

# ROOK PLACEMENTS AND JORDAN FORMS OF UPPER-TRIANGULAR NILPOTENT MATRICES

MARTHA YIP

ABSTRACT. The set of  $n$  by  $n$  upper-triangular nilpotent matrices with entries in a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has Jordan canonical forms indexed by partitions  $\lambda \vdash n$ . We present a combinatorial formula for computing the number  $F_\lambda(q)$  of matrices of Jordan type  $\lambda$  as a weighted sum over standard Young tableaux. We also study a connection between these matrices and non-attacking rook placements, which leads to a refinement of the formula for  $F_\lambda(q)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the beautiful paper *Variations on the Triangular Theme* [Kir95], Kirillov studied various structures on the set of triangular matrices. Let  $G = G_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  denote the group of  $n$  by  $n$  invertible upper-triangular matrices over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  having  $q$  elements, and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \text{Lie}(G_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$  denote the corresponding Lie algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  upper-triangular nilpotent matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The problem of determining the set  $\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of adjoint  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$  remains challenging, and a more tractable task is to study a decomposition of  $\mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  via the Jordan canonical form. Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  be a partition of  $n$  with  $r$  positive parts  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r > 0$ , and let

$$J_\lambda = J_{\lambda_1} \oplus J_{\lambda_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{\lambda_r}, \quad \text{where} \quad J_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{i \times i}$$

is the  $i$  by  $i$  elementary Jordan matrix with all eigenvalues equal to zero. If  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is similar to  $J_\lambda$  under  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then  $X$  is said to have *Jordan type*  $\lambda$ . Each conjugacy class contains a unique Jordan matrix  $J_\lambda$ , so these classes are indexed by the partitions of  $n$ . Evidently, the Jordan type of  $X$  depends only on its adjoint  $G$ -orbit.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be the set of upper-triangular nilpotent matrices of fixed Jordan type  $\lambda$ , and let

$$(1) \quad F_\lambda(q) = |\mathfrak{g}_{n,\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q)|.$$

Springer showed that  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is an algebraic manifold with  $f^\lambda$  irreducible components, where  $f^\lambda$  is the number of standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , and each of

which has dimension  $\binom{n}{2} - n_\lambda$ , where  $n_\lambda$  is an integer defined in Equation 10. These quantities appear in the study of  $F_\lambda(q)$ .

In Section 2, we show that the numbers  $F_\lambda(q)$  satisfy a simple recurrence equation, and that they are polynomials in  $q$  with integer coefficients. As a consequence of the recurrence equation in Theorem 2.8, it follows that the coefficient of the highest degree term in  $F_\lambda(q)$  is  $f^\lambda$ , and  $\deg F_\lambda(q) = \binom{n}{2} - n_\lambda$ . Equation (9) is a combinatorial formula for  $F_\lambda(q)$  as a sum over standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  that can be derived from the recurrence equation.

The cases  $F_{(1^n)}(q) = 1$  and  $F_{(n)}(q) = (q-1)^{n-1}q^{\binom{n-1}{2}}$  are readily computed, since the matrix in  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of Jordan type  $(1^n)$  is the matrix of zero rank, and the matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of Jordan type  $(n)$  are the matrices of rank equal to  $n-1$ . Section 2 concludes with explicit formulas for  $F_\lambda(q)$  in several other special cases of  $\lambda$ , including hook shapes, two-rowed partitions and two-columned partitions.

In Section 3, we explore a connection of  $F_\lambda(q)$  with rook placements. In their study of a formula of Frobenius, Garsia and Remmel [GR86] introduced the *q-rook polynomial*

$$R_{B,k}(q) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(B,k)} q^{\text{inv}(c)},$$

which is a sum over the set  $\mathcal{C}(B,k)$  of non-attacking placements of  $k$  rooks on a Ferrers board  $B$ , and  $\text{inv}(c)$ , defined in Equation (13), is the number of inversions of  $c$ . In the case when  $B = B_n$  is the staircase-shaped board, Garsia and Remmel showed that  $R_{B_n,k}(q) = S_{n,n-k}(q)$  is a *q-Stirling number of the second kind*. These numbers are defined by the recurrence equation

$$S_{n,k}(q) = q^{k-1}S_{n-1,k-1}(q) + [k]_q S_{n-1,k}(q) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

with initial conditions  $S_{0,0}(q) = 1$ , and  $S_{n,k}(q) = 0$  for  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ .

It was shown by Solomon [Sol90] that non-attacking placements of  $k$  rooks on rectangular  $m \times n$  boards are naturally associated to  $m$  by  $n$  matrices with rank  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . By identifying a Ferrers board  $B$  inside an  $n$  by  $n$  grid with the entries of an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix, Haglund [Hag98] generalized Solomon's result to the case of non-attacking placements of  $k$  rooks on Ferrers boards, and obtained a formula for the number of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices with rank  $k$  whose support is contained in the Ferrers board region. A special case of Haglund's formula shows that the number of  $n$  by  $n$  nilpotent upper-triangular matrices of rank  $k$  is

$$(2) \quad P_{B_n,k}(q) = (q-1)^k q^{\binom{n}{2}-k} R_{B_n,k}(q^{-1}).$$

Now, a matrix in  $\mathfrak{g}_{n,\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  has rank  $n - \ell(\lambda)$ , where  $\ell(\lambda)$  is the number of parts of  $\lambda$ , so the number of matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with rank  $k$  is

$$(3) \quad P_{B_n,k}(q) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n: \ell(\lambda)=n-k} F_\lambda(q).$$

Given Equations 2 and 3, it would be natural to ask whether it is possible to partition the placements  $\mathcal{C}(B_n, k)$  into disjoint subsets so that the sum over each subset of placements gives  $F_\lambda(q)$ . A central goal of this paper is to study the connection between upper-triangular nilpotent matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and non-attacking rook placements on the staircase-shaped board  $B_n$ . Theorem 3.7 shows that there is a weight-preserving bijection  $\Phi$  between rook placements on  $B_n$  and paths in a graph  $\mathcal{Z}$  (see Figure 5), which is a multi-edged version of Young's lattice. As a result, we obtain Corollary 3.9, which gives a formula for  $F_\lambda(q)$  as a sum over certain rook placements that can be viewed as a generalization of Haglund's formula in Equation (2).

There is a classically known bijection between rook placements in  $\mathcal{C}(B_n, k)$  and set partitions of  $[n]$  with  $n - k$  parts, so it is logical to next study the connection between  $F_\lambda(q)$  and set partitions. We do this in Section 4. Theorem 4.3 describes the construction of a new (weight-preserving) bijection  $\Psi$  between rook placements and set partitions. These bijections allow us to refine Equation (9) to a sum over set partitions (or rook placements). We also discuss the significance of the polynomials  $F_C(q)$  indexed by rook placements in a special case.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Jim Haglund and Alexandre Kirillov for their invaluable guidance, and Yue Cai and Alejandro Morales for enlightening conversations.

## 2. FORMULAS FOR $F_\lambda(q)$

The recurrence equation for  $F_\lambda(q)$  in Theorem 2.8 can be found in [Bor95, Division Theorem], where Borodin considers the matrices as particles of a certain mass and studies the asymptotic behaviour of the formula. A preliminary version of the idea first appeared in [Kir95]. In this section, we give an elementary proof of the formula, and investigate some of the combinatorial properties of  $F_\lambda(q)$ .

**2.1. The recurrence equation for  $F_\lambda(q)$ .** A *partition*  $\lambda$  of a nonnegative integer  $n$ , denoted by  $\lambda \vdash n$ , is a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$  with  $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = n$ . If  $\lambda$  has  $r$  positive parts, write  $\ell(\lambda) = r$ . A partition  $\lambda$  can be represented by its Ferrers diagram in the English notation, which is an array of  $\lambda_i$  boxes in the  $i$ th row, with the boxes justified upwards and to the left. Let  $\lambda'_j$  denote the size of the  $j$ th column of  $\lambda$ .

