

**Quantifying the information transduction of
biochemical reaction cascades: transcription of mRNA from ligand stimulation**

Tatsuaki Tsuruyama

Short title: Entropy production rate in cell signaling

*Department of Pathology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine,
Yoshida-Konoe-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8315, Japan*

*Correspondence author: T. Tsuruyama

Department of Pathology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

1 Yoshida-Konoe-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Phone: +81-75-753-4473; Fax: +81-75-761-9591

E-mail: tsuruyam@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

The cell has the ability to convert an extracellular biochemical change into the expression of genetic information through a chain of intracellular cycle reactions with information conversion. Here, we show that an individual reaction cycle can be regarded as a kind of Szilard engine. Accordingly, the work done at the individual cycle level can be calculated by measuring the amount of information transmitted. As a result, we can obtain a method for quantifying the information transduction of biochemical reaction cascades.

INTRODUCTION

Several analytical methods for dynamic biochemical networks and systems biology have been developed in the last few decades (1,2). In these research fields, biological information transmission, i.e., a signaling cascade, has been a topic of wide interest. A signaling cascade is generally carried out by a chain reaction between signaling molecular proteins and messengers such as adenosine triphosphate (ATP). In actuality, a set of proteins is serially phosphorylated and dephosphorylated in cyclical reactions following an extracellular biochemical change.

Furthermore, the relationship between thermodynamics and information processing was systematically discussed by Szilard (3), who argued that positive work can be extracted from an isothermal cycle via Maxwell's demon, i.e., a feedback controller (4,5). In addition, an information thermodynamics model for causal networks has been developed by Sagawa et al. (6-9) and was recently applied to signal transduction in an *Escherichia coli* chemotaxis model (10).


In the current study, we considered the steps in the signaling cascade as an information thermodynamic cycle, and examined whether the cycle may be regarded as a Szilard engine to allow for calculation of the chemical work done. The aim of the current study was to confirm the information thermodynamic formulation based on a

model of actual biochemical signaling cascades, and to calculate the amount of signal transduction according to the entropy production rate. From this perspective, the fluctuation theorem (FT) is a key to understanding a biological signaling cascade. Indeed, biological models such as molecular motors (11) and ribonucleic acid (RNA) folding (12) have been investigated in this regard, which have verified the theorem. Moreover, some studies have adopted the FT with respect to analyses of the cascades occurring in chemical reactions (13,14).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Biological information transmission (signal transduction)

Let us consider a cell system as an open homogeneous reactor in contact with chemiostats of reactants and products, which drive the system out of equilibrium. The system is assumed to be isothermal and isovolumic. A model signaling cascade consisting of n steps is then considered as follows (Fig. 1):



The above cascade [1] comprises a total of $n + 1$ steps. Each step is a per-stimulus modification/demodification of signaling molecules and is maintained by a chemical reservoir that provides a signaling messenger A such as ATP. X_j and X_j^* ($1 \leq j \leq n$) denote the signaling molecule of the non-modified and modified form, respectively. The asterisk “*” represents the signaling of the modified forms binding the messenger. The right-pointing arrows in [1] represent the orientation of signal transduction and the

left-pointing arrows indicate the opposite orientation.

The first reaction in the cascade is the uptake or binding of the extracellular molecule, the ligand (L), by X_1 , leading to modification of the first intracellular signaling molecule X_2 into X_2^* by promoting the binding of A to X_2 . The molecule X_1 is designated as the receptor (R) because it is located on the cell membrane to receive the external stimulation by L on the cell system. The modified X_2 , X_2^* , has the ability to modify X_3 into X_3^* by promoting the binding of A to X_3 . The cascade continues in this manner, such that the j^{th} -signaling molecule X_j induces the modification of X_{j+1} into X_{j+1}^* . In the final n^{th} -step, the signaling molecule X_n^* translocates to the cell nucleus and, after binding with DNA, induces the transcription of genes into mRNA on DNA*, a DNA-RNA complex, by RNA polymerase (RNAPol). In individual steps, demodification of X_j^* occurs spontaneously or via enzymatic reaction by phosphatase and other demodification enzymes, and the pre-stimulation steady state is subsequently recovered. Thus, individual steps form cyclic reactions. In summary, the above cascade [1] describes a cascade of reactions from the reception of the extracellular stimulus to the induction of transcription of mRNA.

