

# Many-body formalism for thermally-excited wave-packets: a way to connect quantum to classical regime

Aurélia Chenu<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Monique Combescot<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Singapore-MIT alliance for Research and Technology, Singapore*

<sup>3</sup>*Institut des NanoSciences de Paris, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, CNRS, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France*

Free classical particles have well-defined momentum and position, while free quantum particles have well-defined momentum but a position fully delocalized over the sample volume. We develop a many-body formalism based on wave-packet operators that connects these two limits, the thermal energy being distributed between the state spatial extension and its thermal excitation. The corresponding ‘mixed quantum-classical’ states, which render the Boltzmann operator diagonal, are the physically relevant states when the temperature is finite. The formulation of many-body Hamiltonians in terms of these thermally-excited wave-packets and the resulting effective scatterings are provided.

Connecting wave-packets to quantum particles has been a challenge since the advent of quantum mechanics. Schrödinger was aware of the problem shortly after deriving his equation from de Broglie’s matter wave. After various infructuous attempts to establish a one-to-one correspondence between wave-packets and free particles, he discovered coherent states of harmonic oscillators, that is, the minimum-uncertainty wave-packets in phase space that follow classical trajectories and remain localized at all time [1]. How to describe free particles in terms of wave-packets remained a core problem until the understanding of the openness of the system, the seminal work of Joos and Zeh [2, 3], and Zurek decoherence program [4], showing how decoherence leads to a reduced density matrix for the system that represents an improper ensemble of position-space wave-packets whose widths rapidly decrease toward the thermal de Broglie wavelength.

A challenge remains for the representation of thermal equilibrium: even for the simplest case of free particles, the classical and quantum representations are strikingly different. Indeed, while the first reads in terms of particles with a well-defined momentum and position, the second involves eigenstates with a well-defined momentum but a fully delocalized position over the sample volume, which are far from any classical-particle state. A representation involving localized quantum states (in the form of wave-packets) is necessary to look for a continuous connection between the quantum and classical descriptions of a thermal gas.

We provide such a description here, and give a representation of a  $N$ -particle ideal gas in terms of thermally-excited wave-packets that have all the features of classical particles, that is, a well-defined average momentum and position. The present derivation provides a more physical picture of this new formalism than the one proposed recently [5]. In addition to bridging the gap between traditional quantum and classical representations,

the many-body formalism developed here provides a versatile basis where the wave-packets spatial extension can be chosen at will.

Thermal states are ubiquitous in various area of physics: either as the assumed initial state of a system – and thus the starting point for most quantum calculations – or as a state of a reservoir interacting with the system of interest – and thus the central state for describing an open system. Due to this central role, studying different representations of thermal equilibrium is not only an interesting problem by itself, but also a handy tool. The versatile formalism we propose allows one to choose the most adequate basis according to the problem at hand. It can assist in simplifying calculations and facilitating physical interpretation—e.g. the representation of thermal light in terms of photon-number or coherent states leads to significantly different calculation methods, one providing a much easier route than the other in many applications. Because our formalism provides freedom in the choice of the states involved, it allows for a versatile representation in terms of states which are physically relevant for finite temperature systems.

The paper is organized as follow: we first introduce wave-packets, and define the creation operator for quantum states having a well-defined momentum and position. Section II shows that, despite their non-orthogonality, a complete basis can be constructed from these thermally excited wave-packets. In Section III, we use this basis in a many-body problem, and in Section IV, we determine the wave-packets that diagonalize the Boltzmann operator  $e^{-\beta H_0}$ . In Section V, we discuss their physical relevance. In Section VI, the practical use of this basis is illustrated by calculating the correlation function through a Green’s function procedure. This last Section also includes a discussion and conclusions. To make this manuscript easier to read, we have relegated some derivations to the appendices, in order to only keep the ones having some physical insights in the main text.

---

\*achenu@mit.edu

## I. WAVE-PACKET OPERATORS

Let us consider the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  state having a wave function in momentum space reading as

$$\langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi \rangle. \quad (1)$$

By using the  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ -state closure relation,  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathbf{k}\rangle \langle \mathbf{k}| = 1$ , we find  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle$  and the momentum expectation value as

$$\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \hat{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \mathbf{K} \langle \phi | \phi \rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) |\langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi \rangle|^2, \quad (2)$$

the second term reducing to zero for  $|\phi\rangle$  chosen such that  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle = \langle -\mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle$ . For such a  $|\phi\rangle$ , the momentum mean value in the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  state is equal to  $\mathbf{K}$ ,

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \hat{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle}. \quad (3)$$

If we now turn to  $\mathbf{r}$  space and use  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} / L^{D/2}$  in a sample volume  $L^D$ , we find the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  wave function as

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})} \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \phi \rangle. \quad (4)$$

The  $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ -state closure relation in a finite volume  $L^D$ ,  $\int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} |\mathbf{r}\rangle \langle \mathbf{r}| = 1$ , then gives

$$\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \mathbf{R} \langle \phi | \phi \rangle + \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) |\langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \phi \rangle|^2, \quad (5)$$

the second term reducing to zero for  $|\phi\rangle$  a symmetrical state. So, the mean value of the  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  operator in the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  state is equal to  $\mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle}. \quad (6)$$

