

The impact of species-neutral stage structure on macroecological patterns

Rafael D'Andrea & James O'Dwyer *

February 1, 2023

Abstract

Despite its radical assumption of ecological equivalence between species, neutral biodiversity theory can often provide good fits to species abundance distributions observed in nature. Major criticisms of neutral theory have focused on interspecific differences, which are in conflict with ecological equivalence. However, individual-level neutrality in nature is also broken by differences in fecundity and mortality of conspecific individuals at different life stages, which in many communities may vastly exceed interspecific differences between individuals at similar stages. These individual-level asymmetries have not been fully explored in species-neutral models, and it is not known whether demographic stage structure affects the predicted forms for macroecological patterns in neutral theory. Here we present a two-stage neutral model, where both fecundity and mortality are allowed to change as an individual moves from a stage to the other. We explore several qualitatively different scenarios, and compare numerically obtained species abundance distributions to the predictions of unstructured neutral theory. We find that abundance distributions are robust to this kind of stage structure, but only so long as subpopulations at different stages fluctuate in synchrony. On the other hand, species abundance distributions can differ significantly from the unstructured case if adults have sufficiently low fecundity and mortality. In addition, we show that the cumulative number of births per species, which is distributed as a power law with a $3/2$ exponent, is invariant even when the SAD departs from unstructured model predictions. Our findings potentially explain power law-like abundance distributions in systems with strong demographic structure, such as eusocial insects and human given names, and thereby may partially rehabilitate species abundance distributions from past criticisms as to their inability to distinguish between biological mechanisms.

Keywords: Species abundance distribution, Demographic structure, Progeny distribution, Neutral biodiversity theory

*JOD acknowledges the Simons Foundation Grant #376199, McDonnell Foundation Grant #220020439, NSF #DEB1557192 and Templeton World Charity Foundation Grant #TWCF0079/AB47.

1 Introduction

A long-standing goal of community ecology is to understand the connection between processes of community assembly and patterns of biodiversity. Neutral biodiversity theory (NBT) poses that such patterns are driven by ecological drift, i.e. stochastic birth and death events whose probabilities are irrespective of species identity (Hubbell, 2001). Despite evidence that deterministic factors such as habitat structure shape biodiversity (Condit et al, 2011), NBT successfully describes observed species abundance distributions (SADs), providing better fits than statistical distributions in tropical forests (Volkov et al, 2003; Harte, 2003), and in some datasets even better than models incorporating niche differences (Etienne and Olff, 2005). This renewed the debate over the relative role of niche partitioning versus stochastic neutral forces in shaping biodiversity patterns (Ruokolainen et al, 2009; Vergnon et al, 2009; Chisholm and Pacala, 2010; D’Andrea and Ostling, 2016).

Species abundance distributions in tropical forests commonly approximate a logseries (Bell, 2000; White et al, 2012), which is well described by NBT (Volkov et al, 2003) despite its radical assumptions of demographic equivalence between individuals of different species. This postulated equivalence is manifestly broken between conspecific individuals at different life stages. In trees, seedling mortality is much higher than adult mortality, whereas fecundity increases considerably as an individual ages to larger size (Harcombe, 1987). For example, seed output in *Cecropia obtusifolia* may differ by up to three orders of magnitude between juveniles and mature individuals, while mortality may change by a factor of 20 (Alvarez-Buylla and Martinez-Ramos, 1992). Despite this often severe stage structure observed in nature, its implications for neutral theory have not been fully explored. O’Dwyer et al (2009) showed that neutral SADs are robust to demographic structure in mortality. Here, in addition to mortality we explore the impact of ontogenetic differences in fecundity, which was not addressed in that study.

