

On Integrable Ermakov-Painlevé IV Systems

Colin Rogers¹ Andrew P. Bassom² and Peter A. Clarkson³

¹ School of Mathematics & Statistics, The University of New South Wales,
Sydney, NSW2052, Australia
c.rogers@unsw.edu.au

² School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Tasmania,
Private Bag 37, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
andrew.bassom@utas.edu.au

³ School of Mathematics, Statistics & Actuarial Science,
University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7FS, UK
P.A.Clarkson@kent.ac.uk

December 19, 2017

Abstract

Novel hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems are introduced and an associated Ermakov invariant is used in establishing their integrability. Bäcklund transformations are then employed to generate classes of exact solutions via the linked canonical Painlevé IV equation.

1 Introduction

The six classical Painlevé equations, commonly denoted by P_I – P_{VI} , arise in a wide range of physical applications and have played a fundamental role in modern soliton theory. Detailed accounts of their properties may be found in [18, 19, 24, 42] together with the literature cited therein. In particular, the fourth Painlevé equation (P_{IV}) which is connected to the new integrable systems to be introduced here adopts the canonical form

$$\frac{d^2\omega}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \left(\frac{d\omega}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\omega^3 + 4z\omega^2 + 2(z^2 - \alpha)\omega + \frac{\beta}{\omega}, \quad (1.1)$$

where α and β are in general arbitrary parameters, possibly complex. In the present context they are taken as real. This nonlinear integrable equation has been shown to have important applications such as hydrodynamics [7], nonlinear optics [28], quantum gravity theory [30, 31], supersymmetric quantum mechanics [9–11, 13, 27, 50, 53] random matrices [33, 34, 46, 62, 86], orthogonal polynomials [16, 17, 22, 23, 26], exceptional orthogonal polynomials [35–37, 51, 52] and vortex dynamics [20]. Associated with P_{IV} (1.1) is the second-degree, second-order σ -equation, usually known as S_{IV} (or the P_{IV} σ -equation)

$$\left(\frac{d^2\sigma}{dz^2} \right)^2 - 4 \left(z \frac{d\sigma}{dz} - \sigma \right)^2 + 4 \frac{d\sigma}{dz} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dz} + 2\vartheta_0 \right) \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dz} + 2\vartheta_\infty \right) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where ϑ_0 and ϑ_∞ are arbitrary parameters, due to Jimbo and Miwa [45] and Okamoto [58–60], whose solutions are equivalent to those of P_{IV} (2.4).

Nonlinear coupled systems of Ermakov-Ray-Reid type, on the other hand, namely (see e.g. [63, 64, 72, 75, 85])

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dz^2} + \Theta(z)\phi = \frac{1}{\phi^2\psi} \Phi(\psi/\phi), \quad (1.3a)$$

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + \Theta(z)\psi = \frac{1}{\psi^2\phi} \Psi(\phi/\psi), \quad (1.3b)$$

with their distinctive integral of motion

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi \frac{d\psi}{dz} - \psi \frac{d\phi}{dz} \right)^2 + \int^{u=\psi/\phi} \Phi(u) du + \int^{v=\phi/\psi} \Psi(v) dv,$$

likewise display a diverse range of physical applications as described in [38, 71, 73, 74, 77, 78, 84].

It is well known that solitonic systems generically admit invariance under Bäcklund transformations which have associated nonlinear superposition principles, namely, permutability theorems (see e.g. [76, 82]). Bäcklund transformations generically admitted by the Painlevé equations such as set down in [41] enable the iterative generation of sequences of exact solutions of associated overarching solitonic equations. Ermakov-Ray-Reid systems likewise possess nonlinear superposition laws, albeit of another kind [63, 64]. Moreover, just as solitonic systems and their associated Painlevé symmetry reductions admit linear representations, so Ermakov-Ray-Reid systems have also been shown to possess underlying linear structure in [3]. Despite these remarkable commonalities, the studies of Painlevé and Ermakov-type systems have preceded independently until recently.

The notions of S-integrable and C-integrable nonlinear equations with their important associated universal aspects is due to Calogero (see e.g. [15] and literature cited therein). The conjugation of such S-integrable equations which are amenable to the inverse scattering transform and nonlinear C-integrable equations which may be reduced to tractable linear canonical forms, typically via Bäcklund, gauge or reciprocal transformations, constitutes a novel topic with origin in work on generalised Ermakov systems as introduced in [85]. Therein, it was established that an S-integrable 2+1-dimensional extension due to Schief [83] of the Ernst equation of general relativity, incorporates a C-integrable generalised Ermakov system. The present work has its genesis in recent developments in [65, 66], where prototype integrable hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé II and Ermakov-Painlevé IV-type systems were derived via wave packet symmetry reductions of two classes of physically important resonant nonlinear Schrödinger systems. The latter involve de Broglie-Bohm quantum potential terms and under certain circumstances they admit novel fission or fusion phenomena [47, 56, 57].

Dirichlet two-point boundary value problems for both the Ermakov-Painlevé II and Ermakov-Painlevé IV equations have been recently investigated in [1, 2]. In [1], the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé II equation was linked to its integrable Painlevé XXXIV avatar in the context of a three-ion reduction of the classical Nernst-Planck system as derived in [25]. On setting $\Omega = \omega^{1/2}$, with $\omega > 0$, in P_{IV} (1.1), one obtains the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV type equation

$$\frac{d^2\Omega}{dz^2} = \left[\frac{3}{4}\Omega^4 + 2z\Omega^2 + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Omega + \frac{\beta}{2\Omega^3}, \quad (1.4)$$

which encapsulates positive solutions of P_{IV} (1.1). It may be derived, in particular, via symmetry reduction of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations of a type that arise in plasma physics, cf. [21]. The Ermakov invariant admitted by coupled pairs of nonlinear equations of the type (1.4) has been shown in [1] to allow their integration in terms of P_{IV} (1.1).

In the present paper a novel Ermakov-Ray-Reid type system is introduced and its integrable structure established via a Ermakov invariant relation together with a linked canonical P_{IV} equation.