Young's lattice  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the lattice of partitions ordered by the inclusion of their Ferrers diagrams; that is,  $\mu \leq \lambda$  if and only if  $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$  for every  $i$ . In particular,  $\mu$  is covered by  $\lambda$  in the Hasse diagram of  $\mathcal{Y}$  and we write  $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$  if the Ferrers diagram of  $\lambda$  can be obtained by adding a box to the Ferrers diagram of  $\mu$ . See Figure 1.

**Example 2.1.** The partition

$$\lambda = (4, 2, 2, 1) \vdash 9 \quad \text{has diagram} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and columns  $\lambda'_1 = 4, \lambda'_2 = 3, \lambda'_3 = 1, \lambda'_4 = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  be a partition whose Ferrers diagram has  $r$  rows and  $c$  columns. The Jordan matrix  $J_\lambda$  satisfies*

$$\text{rank}(J_\lambda^k) = \begin{cases} \lambda'_{k+1} + \cdots + \lambda'_c, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < c, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \geq c. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The  $i$  by  $i$  elementary Jordan matrix  $J_i$  has  $\text{rank}(J_i^k) = i - k$  if  $0 \leq k \leq i$ , and its rank is zero otherwise, so the Jordan matrix  $J_\lambda = J_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{\lambda_r}$  has

$$\text{rank}(J_\lambda^k) = \sum_{i=1}^r \text{rank}(J_{\lambda_i}^k) = \sum_{i:\lambda_i \geq k} \text{rank}(J_{\lambda_i}^k) = \sum_{j=k+1}^c \lambda'_j,$$

for  $0 \leq k < c$ , which is the number of boxes in the last  $c - k$  columns of  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Matrices which are similar have the same rank, so if  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{n,\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then  $\text{rank}(X^k) = \text{rank}(J_\lambda^k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Conversely, let  $\lambda, \nu \vdash n$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\text{rank}(J_\lambda^k) = \text{rank}(J_\nu^k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = \nu$ . Thus if  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a matrix such that  $\text{rank}(X^k) = \text{rank}(J_\lambda^k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , then  $X$  is similar to  $J_\lambda$ .

**Example 2.4.** If a matrix  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  has Jordan type  $\lambda = (4, 2, 2, 1)$ , then  $\text{rank}(X) = 5$ ,  $\text{rank}(X^2) = 2$ ,  $\text{rank}(X^3) = 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(X^4) = 0$ .

If  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a matrix of the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} J_\mu & \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mu \vdash n - 1$ , and  $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]^T \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}$ , then the first order leading principal submatrix of  $X^k$  is  $J_\mu^k$ , and for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we define column vectors  $\mathbf{v}^k = [v_1^k, \dots, v_{n-1}^k]^T \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}$  by

$$X^k = \begin{bmatrix} J_\mu^k & \mathbf{v}^k \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For  $i \geq 1$ , let  $\alpha_i = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i$  be the sum of the first  $i$  parts of  $\mu$ . The  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $J_\mu^k$  is nonzero if and only if  $j = i + k$ , and  $i, i + k \leq \alpha_b$  for all  $b \geq 1$ . It follows from this that

$$(4) \quad v_i^k = \begin{cases} v_{i+k-1}, & \text{if } i, i + k - 1 \leq \alpha_b \text{ for all } b \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There is a simple way to visualize the vectors  $\mathbf{v}^k$ , which we illustrate with an example.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\mu = (4, 2, 1, 1)$ , so that  $\alpha_1 = 4, \alpha_2 = 6, \alpha_3 = 7$ , and  $\alpha_4 = 8$ . Let

$$X = \begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & v_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & v_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & v_4 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 1 & v_5 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & v_6 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & v_7 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & v_8 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{so that} \quad X^2 = \begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & v_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & v_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & v_6 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0 \end{array}.$$

We may visualize the vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^2$  as fillings of the Ferrers diagram for  $\mu$ :

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline v_4 & v_3 & v_2 & v_1 \\ \hline v_6 & v_5 & & \\ \hline v_7 & & & \\ \hline v_8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}^2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & v_4 & v_3 & v_2 \\ \hline 0 & v_6 & & \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

This way, a basis of  $\ker X^k$  is the set of vectors filling the first  $k$  columns of the diagram.

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{n,\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and its first order leading principal submatrix  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1,\mu}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then  $\lambda \succ \mu$ .*

*Proof.* We first consider the case  $Y = J_\mu$ . If  $\mu$  has  $s$  parts, let  $\alpha_i = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then

$$(5) \quad \text{rank}(X^k) - \text{rank}(J_\mu^k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v_{\alpha_i} = 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ such that } \mu_i \geq k, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $c \leq n$  be the smallest positive integer for which  $\text{rank}(X^c) - \text{rank}(J_\mu^c) = 0$ . Then Equation (5) implies that

$$\text{rank}(X^k) - \text{rank}(J_\mu^k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \geq c, \\ 1, & \text{if } k < c. \end{cases}$$

Together with Lemma 2.2, we deduce that

$$\lambda'_k - \mu'_k = (\text{rank}(X^{k-1}) - \text{rank}(X^k)) - (\text{rank}(J_\mu^{k-1}) - \text{rank}(J_\mu^k)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = c, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq c. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $\lambda \succ \mu$  in the case  $Y = J_\mu$ .

In the general case where  $Y$  is any matrix of Jordan type  $\mu$ , then  $\text{rank}(Y^k) = \text{rank}(J_\mu^k)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , so the argument is the same.  $\square$

Let  $\lambda$  be the partition whose diagram is obtained by adding a box to the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column of the diagram of the partition  $\mu$ . Define the coefficient

$$(6) \quad c_{\mu,\lambda}(q) = \begin{cases} q^{|\mu|-\mu'_j}, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ q^{|\mu|-\mu'_{j-1}} \left( q^{\mu'_{j-1}-\mu'_j} - 1 \right), & \text{if } j \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that in the case  $j \geq 2$ , we have  $\mu'_{j-1} - \mu'_j \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $Y$  be an upper-triangular nilpotent matrix of Jordan type  $\mu \vdash n-1$ . If  $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$ , then there are  $c_{\mu,\lambda}(q)$  upper-triangular nilpotent matrices  $X$  of Jordan type  $\lambda$  whose first order leading principal submatrix is  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* By similarity, it suffices to consider the case  $Y = J_\mu = J_{\mu_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{\mu_m}$ , where  $\ell(\mu) = m$ . Suppose  $X$  is a matrix of the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} J_\mu & \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

of Jordan type  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda$  is obtained by adding a box to  $\mu$  in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column.

First consider the case  $j \geq 2$ . Following the proof of Lemma 2.6, we know that  $j$  is the unique integer where  $\text{rank}(X^{j-1}) = \text{rank}(J_\mu^{j-1}) + 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(X^j) = \text{rank}(J_\mu^j)$ . In order to satisfy the first condition, the entries in the vector  $\mathbf{v}^{j-1}$  corresponding to the boxes in the  $(j-1)$ th column and rows  $\geq i$  must not simultaneously be zero (refer to Equation (4) and Example 2.5), while in order to satisfy the second condition, the entries in the vector  $\mathbf{v}^j$  corresponding to the boxes in the  $j$ th column of  $\mu$  must all be zero. The remaining  $n-1-\mu'_{j-1}$  entries of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  are free to be any element in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , so there are

$$q^{n-1-\mu'_{j-1}} \left( q^{\mu'_{j-1}-\mu'_j} - 1 \right)$$

possible matrices  $X$  whose leading principal submatrix is  $J_\mu$ .

The case  $j = 1$  is simpler. The necessary and sufficient condition that  $X$  and  $J_\mu$  must satisfy is that  $\text{rank}(X^k) = \text{rank}(J_\mu^k)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , so the entries in the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  corresponding to the boxes in the first column of the diagram for  $\mathbf{v}^1$  must all be zero, while the remaining  $n-1-\mu'_1$  entries are free to be any element in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , so there are  $q^{n-1-\mu'_1}$  matrices  $X$  whose leading principal submatrix is  $J_\mu$  in this case.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *The number of  $n$  by  $n$  upper-triangular nilpotent matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of Jordan type  $\lambda \vdash n$  is*

$$F_\lambda(q) = \sum_{\mu: \mu \triangleleft \lambda} c_{\mu,\lambda}(q) F_\mu(q),$$

with  $F_\emptyset(q) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , the zero matrix is the only upper-triangular nilpotent matrix, and it has Jordan type (1), agreeing with the formula  $c_{\emptyset,(1)}(q) = 1$ .




