depends only on $\eta(0)$. \blacksquare

The following lemma proves Theorem 2.

Lemma 12. Let $\Gamma = (\omega^\omega, E)$, with E closed in the Baire space, $\mathfrak{p} : V \rightarrow \{p^n : p \text{ prime}, n \geq 1\} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}(\eta)$ depends only on $\eta(0)$, and $G = G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$. The function $d : G \times G \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $d(g, h) = d(g^{-1}h)$, for $d : G \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\mathbb{R}}$ as in Definition/Proposition 11, is a left-invariant separable group ultrametric extending the usual metric on ω^ω .

Proof. We show that the function $d : G \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\mathbb{R}}$ of Definition/Proposition 11 is an ultranorm, i.e. that it satisfies the following:

- (i) $d(g) = 0$ iff $g = e$;
- (ii) $d(gh) \leq \max\{d(g), d(h)\}$, for every $g, h \in G$;
- (iii) $d(g) = d(g^{-1})$, for every $g \in G$.

We prove (i). Let $g \neq e$ and $\eta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \eta_k^{\alpha_k}$ a normal form for g . Let $0 < m < \omega$ be such that for every $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ with $\eta_i \neq \eta_j$ we have $\eta_i E \eta_j$ iff $\eta_i \upharpoonright m E_m \eta_j \upharpoonright m$. Then $n(g) \leq m$ and so $2^{-m} \leq 2^{-n(g)} = d(g)$.

We prove (ii). Without loss of generality $g \neq e$ and $h \neq e$. Let $\eta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \eta_k^{\alpha_k}$ and $\theta_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \theta_p^{\beta_p}$ be normal forms for g and h , respectively, and let $t = \min\{n(g), n(h)\}$. Then for every $0 < m < t < \omega$ we have:

$$G_m \models (\eta_1 \upharpoonright m)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\eta_k \upharpoonright m)^{\alpha_k} (\theta_1 \upharpoonright m)^{\beta_1} \cdots (\theta_p \upharpoonright m)^{\beta_p} = ee = e.$$

Hence, $t \leq n(gh)$ and so $d(gh) \leq \max\{d(g), d(h)\}$.

We prove (iii). Let $\eta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \eta_k^{\alpha_k}$ be a normal form for g . It suffices to show that for every $0 < n < \omega$ we have:

$$G_n \models (\eta_1 \upharpoonright n)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\eta_k \upharpoonright n)^{\alpha_k} = e \Leftrightarrow G_n \models (\eta_k \upharpoonright n)^{-\alpha_k} \cdots (\eta_1 \upharpoonright n)^{-\alpha_1} = e,$$

but this is trivially true.

The fact that d extends the usual metric on ω^ω is immediate. Thus we are only left to show the separability of (G, d) . For every $n < \omega$, define a relation R_n on G by letting aR_nb iff there exist normal forms:

$$a = \eta_{a,1}^{\alpha(a,1)} \cdots \eta_{a,k_a}^{\alpha(a,k_a)} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \eta_{b,1}^{\beta(b,1)} \cdots \eta_{b,k_b}^{\beta(b,k_b)}$$

such that $k_a = k_b$, $\alpha(a, \ell) = \beta(b, \ell)$ and $\eta_{a,\ell} \upharpoonright n = \eta_{b,\ell} \upharpoonright n$. Clearly R_n is an equivalence relation on G and it has \aleph_0 equivalence classes. For every $n < \omega$, let X_n be a set of representatives of R_n equivalence classes. Then $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} X_n$ is countable and dense in (G, d) , and so it witnesses the separability of (G, d) . ■

We need two facts before proving Theorem 3.

Fact 13 ([5][Theorem 2.1.3]). *Let G be a topological group with compatible left-invariant metric (resp. ultrametric) d . Let D be defined such that:*

$$D(g, h) = d(g, h) + d(g^{-1}, h^{-1}),$$

and \hat{G} the completion of the metric space (G, D) . Then the multiplication operation of G extends uniquely onto \hat{G} making \hat{G} into a topological group. Furthermore, there is a unique compatible left-invariant metric (resp. ultrametric) \hat{d} on \hat{G} extending d .

Definition 14. *We say that a Polish group G is non-Archimedean if it has a neighbourhood base of the identity that consists of open subgroups.*

Fact 15 ([1][Theorem 1.5.1]). *Let G be Polish. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) G is non-Archimedean;
- (b) G is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\text{Sym}(\omega)$;
- (c) G admits a compatible left-invariant ultrametric;
- (d) G is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a countable first-order structure.

We finally prove Theorem 3 and Corollary 4.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $G(\Gamma, \mathfrak{p})$ is embeddable into a Polish group, then by Proposition 6 there is a separable metric on Γ such that E_Γ is closed in the induced topology. On the other hand, if there is a separable metric d on Γ which induces a topology in which E_Γ is closed, then using Lemma 8 we can embed (Γ, \mathfrak{p}) in a coloured graph on ω^ω which satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 12, and so using Facts 13 and 15 we are done. ■

Proof of Corollary 4. As well-known, the automorphism group of the random graph embeds $Sym(\omega)$ (this also follows from the main result of [8]). Furthermore, in [10] it is proved that the automorphism group of Hall's universal locally finite group embeds $Sym(\omega)$. Thus, by Theorem 3 and Fact 15 we are done. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Howard Becker and Alexander S. Kechris. *The Descriptive Set Theory of Polish Group Actions*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. 232, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [2] Longyung Ding and Su Gao. *Graev Metric Groups and Polishable Subgroups*. Adv. Math. **213** (2007), no. 2, 887-901.
- [3] Longyung Ding and Su Gao. *New Metrics on Free Groups*. Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 2, 410-420.
- [4] Su Gao. *Graev Ultrametrics and Surjectively Universal non-Archimedean Polish Groups*. Topology Appl. **160** (2013), no. 6, 862-870.
- [5] Su Gao. *Invariant Descriptive Set Theory*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Pure and Applied Mathematics. Taylor & Francis, 2008.
- [6] Elisabeth R. Green. *Graph Products*. PhD thesis, University of Warwick, 1991.
- [7] Mauricio Gutierrez, Adam Piggott and Kim Ruane. *On the Automorphism Group of a Graph Product of Abelian Groups*. Groups, Geom. Dyn. **6** (2012), 125-153.
- [8] Isabel Müller. *Fraïssé Structures with Universal Automorphism Groups*. J. Algebra, to appear.
- [9] Gianluca Paolini and Saharon Shelah. *Polish Group Topologies for Graph Product of Cyclic Groups*. Submitted. Available on the arXiv.
- [10] Gianluca Paolini and Saharon Shelah. *The Automorphism Group of Hall's Universal Group*. Submitted. Available on the arXiv.

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, ISRAEL AND
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, U.S.A.