All this shows that the operator  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger$ , defined from  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle = a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger |v\rangle$  where  $|v\rangle$  denotes the vacuum state, creates a wave-packet with average position  $\mathbf{R}$  and average momentum  $\mathbf{K}$ . The state  $|\phi\rangle$  characterizes the wave-packet extension, either in  $\mathbf{r}$  space around  $\mathbf{R}$  through  $\langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \phi \rangle$ , or in  $\mathbf{k}$  space around  $\mathbf{K}$  through  $\langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi \rangle$ . From the  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  closure relations, we find that this creation operator expands as

$$a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle, \quad (7)$$

with  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle$  given in Eqs. (1,4). The  $|\phi\rangle$  extension controls the amount of  $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$  and  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$  states making the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  state.

For a highly peaked function in  $\mathbf{k}$  space satisfying  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle = \langle -\mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle$ , that is,  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{0}}$  and  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \phi \rangle =$

$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle = L^{-D/2}$ , so that  $|\phi\rangle$  flat in  $\mathbf{r}$  space, the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  operator reduces to

$$a_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{R}} = \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r}' a_{\mathbf{r}'+\mathbf{R}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{r}' | \mathbf{K} \rangle. \quad (8)$$

So, for such a  $|\phi\rangle$ , the expansion of  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  in terms of  $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$  is highly peaked on  $a_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  but fully delocalized in terms of  $a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$ . And vice-versa for  $|\phi\rangle$  highly peaked in  $\mathbf{r}$  space.

## II. COMPLETE BASIS MADE OF WAVE-PACKETS

While the  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$  states form an orthogonal set, the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  states do not, due to their spatial expansion. Indeed, we find from Eq. (1)

$$\langle \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}' | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle e^{i\frac{\mathbf{K}' + \mathbf{K}}{2} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})} \delta_\phi(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K}). \quad (9)$$

The function  $\delta_\phi(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K})$ , precisely given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\phi(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K}) &= \langle \phi | \phi \rangle^{-1} \\ &\times \sum_{\mathbf{p}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})} \langle \phi | \mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K}}{2} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K}}{2} | \phi \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

characterizes the state overlap. It is such that  $\delta_\phi(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) = 1$ , and  $\delta_\phi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}) \approx 0$  for  $\mathbf{K}$  or  $\mathbf{R}$  larger than the  $\phi$  extension in the respective space. In the case of a highly peaked  $|\phi\rangle$  in  $\mathbf{k}$  space, i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{0}}$ , the  $\delta_\phi(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K})$  function reduces to  $\delta_{\mathbf{K}' \mathbf{K}}$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}' \rangle$  reduces to  $\delta_{\mathbf{K}' \mathbf{K}} e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})} \langle \phi | \phi \rangle$ .

Although the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  states do not form an orthogonal set, they still form a complete basis. Indeed, for  $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = 1$  as taken for simplicity in order to have normalized states  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = 1$ , the operator

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}} \int_{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{L^D} |\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}| = \mathbb{1}_1 \quad (11)$$

is the identity in the one-particle subspace. The above equation gives the  $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$  operator in terms of the normalized  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger$ 's as

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} \int_{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{L^D} a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{k} \rangle, \quad (12)$$

and similarly for  $a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$ ,

$$a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} \int_{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{L^D} a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{r} \rangle, \quad (13)$$

the  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{k} \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$  prefactors being given by Eqs. (1) and (4), respectively.

More generally, the closure relation in the  $N$ -particle subspace,

$$\mathbb{1}_N = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}_i\}} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{k}_N}^\dagger |v\rangle \langle v| a_{\mathbf{k}_N} \dots a_{\mathbf{k}_1}, \quad (14)$$

appears in a compact form in terms of  $a_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  operators,

$$\mathbb{1}_N = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{\mathbf{K}_i\}} \int_{L^D} \left\{ \frac{d\mathbf{R}_i}{L^D} \right\} a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_N, \mathbf{K}_N}^\dagger |v\rangle \langle v| a_{\mathbf{R}_N, \mathbf{K}_N} \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1}. \quad (15)$$

Thus, the  $a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_N, \mathbf{K}_N}^\dagger |v\rangle$  states form a complete basis for the  $N$ -particle subspace, and as such, can be used to decompose any state or write any many-body operator.

### III. MANY-BODY HAMILTONIANS

We now construct a many-body formalism in terms of the normalized wave-packet operators  $a_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  defined above. Using Eq. (12), we first note that the particle-number operator remains diagonal,

$$\hat{N} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} \int_{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{L^D} a_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{K}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{K}}. \quad (16)$$

If we now consider the free Hamiltonian, the same equation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{K}', \mathbf{K}} \int_{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}'}{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{L^D} a_{\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}} \langle \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}' | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with the prefactor given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}' | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle &= e^{i\frac{\mathbf{K}' + \mathbf{K}}{2} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})} \times \\ &\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{K}' + \mathbf{K}}{2}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})} \langle \phi | \mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K}}{2} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K}}{2} | \phi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In the case of a highly peaked  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle$ , i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k}0}$ , the  $\phi$  part of the above equation reduces to  $\delta_{\mathbf{K}', \mathbf{K}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}0}$ , and  $H_0$  reduces to  $\sum_{\mathbf{K}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} a_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{K}}$ , as expected.