2 Integrability of the Ermakov-Painlevé IV System

The preceding motivates the introduction here of the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_1 &= \frac{1}{\Phi_1^2\Phi_2} S \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right), \\ \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_2 &= \frac{1}{\Phi_2^2\Phi_1} T \left(\frac{\Phi_1}{\Phi_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The integrability of this coupled nonlinear system is investigated when there exists $V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_1^2\Phi_2} S \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_1}, \quad \frac{1}{\Phi_2^2\Phi_1} T \left(\frac{\Phi_1}{\Phi_2} \right) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_2},$$

in which case, it may be shown, as in the manner described in [71] for the standard Ermakov-Ray-Reid system, that it adopts the form

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_1 = \frac{2}{\Phi_1^3} J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right) + \frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1^4} J'\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right), \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_2 = -\frac{1}{\Phi_1^3} J'\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right), \quad (2.1b)$$

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to the argument Φ_2/Φ_1 in J . This system admits the Ermakov invariant

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} - \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2} \right) J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

and, on use of the identity

$$(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) \left[\left(\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right)^2 \right] - \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} - \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \Phi_2 \right)^2 \equiv \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} + \Phi_2 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right)^2,$$

it follows that

$$\left(\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right)^2 - \frac{2\mathcal{I}}{\Sigma} + \frac{2}{\Phi_1^2} J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right) = \frac{1}{4\Sigma} \left(\frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \right)^2,$$

where $\Sigma \equiv \Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2$. Hence

$$2 \left(\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} + \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} \right) + \frac{2\mathcal{I}}{\Sigma^2} \frac{d\Sigma}{dz} + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{2}{\Phi_1^2} J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\Sigma} \frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \frac{d^2\Sigma}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{4\Sigma^2} \left(\frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \right)^3, \quad (2.3)$$

where the system (2.1) shows that

$$\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} + \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \frac{d\Sigma}{dz} = \frac{2}{\Phi_1^3} \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} J + \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1^4} \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} - \frac{1}{\Phi_1^3} \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right) J = -\frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{\Phi_1^2} J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right) \right],$$

with $\Delta := \frac{3}{4}\Sigma^2 + 2\Sigma + z^2 - \alpha$. Accordingly, (2.3) shows that reduction is obtained to the canonical P_{IV} equation in Σ , namely

$$\frac{d^2\Sigma}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma} \left(\frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\Sigma^3 + 4z\Sigma^2 + 2(z^2 - \alpha)\Sigma + \frac{\beta}{\Sigma}, \quad (2.4)$$

with $\beta = 4\mathcal{I}$.

To determine the original Ermakov variables Φ_1 and Φ_2 corresponding to a known positive solution Σ of P_{IV} (2.4), one returns to the Ermakov invariant relation (2.2). Thus, on introduction of Λ according to

$$\Lambda = \frac{2\Phi_1\Phi_2}{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

it is observed that

$$\frac{d\Lambda}{dz} = \frac{2(\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2)}{(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2} \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} - \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \Phi_2 \right),$$

whence (2.2) yields

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^4}{(\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2)^2} \left(\frac{d\Lambda}{dz} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2} \right) J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1). \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, in terms of the new independent variable z^* where

$$dz^* = \Sigma^{-1} dz, \quad (2.7)$$

invariant relation (2.6) shows that

$$\Lambda_{z^*}^2 = 8 \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2} \right)^2 \left[\mathcal{I} - \frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2} J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right) \right],$$

where (2.5) gives Φ_2/Φ_1 in terms of Λ according to

$$\Phi_2/\Phi_1 = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \Lambda^2})/\Lambda.$$

Thus,

$$\pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \int \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{(1 - \Lambda^2)(\Lambda\mathcal{I} - 2\mathcal{L}(\Lambda))}} d\Lambda = z^* + \mathcal{C}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda) := (\Phi_2/\Phi_1)J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ and \mathcal{C} is an arbitrary constant of integration. Corresponding to positive solutions Σ of P_{IV} (2.4) and Λ as determined by (2.8) for specified $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$, the original variables Φ_1, Φ_2 in the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system are given via the relations

$$\Phi_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma \left(1 \mp \sqrt{1 - \Lambda^2}\right), \quad \Phi_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \Lambda^2}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

The class of Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems will be considered here which corresponds to Λ as determined by the quadrature in (2.8) with

$$\Lambda\mathcal{I} - 2\mathcal{L}(\Lambda) = \frac{a^2(1 - \Lambda^2)^m}{\Lambda}. \quad (2.10)$$

In this case, (2.8) yields

$$1 - \Lambda^2 = \begin{cases} [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1)(z^* + \mathcal{C})]^{2/(1-m)}, & \text{if } m \neq 1, \\ \exp\{\pm 4\sqrt{2}a(z^* + \mathcal{C})\}, & \text{if } m = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

where z^* is obtained by integration of the relation (2.7) for a positive solution Σ of P_{IV} . Here, (2.10) shows that

$$J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{I}}{1 + (\Phi_2/\Phi_1)^2} - \frac{a^2}{4} \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}\right)^{2m} \frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2}. \quad (2.12)$$

3 Action of Bäcklund-Type transformations

The diversity of Bäcklund and Schlesinger-type transformations admitted by P_{IV} (2.4) has been described in the comprehensive work of [6]. Thus, transformations due to Gromak [39, 40] Fokas, Mugan and Ablowitz [32], Lukashovich [48, 49] and Murata [55] are all detailed therein along with their conjugation and interconnections. In the present context, the concern is to exploit certain such transformations to generate sequences of exact solutions to the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system via the action on appropriate seed solutions. In [6], a multiplicity of combinations of the parameters α and β has been catalogued which correspond to exact solutions of P_{IV} (2.4). These may involve error functions, parabolic cylinder functions or rational functions. The generation of solutions of the Ermakov-Painlevé systems corresponding to the three parameter class of $J(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ as given by (2.12) is illustrated below for elementary rational seeds.

