

An infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$ from cyclic codes

Cunsheng Ding

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

Abstract

Steiner systems are a fascinating topic of combinatorics. The most studied Steiner systems are $S(2, 3, v)$ (Steiner triple systems), $S(3, 4, v)$ (Steiner quadruple systems), and $S(2, 4, v)$. There are a few infinite families of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, v)$ in the literature. The objective of this paper is to present an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$ for all $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \geq 6$ from cyclic codes. This may be the first coding-theoretic construction of an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, v)$. As a by-product, many infinite families of 2-designs are also reported in this paper.

Keywords: Cyclic code, linear code, Steiner system, t -design.

2000 MSC: 05B05, 51E10, 94B15

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{P} be a set of $v \geq 1$ elements, and let \mathcal{B} be a set of k -subsets of \mathcal{P} , where k is a positive integer with $1 \leq k \leq v$. Let t be a positive integer with $t \leq k$. The pair $\mathbb{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a t - (v, k, λ) design, or simply t -design, if every t -subset of \mathcal{P} is contained in exactly λ elements of \mathcal{B} . The elements of \mathcal{P} are called points, and those of \mathcal{B} are referred to as blocks. We usually use b to denote the number of blocks in \mathcal{B} . A t -design is called *simple* if \mathcal{B} does not contain repeated blocks. In this paper, we consider only simple t -designs. A t -design is called *symmetric* if $v = b$. It is clear that t -designs with $k = t$ or $k = v$ always exist. Such t -designs are *trivial*. In this paper, we consider only t -designs with $v > k > t$. A t - (v, k, λ) design is referred to as a *Steiner system* if $t \geq 2$ and $\lambda = 1$, and is denoted by $S(t, k, v)$.

One of the interesting topics in t -designs is the study of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, v)$. It is known that a Steiner system $S(2, 4, v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $4 \pmod{12}$ [10]. According to the surveys [4, 17], the following is a list of infinite families of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, v)$:

- $S(2, 4, 4^n)$, $n \geq 2$ (affine geometries).
- $S(2, 4, 3^n + \dots + 3 + 1)$, $n \geq 2$ (projective geometries).
- $S(2, 4, 2^{s+2} - 2^s + 4)$, $s > 2$ (Denniston designs).

^{*}C. Ding's research was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grants Council, Proj. No. 16300415.
Email address: cding@ust.hk (Cunsheng Ding)

The objective of this paper is to present an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$ for all $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \geq 6$ with extended primitive cyclic codes. This may be the first coding-theory construction of an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, v)$. As a by product, this paper will also construct a number of infinite families of 2-designs with these binary codes.

2. The classical construction of t -designs from codes

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of linear codes and cyclic codes, and proceed to introduce the classical construction of t -designs from codes directly. Let C be a $[v, \kappa, d]$ linear code over $\text{GF}(q)$. Let $A_i := A_i(C)$, which denotes the number of codewords with Hamming weight i in C , where $0 \leq i \leq v$. The sequence (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_v) is called the *weight distribution* of C , and $\sum_{i=0}^v A_i z^i$ is referred to as the *weight enumerator* of C . For each k with $A_k \neq 0$, let \mathcal{B}_k denote the set of the supports of all codewords with Hamming weight k in C , where the coordinates of a codeword are indexed by $(0, 1, 2, \dots, v-1)$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, v-1\}$. The pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}_k)$ may be a t - (v, k, λ) design for some positive integer λ , which is called a *support design* of the code. In such a case, we say that the code C holds a t - (v, k, λ) design. Throughout this paper, we denote the dual code of C by C^\perp , and the extended code of C by \bar{C} .

2.1. Designs from linear codes via the Assmus-Mattson Theorem

The following theorem, developed by Assmus and Mattson, shows that the pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}_k)$ defined by a linear code is a t -design under certain conditions [2], [11, p. 303].

Theorem 1 (Assmus-Mattson Theorem). *Let C be a $[v, k, d]$ code over $\text{GF}(q)$. Let d^\perp denote the minimum distance of C^\perp . Let w be the largest integer satisfying $w \leq v$ and*

$$w - \left\lfloor \frac{w+q-2}{q-1} \right\rfloor < d.$$

Define w^\perp analogously using d^\perp . Let $(A_i)_{i=0}^v$ and $(A_i^\perp)_{i=0}^v$ denote the weight distribution of C and C^\perp , respectively. Fix a positive integer t with $t < d$, and let s be the number of i with $A_i^\perp \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq v-t$. Suppose $s \leq d-t$. Then

- *the codewords of weight i in C hold a t -design provided $A_i \neq 0$ and $d \leq i \leq w$, and*
- *the codewords of weight i in C^\perp hold a t -design provided $A_i^\perp \neq 0$ and $d^\perp \leq i \leq \min\{v-t, w^\perp\}$.*

The Assmus-Mattson Theorem is a very useful tool in constructing t -designs from linear codes, and has been recently employed to construct infinitely many 2-designs and 3-designs in [7] and [6].

2.2. Designs from linear codes via the automorphism group

In this section, we introduce the automorphism approach to obtaining t -designs from linear codes. To this end, we have to define the automorphism group of linear codes. We will also present some basic results about this approach.

The set of coordinate permutations that map a code C to itself forms a group, which is referred to as the *permutation automorphism group* of C and denoted by $\text{PAut}(C)$. If C is a code of length n , then $\text{PAut}(C)$ is a subgroup of the *symmetric group* Sym_n .

A *monomial matrix* over $\text{GF}(q)$ is a square matrix having exactly one nonzero element of $\text{GF}(q)$ in each row and column. A monomial matrix M can be written either in the form DP or the form PD_1 , where D and D_1 are diagonal matrices and P is a permutation matrix.