In the same way, the two-particle interaction of a many-body Hamiltonian,

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} a_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{q}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_2} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}, \quad (19)$$

reads, with the help of Eq. (12),

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \sum_{\{\mathbf{K}\}} \int_{L^D} \left\{ \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{L^D} \right\} \mathcal{V} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_2' \mathbf{K}_2' & \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{K}_2 \\ \mathbf{R}_1' \mathbf{K}_1' & \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{K}_1 \end{array} \right) \\ &\quad \times a_{\mathbf{R}_1', \mathbf{K}_1'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{R}_2', \mathbf{K}_2'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{K}_2} a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where the scattering amplitude between wave-packets splits as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_2' \mathbf{K}_2' & \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{K}_2 \\ \mathbf{R}_1' \mathbf{K}_1' & \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{K}_1 \end{array} \right) &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V_{\mathbf{q}} u_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{R}_1', \mathbf{K}_1'; \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1) \\ &\quad \times u_{-\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{R}_2', \mathbf{K}_2'; \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{K}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

the  $\mathbf{q}$ -channel amplitude being given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}'; \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}' | \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle \\ &= e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}'} \langle \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{q} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The  $\delta_\phi$  function appearing in the above wave-packet scalar product, see Eq. (9), forces  $\mathbf{K}'$  close to  $\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{R}'$  close to  $\mathbf{R}$ . In the limit of a very highly peaked  $|\phi\rangle$  in momentum space,  $u_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}'; \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K})$  reduces to  $\delta_{\mathbf{K}', \mathbf{K}} e^{i(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{R}'} e^{-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$  and we recover the potential given in Eq. (19), as expected. For a broad  $|\phi\rangle$ , the scattering potential is broadened in space and momentum.

### IV. BOLTZMANN OPERATOR

Let us now consider the Boltzmann operator for a free system,  $e^{-\beta H_0}$  with  $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ , that describes thermal equilibrium.

(i) As  $[H_0, a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger] = \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$  yields  $e^{-\beta H_0} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{-\beta(H_0 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})}$ , the Boltzmann operator in the  $N$ -particle subspace takes a diagonal form when written with the help of  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$  states. Indeed, since  $e^{-\beta H_0} |v\rangle = |v\rangle$ , we readily find

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^{-\beta H_0}\}_N &= e^{-\beta H_0} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}_i\}} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{k}_N}^\dagger |v\rangle \langle v| a_{\mathbf{k}_N} \dots a_{\mathbf{k}_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}_i\}} \left( e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_i}} \right) a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{k}_N}^\dagger |v\rangle \langle v| a_{\mathbf{k}_N} \dots a_{\mathbf{k}_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The  $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$  operators used in this representation do not depend on the temperature  $T$ ; all the thermal energy is carried in the Boltzmann factors,  $e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}$ , which physically correspond to the probability for the  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle = a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger |v\rangle$  state to be thermally occupied. As the  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$  state eigenenergy is  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ , the  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$  state energy variance,  $\sigma_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \mathbf{k} | H_0^2 | \mathbf{k} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{k} | H_0 | \mathbf{k} \rangle^2$ , reduces to zero.

(ii) We can also write the Boltzmann operator starting with the  $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ -state closure relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^{-\beta H_0}\}_N &= e^{-\beta H_0} \frac{1}{N!} \int_{L^D} d\{\mathbf{r}_i\} \\ &\quad \times a_{\mathbf{r}_1}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{r}_N}^\dagger |v\rangle \langle v| a_{\mathbf{r}_N} \dots a_{\mathbf{r}_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

To pass  $e^{-\beta H_0}$  over  $a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$ , we use the relations between the  $a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$  and  $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$  operators, namely

$$a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r} \rangle, \quad a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k} \rangle. \quad (25)$$

They readily give

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\beta H_0} a_{\mathbf{r}_1}^\dagger &= e^{-\beta H_0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle e^{-\beta(H_0 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})} \\ &= \left( \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r}_1' a_{\mathbf{r}_1'}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{r}_1' | e^{-\beta H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle \right) e^{-\beta H_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

To go further and write Eq. (24) in a diagonal form, we must decouple  $\mathbf{r}'_1$  from  $\mathbf{r}_1$  in the above equation. This is done by splitting  $e^{-\beta H_0}$  as  $e^{-\beta H_0/2}e^{-\beta H_0/2}$  and by inserting the  $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ -state closure relation between the two  $e^{-\beta H_0/2}$  operators

$$\langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta H_0/2} \left( \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{R}_1 | \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 | \right) e^{-\beta H_0/2} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle. \quad (27)$$

