

Exact results for quenched bond randomness at criticality

Gesualdo Delfino

SISSA – Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy
INFN sezione di Trieste

Abstract

We introduce an exact replica method for the study of critical systems with quenched bond randomness in two dimensions. For the q -state Potts model we show that a line of renormalization group fixed points interpolates from weak to strong randomness as $q - 2$ grows from small to large values. This theory exhibits a q -independent sector, and allows at the same time for a correlation length exponent which keeps the Ising value and continuously varying magnetization exponent and effective central charge. These findings appear to solve long standing numerical and theoretical puzzles, and to illustrate the peculiarities which may characterize the conformal field theories of random fixed points.

Quenched bond randomness plays an interesting role within the theory of critical phenomena. The Harris criterion [1] says that when the specific heat critical exponent α of the pure model is positive weak randomness is relevant in the renormalization group sense and drives the system towards a new (random) fixed point. For weakly relevant randomness, perturbation theory can be used to study these new fixed points but, due to its approximate nature, can hardly establish whether they possess distinctive features with respect to those of non-quenched systems. Looking for non-perturbative methods, attention turns to the two-dimensional case, in which conformal field theory has provided an exact and essentially complete characterization of universality classes of critical behavior for pure systems. It is a fact, however, that no conformal field theory for two-dimensional systems with quenched disorder has been identified. On the other hand, conformal invariance for this type of criticality is expected to apply to a larger spectrum of models than in the pure case. Indeed, it has been argued [2], and also rigorously shown for a large class of models [3], that in two dimensions quenched bond randomness softens first order phase transitions into continuous ones.

The two-dimensional q -state Potts ferromagnet has played a central role in the study of quenched bond randomness. The model can be continued to real values of q [4] and in the pure case exhibits a phase transition which becomes first order for $q > 4$ [5]. Weak bond randomness, which for the Ising case ($q = 2$) is marginally irrelevant [6] and unable to produce a new fixed point, becomes relevant for $q > 2$. The perturbative analysis for $q \rightarrow 2$ yields a line of fixed points with varying critical exponents [7, 8]. On the other hand, extensive Monte Carlo simulations performed at $q = 8$, while confirming the softening of the transition to a second order one, found critical exponents consistent with Ising values [9], and a similar conclusion was obtained from simulations at $q = 4$ [10]. A simplified interfacial model [11] then indicated q -independence, at least for q sufficiently large, of the interfacial free energy exponent μ (related to the correlation length exponent ν), with a value numerically consistent with the Ising one. The authors of [11] observed that their analysis involves strong randomness and possibly yields a line of fixed points different from that studied perturbatively in [7, 8]. This possibility was also suggested in [12], where a numerical transfer matrix study in the range $2 \leq q \leq 8$, while finding a very weak q -dependence for ν , established a macroscopic deviation of the magnetization exponent β from the Ising value at $q = 8$. Meanwhile the q -dependence of the effective central charge c' had been found numerically in [13].

In this paper we introduce, within the framework of scale invariant scattering theory [14], a general and *exact* replica method for the study of renormalization group fixed points of two-dimensional systems with quenched bond randomness. For the q -state Potts model we find that all the above mentioned results actually correspond to the *same* line of fixed points, for which the randomness strength grows from weak to strong as $q - 2$ grows from small to large values. Remarkably, this critical line possesses a symmetry-independent sector, and allows at the same time for constant ν and q -dependent β and c' . The peculiarity of these features makes less surprising that the conformal field theories of random fixed points have not been identified among those solved so far.