The set of monomial matrices that map C to itself forms the group $\text{MAut}(C)$, which is called the *monomial automorphism group* of C . Clearly, we have

$$\text{PAut}(C) \subseteq \text{MAut}(C).$$

The *automorphism group* of C , denoted by $\text{Aut}(C)$, is the set of maps of the form $M\gamma$, where M is a monomial matrix and γ is a field automorphism, that map C to itself. In the binary case, $\text{PAut}(C)$, $\text{MAut}(C)$ and $\text{Aut}(C)$ are the same. If q is a prime, $\text{MAut}(C)$ and $\text{Aut}(C)$ are identical. In general, we have

$$\text{PAut}(C) \subseteq \text{MAut}(C) \subseteq \text{Aut}(C).$$

By definition, every element in $\text{Aut}(C)$ is of the form $DP\gamma$, where D is a diagonal matrix, P is a permutation matrix, and γ is an automorphism of $\text{GF}(q)$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C)$ is said to be t -transitive if for every pair of t -element ordered sets of coordinates, there is an element $DP\gamma$ of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C)$ such that its permutation part P sends the first set to the second set.

A proof of the following theorem can be found in [11, p. 308].

Theorem 2. *Let C be a linear code of length n over $\text{GF}(q)$ where $\text{Aut}(C)$ is t -transitive. Then the codewords of any weight $i \geq t$ of C hold a t -design.*

This theorem gives another sufficient condition for a linear code to hold t -designs. To apply Theorem 2, we have to determine the automorphism group of C and show that it is t -transitive. It is in general very hard to find out the automorphism group of a linear code. Even if we know that a linear code holds t - (v, k, λ) designs, determining the parameters k and λ could be extremely difficult. All the 2-designs presented in this paper are obtained from this automorphism group approach.

The next theorem will be employed later and is a very useful and general result [15, p. 165].

Theorem 3. *Let C be an $[n, k, d]$ binary linear code with $k > 1$, such that for each weight $w > 0$ the supports of the codewords of weight w form a t -design, where $t < d$. Then the supports of the codewords of each nonzero weight in C^\perp also form a t -design.*

3. Affine-invariant linear codes

In this section, we first give a special representation of primitive cyclic codes and their extended codes, and then define and characterise affine-invariant codes. We will skip proof details, but refer the reader to [11, Section 4.7] for a detailed proof of the major results presented in this section.

A cyclic code of length $n = q^m - 1$ over $\text{GF}(q)$ for some positive integer m is called a *primitive cyclic code*. Let \mathcal{R}_n denote the quotient ring $\text{GF}(q)[x]/(x^n - 1)$. Any cyclic code C of length $n = q^m - 1$ over $\text{GF}(q)$ is an ideal of \mathcal{R}_n , and is generated by a monic polynomial $g(x)$ of the least degree over $\text{GF}(q)$. This polynomial is called the generator polynomial of the cyclic code C , and can be expressed as

$$g(x) = \prod_{t \in T} (x - \alpha^t),$$

where α is a generator of $\text{GF}(q^m)^*$, T is a subset of $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and a union of some q -cyclotomic cosets modulo n . The set T is called a *defining set* of C with respect to α . When C is viewed as a subset of \mathcal{R}_v , every codeword of C is a polynomial $c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i$, where all $c_i \in \text{GF}(q)$. A primitive cyclic code C is called *even-like* if 1 is a zero of its generator polynomial, and *odd-like* otherwise.

Let J and J^* denote $\text{GF}(q^m)$ and $\text{GF}(q^m)^*$, respectively. Let α be a primitive element of $\text{GF}(q^m)$. The set J will be the index set of the extended cyclic codes of length q^m , and the set J^* will be the index set of the cyclic codes of length n . Let X be an indeterminate. Define

$$\text{GF}(q)[J] = \left\{ a = \sum_{g \in J} a_g X^g : a_g \in \text{GF}(q) \text{ for all } g \in J \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The set $\text{GF}(q)[J]$ is an algebra under the following operations

$$u \sum_{g \in J} a_g X^g + v \sum_{g \in J} b_g X^g = \sum_{g \in J} (ua_g + vb_g) X^g$$

for all $u, v \in \text{GF}(q)$, and

$$\left(\sum_{g \in J} a_g X^g \right) \left(\sum_{g \in J} b_g X^g \right) = \sum_{g \in J} \left(\sum_{h \in J} a_h b_{g-h} \right) X^g. \quad (2)$$

The zero and unit of $\text{GF}(q)[J]$ are $\sum_{g \in J} 0X^g$ and X^0 , respectively.

Similarly, let

$$\text{GF}(q)[J^*] = \left\{ a = \sum_{g \in J^*} a_g X^g : a_g \in \text{GF}(q) \text{ for all } g \in J^* \right\}. \quad (3)$$

The set $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ is not a subalgebra, but a subspace of $\text{GF}(q)[J]$. Obviously, the elements of $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ are of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{\alpha^i} X^{\alpha^i},$$

and those of $\text{GF}(q)[J]$ are of the form

$$a_0 X^0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{\alpha^i} X^{\alpha^i}.$$

Subsets of the subspace $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ will be used to characterise primitive cyclic codes over $\text{GF}(q)$ and those of the algebra $\text{GF}(q)[J]$ will be employed to characterise extended primitive cyclic codes over $\text{GF}(q)$.

We define a one-to-one correspondence between \mathcal{R}_v and $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ by

$$\Upsilon : c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i \rightarrow C(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_{\alpha^i} X^{\alpha^i}, \quad (4)$$

where $C_{\alpha^i} = c_i$ for all i .

The following theorem is obviously true.

Theorem 4. $C \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\alpha$ has the circulant cyclic shift property if and only if $\Upsilon(C) \subseteq \text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ has the property that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_{\alpha^i} X^{\alpha^i} = \sum_{g \in J^*} C_g X^g \in \Upsilon(C)$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_{\alpha^i} X^{\alpha \alpha^i} = \sum_{g \in J^*} C_g X^{\alpha g} \in \Upsilon(C)$$

With Theorem 4, every primitive cyclic code over $\text{GF}(q)$ can be viewed as a special subset of $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ having the property documented in this theorem. This special representation of primitive cyclic codes over $\text{GF}(q)$ will be very useful for determining a subgroup of the automorphism group of certain primitive cyclic codes.