In the above connection, it is seen that P_{IV} (2.4) admits a seed solution

$$\Sigma_0(z) = -2z, \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -2, \quad \mathcal{I} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where, in the present context the requirement that $\Sigma > 0$ restricts attention to regions with $z < 0$. With this seed solution, (2.7) shows that, up to an additive constant,

$$z^* = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(-z),$$

so that the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system with $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ given by (2.12) admits the class of solutions with

$$\Phi_1^2 = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \mp [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1)(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]^{1/(1-m)}\right), & \text{if } m \neq 1, \\ -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \mp \exp[\mp 4\sqrt{2}a(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]\right), & \text{if } m = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_2^2 = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \pm [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1)(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]^{1/(1-m)}\right), & \text{if } m \neq 1, \\ -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \pm \exp[\mp 4\sqrt{2}a(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]\right), & \text{if } m = 1, \end{cases}$$

and appropriate choices of sign made to ensure positivity requirements.

It is interesting to observe that for both the seed solutions of P_{IV} (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_0(z) &= -2z, & \alpha &= 0, & \beta &= -2, \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_0(z) &= -\frac{2}{3}z, & \alpha &= 0, & \beta &= -\frac{2}{9},\end{aligned}$$

the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system (2.1) reduces to a conventional Ermakov-Ray-Reid system

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{\Phi_1^2\Phi_2} S\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right), \quad \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{\Phi_2^2\Phi_1} T\left(\frac{\Phi_1}{\Phi_2}\right).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\omega_0 = \omega(z; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega_j^\pm = \omega(z; \alpha_j^\pm, \beta_j^\pm)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ be solutions of P_{IV} (1.1) with*

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_1^\pm, \beta_1^\pm) &= \left(\frac{1}{4}(2 - 2\alpha_0 \pm 3\sqrt{-2\beta_0}), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right), \\ (\alpha_2^\pm, \beta_2^\pm) &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}(2 + 2\alpha_0 \pm 3\sqrt{-2\beta_0}), -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right), \\ (\alpha_3^\pm, \beta_3^\pm) &= \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 \mp \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{-2\beta_0}, -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right), \\ (\alpha_4^\pm, \beta_4^\pm) &= \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 \mp \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{-2\beta_0}, -\frac{1}{2}(-1 - \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T}_1^\pm : \quad \omega_1^\pm = \frac{\omega'_0 - \omega_0^2 - 2z\omega_0 \mp \sqrt{-2\beta_0}}{2\omega_0}, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2^\pm : \quad \omega_2^\pm = -\frac{\omega'_0 + \omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 \mp \sqrt{-2\beta_0}}{2\omega_0}, \quad (3.2b)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3^\pm : \quad \omega_3^\pm = \omega_0 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha_0 \mp \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0}{\omega'_0 \pm \sqrt{-2\beta_0} + 2z\omega_0 + \omega_0^2}, \quad (3.2c)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_4^\pm : \quad \omega_4^\pm = \omega_0 + \frac{2(1 + \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0}{\omega'_0 \mp \sqrt{-2\beta_0} - 2z\omega_0 - \omega_0^2}, \quad (3.2d)$$

valid when the denominators are non-zero, and where the upper signs or the lower signs are taken throughout each transformation.

Proof. See Gromak [39, 40] and Lukashevich [48, 49]; also [6, 29, 42, 43, 55]. \square

A class of Bäcklund transformations for the Painlevé equations is generated by so-called *Schlesinger transformations* of the associated isomonodromy problems. Fokas, Mugan and Ablowitz [32] (see also [54]), deduced the following Schlesinger transformations \mathcal{R}_1 – \mathcal{R}_4 for P_{IV}

$$\mathcal{R}_1 : \quad \omega_1(z; \alpha_1, \beta_1) = \frac{(\omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha + 4 - 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 - \omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})}, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 : \quad \omega_2(z; \alpha_2, \beta_2) = \frac{(\omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha - 4 - 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 + \omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})}, \quad (3.3b)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 : \quad \omega_3(z; \alpha_3, \beta_3) = \frac{(\omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha + 4 + 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 - \omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})}, \quad (3.3c)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4 : \quad \omega_4(z; \alpha_4, \beta_4) = \frac{(\omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha - 4 + 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 + \omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})}, \quad (3.3d)$$

where $\omega_0 \equiv \omega(z; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \left(\alpha_0 + 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right), \quad (3.4a)$$

$$(\alpha_2, \beta_2) = \left(\alpha_0 - 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right), \quad (3.4b)$$

$$(\alpha_3, \beta_3) = \left(\alpha_0 + 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right), \quad (3.4c)$$

$$(\alpha_4, \beta_4) = \left(\alpha_0 - 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right). \quad (3.4d)$$

Fokas, Mugan and Ablowitz [32] also defined the composite transformations $\mathcal{R}_5 = \mathcal{R}_1\mathcal{R}_3$ and $\mathcal{R}_7 = \mathcal{R}_2\mathcal{R}_4$ given by

$$\mathcal{R}_5 : \quad \omega_5(z; \alpha_5, \beta_5) = \frac{(\omega'_0 - \omega_0^2 - 2z\omega_0)^2 + 2\beta_0}{2\omega_0 [\omega'_0 - \omega_0^2 - 2z\omega_0 + 2(\alpha_0 + 1)]}, \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_7 : \quad \omega_7(z; \alpha_7, \beta_7) = -\frac{(\omega'_0 + \omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0)^2 + 2\beta_0}{2\omega_0 [\omega'_0 + \omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 - 2(\alpha_0 - 1)]}, \quad (3.5b)$$

respectively, where

$$(\alpha_5, \beta_5) = (\alpha_0 + 2, \beta_0), \quad (\alpha_7, \beta_7) = (\alpha_0 - 2, \beta_0). \quad (3.5c)$$

We remark that \mathcal{R}_5 and \mathcal{R}_7 are the transformations \mathcal{T}_+ and \mathcal{T}_- , respectively, given by Murata [55].