In this manuscript we also explore a second macroecological pattern, commonly used in tests of neutrality in the social sciences: the progeny distribution. This is the cumulative number of births within a species over a sufficiently long period of time. Because the progeny distribution aggregates the state of the community across time, it could potentially provide a better test for alternative community dynamics processes than the SAD. In the social sciences the progeny distribution is amply studied empirically (Hahn and Bentley, 2003; Bentley et al, 2004), as birth registries are more commonly available than the corresponding data in ecological systems. Recent theoretical work has shown that the progeny in an unstructured neutral birth-death process follows a power law distribution with a specific exponent of $3/2$ (O’Dwyer and Kandler, in preparation). It is not known whether this result holds for structured populations.

In this paper we examine the effects of demographic structure on both species abundance and species progeny distributions. We present a simple two-stage structured neutral model, where individuals at different stages may differ in both fecundity and mortality rates. We numerically simulate our model and compare SADs and progeny distributions to predictions from unstructured neutral theory. One set of scenarios presented covers a wide range of qualitative possibilities for relative fecundity and mortality of the life stages, while another set represents a specific case where the older stage is essentially inert, with very low reproduction and mortality occurring at that stage. As will be seen, this is the case where stage structure most strongly affects abundance distributions. Finally, we introduce a version of our model tailored to eusocial insects, a group of organisms with outstanding stage structure, and compare simulation results with observations in nature.

2 Methods

Neutral theory assumes that multiple species compete symmetrically for a single resource. One common formulation of neutrality, known as the non-zero sum approach, approximates these non-linear competitive interactions by allowing a focal species to interact with the average abundances of all other species, rather than the specific set of species abundances Volkov et al (2003); Etienne et al (2007); O’Dwyer and Chisholm (2014). This results in a simplified mean field scenario, represented mathematically as a master equation where species undergo fixed-step random walks with a slight downward bias, and total community size is maintained by a constant inflow of new species from speciation. We use this approximation in our model.

Consider an unstructured neutral model for a closed metacommunity of steady-state size J , with stochastic birth, death, and speciation in the form of an influx of new species with rate $\theta = \nu J$, where each new species starts with abundance 1. The mean field master equation describing the probability that a species has abundance n at time t , is (O’Dwyer and Chisholm, 2014)

$$\frac{dP(n|t)}{dt} = (b - \nu)[(n - 1)P(n - 1|t) - nP(n|t)] + d[(n + 1)P(n + 1|t) - nP(n|t)] \quad (1)$$

where b and d are fixed per capita birth and death rates, with $b = d$. This equation can be solved to give the logseries species abundance distribution typical of unstructured neutral theory:

$$\langle S(n) \rangle \simeq \frac{\theta}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{J}\right)^n \quad (2)$$

O’Dwyer and Kandler (2017) showed that at late times, the expected number of species with k birth events since their appearance in the community is

$$\langle S(k) \rangle = \frac{2d}{\nu} \left(\frac{4db(1 - \nu/b)}{\nu^2}\right)^{k-1} (-1)^k \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \quad (3)$$

In the social sciences this is known as the progeny distribution. For intermediate values of k , the expression above behaves like a power law with a specific exponent of $3/2$. For higher values exceeding $(b/\nu)^2$, it decays exponentially (O’Dwyer and Kandler, 2017).

We could straightforwardly formulate an equivalent master equation for a stage-structured model, though we do not at this point know of analytical solutions. We therefore take a simulation-based approach. Our model follows the same recipe as above but considers two life stages within each species. Each event corresponds to a gain or loss of one individual in the community due to a local birth, speciation event, aging event, or death. Denoting by W_{ia}^+ the probability that the event leads to a gain to the population of species a at stage i , and W_{ia}^- the probability that it leads to a loss to that same population, we write

$$W_{1a}^+ = (1 - \nu)(b_1 N_{1a} + b_2 N_{2a}) \quad (4)$$

$$W_{1a}^- = (1 + d_1) N_{1a} \quad (5)$$

$$W_{2a}^+ = N_{1a} \quad (6)$$

$$W_{2a}^- = d_2 N_{2a} \quad (7)$$

where N_{ia} ($i = 1, 2$) is the population of species a at stage i , and the non-negative constants b_i and d_i are respectively the fecundity and mortality of individuals at stage i , identical across species. In addition, there is a chance that the event adds a stage-1 individual from a new species, with fixed probability $\nu(b_1 \mathcal{N}_1 + b_2 \mathcal{N}_2)$, where ν is the speciation rate and \mathcal{N}_i are two fixed constants. We will

refer to stages 1 and 2 respectively as juveniles and adults, without necessarily implying the actual biological connotations of those terms (i.e. our “juveniles” are allowed to reproduce). Figure 1 shows a diagram of our two-stage model.