We will exploit the scale invariant scattering formalism introduced in [14], where it was illustrated for the cases of the pure q -state Potts and n -vector models. Fixed points of the renormalization group for two-dimensional statistical models with short range interactions are identified, directly in the continuum limit, as scale invariant S -matrix solutions for the underlying relativistic quantum field theories in (1+1)-dimensional space-time. Relativistic invariance of the quantum theory corresponds to isotropy of the statistical system in the scaling limit. Scale invariance implies massless particles which in two dimensions are right- and left-movers with energy and momentum related as $e = p$ and $e = -p$, respectively. An essential peculiarity of the two-dimensional case is that conformal invariance, which for local quantum field theories is implied by scale invariance, ensures the presence of an infinite number of integrals of motion which force the scattering processes to be completely elastic: the initial and final states contain the same number of particles with the same momenta. The only relativistic invariant in the scattering of a right-mover with a left-mover is the center of mass energy, which is dimensionful; the requirements of scale invariance and unitarity then imply momentum-independence of the amplitude. As a consequence, the equations provided by the general constraints of unitarity and crossing symmetry [15] take a simple form [14, 16].

Different theories are distinguished by their internal symmetries. The q -state Potts model [17], defined by the lattice Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} \delta_{s_i, s_j}, \quad s_i = 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (1)$$

with the sum running over nearest neighboring sites, is characterized by the S_q symmetry corresponding to permutations of the q values ("colors") that each site variable s_i can take. For the pure ferromagnet ($J_{ij} = J > 0$) below critical temperature the massive particle excitations of the field theory describing the scaling limit are kinks $A_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, q; \alpha \neq \beta$) interpolating between pairs of degenerate ferromagnetic ground states [18]. The trajectory of $A_{\alpha\beta}$ in space-time corresponds to a domain wall separating a region with magnetization α from a region with magnetization β in the ferromagnet. At criticality the q ferromagnetic phases coalesce and there are no kinks, but massless particles $A_{\alpha\beta}$ still provide the correct degrees of freedom [14] and can be thought as yielding boundaries between clusters of spins with different colors. More generally, the particles $A_{\alpha\beta}$ can be shown to describe also antiferromagnetic cases ($J < 0$) [19], and must be regarded as the basic way of representing S_q symmetry in the scattering description. This symmetry leaves four inequivalent two-body amplitudes S_0, S_1, S_2 and S_3 ; they are shown in the upper part of figure 1, where the index i must be ignored for the time being.

Quenched disorder is introduced regarding the couplings J_{ij} as identical independent random variables and averaging with respect to them the free energy $-\ln Z$ rather than the partition function Z . As usual, writing $\ln Z$ as $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{Z^n - 1}{n}$ maps the problem onto the study of $n \rightarrow 0$ coupled replicas of the pure system. Within our scattering formalism this amounts to considering a theory with excitations $A_{\alpha_i \beta_i}$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ labels the different replicas. Invariance under permutations of the replicas and permutations of the colors within each replica yields the seven inequivalent amplitudes S_0, S_1, \dots, S_6 illustrated in figure 1; two-particle processes




Figure 1: Scattering processes corresponding to the amplitudes $S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5, S_6$, in this order. Time runs upwards. Different latin indices correspond to different replicas, and different greek letters for the same replica correspond to different colors.

change the colors of at most two replicas, and these are the only ones we need to keep track of. Crossing symmetry relates the amplitudes which are exchanged under exchange of time and space directions in the form

$$S_0 = S_0^* \equiv \rho_0, \quad (2)$$

$$S_1 = S_2^* \equiv \rho e^{i\varphi}, \quad (3)$$

$$S_3 = S_3^* \equiv \rho_3, \quad (4)$$

$$S_4 = S_5^* \equiv \rho_4 e^{i\theta}, \quad (5)$$

$$S_6 = S_6^* \equiv \rho_6, \quad (6)$$

where we introduced a parameterization in terms of ρ and ρ_4 non-negative, and $\rho_0, \rho_3, \rho_6, \varphi, \theta$ reals. The modulus square of an amplitude gives the probability that the given initial state scatters into the given final state. As a consequence the S -matrix (i.e. the matrix whose entries are the scattering amplitudes and are labeled by the initial and final states) is unitary, a property that in the present case results into the equations

$$\rho_3^2 + (q-2)\rho^2 + (n-1)(q-1)\rho_4^2 = 1, \quad (7)$$

$$2\rho\rho_3 \cos \varphi + (q-3)\rho^2 + (n-1)(q-1)\rho_4^2 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$2\rho_3\rho_4 \cos \theta + 2(q-2)\rho\rho_4 \cos(\varphi + \theta) + (n-2)(q-1)\rho_4^2 = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\rho^2 + (q-3)\rho_0^2 = 1, \quad (10)$$