Due to the translational invariance of the system,  $\langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle$  depends on  $|\mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{r}_1|$  only, as directly seen from

$$\langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | \mathbf{k} \rangle e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle = \frac{Z_T}{L^D} e^{-|\mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{r}_1|^2 / \lambda_T^2} \quad (28)$$

where  $\lambda_T$  is the thermal length that we defined as

$$\beta^{-1} = k_B T = 4 \hbar^2 / (2m \lambda_T^2), \quad (29)$$

and  $Z_T = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} = (L/\lambda_T \sqrt{\pi})^D$  is the partition function for one free particle. This shows that  $\langle \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R} | e^{-\beta H_0/2} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$  reduces to  $\langle \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R} | \phi_T \rangle$  with

$$|\phi_T\rangle = e^{-\beta H_0/2} | \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0} \rangle. \quad (30)$$

Equations (26,27) then give

$$e^{-\beta H_0} a_{\mathbf{r}_1}^\dagger = \left( \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{R}_1 a_{\mathbf{R}_1, T}^\dagger \langle \phi_T | \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 \rangle \right) e^{-\beta H_0}, \quad (31)$$

with  $a_{\mathbf{R}_1, T}^\dagger$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{R}_1, T}^\dagger &= \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r}'_1 a_{\mathbf{r}'_1}^\dagger \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 | \phi_T \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_1} \langle \mathbf{k} | \phi_T \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which follows from Eq. (25). By noting that the  $\langle \phi_T | \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 \rangle$  factor in Eq. (31) can be used in Eq. (24) to produce the  $a_{\mathbf{R}_1, T}$  operator, it becomes straightforward to show that the Boltzmann operator in the  $N$ -quantum particle subspace written with the help of the  $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ -state closure relation as in Eq. (24), also takes the following diagonal form

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^{-\beta H_0}\}_N &= \frac{1}{N!} \int_{L^D} d\{\mathbf{R}_i\} \\ &\quad \times a_{\mathbf{R}_1, T}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_N, T}^\dagger |v\rangle \langle v| a_{\mathbf{R}_N, T} \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_1, T}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In the next section, we will study the physics associated with the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger$  operator, and show that it creates a wave-packet with spatial extension  $\lambda_T$  around  $\mathbf{R}$ , and a momentum equal to zero.

(iii) It also is possible to write  $e^{-\beta H_0}$  in a diagonal form in terms of operators that create wave-packets with non-zero average momentum, by splitting the thermal energy  $k_B T$  into a part accounting for the spatial extension

of the wave-packet and a part accounting for its kinetic energy, namely

$$k_B T = k_B T_{\mathcal{R}} + k_B T_{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (34)$$

Using Eq. (28), it is easy to check that  $\langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle$  splits as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle &= \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda_{T_{\mathcal{R}}} \lambda_{T_{\mathcal{K}}}}{\lambda_T} \right)^D \\ &\quad \times \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{R}} H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{K}} H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

To go further, we again have to decouple  $\mathbf{r}'_1$  from  $\mathbf{r}_1$ . In the  $\langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{R}} H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle$  part, we use the  $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ -state closure relation, as done to get Eq. (27), and we obtain Eq. (28) with  $\beta$  replaced by  $\beta_{\mathcal{R}}$ . In the  $\langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{K}} H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle$  part, we use the  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ -state closure relation, namely

$$\langle \mathbf{r}'_1 | e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{K}} H_0} | \mathbf{r}_1 \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{K}_1} \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 | \mathbf{K}_1 \rangle e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}_1}} \langle \mathbf{K}_1 | \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 \rangle. \quad (36)$$

The same procedure as the one used to obtain Eq. (31) allows us to rewrite Eq. (26) as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\beta H_0} a_{\mathbf{r}_1}^\dagger &= \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda_{T_{\mathcal{R}}} \lambda_{T_{\mathcal{K}}}}{\lambda_T} \right)^D \sum_{\mathbf{K}_1} e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}_1}} \times \\ &\quad \left( \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{R}_1 a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1, T_{\mathcal{R}}}^\dagger \langle \phi_{T_{\mathcal{R}}} | \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{K}_1 | \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 \rangle \right) e^{-\beta H_0} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

the  $a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1, T}^\dagger$  operator being defined as

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1, T}^\dagger &= L^{-D/2} \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r}'_1 a_{\mathbf{r}'_1}^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{K}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{R}_1)} \langle \mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{R}_1 | \phi_T \rangle \\ &= L^{-D/2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_1} \langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}_1 | \phi_T \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The above equations are similar to Eqs. (1,4) with  $|\phi\rangle$  replaced by  $L^{-D/2} |\phi_T\rangle$ .

It is then straightforward to show that Eq. (24) also reads

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^{-\beta H_0}\}_N &= \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda_{T_{\mathcal{R}}} \lambda_{T_{\mathcal{K}}}}{\lambda_T} \right)^{ND} \sum_{\{\mathbf{K}_i\}} \left( e^{-\beta_{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}_i}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{L^D} d\{\mathbf{R}_i\} a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1, T_{\mathcal{R}}}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_N, \mathbf{K}_N, T_{\mathcal{R}}}^\dagger |v\rangle \\ &\quad \langle v | a_{\mathbf{R}_N, \mathbf{K}_N, T_{\mathcal{R}}} \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1, T_{\mathcal{R}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which is diagonal in the basis formed by the states  $a_{\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{K}_1, T_{\mathcal{R}}}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{R}_N, \mathbf{K}_N, T_{\mathcal{R}}}^\dagger |v\rangle$ . The physics associated with the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T}^\dagger$  operator is discussed in the next section. We will show that this operator creates a wave-packet with average position  $\mathbf{R}$  and average momentum  $\mathbf{K}$ , the position and momentum extensions being controlled by  $\lambda_T$  and  $\lambda_T^{-1}$ , respectively.