This model will have a stationary state if $d_2 > 0$ and $(1 - \nu)(b_1 + b_2/d_2) < 1 + d_1$. In that stationary state, the time-averaged total adult population will be proportional to the time-averaged total juvenile population, $\bar{N}_1 = d_2 \bar{N}_2$. Furthermore, the time-averaged population of stage i will be \mathcal{N}_i if $\mathcal{N}_1 = d_2 \mathcal{N}_2$ and $(b_1 + b_2/d_2) = 1 + d_1$. We therefore choose as our free parameters juvenile fecundity (b_1), juvenile mortality (d_1), and adult mortality (d_2), while setting adult fecundity (b_2) to $b_2 = (1 + d_1 - b_1) d_2$. With this parametrization, on average a fraction ν of recruitment events are speciation events as opposed to local births, and the total community size is $\mathcal{N}_1 + \mathcal{N}_2 = (1 + d_2) \mathcal{N}_2$. To ensure comparable community size across simulations, we set $\mathcal{N}_2 = J/(1 + d_2)$, with $J = 110,000$ individuals. We set $\nu = 0.001$ throughout our simulations. These parameter choices ensure high species diversity and community size, thus justifying our mean field approximation. Notice that our fecundity and mortality parameters are defined relative to the aging rate between the juvenile and adult stage, which we arbitrarily set to 1 by rescaling time to the appropriate unit. In other words, for every juvenile that ages into adulthood, d_1 juveniles die, etc. If we insist on keeping the aging rate unspecified, it would appear as a multiplicative constant replacing the factor 1 in equations (5, 6). Our choice does not affect abundance distributions.

Qualitatively different scenarios

In our first set of simulations we explore parameter space aiming to cover a variety of scenarios where adult fecundity and/or mortality is high or low relative to juveniles. In the High Juvenile Turnover (HJT) scenario, juveniles have high fecundity and mortality relative to adults, $b_1 \approx d_1 \gg 1$, $b_2 \approx d_2 \approx 0$. The High Adult Turnover (HAT) scenario is the reverse: $b_2 \approx d_2 \gg 1$, $b_1 \approx d_1 \approx 0$. In the Adult Senescence scenario (ASE), adults have low fecundity and high mortality relative to juveniles: $b_2 \approx 0$, $d_1 \approx 0$, $b_1 \approx 1$, $d_2 \gg d_1$. Finally, the Adult Vigor (AVI) scenario is the opposite, with juveniles having low fecundity and high mortality relative to adults, $b_1 \approx 0$, $d_1 \gg 1$, $d_2 \approx 0$, $b_2 \approx d_1 d_2$. This last case is presumably closest to reality for many organisms, e.g. trees. See Table 1 for our parameter choices in each scenario.

Children of Men scenarios

In our second set of simulations, we examine SAD and progeny distribution when adult fecundity is very low relative to juveniles, as adult mortality is turned to increasingly low levels. We fix juvenile mortality at $d_1 = 0.01$, so juveniles are likely to reach the adult stage rather than die, and juvenile fecundity at $b_1 = 1$. By contrast, adult fecundity is varied from one thousandth of juvenile fecundity to essentially zero (see Table 1). These scenarios bear resemblance to HJT in that most of the action (births and deaths) is contained in the juvenile stage, and to ASE in that many juveniles are given a chance to reach adulthood. As adult life history rates are lowered, adults become an inert class, a repository of individuals that record the progeny but no longer contribute increments or decrements to the population. Over time, populations become dominated by non-reproducing and long-lived adults. In analogy with P. D. James’s dystopian novel, we call these the Children of Men scenarios.

