

Modelling internet based applications for designing multi-device adaptive interfaces

Enrico Bertini and Giuseppe Santucci

Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica
Università di Roma "La Sapienza"
Via Salaria, 113
Roma, Italy

{bertini, santucci}@dis.uniroma1.it

ABSTRACT

The wide spread of mobile devices in the consumer market has posed a number of new issues in the design of internet applications and their user interfaces. In particular, applications need to adapt their interaction modalities to different portable devices. In this paper we address the problem of defining models and techniques for designing internet based applications that automatically adapt to different mobile devices. First, we define a formal model that allows for specifying the interaction in a way that is abstract enough to be decoupled from the presentation layer, which is to be adapted to different contexts. The model is mainly based on the idea of describing the user interaction in terms of elementary actions. Then, we provide a formal device characterization showing how to effectively implements the AIUs in a multidevice context.

1. INTRODUCTION

The era of standard situated PCs is over; nowadays users own multiple different computing appliances that can be easily transported and that, consequently, operate in multiple changing environments. In order to address this issue the next generation of internet based application should be designed for adapting to a very large spectrum of different characteristics. This is why the research is faced with the problem of finding models and techniques to design applications aware of and adaptable to: (a) Devices (e.g., cellphones, PDAs, PCs), (b) Environment (e.g., noisy room, low light, moving person), and Users (e.g., users with special needs).

In this paper we focus on the first issue trying to solve different problems: architectures that support heterogeneous devices, models to exchange and share data, techniques for building effective user interfaces. Our focus is on user interfaces and our current approach is mainly based on the idea of modelling user interaction in very abstract and simple way. Moreover, we would like to clarify the context in which our proposal takes place. We want to deal with simple internet based applications/services, like reserving an hotel room, finding a restaurant, or booking a flight seat. It is out of the scope of our approach to redesign generic web sites making them accessible through different devices or implementing complex applications over large informa-

tion systems. We are focusing on the plethora of simple but useful applications that can run on a cellular phone, on a connected PDA, and so on, providing the user with concise pieces of information and/or simple services.

The rest of the document is structured as follows: in Section 2 we describe some related proposals, in Section 3 we introduce a model that supports the design of adaptive applications: the *Atomic Interaction Units* model and a working example is provided. Section 4, provides a description of the main device characteristics we want to take into account. Section 5 describes some preliminary results on the AIUs implementation strategies, and finally in Section 6 some conclusions and open issues are discussed.

2. RELATED WORKS

The problem of generating different interfaces for different devices is often indicated as the problem of creating *plastic interfaces* [9].

Many ideas come from research in *model-based* user interface design [8] where the designer is supposed to design an interactive system by editing and manipulating abstract models (e.g., task model) that describe the system's behavior and where the system is supposed to automatically generate the final application code.

In [11, 3, 2] a design framework that consists of a *platform model*, a *presentation model*, and a *task model* is presented. The designer builds the user interface by means of abstract interaction objects (AIOs) that are platform-neutral widgets he can assemble to design the interface in an abstract manner. Different presentation structures can then be generated to allocate the interaction units across many windows.

With a similar approach, in [7] the Teresa tools is presented. It provides an environment to design multi-device applications that is strongly based on task modelling and the presentation structure is automatically generated from a task model, taking into account temporal constraints specified into it. Screen space optimization is obtained mapping the abstract objects into suitable concrete objects.

The same idea of heavily exploiting formal models to design interactive applications comes from research on data-intensive web design, as illustrated in [4], that stems from past research on model-based hypermedia design, like RMM [6] and HDM [5], and that has a major focus on data modelling. This approach suggests a process in which the designer starts from a model of the data (usually drawing an entity-relationship model) and on top of it creates an hyper-text model that defines the connection points between the

web pages, i.e., the links. Finally, he creates a presentation part that permits to give a visual form to the various pages. WebML [1] is a powerful data-driven language that permits to describe an hypertext composed of single atomic blocks that are tightly connected to underlying data elements.

Our work shows similarities with all these systems, in fact here we propose a model-based approach. We adopt the idea of abstracting on interaction elements and provide a collection of atomic interaction units that are the abstract counterpart of common interaction elements. At the same time our work diverges from this approach and comes closer to data-intensive web modelling approach. Hence, we propose to directly design the hypertext and, thus, the structure of links that connect the presentation units. As it will be described in detail below, our method fundamentally consists in specifying a graph structure (an UML Activity Diagram) in which the nodes are populated with atomic interaction units and the edges represent the transition triggered interacting with atomic interaction units.

