

A characterization of 2-dimensional Cayley graphs on dihedral groups

A. Behtoei¹

Department of Mathematics, Imam Khomeini International University,
P. O. Box: 34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran, a.behtoei@sci.ikiu.ac.ir

Y. Golkhandy Pour

Department of Mathematics, Imam Khomeini International University,
P. O. Box: 34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran, y.golkhandypour@edu.ikiu.ac.ir

Abstract

A subset W of the vertices of G is a resolving set for G when for each pair of distinct vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ there exists $w \in W$ such that $d(u, w) \neq d(v, w)$, where $d(x, y)$ denotes the distance between two vertices x and y . The cardinality of a minimum resolving set for G is the metric dimension of G . This concept arise in many diverse areas including network discovery and verification, robot navigation, problems of pattern recognition and image processing, coin weighing problems, strategies for the Mastermind game, combinatorial search and optimization. The problem of finding metric dimension is NP-complete for general graphs. In this paper, we characterize all of Cayley graphs on dihedral groups with metric dimension is two.

Keywords: Metric dimension, Cayley graph, Dihedral group.

MSC(2010): Primary: 05C25, 05C75, 20B10.

1 Introduction

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a simple and connected graph with vertex set V and edge set E . The distance between two vertices $x, y \in V$ is the length of a shortest path between them and is denoted by $d(x, y)$. If $d(x, y) = 1$, then for convenient we write $x \sim y$. The neighborhood of x is $N(x) = \{y : x \sim y\}$. For an ordered subset $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ of vertices and a vertex $v \in V$, the k -vector $r(v|W) := (d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), \dots, d(v, w_k))$ is called the *metric representation* of v with respect to W . The set W is called a *resolving set* for Γ if distinct vertices of Γ have distinct representations with respect to W . Each minimum resolving set is a *basis* and the *metric dimension* of Γ , $\dim_M(\Gamma)$, is the cardinality of a basis for Γ . This concept has applications in many areas including network discovery and verification [2], robot navigation [11], problems of pattern recognition and image processing [12], coin weighing problems [15], strategies for the Mastermind game [5], combinatorial search and optimization [15]. These concepts were introduced by Slater in 1975 when he was working with

¹Corresponding author

U.S. Sonar and Coast Guard Loran stations and he described the usefulness of these concepts, [16]. Independently, Harary and Melter [9] discovered these concepts. Finding families of graphs with constant metric dimension or characterizing n -vertex graphs with a specified metric dimension are fascinating problems and attracts the attention of many researchers. The problem of finding metric dimension is NP-Complete for general graphs but the metric dimension of trees can be obtained using a polynomial time algorithm. In [13] the metric dimension for two different models of random forests is investigated. It is not hard to see that for each n -vertex graph Γ we have $1 \leq \dim_M(\Gamma) \leq n - 1$. Chartrand et al. [6] proved that for $n \geq 2$, $\dim_M(\Gamma) = n - 1$ if and only if Γ is the complete graph K_n . The metric dimension of each complete t -partite graph with n vertices is $n - t$. They also provided a characterization of graphs of order n with metric dimension $n - 2$, see [6]. Graphs of order n with metric dimension $n - 3$ are characterized in [10]. Khuller et al. [11] and Chartrand et al. [6] proved that $\dim_M(\Gamma) = 1$ if and only if Γ is a path P_n . The metric dimension of the power graph of a cyclic group is determined in [8]. Salman *et al.* studied this parameter for the Cayley graphs on cyclic groups [14]. Each cycle graph C_n is a 2-dimensional graph ($\dim_M(C_n) = 2$). All of 2-trees with metric dimension two are characterized in [3] and 2-dimensional Cayley graphs on Abelian groups are characterized in [18]. Moreover, in [17] some properties of 2-dimensional graphs are obtained as below.