Eusocial insect scenarios

Eusocial insects present one of the most striking examples of demographic structure in nature, characterized by a large number of sterile workers and one or few reproductive queens. Given

the resemblance of this demographic structure to our Children of Men scenarios, we adapted our two-stage model to a simplified representation of eusocial insects (Figure 2). Our eusocial insect stage-structured model consists of a reproducing queen caste and a non-reproducing worker caste. The queen population undergoes a neutral birth-death process with speciation, whereas the worker subpopulation receives input from but does not feed back into the queen subpopulation, i.e. $b_2 = 0$. We set $d_1 = b_1$, and therefore in stationary equilibrium $N_1^* = \mathcal{N}_1 = d_2 N_2^*$. In addition to complete sterility in one of the stages, a major difference from our model above is that queens do not “age” into workers.

Distribution fitting

In order to compare results with predictions from the unstructured neutral model, we used maximum likelihood to fit a logseries to the SAD (Equation 2, see also Alonso et al 2008) and a truncated power law to the progeny, holding above a lower bound (Gillespie, 2015). The latter is a simplified approximation to the analytical prediction (Equation 3). The fitted power law exponent is sensitive to the lower bound, and to estimate it we use the method of minimum Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance (Clauset et al, 2009).

All simulations and fitting were done using R code (R Core Team, 2016). In addition to using existing packages (Clauset et al, 2009; Prado et al, 2016), we wrote our own code for fitting power laws to the progeny as the numbers involved were large enough to cause memory issues when fed into package functions. The code is available upon request.

3 Results

The top and middle rows of Figure 3 show the cumulative species abundance distribution and progeny distribution, respectively, in the HJT, HAT, ASE, and AVI scenarios. In all four, the SAD fits a logseries and the progeny fits a power law with exponent close to $3/2$. This occurred even though between those scenarios adult mortality and fecundity differed from juveniles by a factor of up to 1,000 (see Table 1). The bottom row of Figure 3 shows the relationship between juvenile and adult populations across species, respectively. In all four scenarios those populations are proportional to each other, with $N_{1a} \approx d_2 N_{2a}$. According to Equations (6) and (7), this is precisely the equilibrium condition for the adult population. In fact, in all four scenarios the coefficient of variation of both the juvenile and adult populations through time are no bigger than that of the community as a whole, indicating that each life stage is in equilibrium separately and synchronously. Supplementary Figure 1 shows community size, richness, and the synchrony between the populations of the two life stages.

In the Children of Men scenarios, SADs become poor fits to a logseries distribution once adult life history rates are sufficiently low (Figure 4, top row). The progeny distribution, on the other hand, remains a good fit to a $3/2$ power law regardless of adults’ life history rates (Figure 4, middle row). In fact, in the limit of low adult mortality, the SAD fits a $3/2$ power law better than a logseries (Figure 4, top row). As adult mortality is lowered, adult and juvenile populations start breaking loose from each other, and $N_1 = d_2 N_2$ is no longer a good fit (Figure 4, bottom row). Supplementary Figure 2 shows the loss of synchrony between the two life stages. As adult mortality decreases, the adult subpopulation increasingly dominates over juveniles and carries the SAD. As low-mortality adults basically never leave the population, they essentially record the progeny—hence the push on the SAD towards a $3/2$ power law.

Our eusocial insect model displays similar behavior to our Children of Men scenario (Figure 5): when worker mortality is sufficiently high, the SAD fits a logseries, and queen and worker sub-

populations are mutually proportional. But as worker mortality is reduced, the linear relationship breaks down (see also Supplementary Figure 3, and the SAD veers towards a progeny-like $3/2$ power law. The progeny distribution, as in all other scenarios, is invariant throughout.