FIGURE 1. Young’s lattice with edge weights  $c_{\mu,\lambda}(q)$ , up to  $n = 4$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \vdash n$ . By Lemma 2.6, any matrix of Jordan type  $\lambda$  has a leading principal submatrix of type  $\mu \vdash n - 1$  for some  $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$ . Furthermore, by Lemma 2.7, for each matrix  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1,\mu}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , there are  $c_{\mu\lambda}(q)$  matrices  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{n,\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  having  $Y$  as its leading principal submatrix. Summing over all  $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$  gives the desired formula.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9** (Formulation in terms of standard Young tableaux). The formula for  $F_\lambda(q)$  in Theorem 2.8 can be re-phrased as a sum over the set  $\mathcal{P}_Y(\lambda)$  of paths in Young’s lattice  $\mathcal{Y}$  from the empty partition  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda$ . If  $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , we assign the weight  $c_{\mu,\lambda}(q)$  to the corresponding edge in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Figure 1 shows Young’s lattice with weighted edges for partitions with up to four boxes. Let  $P = (\emptyset = \pi^{(0)}, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n)} = \lambda)$  denote a path in  $\mathcal{Y}$  from  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda$ , where  $\pi^{(i)}$  is a partition of  $i$ . To simplify notation, let  $\epsilon_i(q) = c_{\pi^{(i-1)},\pi^{(i)}}(q)$ . Theorem 2.8 is equivalently re-phrased as

$$(7) \quad F_\lambda(q) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_Y(\lambda)} F_P(q),$$

where the weight of the path  $P$  is  $F_P(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i(q)$ .

The set of paths  $\mathcal{P}_Y(\lambda)$  is in bijection with the set  $\text{SYT}(\lambda)$  of standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , so we can also give an equation for  $F_\lambda(q)$  as a sum over standard Young tableaux.

A *standard Young tableau*  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  is a filling of the Ferrers diagram of  $\lambda \vdash n$  with the integers  $1, \dots, n$  such that the integers increase weakly along each row and

strictly along each column. For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , Let  $T^{(i)}$  denote the Young tableau of shape  $\lambda^{(i)}$  consisting of the boxes containing  $1, \dots, i$ , and define weights

$$(8) \quad T^{(i)}(q) = \begin{cases} q^{i-\ell(\lambda^{(i)})}, & \text{if the } i\text{th box is in the first column,} \\ q^{i-\lambda_j^{(i)'} - q^{i-1-\lambda_{j-1}^{(i)'}}}, & \text{if the } i\text{th box is in the } j\text{th column, } j \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(9) \quad F_\lambda(q) = \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} F_T(q),$$

where the weight of the standard Young tableau  $T$  is  $F_T(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n T^{(i)}(q)$ .

**2.2. Properties of  $F_\lambda(q)$ .** Several properties of  $F_\lambda(q)$  follow readily from Theorem 2.8. For  $\lambda \vdash n$ , let

$$(10) \quad n_\lambda = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\lambda_i = \sum_{b \in \lambda} \text{coleg}(b),$$

where if a box  $b \in \lambda$  lies in the  $i$ th row of  $\lambda$ , then  $\text{coleg}(b) = i-1$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $\lambda \vdash n$ . As a polynomial in  $q$ ,*

$$\deg F_\lambda(q) = \binom{n}{2} - n_\lambda.$$

*Moreover, the coefficient of the highest degree term in  $F_\lambda(q)$  is  $f^\lambda$ , the number of standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $P = (\emptyset = \pi^{(0)}, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n)} = \lambda)$  is a path in  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\pi^{(k)}$  is obtained by adding a box to the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column of  $\pi^{(k-1)}$ . Then  $\deg c_{\pi^{(k-1)}, \pi^{(k)}}(q) = k-i$ , and therefore

$$\deg F_P(q) = \sum_{k=1}^n \deg c_{\pi^{(k-1)}, \pi^{(k)}}(q) = \sum_{k=1}^n k - \sum_{k \geq 1} k\lambda_k = \binom{n}{2} - n_\lambda.$$

In particular, every polynomial  $F_P(q)$  arising from a path  $P \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{Y}(\lambda)$  has the same degree, so  $\deg F_\lambda(q) = \binom{n}{2} - n_\lambda$ . Moreover, each  $F_P(q)$  is monic, so the coefficient of the highest degree term in  $F_\lambda(q)$  is the number of paths in  $\mathcal{Y}$  from  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda$ , which is  $f^\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $\lambda \vdash n$ . The multiplicity of the factor  $q-1$  in  $F_\lambda(q)$  is  $n - \ell(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* The weight  $c_{\pi^{(k-1)}, \pi^{(k)}}(q)$  corresponding to the  $k$ th step in the path  $P$  contributes a single factor of  $q-1$  to  $F_P(q)$  if and only if the  $k$ th box added is not in the first column of  $\lambda$ . Therefore, the multiplicity of  $q-1$  in  $F_P(q)$  is  $n - \ell(\lambda)$ , and it follows that the multiplicity of  $q-1$  in  $F_\lambda(q)$  is  $n - \ell(\lambda)$ .  $\square$



**Example 2.12.** There are two partitions of 4 with two parts, namely  $(3, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ .

There are three paths from  $\emptyset$  to  $(3, 1)$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(3,1)}(q) &= (q-1) \cdot (q-1)q \cdot q^2 + (q-1) \cdot q \cdot (q-1)q^2 + (q^2-1) \cdot (q-1)q^2 \\ &= (q-1)^2(3q^3 + q^2), \end{aligned}$$

and there are two paths from  $\emptyset$  to  $(2, 2)$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(2,2)}(q) &= (q-1) \cdot q \cdot (q-1)q + (q^2-1) \cdot (q-1)q \\ &= (q-1)^2(2q^2 + q). \end{aligned}$$

Summing these gives a shift of the  $q$ -Stirling polynomial  $(q-1)^2q^4S_{4,2}(q^{-1}) = (q-1)^2(3q^3 + 3q^2 + q)$ .

**2.3. Explicit formulas.** In this section, we derive non-recursive formulas for some special cases of  $\lambda$ . Previously, we have noted the simple cases  $F_{(1^n)} = 1$  and  $F_{(n)} = q^{\binom{n-1}{2}}(q-1)^{n-1}$ .

**Proposition 2.13** (Hook shapes). *Let  $n > k \geq 2$ , and let  $\lambda = (n-k+1, 1^{k-1})$  be a hook-shaped partition of  $n$  with  $\ell(\lambda) = k$  parts. Then*

$$F_\lambda(q) = (q-1)^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n-i-1}{k-i-1} q^{\alpha-i}, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{k-1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* We make use of Equation (7). We enumerate paths from  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda$  according to the first time a box is added to the second column, so for  $0 \leq r \leq k-1$ , let  $S_r$  be the set of paths in the sublattice  $[\emptyset, \lambda]$  which contains the edge  $((1, 1^r), (2, 1^r))$ . Such paths are formed by the concatenation of the unique path between  $\emptyset$  and  $\nu = (2, 1^r)$ , which has weight  $q^{r+1} - 1$ , with any path in the sublattice  $[\nu, \lambda]$ . The sublattice  $[\nu, \lambda]$  is the Cartesian product of a  $(n-k)$ -chain and a  $(k-r-1)$ -chain, so it forms a rectangular grid, and therefore  $|S_r| = \binom{n-r-1}{k-r-1}$ . Notice that in any sublattice of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (a, 1^{b+1}) & \xrightarrow{(q-1)q^{a+b}} & (a+1, 1^{b+1}) \\ \uparrow q^{a-1} & & \uparrow q^a \\ (a, 1^b) & \xrightarrow{(q-1)q^{a+b-1}} & (a+1, 1^b) \end{array}$$

the product of the edge weights is  $(q-1)q^{2a+b-1}$  no matter which path is taken from  $(a, 1^b)$  to  $(a+1, 1^{b+1})$ , so it follows that every path from  $\nu$  to  $\lambda$  has the same weight. By considering the path  $(\nu, 21^{r+1}, \dots, 21^{k-1}, 31^{k-1}, \dots, \lambda)$ , this weight is easily seen to be  $(q-1)^{n-k-1}q^{\alpha-r}$ , for  $\alpha = \binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{k-1}{2}$ . Altogether,

$$F_\lambda(q) = (q-1)^{n-k} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{n-r-1}{k-r-1} (q^\alpha + q^{\alpha-1} + \dots + q^{\alpha-r}).$$