3. THE ATOMIC INTERACTION UNITS

The foundation of our proposal is an abstract model able to describe the user interaction with the system focusing on the basic activities whose composition will produce simple but effective internet based application. As a consequence, we model the information that is exchanged between the user and the system together with the purpose for which such an information is exchanged. Using this approach the designer is provided with a formalism to specify the information content of each presentation and the connection among the various parts, in order to indicate the behavior of the application, that is, how the system evolves as the user interact with it.

Our proposal consists of two main parts: (a) a set of Abstract Interaction Units (AIUs) to be used as building blocks for abstract interface definition and (b) the UML *Activity Diagram* as formalism to connect the AIUs that compose the interface. The set of AIUs has been designed analyzing the user interfaces that are actually used to model standard web services. The challenge is in collecting a small set of atomic units that could describe the interaction, abstract enough to be completely unrelated with a particular device but expressive enough to let designers to model complex services. The effort we made has produced a small set of AIUs, described in the following section.

The UML *Activity Diagram* is basically a state chart diagram in which each state represents an activity and each transition is triggered by the end of this activity. How the *Activity Diagram* can be used to glue together the AIUs will be explained later by using an example.

We foresee two main interaction activities: browsing, i.e., just observing something produced by the system and inputting, i.e., providing the system with some information. In the following each AIU is described in detail. Note that all the AIUs share a Quit command that allows for leaving the AIU with no effects and returning the null value.

```
BrowseImage(ImageId,ImageDescription,BrowsingCommands)
: {NULL, elemOfBrowsingCommands}
```

This AIU allows for browsing an image; usual facilities of zooming and panning are provided, if possible, by the device. The image description is a two values record: [ImageName, ImageSummary], where ImageName is used as a title during

the image presentation and ImageSummary is an image description that can be used when the video channel is not available or disturbed. The BrowsingCommands is a set of commands oriented towards server side image manipulation (e.g., changing image detail and/or resolution); such commands do not allow to reach any other state than the one hosting the AIU (i.e., they correspond to self-transitions).

```
InteractImage(ImageId,ImageDescription,BrowsingCommands)
: {point, NULL, elemOfBrowsingCommands}
```

This AIU is quite similar to the BrowseImage; the only difference is that the user can leave the AIU both choosing the Quit button or selecting a point (the AIU returns the x,y coordinates of a point) on the image itself.

```
BrowseText(TextId,TextDescription,BrowsingCommands)
: {NULL, elemOfBrowsingCommands}
```

This AIU allows for browsing a large body of text. The text description is a two value record: [TextName, TextSummary], where TextName is used as a title during the text presentation and TextSummary is a text description that can be used when the video channel is not available or disturbed or as an alternative when the device capability of displaying such an amount of text is very poor.

```
BrowseMessage(MessageId,MessageDescription, OKbutton)
: {NULL, OK}
```

This AIU allows for browsing a message; usual facilities of panning are provided, if possible, by the device. OKbutton is a boolean parameter that forces the activation of an OK command, useful to answer messages that contain a confirmation choice.

```
BrowseTable(TableId, TableDescription,BrowsingCommands)
: {NULL, elemOfBrowsingCommands}
```

This AIU allows for browsing a relational table; usual facilities of panning are provided, if possible, by the device.

```
InteractTable(TableId,TableDescription,BrowsingCommands)
: {NULL, elemOfBrowsingCommands,tableTuple}
```

This AIU is quite similar to the BrowseTable AIU. The main difference is that the user can leave the AIU by selecting a tuple.

```
FillList (ListId,ListDescription,ListOfField)
: {NULL, ListOfField}
```

The FillList AIU allows for filling a list of field. The AIU returns the list with the filled values.

```
SelectChoice (ListId,ListDescription,ListOfChoices)
: {NULL, elementOfListOfListOfChoices}
```

This AIU allows for selecting an element among a predefined list of Choice and returns the selected element.

```
SelectMutipleChoice(ListId,ListDescription,ListOfChoices)
: {NULL, elementOfListOfChoices}
```

This AIU allows for selecting one or more elements among a predefined list of choices, returning the selected values.