Power law abundance distribution in insect groups

Siemann et al (1999) found a power law relationship between species rank and abundances across several arthropod groups, with an exponent often approximating 2. This suggests a power law SAD with exponent near $3/2$. With data available at the University of Minnesota Cedar Creek data repository (Haarstad, 2004), we fitted a logseries and a power law with exponential cutoff to abundance distributions of eight arthropod taxa, including Hymenoptera, a diverse order of insects containing many eusocial species (Figure 6). For the fits we performed maximum likelihood estimation using R package `sads` (Prado et al, 2016), and to estimate significance we used a likelihood ratio test. Given the multiple tests we adjusted p-values using Bonferroni correction. We found that the power law with exponential cutoff is a significantly better fit than the logseries in Coleoptera, Hymenoptera, and marginally Lepidoptera, although the data contained very few species in the last group, inviting caution in interpreting results. (In the case of Coleoptera, even a simple power law fits better than the logseries, and the fitted exponent is a suggestive $\hat{\alpha} = 1.52$.) Although these results are by no means conclusive, the fact that orders containing eusocial insects showed the strongest deviation from a logseries SAD suggests the possibility that the stage-structured neutral model captures some of the essential drivers of abundance distributions in taxa with this demographic structure.

4 Discussion

The models we have investigated span a variety of scenarios with two life stages, where these stages are distinguished by their fecundity and/or mortality rates. Our results indicate that both species abundance (SAD) and progeny distributions are largely insensitive to demographic variation in either fecundity or mortality, so long as it affects all species equally — i.e. so long as differences in individuals' fecundity and mortality pertain strictly to their life stage and not their species identity. However, we also found that SADs can deviate from the unstructured neutral community's logseries if both fecundity and mortality drop significantly at later life stages. The progeny distribution, in contrast, seems truly invariant under a wide variety of species-neutral demographic structure. O'Dwyer and Kandler (2017) (in preparation) showed that in the steady state of a neutral birth-death process with speciation, the progeny follows a power law with exponent $3/2$, followed by an exponential dropoff at very large values. This was also observed in all scenarios of our stage-structured neutral model.

Our results for how and when the neutral SAD departs from the log series distribution have intuitive explanations. First, in scenarios where adult and juvenile populations are typically proportional to each other, our models effectively reduce to a simpler, unstructured birth-death process. A glance at Figure 1 reveals that if $N_{1a}(t) = kN_{2a}(t)$ with constant k , then adults undergo a neutral birth-death process with death rate d_2 and birth rate k , while juveniles undergo a neutral birth-death process with rates $1 + d_1$ and $(1 - \nu)(b_1 + b_2/k)$, and therefore so does the species population as a whole. This fact explains why the SAD is largely unchanged in our first four scenarios.

However, if adult mortality is too low, the equilibrium juvenile population is so small that stochastic fluctuations become important, and break the link between the sub-populations in either stage. The species population as a whole is no longer undergoing a simple birth-death process, and as result the SAD is affected. The greater robustness of the progeny distribution is likely due to its

independence of death rates. The SAD on the other hand depends on the balance between births and deaths, which our results show can be broken if intraspecific subpopulations with different life history rates do not fluctuate in synchrony. Our Children of Men scenario provides an example of this, and may provide an explanation of the kinds of progeny and abundance distributions that previous research has identified in the social sciences. For example, both baby names (the progeny distribution) and censuses of names (analogous to the SAD) approximately follow a power law. Our study hints that this may reflect a particular stage-structured neutral dynamics in human names, possibly caused by the fact that in human societies most childbearing is done by young adults, while those no longer reproducing still have many years to live, and hence appear in census counts.