It is now time to extend primitive cyclic codes, which are subsets of $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$. We use the element $0 \in J$ to index the extended coordinate. The extended codeword $\bar{C}(X)$ of a codeword $C(X) = \sum_{g \in J^*} C_g X^g$ in $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ is defined by

$$\bar{C}(X) = \sum_{g \in J} C_g X^g \quad (5)$$

with $\sum_{g \in J} C_g = 0$.

Notice that $X^{\alpha 0} = X^0 = 1$. The following then follows from Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. The extended code \bar{C} of a cyclic code $C \subseteq \text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ is a subspace of $\text{GF}(q)[J]$ such that

$$\bar{C}(X) = \sum_{g \in J} C_g X^g \in \bar{C} \text{ if and only if } \sum_{g \in J} C_g X^{\alpha g} \in \bar{C} \text{ and } \sum_{g \in J} C_g = 0.$$

If a cyclic code C is viewed as an ideal of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha = \text{GF}(q)[x]/(x^n - 1)$, it can be defined by its set of zeros or its defining set. When C and \bar{C} are put in the settings $\text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ and $\text{GF}(q)[J]$, respectively, they can be defined with some counterpart of the defining set. This can be done with the assistance of the following function ϕ_s from $\text{GF}(q)[J]$ to J :

$$\phi_s \left(\sum_{g \in J} C_g X^g \right) = \sum_{g \in J} C_g g^s, \quad (6)$$

where $s \in \bar{\mathcal{N}} := \{i : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ and by convention $0^0 = 1$ in J .

The following follows from Theorem 5 and the definition of ϕ_s directly.

Lemma 6. $\bar{C}(X)$ is the extended codeword of $C(X) \in \text{GF}(q)[J^*]$ if and only if $\phi_0(\bar{C}(X)) = 0$. In particular, if \bar{C} is the extended code of a primitive cyclic code $C \subseteq \text{GF}(q)[J^*]$, then $\phi_0(\bar{C}(X)) = 0$ for all $\bar{C}(X) \in \bar{C}$.

Lemma 7. Let C be a primitive cyclic code of length n over $\text{GF}(q)$. Let T be the defining set of C with respect to α , when it is viewed as an ideal of \mathcal{R}_α . Let $s \in T$ and $1 \leq s \leq n-1$. We have then $\phi_s(\bar{C}(X)) = 0$ for all $\bar{C}(X) \in \bar{C}$.

Lemma 8. Let C be a primitive cyclic code of length n over $\text{GF}(q)$. Let T be the defining set of C with respect to α , when it is viewed as an ideal of \mathcal{R}_α . Then $0 \in T$ if and only if $\phi_n(\bar{C}(X)) = 0$ for all $\bar{C}(X) \in \bar{C}$.

Combining Lemmas 6, 7, 8 and the discussions above, we can define an extended cyclic code in terms of a defining set as follows.

A code \overline{C} of length q^m is an *extended primitive cyclic code* with definition set \overline{T} provided $\overline{T} \setminus \{n\} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is a union of q -cyclotomic cosets modulo $n = q^m - 1$ with $0 \in \overline{T}$ and

$$\overline{C} = \{\overline{C}(X) \in \text{GF}(q)[J] : \phi_s(\overline{C}(X)) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in \overline{T}\}. \quad (7)$$

The following remarks are helpful for fully understanding the characterisation of extended primitive cyclic codes:

- The condition that $\overline{T} \setminus \{n\} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is a union of q -cyclotomic cosets modulo $n = q^m - 1$ is to ensure that the code C obtained by puncturing the first coordinate of \overline{C} and ordering the elements of J with $(0, \alpha^n, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ is a primitive cyclic code.
- The additional requirement $0 \in \overline{T}$ and (7) are to make sure that \overline{C} is the extended code of C .
- If $n \in \overline{T}$, then C is an even-like code. In this case, the extension is trivial, i.e., the extended coordinate in every codeword of \overline{C} is always equal to 0. If $n \notin \overline{T}$, then $0 \notin T$. Thus, the extension is nontrivial.
- If \overline{C} is the extended code of a primitive cyclic code C , then

$$\overline{T} = \begin{cases} \{0\} \cup T & \text{if } 0 \notin T, \\ \{0, n\} \cup T & \text{if } 0 \in T. \end{cases}$$

where T and \overline{T} are the defining sets of C and \overline{C} , respectively.

- The following diagram illustrates the relations among the two codes and their definition sets:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \subseteq \mathcal{R}_a & \iff C \subseteq \text{GF}(q)[J^*] \implies & \text{GF}(q)[J] \supseteq \overline{C} \\ T \subseteq \mathcal{N} & & \overline{T} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{N}} \end{array}$$

Let σ be a permutation on J . This permutation acts on a code $\overline{C} \subseteq \text{GF}(q)[J]$ as follows:

$$\sigma \left(\sum_{g \in J} C_g X^g \right) = \sum_{g \in J} C_g X^{\sigma(g)}. \quad (8)$$

The *affine permutation group*, denoted by $\text{AGL}(1, q^m)$, is defined by

$$\text{AGL}(1, q^m) = \{\sigma_{(a,b)}(y) = ay + b : a \in J^*, b \in J\}. \quad (9)$$

We have the following conclusions about $\text{AGL}(1, q^m)$ whose proofs are straightforward:

- $\text{AGL}(1, q^m)$ is a permutation group on J under the function composition.
- The group action of $\text{AGL}(1, q^m)$ on $\text{GF}(q^m)$ is doubly transitive, i.e., 2-transitive.
- $\text{AGL}(1, q^m)$ has order $(n+1)n = q^m(q^m - 1)$.

- Obviously, the maps $\sigma_{(a,0)}$ are merely the cyclic shifts on the coordinates $(\alpha^n, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ each fixing the coordinate 0.

