

# Fusion systems of blocks with nontrivial strongly closed subgroups

Heguo Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xingzhong Xu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jiping Zhang<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** In this paper, we find some exotic fusion systems which have nontrivial strongly closed subgroups, and we prove these fusion systems are also not realizable by  $p$ -blocks of finite groups.

**Key Words:** saturated fusion system, finite  $p$ -group, block  
**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20C20

## 1. Introduction

To get the  $p$ -local information of finite group  $G$ , one can forget some information of this group and change the structure of a group to a category. The objects of this category are all subgroups of a given Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ , and the morphism set of  $Q, P(\leq S)$  is the set  $\text{Hom}_G(Q, P) := \{c_g | Q^g \leq P\}$ . This category is a saturated fusion system  $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$  of finite group  $G$  over  $S$ .

Alperin's theorem for fusion systems tells us that every morphism in  $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$  can be generated by some automorphisms of objects in  $\mathcal{F}^{fc}$  (see theorem 2.4). So we can get all the  $p$ -local information of  $G$  from the automorphisms of objects in  $\mathcal{F}^{fc}$ . The more general concept of a "saturated fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  over a finite  $p$ -group  $S$ " was introduced by Lluís Puig (See [6, 11]). Our main result is the following:

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $P = \langle x, y, z | x^p = y^p = z^p = 1, [x, y] = z, [z, x] = [z, y] = 1 \rangle \cong p_+^{1+2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  be a saturated fusion system over  $P$  and  $A$  respectively. Here,  $A$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is not a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group.*

This theorem is motivated by Kessar's problem about fusion systems of blocks: Does the exotic fusion system is realizable by a fusion system of a block of a finite group? In [7], she had proven that the Solomon fusion system  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Sol}}(3)$  is not a fusion system of a 2-block of a finite group. In [8], they used their reduction theorem to prove that the exotic fusion systems over  $p_+^{1+2}$  do not occur as fusion systems of  $p$ -blocks of finite groups.

These exotic fusion systems which are studied in [7, 8] have no nontrivial strongly closed subgroups (see [7, Proposition 4.3(iv)], [13, Lemma 4.17]). In this paper, we find the exotic fusion systems which have nontrivial strongly closed subgroups, and

---

1. Department of Mathematics, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China

2. Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain

3. School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

Heguo Liu's E-mail: ghliu@hubu.edu.cn

Xingzhong Xu's E-mail: xuxingzhong407@mat.uab.cat, xuxingzhong407@126.com

\* Corresponding author

Jiping Zhang's E-mail: jzhang@pku.edu.cn

in Theorem 3.4, we prove these fusion systems are also not realizable by  $p$ -blocks of finite groups. In fact, our result relies on methods in [7, 8] and theory of fusion systems of blocks built in [4].

The paper is organized as follows. We start in Section 2 with the basic definitions on fusion systems, Alperin's theorem for fusion systems and factor fusion systems. In Section 3, we prove the main theorem (see Theorem 3.4).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some known results that will be needed later. For the background theory of fusion systems, we refer to [4], [12], [14].

**Definition 2.1.** *A fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  over a finite  $p$ -group  $S$  is a category whose objects are the subgroups of  $S$ , and whose morphism sets  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  satisfy the following two conditions:*

(a)  $\text{Hom}_S(P, Q) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) \subseteq \text{Inj}(P, Q)$  for all  $P, Q \leq S$ .

(b) *Every morphism in  $\mathcal{F}$  factors as an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{F}$  followed by an inclusion.*

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system over a  $p$ -group  $S$ .*

- *Two subgroups  $P, Q$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -conjugate if they are isomorphic as objects of the category  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $P^{\mathcal{F}}$  denote the set of all subgroups of  $S$  which are  $\mathcal{F}$ -conjugate to  $P$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, P) \subseteq \text{Inj}(P, P)$ , we usually write  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, P) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$  and  $\text{Hom}_S(P, P) = \text{Aut}_S(P)$ .*

- *A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is fully automised in  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\text{Aut}_S(P) \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P))$ .*

- *A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is receptive in  $\mathcal{F}$  if it has the following property: for each  $Q \leq S$  and each  $\varphi \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, P)$ , if we set*

$$N_{\varphi} = \{g \in N_S(Q) \mid \varphi \circ c_g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_S(P)\},$$

*then there is  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_{\varphi}, S)$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}|_Q = \varphi$ . (where  $c_g : x \mapsto g^{-1}xg$  for  $g \in S$ )*