The application of \mathcal{T}_1^+ and \mathcal{T}_2^+ to the seed solution $\Sigma_0(z)$ given by (3.1) generates, in turn, new positive solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2(z) &= 1/z, & \alpha_1 &= 2, & \beta_1 &= -2, & z &> 0, \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_2(z) &= -1/z, & \alpha_2 &= -2, & \beta_2 &= -2, & z &< 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Insertion of Σ_1 and Σ_2 in (2.9) where $1 - \Lambda^2$ is determined by (2.11) with $z^* = \pm \frac{1}{2}z^2$ delivers associated solutions of the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system (2.1) with $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ given by (2.12).

The repeated action of the Bäcklund transformations $\{\mathcal{T}_j^\pm\}_{j=1}^4$ and other Bäcklund transformations such as the quartet $\{\mathcal{R}_j\}_{j=1}^4$ as constructed in [32] by the isomonodromy deformation method may be applied systematically to generate a multiplicity of exact solutions (2.9) of the Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems (2.1) which are here subject to the requirement $\Sigma > 0$. Thus, in particular, the repeated application of the Bäcklund transformation \mathcal{R}_3 (3.3c) to Σ_2 as defined by (3.6) generates the following additional solutions of P_{IV}

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_3(z) &= \frac{4z}{2z^2 + 1}, & \alpha_3 &= 3, & \beta_3 &= -8, \\ \Sigma_4(z) &= \frac{3(2z^2 + 1)}{z(2z^2 + 3)}, & \alpha_4 &= 4, & \beta_4 &= -18, \\ \Sigma_5(z) &= \frac{8z(2z^2 + 3)}{4z^4 + 12z^2 + 3}, & \alpha_5 &= 5, & \beta_5 &= -32, \\ \Sigma_6(z) &= \frac{5(4z^4 + 12z^2 + 3)}{z(4z^4 + 20z^2 + 15)}, & \alpha_6 &= 6, & \beta_6 &= -50, \end{aligned}$$

These results constitute part of the catalogue of rational solutions of PIV set down in Table 3 of [6] and some related forms have been noted in the context of supersymmetric quantum mechanics, see [50]. Thus, the class of Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems with $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ given by (2.12) admits exact solutions Φ_1, Φ_2 determined by the relations (2.9), where

$$\begin{aligned} z_3^* &= \frac{1}{4} \{z^2 + \ln z\}, \\ z_4^* &= \frac{1}{6} \{z^2 + \ln(2z^2 + 1)\}, \\ z_5^* &= \frac{1}{8} \{z^2 + \ln[z(2z^2 + 3)]\}, \\ z_6^* &= \frac{1}{10} \{z^2 + \ln(4z^4 + 12z^2 + 3)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $z > 0$, so that from (2.11)

$$1 - \Lambda^2 = \begin{cases} [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1) \left(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{4}\ln z + \mathcal{C}\right)]^{2/(1-m)}, & \text{if } m \neq 1, \\ \exp\{\pm 4\sqrt{2}a \left(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{4}\ln z + \mathcal{C}\right)\}, & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For the rational solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$*

$$\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_n(s)} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\{ z^2 + \ln \frac{dh_{n-1}}{dz} \right\},$$

where $h_{n-1}(z) = (-i)^n H_{n-1}(-iz)$, with $H_m(x)$ the Hermite polynomial.

Proof. The rational solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ have the form [6]

$$\Sigma_n(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln h_{n-1}(z), \quad (3.7)$$

where $h_{n-1}(z) = (-i)^n H_{n-1}(-iz)$, with $H_m(x)$ the Hermite polynomial. Since $h_{n-1}(z)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2 h_{n-1}}{dz^2} + 2z \frac{dh_{n-1}}{dz} - 2(n-1)h_{n-1} = 0,$$

which follows from the differential equation satisfied by Hermite polynomials (cf. [61, §18.9]), then

$$\frac{1}{\Sigma_n(z)} = \frac{h_{n-1}(z)}{h'_{n-1}(z)} = \frac{z}{n-1} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{h''_{n-1}(z)}{h'_{n-1}(z)},$$

and so the result follows. \square

Remark 3.3. The rational solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ given by (3.7) satisfy the Riccati equation

$$\frac{d\Sigma_n}{dz} + \Sigma_n^2 + 2z\Sigma_n - 2(n-1) = 0.$$

4 Bound State Solutions

The preceding describes how seed solutions $\Sigma_0(z)$ of P_{IV} (2.4) can be used to generate associated classes of exact solutions of associated hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems. The procedure is constrained, in general, by the requirement that attention be restricted to regions on which $\Sigma(z)$ is positive. In this connection, it is remarked that the application of exact solutions of Painlevé equations to physical problems commonly requires consideration of restricted regions such as those associated with a positivity requirement. Thus, in [4] in the application of a Painlevé II model to boundary value problems in two-ion electro-diffusion, the ion concentrations, which are necessarily positive, are governed by the classical Painlevé XXXIV equation which is directly related to the single component Ermakov-Painlevé II equation [65]. In [4], regions were isolated wherein such positivity holds on half-space regions and boundary value problems were solved thereon. In related work similarity solutions of Painlevé II in terms of Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomials or classical Airy functions as generated by the iterated action of a Bäcklund transformation have been used recently to solve a range of moving problems for solitonic equations on regions $0 < x < S(t)$ [67–69].