An *affine-invariant code* is an extended primitive cyclic code \overline{C} such that $\text{AGL}(1, q^m) \subseteq \text{PAut}(\overline{C})$. For certain applications, it is important to know if a given extended primitive cyclic code \overline{C} is affine-invariant or not. This question can be answered by examining the defining set of the code. In order to do this, we introduce a partial ordering \preceq on $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Suppose that $q = p^t$ for some positive integer t . Then by definition $\overline{\mathcal{N}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $n = q^m - 1 = p^{mt} - 1$. The p -adic expansion of each $s \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is given by

$$s = \sum_{i=0}^{mt-1} s_i p^i, \text{ where } 0 \leq s_i < p \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq mt - 1.$$

Let the p -adic expansion of $r \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ be

$$r = \sum_{i=0}^{mt-1} r_i p^i.$$

We say that $r \preceq s$ if $r_i \leq s_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq mt - 1$. By definition, we have $r \leq s$ if $r \preceq s$.

The following is a characterisation of affine-invariant codes due to Kasami, Lin and Peterson [14].

Theorem 9 (Kasami-Lin-Peterson). *Let \overline{C} be an extended cyclic code of length q^m over $\text{GF}(q)$ with defining set \overline{T} . The code \overline{C} is affine-invariant if and only if whenever $s \in \overline{T}$ then $r \in \overline{T}$ for all $r \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ with $r \preceq s$.*

Theorem 9 will be employed in the next section. It is a very useful tool to prove that an extended primitive cyclic code is affine-invariant.

It is straightforward to prove that $\text{AGL}(1, q^m)$ is doubly transitive on $\text{GF}(q^m)$. The following theorem then follows from Theorem 2.

Theorem 10. *Let C be an extended cyclic code of length q^m over $\text{GF}(q)$. If C is affine-invariant, then the supports of the codewords of weight k in C form a 2-design, provided that $A_k \neq 0$.*

The following is a list of known affine-invariant codes.

- The classical generalised Reed-Muller codes of length q^n [1].
- A family of newly generalised Reed-Muller codes of length q^n [8].
- The narrow-sense primitive BCH codes.

If new affine-invariant codes are discovered, new 2-designs may be obtained. In the next section, we will present a type of affine-invariant binary codes of length 2^m , and will investigate their designs. Our major objective is to construct an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$.

4. A type of affine-invariant codes and their designs

In this section, we first present a class of affine-invariant binary codes of length 2^m , and then study their designs. Our main purpose is to present an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$ for every $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \geq 6$.

Let b denote the number of blocks in a t -(v, k, λ) design. It is easily seen that

$$b = \lambda \frac{\binom{v}{t}}{\binom{k}{t}}. \quad (10)$$

We will need the following lemma in subsequent sections, which is a variant of the MacWilliam Identity [21, p. 41].

Theorem 11. *Let C be a $[v, \kappa, d]$ code over $\text{GF}(q)$ with weight enumerator $A(z) = \sum_{i=0}^v A_i z^i$ and let $A^\perp(z)$ be the weight enumerator of C^\perp . Then*

$$A^\perp(z) = q^{-\kappa} \left(1 + (q-1)z\right)^v A\left(\frac{1-z}{1+(q-1)z}\right).$$

Shortly, we will need also the following theorem.

Theorem 12. *Let C be an $[n, k, d]$ binary linear code, and let C^\perp denote the dual of C . Denote by $\overline{C^\perp}$ the extended code of C^\perp , and let $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ denote the dual of $\overline{C^\perp}$. Then we have the following.*

1. C^\perp has parameters $[n, n-k, d^\perp]$, where d^\perp denotes the minimum distance of C^\perp .
2. $\overline{C^\perp}$ has parameters $[n+1, n-k, \overline{d^\perp}]$, where $\overline{d^\perp}$ denotes the minimum distance of $\overline{C^\perp}$, and is given by

$$\overline{d^\perp} = \begin{cases} d^\perp & \text{if } d^\perp \text{ is even,} \\ d^\perp + 1 & \text{if } d^\perp \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3. $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ has parameters $[n+1, k+1, \overline{d^{\perp\perp}}]$, where $\overline{d^{\perp\perp}}$ denotes the minimum distance of $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$. Furthermore, $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ has only even-weight codewords, and all the nonzero weights in $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ are the following:

$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t; n+1-w_1, n+1-w_2, \dots, n+1-w_t; n+1,$$

where w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t denote all the nonzero weights of C .

Proof. The conclusions of the first two parts are straightforward. We prove only the conclusions of the third part below.

Since $\overline{C^\perp}$ has length $n+1$ and dimension $n-k$, the dimension of $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ is $k+1$. By assumption, all codes under consideration are binary. By definition, $\overline{C^\perp}$ has only even-weight codewords. Recall that $\overline{C^\perp}$ is the extended code of C^\perp . It is known that the generator matrix of $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ is given by ([11, p. 15])

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{1}} & \mathbf{1} \\ G & \bar{\mathbf{0}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{1}} = (111 \dots 1)$ is the all-one vector of length n , $\bar{\mathbf{0}} = (000 \dots 0)^T$, which is a column vector of length n , and G is the generator matrix of C . Notice again that $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ is binary, the desired conclusions on the weights in $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ follow from the relation between the two generator matrices of the two codes $\overline{C^\perp}^\perp$ and C . \square

4.1. The type of affine-invariant codes and their designs

Starting from now on, we deal with only binary codes and their support designs, and we define $n = 2^m - 1$ and $\bar{n} = 2^m$.

Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Define $\bar{m} = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ and $M = \{1, 2, \dots, \bar{m}\}$. Let E be any nonempty subset of M . Let

$$g_E(x) = \mathbb{M}_\alpha(x) \text{lcm}\{\mathbb{M}_{\alpha^{1+2^e}}(x) : e \in E\}, \quad (11)$$

where α is a generator of $\text{GF}(2^m)^*$, $\mathbb{M}_{\alpha^i}(x)$ denotes the minimal polynomial of α^i over $\text{GF}(2)$, and lcm denotes the least common multiple of a set of polynomials. Note that every $e \in E$ satisfies $e \leq \bar{m}$, and the 2-cyclotomic cosets C_1 and C_e are disjoint. Consequently, the two irreducible polynomials $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(x)$ and $\mathbb{M}_{\alpha^{1+2^e}}(x)$ are relatively prime. It then follows that $g_E(x)$ divides $x^n - 1$. Let C_E denote the binary cyclic code of length n with generator polynomial $g_E(x)$.

Theorem 13. *Let $m \geq 3$. Then the generator polynomial of C_E is given by*

$$g_E(x) = \mathbb{M}_\alpha(x) \prod_{e \in E} \mathbb{M}_{\alpha^{1+2^e}}(x).$$

Furthermore, C_E has dimension

$$\dim(C_E) = \begin{cases} 2^m - 1 - (2|E| + 1)m/2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even and } m/2 \in E. \\ 2^m - 1 - (|E| + 1)m & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Proof. The following list of properties was proved in [5]:

- For each $e \in E$, $1 + 2^e$ is a coset leader.
- For each $e \in E$, $|C_e| = m$, except that m is even and $e = m/2$, in which case $|C_{m/2}| = m/2$.

Note that 1 is the coset leader of the 2-cyclotomic coset C_1 with $|C_1| = m$. Then the desired conclusions on the generator polynomial and dimension follow. \square

Theorem 14. *The extended code $\overline{C_E}$ is affine invariant.*

Proof. We prove the desired conclusion with the help Theorem 9 and follow the notation and symbols employed in the proof of Theorem 9. Let $\overline{\mathcal{N}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $n = 2^m - 1$. The defining set T of the cyclic code C_E is $T = C_1 \cup (\cup_{e \in E} C_e)$. Since $0 \notin T$, the defining set \overline{T} of $\overline{C_E}$ is given by

$$\overline{T} = C_1 \cup (\cup_{e \in E} C_e) \cup \{0\}.$$

Let $s \in \overline{T}$ and $r \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Assume that $r \preceq s$. We need prove that $r \in \overline{T}$ by Theorem 9.

If $r = 0$, then obviously $r \in \overline{T}$. Consider now the case $r > 0$. In this case $s \geq r \geq 1$. If $s \in C_1$, then the Hamming weight $\text{wt}(s) = 1$. As $r \preceq s$, $r = s$. Consequently, $r \in C_1 \subset \overline{T}$. If $s \in C_e$, then the Hamming weight $\text{wt}(s) = 2$. As $r \preceq s$, either $\text{wt}(r) = 1$ or $r = s$. In both cases, $r \in \overline{T}$. The desired conclusion then follows from Theorem 9. \square

Combining Theorems 14, 10 and 3, we arrive at the following conclusions.

Theorem 15. *Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer. The supports of the codewords of every weight k in $\overline{C_E}$ (respectively, $\overline{C_E}^\perp$) form a 2-design, provided that $\overline{A}_k \neq 0$ (respectively, $\overline{A}_k^\perp \neq 0$).*

Theorem 15 includes a class of $2^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} - 1$ affine invariant binary codes $\overline{C_E}$ and their duals. They give exponentially many infinite families of 2 - $(2^m, k, \lambda)$ designs. To determine the parameters $(2^m, k, \lambda)$ of the 2 -designs, we need to settle the weight distributions of these codes. The weight distributions of these codes are related to quadratic form, bilinear forms, and alternating bilinear forms, and are open in general. Note that the code C_E may be a BCH code in some cases, but is not a BCH code in most cases.

4.2. Designs from the codes $C_{\{1+2^e\}}$ and their relatives

As made clear earlier, our main objective is to construct an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$. To this end, we consider the code C_E and its extended code $\overline{C_E}$ in this section for the special case $E = \{1 + 2^e\}$, where $1 \leq e \leq \overline{m} = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. For simplicity, we denote this code by C_e in this section.

Table 1: Weight distribution I

Weight w	No. of codewords A_w
0	1
$2^{m-1} - 2^{m-1-h}$	$(2^m - 1)(2^h + 1)2^{h-1}$
2^{m-1}	$(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2^{2h} + 1)$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{m-1-h}$	$(2^m - 1)(2^h - 1)2^{h-1}$

Table 2: Weight distribution II

Weight w	No. of codewords A_w
0	1
$2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$(2^{m/2} - 1)(2^{m-1} + 2^{(m-2)/2})$
2^{m-1}	$2^m - 1$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$(2^{m/2} - 1)(2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-2)/2})$

Table 3: Weight distribution III

Weight w	No. of codewords A_w
0	1
$2^{m-1} - 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}$	$2^{(m-\ell-2)/2}(2^{(m-\ell)/2} + 1)(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$
$2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}(2^{m/2} + 1)(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$
2^{m-1}	$((2^{\ell/2} - 1)2^{m-\ell} + 1)(2^m - 1)$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}(2^{m/2} - 1)(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}$	$2^{(m-\ell-2)/2}(2^{(m-\ell)/2} - 1)(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$

The following theorem provides information on the parameters of C_e and its dual C_e^\perp [12].

Theorem 16. *Let $m \geq 4$ and $1 \leq e \leq m/2$. Then C_e^\perp is a three-weight code if and only if either $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is odd or m is even and $e = m/2$, where $n = 2^m - 1$.*

When $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is odd, define $h = (m - \gcd(m, e))/2$. Then the dimension of C_e^\perp is $2m$, and the weight distribution of C_e^\perp is given in Table 1. The code C_e has parameters $[n, n - 2m, d]$,

where

$$d = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) > 1; \\ 5 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) = 1. \end{cases}$$

When m is even and $e = m/2$, the dimension of C_e^\perp is $3m/2$ and the weight distribution of C_e^\perp is given in Table 2. The code C_e has parameters $[n, n - 3m/2, 3]$.