$$2\rho_0\rho \cos \varphi + (q-4)\rho_0^2 = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\rho_4^2 + \rho_6^2 = 1, \quad (12)$$

$$\rho_4\rho_6 \cos \theta = 0, \quad (13)$$

which reduce to those of the pure model [14] when $n = 1$ and the equations which still contain ρ_4 and/or ρ_6 are ignored. Notice that q and n enter the equations as parameters which can be given real values. Notice also that $\rho_4 = 0$ yields n non-interacting replicas, since $S_4 = S_5 = 0$ and, due

to (12), $S_6 = \pm 1$. We recall that in one spatial dimension scattering involves position exchange on the line, so that a scattering amplitude equal to 1 (resp. -1) corresponds to non-interacting bosons (resp. fermions).

At a generic instant of time a two-particle excitation divides the line into a left, a central and a right region. We call neutral (resp. charged) the excitations for which the colors in the left and right regions are equal (resp. different). The neutral combination $\sum_{\gamma_i} A_{\alpha_i \gamma_i} A_{\gamma_i \alpha_i}$ scatters into itself with an amplitude

$$S = S_3 + (q - 2)S_2 + (n - 1)(q - 1)S_4, \quad (14)$$

which by unitarity must be a phase. Similarly, the charged combinations $\sum_{\gamma_i} A_{\alpha_i \gamma_i} A_{\gamma_i \beta_i}$ and $A_{\alpha_i \beta_i} A_{\alpha_j \beta_j} + A_{\alpha_j \beta_j} A_{\alpha_i \beta_i}$ scatter into themselves with phases

$$\Sigma = S_1 + (q - 3)S_0, \quad (15)$$

$$\sigma = S_5 + S_6, \quad (16)$$

respectively.

Passing to the determination of solutions, it is convenient to preliminarily examine the case $q = 2$ (Ising). With two colors available the amplitudes S_0, S_1, S_2 are unphysical, and the unitarity equations still containing ρ_0 and/or ρ after setting $q = 2$ can be ignored. The remaining equations give the solutions

$$\rho_3 = -1, \quad \rho_4 = 0, \quad \rho_6 = \pm 1, \quad (17)$$

$$\rho_3 = 2 \cos \theta = -\sqrt{2 - n}, \quad \rho_4 = 1, \quad \rho_6 = 0, \quad (18)$$

where we took into account that in two dimensions the pure Ising model is a free fermionic theory, so that we must have $S_3 = -1$ for $n = 1$; this eliminates the doubling related to the general fact that, given a solution of the crossing and unitarity equations, another solution is obtained reversing the sign of all amplitudes. The solution with $\rho_4 = 0$ corresponds, for any real n , to n decoupled Ising fixed points. The solution with $\rho_4 = 1$ is defined for $-2 \leq n \leq 2$ and corresponds to strongly coupled replicas; for $n \rightarrow 0$ it can account for a strong disorder fixed point such as the Nishimori point [20].

Coming back to q generic, we restrict to the case of coupled replicas, i.e. $\rho_4 \neq 0$, and first consider the case in which (13) is solved taking $\cos \theta = 0$. Then (9) shows that $\rho_4 \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow 2$, namely for $q \rightarrow 2$ the decoupled solution (17) and Ising critical exponents are obtained. We are then considering, within an exact framework, the case studied perturbatively for $q \rightarrow 2$ in [7, 8]. There exists and is unique a solution defined for all $q \geq 2$, which then corresponds to the line of critical points studied numerically in [12] in the range $2 \leq q \leq 8$. More precisely, this solution is defined for $q > 1$, and for $n = 0$ reads

$$\cos \theta = \rho_0 = 0, \quad \rho = 1, \quad \rho_3 = 2 \cos \varphi = -\frac{2}{q}, \quad \rho_4^2 = 1 - \rho_6^2 = \frac{(q - 2)^2 (q + 1)}{q^2 (q - 1)}. \quad (19)$$