## V. THERMALLY-EXCITED WAVE-PACKETS

### A. Properties of the $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle = a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger |v\rangle$ state

Let us now understand the physics associated with the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger$  operator making  $e^{-\beta H_0}$  diagonal.

(i) As seen from Eqs. (28, 30), the  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \phi_T \rangle$  wave function is localized at  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$  with a spatial extension  $\lambda_T / \sqrt{2}$ ; so, the operator  $a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger$  defined in Eq. (32) creates a wave-packet  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle = a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger |v\rangle$  with wave function  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \phi_T \rangle$  localized around  $\mathbf{R}$  with a spatial extension scaling as  $\lambda_T$ , the norm of the  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$  state being given by

$$\langle \mathbf{R}, T | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle = \langle \phi_T | \phi_T \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_T \sqrt{\pi}} \right)^D. \quad (40)$$

The scalar product of two  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$  states having same  $T$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{R}, T | \mathbf{R}', T \rangle &= \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} \langle \phi_T | \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} \rangle \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}' | \phi_T \rangle \\ &\equiv \langle \phi_T | \phi_T \rangle \delta_{\phi_T}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

with  $\delta_{\phi_T}(\mathbf{R})$  equal to 1 for  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{0}$  and to  $\sim 0$  for  $|\mathbf{R}|$  large compared to  $\lambda_T$ . Hence, due to the wave-packet spatial extension, two  $a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger |v\rangle$  states with different  $\mathbf{R}$ 's are not exactly orthogonal. As illustrated in Fig. 1, when  $T$  goes to zero,  $\lambda_T$  goes to infinity and the wave-packet is fully delocalized in space: it then looks like a free quantum particle. By contrast, when  $T$  goes to infinity,  $\lambda_T$  goes to zero and the wave-packet is fully localized in space: it then looks like a classical particle.

(ii) This wave-packet does not move as seen from the mean value of the momentum operator  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  which reduces to zero for  $|\phi_T\rangle$  a symmetrical state.

(iii) The energy of the  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$  state reads (see Appendix)

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle} = \frac{D}{2} k_B T, \quad (42)$$

which is exactly equal to the energy of a classical particle when the temperature is  $T$ : within the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger$  representation, the thermal energy entirely lies in the spread of the wave-packet operators.

(iv) The energy variance  $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}, T}$  of the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger |v\rangle$  state, found equal to  $\frac{D}{2} (k_B T)^2$  (see Appendix), has the same value as that of a classical particle.

### B. Properties of the $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle = a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T}^\dagger |v\rangle$ state

(i) The operator  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T}^\dagger$  defined in Eq. (38) is similar to the wave-packet operator  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  defined in Section I, with  $|\phi\rangle$  replaced by  $L^{-D/2} |\phi_T\rangle$ . So, it creates a wave-packet localized around  $\mathbf{R}$  with momentum around  $\mathbf{K}$ ,




FIG. 1: The wave function of the state  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle = a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger$ , as defined in Eq. (32), is represented in  $\mathbf{r}$  space for various temperatures. The highest the temperature, the more localized the state is.

its spatial expansion scaling as  $\lambda_T$  and its momentum expansion as  $\lambda_T^{-1}$ . From (9), the scalar product of two same- $T$  states is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}', T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle &= L^{-D} \langle \phi_T | \phi_T \rangle e^{i \frac{\mathbf{K}' + \mathbf{K}}{2} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})} \\ &\times \delta_{\phi_T}(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}' - \mathbf{K}), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where the extension of  $\delta_{\phi_T}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K})$ , defined in Eq. (10), is now controlled by the temperature. As illustrated in Fig. 2, when  $T$  goes to zero,  $\lambda_T$  goes to infinity and the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$  wave-packet is fully delocalized in space: it then looks like a free quantum particle. By contrast, when  $T$  goes to infinity,  $\lambda_T$  goes to zero and the wave-packet is fully localized in space: it then looks like a classical particle. Also note that the overlap is  $\sim 0$  for  $|\mathbf{R}| \gg \lambda_T$  or  $|\mathbf{K}| \gg 1/\lambda_T$ ; so,  $\lambda_T$  corresponds to the de Broglie wavelength characterizing the spatial extension of the wave-packet.

(ii) It is possible to show that the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$  wave-packet moves with an average momentum equal to  $\mathbf{K}$ , independent from the wave-packet spatial spread, the  $\mathbf{K}$ -momentum probability at equilibrium being controlled by the Boltzmann factor  $e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}}}$ , as seen from Eq. (39).