When $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is even and $1 \leq e < m/2$, C_e^\perp has dimension $2m$ and the weight distribution in Table 3, where $\ell = 2\gcd(m, e)$, and C_e has parameters $[n, n - 2m, d]$, where

$$d = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) > 1; \\ 5 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) = 1. \end{cases}$$

The weight distributions of the code C_e^\perp documented in Theorem 16 were indeed proved by Kasami in [12]. However, the conclusions on the minimum distance d of C_e were stated in [12] without being proved. We inform the reader that they can be proved with the proved weight distribution of C_e^\perp and Theorem 11, though the details of proof are tedious in some cases.

We would find the parameters of the 2-designs held in the codes \overline{C}_e and \overline{C}_e^\perp , and need to know the weight distributions of these two codes, which can be derived from those of the code C_e^\perp described in Theorem 16. We first determine the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e^\perp .

Table 4: Weight distribution IV

Weight w	No. of codewords A_w
0	1
$2^{m-1} - 2^{m-1-h}$	$(2^m - 1)2^{2h}$
2^{m-1}	$(2^m - 1)(2^{m+1} - 2^{2h+1} + 2)$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{m-1-h}$	$(2^m - 1)2^{2h}$
2^m	1

Table 5: Weight distribution V

Weight w	No. of codewords A_w
0	1
$2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$(2^{m/2} - 1)2^m$
2^{m-1}	$2^{m+1} - 2$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$(2^{m/2} - 1)2^m$
2^m	1

The following theorem provides information on the parameters of \overline{C}_e and its dual \overline{C}_e^\perp .

Theorem 17. Let $m \geq 4$ and $1 \leq e \leq m/2$. When $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is odd, define $h = (m - \gcd(m, e))/2$. Then \overline{C}_e^\perp has parameters $[2^m, 2m + 1, 2^{m-1} - 2^{m-1-h}]$, and the weight distribution in Table 4. The parameters of \overline{C}_e are $[2^m, 2^m - 1 - 2m, \overline{d}]$, where

$$\overline{d} = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) > 1; \\ 6 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Table 6: Weight distribution VI

Weight w	No. of codewords A_w
0	1
$2^{m-1} - 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}$	$2^{m-\ell}(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$
$2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$2^{(2m+\ell)/2}(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$
2^{m-1}	$2((2^{\ell/2} - 1)2^{m-\ell} + 1)(2^m - 1)$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{(m-2)/2}$	$2^{(2m+\ell)/2}(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$
$2^{m-1} + 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}$	$2^{m-\ell}(2^m - 1)/(2^{\ell/2} + 1)$
2^m	1

When m is even and $e = m/2$, \overline{C}_e^\perp has parameters $[2^m, 1 + 3m/2, 2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-2)/2}]$ and the weight distribution in Table 5. The code \overline{C}_e has parameters $[2^m, 2^m - 1 - 3m/2, 4]$.

When $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is even and $1 \leq e < m/2$, \overline{C}_e^\perp has parameters

$$[2^m, 2m + 1, 2^{m-1} - 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}]$$

and the weight distribution in Table 6, where $\ell = 2\gcd(m, e)$, and \overline{C}_e has parameters $[2^m, 2^m - 1 - 2m, \overline{d}]$, where

$$\overline{d} = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) > 1; \\ 6 & \text{if } \gcd(e, m) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove only the conclusions of the first part. The conclusions of the other parts can be proved similarly.

Consider now the case that $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is odd. Since the minimum weight of C_e is odd, the minimum distance of \overline{C}_e is one more than that of C_e . This proves the conclusion on the minimum distance of \overline{C}_e . By definition, $\dim(C_e) = \dim(\overline{C}_e)$, and the length of \overline{C}_e is $\bar{n} = n + 1 = 2^m$.

The dimension of \overline{C}_e^\perp follows from that of \overline{C}_e . It remains to prove the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e^\perp . By definition, \overline{C}_e has only even weights. It then follows that the all-one vector is a codeword of \overline{C}_e^\perp . Then by Theorems 12 and 16, \overline{C}_e^\perp has all the following weights

$$2^{m-1} \pm 2^{m-1-h}, 2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m-2)/2}, 2^{m-1}, 2^m.$$

Due to symmetry of weights and the existence of the all-one vector in \overline{C}_e^\perp ,

$$A_{2^{m-1}+2^{m-1-h}} = A_{2^{m-1}-2^{m-1-h}}, A_{2^{m-1}+2^{(m-2)/2}} = A_{2^{m-1}-2^{(m-2)/2}}.$$

Note that the minimum distance of \overline{C}_e is 4 or 6. Solving the first four Pless power moments yields the frequencies of all the weights. □

Combining Theorem 15 and (10), we deduce the following.

Theorem 18. Let $m \geq 4$ and $1 \leq e \leq m/2$. When $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is odd, define $h = (m - \gcd(m, e))/2$. Then \overline{C}_e^\perp holds a 2- $(2^m, k, \lambda)$ design for the following pairs (k, λ) :

- $(k, \lambda) = (2^{m-1} \pm 2^{m-1-h}, (2^{2h-1} \pm 2^{h-1})(2^{m-1} \pm 2^{m-1-h} - 1))$.

- $(k, \lambda) = (2^{m-1}, (2^{m-1} - 1)(2^m - 2^{2h} + 1))$.

When m is even and $e = m/2$, \overline{C}_e^\perp holds a 2- $(2^m, k, \lambda)$ design for the following pairs (k, λ) :

- $(k, \lambda) = (2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m-2)/2}, 2^{(m-2)/2}(2^{m/2} - 1)(2^{(m-2)/2} \pm 1))$.
- $(k, \lambda) = (2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1} - 1)$.