Figure 1: Activity diagram and AIUs to model a service that permits an hotel reservation

3.1 AIUs at work

In this section we want to describe how the composition of these units can lead to the design of a whole service. The UML Activity Diagram is used to compose the AIUs and define the service. Each activity state contains one AIU. Transitions are triggered by the user acting with the interaction units and each transition correspond to a computation operated on the server. Some interaction units can also appear in parallel by using the fork construct. This takes into account the common situation in which a single presentation contain more than one AIU at the same time and the case in which we are modelling a task that involves interactions that do not have a pre-defined sequential ordering. In order to clarify the use of this model here we provide an example describing an hotel reservation service (see Figure 1). The user starts inputting data about the hotel city and some details about the period he wants to reserve. Since these are two separate tasks they are modelled with two separate AIUs. The city specification is a *SelectChoice* AIU, the details specification is a *FillList* AIU for which the user is requested to input data about the reservation period. The order of these two task is irrelevant, so they are connected with a fork construct. The final implementation could be a whatever ordering of these tasks or, if the selected device has enough screen space, a single unified view of these two tasks. As the the user sends input data, the system passes to the next activity. The result is modelled as an *Interact-Table* AIU. The result of the query (search for an hotel), in fact, is a set of objects characterized by set of attributes. When the user selects a certain hotel, the system moves to the next activity; the selection of an action to perform on it. The transition between the "Interact_Hotels" activity to the "Select_Action" activity involves a parameter passing. The *InteractTable* AIU, as described before, has a parameter in output the system sends as the user selects an object from the table. In the "Select_Action" activity, the user is requested for selecting an action to perform between the following list: reserve the hotel, start a new search, return back to the result. This is modelled with a *SelectChoice* AIU. According to the selection, the system can proceed to three different activities: 1) return to the starting point, 2) go back to the previous result, and 3) proceed with the reservation task. In the last case the system steps forward to a new fork hosting two concurrent activities: the customer data specification and the selection of the payment method. The "Fill_Customer_Data" activity is modelled as a *FillList* AIU because it is supposed to accept data directly specified by the user. The "Select_Payment_Type" activity is modelled as a *SelectChoice* AIU because it is supposed to present the user with a predefined list of payment methods. Eventually the system checks the data and, in case of error, redirects the user to the form. Otherwise the system requests for a confirmation and, when the user confirms, the system collects the data and makes the reservation. This example shows how the composition of the abstract interaction units can be done in order to model a service. After this phase, the system must be able to translate this model into a final implementation. In order to perform the translation the system must take into account a set of key device characteristics, described in the next section.

4. DEVICES AND AIUS METRICS

In order to effectively implement the AIUs on physical devices, we need some figures about their capabilities. Different classifications and characteristics are available in the literature (e.g., [10]). Here, we focus on a first set of characteristics that constitute the minimal information needed to adapt the different AIUs to each device. Moreover, we need to investigate the AIUs as well because of the size of the parameters they handle heavily affects their implementation (e.g., the way in which the user interacts with a relational table may differs depending on the number of tuples and attributes). A practical usage of such parameters is shown in Section 5. Finally, in this work, even if we devised some AIUs oriented towards image manipulation, we concentrate on textual based AIUs and, consequently, we consider only text/table oriented metrics. Concerning **devices** we define the following functions:

- int RN(dev) (Row Number), returning the number of rows the device is able to display;
- int CN(dev) (Column Number), returning the number of columns the device is able to display;
- boolean CVS(dev) (Continuous Vertical Scrolling), returning the availability of a continuous (i.e., pixel based) vertical scrolling;
- boolean RVS(dev) (Row-based Vertical Scrolling), returning the availability of stepped (i.e., row based) vertical scrolling;
- boolean PVS(dev) (Page-based Vertical Scrolling), returning the availability of stepped (i.e., page based) vertical scrolling;
- boolean CNHS(dev) (CoNtinuous Horizontal Scrolling), returning the availability of a continuous (i.e., pixel based) horizontal scrolling;
- boolean COHS(dev) (COlumn-based Horizontal Scrolling), returning the availability of stepped (i.e., column based) horizontal scrolling;
- boolean PHS(dev) (Page-based Horizontal Scrolling), returning the availability of stepped (i.e., page based) horizontal scrolling;
- boolean WE(dev) (WAP Enabled), true if the device is Wap enabled;
- boolean JE(dev) (Java Enabled), true if the device is Java enabled;
- boolean AA(dev) (Audio Availability), returning the audio channel availability;
- int CD(dev) (Color Depth), returning the color/black and withe depth (expressed in bit);
- boolean TSA(dev) (Touchable Screen Availability), returning the availability of touchable surfaces.