Theorem 1.1. [17] *Let Γ be a 2-dimensional graph. If $\{u, v\}$ is a basis for Γ , then*

1. *there is a unique shortest path P between u and v ,*
2. *the degrees of u and v are at most three,*
3. *the degree of each internal vertex on P is at most five.*

The *Möbius Ladder* graph M_n is a cubic circulant graph with an even number n of vertices formed from an n -cycle by connecting opposite pairs of vertices in the cycle. For the metric dimension of *Möbius Ladders* we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. [1] *Let $n \geq 8$ be an even number. The metric dimension of each Möbius Ladder M_n is 3 or 4. Specially, $\dim_M(M_n) = 3$ when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$.*

Cáceres *et al.* studied the metric dimension of the Cartesian product of graphs.

Theorem 1.2. [4] *Let P_m be a path on $m \geq 2$ vertices and C_n be a cycle on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then the metric dimension of each prism $P_m \times C_n$ is given by*

$$\dim_M(P_m \times C_n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n \text{ odd,} \\ 3 & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Let G be a group and let S be a subset of G that is closed under taking inverse and does not contain the identity element, say e . Recall that the *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a graph whose vertex set is G and two vertices u and v are adjacent in it when $uv^{-1} \in S$. Since S is inverse-closed ($S = S^{-1}$) and does not contain the identity, $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a simple graph. It is well known that $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a connected graph if and only if S is a generating set for G . In this paper, we study the metric dimension of Cayley graphs on dihedral groups and we characterize all of Cayley graphs on dihedral groups whose metric dimension is two.

2 Main results

At first, we provide two useful lemmas on dihedral groups and a sharp lower bound for the metric dimension of 3-regular bipartite graphs which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *The subset $\{a^i b, a^j b\}$ is a generating set for dihedral group $D_{2n} = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = (ab)^2 = e \rangle$ if and only if $\gcd(n, i - j) = 1$.*

Proof. It is straightforward using the following fact about the subgroup generated by these elements.

$$\langle a^i b, a^j b \rangle = \{a^{(i-j)t}, a^{(i-j)t+i} b, a^{(i-j)t+j} b \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *If $4 \mid n$ and $\gcd(i - j, n) = 2$, then $\{a^{\frac{n}{2}}, a^i b, a^j b\}$ is not a generating set for D_{2n} .*

Proof. Since $\langle a^{i-j} \rangle$ and $\langle a^2 \rangle$ are two cyclic subgroups of order $\frac{n}{2}$ in the cyclic group $\langle a \rangle$, we have $\langle a^2 \rangle = \langle a^{i-j} \rangle \subseteq \langle \{a^{\frac{n}{2}}, a^i b, a^j b\} \rangle$. Since $4 \mid n$, $a^{\frac{n}{2}} \in \langle a^2 \rangle$ and hence, $\langle \{a^{\frac{n}{2}}, a^i b, a^j b\} \rangle = \langle \{a^i b, a^j b\} \rangle$. Now the result follows from Lemma 2.1. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let Γ be a 3-regular bipartite graph on n vertices. Then $\dim_M(\Gamma) \geq 3$.*

Proof. Since Γ is not a path, $\dim_M(\Gamma)$ is at least two. Suppose that $\dim_M(\Gamma) = 2$ and let $W = \{u, v\}$ be a resolving set for Γ . Assume that $d(u, v) = d$ and $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$. It is easy to see that $d(u_i, v) \in \{d-1, d, d+1\}$, for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$. If there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ such that $d(u_i, v) = d(u_j, v)$, then $r(u_i|W) = r(u_j|W)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$d(u_1, v) = d-1, d(u_2, v) = d, d(u_3, v) = d+1.$$

Let σ_1 be a (shortest) path between two vertices u and v of length d , and σ_2 be a (shortest) path between two vertices u_2 and v . Two paths σ_1 and σ_2 using the edge uu_2 produce an old closed walk of length $2d+1$ in Γ which contradicts the fact that Γ is a bipartite graph. For the sharpness of this bound, consider the hypercube $Q_3 = K_2 \times K_2 \times K_2$. □