Notice that ρ_4 monotonically increases from 0 at $q = 2$ to 1 as $q \rightarrow \infty$, so that the solution interpolates from weak to strong disorder. It superficially seems to correspond to an ordinary q -dependent line of fixed points, but it follows from (14) and (9) that for $\cos \theta = n = 0$ the imaginary part of S vanishes, so that $S = -1$ for all q ; on the other hand, the phases (15) and (16) associated to the charged channels have $\text{Im} \Sigma = \rho \sin \varphi$ and $\text{Im} \sigma = \pm \rho_4$, and are q -dependent. This means that, remarkably, along the line of fixed points (19) a specific symmetry sector of the theory, the one to which the combination $\sum_{\gamma_i} A_{\alpha_i \gamma_i} A_{\gamma_i \alpha_i}$ belongs, becomes q -independent at $n = 0$, i.e. only in the limit required for quenched randomness.

In order to understand the consequences of this result for critical exponents we need to consider the symmetry properties of the operators in the q -state Potts model. The spin operator has components $\sigma_\beta(x) = \delta_{s(x),\beta} - 1/q$, $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, q$; what we say separately applies to each replica and we omit the replica index in order to simplify the notation. The energy density operator $\varepsilon(x)$ is, after the identity, the most relevant operator appearing in the operator product expansion $\sigma_\beta \cdot \sigma_\beta$, and is S_q -invariant. Both ε and σ_β , when acting on the vacuum of the field theory, create neutral excitations; indeed charged excitations, which interpolate between different colors, are non-local with respect to the spin operator and are created by disorder-like operators. In this respect, the actual difference between ε and σ_β is the following: ε is S_q -invariant and creates two-particle excitations $A_{\alpha\gamma} A_{\gamma\alpha}$ with the same, α - and γ -independent coefficient; σ_β creates $A_{\alpha\gamma} A_{\gamma\alpha}$ with coefficients which differ in the three cases $\beta = \alpha$, $\beta = \gamma$, and $\beta \neq \alpha, \gamma$. In the pure model this difference makes more difficult the determination of off-critical spin correlations [21] with respect to that of energy correlations [22]. For our present purposes it implies that ε creates the combination $\sum_\gamma A_{\alpha\gamma} A_{\gamma\alpha}$ as a whole and, as any other S_q -invariant neutral operator, belongs to the sector of the theory which is q -independent along the line of fixed points (19). The scaling dimensions of these operators keep along the line the Ising value they have at $q = 2$; in particular, the critical exponent ν , which is determined by the scaling dimension of ε , keeps the value 1 along the line. Conversely, the operators which are not S_q -invariant have scaling dimensions which change along the line; in particular, the critical exponent β , which is determined by the scaling dimensions of σ_α and ε , is q -dependent.

These findings indicate that the numerical [9, 10] and theoretical [11] studies pointing at a q -independent exponent ν with Ising value, and the theoretical [7, 8] and numerical [12] results yielding a q -dependent exponent β do actually correspond to the *same* line of fixed points for the random bond Potts model. The weak variation of ν found in [12] always has the Ising value 1 within error bars, and the perturbative expansion of [7, 8] yields $\nu \approx 1.02$ if evaluated at $q = 3$, a result still very close to the Ising value. The reason why this latter result is reliable is that the actual expansion parameter is the deviation of ν from the Ising value in the pure models, and this is still small at $q = 3$. The suggestion of [11, 12] that the strong randomness, large q analysis of [11] and the weak randomness, $q \rightarrow 2$ analysis of [7, 8] correspond to different critical lines turns out to be unnecessary: the same critical line (19) can account for constant ν and varying β , and interpolates from weak to strong randomness as $q - 2$ grows from small to large values. At first sight the constance of ν appears theoretically incompatible with the q -dependent

effective central charge c' found numerically in [13, 12]. Indeed, the central charge c is related to the stress-energy tensor, and then to the S_q -invariant sector responsible for the q -independence of ν . However, for systems with quenched disorder the quantity measured from the finite size dependence of the free energy [23, 24] is the *effective* central charge $c' = \partial_n c(n)|_{n=0}$. Since the S_q -invariant sector of the theory becomes q -independent only at $n = 0$, c' is q -dependent, in agreement with the numerical results. The central charge itself is $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} n/2 = 0$ at the decoupling point $q = 2$, and keeps this value along the line.