- *A fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  over a  $p$ -group  $S$  is saturated if each subgroup of  $S$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -conjugate to a subgroup which is fully automised and receptive. This definition is due to [12].*

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system over a  $p$ -group  $S$ .*

- *A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is fully centralised in  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $|C_S(P)| \geq |C_S(Q)|$  for all  $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

- *A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is fully normalised in  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $|N_S(P)| \geq |N_S(Q)|$  for all  $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

- *A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -centric if  $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$  for  $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

- *A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -radical if  $\text{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)/\text{Inn}(P)$  is  $p$ -reduced; i.e., if  $O_p(\text{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)) = 1$ .*

- *Let  $\mathcal{F}^r, \mathcal{F}^c, \mathcal{F}^f$  denote the full subcategories whose objects are  $\mathcal{F}$ -radical,  $\mathcal{F}$ -centric, and fully normalised in  $\mathcal{F}$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}^{fcr} = \mathcal{F}^f \cap \mathcal{F}^c \cap \mathcal{F}^r$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{cr} = \mathcal{F}^c \cap \mathcal{F}^r$ .*

- A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is normal in  $\mathcal{F}$  (denoted  $P \trianglelefteq \mathcal{F}$ ) if for all  $Q, R \in S$  and all  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ ,  $\varphi$  extends to a morphism  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(QP, RP)$  such that  $(P)\bar{\varphi} = P$ .

- A subgroup  $P \leq S$  is strongly closed in  $\mathcal{F}$  if no element of  $P$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -conjugate to an element of  $S - P$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A saturated fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  over a  $p$ -group  $S$  will be called realizable if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$  for some finite group  $G$  with  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , and will be called exotic otherwise.

**Theorem 2.5.** (Alperin's theorem for fusion systems). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated fusion system over a  $p$ -group  $S$ . Then for each morphism  $\varphi \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, R)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , there exist sequences of subgroups of  $S$

$$P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_k = R, \text{ and } Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k,$$

and morphism  $\psi_i \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q_i)$ , such that

- (a)  $Q_i$  is fully normalised in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$ -radical, and  $\mathcal{F}$ -centric for each  $i$  (that is  $Q_i \in \mathcal{F}^{fcr}$ );
- (b)  $P_{i-1}, P_i \leq Q_i$  and  $\psi_i(P_{i-1}) = P_i$  for each  $i$ ; and
- (c)  $\psi = \psi_k \circ \psi_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_1$ .

The following is devoted to recalling the basic definitions of factor fusion systems, we refer to [2],[4].

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated fusion system over a  $p$ -group  $S$ , and fix  $Q \leq S$  and  $K \leq \text{Aut}(Q)$ . Let  $N_{\mathcal{F}}^K(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  be the fusion system over  $N_S^K(Q)$  where  $P, R \leq N_S^K(Q)$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_{N_{\mathcal{F}}^K(Q)}(P, R) = \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, R) \mid \exists \bar{\varphi} \in \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(PQ, RQ)$$

$$\text{with } \bar{\varphi}|_P = \varphi, \bar{\varphi}(Q) = Q, \text{ and } \bar{\varphi}|_Q \in K\}.$$

As special cases, set  $N_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = N_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{Aut}(Q)}(Q)$  and  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = N_{\mathcal{F}}^{\{1\}}(Q)$ . If  $Q$  is fully  $K$ -normalized in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $N_{\mathcal{F}}^K(Q)$  is a saturated fusion system.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated fusion system over a  $p$ -group  $S$ ,  $T$  a strongly closed in  $S$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{N} = N_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ .

- Set  $S^+ = S/T$  and  $\theta : S \rightarrow S^+$  be the natural map  $\theta : x \rightarrow x^+ = xT \in S^+$ .
- We define a category  $\mathcal{F}/T$ :  $\mathcal{F}/T$  and a morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/T$ . The objects of  $\mathcal{F}/T$  are the subgroups of  $S^+$ . For  $P \leq S$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{N}}(P, S)$  define  $\alpha^+ : P^+ \rightarrow S^+$  by  $\alpha^+(x^+) = \alpha(x)^+$ . This is well defined as  $T$  a strongly closed in  $S$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Now define

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}/T}(P^+, S^+) = \{\beta^+ \mid \beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{N}}(PT, S)\}.$$

and define  $\theta_P : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{N}}(P, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}/T}(P^+, S^+)$  by  $\theta_P(\alpha) = \alpha^+$ . For  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{N}}(P, S)$ ,  $\alpha$  extends to  $\hat{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{N}}(PT, S)$  and  $\hat{\alpha}^+ = \alpha^+$ , so  $\theta_P$  is well defined and surjective.