Bassom *et al.* [8] showed that if α is an odd integer and $\beta = 0$ then exact solutions of P_{IV} (2.4) exist with the property that $\Sigma(z) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$. In particular, it may be verified that

$$\Sigma_0(z) = \frac{\xi \exp(-z^2)}{\sqrt{\pi} [1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi \operatorname{erfc}(z)]}, \quad (4.1)$$

is a solution of P_{IV} (2.4) corresponding to parameters $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. Here ξ is a constant and $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ denotes the usual complementary error function: it is evident that for $0 < \xi < 1$, the solution (4.1) of P_{IV} (2.4) is positive for all real z . It is now a routine matter to generate the associated class of exact solutions of the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems. More generally, if we denote the bound state solution of P_{IV} (2.4) with $\alpha = 2n + 1$ and $\beta = 0$ as $\Sigma_n(z)$, then it can be proved that the Bäcklund transformation

$$\Sigma_{n+1}(z) = \frac{[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)]^2}{2\Sigma_n(z)[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z) + 4(n+1)]}, \quad (4.2)$$

which is a special case of \mathcal{R}_5 (3.5a), can be applied iteratively to generate an infinite sequence of bound state solutions of P_{IV} (2.4) commencing with the seed solution $\Sigma_0(z)$. If we denote $\psi(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi \operatorname{erfc}(z)$ so that

$$\Sigma_0(z) = \frac{\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} := \Psi(z), \quad (4.3)$$

then it may be shown that the next two of members of the bound state hierarchy of P_{IV} (2.4) are given by

$$\Sigma_1(z) = -\frac{\Psi(z)[\Psi(z) + 2z]^2}{\Psi^2(z) + 2z\Psi(z) - 2}, \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\Sigma_2(z) = \frac{4\Psi(z)[\Psi^2(z) + 3z\Psi(z) + 2z^2 - 1]^2}{[\Psi^2(z) + 2z\Psi(z) - 2][z\Psi^3(z) + (4z^2 + 3)\Psi^2(z) + 2z(2z^2 + 3)\Psi(z) - 4]}, \quad (4.4b)$$

with $\Psi(z)$ given by (4.3). Each bound state solution $\Sigma_n(z)$ decays exponentially as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and has n distinct zeros and satisfies

$$\frac{d^2\Sigma_n}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma_n} \left(\frac{d\Sigma_n}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\Sigma_n^3 + 4z\Sigma_n^2 + 2(z^2 - 2n - 1)\Sigma_n, \quad (4.5)$$

which is P_{IV} (2.4) with parameters $\alpha = 2n + 1$ and $\beta = 0$. These bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ have regions separated by the zeros on which they are positive. Thus, it is not only the fundamental bound state solution $\Sigma_0(z)$ of P_{IV} (2.4) that is non-negative on the whole z -axis, but this property is shared by the higher members of the hierarchy. These bound-state solutions are distinguished in that they decay exponentially and while many solutions of Painlevé IV are bounded (see e.g. [9]), those such as the rational solutions do not decay as rapidly. Plots of $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for various ξ are given in Figure 4.1.

Lemma 4.1. *For bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ of P_{IV}*

$$\frac{1}{\Sigma_{n+1}(z)} = \frac{1}{\Sigma_n(z)} + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{2}{\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)} \right].$$

Proof. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{2}{\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)} \right] &= -\frac{2\{\Sigma'_n(z) - 2[\Sigma_n(z) + z]\Sigma'_n(z) - 2\Sigma_n\}}{[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)]^2} \\ &= \frac{8(n+1)\Sigma_n}{[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)]^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2\Sigma_n}{\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)} - \frac{1}{\Sigma_n(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Sigma_n(z)$ satisfies (4.5). Further from the Bäcklund transformation (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Sigma_{n+1}(z)} &= \frac{2\Sigma_n(z)[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z) + 4(n+1)]}{[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)]^2} \\ &= \frac{8(n+1)\Sigma_n(z)}{[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)]^2} + \frac{2\Sigma_n(z)}{\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so the result follows. \square

Corollary 4.2. *For bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ of P_{IV}*

$$\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_{n+1}(s)} = \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_n(s)} + \frac{2}{\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. The result immediately follows from Lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For the bound state solution $\Sigma_0(z)$*

$$\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_0(s)} = \frac{2-\xi}{2\xi} \sqrt{\pi} \int^z \exp(s^2) ds + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! 2^{2n} z^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!}.$$

Proof. From (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\Sigma_0(z)} &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \exp(z^2)}{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \exp(z^2) \operatorname{erfc}(z) \\ &= \frac{2-\xi}{2\xi} \sqrt{\pi} \exp(z^2) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! 2^{2n} z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!},\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-z^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! 2^{2n} z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!},$$

see [61, equation (7.6.2)]. Hence the result follows. \square

Remark 4.4. We remark that

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \exp(-z^2) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-z^2 t^2)}{t^2 + 1} dt,$$

see [61, equation (7.7.1)], and

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \pi^{-1/2} \exp(-z^2) U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, z^2\right),$$

with $U(a, b, z)$ the Kummer function, see [61, equation (7.11.5)]. Also $S_0(z) = 1/\Sigma_0(z)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2 S_0}{dz^2} - 2z \frac{dS_0}{dz} - 2S_0 = 0.$$

From (4.4) and (4.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_1(s)} &= \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_0(s)} - \frac{1}{\Psi(z)[\Psi(z) + 2z]}, \\ \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_2(s)} &= \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_0(s)} - \frac{\Psi^3(z) + 2z\Psi^2(z) + 4z}{4\Psi(z)[\Psi^2(z) + 3z\Psi(z) + 2z^2 - 1]},\end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi(z)$ is defined by (4.3).

The bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ of P_{IV} can be given in terms of Hankel determinants as described in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. Define $\tau_n(z; \xi)$ to be the Hankel determinant

$$\tau_n(z; \xi) = \det \left[\varphi_{j+k}(z; \xi) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \quad (4.7)$$

with ξ a parameter and where $\varphi_m(z; \xi)$ is given by

$$\varphi_m(z; \xi) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}i\right)^m H_m(-iz) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m+1} \xi \exp(-z^2) \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \{ \operatorname{erfc}(z) \exp(z^2) \}, \quad (4.8)$$

with $H_m(z)$ the Hermite polynomial and $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ the complementary error function. Then

$$\Sigma_n(z; \xi) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln \frac{\tau_{n+1}(z; \xi)}{\tau_n(z; \xi)}, \quad (4.9)$$

satisfies (4.5).