We can also calculate the uncertainties in momentum and position. As  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{k}_x^2 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle = K_x^2 + 2/\lambda_T^2$  for each cartesian coordinate, while  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{x} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle = \mathbf{R}_x$  and  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{x}^2 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle = \mathbf{R}_x^2 + \lambda_T^2/8$ , the momentum uncertainty of the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$  state is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta k_x)^2 &\equiv \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{k}_x^2 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{k}_x | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle^2}{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda_T^2} \end{aligned}$$

and the position uncertainty is equal to  $(\Delta x)^2 = \lambda_T^2/8$ . Not surprisingly, the fluctuations around the mean posi-






FIG. 2: Real part (solid lines) and absolute value (dashed lines) of the coordinate representation of the wave-packet wave function defined by the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle \equiv a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T}^\dagger |v\rangle$  state for increasing temperatures (from a to c). (a) The  $T \rightarrow 0$  limit recovers the eigenstate  $|\mathbf{K}\rangle$ . For non-zero temperature, the thermal energy is distributed between the state spatial extension and its thermal excitation, witnessed by the state average momentum  $\mathbf{K}$ .

tion and momentum dependent on the wave-packet extension. These uncertainties give  $\Delta k_x \Delta x = 1/2$ ; so, the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$  states correspond to minimum uncertainty states, independently from their extension.

(iii) The energy mean value of the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$  state is equal to

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle} = \frac{D}{2} k_B T + \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}}. \quad (44)$$

This energy has a classical component given by the first term and a quantum component given by the second term. When  $T \rightarrow 0$ , the wave-packet energy tends to the energy of the quantum state  $|\mathbf{K}\rangle$ ; by contrast, for  $\mathbf{K} = 0$ , we recover the energy of the zero average-momentum state  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$ , Eq. (42), which is totally classical.

(iv) Similarly, the variance in energy is given by

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T} = \frac{1}{Z_T} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}^2 e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} - \left( \frac{D}{2} k_B T + \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} \right)^2 \quad (45)$$

which again has a classical part and a thermally excited quantum part.

(v) The time evolution of the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$  state follows from Eq. (38) as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle_t &= e^{-iH_0 t} |\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle \\ &= L^{-D/2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathbf{k}\rangle e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} t)} \langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi_T \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

This readily shows that the norm of this state stays constant and equal to  $\langle \phi_T | \phi_T \rangle / L^D$ . In the same way, the wave-packet average momentum stays constant and equal to  $\mathbf{K}$ , as expected for a non-interacting system with Hamiltonian  $H_0$ . If we now consider the average position, we find (see Appendix)

$${}_t \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle_t \approx \frac{\langle \phi_T | \phi_T \rangle}{L^D} \mathbf{R}_t, \quad (47)$$

with  $\mathbf{R}_t \equiv \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{K}t$ . The wave-packet thus moves with a velocity  $\mathbf{K}/m$ , as a classical particle, without changing

its velocity and its symmetrical shape, as can be directly seen from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle_t &\approx L^{-D/2} e^{i\epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} t} e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_t)} \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_t | \phi_T \rangle \\ &= e^{i\epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} t} \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}_t, \mathbf{K} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

with  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle$  defined in Eq. (4) for  $|\phi\rangle = L^{-D/2} |\phi_T\rangle$ . We could expect the wave-packet to spread over time because each  $\mathbf{k}$  component travels at its own velocity, but the approximation  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} + (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \cdot \mathbf{K}/m$  that we used to obtain Eq. (47) amounts to neglect these other components.

## VI. DISCUSSION

We first wish to note that the splitting of  $T$  in  $T_{\mathcal{R}} + T_{\mathcal{K}}$ , as done in Eq. (34), appears in a natural way when considering correlation functions. Indeed, the correlation of two operators,  $A$  and  $B$ , namely  $\langle A(t)B(0) \rangle = \text{Tr}(A(t)B(0)\rho_{\text{th}})$ , can be obtained from the thermal density matrix  $\rho_{\text{th}} = e^{-\beta H} / \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})$ , which corresponds to the normalized Boltzmann operator in the subspace of interest. The Fourier transform of the correlation function yields the retarded Green's function, which is easy to evaluate for one free particle (see e.g. Chap. 4 in [6]), as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{AB}^>(\omega) &\equiv \int dt e^{i\omega t} \langle A(t)B(0) \rangle \\ &= \int dt e^{i\omega t} \text{Tr} \left( e^{iH_0 t} A e^{-iH_0 t} B \frac{e^{-\beta H_0}}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_0})} \mathbb{1}_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{Z_T} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'} + \omega) \langle \mathbf{k} | A | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}' | B | \mathbf{k} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where  $Z_T = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_0})$ . This expression follows from the conventional representation of the thermal state, that is the eigenstate representation given in Eq. (23), here written in the 1-particle subspace. The same function can be evaluated using the newly developed, thermally-excited wave-packet basis. This is done by using the Boltzmann

operator given in Eq. (39) and by inserting  $\mathbf{k}$  closure relations. This yields (see Appendix)

$$G_{AB}^>(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{Z_T} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'} + \omega) \langle \mathbf{k}|A|\mathbf{k}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}'|B|\mathbf{k} \rangle \quad (50)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda_{T_K} \lambda_{T_R}}{\lambda_T L} \right)^D \sum_{\mathbf{K}} e^{-\beta_K \epsilon_K} e^{-\beta_R \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}}}.$$

Identification of this expression with Eq. (49) requires that

$$e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda_{T_K} \lambda_{T_R}}{\lambda_T L} \right)^D \sum_{\mathbf{K}} e^{-\beta_K \epsilon_K} e^{-\beta_R \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}}}, \quad (51)$$

which can be evaluated in the continuum. This leads to  $\beta^{-1} = \beta_R^{-1} + \beta_K^{-1}$ , which just corresponds to Eq. (34).