$n \setminus k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1						
1	1	1					
2	1	2	2				
3	1	3	5	5			
4	1	4	9	14	14		
5	1	5	14	28	42	42	
6	1	6	20	48	90	132	132

FIGURE 2. The Catalan triangle  $C_{n,k}$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , the coefficient of  $q^{\alpha-i}$  in  $F_\lambda(q)/(q-1)^{n-k}$  is

$$\sum_{r=i}^{k-1} \binom{n-r-1}{k-r-1} = \sum_{u=0}^{k-i-1} \binom{n-k+u}{u} = \binom{n-i-1}{k-i-1},$$

since  $\sum_{u=0}^M \binom{N+u}{u} = \binom{N+M+1}{M}$ . Therefore,

$$F_\lambda(q) = (q-1)^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n-i-1}{k-i-1} q^{\alpha-i},$$

as claimed.  $\square$

We next consider the case when  $\lambda$  is a partition with two parts. For  $n \geq k \geq 1$ , let

$$(11) \quad C_{n,k} = \binom{n+k}{k} - \binom{n+k}{k-1},$$

and let  $C_{n,0} = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . These generalized Catalan numbers  $C_{n,k}$  enumerate lattice paths from  $(0,0)$  to  $(n,k)$ , using the steps  $(1,0)$  and  $(0,1)$ , which do not rise above the line  $y = x$ . In the remainder of this section, we shall refer to these as *Dyck paths*.

The generalized Catalan numbers satisfy the simple recursive formula  $C_{n,k} = C_{n,k-1} + C_{n-1,k}$ . Also, these are the usual Catalan numbers  $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} = C_{n,n} = C_{n,n-1}$  when  $k = n$  or  $n-1$ . These facts will be used in the computations which follow.

**Proposition 2.14** (Partitions with two parts). *If  $\lambda = (r, s) \vdash n$  such that  $r > s \geq 1$ , then*

$$F_{(r,s)}(q) = (q-1)^{r+s-2} q^{\binom{r+s-1}{2} - 2s+1} \sum_{i=0}^s C_{r+s-i,i} q^i.$$

*If  $r = s$ , then*

$$F_{(r,r)}(q) = (q-1)^{2r-2} q^{\binom{2r-2}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_{2r-1-i,i} q^i.$$

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on  $r + s$ . The base cases are  $F_{(r)}(q) = (q - 1)^{r-1} q^{\binom{r-1}{2}}$  for  $r \geq 1$  and  $F_{(1,1)}(q) = 1$ .

We first handle the case  $s = 1$  separately. For  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(r,1)}(q) &= q^{r-1} F_{(r)}(q) + (q - 1) q^{r-1} F_{(r-1,1)}(q) \\ &= q^{r-1} \cdot (q - 1)^{r-1} q^{\binom{r-1}{2}} + (q - 1) q^{r-1} \cdot (q - 1)^{r-2} q^{\binom{r-1}{2}-1} ((r - 1)q + 1) \\ &= (q - 1)^{r-1} q^{\binom{r}{2}-1} (rq + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the case  $s = r$ . For  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(r,r)}(q) &= (q - 1) q^{2r-3} F_{(r,r-1)}(q) \\ &= (q - 1) q^{2r-3} \cdot (q - 1)^{2r-3} q^{\binom{2r-2}{2}-2(r-1)+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_{2r-1-i,i} q^i \\ &= (q - 1)^{2r-2} q^{\binom{2r-2}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_{2r-1-i,i} q^i. \end{aligned}$$

The case  $s = r - 1$  is obtained as follows. For  $r \geq 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(r,r-1)}(q) &= (q - 1) q^{2r-4} F_{(r,r-2)}(q) + (q^2 - 1) q^{2r-4} F_{(r-1,r-1)}(q) \\ &= (q - 1)^{2r-3} q^{2r-4} q^{\binom{2r-4}{2}} \left( q \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} C_{2r-2-i,i} q^i + (q + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} C_{2r-3-i,i} q^i \right) \\ &= (q - 1)^{2r-3} q^{\binom{2r-3}{2}} \left( (C_{r,r-2} + C_{r-1,r-2}) q^{r-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} (C_{2r-1-i,i-1} + C_{2r-2-i,i-1} + C_{2r-3-i,i}) q^i + C_{2r-3,0} q^0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C_{n,n-1} = C_{n,n}$ , then  $C_{r,r-2} + C_{r-1,r-2} = C_{r,r-1}$ . Similarly, we obtain  $C_{2r-1-i,i-1} + C_{2r-2-i,i-1} + C_{2r-3-i,i} = C_{2r-1-i,i}$  by applying the recurrence equation for the generalized Catalan numbers. Lastly,  $C_{n,0} = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , thus

$$F_{(r,r-1)}(q) = (q - 1)^{2r-3} q^{\binom{2r-3}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_{2r-1-i,i} q^i,$$

which agrees with the formula for the case  $s = r - 1$ .




FIGURE 3. Factors of  $q - 1$  are omitted from the edge weights in this sublattice of partitions with at most two rows.

The last case to consider is the general case  $r - s \geq 2$  where  $s \geq 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{(r,s)} &= (q-1)q^{r+s-3}F_{(r,s-1)} + (q-1)q^{r+s-2}F_{(r-1,s)} \\
&= (q-1)^{r+s-2}q^{r+s-3}q^{\binom{r+s-2}{2}-2s+1} \left( q^2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} C_{r+s-1-i,i} q^i + q \sum_{i=0}^s C_{r-1+s-i,i} q^i \right) \\
&= (q-1)^{r+s-2}q^{\binom{r+s-1}{2}-2s+1} \left( C_{r+s,0} q^0 + \sum_{i=1}^s (C_{r+s-i,i-1} + C_{r-1+s-i,i}) q^i \right) \\
&= (q-1)^{r+s-2}q^{\binom{r+s-1}{2}-2s+1} \sum_{i=0}^s C_{r+s-i,i} q^i.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The next equation is a formula for  $F_{(r,r)}(q)$  with a different factorization.

**Proposition 2.15** (Two equal parts). *Let  $\lambda = (r, r) \vdash n$ , and  $r \geq 1$ . Then*

$$F_{(r,r)}(q) = (q-1)^{2r-2} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_{r-1,r-1-i} q^{2(r-1)^2-i} (q+1)^i.$$

*Proof.* The set of paths in the sublattice  $[\emptyset, \lambda]$  are in bijection with the set of lattice paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(r, r)$ . In any sublattice of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (a, b+1) & \xrightarrow{(q-1)q^{a+b}} & (a+1, b+1) \\ \uparrow (q-1)q^{a+b-2} & & \uparrow (q-1)q^{a+b-1} \\ (a, b) & \xrightarrow{(q-1)q^{a+b-1}} & (a+1, b) \end{array}$$

where  $b \leq a - 2$ , the product of the edge weights is  $(q-1)^2 q^{2a+2b-2}$  no matter which path is taken from  $(a, b)$  to  $(a+1, b+1)$ . As for sublattices of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (a, a) & \xrightarrow{(q^2-1)q^{2a-2}} & (a+1, a) \\ \uparrow (q-1)q^{2a-3} & & \uparrow (q-1)q^{2a-2} \\ (a, a-1) & \xrightarrow{(q-1)q^{2a-2}} & (a+1, a-1) \end{array}$$

the product of the edge weights is  $(q-1)^2 q^{4a-4}$  via the lower horizontal edge, versus  $(q-1)^2 q^{4a-5} (q+1)$  via the upper horizontal edge. It follows that if a path  $P$  from  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda$  contains  $i$  partitions of the form  $(a, a)$ , then it has the weight

$$F_P(q) = (q-1)^{2r-2} q^{2(r-1)^2-i} (q+1)^i.$$

Dyck paths may be enumerated according to the points at which they touch the diagonal line  $y = x$ , and the set of touch points are indexed by compositions  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1}) \vDash r$  where  $\alpha_j \geq 1$ . The number of Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(r, r)$  which touch the diagonal exactly  $i$  times, not including the initial and the end points, is