Fluctuation of entropy production rate as signal transduction

Let us consider quantifying the information transduction in a biological system that employs fluctuation (15-25). In a biological system, the signaling occurs continuously at a steady homeostatic state. The stimulation is added to produce a transient fluctuation ΔX_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) that actually carries the signal, which finally results in the increase in specified mRNA. Here, we define the master equation using the transitional probability p_j and the transitional rate v_j for the j -step to the $j + 1$ -step in the given cascade. We hypothesized the detailed balance at the steady state as follows:

$$p_j v_j = p_{-j} v_{-j} . \quad [2]$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\log \frac{p_{-j}}{p_j} = \log \frac{v_j}{v_{-j}} . \quad [3]$$

The kinetic rate of the reaction along with the signal transduction is given by:

$$v_j = k_j A X_j^* X_{j+1} \quad [4]$$

By contrast, the kinetic rate of the demodification reaction opposite to the signal transduction is given by:

$$v_{-j} = k_{-j} X_j^* X_{j+1}^* \quad [5]$$

Here, k_j and k_{-j} represent the kinetic coefficients. Using [4] and [5], we obtain:

$$\log \frac{p_j}{p_{-j}} = \log \frac{k_j A X_{j+1}}{k_{-j} X_{j+1}^*} \quad [6]$$

Here, we note the concentration of the signaling molecules at the homeostatic state using the superscript st and the concentration fluctuation using the symbol Δ .

$$X_{j+1} = X_{j+1}^{st} + \Delta X_{j+1} \quad [7]$$

$$X_{j+1}^* = X_{j+1}^{st*} + \Delta X_{j+1}^* \quad [8]$$

Since the sum of the inactive form X_j and the active form X_j^* is constant,

$$X_{j+1} + X_{j+1}^* = X_{j+1}^0 \quad [9]$$

then,

$$\Delta X_{j+1} + \Delta X_{j+1}^* = 0 \quad [10]$$

Further, at the steady state, [7], [8] and [9] give the concentrations:

$$X_{j+1}^{st} = \frac{k_{-j} p_{-j}}{k_{-j} p_{-j} + k_j p_j A} X_{j+1}^0 \quad [11]$$

$$X_{j+1}^{st*} = \frac{k_j p_j A}{k_{-j} p_{-j} + k_j A p_j} X_{j+1}^0 \quad [12]$$

The symbol A stands for the initial concentration of the messenger. In particular, when $j=1$,

$$X_1^{st} = \frac{k_{-0}}{k_{-0} + k_0 L} X_1^0 \quad [13]$$

$$X_1 - L^{st} = \frac{k_0 L}{k_{-0} + k_0 L} X_1^0 \quad [14]$$

Here, k_0 and k_0 represent the kinetic coefficients of the ligand binding to the receptor (X_1) and the ligand release from X_1 , respectively. The symbol L stands for the concentration of the ligand.

From the FT at the steady state and [6], we can obtain

$$\lim_{\tau_j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau_j} \log \frac{k_j A X_{j+1}}{k_{-j} X_{j+1}^*} = \bar{\sigma}_j. \quad [15]$$

Here, τ_j signifies the duration of the j^{th} step and $\bar{\sigma}_j$ is the average entropy production rate during τ_j . An actual biological reaction proceeds slowly in the whole cell, and thus the duration τ_j is sufficiently long. Using [15],

$$\log \frac{k_j A X_{j+1}}{k_{-j} X_{j+1}^*} = \log \frac{k_j A (X_{j+1}^{st} + \Delta X_{j+1})}{k_{-j} (X_{j+1}^{st*} + \Delta X_{j+1}^*)} = \bar{\sigma}_j \tau_j + \log \frac{1 + \Delta X_{j+1} / X_{j+1}}{1 + \Delta X_{j+1}^* / X_{j+1}^{st*}}. \quad [16]$$

The first item of the right-hand term $\bar{\sigma}_j \tau_j$ is the entropy production in the j^{th} steps at the steady state or pre-stimulation state, so that

$$\log \frac{1 + \Delta X_{j+1} / X_{j+1}}{1 + \Delta X_{j+1}^* / X_{j+1}^{st*}} \triangleq \Delta \bar{\sigma}_j(t) \tau_j. \quad [17]$$

$\Delta \bar{\sigma}_j(t)$ represents the time-dependent fluctuation of the entropy production rate at the j^{th} step. Further, using the approximation $\log(1+x) \sim x$ in the logarithm item on the left side gives the following:

$$\Delta X_{j+1}^* / X_{j+1}^{st*} - \Delta X_{j+1} / X_{j+1}^{st} = \Delta X_{j+1}^* \frac{X_{j+1}^0}{X_{j+1}^{st*} X_{j+1}^{st}} \approx \Delta \bar{\sigma}_j(t) \tau_j. \quad [18]$$