We next look at the definition of products of fusion systems(see [3, 6]).

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  be a saturated fusion system over finite  $p$ -groups  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  respectively.  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$  is fusion system over  $S_1 \times S_2$  generated by the set of all  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \text{Hom}(P_1 \times P_2, Q_1 \times Q_2)$  for  $\varphi_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_i}(P_i, Q_i)$ .

**Remark 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  be a saturated fusion system over  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}/S_1 \cong C_{\mathcal{F}}(S_1)/S_1 \cong \mathcal{F}_2$ .

### 3. Proof of the Main theorem

For sake of completeness, we recall the theory of fusion systems of blocks(see [4, Part IV]).

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $G$  a finite group and  $b$  a block of  $kG$ , that is  $b$  is a primitive idempotent of  $Z(kG)$ . For any  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  the canonical projection from  $kN$  to  $kC_G(Q)$  induces an algebra morphism  $\text{Br}_Q^{kG}$  from the subalgebra of fixed points of  $Q$ ,  $(kG)^Q$  onto  $kC_G(Q)$ (see [4, 5]). This morphism is known in the literature as Brauer morphism.

A  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair is a pair  $(Q, e_Q)$  where  $Q$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\text{Br}_Q^{kG}(b) \neq 0$  and  $e_Q$  is a block of  $kC_G(Q)$  such that  $\text{Br}_Q^{kG}(b)e_Q \neq 0$ . Let  $(Q, e_Q), (R, e_R)$  be  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair. We say that  $(Q, e_Q)$  is contained in  $(R, e_R)$  if  $Q \leq R$  and for any primitive idempotent  $i \in (kG)^R$  such that  $\text{Br}_Q^{kG}(i)e_R \neq 0$ , we have  $\text{Br}_Q^{kG}(i)e_Q \neq 0$ . Here, we write  $(Q, e_Q) \leq (R, e_R)$ . Recall [4, 5], we know that

(1) Given a  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair  $(R, e_R)$  and  $Q \leq R$ , there exists a unique  $(c, G)$ -Brauer pair  $(Q, e_Q)$  contained in  $(R, e_R)$ .

(2) All maximal  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair are  $G$ -conjugate.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $Q \leq P$  be  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

• Define

$$\text{Br}_{P,Q}^{kG} : \text{Br}_Q^{kG}((kG)^P) \rightarrow kC_G(P)$$

by  $\text{Br}_{P,Q}^{kG}(\text{Br}_Q^{kG}(a)) = \text{Br}_P^{kG}(a)$  for  $a \in (kG)^P$ . If  $e_Q$  is a block of  $kC_G(Q)$  and  $e_Q$  is  $P$ -stable, then  $\text{Br}_{P,Q}^{kG}(\text{Br}_Q^{kG}(e_Q)) = \text{Br}_P^{kG}(e_Q) = \text{Br}_P^{kG}(e_Q) = e_Q$ .

• Let  $(Q, e_Q), (P, e_P)$  be  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair. We write that  $(Q, e_Q) \trianglelefteq (P, e_P)$  if  $Q$  is a normal subgroup of  $P$ ,  $e_Q$  is  $P$ -stable and  $\text{Br}_P^{kG}(\text{Br}_Q^{kG}(e_Q))e_P = e_P$ . That is  $e_Q e_P = e_P$ .

• For a  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair  $(Q, e_Q)$ , let us denote by  $N_G(Q, e_Q)$  the stabilizer in  $N_G(Q)$  of  $e_Q$ .

For further standard notation and terminology, we follow [4], [10].

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(S, e_S)$  is the maximal  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair. For each  $Q \leq S$ , let  $e_Q$  denote the unique block of  $kC_G(Q)$  such that  $(Q, e_Q) \leq (S, e_S)$ . The fusion category of  $(kG, b, G)$  over  $S, e_S$  is the category  $\mathcal{F}_{(S, e_S)}(kG, b, G)$  whose objects are the subgroups of  $S$  and which has morphism sets

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{F}_{(S, e_S)}(kG, b, G)}(Q, R) = \text{Hom}_G((Q, e_Q), (R, e_R))$$

for  $Q, R \leq S$  (see [4, Part IV, Defintion 2.21]).