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \vDash r \\ \ell(\alpha) = i+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{i+1} C_{\alpha_j-1}.$$

On the other hand, the number  $C_{r-1, r-1-i}$  of Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(r-1, r-1-i)$  satisfies the same recurrence equation

$$C_{r-1, r-1-i} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \vDash r-1-i \\ \ell(\beta) = i+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{i+1} C_{\beta_j},$$

but the sum is over the set of weak compositions so that  $\beta_j \geq 0$ . Under the appropriate shift in indices, it follows that the number of Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(r, r)$  which touch the diagonal exactly  $i$  times is  $C_{r-1, r-1-i}$ . The result follows from this.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.16.** For  $k \geq m \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{j=m}^k \binom{j}{m} C_{k,j} = C_{2k+1-m, m}.$$

*Proof.* The two formulas for  $F_{(k+1,k+1)}(q)$  yields the identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^k C_{k,k-i} q^{2k^2-i} (q+1)^i = q^{\binom{2k}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^k C_{2k-i,i} q^i.$$

Extracting the coefficient of  $q^{2k^2-m}$  in the above expressions yields the result.  $\square$

**Remark 2.17.** The formula for  $F_{(r,r)}(q)$  provided in Proposition 2.15 can be viewed as a sum over Dyck paths, where each Dyck path  $\pi$  contributes a term of the form  $q^{s_1(\pi)}(q+1)^{s_2(\pi)}$  for some statistics  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  on the Dyck paths. This particular factorization for  $F_{(r,r)}(q)$  is related to the work of Cai and Readdy on the  $q$ -Stirling numbers of the second kind, since the polynomials  $F_\lambda(q)$  can be viewed as a refinement of  $S_{n,k}(q)$ , as explained in Section 3.

Cai and Readdy obtained a formula [CR15, Theorem 3.2] for  $\tilde{S}_{n,k}(q)$  (they use a different recursive formula to define the  $q$ -Stirling numbers, and the two are related by  $S_{n,k}(q) = q^{\binom{k}{2}} \tilde{S}_{n,k}(q)$ ) as a sum over allowable restricted-growth words, where each allowable word  $w$  gives rise to a term of the form  $q^{a(w)}(q+1)^{b(w)}$  for some statistics  $a(w)$  and  $b(w)$ . They also showed that this enumerative result has an interesting extension to the study of the Stirling poset of the second kind, providing a decomposition of that poset into Boolean sublattices.

For example, if we let  $(q-1)^{n-\ell(\lambda)} G_\lambda(q) = F_\lambda(q)$ , then  $G_{(3,1)}(q) + G_{(2,2)}(q) = q^3 \tilde{S}_{4,2}(q^{-1})$ . The formula of Cai and Readdy yields  $q^3 S_{4,2}(q^{-1}) = q(q+1)^2 + q^2(q+1) + q^3$ , while our factorization yields  $G_{(3,1)}(q) + G_{(2,2)}(q) = (q^3 + q^3 + q^2(q+1)) + (q^2 + q(q+1))$ . So, the result of Proposition 2.15 gives a different expression for  $S_{n,n-2}(q)$  as a sum with terms of the form  $q^{s_1(\pi)}(q+1)^{s_2(\pi)}$ , and it may be interesting to further investigate such factorizations of  $F_\lambda(q)$ .

**Example 2.18.** The first few  $F_{(k,k)}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(1,1)} &= 1 \\ F_{(2,2)} &= (q-1)^2 (q^2 + q(q+1)) \\ &= (q-1)^2 (2q^2 + q) \\ F_{(3,3)} &= (q-1)^4 (2q^8 + 2q^7(q+1) + q^6(q+1)^2) \\ &= (q-1)^4 (5q^8 + 4q^7 + q^6) \\ F_{(4,4)} &= (q-1)^6 (5q^{18} + 5q^{17}(q+1) + 3q^{16}(q+1)^2 + q^{15}(q+1)^3) \\ &= (q-1)^6 (14q^{18} + 14q^{17} + 6q^{16} + q^{15}) \\ F_{(5,5)} &= (q-1)^8 (14q^{32} + 14q^{31}(q+1) + 9q^{30}(q+1)^2 + 4q^{29}(q+1)^3 + q^{28}(q+1)^4) \\ &= (q-1)^8 (42q^{32} + 48q^{31} + 27q^{30} + 8q^{29} + q^{28}). \end{aligned}$$

We end this section with one more closed formula for  $F_\lambda(q)$  where  $\lambda$  is a rectangular shape with two columns. Let  $\mathcal{D}(n, k)$  denote the set of Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, k)$ .



a  $(1, 0)$  step in the Dyck path, and adding a box in the second column of a partition corresponds to a  $(0, 1)$  step in the Dyck path. As seen in Figure 4, a vertical step  $(i, j)$  to  $(i, j + 1)$  has weight  $q^j [i]_q$ , while a horizontal step  $(i, j)$  to  $(i + 1, j)$  has weight  $q^j$ . Thus the product of the edge weights of the  $r$  vertical steps of a given Dyck path  $\pi$  is  $q^{\binom{r}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^r [\rho_i(\pi)]_q$ , while the product of the edge weights of the  $r + s$  horizontal steps of a given Dyck path is  $q^{\text{coarea}(\pi)}$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Example 2.20.** The first few  $F_{(2^n)}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(2)} &= (q - 1)(q + 1) \\ F_{(2^2)} &= (q - 1)^2 q (q + (q + 1)) \\ F_{(2^3)} &= (q - 1)^3 q^3 (q^3 + 2q^2(q + 1) + q(q + 1)^2 + (q^2 + q + 1)(q + 1)) \\ F_{(2^4)} &= (q - 1)^4 q^6 (q^6 + 3q^5[2] + 3q^4[2]^2 + q^3[2]^3 + 2q^3[3]! + 2q^2[2][3]! + q[3][3]! + [4]!). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.21.** Kirillov and Melnikov [KM95] considered the number  $A_n(q)$  of  $n$  by  $n$  upper-triangular matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  satisfying  $X^2 = 0$ . In their first characterization of these polynomials, they considered the number  $A_n^r(q)$  of matrices of a given rank  $r$ , so that  $A_n(q) = \sum_{r \geq 0} A_n^r(q)$ , and observed that  $A_n^r(q)$  satisfies the recurrence equation

$$A_n^r(q) = q^r A_{n-1}^r(q) + (q^{n-r} - q^r) A_n^r(q), \quad A_n^0(q) = 1.$$

We may think of  $A_n(q)$  as the sum of  $F_\lambda(q)$  over  $\lambda \vdash n$  with at most two columns, so Theorem 2.8 is a generalization of this recurrence equation.

It was also conjectured in [KM95] that the same sequence of polynomials arise in a number of different ways. Ekhad and Zeilberger [EZ96] proved that one of the conjectured alternate definitions of  $A_n(q)$ , namely

$$C_n(q) = \sum_s c_{n+1,s} q^{\frac{n^2 + 1 - s^2}{4}},$$

is a sum over all  $s \in [-n - 1, n + 1]$  which satisfy  $s \equiv n + 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $s \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{3}$ , and  $c_{n+1,s}$  are entries in the signed Catalan triangle, is indeed the same as  $A_n(q)$ . It would be interesting to see what other combinatorics may arise from considering the sum of  $F_\lambda(q)$  over  $\lambda \vdash n$  with at most  $k$  columns for a fixed  $k$ .

### 3. JORDAN CANONICAL FORMS AND $q$ -ROOK PLACEMENTS

In light of Corollary 2.11, we define polynomials  $G_\lambda(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  by

$$(12) \quad F_\lambda(q) = (q - 1)^{n - \ell(\lambda)} G_\lambda(q).$$

In fact, we can deduce from Corollary 2.11 that  $G_\lambda(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q]$ . In this section, we explore the connection between the nonnegative coefficients of  $G_\lambda(q)$  and rook placements.