Our results also appear to account for the Ising value for β found numerically at $q = 8$ in [9]. Indeed, these authors used a definition of the order parameter which does not average σ_α but the quantity $\rho = M^{-1} \max(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_q)$, where M_α is the number of spins with color α , and M is the total number of spins. This change of definition is not harmless along the critical line (19). Indeed, ρ is S_q -invariant and falls in the q -independent sector of the theory, corresponding to Ising exponents.

When (13) is solved taking $\rho_6 = 0$, (12) implies $\rho_4 = 1$ and strong coupling solutions are obtained which reduce to (18) for $q = 2$. Among these, only two are defined for all $q \geq 2$ (and actually for all q), and for $n = 0$ have

$$\rho_0 = \rho_6 = 0, \quad \rho = \rho_4 = 1, \quad \rho_3 = 2 \cos \varphi = -\sqrt{2}, \quad (20)$$

and θ determined by (9); one of these solutions has $\cos \theta = \cos \varphi$ and is completely q -independent. Solutions such as (20) could account for the numerical result of [25]. These authors, using the same order parameter of [9] but a stronger disorder, found at $q = 8$ a result for β/ν different from both the Ising value and the result of [12]. All solutions of the equations (7)-(13) will be listed in [19]. It is worth stressing that the inputs of our formalism are conformal invariance and internal symmetry, S_q in the present case, so that the space of solutions of the crossing and unitarity equations contains the ferromagnetic case as well as (lattice-dependent) antiferromagnetic and mixed realizations.

In this paper we make no attempt to determine non-Ising exponents. It was shown in [14] for the pure model how the scattering formalism essentially contributes to a self-contained field theoretical determination of the exponents, in a context in which sufficient insight is available about the underlying conformal field theory. On the other hand, the results of this paper say that the conformal field theories of quenched random criticality may admit symmetry-independent sectors and are of a peculiar type. Those we are providing are the first exact properties.

Since the formalism is generally applicable in two dimensions, we consider as a further illustration the case of the XY model. The corresponding symmetry $O(2) \sim U(1)$ is represented by a pair of particles A and \bar{A} with $U(1)$ charge 1 and -1 , respectively. Writing the amplitudes for the scattering processes allowed by charge conservation and considering n replicas one obtains a system of unitarity equations which coincides with (7)-(13) with $q = 3$ and (11) omitted (we recall that S_0 is unphysical for $q = 3$). The reason for this coincidence is that the permutational group S_3 amounts to Z_3 cyclic permutations times a Z_2 reflection. As a consequence the Potts elementary excitations $A_{\alpha\beta}$ admit the identifications $A_{\alpha, \alpha+1(\text{mod } 3)} \equiv A$, $A_{\alpha, \alpha-1(\text{mod } 3)} \equiv \bar{A}$,

which map the three-state Potts amplitudes onto the $U(1)$ amplitudes; crossing and unitarity then yield the same equations. It is worth stressing that this coincidence does not extend to critical exponents. The XY spin operator is $U(1)$ -charged and creates charged excitations; it clearly differs from the Potts spin operator σ_α . These operators, as well as the energy operators, are discussed in relation with the scattering formalism in [14, 16] for the pure models.

Having understood that we can refer to the Potts equations with $q = 3$, consider first the pure case, i.e. $n = 1$. Since (10) fixes $\rho = 1$, (7) gives $\rho_3 = 0$. Then (8), which reads $\rho_3 \cos \varphi = 0$, is identically satisfied. Since (9) plays no role in the pure model, we see that φ remains as a free parameter labeling a line of fixed points in the $U(1)$ model. Of course, this is the line of fixed points onto which the pure XY ferromagnet renormalizes below the temperature T_{KT} corresponding to the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition [26]. Scale invariance below T_{KT} forbids a spontaneous magnetization and leads to power-law decay of correlations ("quasi-long-range order"), consistently with the absence of spontaneous breaking of continuous symmetries in two dimensions [27, 28].