One important question still remains to be answered: what is the appropriate decomposition in  $T_K$  and  $T_R$  for a given temperature  $T$ ? In other words, how much energy should be put into the spread of the wave-packet, and how much into the distribution of its momentum? One approach to tackle this issue might be to relate the spatial extension with an effective state temperature: the more spread in space, the lower the temperature.

Another approach might lie in the search for a connection between quantum mechanics and quantum statistical mechanics. The thermally-excited wave-packet representation presented here pins out the two essential features required for thermal states, as argued in a recent work [7], namely stochasticity and spatial extension of the particle wave function. In a sense, the maximal extension of the wave-packet defines how much stochasticity lies in the state. Hence, the answer to how the thermal energy must be split between the spatial extension of the wave-packet and the momentum distribution

could lie into the intrinsic stochasticity of the state. Such an interpretation would support the argument that the stochasticity and irreversibility in statistical mechanics reflects the true features of nature, but needs further investigation. Future works will address these problems.

Note that, among the current methods that successfully describes many-body systems at finite temperature, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [8] rely on point particles with a finite spatial extension. The spatial extension is defined either by the electronic shell or by the nuclei thermal wavelength obtained from path integral ab initio calculations that account for electronic properties of electrons or nuclei. The advantage of the wave-packet representation we here propose, is to treat the particle and wave properties of the matter on equal footing. This is of particular importance for fermions, where the Pauli exclusion principle limits the state occupation in the wave-packet distribution when  $N \geq 2$ . Quantum features are relevant when the density is large enough such that the wave-packets display some non-zero overlap.

In conclusion, we propose a general formalism that represents thermally-equilibrated systems of free massive bosons or fermions at finite temperature, in terms of thermally-excited wave-packets. These wave-packets, which have the features of classical particles, provide the missing link for a continuous connection between classical and quantum representations of a thermal gas. This formalism allows one to choose the wave-packets involved according to the relevant physical length of the system of interest.

*Acknowledgments.* — A.C. wishes to thank the *Institut des NanoSciences de Paris* for a warm invitation, and J. Cao for hosting her at MIT. She also acknowledges financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

- 
- [1] E. Schrödinger, *Die Naturwissenschaften* **14**, 664 (1926), the continuous transition from micromechanics to macromechanics.
  - [2] E. Joos and H. D. Zeh, *Z. Phys. B-Condens. Mat.* **59**, 223 (1985).
  - [3] M. Schlosshauer, *Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition* (Springer, Berlin, 2007).
  - [4] W. H. Zurek, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 715 (2003).
  - [5] A. Chenu, A. Branczyk, and J. E. Sipe, *ArXiv* **1609.00014** (2016).
  - [6] F. Schwabl, *Advanced Quantum Mechanics* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2005).
  - [7] B. Drossel, *ArXiv* **1509.07275** (2015).
  - [8] D. Marx and J. Hutter, *Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics: Basic Theory and Advanced Methods* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

## Appendix A: Wave-packet operators

We provide here some details supporting the derivations presented in the main text.

The momentum expectation value of the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$ , Eq. (2), is obtained from

$$\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \hat{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \mathbf{K} \langle \phi | \phi \rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) |\langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi \rangle|^2, \quad (\text{A1})$$

while the position expectation value, Eq. (5), comes from

$$\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle = \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{r} \rangle (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}) \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The norm of the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}\rangle$  state reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \phi | \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

From the definition of the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  operator, Eq. (7), it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} [a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger] &= \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle, \quad [a_{\mathbf{r}}, a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger] = \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle \quad (\text{A4}) \\ [a_{\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}'}, a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger] &= \langle \mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}' | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We now look at the free Hamiltonian in the wave-packet basis, Eq. (18). In the case of a highly peaked  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle$ , i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k}0}$ , the  $\phi$  part of the mentioned equation reduces to  $\delta_{\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{K}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}0}$ . So,  $H_0$  appears as

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} \int_{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{L^D} \left( \int_{L^D} \frac{d\mathbf{R}'}{L^D} a_{\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{K}}^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})} \right) a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

We have shown in Eq. (8) that for such highly peaked  $|\phi\rangle$ , the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}}^\dagger$  operators reduce to  $a_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$ ; so,  $H_0$  reduces to  $\sum_{\mathbf{K}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} a_{\mathbf{K}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{K}}$ .