In Theorem 2.4 we characterize all of Cayley graphs on dihedral groups whose metric dimension is two. Recall that the center of D_{2n} is $\langle a^{\frac{n}{2}} \rangle$ when n is even, otherwise it is the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let S be a generating subset of $D_{2n} = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = (ab)^2 = e \rangle$ such that $e \notin S = S^{-1}$. Then we have $\dim_M(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) = 2$ if and only if one of the following situations occurs.*

- a) $n = |S| = 2$,
- b) $S = \{a^i b, a^j b\}$ and $\gcd(i - j, n) = 1$,
- c) n is odd and $S = \{a^i, a^{-i}, a^j b\}$ with $\gcd(i, n) = 1$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Since D_{2n} is not a cyclic group, we have $|S| \geq 2$. Assume that $|S| = 2$ and $S = \{x, y\}$. Since $S = S^{-1}$ and D_{2n} is not cyclic, we have $y \neq x^{-1}$ and $x^2 = y^2 = e$. If $S = \{a^{\frac{n}{2}}, a^j b\}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, then the condition $D_{2n} = \langle S \rangle$ implies that $n = 2$ and $D_{2n} = D_4$. Otherwise, $S = \{a^i b, a^j b\}$ and using Lemma 2.1 we have $\gcd(i - j, n) = 1$. Hence, $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ is a connected 2-regular graph (a cycle) and $\dim_M(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) = 2$. If $|S| \geq 4$, then the degree of each vertex in $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ is at least 4 and part (2) of Theorem 1.1 implies that $\dim_M(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) \geq 3$. Now assume that $|S| = 3$. Since S is a generating set and $e \notin S = S^{-1}$, we consider the following cases.

Case 1. $S = \{a^i, a^{-i}, a^j b\}$.

Since $(a^j b)(a^i)^t(a^j b) = a^{-it}$, the order of a^i is $\frac{n}{\gcd(i,n)}$ and S is a generating set, we have $\gcd(i, n) = 1$. Thus $O(a^i) = n$ and vertices $a^{ni}, a^{(n-1)i}, \dots, a^{2i}, a^i$ induce an n -cycle in $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$. Since $a^j \in \langle a^i \rangle$, there exists $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $a^j = a^{ki}$. Therefore n vertices

$$a^{ki}b, a^{(k+1)i}b, \dots, a^{(k+n-2)i}b, a^{(k+n-1)i}b$$

induce another cycle in $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$. Now for each $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ let $M_\ell = \{a^{\ell i}, a^{(k+n-\ell)i}b\}$. Note that $a^{ni} = e$ and $M_s \cap M_k = \emptyset$ for each $s \neq k$. Since $a^{\ell i}(a^{(k+n-\ell)i}b)^{-1} = a^{ki}b = a^j b \in S$, two vertices $a^{\ell i}$ and $a^{(k+n-\ell)i}b$ are adjacent in $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$. Thus, the edges M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n provide a perfect matching in $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$. Consequently, $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ is isomorphic to $P_2 \times C_n$. Now Theorem 1.2 implies that $\dim_M(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) = 2$ if and only if n is odd.

Case 2. $S = \{a^{n/2}, a^i b, a^j b\}$ where n is an even number.

Let $x = a^i b$ and $y = a^j b$. Since $a^{n/2}$ is in the center of D_{2n} and $O(a^{n/2}) = 2$, $\langle S \rangle = \langle a^i b, a^j b \rangle \cup a^{n/2} \langle a^i b, a^j b \rangle$. Thus, $a \in \langle a^i b, a^j b \rangle$ or $a \in a^{n/2} \langle a^i b, a^j b \rangle$. Note that $|\langle a^{n/2}, a^i b \rangle| = |\langle a^{n/2}, a^j b \rangle| = 4$. Thus, $a \notin \langle a^{n/2}, a^i b \rangle$ and $a \notin \langle a^{n/2}, a^j b \rangle$.

