

---

# Ternary Neural Networks with Fine-Grained Quantization

---

Naveen Mellempudi<sup>1</sup>, Abhisek Kundu<sup>1</sup>, Dheevatsa Mudigere<sup>1</sup>, Dipankar Das<sup>1</sup>, Bharat Kaul<sup>1</sup>, and Pradeep Dubey<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Parallel Computing Lab, Intel Labs, Bangalore, India

<sup>2</sup>Parallel Computing Lab, Intel Labs, Santa Clara, CA

## Abstract

We propose a novel fine-grained quantization method for ternarizing pre-trained full precision models, while also constraining activations to 8-bits. Using this method, we demonstrate minimal loss in classification accuracy on state-of-the-art topologies without additional training. This enables a full 8-bit inference pipeline, with best reported accuracy using ternary weights on ImageNet dataset. Further, we also provide an improved theoretical formulation that forms the basis for a higher quality solution with this approach. Our method involves ternarizing the original weight tensor in groups of  $N$  weights. Using  $N = 4$ , we achieve Top-1 accuracy within 3.7% and 5.8% of the baseline full precision result for Resnet-101 and Resnet-50 respectively, while eliminating 75% of all multiplications. We also study the impact of group size on both performance and accuracy. With a group size of  $N = 64$ , we eliminate  $\approx 99\%$  of the multiplications; however, this introduces a significant drop in accuracy, which necessitates fine tuning the parameters (re-training) at lower precision. To address this, we re-train Resnet-50 with 8-bit activations and ternary weights, improving the Top-1 accuracy to within 4% of the full precision result with  $< 30\%$  additional overhead. Our final quantized model can run on a full 8-bit compute pipeline using 2-bit weights and has the potential of up to  $16\times$  improvement in performance compared to baseline full-precision models.

## 1 Introduction

Today's deep learning models achieve state-of-the-art results on a wide variety of tasks including Computer Vision, Natural Language Processing, Automatic Speech Recognition and Reinforcement Learning [1]. Mathematically, this involves solving a non-convex optimization problem with order of millions or more parameters. Solving this optimization problem - also referred to as training the neural network - is a compute-intensive process that for current state-of-the-art networks, requires days to weeks. Once trained, the network evaluates a function on specific input data - referred to as inference. While the compute intensity for inference is much lower than that of training, owing to the fact that inference is done on a large number of input data, the total computing resources spent on inference is likely to dwarf those spent on training. The large and somewhat unique compute requirements for both deep learning training and inference operations motivate the use of customized low precision arithmetic [8, 2, 7, 22, 14, 12] and specialized hardware to run these computations as efficiently as possible [5, 23, 19, 17, 10]. Most of these cases requires partial or full training of network in low precision. Training at low-precision allows for the network to implicitly learn the low precision representation (along with the inherent noise), however it introduces significant resource overheads which can be prohibitive for many resource-constrained applications, specifically those involving edge devices.

Reducing precision for both weights and activations have significant power-performance implications on system design, this not only allows for increasing compute density, but also reduces pressure on the memory sub-system. Most of the current solutions are focused on compressing the model [13, 15], going as low as binary weights, which allows storing the model on the limited on-chip local memory. However, activations (input) need to be fetched from external memory or I/O-device (camera). Fetching data from the memory, I/O sub-system consumes majority of the system power. Hence reducing the size of activations is essential for more efficient utilization of the available computational resources. Although, there are a few solutions [7, 8] using lower precision representation for activations, they necessitate specialized hardware for efficient implementation. Further, with wide spread adoption of deep learning across various applications like autonomous driving, augmented reality; there is an increased demand for inference tasks to be efficiently done on edge devices. To address both the aforementioned system and application requirements, there is a general trend to move towards a *full lower precision inference pipeline* [10]. This can be seen with the advent of 8-bit and sub 8-bit hardware such as Google’s TPU [10] and other main stream GPU<sup>1</sup>, CPU offerings. Further, there is also software support for 8-bit inference through popular frameworks such as TensorFlow, Theano and compute libraries like NVidia’s TensorRT<sup>1</sup>.