**Definition 3.3.** If an abstract fusion system  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_{(S, e_S)}(kG, b, G)$ , we say that fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  is block realizable. By Brauer's Third Main Theorem, any realizable saturated fusion system is block realizable. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is not block realizable, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is exotic. (see [4, Part IV, p. 221]).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $P = \langle x, y, z | x^p = y^p = z^p = 1, [x, y] = z, [z, x] = [z, y] = 1 \rangle \cong p_+^{1+2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  be a saturated fusion system over  $P$  and  $A$  respectively. Here,  $A$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is not a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group.

**Proof.** Let  $S := P \times A$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group, we can set  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{(S, e_S)}(kG, b, G)$  where  $G$  is a finite group,  $b$  is a block of  $G$ , and  $(S, e_S)$  is a maximal  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair.

Since  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have  $A$  is strongly closed in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $(A, e_A)$  be a  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair such that  $(A, e_A) \leq (S, e_S)$ . By [4, Part IV, Proposition 3.19(b)], we have

$$C_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = N_{\mathcal{F}}^K(A) = \mathcal{F}_{(S, e_S)}(kC_G(A), e_A, C_G(A))$$

by [4, Part IV, Proposition 3.8]. Here,  $K = \text{Aut}_{C_G(A)}(A) = \{\text{Id}_A\}$ .

By Definition 2.7, we have  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A \cong \mathcal{F}/A \cong \mathcal{F}_1$ . Since  $A$  is abelian, we have  $A \leq C_G(A)$ . As before, we denote by  $\mu_A$  the natural  $k$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A : kC_G(A) &\longrightarrow k(C_G(A)/A) \\ \sum_x \lambda_x x &\longmapsto \sum_x \lambda_x \bar{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{x} = xA \in C_G(A)/A$ . As  $e_A$  is a block of  $kC_G(A)$  and  $|C_G(A) : C_{C_G(A)}(A)| = 1$ , then  $\bar{e}_A := \mu(e_A)$  is a block of  $k(C_G(A)/A)$  by [10, Ch. 5, Theorem 8.11].

In the following, we will check that

$$C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(S/A, \bar{e}_S)}(kC_G(A)/A, \bar{e}_A, C_G(A)/A).$$

Here,  $\bar{e}_S$  will be defined in the following text.

First, we will prove that there is an  $C_G(A)$ -poset equivalence between the subset of  $(kC_G(A), e_A, C_G(A))$ -Brauer pairs consisting of those pairs who contains  $(A, e_A)$ , and the subset of  $(kC_G(A)/A, \bar{e}_A, C_G(A)/A)$ -Brauer pairs by the map  $\Phi$

$$(T, e_T) \longmapsto \Phi(T, e_T).$$

Here,  $(T, e_T)$  is a  $(kC_G(A), e_A, C_G(A))$ -Brauer pair such that  $(T, e_T) \leq (S, e_S)$ . We will define the  $\Phi(T, e_T)$  in the following.

Since  $A \trianglelefteq T \leq S$ , set  $\bar{T} = T/A$ . It is easy to see that  $C_G(T)/A \leq C_{C_G(A)/A}(\bar{T})$ , we can set that  $C_{C_G(A)/A}(\bar{T}) = L_T/A$ . Here,  $L_T \leq C_G(A)$  and  $C_G(T) \trianglelefteq L_T$ . Since  $L_T$  acts on  $T/A$  and  $A$  trivially, we have  $L_T/C_G(T)$  is a  $p$ -group by [4, Appendix A, Lemma A.2]. Since  $(T, e_T)$  is a  $(kC_G(A), e_A, C_G(A))$ -Brauer pair, thus  $(T, e_T)$  is also a  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair by [4, Part IV, Lemma 3.5]. That is  $e_T$  is a block of  $kC_{C_G(A)}(T) = kC_G(T)$ . Then there exists a unique block  $\varepsilon_T$  of  $kL_T$  such that  $\varepsilon_T$  covers  $e_T$  by [10, Ch.5, Corollary 5.6].

Set  $\bar{\varepsilon}_T := \mu_A(\varepsilon_T)$ , since  $L_T/C_G(T)$  is a  $p$ -group, thus  $|L_T : C_{L_T}(T)|$  is power of prime. As  $C_{L_T}(T) \leq C_{L_T}(A)$ , we can see that  $|L_T : C_{L_T}(A)|$  is power of prime. Hence  $\bar{\varepsilon}_T := \mu_A(\varepsilon_T)$  is a block of  $k(L_T/A) = kC_{C_G(A)/A}(\bar{T})$  by [10, Ch. 5, Theorem 8.11].