Using an integral form, we can describe the entropy production rate as:

$$\Delta \bar{\sigma}_j = \frac{1}{\tau_j} \int_0^{\tau_j} \frac{X_{j+1}^0}{X_{j+1}^{st*} X_{j+1}^{st}} \frac{\Delta X_{j+1}^*}{\Delta t} dt. \quad [19]$$

Below, the notation (t) was omitted for simplicity. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \bar{\sigma}_j &= \frac{1}{\tau_j} \int_0^{\tau_j} \frac{X_{j+1}^0}{X_{j+1}^{st*} X_{j+1}^{st}} \frac{\Delta X_{j+1}^*}{\Delta t} dt = \frac{1}{\tau_j} \int_0^{\tau_j} \frac{X_{j+1}^0}{X_{j+1}^{st*} X_{j+1}^{st}} \frac{\Delta X_{j+1}^*}{\Delta A} \frac{dA}{dt} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_j} \int_0^{\tau_j} \frac{1}{A} \frac{dA}{dt} dt = \frac{1}{\tau_j} [\log A]_{A_{ij}}^{A_{fj}} = \frac{1}{\tau_j} \log \frac{A_{fj}}{A_{ij}} \end{aligned} \quad [20]$$

Here, we used

$$\frac{dX_{j+1}^*}{dA} = \frac{1}{A} X_{j+1}^{st} * X_{j+1}^{st} \frac{1}{X_{j+1}^0} . \quad [21]$$

A_{ff} and A_{ij} signify the concentration of the messenger A at the initial and final state at the j^{th} step, respectively. Then, from [20], using notation of information ΔI_j :

$$\Delta I_j = \tau_j \Delta \bar{\sigma}_j = \log \frac{A_{ff}}{A_{ij}} . \quad [22]$$

The above expression represents the amount of signal transduction caused by the fluctuation that is induced by extracellular stimulation. Thus, we obtain [22], the basic formula of the signal transduction in the biochemical reaction cascade. Likewise, for the initial step in which the ligand L binds to the receptor $R (X_1)$,

$$\Delta I_0 = \tau_0 \Delta \bar{\sigma}_0 = \log \frac{L_f}{L_i} . \quad [23]$$

The suffix “0” represents the initial step of the signaling cascade outside the cell. L_f and L_i signify the concentration of the ligand L at the initial and final state at the 0^{th} step.

Further, for the final n^{th} step in which the X_n^* binds to DNA in [1],

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \bar{\sigma}_n &= \frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_0^{\tau_n} \frac{DNA^0}{DNA^{st} * DNA^{st}} \frac{\Delta DNA^{*st}}{\Delta t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_0^{\tau_n} \frac{DNA^0}{DNA^{*st} DNA^{st}} \frac{\Delta DNA^{*st}}{\Delta \phi} \frac{d\phi}{dt} dt = \frac{1}{\tau_n} \log \frac{\phi_f}{\phi_i} , \end{aligned} \quad [24]$$

where ϕ_f and ϕ_i signify the concentration of the nucleotide ϕ at the initial and final state at the j^{th} step, respectively. DNA signifies the concentration of total DNA. DNA^{*st} and DNA^{st} signifies the concentration of DNA-RNA complex and DNA at the steady state, respectively. In addition, these satisfy

$$DNA^{st} + DNA^{*st} = DNA^0 . \quad [25]$$

Then we have

$$\Delta I_n = \tau_n \Delta \bar{\sigma}_n = \log \frac{\phi_f}{\phi_i} . \quad [26]$$

Biochemical signaling as A Szilard engine

Here, let us consider the biological signaling cascade as a kind of chemical engine.

Setting the difference in the Helmholtz free energy as $\Delta F \equiv F_f - F_i$,

$$w_{ex} \leq -\Delta F + k_B T I . \quad [27]$$

Here, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the temperature of the cell system. The maximum value of information I is given by Shannon entropy

$$I = -\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log p_j . \quad [28]$$

In actuality, a biological system is kept in an isovolumic and isothermal state, and does not perform expansion work w_{ex} ; therefore, $\Delta F = 0$ in [27]. Thus, we can obtain:

$$w_{ex} \leq k_B T I . \quad [29]$$

Next, let us consider the possibility that the biochemical signaling cascade is an example of a Szilard engine. Suppose that the cell signaling system is divided into n number of rooms as follows.