In this paper, we focus on enabling a sub 8-bit inference pipeline by using ternary weights and 8-bit activations, with minimal or no re-training, and yet achieving near state-of-art accuracy. The rationale behind our approach is to carefully convert the full-precision weights to low-precision, such that the element-wise distance between full-precision and low-precision weights is small. Consequently, the low-precision weights remain in the neighborhood of pre-trained full-precision weights in the search space, and we expect them to generalize in a similar manner, despite no re-training.

We summarize our contributions below:

1. Based on an improved theoretical formulation, we propose a novel fine-grained quantization method to convert pre-trained weights to a ternary representation with minimal loss in test accuracy, without re-training.
2. With 8-bit activations and ternary weights (8-2), using groups of  $N = 4$  weights, we achieve Top-1 accuracy of 73.85% for Resnet-101 and 67.30% for Resnet-50. To the best of our knowledge, these are the highest reported low precision (8-2) accuracies on ImageNet dataset [3], without re-training.
3. We also study the performance-accuracy trade-off using different group sizes with 8-2 representation. For a group size of  $N - K \times K$  weights, we reduce the number of (8-bit) multiplications to one in every  $N$  additions, thus significantly reducing computation complexity.

## 2 Related Work

Deep learning inference using low-precision weights and activations is a well-researched topic. Many researchers have experimented with using custom data representations for performing deep learning tasks. [18] have shown that 8-bit dynamically scaled fixed point representation [20] can be used to speed up convolution neural networks using general purpose CPU hardware. [5] have successfully trained networks using a low precision fixed point scheme on specialized hardware. Recent efforts have also explored using 8-bit floating point representation for improving parallel performance of distributed convolution networks by quantizing communication [4].

[13, 15] propose low precision networks with binary weights, while retaining the activations in full precision. [13] use a stochastic binarization scheme, achieve state-of-art (SOTA) accuracies on smaller data-sets (MNIST, CIFAR10, SVHN). [15] demonstrate near SOTA accuracies on the large ImageNet data-set using AlexNet topology [11]. Further they also demonstrate a variant with binary weights and activations, with all computations simplified bit-count operations but with significant loss in accuracy. Lower precision for activations have also been used, [7] use 1-bit for both weights and activations for smaller networks. For larger ImageNet-class networks [8], use 2-bit activations and binary weights showing reasonable accuracies. However, both these [7, 8] use specialized data representation requiring custom hardware for efficient implementation. Other

<sup>1</sup><https://devblogs.nvidia.com/parallelforall/new-pascal-gpus-accelerate-inference-in-the-data-center/>

solutions such as [22], employ a more tailored approach with different precision for each - weights (1-bit), activations (2-bits) and gradients (6-bits); implemented with special-purpose hardware.

[12] introduces a ternary weight network using two symmetric thresholds ( $\pm\Delta$ ) and a scaling factor for each layer. They provide an approximation to the optimal ternary representation assuming weights follow a Gaussian distribution. [23] modify this solution to use two symmetric thresholds ( $\pm\Delta$ ) and two scaling factors per layer. [21] have proposed a post-facto incremental quantization approach, which aims to find the optimal representation using an iterative method, constraining weights to either 0 or powers of 2, using a 5-bit representation. and re-training activations with full precision. All the aforementioned implementation require partial or full training of the network in low precision. Alternatively, [14] used log quantization method on pre-trained models and achieved good accuracy by tuning the bit length for each layer without re-training.

Achieving near-SOTA accuracy on the Imagenet dataset with deeper networks [6], without any training in low precision (for both weights and activations) is still a challenge. Our work is an attempt to address this problem and improve over existing approaches.

### 3 Ternary Conversion of Trained Network

Our goal is to convert the full-precision trained weights  $\mathbf{W}$  to ternary values  $\{-\alpha, 0, +\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , without re-training. We use a threshold ( $\Delta > 0$ ) based approach similar to [12]:  $i$ -th element  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_i = \text{sign}(\mathbf{W}_i)$ , if  $|\mathbf{W}_i| > \Delta$ , and 0 otherwise. Then, the element-wise error is  $E(\alpha, \Delta) = \|\mathbf{W} - \alpha\hat{\mathbf{W}}\|_F^2$  and an optimal ternary representation  $\alpha^*\hat{\mathbf{W}}^* \approx \mathbf{W}$  is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*, \Delta^* &= \underset{\alpha \geq 0, \Delta > 0}{\text{argmin}} E(\alpha, \Delta) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &\alpha \geq 0, \hat{\mathbf{W}}_i \in \{-1, 0, +1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $n$  is the size of  $\mathbf{W}$  ( $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ). We hypothesize that weights that learn different types of features may follow different distributions. Combining all the weights together represents a mixture of various distributions, and ternarizing them using a single threshold ( $\Delta$ ) and magnitude ( $\alpha$ ) may not preserve the distributions of individual weights. Consequently, many weights are approximated poorly (if not totally pruned out); as a result we lose valuable information that they learn. We may not be able to compensate for this loss of information as we do not train the network in low precision.