Concerning AIUs, we investigate some metrics about the text based AIUs, distinguishing between table and pure text oriented AIUs.

- int RN(table-oriented-AIU), (Row Number) returning the number of rows the AIU needs to be displayed;
- int CN(table-oriented-AIU), (Column Number) returning the number of columns the AIU needs to be displayed;

HOTEL NAME	ROOMS	ADDRESS	PRICE
Bally's Las Vegas	2814	3645 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas NV US 89109	from \$ 62.99 Reserve
Bellagio	3006	3600 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas NV US 89109	from \$ 149.95 Reserve
Best Western Mardi Gras Inn	-	3500 Paradise Road Las Vegas NV US 89109	from \$ 44.00 Reserve
Caesars Palace	-	3670 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas NV US 89109	from \$ 136.99 Reserve
Castaways Hotel and Casino	447	2800 Fremont Street Las Vegas NV US 89104	from \$ 28.99 Reserve
French Quarter Suite	96	4777 Cameron Street Las Vegas NV US 89103	from \$ 40.99 Reserve

(a)

HOTEL NAME	PRICE
Bally's Las Vegas	from \$ 62.00 Details Reserve
Bellagio	from \$ 149.95 Details Reserve
Best Western Mardi Gras Inn	from \$ 44.00 Details Reserve
Caesars Palace	from \$ 136.99 Details Reserve
Castaways Hotel and Casino	from \$ 28.99 Details Reserve
French Quarter Suite	from \$ 40.99 Details Reserve
Heartline Suites by Village Las Vegas	from \$ 48.99 Details Reserve
Howard Johnson Inn Las Vegas	from \$ 32.00 Details Reserve
Loria Hotel Casino	from \$ 57.99 Details Reserve
MGM Grand Hotel and Casino	from \$ 99.95 Details Reserve
Mirage Hotel & Casino	from \$ 119.85 Details Reserve
New York New York	from \$ 88.99 Details Reserve
Paris Station Hotel & Casino	from \$ 16.00 Details Reserve
Paris Las Vegas Hotel	from \$ 76.00 Details Reserve

QUIT

(b)

Figure 2: Implementing the InteractionTable AIU on a regular browser (a) or on a medium size handheld (b)

- $\text{int CHN}(\text{text-oriented-AIU})$, (CHAracter Number) returning the number of characters the AIU needs to be displayed.

- $\text{JE}(d)=\text{false}$ (i.e., the device is not Java enabled);
- $\text{WE}(d)=\text{true}$ (i.e., the device is Wap enabled);

The main idea, described in the next session through an example, is to use the above metrics to measure the level of degradation an AIU suffers when implemented on a specific device. As an example, we can count the number of scrolling commands (both vertical and horizontal) needed in order to display the overall AIU content and, depending on the computed figures, decide to rearrange the AIU structure, adapting it to the particular device.

5. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

In this section we propose a dynamic adaptation based on the metrics described in the previous section. A systematic analysis of the AIUs implementation is out of the scope of this paper. Here we discuss, as a working example, the implementation on the device d of the AIU a , InteractTable described in the example shown in Section 3.1. The purpose of such an AIU is to allow the user for displaying a table containing a set of hotels (one per row) allowing the selection of a specific hotel. Assume that the following figures hold for the involved device and AIU :

- $\text{RN}(a)=40$ (i.e., the tables contains 40 hotels);
- $\text{CN}(a)=105$ (i.e., each row needs 105 columns);
- $\text{CN}(d)=30$ (i.e., the device can handle 30 columns);
- $\text{RN}(d)=14$ (i.e., the device can handle 14 rows);
- $\text{RVS}(d)=\text{true}$ (i.e., the device allows for row based vertical scrolling);
- $\text{PVS}(d)=\text{true}$ (i.e., the device allows for page based vertical scrolling);
- $\text{COHS}(d)=\text{false}$ (i.e., the device does not allow for column based horizontal scrolling);
- $\text{PHS}(d)=\text{true}$ (i.e., the device does not allow for page based horizontal scrolling);