**3.1. Background on rook polynomials.** A board  $B$  is a subset of an  $n$  by  $n$  grid of squares. In this paper, we follow Haglund [Hag98] and Solomon [Sol90], and index the squares using the convention for the entries of a matrix. A *Ferrers board* is a board  $B$  where if a square  $s \in B$ , then every square lying north and/or east of  $s$  is also in  $B$ . Our Ferrers boards have squares justified upwards and to the right. Let  $B_n$  denote the staircase-shaped board with  $n$  columns of sizes  $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Let  $\text{area}(B)$  be the number of squares in  $B$ , so that in particular,  $\text{area}(B_n) = \binom{n}{2}$ .

A placement of  $k$  rooks on a board  $B$  is *non-attacking* if there is at most one rook in each row and each column of  $B$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(B, k)$  denote the set of non-attacking placements of  $k$  rooks on  $B$ . All rook placements considered in this article are non-attacking, so from this point forward, we drop the qualifier. For a placement  $C \in \mathcal{C}(B, k)$ , let  $\text{ne}(C)$  be the number of squares in  $B$  lying directly north or directly east of a rook. The *inversion* of the placement is the number

$$(13) \quad \text{inv}(C) = \text{area}(B) - k - \text{ne}(C).$$


As noted in [GR86], the statistic  $\text{inv}(C)$  is a generalization of the number of inversions of a permutation, since permutations can be identified with rook placements on a square-shaped board.

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the weight of the  $i$ th column  $C_i$  of  $C$  is

$$(14) \quad C_i(q) = (q - 1)^{\#\text{rooks in } C_i} q^{\text{ne}(C_i)},$$

and the *weight* of  $C$  is defined by  $F_C(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n C_i(q)$ . Alternatively, if  $C \in \mathcal{C}(B, k)$ , then  $F_C(q) = (q - 1)^k q^{\text{ne}(C)}$ .

**Example 3.1.** We use  $\times$  to mark a rook and use  $\bullet$  to mark squares lying directly north or directly east of a rook (these squares shall be referred to as the north-east squares of the placement). The following illustration is a placement of four rooks on the staircase-shaped board  $B_7$ .



This rook placement has  $\text{ne}(C) = 11$ ,  $\text{inv}(C) = 6$ , and weight  $F_C(q) = (q - 1)^4 q^{11}$ .

For  $k \geq 0$ , the  $q$ -rook polynomial of a Ferrers board  $B$  is defined by Garsia and Remmel [GR86, I.4] as

$$(15) \quad R_{B,k}(q) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(B,k)} q^{\text{inv}(C)}.$$

The following result explains the role of rook polynomials in the enumeration of matrices of given rank. The *support* of a matrix  $X$  is  $\{(i, j) \mid x_{ij} \neq 0\}$ . Given a Ferrers board  $B$  with  $n$  columns, we may identify the squares in  $B$  with the entries in an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix.

**Theorem 3.2** (Haglund). *If  $B$  is a Ferrers board, then the number  $P_{B,k}(q)$  of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices of rank  $k$  with support contained in  $B$  is*

$$P_{B,k}(q) = (q-1)^k q^{\text{area}(B)-k} R_{B,k}(q^{-1}).$$

Looking ahead, it will be convenient to consider Theorem 3.2 in the following equivalent form:

$$(16) \quad P_{B,k}(q) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(B,k)} (q-1)^k q^{\text{ne}(C)} = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(B,k)} F_C(q).$$

**Example 3.3.** We list the seven rook placements on  $B_4$  with two rooks, along with their weights.

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \times & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \times & \bullet \\ \hline & & \times \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \times & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & & \bullet \\ \hline & & \times \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & \times & \bullet \\ \hline & & \times \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & \times & \bullet \\ \hline & & \bullet \\ \hline & & \times \\ \hline \end{array} \\ (q-1)^2 q^3 & (q-1)^2 q^3 & (q-1)^2 q^3 & (q-1)^2 q^2 \end{array}$$

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \times & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline & & \times \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & \bullet & \times \\ \hline & \times & \bullet \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & \times & \bullet \\ \hline & & \times \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ (q-1)^2 q^2 & (q-1)^2 q^2 & (q-1)^2 q \end{array}$$

Thus  $P_{B_4,2}(q) = (q-1)^2(3q^3 + 3q^2 + q)$ .


**3.2. Rook placements and Jordan forms.** The purpose of this section is to generalize Haglund's formula (16) to a formula for  $F_\lambda(q)$  (Corollary 3.9) as a sum over a set of rook placements. We achieve this by defining a multigraph  $\mathcal{Z}$  that is related to  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and show that paths in  $\mathcal{Z}$  are equivalent to rook placements.

The multigraph  $\mathcal{Z}$  is constructed from  $\mathcal{Y}$  by replacing each edge of  $\mathcal{Y}$  by one or more edges as follows. If there is an edge from  $\mu$  to  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$  of weight  $q^{|\mu|-\mu'_{j-1}}(q^{\mu'_{j-1}-\mu'_j} - 1)$ , then this edge is replaced by  $\mu'_{j-1} - \mu'_j$  edges from  $\mu$  to  $\lambda$  with weights

$$(19) \quad (q-1)q^{|\mu|-\mu'_{j-1}}, \dots, (q-1)q^{|\mu|-\mu'_{j-1}}$$

in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . All other edges remain as before. See Figure 5.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda)$  denote the set of paths in the graph  $\mathcal{Z}$  from the empty partition  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda$ . For a path  $P = (\emptyset = \pi^{(0)}, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n)} = \lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda)$ , let  $\epsilon_i(q)$  denote the weight


 FIGURE 5. The multigraph  $\mathcal{Z}$ , up to  $n = 4$ .

of the  $i$ th edge, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Naturally, we define the weight of the path by  $F_P(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i(q)$ , so that

$$(20) \quad F_\lambda(q) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda)} F_P(q).$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\mu \vdash n-1$  be a partition with  $\ell(\mu) = \ell$  parts. Then there are  $\ell + 1$  edges leaving  $\mu$  in the graph  $\mathcal{Z}$ , with weights*

$$(q-1)q^{|\mu|-1}, (q-1)q^{|\mu|-2}, \dots, (q-1)q^{|\mu|-\ell}, \text{ and } q^{|\mu|-\ell}.$$

*Proof.* If a partition  $\lambda \vdash n$  is obtained by adding a box to the first column of  $\mu$ , then there is a unique edge from  $\mu$  to  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$  with weight  $q^{|\mu|-\ell}$ . Otherwise, if we consider the set of all partitions which can be obtained from  $\mu$  by adding a box anywhere except in the first column, then there are a total of

$$\sum_{j \geq 2} (\mu'_{j-1} - \mu'_j) = \ell$$

edges from  $\mu$  to some partition of  $n$ . Moreover, by Equation (19), these  $\ell$  weights are  $(q-1)q^{|\mu|-i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ .  $\square$

A sequence of nonnegative integers is  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ -admissible if it is the degree sequence of a path  $P = (\emptyset, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n)})$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . That is,  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) = (\deg \epsilon_1(q), \dots, \deg \epsilon_n(q))$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *A  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ -admissible sequence determines a unique path in  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Induct on  $n$ . When  $n = 1$ , the only path is the from  $\emptyset$  to  $(1)$ , and it has degree sequence  $(0)$ .

Given a  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ -admissible sequence  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ , the subsequence  $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$  determines a unique path  $P' = (\emptyset, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n-1)})$ . Suppose  $\mu = \pi^{(n-1)}$  has  $\ell$  parts. Then  $|\mu| - \ell + 1 \leq d_n \leq |\mu|$ , and by Lemma 3.4, there is a unique edge leaving  $\mu$  with degree  $d_n$ .  $\square$

**3.3. The construction of  $\Phi$ .** Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(n, n - k)$  denote the set of paths in  $\mathcal{Z}$  from  $\emptyset$  to a partition of  $n$  with  $n - k$  parts. In this section, we define a weight-preserving bijection  $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(B_n, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(n, n - k)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ . Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}(B_n, k)$  be a rook placement with columns  $C_1, \dots, C_n$ . There exists a unique path  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(n, n - k)$  with edge weights  $(\epsilon_1(q), \dots, \epsilon_n(q)) = (C_1(q), \dots, C_n(q))$ .*

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on  $n + k$ . When  $n = 1$  and  $k = 0$ , there is a unique rook placement on the empty board  $B_1$  with no rooks having weight one, corresponding to the unique path  $P = (\emptyset, (1))$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$  with the same weight.