Further refinement of this solution maybe possible as we hypothesize that positive and negative weight distributions are not always symmetric to each other. In such cases, we intend to use two separate thresholds  $\Delta_p, \Delta_n > 0$ , along with separate scaling factors  $\alpha_p, \alpha_n \geq 0$ , to ternarize the positive and negative weights independently.

We can consider the weights as a vector  $\mathbf{W}$ , and we can decompose  $\mathbf{W}$  into two orthogonal component vectors  $\mathbf{W}_p$  and  $\mathbf{W}_n$  containing positive and negative values, respectively, such that  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}_p + \mathbf{W}_n$ . We can find the ternary representation for these components separately:  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{p_i} = 1$ , if  $\mathbf{W}_{p_i} > \Delta_p$ , and 0 otherwise. Similarly,  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{n_i} = -1$ , if  $\mathbf{W}_{n_i} < -\Delta_n$ , and 0 otherwise. Then we have the following optimal ternary sub-problems.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p^*, \Delta_p^* &= \underset{\alpha_p \geq 0, \Delta_p > 0}{\text{argmin}} \|\mathbf{W}_p - \alpha_p \hat{\mathbf{W}}_p\|_F^2 \\ \alpha_n^*, \Delta_n^* &= \underset{\alpha_n \geq 0, \Delta_n > 0}{\text{argmin}} \|\mathbf{W}_n - \alpha_n \hat{\mathbf{W}}_n\|_F^2 \end{aligned}$$

The usage of two separate scaling factors increases the model capacity, as argued by [23]. However, separate scaling factors  $\alpha_p^*$  and  $\alpha_n^*$ , despite improving the accuracy, typically makes the inference computationally inefficient by necessitating multiple passes over positive and negative values, increasing the bandwidth requirements.

#### 3.1 Our Formulation

Computing separate  $\Delta$  and  $\alpha$  for each weight compensates for information loss and better preserves the underlying distributions. However, such fine-grained ternarization, despite showing significant improvement in accuracy, typically involves a larger number of multiplications leading to a less

efficient implementation. Therefore, we seek to find a trade-off between higher accuracy and lower number of high-precision multiplications. Thus, we propose a fine-grained quantization approach that creates groups of weights, and ternarizes each group independently. Let us consider the weights represented as a vector  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We partition the set  $I$  of  $n$  indices into  $k$  disjoint subsets,  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$ , with cardinality  $|c_i| = n_i$ , such that,  $c_i \cap c_j = \emptyset, \cup_i c_i = I, \sum_i n_i = n$ . We can decompose  $\mathbf{W}$  into  $k$  orthogonal vectors  $\mathbf{W}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n, i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $j$ -th component  $\mathbf{W}_j^{(i)} = \mathbf{W}_j$  if  $j \in c_i$ , otherwise 0. Clearly,  $\sum_i \mathbf{W}^{(i)} = \mathbf{W}$ ; then we ternarize each orthogonal component  $\mathbf{W}^{(i)}$  as  $\alpha_i \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}$ , where components of  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}$  are in  $\{-1, 0, +1\}$ . Threshold-based approach never turns 0 to non-zero, and the following orthogonality holds.

$$\mathbf{W}^{(i)} \perp \mathbf{W}^{(j)}, \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)} \perp \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(j)}, \mathbf{W}^{(i)} \perp \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(j)}, \text{ for } i \neq j \quad (2)$$

It follows that,  $(\mathbf{W}^{(i)} - \alpha_i \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}) \perp (\mathbf{W}^{(j)} - \alpha_j \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(j)})$ , for  $i \neq j$ . Then we have

$$\|\mathbf{W} - \sum_i \alpha_i \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}\|_F^2 = \sum_i \|\mathbf{W}^{(i)} - \alpha_i \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}\|_F^2$$