Based on these figures, we can argue that the AIU can be easily displayed for what concerns the number of columns: in fact, it requires at most 40 row based scrolling commands or $\lceil 40/14 \rceil$ page based vertical scrolling commands and such figures are quite reasonable (formally speaking, we define some threshold values). On the other hand, handling 105 columns on a device that is able to display only 30 columns and does not allow for horizontal scrolling is not an easy task. The only way is to present the table to the user in a two steps interaction: (1) the user is presented with a table containing only a subset of the table attributes (e.g., hotel-name and hotel-price) whose column occupation is less than 30 and (2) and additional commands allows for detailing a single row, getting all the hotel attributes. The way in which it is possible to implement the above strategy strongly depends on the device computational capabilities (e.g., Java enabled) and on load balancing issues. Here, in order to follow the more robust solution we assume that all the work is performed by the server that, looking at the device capabilities, will produce the needed Java code or Wap pages. As an example, we can see in Figure 2 (a) a possible implementation of the InteractTable AIU on a device with no significant limitations (e.g, a usual browser on a portable PC). In order to display the same table on a device with the above capabilities we have to reduce the table attributes, producing the table shown in Figure 2 (b). In such a table only the hotel-name and the hotel-price are available; if the user requires more pieces of information, a new command, details, is available showing the full hotel description. The above example provides the feeling on how it is possible to adapt the same AIU to different device. We are currently investigating different implementation strategies and different threshold values in order to come up with a more formal and complete way of implementing AIUs .

6. FUTURE WORK AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented a novel approach for implementing, on a variety of portable devices, simple internet based applications. The main ideas supporting our proposal are (a) a formal model characterizing the user interaction building blocks (AIUs), (b) the embedding of such a model within the UML activity diagram, (c) the formalization of the characteristics of devices and AIUs through several suitable metrics, and (d) the definition of ad-hoc strategies for implementing in efficient way the AIUs on different devices. Some aspects of our approach deserve more deep analysis: we are currently working on defining a complete set of AIUs and devices metrics; moreover we are developing a first prototype for implementing the AIUs, in order to have some feedback about our approach.

Acknowledgments Work supported by the MIUR-FIRB project "MAIS" (Multichannel adaptive Information Systems, <http://black.elet.polimi.it/mais/index.php>).

7. REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ceri, P. Fraternali, and A. Bongio. Web modeling language (webml): a modeling language for designing web sites. In *Proceedings of the 9th international World Wide Web conference on Computer networks*, pages 137–157. North-Holland Publishing Co., 2000.
- [2] J. Eisenstein and A. Puerta. Adaptation in automated user-interface design. In *Proceedings of IUI'2000*, pages 74–81. ACM Press, 2000.
- [3] J. Eisenstein, J. Vanderdonckt, and A. Puerta. Applying model-based techniques to the development of uis for mobile computers. In *Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Intelligent user interfaces*, pages 69–76. ACM Press, 2001.
- [4] P. Fraternali. Tools and approaches for developing data-intensive web applications: a survey. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 31(3):227–263, 1999.
- [5] F. Garzotto, P. Paolini, and D. Schwabe. HDM - a model-based approach to hypertext application design. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 11(1):1–26, 1993.
- [6] T. Isakowitz, E. A. Stohr, and P. Balasubramanian. RMM: a methodology for structured hypermedia design. *Commun. ACM*, 38(8):34–44, 1995.
- [7] G. Mori, F. Paternò, and C. Santoro. Tool support for designing nomadic applications. In *Proceedings of the 2003 international conference on Intelligent user interfaces*, pages 141–148. ACM Press, 2003.
- [8] A. Puerta and J. Eisenstein. Towards a general computational framework for model-based interface development systems. In *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on Intelligent user interfaces*, pages 171–178. ACM Press, 1999.
- [9] D. Thevenin and J. Coutaz. Plasticity of user interfaces: Framework and research agenda. In I. Press, editor, *Proceedings of Interact'99*, volume 1, pages 110–117, 1999.
- [10] M. van Welie and B. de Groot. Consistent multi-device design using device categories. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Mobile Human-Computer Interaction*, pages 315–318. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [11] J. Vanderdonckt and F. Bodart. Encapsulating knowledge for intelligent interaction objects selection. In *Proceedings of InterCHI'93*, pages 424–429. ACM Press, 1993.