This Children of Men scenario may also have a biological counterpart in groups of animals where the population contains many non-reproducing adults, such as eusocial insects. We presented a modified version of our two-stage neutral model adapted for eusocial insects, and showed that the SAD approximates a $3/2$ power law for sufficiently low worker mortality. Siemann et al (1999) found that species rank-abundance distributions across arthropod groups approximate a power law with exponent close to 2, which is equivalent to a $3/2$ power-law abundance distribution. Using a similar dataset collected by the same authors, we found that out of eight different arthropod taxa, a power law with exponential cutoff is a significantly better fit than a logseries for the SADs of Coleoptera and Hymenoptera. Concerning the latter group, we speculate that this may be related to the common occurrence of eusociality in Hymenoptera. It is unlikely that eusocial insects undergo neutral dynamics. But the observation that abundance distributions approximate predictions from a stage-structured neutral model implies that neutrality (even if inaccurate) may be an effective vehicle for capturing the effects of stage structure on SADs. More extensive species abundance data for eusocial insects would help to settle this conjecture.

Our simple two-stage model is of course a very simplified representation of actual demographic structure in nature, where individuals undergo more than two distinct life stages differing substantially in life history. However, we note that our argument for the robustness of the SAD holds regardless of the number of stages, so long as sub-populations vary in relative synchrony. In our model, competitive interactions between individuals are identical irrespective of their life stages. In reality, we note that differentiated competition between stages would lead to more complicated dynamics. For example among tropical trees most of the competition for light is between saplings in the understory. We leave the exploration of such complexities in stage-structured models for future study.

It has been argued that abundance distributions are consistent across different systems because they are not sensitive to any particular community's underlying ecology, thus being a poor test of community assembly processes (McGill, 2003). Our study qualifies this, suggesting that abundance distributions are affected by extreme stage structure, as in eusocial insects and species with long-lived non-reproducing adults such as humans. When stage structure is not as marked, species abundance distributions may indeed be insensitive to it, and thus uninformative of it. The success of neutral theory in reproducing observed abundance distributions may imply that it is an effective or sufficient model of the ultimate drivers of abundance patterns, despite omitting biological complexities which are critical for understanding the success or failure of individual species.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Evan Siemann and David Tilman for generously agreeing to our use of their data in this paper.

References

- Alonso D, Ostling A, Etienne RS (2008) The implicit assumption of symmetry and the species abundance distribution. *Ecology Letters* 11(2):93–105, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01127.x
- Alvarez-Buylla ER, Martinez-Ramos M (1992) Demography and Allometry of *Cecropia Obtusifolia*, a Neotropical Pioneer Tree - An Evaluation of the Climax-Pioneer Paradigm for Tropical Rain Forests. *Journal of Ecol* 80(2):275–290
- Bell G (2000) The distribution of abundance in neutral communities. *American Naturalist* 155(5):606–617, DOI 10.1086/303345
- Bentley RA, Hahn MW, Shennan SJ (2004) Random drift and culture change. *Proceedings Biological sciences / The Royal Society* 271(1547):1443–50, DOI 10.1098/rspb.2004.2746, URL <http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/271/1547/1443.short>
- Chisholm RA, Pacala SW (2010) Niche and neutral models predict asymptotically equivalent species abundance distributions in high-diversity ecological communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107(36):15,821–5, DOI 10.1073/pnas.1009387107,
- Clauset A, Rohilla Shalizi C, J Newman ME (2009) Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. *SIAM Review* 51(4):661–703, DOI 10.1214/13-AOAS710, arXiv:0706.1062v2
- Condit R, Pitman N, Jr EGL, Villa G, Muller-landau HC, Losos E (2011) Beta-Diversity in Tropical Forest Trees. *Science* 666(2002), DOI 10.1126/science.1066854
- D’Andrea R, Ostling A (2016) Challenges in linking trait patterns to niche differentiation. *Oikos* (May):n/a–n/a, DOI 10.1111/oik.02979
- Etienne RS, Olf H (2005) Confronting different models of community structure to species-abundance data: A Bayesian model comparison. *Ecology Letters* 8(5):493–504, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00745.x
- Etienne RS, Alonso D, McKane AJ (2007) The zero-sum assumption in neutral biodiversity theory. *Journal of theoretical biology* 248(3):522–36, DOI 10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.06.010, URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17640675>
- Gillespie CS (2015) Fitting Heavy Tailed Distributions: The {powerLaw} Package. *Journal of Statistical Software* 64(2):1–16, URL <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v64/i02/>
- Haarstad J (2004) University of Minnesota Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve, Experiment 122. URL <http://www.lter.umn.edu/research/data/experiment?e122>
- Hahn MW, Bentley RA (2003) Drift as a mechanism for cultural change: an example from baby names. *Biology Letters* 270 Suppl:S120–S123, DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2003.0045
- Harcombe PA (1987) Tree Life Tables. *BioScience* 37(8):557–568, DOI 10.1525/bio.2009.59.6.3, URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1310666>
- Harte J (2003) Ecology: tail of death and resurrection. *Nature* 424(6952):1006–1007, DOI 10.1038/4241006a