This leads to the following optimization. For a given group of  $k$  filters  $\{\mathbf{W}^{(i)}\}, i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_k^*, \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(1)*}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(k)*} \\ = & \underset{\alpha_i, \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}, i=1, \dots, k}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{W} - \sum_i \alpha_i \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}\|_F^2, \\ & s.t., \mathbf{W}_j^{(i)} \in \{-1, 0, +1\}, \forall j \\ = & \sum_i \underset{\alpha_i, \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{W}^{(i)} - \alpha_i \hat{\mathbf{W}}^{(i)}\|_F^2 \\ & s.t., \mathbf{W}_j^{(i)} \in \{-1, 0, +1\}, \forall j \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Therefore, we need to solve  $k$  independent sub-problems. Note that the solutions to sub-problems are thread-parallel and easily parallelizable. This formulation allows a better ternary approximation to the original full-precision weights, ensuring that they remain within a neighborhood of the original solution in the complex search space of parameters, despite no re-training. Consequently, we expect the full-precision solution and the ternary counterpart to generalize in a similar manner.

We can solve each sub-problem using the following approach. Let  $I_\Delta = \{i : |\mathbf{W}_i| > \Delta\}$ . Then, from (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^* &= \langle \mathbf{W}, \hat{\mathbf{W}} \rangle / \|\hat{\mathbf{W}}\|_F^2 = (\sum_{i \in I_\Delta} |\mathbf{W}_i|) / |I_\Delta| \\ \Delta^* &= \underset{\Delta > 0}{\operatorname{argmax}} (\sum_{i \in I_\Delta} |\mathbf{W}_i|)^2 / |I_\Delta| \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product. To avoid a time-consuming brute force solution [12] showed that  $\Delta^* \approx 0.6\sigma \approx 0.7 \cdot \sum_i |\mathbf{W}_i| / n$ , assuming that the weights follow Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation  $\sigma$ . However, we hypothesize that for full-precision learned weights exponential or heavy-tailed distributions (e.g. power law) or even a mixture of distributions could better model the probability of magnitude of the weights. Assuming that the magnitude of the learned weights follow exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ , we analytically derive the optimal  $\Delta^*$  from the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Using the above notations, if  $|\mathbf{W}_i| \sim \exp(\lambda)$ , then*

$$\Delta^* = \underset{\Delta > 0}{\operatorname{argmax}} (\sum_{i \in I_\Delta} |\mathbf{W}_i|)^2 / |I_\Delta| \approx \frac{1}{\lambda} = \sum_i |\mathbf{W}_i| / n$$

From this analysis, we see that we need a higher threshold value to prune larger number of smaller elements. This is intuitive from the shape of the model distributions. In reality, however, it may not be appropriate to use a single distribution to model the weights of all the layers of a neural network. We can apply Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test as a goodness-of-fit measure to identify




Figure 1: Layer-wise improvement of theoretical ternary error (one  $\alpha$  per layer) over Gaussian assumption by choosing an appropriate distribution using K-S test for ResNet-101 on Imagenet dataset.

an appropriate reference distribution (here we choose between Gaussian and exponential), and find  $\Delta^*$  accordingly. We approximate a heavy-tailed distribution by an exponential one by pruning out some of the smaller elements. This gives us an exponential approximation with smaller  $\lambda$ . Further, we can use maximum likelihood functions to estimate the parameters of such distributions. For Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$ , estimated  $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{W}_i|^2/n} = rms(\mathbf{W})$ , and for exponential case, estimated parameter  $\hat{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{W}_i|/n$ . Based on such refined analysis, we observe significant improvement in the theoretical ternary error over Gaussian assumption of [12] (Figure 1). It is interesting to observe that for earlier convolution layers of ResNet-101 trained on ImageNet, the magnitude of the weights follow exponential distribution, whereas for later stages weights are Gaussian.

In the next section, we discuss a strategy to group the weights.

### 3.2 Weight Grouping




Figure 2: Static Grouping:  $(R \times S)$  sub-groups of  $n$  elements from contiguous filters along  $C$  dimension,  $\alpha_k$  consists of scaling factors.