When passing to interacting replicas ($\rho_4 \neq 0$), (7) requires $n < 1$. The solutions are the $q = 3$ case of those we already discussed for the Potts model, and do not admit free parameters, meaning that the only line of fixed points is that corresponding to non-interacting replicas. Since the pure model is already unable to order, the fixed points that the equations yield at $n = 0$ are not expected to provide a positive temperature phase transition point for the random bond XY ferromagnet. These solutions, however, can account for elimination of quasi-long-range order by sufficiently strong bond disorder, as found within a strong coupling approximation in [29].

In summary, we have shown how properties of two-dimensional systems with quenched bond randomness can be studied exactly, directly in the continuum limit and at criticality, within the framework of scale invariant scattering theory. For the q -state Potts model the analysis shows that there is a line of fixed points along which the disorder strength vanishes at $q = 2$ and then increases continuously with q , and reveals a mechanism allowing the exponent ν and the central charge to stay constant while β and the effective central charge vary. These unusual features of the theory account for numerical and theoretical results that had not seemed all compatible with each other.

References

- [1] A.B. Harris, J. Phys. C 7 (1974) 1671.
- [2] K. Hui and A.N. Berker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 2507.
- [3] A. Aizenman and J. Wehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 2503.
- [4] P.W. Kasteleyn and E.M. Fortuin, J. Phys. Soc. Japan Suppl. (1969) 2611; Physica 57 (1972) 536.

- [5] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models of Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [6] V.S. Dotsenko and V.I. S. Dotsenko, *Sov. Phys. JETP Lett.* 33 (1981) 37; *Adv. Phys.* 32 (1983) 129.
- [7] A.W.W. Ludwig, *Nucl. Phys. B* 330 (1990) 639.
- [8] V. Dotsenko, M. Picco and P. Pujol, *Nucl. Phys. B* 455 (1995) 701.
- [9] S. Chen, A.M. Ferrenberg and D.P. Landau, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69 (1992) 1213; *Phys. Rev. E* 52 (1995) 1377.
- [10] E. Domany and S. Wiseman, *Phys. Rev. E* 51 (1995) 3074.
- [11] M. Kardar, A.L. Stella, G. Sartoni and B. Derrida, *Phys. Rev. E* 52 (1995) R1269.
- [12] J. Cardy and J.L. Jacobsen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79 (1997) 4063.
J.L. Jacobsen and J. Cardy, *Nucl. Phys. B* 515 (1998) 701.
- [13] M. Picco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79 (1997) 2998.
- [14] G. Delfino, *Annals of Physics* 333 (2013) 1.
- [15] R.J. Eden, P.V. Landshoff, D.I. Olive and J.C. Polkinghorne, *The analytic S-matrix*, Cambridge, 1966.
- [16] G. Delfino, *Annals of Physics* 360 (2015) 477.
- [17] F.Y. Wu, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 54 (1982) 235.
- [18] L. Chim and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 7 (1992) 5317.
- [19] G. Delfino and E. Tartaglia, in preparation.
- [20] H. Nishimori, *J. Phys. C* 13 (1980) 4071; *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 66 (1981) 1169.
- [21] G. Delfino, J. Viti and J. Cardy, *J. Phys. A* 43 (2010) 152001.
- [22] G. Delfino and J. Cardy, *Nucl. Phys. B* 519 (1998) 551.
- [23] H.W. Blote, J.L. Cardy, and M.P. Nightingale, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 56 (1986) 742.
- [24] I. Affleck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 56 (1986) 746.
- [25] C. Chatelain and B. Berche, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 1670.
- [26] J.M. Kosterlitz, D.J. Thouless, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* 6 (1973) 1181.
- [27] N.D. Mermin, H. Wagner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 17 (1966) 1133.

[28] P.C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 158 (1967) 383.

[29] J.V. José, Phys. Rev. B 20 (1979) 2167.