- Hubbell SP (2001) *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ
- McGill BJ (2003) Strong and weak tests of macroecological theory. *Oikos* 102(3):679–685
- O’Dwyer JP, Chisholm RA (2014) A mean field model for competition: From neutral ecology to the Red Queen. *Ecology Letters* 17(8):961–969, DOI 10.1111/ele.12299
- O’Dwyer JP, Kandler A (2017) Novelty, popularity, and emergent neutrality: bias in the choice of baby names and lessons for analyzing cultural data. arXiv:1702.08506v1
- O’Dwyer JP, Lake JK, Ostling A, Savage VM, Green JL (2009) An integrative framework for stochastic, size-structured community assembly. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106(15):6170–5, DOI 10.1073/pnas.0813041106,
- Prado PI, Miranda MD, Chalom A (2016) sads: Maximum Likelihood Models for Species Abundance Distributions. URL <https://cran.r-project.org/package=sads>
- R Core Team (2016) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Ruokolainen L, Ranta E, Kaitala V, Fowler MS (2009) When can we distinguish between neutral and non-neutral processes in community dynamics under ecological drift? *Ecology letters* 12(9):909–19, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01346.x, URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19570103>
- Siemann E, Tilman D, Haarstad J (1999) Abundance, diversity and body size: patterns from a grassland arthropod community UR -.documents_pdf\Siemann_1999.pdf. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 68(4):824–835, DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2656.1999.00326.x
- Vergnon R, Dulvy NK, Freckleton RP (2009) Niches versus neutrality: uncovering the drivers of diversity in a species-rich community. *Ecology letters* 12(10):1079–90, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01364.x, URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19747181>
- Volkov I, Banavar JR, Hubbell SP, Maritan A (2003) Neutral theory and relative species abundance in ecology. *Nature* 424(6952):1035–7, DOI 10.1038/nature01883, URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12944964>
- White EP, Thibault KM, Xiao X (2012) Characterizing species abundance distributions across taxa and ecosystems using a simple maximum entropy model. *Ecology* 93(8):1772–1778

Tables and Figures

Table 1: Parameter values used in each of first set of two-stage scenarios. Mortality and fecundity of stage i are represented respectively by d_i and b_i , while \mathcal{N}_i is the average total population size at stage i (given in thousands). Speciation rate $\nu = 0.001$ is fixed across scenarios. Scenario abbreviations are HJT: high juvenile turnover, HAT: high adult turnover, ASE: adult senescence, AVI: adult vigor, COM: Children of Men, ANT: eusocial insect model.

	HJT	HAT	ASE	AVI	COMa	COMb	COMc	COMd	ANTa	ANTb	ANTc
d_1	10	0.01	0.01	100	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	1	1	1
b_1	10	0.01	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
d_2	0.1	10	1	1	0.1	0.01	1×10^{-3}	5×10^{-4}	1	0.01	1×10^{-3}
b_2	0.1	10	0.01	101	1×10^{-3}	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}	0	0	0
\mathcal{N}_1	10	100	55	55	10	1	0.1	0.05	55	1	0.1
\mathcal{N}_2	100	10	55	55	100	109	109.9	109.95	55	109	109.9