Our formulation (3) assumes a mechanism that groups full-precision weights to ternarize. It is natural to use grouping as an optimization variable to further reduce the error. However, dynamic clustering methods such as  $k$ -means, despite leading to better accuracy, are not friendly to efficient implementation. For example, solutions that use arbitrarily grouped filters would have to perform partial output accumulations by gathering all the weights that use a common  $\alpha$  to achieve reasonable computational efficiency. Our goal is to find a solution that would achieve higher efficiency on general purpose hardware by minimizing the number of multiplications while also attaining higher accuracy.




Figure 3: Schematic describing our low precision experimental setup in Caffe, to emulate fine-grained quantization (FGQ) with ternary weights and 8-bit activations

Based on empirical evidence, we conclude that partitioning weights along input channels  $C$  achieves better results. We further observed that individual elements at the same location from multiple filters along  $C$ , have less variance because they learn similar features. Since the elements along  $C$  accumulate to the same output feature, this layout is also amenable to vectorization along  $K$ . Hence, for the purpose of evaluating our theory, we use static groups of weights from contiguous weights along the  $C$  dimension. Figure 2 shows an example of our grouping scheme using  $3 \times 3$  filters. Using this scheme, for each group of  $3 \times 3$  ternary filters, there will be a  $3 \times 3$  filter containing scaling factor ( $\alpha$ ) for each element in the filter, which translates to  $N$  ternary operations for one multiplication.

## 4 Experimental Results

Our experimental setup consists of a modified version of Caffe [9] that emulates low-precision fixed point computations, described in Fig. 3. We assume a 32-bit accumulator for all our low precision computations. We implemented the static grouping mechanism described in section 3.2 to ternarize weights at each convolution layer, and quantize the group scaling factors to 8-bit fixed point values. We also quantize activations at each layer to 8-bit.

In our experiments, we use 8-bit precision for weights of the first convolution and fully connected layers to prevent loss accumulation. We also recompute batch normalization parameters during the inference phase to compensate for the shift in variance that quantization introduces.

We have explored the accuracy-performance trade-off using various sub-group sizes on Resnet-50 and Resnet-101 networks. Our experiments show that training Resnet-101 using a group size of  $N = 4$ , we can achieve 73.8% Top-1 accuracy, within 4% of the full precision result while eliminating 75% of multiplications. Using slightly larger sub-groups of  $N = 8$  we can replace 87.5% of multiplications while losing an additional 2% accuracy, achieving 71.7% Top-1 accuracy. We observe similar results with Resnet-50; a loss of 5.7% at  $N = 4$  and 8.8% at  $N = 8$  of Top-1 accuracy compared to the baseline full-precision result. We have observed an expected degradation of accuracy using larger cluster sizes; we summarize our results in Table 1.

Our result on Resnet-50, to the best of our knowledge is the highest reported accuracy using 2-bit weights and 8-bit activations. Table 1 has a comparison with previous reported results from [21] using 5-bit weights and [19] using ternary weights. While they report slightly better absolute numbers, they are limited to using full precision activations and need to train the network in low precision to achieve those numbers. It should be noted that both these works use Resnet-50 with slight variations




Figure 4: TOP-1 Accuracy at different group sizes compared to baseline (FP32) accuracy.

and hence have slightly different baseline accuracies. For [21] the baseline full precision a Top-1 accuracy is 73.22% and for [19] it is 76%<sup>2</sup>

Using a group size of  $N = 64$  is ideal because it can eliminate  $\approx 99\%$  of all multiplications but this comes at the cost of significant drop in accuracy Table 1. Ternarizing a large group of weights by a single scaling factor results in a poor approximation to the full-precision weights. Consequently, the ternary solution moves away from the full-precision local optima that we derived during full-precision training, and they display different generalization behavior. Without further fine-tuning we may not be able to bring this new solution close to the local optima. We further explore re-training to bridge the gap in accuracy and describe results in the next section.