Subcase 2.1. $a \in \langle a^i b, a^j b \rangle$.

In this case, using Lemma 2.1 we have $\gcd(i-j, n) = 1$. Thus, $O(xy) = O(a^{i-j}) = n$ and $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle (on $2n$ vertices) as below.

$$e \sim y \sim xy \sim yxy \sim (xy)^2 \sim y(xy)^2 \sim \dots \sim y(xy)^{n-1} \sim (xy)^n = e.$$

For each divisor d of n the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n has unique cyclic subgroup of order d . Since $\langle a^{i-j} \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ and $|\langle a^{(i-j)\frac{n}{2}} \rangle| = |\langle a^{\frac{n}{2}} \rangle| = 2$, we have $a^{n/2} = (a^{i-j})^{n/2}$. For each $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$ let $M_\ell = \{(xy)^\ell, (xy)^{\ell+n/2}\}$ and $T_\ell = \{y(xy)^\ell, y(xy)^{\ell+n/2}\}$. Note that $M_s \neq M_k$ and $T_s \neq T_k$ for each $s \neq k$. Also, each M_ℓ is an edge in $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ because

$$(xy)^{\ell+n/2}(xy)^{-\ell} = (xy)^{n/2} = (a^{i-j})^{n/2} = a^{n/2} \in S$$

Thus, $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{\frac{n}{2}}\}$ is a matching in $X(D_n, S)$. Similarly, $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{\frac{n}{2}}\}$ is a matching and hence, $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{\frac{n}{2}}, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{\frac{n}{2}}\}$ provides a perfect matching for $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$. Therefore, we have a cycle on $2n$ vertices in which its opposite pairs of vertices are adjacent (see Figure 1 (i)). This implies that $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ is a *Möbius Ladder* and by Theorem 1.1, $\dim_M(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) \neq 2$.

Subcase 2.2. $a \in a^{n/2} \langle a^i b, a^j b \rangle$.

In this case, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = a^{\frac{n}{2}}(a^{i-j})^k$. Hence, $a^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \in \langle a^{i-j} \rangle$ and $a^2 = (a^{\frac{n}{2}+1})^2 \in \langle a^{i-j} \rangle$. Thus, $|\langle a^{i-j} \rangle| \geq |\langle a^2 \rangle| = \frac{n}{2}$. Hence, $O(a^{i-j}) = \frac{n}{2}$ or $O(a^{i-j}) = n$. The situation $O(a^{i-j}) = n$ is considered in Subcase 2.1 and we can assume that $O(xy) = O(a^{i-j}) = n/2$. Therefore, $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ contains two n -cycles as below.

$$\begin{aligned} e \sim y \sim xy \sim yxy \sim (xy)^2 \sim y(xy)^2 \sim \dots \sim y(xy)^{n/2-1} \sim (xy)^{n/2} = e, \\ a^{n/2} \sim ya^{n/2} \sim (xy)a^{n/2} \sim y(xy)a^{n/2} \sim (xy)^2 a^{n/2} \sim \dots \sim (xy)^{n/2} a^{n/2} = a^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact $O(xy) = n/2$ implies that n vertices appeared in each cycle are distinct. Also, using the appearance of b , it is easy to see that $(xy)^t \neq y(xy)^s a^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $y(xy)^t \neq (xy)^s a^{\frac{n}{2}}$ for each $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. If there exist $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(xy)^t = (xy)^s a^{\frac{n}{2}}$ or $y(xy)^t = y(xy)^s a^{\frac{n}{2}}$, then $a^{\frac{n}{2}} = (a^{i-j})^{(t-s)} \in \langle a^{i-j} \rangle = \langle a^2 \rangle$.