- (i) The feedback controller, i.e., Maxwell's demon (7,8,26), observes whether the j^{th} step in the j^{th} room proceeds in the same or opposite direction of signaling and measures the change in the concentration of the messenger. Each room has both X_j^* and X_j species ($1 \leq j \leq n$), whose concentrations are identical to X_j^{*st} and X_j^{st} at the steady state when the stimulus is absent.
- (ii) If the j^{th} ($1 \leq j \leq n$) step proceeds in the same direction of signaling, the controller introduces ΔX_j^* to the $j + 1^{th}$ room from the j^{th} room and induces ΔX_j to the j^{th} room from the $j + 1^{th}$ room; if the j^{th} step proceeds in the opposite direction of signaling, the controller introduces ΔX_j to the $j - 1^{th}$ room from the j^{th} room and induces ΔX_j^* from the $j - 1^{th}$ room to the j^{th} room.
- (iii) After the exchange between ΔX_j and ΔX_j^* in (ii) by the feedback controller is complete, the controller leaves when the system recovers to the initial state.

The above conditions (i)–(iii) form a cycle consisting of chemical reactions (Fig. 2). When the controls in (ii) are quasi-static and the individual step recovers to the initial

steady state in a manner independent of the measurement outcome after the individual steps fluctuate through the scheme, the cyclic reaction satisfies the Szilard engine. Thus, the given reaction cascade in [1] can be regarded as a Szilard engine that may play fundamental roles similar to a Carnot cycle in a classical heat engine.

The feedback controller has the potential to recognize the concentration difference of the messenger between the initial state and final state by observation, with information $\log A_{fj-1}/A_{ij-1}$ in [22]. Subsequently, the controller transforms the information into the work for the next modification of X_{j+1} to X_{j+1}^* . The total consumption of the messenger at the individual j^{th} steps is $A_{fj} - A_{ij}$, and the work $w_{ex,j}$ at the j^{th} room that was done by the $j - 1^{\text{th}}$ room:

$$w_{ex,j} \triangleq \Delta\mu(A_{fj} - A_{ij}) , \quad [30]$$

where $\Delta\mu$ represents the chemical potential change between an active messenger such as ATP and an inactive form such as ADP. Because the cascade cycle [1] forms a Szilard engine, [22], [29], and [30] generally give:

$$\Delta\mu(A_{fj} - A_{ij}) = k_B T \log \frac{A_{fj-1}}{A_{ij-1}} . \quad [31]$$

The above equality implies that the forward $j - 1^{\text{th}}$ room works for the next j^{th} room by utilizing the difference in the concentration change of the messenger A , $A_{fj} - A_{ij}$, and modifies the signaling molecule X_j . The sum of both sides of [31] in the given cascade [1] gives:

$$\Delta\mu(A_{fn} - A_{f1}) = k_B T \log \frac{A_{fn-1}}{A_{i1}} . \quad [32]$$

The above calculation used

$$A_{fj} = A_{ij+1} . \quad [33]$$

Thus, it is possible to quantify the transformation of the information.

Transcription of mRNA from ligand stimulation

Biological signaling is completed by the transcription of mRNA in the nucleus. In a whole cascade, the initial concentration change of the extracellular ligand is transduced

into the increase in specified mRNA that is determined by the species X_n binding to a unique sequence of DNA in the nucleus of a cell. Such a sequence is named the binding motif. For instance, phosphorylated STAT5 protein binds to the TCCNNGGA palindromic motif (27). In fact, mRNA synthesis is an ATP-dependent process, and one molecule of ATP is consumed for the addition of a single ribonucleotide, the precursor of mRNA, to oligoribonucleotides or the longer form, mRNA.

If the initial information that is given by the extracellular ligand concentration change, L_i to L_f , and the information is preserved during the course of the signal transduction from the ligand concentration change to the intracellular concentration change of signaling molecules, we obtain the following by rewriting [32], using [22], [23], and [26]:

$$\begin{aligned}
-k_B T \log \frac{L_f}{L_i} &= -k_B T \log \frac{A_{fn-1}}{A_{i1}} - k_B T \log \frac{\phi_f}{\phi_i} \\
&= -k_B T \log \frac{A_{fn-1}}{A_{i1}} - k_B T \log \frac{\phi_i - rN}{\phi_i} \\
&\approx -k_B T \log \frac{A_{fn-1}}{A_{i1}} + k_B T \frac{rN}{\phi_i}
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where r signifies the number of mRNA molecules consisting of N ribonucleotides (Fig.1). Here, we used $A_{fn-1} = A_{in}$. In [34], the first term implies an information change in the cytoplasm, and the second term implies information change from mRNA transcription in the nucleus. In addition, we approximated that the concentration change of ATP in the nucleus is sufficiently small in [34].