Assume the result holds for all rook placements in  $\mathcal{C}(B_{n-1}, k)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(B_{n-1}, k - 1)$ . Given a rook placement  $C \in \mathcal{C}(B_n, k)$ , let  $C'$  be the sub-placement consisting of the first  $n - 1$  columns of  $C$ . By induction, the sequence  $(C_1(q), \dots, C_{n-1}(q))$  determines a unique path  $(\emptyset, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n-1)})$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\epsilon_i(q) = C_i(q)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

There are now two cases to consider. The first case is if  $C' \in \mathcal{C}(B_{n-1}, k)$ , so that  $\ell(\pi^{(n-1)}) = n - k - 1$ . There are  $k$  rooks in  $C'$ , so the  $n$ th column of  $C$  does not contain any rooks, and  $C_n(q) = q^k$ . By Lemma 3.4, there exists a unique edge in the graph  $\mathcal{Z}$  originating at  $\pi^{(n-1)}$  with weight  $q^k$ . Thus  $C$  corresponds to the path  $P = (\emptyset, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n-1)}, \pi^{(n)})$  where  $\pi^{(n)}$  is obtained from  $\pi^{(n-1)}$  by adding a box to the first column, and  $\epsilon_n(q) = q^k$ . Moreover,  $\ell(\pi^{(n)}) = n - k$ .

The second case is if  $C' \in \mathcal{C}(B_{n-1}, k - 1)$ , so that  $\ell(\pi^{(n-1)}) = n - k$ . There must be  $k - 1$  ‘northeast’ squares in the  $n$ th column of  $C$ , and there are  $n - k$  remaining squares in that column where a rook may be placed. Label these available squares  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-k-1}$  from the top to the bottom. Observe that  $C_n(q) = (q - 1)q^{k-1+i}$  if a rook is placed in the square  $a_i$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n - k - 1$ . Again by Lemma 3.4, there exists  $n - k$  edges in the graph  $\mathcal{Z}$  originating at  $\pi^{(n-1)}$  with the weights  $(q - 1)q^h$  for  $k - 1 \leq h \leq n - 2$ . Thus if the  $k$ th rook of  $C$  is placed in the square  $a_i$ , then  $C$  corresponds to the path  $P = (\emptyset, \pi^{(1)}, \dots, \pi^{(n-1)}, \pi^{(n)})$  with  $\epsilon_n(q) = (q - 1)q^{k-1+i}$ , and  $\ell(\pi^{(n)}) = n - k$ .  $\square$

Given  $C \in \mathcal{C}(B_n, k)$ , let  $\Phi(C)$  be the path in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(n, n - k)$  with edge weights  $(\epsilon_1(q), \dots, \epsilon_n(q)) = (C_1(q), \dots, C_n(q))$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *The map  $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(B_n, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(n, n-k)$  is a weight-preserving bijection.*

*Proof.* Proposition 3.6 shows that the map  $\Phi$  is an injective weight-preserving map, since each column of the rook placement determines each edge of the path  $\Phi(C)$ :

$$F_C(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n C_i(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i(q) = F_{\Phi(C)}(q).$$

In fact, the proof of the Proposition also shows that  $\Phi$  is surjective because the number of possible ways to add a column to an existing rook placement is equal to the number of possible ways to extend a path in  $\mathcal{Z}$  by one edge. Therefore,  $\Phi$  is a weight-preserving bijection.  $\square$

A sequence of nonnegative integers is  $\mathcal{C}$ -admissible if it is the degree sequence of a rook placement. That is,  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) = (\deg C_1(q), \dots, \deg C_n(q))$  for a  $C \in \mathcal{C}(B_n, k)$ . The next Corollary follows easily from Theorem 3.7.

**Corollary 3.8.** *A  $\mathcal{C}$ -admissible sequence determines a unique rook placement.*  $\square$

It follows from Theorem 3.7 that we may associate a partition type to each rook placement on  $B_n$ . The *partition type* of a rook placement  $C$  is the partition at the endpoint of the path  $\Phi(C)$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda) = \Phi^{-1}(P_{\mathcal{Z}}(\lambda))$  denote the set of rook placements of partition type  $\lambda$ .

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  be a partition with  $\ell(\lambda) = n - k$  parts. Then*

$$F_{\lambda}(q) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)} F_C(q) = (q-1)^{n-\ell(\lambda)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)} q^{\text{ne}(C)}.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Equation 20 and the bijection  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** The polynomial  $G_{\lambda}(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q]$  defined in Equation (12) is simply a sum over the rook placements of type  $\lambda$  involving the north-east statistic.

#### 4. A CONNECTION WITH SET PARTITIONS

The results of the previous section naturally leads to a decomposition of  $F_T(q)$ , indexed by some tableau  $T$ , into a sum of polynomials indexed by set partitions, which we explain below.

A *set partition* is a set  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  of nonempty disjoint subsets of  $[n]$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k s_i = [n]$ . The  $s_i$ 's are the *blocks* of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\ell(S)$  denote the number of blocks of  $S$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}(n, n-k)$  denote the set of set partitions of  $[n]$  with  $n-k$  blocks. We adopt the convention of listing the blocks in order so that

$$(21) \quad |s_1| \geq |s_2| \geq \dots \geq |s_k|, \text{ and } \min s_i < \min s_{i+1} \text{ if } |s_i| = |s_{i+1}|.$$

This allows us to represent a set partition with a diagram similar to that of a standard Young tableau; the  $i$ th row of the diagram consists of the elements in the block  $s_i$  listed

in increasing order, but there are no restrictions on the entries in each column of the diagram. A set partition  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$  has *partition type*  $\lambda$  if  $\lambda = (|s_1|, \dots, |s_m|)$ .

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $S^{(i)}$  denote the sub-diagram of  $S$  consisting of the boxes containing  $1, \dots, i$ , with rows ordered according to the convention set forth in Equation (21). If the box containing  $i$  is not in the first column of the diagram, let  $u$  be the least element in the same row as  $i$  in  $S^{(i)}$ , and suppose  $u$  is in the  $r$ th row of  $S^{(i-1)}$  for some  $1 \leq r \leq \ell(S^{(i-1)})$ . The weight arising from the  $i$ th box is

$$(22) \quad S^{(i)}(q) = \begin{cases} q^{i-1-\ell(S^{(i-1)})}, & \text{if the } i\text{th box is in the first column,} \\ (q-1)q^{i-1-r}, & \text{if the } i\text{th box is in the } j\text{th column, } j \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We define the *weight* of  $S$  as  $F_S(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n S^{(i)}(q)$ .

A sequence of nonnegative integers is  $\mathcal{S}$ -*admissible* if it is the degree sequence of a set partition. That is,  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) = (\deg S^{(1)}(q), \dots, \deg S^{(n)}(q))$  for a  $S \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ .


**Lemma 4.1.** *An  $\mathcal{S}$ -admissible sequence determines a unique set partition.*

*Proof.* Induct on  $n$ . When  $n = 1$ , the only set partition is  $\{\{1\}\}$ , and its degree sequence is  $(0)$ .

Given an  $\mathcal{S}$ -admissible sequence  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ , the subsequence  $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$  determines a unique set partition  $S^{(n-1)} = (S_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, S_m^{(n-1)})$ . By Equation (22),  $n-1-m \leq d_n \leq n-1$ , and each of the  $m+1$  choices for  $d_n$  determines the block of  $S^{(n-1)}$  into which  $n$  should be inserted.  $\square$

We have already constructed a weight-preserving bijection  $\Phi$  between rook placements and paths in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . We now construct a weight-preserving bijection  $\Psi$  between rook placements and set partitions, effectively showing that paths in  $\mathcal{Z}$  are equivalent to set partitions, so that  $F_Z(q) = F_C(q) = F_S(q)$  if  $Z \longleftrightarrow C \longleftrightarrow S$  for  $Z \in \mathcal{P}_Z(n, n-k)$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)$ , and  $S \in \mathcal{S}(n, n-k)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** There is a classically known bijection (see [Sta99]) between the set of rook placements on the staircase board  $B_n$  with  $k$  rooks and the set of set partitions of  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $n-k$  blocks: the placement  $C$  corresponds to the set partition where the integers  $i$  and  $j$  are in the same block if and only if there is a rook in the square  $(i, j) \in C$ . This bijection is different from the one described in Theorem 4.3. For example, the classical bijection associates the rook placement



to the set partition  $(\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\})$  and so has partition type  $(2, 2)$ , but as we shall see below, this placement is associated to the set partition  $(\{1, 2, 4\}, \{3\})$  under the bijection in Theorem 4.3 and has partition type  $(3, 1)$ .