Since  $(T, e_T)$  is a  $(kC_G(A), e_A, C_G(A))$ -Brauer pair, thus  $\text{Br}_T^{kC_G(A)}(e_A)e_T \neq 0$ . As  $e_T$  is covered by a unique  $\varepsilon_T$ , it implies that  $\text{Br}_T^{kC_G(A)}(e_A) \cdot \varepsilon_T \neq 0$ . Since  $A \trianglelefteq C_G(A)$ , we have  $\text{Ker} \mu_A \subseteq J(kC_G(A))$  by [10, Ch.5, Lemma 2.7]. So  $\mu_C(\text{Br}_T^{kC_G(A)}(e_A)\varepsilon_T) \neq 0$ . Also  $\bar{e}_A := \mu_A(e_A)$  is a block of  $kC_G(A)$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (kC_G(A))^T & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_T^{kC_G(A)}} & kC_G(T) \\ \mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_A \\ (kC_G(A)/A)^{\bar{T}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_{\bar{T}}} & kC_{C_G(A)/A}(\bar{T}) \end{array}$$

commutes, thus

$$\mu_A(\text{Br}_T^{kC_G(A)}(e_A)) = \text{Br}_{\overline{T}}^{kC_G(A)/A}(\overline{e}_A).$$

Hence  $\text{Br}_{\overline{T}}^{kC_G(A)/A}(\overline{e}_A)\overline{\varepsilon}_T \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $(\overline{T}, \overline{\varepsilon}_T)$  is a  $(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A)$ -Brauer pair. So we can define  $\Phi(T, e_T) = (\overline{T}, \overline{\varepsilon}_T)$  and  $\Phi$  is injective.

We show that the map  $\Phi$  is inclusion preserving. By [4, Part IV, Proposition 2.14], we only need to prove it when  $(A, e_A) \leq (R, e_R) \trianglelefteq (T, e_T)$ . So we have  $R \trianglelefteq T$ ,  $\text{Br}_{T,R}^{kC_G(A)}(e_R)e_T = e_T$  and  $e_R$  is  $T$ -stable. That is  $e_R e_T = e_T$ . Hence  $\varepsilon_R \varepsilon_T \neq 0$  because  $\varepsilon_R, \varepsilon_T$  cover  $e_R, e_T$  respectively. Now, we can see that  $0 \neq \mu_A(\varepsilon_R \varepsilon_T) = \overline{\varepsilon}_R \overline{\varepsilon}_T$ . Also let  $t \in T$ ,  $\varepsilon_R^t$  is a block of  $kL_R$  because  $T$  acts on  $L_R$ . (Here, the definition of  $L_R$  like  $L_T$  above.) But there exists a unique block  $\varepsilon_R$  of  $kL_R$  such that  $\varepsilon_R$  covers  $e_R$ , thus  $\varepsilon_R^t = \varepsilon_R$  because  $\varepsilon_R^t$  covers  $e_R^t = e_R$ . (Here,  $e_R$  is  $T$ -stable.) Hence  $\overline{\varepsilon}_R$  is  $\overline{T}$ -stable. Therefore,  $(\overline{R}, \overline{\varepsilon}_R) \trianglelefteq (\overline{T}, \overline{\varepsilon}_T)$ , that means  $\Phi(R, e_R) \trianglelefteq \Phi(T, e_T)$ . So  $\Phi$  is a poset bijection.

Let  $g \in C_G(A)$ , we have  $\Phi(T, e_T)^g = (\overline{T}, \overline{\varepsilon}_T)^g = (\overline{T}^g, \overline{\varepsilon}_T^g)$ . Since  $\varepsilon_T$  cover  $e_T$ , thus  $\varepsilon_T^g$  covers  $e_T^g$ . Here,  $\mu_A(\varepsilon_T)^g = \mu_A(\varepsilon_T^g)$ . That is  $\Phi(T, e_T)^g = \Phi(T^g, e_T^g)$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is compatible with  $C_G(A)$ -action.

Now, we will prove that  $(\overline{S}, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)$  is a maximal  $(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A)$ -Brauer pair.