Transcription is the final signal transduction for the production of an ‘‘informational’’ substance, nucleic acids. mRNA actually consists of four types of nucleotides: adenine, uracil, guanine, cytosine. The carried information, i.e., Shannon entropy I_{RNA} , by the r number of mRNAs in [34] is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{RNA} &= -rN(p_G \log p_G + p_A \log p_A + p_U \log p_U + p_C \log p_C) \\
&= \theta rN
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where p_G , p_A , p_U , and p_C represent the frequencies of guanosine, adenosine, uridine, and cytidine, respectively. θ represents the average of Shannon entropy per one nucleotide. Here we hypothesized that the frequencies are independent of neighboring nucleotides.

Using [34] and [35], the total information is simply given by:

$$I = -k_B T \log \frac{L_f}{L_i} \approx -k_B T \log \frac{A_{fn-1}}{A_{f1}} + k_B T \frac{I_{RNA}}{\phi_i \theta} = \Delta\mu(A_{fn} - A_{f1}) + k_B T i_{RNA} . \quad [36]$$

Therefore, the information induced by the change of ligand concentration was transduced into the chemical work in the cytoplasm and the ratio i_{RNA} , the Shannon entropy I_{RNA} to the total Shannon entropy carried by nucleotides. Thus, we obtained the basic formulation of the signal transduction that is mediated by messenger and signaling molecules.

Here, we introduced a formula for quantifying the information transduction of biochemical reaction cascades. In particular, we obtained the integration of the information and actual chemical potential from the perspective of information thermodynamics. The proposed method allows for the differentiation between non-specific fluctuations and a bona fide biological signaling event, providing a method to compare signal transduction cascades on the basis of information theory.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

T.T. proposed the model and wrote the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan (*Synergy of Fluctuation and Structure: Quest for Universal Laws in Non-Equilibrium Systems*, P2013-201 Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas, MEXT, Japan). There are no conflicts of interest.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Schematic of the reaction cascade in cell signal transduction. L is a ligand and R is a receptor that mediates the cellular responses to external environmental changes. A

is a messenger of signal transduction. Individual signaling molecules X_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) relay the modification of individual steps, and the last species X_n is translocated to the nucleus, where it controls gene expression by transcription of mRNA.

Figure 2. Feedback controller schematic. (i) The feedback controller observes whether the information from the signal is processed in the forward or reverse direction. (ii) The controller opens the gate for the increased ΔX_j^* or for ΔX_j to enter the $j + 1^{\text{th}}$ room or the $j - 1^{\text{th}}$ room for the prevention of further proceeding of the signal. (iii) The j^{th} room in the system recovers to the initial state in this reaction cycle.

REFERENCES

1. Selimkhanov, J., B. Taylor, J. Yao, A. Pilko, J. Albeck, A. Hoffmann, L. Tsimring, and R. Wollman. 2014. Systems biology. Accurate information transmission through dynamic biochemical signaling networks. *Science* 346:1370-1373.
2. Cheong, R., A. Rhee, C. J. Wang, I. Nemenman, and A. Levchenko. 2011. Information transduction capacity of noisy biochemical signaling networks. *Science* 334:354-358.
3. Szilard, L. On the decrease of entropy in a thermodynamic system by the intervention of intelligent beings. *Phys.* 53:840.
4. Landauer, R. 1961. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM J. Res. Dev.* 5.
5. Piechocinska, B. 2000. Information erasure. *PHYSICAL REVIEW A* 61:062314.
6. Sagawa, T., and M. Ueda. 2010. Generalized Jarzynski equality under nonequilibrium feedback control. *Phys Rev Lett* 104:090602.
7. Sagawa, T., and M. Ueda. 2008. Second law of thermodynamics with discrete quantum feedback control. *Phys Rev Lett* 100:080403.
8. Sagawa, T., and M. Ueda. 2009. Minimal energy cost for thermodynamic information processing: measurement and information erasure. *Phys Rev Lett* 102:250602.
9. Ito, S., and T. Sagawa. 2013. Information Thermodynamics on Causal Networks. *Physical Review Letters* 111:18063.
10. Tu, Y. 2008. The nonequilibrium mechanism for ultrasensitivity in a biological switch: sensing by Maxwell's demons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105:11737-11741.
11. Seifert, U. 2012. Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines. *Rep Prog Phys* 75:126001.
12. Collin, D., F. Ritort, C. Jarzynski, S. B. Smith, I. Tinoco, Jr., and C. Bustamante. 2005. Verification of the Crooks fluctuation theorem and recovery of RNA