When $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is even and $1 \leq e < m/2$, \overline{C}_e^\perp holds a 2- $(2^m, k, \lambda)$ design for the following pairs (k, λ) :

- $(k, \lambda) = (2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}, \frac{(2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2})(2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m+\ell-2)/2} - 1)}{2^\ell(2^{\ell/2} + 1)})$,
- $(k, \lambda) = (2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m-2)/2}, \frac{2^{(m+\ell-2)/2}(2^{m/2} \pm 1)(2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m-2)/2} - 1)}{2^{\ell/2} - 1})$,
- $(k, \lambda) = (2^{m-1}, ((2^{\ell/2} - 1)2^{m-\ell} + 1)(2^{m-1} - 1))$,

where $\ell = 2\gcd(m, e)$.

To determine the parameters of the 2-designs held in the extended code \overline{C}_e , we need to find out the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e . In theory, the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e can be settled using the weight enumerator of \overline{C}_e^\perp given in Tables 4, 5, and 6. However, it is practically hard to find a simple expression of the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e .

In the rest of this section, we consider only the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e in a special case, in order to construct an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$ for all $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

As a special case of Theorem 17, we have the following.

Corollary 19. *Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $2 \leq e \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. If $\gcd(m, e) = 2$, then \overline{C}_e^\perp has parameters $[2^m, 2m + 1, 2^{m-1} - 2^{m/2}]$ and weight enumerator*

$$\overline{A}^\perp(z) = 1 + uz^{2^{m-1} - 2^{m/2}} + vz^{2^{m-1}} + uz^{2^{m-1} + 2^{m/2}} + z^{2^m}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$u = (2^m - 1)2^{m-2}, \quad v = (2^m - 1)(2^{m+1} - 2^{m-1} + 2). \quad (14)$$

Theorem 20. *Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $2 \leq e \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. If $\gcd(m, e) = 2$, then \overline{C}_e has parameters $[2^m, 2^m - 1 - 2m, 4]$ and weight distribution*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2m+1}\overline{A}_k &= (1 + (-1)^k) \binom{2^m}{k} + \frac{1 + (-1)^k}{2} (-1)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{2^{m-1}}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} v + \\ &\quad u \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq 2^{m-1} - 2^{m/2} \\ 0 \leq j \leq 2^{m-1} + 2^{m/2} \\ i+j=k}} [(-1)^i + (-1)^j] \binom{2^{m-1} - 2^{m/2}}{i} \binom{2^{m-1} + 2^{m/2}}{j}, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq 2^m$, where u and v are given in (14).

Proof. The parameters of \overline{C}_e were proved in Theorem 17. The weight distribution formula for \overline{C}_e follows from the weight enumerator $\overline{A}^\perp(z)$ of \overline{C}_e^\perp in (13) and Theorem 11. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 21. *Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $2 \leq e \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$, and $\gcd(m, e) = 2$. Then the supports of the codewords of weight 4 in \overline{C}_e form a 2 - $(2^m, 4, 1)$ design, i.e., a Steiner system $S(2, 4, 2^m)$.*

Proof. Using the weight distribution formula \overline{A}_k given in Theorem 20, we obtain

$$\overline{A}_4 = \frac{2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)}{6}.$$

It then follows that

$$\lambda = \overline{A}_4 \frac{\binom{4}{2}}{\binom{2^m}{2}} = 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

For every $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $m \geq 6$, we can choose $e = 2e_1$ with $\gcd(m/2, e_1) = 1$ and $e_1 \leq \lfloor m \rfloor / 2$. Such e will satisfy the conditions in Theorem 21. At least we can choose $e = 2$. This means that for every $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ with $m \geq 6$, Theorem 21 gives at least one Steiner system $S(2, 4, 2^m)$. In fact, it constructs more than one Steiner system $S(2, 4, 2^m)$. For example, when $m = 14$, we can choose e to be any element of $\{2, 4, 6\}$. Therefore, Theorem 21 gives an infinite family of Steiner system $S(2, 4, 2^m)$.

In addition to the infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, 2^m)$, Theorem 21 gives many other 2-designs. Below we present two more examples.

Theorem 22. *Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $2 \leq e \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$, and $\gcd(m, e) = 2$. Then the supports of the codewords of weight 6 in \overline{C}_e form a 2 - $(2^m, 6, \lambda)$ design, where*

$$\lambda = \frac{(2^m - 4)(2^m - 24)}{24}.$$

Proof. Using the weight distribution formula \overline{A}_k given in Theorem 20, we obtain

$$\overline{A}_6 = \frac{2^m(2^m - 1)(2^m - 4)(2^m - 24)}{720}.$$

It then follows that

$$\lambda = \overline{A}_6 \frac{\binom{6}{2}}{\binom{2^m}{2}} = \frac{(2^m - 4)(2^m - 24)}{24}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 23. *Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $2 \leq e \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$, and $\gcd(m, e) = 2$. Then the supports of the codewords of weight 8 in \overline{C}_e form a 2 - $(2^m, 8, \lambda)$ design, where*

$$\lambda = \frac{(2^m - 4)(2^{3m} - 23 \times 2^{2m} + 344 \times 2^m - 1612)}{720}.$$

Proof. Using the weight distribution formula \overline{A}_k given in Theorem 20, we obtain

$$\overline{A}_8 = \frac{2^m(2^m - 1)(2^m - 4)(2^{3m} - 23 \times 2^{2m} + 344 \times 2^m - 1612)}{2 \times 20160}.$$

It then follows that

$$\lambda = \overline{A}_8 \frac{\binom{8}{2}}{\binom{2^m}{2}} = \frac{(2^m - 4)(2^{3m} - 23 \times 2^{2m} + 344 \times 2^m - 1612)}{720}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We point out that the main result in Theorem 21 of this paper and Theorems 22 and 23 cannot be proved with the Assmus-Mattson Theorem due to the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e^\perp and the low minimum distance of \overline{C}_e .

When m is odd and $\gcd(m, e) = 1$, the code C_e and their relatives are also very interesting due to the following:

- The code C_e and its dual C_e^\perp hold many infinite families of 2-designs.
- The extended code \overline{C}_e and its dual \overline{C}_e^\perp hold many infinite families of 3-designs.