## Appendix B: State characterization

We detail below some of the state properties, keeping the same numeration as in the main text for clarity.

### 1. $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$ state

(ii) The momentum mean value of the state  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$  reads, since  $\langle v | a_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger | v \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R} \rangle$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{R}, T | \hat{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} \left| \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} \langle \phi_T | \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} \rangle \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{k} \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} |\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi_T \rangle|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

which reduces to zero for  $|\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi_T \rangle|^2 = |(-\mathbf{k} | \phi_T \rangle)|^2$ .

(iii) The energy of the  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$  state follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{R}, T | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle &= \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \langle \phi_T | \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} \rangle \langle \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R} | \phi_T \rangle \langle v | a_{\mathbf{r}} H_0 a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger | v \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

To decouple  $\mathbf{r}$  from  $\mathbf{r}'$ , we rewrite the  $H_0$  term as  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \mathbf{k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R} \rangle$ . Integrations over  $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ , readily performed through closure relations, give the r.h.s. of the above equation as  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} |\langle \mathbf{k} | \phi_T \rangle|^2 = L^{-D} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}$ . So, the energy of the  $|\mathbf{R}, T\rangle$  state reduces to

$$\frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}}{\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}} = \frac{D}{2} k_B T, \quad (\text{B3})$$

(iv) The energy variance of the  $a_{\mathbf{R}, T}^\dagger | v \rangle$  state is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{R}, T} &= \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | H_0^2 | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle^2}{\langle \mathbf{R}, T | \mathbf{R}, T \rangle^2} \\ &= \frac{D}{2} (k_B T)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

### 2. $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$ state

(i) The operator  $a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T}^\dagger$  defined in Eq. (38) creates a state  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle = a_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T}^\dagger | v \rangle$  with wave function

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle = L^{-D/2} e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})} \langle \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} | \phi_T \rangle, \quad (\text{B5})$$

which is similar to  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle$  defined in Eq. (4) with  $|\phi\rangle$  replaced by  $L^{-D/2} |\phi_T\rangle$ . In the same way, Eq. (38) gives

$$\langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle = L^{-D/2} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi_T \rangle, \quad (\text{B6})$$

which is similar to  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} \rangle$  in Eq. (1) with the appropriate  $|\phi\rangle$ .

(iii) The energy mean value of the  $|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T\rangle$  state follows from  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle = L^{-2D} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} |\langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi_T \rangle|^2$ , as given in Eq. (18) replacing  $|\phi\rangle = L^{-D/2} |\phi_T\rangle$ .

(iv) Similarly, we can derive the variance in energy. From  $\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | H_0^2 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle = L^{-2D} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}^2 e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}$ , we find the variance (45) from its definition

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | H_0^2 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | H_0 | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle^2}{\langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle^2}.$$

(v) The position expectation value for the time-dependent wave-packet, defined in Eq. (46), is obtained by splitting  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{k}' \rangle$  through the  $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ -state closure relation,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_t \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle_t &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} {}_t \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}' | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle_t \\ &= L^{-D} \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \phi_T | \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r} \rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} t)} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$



To go further, we note that  $\langle \phi_T | \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} \rangle$  forces  $\mathbf{k} \approx \mathbf{K}$ . This leads us to expand  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$  as  $\approx \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}} + (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \cdot \mathbf{K}/m$  for  $\hbar = 1$ . So, by setting  $\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{K}t/m = \mathbf{R}_t$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_t \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle_t \\ &= \frac{1}{L^D} \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{r} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \phi_T | \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{r} \rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_t} \right|^2 \\ &\approx \frac{1}{L^D} \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{r} |\langle \phi_T | \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_t \rangle|^2 = \frac{\langle \phi_T | \phi_T \rangle}{L^D} \mathbf{R}_t, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B8})$$

### Appendix C: Retarded Green's function

We provide here details for the calculation of the retarded Green's function written in the wave-packet basis, Eq. (50). Starting from its definition, Eq. (49), and using the Boltzmann operator represented in the wave-packet basis, Eq. (39), we can insert  $\mathbf{k}$  closure relations to write the function as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{AB}^>(\omega) &= \frac{1}{Z_T} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda_{T\mathcal{R}} \lambda_{T\mathcal{K}}}{\lambda_T} \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}''} \int dt e^{i(\omega + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'})t} \\ &\times \sum_{\mathbf{K}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}}} \int_{L^D} d\mathbf{R} \langle \mathbf{k} | A | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}' | B | \mathbf{k}'' \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}'' | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T_{\mathcal{R}} | \mathbf{k} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that

$$\int_{L^D} d\mathbf{R} \langle \mathbf{k}'' | \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T \rangle \langle \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K}, T | \mathbf{k} \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k}'' \mathbf{k}} |\langle \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K} | \phi_T \rangle|^2, \quad (\text{C1})$$

and the  $\mathbf{k}$  representation of the  $|\phi_T\rangle$  state,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{k} | \phi_T \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{k} | e^{-\beta H_0/2} | \mathbf{r} = 0 \rangle \\ &= e^{-\beta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}/2} L^{-D/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

the Green's function reduces to Eq. (50) given in the main text.