Figure 1: Schematic representation of the 2-stage model, showing the flow of individuals into and out of each stage. In the stationary state, $N_1 = N_1^0$, $N_2 = N_2^0 = 1/d_2 N_1^0$, and a proportion $1 - \nu$ of birth events are local births, and the remainder are speciation events. For simplicity, we set the time scale so that the aging rate is normalized to 1. Parameters b_1 (juvenile fecundity), d_1 (juvenile mortality), and d_2 (adult mortality) are independently varied, while adult fecundity is set to $b_2 = (1 + d_1 - b_1) d_2$. A stationary state exists only if $d_2 > 0$ and $b_1 < 1 + d_1$.




Figure 2: Stage-structured model for eusocial insects. Populations are composed of reproductive queens and sterile workers. Queens undergo a stochastic birth-death process with sporadic speciation. Worker subpopulation receives input from the queen subpopulation, but does not feed back into it. Notice that queens do not “age” into workers.




Figure 3: **Top** and **middle** rows: Cumulative species abundance distribution and progeny distribution in each of our four two-stage scenarios (black dots), overlaid with maximum likelihood fits for a logseries (SAD, blue curves) and power law (progeny, red lines). Chi-squared tests on SADs indicate a very good fit to a logseries in each scenario (p-value = 1). Maximum likelihood estimates for the power law exponent, $\hat{\alpha}$, approximate 3/2 in all scenarios. **Bottom**: Juvenile and adult populations are roughly proportional to each other as $N_{1a} \approx d_2 N_{2a}$, as seen by plotting $\log(1 + N_{1a})$ against $\log(1 + d_2 N_{2a})$ for each species at the end of the simulation. Linear regression fits (green line) are very close to the one-to-one line (in red). Legend shows adjusted R-squared of the linear regression.




Figure 4: Children of Men scenarios. **Top:** As adult mortality decreases, the SAD gradually deviates from a logseries towards a power law. Goodness-of-fit χ^2 p-values quickly drop to significant values, and the logseries fit (blue curve) is thus rejected. In contrast, the exponent $\hat{\alpha}$ of the fitted power law (red curve) approaches $3/2$. **Middle:** Unlike the SAD, the progeny distribution is invariant across these scenarios, remaining a good fit to a $3/2$ power law. **Bottom:** As adult mortality is lowered, the proportionality between juvenile and adult populations deteriorates. Axes, lines, and legends as in Figure 3.




Figure 5: Eusocial insect scenarios. Plot layout similar to Figure 4.





Figure 6: Abundance distribution of eight different arthropod groups. Blue and green curves are maximum likelihood fits to a logseries and power law with exponential cutoff, respectively. Legends indicate the fitted exponent of the power law phase, and the p-value of the likelihood ratio test between the two fits, with $p < 0.05$ indicating that the power law with cutoff is significantly better than the logseries. S is the number of species sampled in each group, and J the number of individuals.



Supplementary material

Figure 7: **Top:** Total community size across simulation time (measured in number of events, ie births, deaths, aging, and speciation events throughout the community). **Middle:** Total juvenile population $N_1 = \sum_a N_{1a}$ maintains close connection with total adult population $N_2 = \sum_a N_{2a}$, rarely deviating from the equilibrium condition $N_1(t) = d_2 N_2(t)$ by more than 5%. **Bottom:** Species richness across time.




Figure 8: Children of Men scenarios. Plot layout similar to Figure 7. Notice much looser tie between juvenile and adult subpopulations as adult mortality becomes small (middle row). Wide fluctuations above 100% departure from the equilibrium condition $N_1(t) = d_2 N_2(t)$ become increasingly common for increasingly lower adult mortality. This occurs even though total community size and richness are in stationary equilibrium (top and bottom rows)




Figure 9: Eusocial insect scenarios. Plot layout similar to Figure 7. As in the Children of Men scenario, community size is highly variable compared with the first set of scenarios, and the sub-populations in the two life stages become disconnected as worker mortality gets low.