These results were proved by the Assmus-Mattson Theorem, and the designs of those codes were covered in [7].

When $m/\gcd(m, e)$ is even and $1 \leq e \leq \overline{m}$, one can find an algebraic expression of the weight distribution of the code \overline{C}_e with the weight distribution of \overline{C}_e^\perp depicted in Table 6 and Theorem 11, and then determine the parameters of some of the two designs held in \overline{C}_e .

4.3. Designs from some other codes C_E and their relatives

In Section 4.2, we treated the designs from the code $C_{\{1+2^e\}}$ and its relatives. In this section, we provide information on designs from other codes C_E and their relatives.

When $m \geq 5$ is odd and $E = \{(m-3)/2, (m-1)/2\}$ or $E = \{1, 2\}$, C_E has parameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - 3m, 7]$ and \overline{C}_E has parameters $[2^m, 2^m - 1 - 3m, 8]$. \overline{C}_E^\perp has dimension $3m + 1$ and has six weights. In this case, C_E and C_E^\perp hold many infinite families of 2-designs, while the codes \overline{C}_E and \overline{C}_E^\perp hold many infinite families of 3-designs. These designs were treated in [6].

When $m \geq 4$ is even and $E = \{1, 2\}$, C_E does not hold 2-designs. But \overline{C}_E and \overline{C}_E^\perp hold 2-designs. The parameters of these 2-designs were studied in [9].

When $m \geq 4$ is even and $E = \{(m-2)/2, m/2\}$, C_E has parameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - 3m/2, 5]$, \overline{C}_E has parameters $[2^m, 2^m - 1 - 3m/2, 6]$, and the weight distribution of \overline{C}_E^\perp is known [12]. The parameters of the 2-designs held in \overline{C}_E and \overline{C}_E^\perp are the same as those of the 2-designs held in some codes in [9].

When $m \geq 7$ is odd and $E = \{(m-5)/2, (m-3)/2, (m-1)/2\}$, C_E^\perp has dimension $4m$ and has 7 weights [12]. It can be proved that C_E has parameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - 4m, 7]$. The weight distribution of \overline{C}_E^\perp can be determined. Hence, the parameters of the 2-designs held in \overline{C}_E^\perp and some of the 2-designs held in \overline{C}_E can be worked out.

5. Concluding remarks

While a lot of t -designs from codes have been constructed (see [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 13, 18, 19, 20], and the references therein), only a few constructions of infinite families of Steiner systems from codes are known in the literature. One of them is the Steiner quadruple systems $S(3, 4, 2^m)$ from the minimum codewords in the binary Reed-Muller codes $\mathcal{R}_2(m-2, m)$. Another one is the Steiner triple systems $S(2, 3, 2^m - 1)$ from the minimum codewords in the binary Hamming codes. This paper has now filled the gap of constructing an infinite family of Steiner systems $S(2, 4, v)$ from codes. We inform the reader that an infinite family of conjectured Steiner systems $S(2, 4, (3^m - 1)/2)$ was presented in [7]. It would be good if more infinite families of Steiner systems from error correcting codes could be discovered.

References

- [1] E. F. Assmus Jr., J. D. Key, *Designs and Their Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [2] E. J. Assmus Jr., H. F. Mattson Jr., Coding and combinatorics, *SIAM Rev.* 16 (1974) 349–388.
- [3] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, *Design Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] C. J. Colbourn, R. Matheron, Steiner systems, in: C. J. Colbourn, J. Dinitz, (Eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, New York, 2007, pp. 102–110.
- [5] Y. Dianwu, H. Zhengming, On the dimension and minimum distance of BCH codes over $\text{GF}(q)$, *J. of Electronics* 13(3) (1996) 216–221.
- [6] C. Ding, Infinite families of t -designs from a type of five-weight codes, arXiv:1607.04813.
- [7] C. Ding, C. Li, Infinite families of 2-designs and 3-designs from linear codes, arXiv:1607.04813.
- [8] C. Ding, C. Li, Y. Xia, Another generalization of the Reed-Muller codes, arXiv:1605.03796v2 [cs.IT].
- [9] C. Ding, Z. Zhou, Parameters of 2-designs from some BCH codes, preprint 2016.
- [10] H. Hanani, The existence and construction of balanced incomplete block designs, *Ann. Math. Stat.* 32 (1961) 361–386.
- [11] W. C. Huffman, V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [12] T. Kasami, Weight distributions of Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem codes, in: R. C. Bose, T. A. Dowlings, (Eds.), *Combinatorial Mathematics and Applications*, Univ. North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, NC, 1969, Chapter 20.
- [13] G. T. Kennedy, V. Pless, A coding-theoretic approach to extending designs, *Discrete Math.* 142 (1995) 155–168.
- [14] T. Kasami, S. Lin, W. Peterson, Some results on cyclic codes which are invariant under the affine group and their applications, *Inform. and Control* 11 (1968) 475–496.
- [15] F. J. MacWilliams, N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [16] V. Pless, Codes and designs—existence and uniqueness, *Discrete Math.* 92 (1991) 261–274.
- [17] C. Reid, A. Rosa, Steiner systems $S(2, 4)$ – a survey, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* (2010) #DS18.
- [18] V. D. Tonchev, Quasi-symmetric designs, codes, quadrics, and hyperplane sections, *Geometriae Dedicata* 48 (1993) 295–308.
- [19] V. D. Tonchev, Codes and designs, in: V. S. Pless, W. C. Huffman, (Eds.), *Handbook of Coding Theory*, Vol. II, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 1229–1268.
- [20] V. D. Tonchev, Codes, in: C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz, (Eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, 2nd Edition, CRC Press, New York, 2007, pp. 677–701.
- [21] J. H. van Lint, *Introduction to Coding Theory*, Third Edition, Springer Verlag, New York, 1999.