Table 1: Classification accuracies with 2-bit weights and 8-bit activations using different sized weight groups, with percentage of low precision (8-bit ternary) ops and compared to other previous low precision results

Low precision Methods (bits for weights - bits for activations)		Top-1 Accuracy		% of 8b ternary ops
		Resnet-101	Resnet-50	
Our Baseline 32-32		77.50%	73.05%	0%
FGQ 2-8	$N = 4$	<b>73.85%</b>	<b>67.30%</b>	75.0%
	$N = 8$	71.73%	64.18%	87.5%
	$N = 16$	68.45%	59.61%	93.8%
	$N = 32$	63.06%	52.04%	96.9%
	$N = 64$	57.90%	44.34%	98.5%
	$N = 64$ (retrain) $BL = 75.02\%$	-	<b>71.10%</b>	
INQ 5-32 [21]		-	74.81% <sup>2</sup>	-
dLAC 2-32 [19]		-	73.85% <sup>2</sup>	-

#### 4.1 Training at Low Precision

We trained the low-precision ResNet-50 on the ImageNet dataset using 2-bit weights and 8-bit activations by initializing the network with a pre-trained full precision model. We take the approach proposed by Marcel et al.[16], and replace data pre-processing steps such as mean-subtraction and jittering with batch normalization. We obtained the pre-trained models published by Marcel et al.[16]

<sup>2</sup>It should be noted that both these works use Resnet-50 with slight variations and hence have slightly different baseline accuracies. For [21] the baseline full precision a Top-1 accuracy is 73.22% and for [19] it is 76%




Figure 5: Fine-tuning Resnet-50, with pre-initialized weights - on Imagenet dataset.

and fine-tune the parameters of our low-precision network. In the forward pass, we convert weights to 2-bit ternary values using the algorithm described in Section 3 in all convolution layers, except the first, which uses 8-bit weights. We quantize activations to 8-bit fixed point in all layers including ReLU and Batch Normalization layers. We did not quantize the weights in FC layer for the training exercise. We perform gradient updates in full precision for convolution and FC layers. We reduced the learning rate to an order of  $1e-4$ , in order to avoid exploding gradients and retaining all the other hyper parameters from full-precision training. Re-training for 20-epochs, recovers most of the lost accuracy and we achieve 71.1% Top-1 and 90.01% Top-5 accuracy compared to our baseline 75.02%(Top-1), 92.2%(Top-5).

## 5 Conclusion

We propose a fine-grained ternarization method which exploits local correlations in dynamic range of the parameters to minimize the impact of quantization on overall accuracy. We demonstrate near SOTA accuracy on Imagenet data-set using pre-trained models with quantized networks without re-training. On Resnet-101 using 8-bit activations the error from the best published full precision ( $FP32$ ) result is within  $\approx 6\%$  using ternary weights. To the best of our knowledge, this is the best achieved accuracy with ternary weights for Imagenet dataset.

Our clustering based approach allows to obtain solutions tailored for specific hardware, based on the accuracy and performance requirements. Smaller cluster sizes achieve best accuracy, with  $N=4$  75% of the computations as low precision operations (simple 8-bit additions) and this is better suited for implementation on specialized hardware. Larger cluster sizes are more suited to current general purpose hardware, with a larger portion of computations as low precision operations ( $\approx 99\%$  for  $N=64$ ), however this comes with the cost of reduced accuracy. This gap can be bridged with additional low precision training as show in Section 4.1, work is underway to further improve this accuracy. Our final quantized model can be efficiently run on full 8-bit compute pipeline, thus offering a potential  $16X$  performance-power benefit.

Furthermore as continuation of this work, we are looking into a more theoretical exploration to better understand the formal relationship between the clustering and final accuracy, with an attempt to establish realistic bounds for given network-performance-accuracy requirement.



## Appendix

### Proof of Lemma 1

Let  $n$  denote the number of elements. Let  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  be the pdf of exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$  be the cdf. Then,

$$|I_\Delta| \approx n \int_{x>\Delta} f(x) dx = n(1 - F(\Delta)) = n e^{-\lambda \Delta}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I_\Delta} |W_i| &\approx n \int_{x>\Delta} x f(x) dx = n \int_{x>\Delta} (\lambda e^{-\lambda x}) x dx \\ &\approx \frac{n}{\lambda} (\lambda \Delta + 1) e^{-\lambda \Delta} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Delta) &= \frac{(\sum_{i \in I_\Delta} |W_i|)^2}{|I_\Delta|} = \frac{n}{\lambda^2} (1 + \lambda \Delta)^2 e^{-\lambda \Delta} \\ G'(\Delta) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (2\lambda(1 + \lambda \Delta) e^{-\lambda \Delta} - \lambda(1 + \lambda \Delta)^2 e^{-\lambda \Delta}) \\ G'(\Delta) = 0 &\Rightarrow \Delta = \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ G''(\Delta) \Big|_{\Delta=1/\lambda} &< 0 \quad (\text{maxima}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta^* = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i |\mathbf{W}_i|$$