4.1. **The construction of  $\Psi$ .** Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}(B_n, k)$  be a rook placement. The main idea is that the degree of  $C_i(q)$  arising from the  $i$ th column of  $C$  determines the block of the set partition in which we place  $i$ . In the construction of the set partition  $\Psi(C)$ , we will create a sequence of intermediate set partitions  $S^{(i)}$  of  $[i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

The initial case is always  $\deg(C_1(q)) = \deg(1) = 0$ , so  $S^{(1)} = \{\{1\}\}$ . Assume that  $S^{(i-1)} = \{S_1^{(i-1)}, \dots, S_m^{(i-1)}\}$  is the set partition which corresponds to the first  $i - 1$  columns of  $C$ , so that  $m = \ell(S^{(i-1)})$ . Observe that there are  $m + 1$  possible blocks in which to insert  $i$  to obtain  $S^{(i)}$ . By Corollary 3.5, we know that

$$i - 1 - \ell(S^{(i-1)}) \leq \deg(C_i(q)) \leq i - 1,$$

so we construct  $S^{(i)}$  by placing  $i$  in the  $j$ th block of  $S^{(i-1)}$ , where  $j = i - \deg(C_i(q))$ , and then rearranging the blocks to fit the convention in Equation (21) if necessary.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The map  $\Psi : \mathcal{C}(n, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(n, n - k)$  is a weight-preserving bijection.*


*Proof.* Let  $S = \Psi(C)$ . The map  $\Psi$  is weight-preserving, as  $C_i(q) = S^{(i)}(q)$  by construction, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now, since the degrees  $\deg C_i(q) = \deg S^{(i)}(q)$ , and by Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 4.1 the sequences of degrees completely determine  $C$  and  $S$  respectively, then  $\Psi$  is injective. Finally, we note that  $|\mathcal{C}(n, k)| = |\mathcal{S}(n, n - k)|$ , so  $\Psi$  is a bijection.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  denote the set of all set partitions of partition type  $\lambda$ . Then*


$$F_\lambda(q) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)} F_S(q).$$

$\square$

**Example 4.5.** Let  $C$  be



The associated sequence of set partition diagrams associated to  $C$  is



so the set partition associated to the rook placement  $C$  is

$$S = \Psi(C) = (\{3, 8, 9\}, \{1, 5\}, \{6, 7\}, \{2\}, \{4\}).$$




FIGURE 6. Paths, rook placements, and set partitions related to the computation of  $F_{(3,1)}(q) = (q - 1)^2(3q^3 + q^2)$ .

**Remark 4.6.** An intriguing question is to ask for a geometric interpretation of the polynomials  $F_C(q)$ , indexed by rook placements (or set partitions or paths in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ).

The problem of determining the number of adjoint  $G_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  orbits on  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  remains open. In the case  $q = 2$ , this number has been computed for  $n \leq 16$  by Pak and Soffer [PS15, Appendix B]. Let  $\mathcal{O}_n(k)$  denote the orbits of rank  $k$  matrices. When  $k = 1$ , it turns out that the polynomials  $F_C(q)$  indexed by rook placements with exactly one rook gives the sizes of the  $\binom{n}{2}$  orbits in  $\mathcal{O}_n(1)$ . For  $2 \leq i < j \leq n$ , each orbit contains a unique matrix  $E_{ij}$  whose  $ij$ th entry is 1, and is zero everywhere else. The orbit containing  $E_{ij}$  is associated to the rook placement  $C(i, j)$  with a single rook in the  $ij$ th square, and the size of the associated orbit is  $F_{C(i,j)}(q) = (q - 1)q^{n-1-(j-i)}$ .

In particular, the formula in Proposition 2.13 applied to the partition  $\lambda = (2, 1^{n-2})$  gives the generating function

$$F_{(2,1^{n-2})}(q) = (q - 1) \left( (n - 1)q^{n-2} + (n - 2)q^{n-3} + \dots + 3q^2 + 2q + 1 \right)$$

for rank one orbits of  $G_n(\mathbb{F}(q))$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{F}(q))$ .

**Remark 4.7.** To close, we mention a related problem which may provide a geometrical interpretation of  $F_C(q)$  for every rook placement  $C$ . Let  $N$  be an  $n \times n$  nilpotent matrix with entries in an algebraically closed field  $k$  containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and suppose  $N$  has Jordan type  $\lambda \vdash n$ . A complete flag  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is a sequence of subspaces in  $k^n$  such that  $f_1 \subset \dots \subset f_n$  and  $\dim f_i = i$  for all  $i$ . A flag is  $N$ -stable if  $N(f_i) \subseteq f_i$  for all  $i$ . Spaltenstein [Spa76] showed that the variety  $X_\lambda$  of  $N$ -stable flags is a disjoint union of  $f^\lambda$  smooth irreducible subvarieties  $X_T$  indexed by the standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ . Moreover, the closures  $\overline{X}_T$  are the irreducible components of



$X_\lambda$ , each of which has dimension  $n_\lambda$ . The number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points in  $X_\lambda$  is given by Green's polynomials  $Q_{(1^n)}^\lambda(q)$ . Evidently,

$$\left( \prod_{i \geq 1} [m_i(\lambda)]_q! \right)^{-1} Q_{(1^n)}^\lambda(q) = ((q-1)^{n-\ell(\lambda)} q^m)^{-1} F_\lambda(q),$$

with  $m = \min_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)} \text{ne}(C)$ . Based on some computations for small values of  $n$ , we expect that  $F_C(q)$  plays a role in counting points in certain intersections of the irreducible components  $\overline{X}_T$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Bor95] A. M. Borodin, *Limit Jordan Normal Form of Large Triangular Matrices over a Finite Field*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **29** no.4, (1995) 279–281.
- [CR15] Y. Cai and M. Readdy, *q-Stirling numbers: A new view*, arXiv:1506.03249.
- [EZ96] S. Ekhad and D. Zeilberger, *The Number of Solutions of  $X^2 = 0$  in Triangular Matrices over  $GF(q)$* , *Elec. J. Comb.*, **3** (1996).
- [GR86] A. Garsia and J. B. Remmel, *q-Counting Rook Configurations and a Formula of Frobenius*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **41**, (1986) 246–275.
- [Hag98] J. Haglund, *q-Rook polynomials and Matrices over Finite Fields*, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **20** (1998) 450–487.
- [Hen11] A. Henderson, *Enhancing the Jordan canonical form*, *Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz.*, **38** no.4, (2011) 206–211.
- [Kir95] A. A. Kirillov, *Variations on the Triangular Theme*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, **169** no.2, (1995) 43–73.
- [KM95] A. A. Kirillov and A. Melnikov, *On a Remarkable Sequence of Polynomials*, *Sémin. Congr.* 2, Soc. Math. France, (1995) 35–42.
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford University Press, (1995).
- [PS15] I. Pak and A. Soffer, *On Higman's  $k(U_n(q))$  conjecture*, arXiv:1507.00411.
- [Sol90] L. Solomon, *The Bruhat Decomposition, Tits System and Iwahori Ring for the Monoid of Matrices Over a Finite Field*, *Geom. Dedicata.*, **36**, (1990) 15–49.
- [Spa76] N. Spaltenstein, *The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold*, *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Academie van Wetenschappen, Amsterdam, Series A* **79(5)**, (1976) 452–458.
- [Sta99] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, (1999).  
E-mail address: martha.yip@uky.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON KY 40506