Thus, $4 \mid n$ which is a contradiction (see Lemma 2.2). Therefore, all of $2n$ vertices appeared in these cycles are distinct. Since $a^{\frac{n}{2}} \in S$, corresponding vertices of two cycles are adjacent (see Figure 1 (ii)). Hence, $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ is isomorphic to $P_2 \times C_n$ and Theorem 1.2 implies that $\dim_M(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) = 3$.
Case 3. $S = \{a^i b, a^j b, a^l b\}$.
Let $H = \langle a \rangle$ and hence, $V(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) = H \cup Hb$. If $a^s, a^t \in H$, then $a^s a^{-t} = a^{s-t} \notin S$. Thus, the subset H of vertices induces an independent set in $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$. Similarly, Hb is an independent set. Consequently, $\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)$ is a 3-regular bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices. Now Lemma 2.3 implies that $\dim(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S))$ is at least three. This completes the proof. \square




Figure 1: (i) A Möbius Ladder graph, and (ii) the Cartesian product $P_2 \times C_n$.

Corollary 2.5. *If $S \subseteq D_{2n}$ such that $e \notin S = S^{-1}$ and $|S| \geq 4$, then $\dim_M(\text{Cay}(D_{2n}, S)) \geq 3$.*

We declare that we have no competing interests

References

- [1] M. Ali, G. Ali, M. Imran, A. Q. Baig, M. K.f Shafiq, On the metric dimension of Mobius ladders, *Ars Combinatoria*, **105** (2012) 103-410.
- [2] Z. Beerliova, F. Eberhard, T. Erlebach, A. Hall, M. Hoffmann, M. Mihal'ak, L.S. Ram, Network discovery and verification, *IEEE Journal On Selected Areas in Communications*, **24** (12) (2006) 2168-2181.
- [3] A. Behtoei, A. Davoodi, M. Jannesari, B. Omoomi, A characterization of some graphs with metric dimension two, submitted, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02129>.
- [4] J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, M.L. Puertas, C. Seara, D.R. Wood, On the metric dimension of cartesian products of graphs, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, **21** (2) (2007) 423-441.

- [5] V. Chvátal, Mastermind, *Combinatorica*, **3** (1983) 325-329.
- [6] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M.A. Johnson, O.R. Ollermann, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **105** (2000) 99-113.
- [7] D. Eppstein, Parallel recognition of series-parallel graphs, *Information and Computation*, **98(1)** (1992) 41-55.
- [8] M. Feng, X. Ma, K. Wang, The structure and metric dimension of the power graph of a finite group, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **43** (2015) 82-97.
- [9] F. Harary, R.A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, *Ars Combinatoria*, **2** (1976) 191-195.
- [10] M. Janessari, B. Omoomi, Characterization of n -vertex graphs with metric dimension $n - 3$, *Mathematica Bohemica*, **139(1)** (2014) 1-23.
- [11] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari, A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **70 (3)** (1996) 217-229.
- [12] R.A. Melter, I. Tomescu, Metric bases in digital geometry, *Computer Vision Graphics and Image Processing*, **25** (1984) 113-121.
- [13] D. Mitsche, J. Roé, On the limiting distribution of the metric dimension for random forests, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **49** (2015) 68-89.
- [14] M. Salman, I. Javaid, M. A. Chaudhry, Resolvability in circulant graphs, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **29** (2012) 1851-1864.
- [15] A. Sebo and E. Tannier, On metric generators of graphs, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **29 (2)** (2004) 383-393.
- [16] P.J. Slater, Leaves of trees, *Congressus Numerantium*, **14** (1975) 549-559.
- [17] G. Sudhakara, A.R. Hemanth Kumar, Graphs with metric dimension two-a characterization, *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, **36** (2009) 621-626.
- [18] E. Vatandoost, A. Behtoei, Y. Golkhandy Pour, Cayley graphs with metric dimension two - A characterization, submitted, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.06565> .