## References

- [1] Yoshua Bengio, Ian Goodfellow, and Aaron Courville. Deep learning. Book in preparation for MIT Press, 2016.
- [2] Matthieu Courbariaux, Itay Hubara, Daniel Soudry, Ran El-Yaniv, and Yoshua Bengio. Binarized neural networks: Training deep neural networks with weights and activations constrained to  $\pm 1$  or  $\pm 1$ . *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.02830*, 2016.
- [3] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2009. CVPR 2009. IEEE Conference on*, pages 248–255. IEEE, 2009.
- [4] Tim Dettmers. 8-bit approximations for parallelism in deep learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.04561*, 2015.
- [5] Suyog Gupta, Ankur Agrawal, Kailash Gopalakrishnan, and Pritish Narayanan. Deep learning with limited numerical precision. In *ICML*, pages 1737–1746, 2015.
- [6] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [7] Itay Hubara, Matthieu Courbariaux, Daniel Soudry, Ran El-Yaniv, and Yoshua Bengio. Binarized neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 4107–4115, 2016.
- [8] Itay Hubara, Matthieu Courbariaux, Daniel Soudry, Ran El-Yaniv, and Yoshua Bengio. Quantized neural networks: Training neural networks with low precision weights and activations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.07061*, 2016.
- [9] Yangqing Jia, Evan Shelhamer, Jeff Donahue, Sergey Karayev, Jonathan Long, Ross Girshick, Sergio Guadarrama, and Trevor Darrell. Caffe: Convolutional architecture for fast feature embedding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.5093*, 2014.
- [10] N Jouppi. Google supercharges machine learning tasks with tpu custom chip. *Google Blog*, May, 18, 2016.
- [11] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 1097–1105, 2012.
- [12] Fengfu Li, Bo Zhang, and Bin Liu. Ternary weight networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.04711*, 2016.
- [13] Zhouhan Lin, Matthieu Courbariaux, Roland Memisevic, and Yoshua Bengio. Neural networks with few multiplications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.07061*, 2016.
- [14] Daisuke Miyashita, Edward H Lee, and Boris Murmann. Convolutional neural networks using logarithmic data representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.01025*, 2016.
- [15] Mohammad Rastegari, Vicente Ordonez, Joseph Redmon, and Ali Farhadi. Xnor-net: Imagenet classification using binary convolutional neural networks. In *ECCV*, 2016.
- [16] Marcel Simon, Erik Rodner, and Joachim Denzler. Imagenet pre-trained models with batch normalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.01452v2*, 2016.
- [17] Yaman Umuroglu, Nicholas J Fraser, Giulio Gambardella, Michaela Blott, Philip Leong, Magnus Jahre, and Kees Vissers. Finn: A framework for fast, scalable binarized neural network inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.07119*, 2016.
- [18] Vincent Vanhoucke, Andrew Senior, and Mark Z Mao. Improving the speed of neural networks on cpus. In *Proc. Deep Learning and Unsupervised Feature Learning NIPS Workshop*, volume 1, page 4. Citeseer, 2011.
- [19] Ganesh Venkatesh, Eriko Nurvitadhi, and Debbie Marr. Accelerating deep convolutional networks using low-precision and sparsity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.00324*, 2016.
- [20] Darrell Williamson. Dynamically scaled fixed point arithmetic. In *Communications, Computers and Signal Processing, 1991., IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on*, pages 315–318. IEEE, 1991.

- [21] Aojun Zhou, Anbang Yao, Yiwen Guo, Lin Xu, and Yurong Chen. Incremental network quantization: Towards lossless cnns with low-precision weights. *poster at International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2017.
- [22] Shuchang Zhou, Yuxin Wu, Zekun Ni, Xinyu Zhou, He Wen, and Yuheng Zou. Dorefa-net: Training low bitwidth convolutional neural networks with low bitwidth gradients. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.06160*, 2016.
- [23] Chenzhuo Zhu, Song Han, Huizi Mao, and William J Dally. Trained ternary quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.01064*, 2016.