Proof. See Forrester and Witte [34]. \square

Remark 4.6. Let $\tau_n(z; \xi)$ be the Hankel determinant given by (4.7), then

$$\sigma_n(z; \xi) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln \tau_n(z; \xi), \quad (4.10)$$

satisfies

$$\left(\frac{d^2\sigma_n}{dz^2}\right)^2 - 4\left(z\frac{d\sigma_n}{dz} - \sigma_n\right)^2 + 4\left(\frac{d\sigma_n}{dz}\right)^2\left(\frac{d\sigma_n}{dz} + 2n\right) = 0,$$

which is S_{IV} (1.2) with parameters $\vartheta_0 = n$ and $\vartheta_\infty = 0$. The solutions $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.9), and $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.10), are related as follows

$$\Sigma_n(z; \xi) = \sigma_{n+1}(z; \xi) - \sigma_n(z; \xi).$$

Plots of $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for various ξ are given in Figure 4.2. Further $\tau_n(z; \xi)$ given by (4.7) satisfies

$$\frac{\tau_{n+1}(z; \xi)\tau_{n-1}(z; \xi)}{\tau_n^2(z; \xi)} = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \ln \tau_n(z; \xi) + 2n,$$

which is equivalent to the Toda equation.

Lemma 4.7. *The solutions $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.9), and $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.10), are positive and bounded provided that $0 < \xi < 1$.*

Remark 4.8. Since the Hermite polynomial $H_m(z)$ has the integral representation

$$H_m(z) = \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z + it)^m \exp(-t^2) dt,$$

and the complementary error function $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ has the integral representation

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_z^{\infty} \exp(-t^2) dt,$$

then it can easily be shown that $\varphi_m(z; \xi)$ given by (4.8) has the integral representation

$$\varphi_m(z; \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [1 - \xi \mathcal{H}(t - z)] (t - z)^m \exp(-t^2) dt, \quad (4.11)$$

with $\mathcal{H}(x)$ the Heaviside function.

These bound state solutions arise in the theory of:

- (i) orthogonal polynomials with the discontinuous Hermite weight

$$w(x; z, \mu) = \exp(-x^2) \{1 - \mu + 2\mu \mathcal{H}(x - z)\},$$

with $\mathcal{H}(x)$ the Heaviside function and μ a parameter, see [17]; and

- (ii) GUE random matrices which are expressed as Hankel determinants of the function $\varphi_m(z; \xi)$ given by (4.11), see [34].

Remark 4.9. The bound state solutions discussed here are members of a general family of solutions of P_{IV} (1.1) with $\beta = 0$ and the boundary condition

$$\omega(z) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

which have been studied by various authors [5, 8, 44, 87]

5 Conclusion

Here, integrable systems of a novel hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV kind have been introduced which both admit a Ermakov invariant and are connected to P_{IV} (2.4). In terms of applications, it is noted that particular such nonlinear coupled systems arise out of symmetry reduction of coupled derivative nonlinear Schrödinger systems [66]. Hybrid integrable Ermakov-Painlevé II systems with genesis in the context of three-ion electrodiffusion and the Nernst-Planck system have been recently developed in [79, 80]. This suggests that a comprehensive investigation be undertaken into integrable Ermakov-Painlevé systems which both possess characteristic Ermakov invariants and allow the construction of classes

of exact solutions via Bäcklund transformations admitted by the canonical P_{II} - P_{VI} equations. In most recent work [70], an integrable Ermakov-Painlevé III system has been constructed in a manner directly analogous to that used to obtain integrable hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé II and Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems. Importantly, these Ermakov-Painlevé systems may be now embedded in multi-component Ermakov-Painlevé and Ermakov-Toda lattice schemes as introduced in [81]. This method of construction of the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé systems has potential specific application yet to be undertaken for residual Ermakov-Painlevé V and Ermakov-Painlevé VI systems.

Acknowledgement

We thank the reviewers for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] P. Amster and C. Rogers, On a Ermakov-Painlevé II reduction in three-ion electrodiffusion. A Dirichlet boundary value problem, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **35** (2015) 3277–3292.
- [2] P. Amster and C. Rogers, On Dirichlet two-point boundary value problems for the Ermakov-Painlevé IV equation, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **48** (2015) 71–81.
- [3] C. Athorne, C. Rogers, U. Ramgulam and A. Osbaldestin, On linearisation of the Ermakov system, *Phys. Lett. A*, **143** (1990) 207–212.
- [4] L. Bass, J.J. Nimmo, C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, Electrical structures of interfaces : a Painlevé II model, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A*, **466** (2010) 2117–2136.
- [5] A.P. Bassom, P.A. Clarkson and A.C. Hicks, Numerical studies of the fourth Painlevé equation, *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, **50** (1993) 167–193.
- [6] A.P. Bassom, P.A. Clarkson and A.C. Hicks, Bäcklund transformations and solution hierarchies for the fourth Painlevé equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **95** (1995) 1–71.
- [7] A.P. Bassom, P.A. Clarkson and A.C. Hicks, On the application of solutions of the fourth Painlevé equation to various physically motivated nonlinear partial differential equations, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **1** (1996) 175–198.
- [8] A.P. Bassom, P.A. Clarkson, A.C. Hicks and J.B. McLeod, Integral equations and exact solutions for the fourth Painlevé equation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A*, **437** (1992) 1–24.
- [9] D. Bermúdez, Complex SUSY transformations and the Painlevé IV equation, *SIGMA*, **8** (2012) 069.
- [10] D. Bermúdez, A. Contreras-Astorga and D.J. Fernández, Painlevé IV coherent states, *Ann. Physics*, **350** (2014) 615–634.
- [11] D. Bermúdez and D.J. Fernández, Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and the Painlevé IV equation with real parameters, *Phys. Lett. A*, **375** (2011) 2974–2978.
- [12] D. Bermúdez and D.J. Fernández, Supersymmetric quantum mechanics and Painlevé IV equation, *SIGMA*, **7** (2011) 025.
- [13] D. Bermúdez and D.J. Fernández, Complex solutions to the Painlevé IV equation through supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, **1420** (2012) 47–51.
- [14] D. Bermúdez and D.J. Fernández, Solution hierarchies for the Painlevé IV equation, *Trends in Mathematics*, (2013) 199–209.
- [15] F. Calogero, Universal integrable nonlinear PDEs in, *Applications of Analytic and Geometric Methods to nonlinear Differential Equations*, Ed. Peter A. Clarkson, *NATO ASI Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences* vol **1413**, 1993
- [16] Y. Chen and M.V. Feigin, Painlevé IV and degenerate Gaussian unitary ensembles., *J. Phys. A*, **39** (2006) 12381–12393.
- [17] Y. Chen and G. Pruessner, Orthogonal polynomials with discontinuous weights, *J. Phys. A*, **38** (2005) L191–L198.
- [18] P.A. Clarkson, Special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the fifth Painlevé equation, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, **178** (2005) 111–129.