Suppose that there exists a  $(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A)$ -Brauer pair  $(R/A, \overline{\varepsilon}_R) \succeq (\overline{S}, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)$ . Hence,  $R \succeq S$  and  $\text{Br}_{R/A}^{kC_G(A)/A}(\overline{e}_A)\overline{\varepsilon}_R \neq 0$ . So  $\text{Br}_R^{kC_G(A)}(e_A)\varepsilon_R \neq 0$ . There exists a block  $e_R$  of  $kC_G(R)$  such that  $\varepsilon_R$  covers  $e_R$  and  $\text{Br}_R^{kC_G(A)}(e_A)e_R \neq 0$ . So  $(R, e_R)$  is a  $(kC_G(A), e_A, C_G(A))$ -Brauer pair. By [4, Part IV, Lemma 3.5], we have  $(R, e_R)$  is a  $(kG, b, G)$ -Brauer pair. That is a contradiction. Hence  $(\overline{S}, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)$  is a maximal  $(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A)$ -Brauer pair. So we can see that  $\Phi$  is surjective.

By [4, Part IV, Proposition 3.2], we have  $\mathcal{F}_{(S/A, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)}(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A)$  is a saturated fusion system over  $S/A$ .

Second, let  $A \leq R \leq S$ , we can see that

$$N_{C_G(A)}(R, e_R)/A \leq N_{C_G(A)/A}(\overline{R}, \overline{\varepsilon}_R).$$

That is

$$C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(S/A, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)}(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A).$$

Since  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A$  is a saturated fusion system over  $S/A \cong p_+^{1+2}$ , if  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A$  is not a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group, then  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A$  is one of the following saturated fusion systems:

Name	$\text{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(7_+^{1+2})$	$ \mathcal{F}^{cr} $	$\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(V)$
$RV_1$	$6^2 : 2$	$6 + 2$	$\text{SL}_2(7) : 2, \text{GL}_2(7)$
$RV_2$	$D_{16} \times 3$	$4 + 4$	$\text{SL}_2(7) : 2, \text{SL}_2(7) : 2$
$RV_3$	$SD_{32} \times 3$	$8$	$\text{SL}_2(7) : 2$

by [8]. And this table also can be fined from [13, Lemma 4.17].

Here,  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(S/A, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)}(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A)$ . Hence either

$$C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A = \mathcal{F}_{(S/A, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)}(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A),$$

or  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A \cong RV_2$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{(S/A, \overline{\varepsilon}_S)}(kC_G(A)/A, \overline{e}_A, C_G(A)/A) \cong RV_3$  by [8, 13].

However,  $RV_1, RV_2, RV_3$  are not fusion systems of a  $p$ -blocks of finite groups. That is a contradiction. Hence,  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(A)/A \cong \mathcal{F}_1$  is a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group.

Conversely suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group. Since  $P \cong p_+^{1+2}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is not exotic by [8, Theorem 6.4]. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_P(G_1)$  for a finite group  $G_1$  with  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G_1)$ . Set  $G = G_1 \times (A \rtimes \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(A))$ , then  $P \times A \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . So  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_{P \times A}(G)$ . That is  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fusion system of a  $p$ -block of a finite group.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alperin, M. Broué, Local methods in block theory, *Ann. of Math.* 110(1979) 143-157.
- [2] M. Aschbacher, Normal subsystems of fusion systems, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 97(2008) 239-271
- [3] M. Aschbacher, The generalized Fitting subsystem of a fusion system, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 209 (2011), no. 986.
- [4] M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar, and B. Oliver, *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 391, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [5] M. Broué, L. Puig, Characters and local structures in  $G$ -algebra, *J. Algebra* 63(1980), 306-317.
- [6] C. Broto, R. Levi, B. Oliver, The homotopy theory of fusion systems, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (2003), 779-856.
- [7] R. Kessar, The Solomon fusion system  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Sol}}(3)$  does not occur as fusion system of a 2-block, *J. Algebra* 296(2006), 409-425.
- [8] R. Kessar, R. Stancu, A reduction theorem for fusion systems of blocks, *J. Algebra* 319(2008), 806-823.
- [9] R. Levi, B. Oliver, Construction of 2-local finite groups of a type studied by Solomon and Benson, *Geometry and Topology* 6 (2002), 917-990.
- [10] H. Nagao, Y. Tsushima, *Representations of finite groups*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [11] L. Puig, Structure locale dans les groupes finis, *Bul. Soc. Math. France*, Mémoire no. 47, PH thesis (1976).
- [12] K. Roberts, S. Shpectorov, On the definition of saturated fusion systems, *J. Group Theory* 12 (2009), 679-687.
- [13] A. Ruiz, A. Viruel, The classification of  $p$ -local finite groups over the extraspecial group of order  $p^3$  and exponent  $p$ , *Math. Z.* 248 (2004), 45-65.
- [14] R. Stancu, Control of fusion in fusion systems, *J. Algebra and its Applications.* 5(6) (2006), 817-837.