- [19] P.A. Clarkson, Painlevé equations — non-linear special functions, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: Computation and Application*, F. Marcellàn, W. Van Assche (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Math.* vol **1883** 2006, Springer, Berlin, pp 331–411
- [20] P.A. Clarkson, Vortices and polynomials, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **123** (2009) 37–62.
- [21] P.A. Clarkson and C.M. Cosgrove, Painlevé analysis of the nonlinear Schrödinger family of equations, *J. Phys. A*, **20** (1987) 2003–2024.
- [22] P.A. Clarkson and K. Jordaan, The relationship between semi-classical Laguerre polynomials and the fourth Painlevé equation, *Constr. Approx.*, **39** (2014) 223–254.
- [23] P.A. Clarkson, K. Jordaan and A. Kelil, A generalized Freud weight, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **136** (2016) 288–320.
- [24] R. Conte (Ed), *The Painlevé Property: One Century Later*, *CRM Series in Mathematical Physics*, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [25] R. Conte, C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, Painlevé structure of a multi-ion electrodiffusion system, *J. Phys. A*, **40** (2007) F1031–F1040.
- [26] D. Dai and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Painlevé IV asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with respect to a modified Laguerre weight, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **122** (2009) 29–83.
- [27] D.J. Fernández and J.C. González, Complex oscillator and Painlevé IV equation, *Ann. Physics*, **359** (2015) 213–229.
- [28] M. Florjańczyk and L. Gagnon, Exact solutions for a higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Rev. A*, **41** (1990) 4478–4485.
- [29] A.S. Fokas and M.J. Ablowitz, On a unified approach to transformations and elementary solutions of Painlevé equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **23** (1982) 2033–2042.
- [30] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its and A.V. Kitaev, Discrete Painlevé equations and their appearance in quantum-gravity, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **142** (1991) 313–344.
- [31] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its and A.V. Kitaev, The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2D quantum-gravity, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **147** (1992) 395–430.
- [32] A.S. Fokas, U. Mugan and M.J. Ablowitz, A method of linearization of Painlevé’s equations: P_{IV} V, *Physica*, **30D** (1988) 247–283.
- [33] P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte, Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and the GUE, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **219** (2001) 357–398.
- [34] P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte, Discrete Painlevé equations and random matrix averages, *Nonlinearity*, **16** (2003) 1919–1944.
- [35] M.A. Garcia-Ferrero and D. Gómez-Ullate, Oscillation theorems for the Wronskian of an arbitrary sequence of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger’s equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **105** (2015) 551–573.
- [36] D. Gómez-Ullate, Y. Grandati and R. Milson, Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials, *J. Phys. A*, **47** (2014) 015203.
- [37] D. Gómez-Ullate, A. Kasman, A.B.J. Kuijlaars and R. Milson, Recurrence relations for exceptional Hermite polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory*, **204** (2016) 1–16.
- [38] A.M. Goncharenko, Y.A. Logvin, A.M. Samson, P.S. Shapovalov and S.I. Turovets, Ermakov Hamiltonian systems in nonlinear optics of elliptic Gaussian beams, *Phys. Lett. A*, **60** (1991) 138–142.
- [39] V.I. Gromak, Single-parameter systems of solutions of Painlevé’s equations, *Diff. Eqns.*, **14** (1978) 1510–1513.
- [40] V.I. Gromak, On the theory of the fourth Painlevé equation, *Diff. Eqns.*, **23** (1987) 506–513.
- [41] V.I. Gromak, Bäcklund transformations of Painlevé equations and their applications, *The Painlevé Property. One Century Later*, R. Conte (Ed.) *CRM Series in Mathematical Physics* 1999, Springer, New York, pp 687–734
- [42] V.I. Gromak, I. Laine and S. Shimomura, *Painlevé Differential Equations in the Complex Plane*, *Studies in Math.* vol **28**, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2002.
- [43] V.I. Gromak and N.A. Lukashevich, Special classes of solutions of Painlevé’s equations, *Differential Equations*, **18** (1982) 317–326.
- [44] A.R. Its and A.A. Kapaev, Connection formulae for the fourth Painlevé transcendent; Clarkson-McLeod solution, *J. Phys. A*, **31** (1998) 4073–4113.

- [45] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II, *Physica*, **D2** (1981) 407–448.
- [46] E. Kanzieper, Replica field theories, Painlevé transcendents, and exact correlation functions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **89** (2002) 250201–250204.
- [47] J.H. Lee, O.K. Pashaev, C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, The resonant nonlinear Schrödinger equation in cold plasma physics. Application of Bäcklund-Darboux transformations and superposition principles, *J. Plasma Physics*, **73** (2007) 257–272.
- [48] N.A. Lukashevich, Elementary solutions of certain Painlevé equations, *Diff. Eqns.*, **1** (1965) 561–564.
- [49] N.A. Lukashevich, Theory of the fourth Painlevé equation, *Diff. Eqns.*, **3** (1967) 395–399.
- [50] I. Marquette, Superintegrability with third order integrals of motion, cubic algebras, and supersymmetric quantum mechanics. II. Painlevé transcendent potentials, *J. Math. Phys.*, **50** (2009) 095202.
- [51] I. Marquette and C. Quesne, Two-step rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator: exceptional orthogonal polynomials and ladder operators, *J. Phys. A*, **46** (2013) 155201.
- [52] I. Marquette and C. Quesne, Connection between quantum systems involving the fourth Painlevé transcendent and k -step rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator related to Hermite exceptional orthogonal polynomial, *J. Math. Phys.*, **57** (2016) 052101.
- [53] J. Mateo and J. Negro, Third-order differential ladder operators and supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *J. Phys. A*, **41** (2008) 045204.
- [54] U. Mugan and A.S. Fokas, Schlesinger transformations of Painlevé II-V, *J. Math. Phys.*, **33** (1992) 2031–2045.
- [55] Y. Murata, Rational solutions of the second and the fourth Painlevé equations, *Funkcial Ekvac.*, **28** (1985) 1–32.
- [56] O.K. Pashaev and J.H. Lee, Resonance solitons as black holes in Madelung fluid, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **17** (2002) 1601–1619.
- [57] O.K. Pashaev, J.H. Lee and C. Rogers, Soliton resonances in a generalised nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Phys. A*, **41** (2008) 452001–452009.
- [58] K. Okamoto, Polynomial Hamiltonians associated with Painlevé equations. I, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **56** (1980) 264–268.
- [59] K. Okamoto, Polynomial Hamiltonians associated with Painlevé equations. II, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **56** (1980) 367–371.
- [60] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painlevé equations III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, P_{II} and P_{IV} , *Math. Ann.*, **275** (1986) 221–255.
- [61] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert and C.W. Clark (eds), *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [62] V.A.I. Osipov and E. Kanzieper, Correlations of RMT characteristic polynomials and integrability: Hermitean matrices, *Annals of Physics*, **325** (2010) 2251–2306.
- [63] J.R. Ray, Nonlinear superposition law for generalised Ermakov systems, *Phys. Lett. A*, **78** (1980) 4–6.
- [64] J.L. Reid and J.R. Ray, Ermakov systems, nonlinear superposition and solution of nonlinear equations of motion, *J. Math. Phys.*, **21** (1980) 1583–1587.
- [65] C. Rogers, A novel Ermakov-Painlevé II system: $N+1$ -dimensional coupled NLS and elastodynamic reductions, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **133** (2014) 214–231.
- [66] C. Rogers, Hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems, *J. Nonlinear Math. Physics*, **21** (2014) 628–642.
- [67] C. Rogers, Moving boundary problems for the Harry Dym equation and its reciprocal associates, *Zeit. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **66** (2015) 3205–3220.
- [68] C. Rogers, Moving boundary problems for the potential mKdV equation, Special Issue, Waves and Stability, *Ricerche di Matematica*, **65** (2016) 563–577.
- [69] C. Rogers, Moving boundary problems for an extended Dym equation. Reciprocal connection, *Mechanica*, **52** (2017) 3531–3540.
- [70] C. Rogers, Multi-component Ermakov-Painlevé III systems, in preparation (2018).

- [71] C. Rogers and H. An, Ermakov-Ray-Reid systems in $2 + 1$ -dimensional rotating shallow water theory, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **125** (2010) 275–299.
- [72] C. Rogers, C. Hoenselaers and J.R. Ray, On $2 + 1$ -dimensional Ermakov systems, *J. Phys. A*, **26** (1993) 2625–2633.
- [73] C. Rogers, B. Malomed and H. An, Ermakov-Ray-Reid reductions of variational approximations in nonlinear optics, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **129** (2012) 389–413.
- [74] C. Rogers, B. Malomed, K. Chow and H. An, Ermakov-Ray-Reid systems in nonlinear optics, *J. Phys. A*, **43** (2010) 455214.
- [75] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, Multi-component Ermakov systems: structure and linearisation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **198** (1996) 194–220.
- [76] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, *Bäcklund and Darboux Transformations. Geometry and Modern Applications in Soliton Theory*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [77] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, The pulsrodon in $2 + 1$ -dimensional magneto-gasdynamics. Hamiltonian structure and integrability, *J. Math. Phys.*, **52** (2011) 083701.
- [78] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, On the integrability of a Hamiltonian reduction of a $2 + 1$ -dimensional non-isothermal rotating gas cloud system, *Nonlinearity*, **24** (2011) 3165–3178.
- [79] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, On Ermakov-Painlevé II systems. Integrable reduction, *Meccanica*, **51** (2016) 2967–2974.
- [80] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, On novel resonant Ermakov-NLS systems: Painlevé reduction, submitted (2016).
- [81] C. Rogers and W.K. Schief, On integrable multi-component Ermakov and modulated Toda lattice schemes, submitted (2017).
- [82] C. Rogers and W.K. Shadwick, *Bäcklund Transformations and Their Applications*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering Series, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [83] W.K. Schief, On a $2+1$ -dimensional integrable Ernst-type equation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A*, **446** (1994) 381–398.
- [84] W.K. Schief, H. An and C. Rogers, Universal and integrable aspects of an elliptic vortex representation in $2 + 1$ -dimensional magnetogasdynamics, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **130** (2013) 49–79.
- [85] W.K. Schief, C. Rogers and A.P. Bassom, Ermakov systems with arbitrary order and dimension. Structure and linearisation, *J. Phys. A*, **29** (1996) 903–911.
- [86] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom, Fredholm determinants, differential equations and matrix models, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **163** (1994) 33–72.
- [87] R. Wong and H.Y. Zhang, On the connection formulas of the fourth Painlevé transcendent, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, **7** (2009) 419–448.




Figure 4.1: Plots of $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for $\xi = 0.3$ (black), 0.5 (red), 0.7 (blue), 0.8 (green), 0.9 (purple).




Figure 4.2: Plots of $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for $\xi = 0.3$ (black), 0.5 (red), 0.7 (blue), 0.8 (green), 0.9 (purple).