- folding free energies. *Nature* 437:231-234.
13. Andrieux, D., and P. Gaspard. 2008. Fluctuation theorem and mesoscopic chemical clocks. *J Chem Phys* 128:154506.
 14. Gaspard, P. 2004. Fluctuation theorem for nonequilibrium reactions. *J Chem Phys* 120:8898-8905.
 15. Kim, D. S., E. S. Hwang, J. E. Lee, S. Y. Kim, S. B. Kwon, and K. C. Park. 2003. Sphingosine-1-phosphate decreases melanin synthesis via sustained ERK activation and subsequent MITF degradation. *J Cell Sci* 116:1699-1706.
 16. Kodama, H., K. Fukuda, J. Pan, M. Sano, T. Takahashi, T. Kato, S. Makino, T. Manabe, M. Murata, and S. Ogawa. 2000. Significance of ERK cascade compared with JAK/STAT and PI3-K pathway in gp130-mediated cardiac hypertrophy. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 279:H1635-1644.
 17. Lee, C. S., M. Park, J. Han, J. H. Lee, I. H. Bae, H. Choi, E. D. Son, Y. H. Park, and K. M. Lim. 2013. Liver X receptor activation inhibits melanogenesis through the acceleration of ERK-mediated MITF degradation. *J Invest Dermatol* 133:1063-1071.
 18. Mackeigan, J. P., L. O. Murphy, C. A. Dimitri, and J. Blenis. 2005. Graded mitogen-activated protein kinase activity precedes switch-like c-Fos induction in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 25:4676-4682.
 19. Newman, D. R., C. M. Li, R. Simmons, J. Khosla, and P. L. Sannes. 2004. Heparin affects signaling pathways stimulated by fibroblast growth factor-1 and-2 in type II cells. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology* 287:L191-L200.
 20. Petropavlovskaja, M., J. Daoud, J. Zhu, M. Moosavi, J. Ding, J. Makhlin, B. Assouline-Thomas, and L. Rosenberg. 2012. Mechanisms of action of islet neogenesis-associated protein: comparison of the full-length recombinant protein and a bioactive peptide. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 303:E917-927.
 21. Tao, R., H. E. Hoover, N. Honbo, M. Kalinowski, C. C. Alano, J. S. Karliner, and R. Raffai. 2010. High-density lipoprotein determines adult mouse cardiomyocyte fate after hypoxia-reoxygenation through lipoprotein-associated sphingosine 1-phosphate. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 298:H1022-1028.
 22. Wang, H., J. J. Ubl, R. Stricker, and G. Reiser. 2002. Thrombin

- (PAR-1)-induced proliferation in astrocytes via MAPK involves multiple signaling pathways. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 283:C1351-1364.
23. Wang, Y. Y., Y. Liu, X. Y. Ni, Z. H. Bai, Q. Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, and F. G. Gao. 2014. Nicotine promotes cell proliferation and induces resistance to cisplatin by alpha7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor-mediated activation in Raw264.7 and E14 cells. *Oncol Rep* 31:1480-1488.
 24. Yeung, K., T. Seitz, S. Li, P. Janosch, B. McFerran, C. Kaiser, F. Fee, K. D. Katsanakis, D. W. Rose, H. Mischak, J. M. Sedivy, and W. Kolch. 1999. Suppression of Raf-1 kinase activity and MAP kinase signalling by RKIP. *Nature* 401:173-177.
 25. Zhang, W. Z., N. Yano, M. Z. Deng, Q. F. Mao, S. K. Shaw, and Y. T. Tseng. 2011. beta-Adrenergic Receptor-PI3K Signaling Crosstalk in Mouse Heart: Elucidation of Immediate Downstream Signaling Cascades. *Plos One* 6.
 26. Sagawa, T., and M. Ueda. 2012. Fluctuation theorem with information exchange: role of correlations in stochastic thermodynamics. *Phys Rev Lett* 109:180602.
 27. Tsuruyama, T., T. Nakamura, G. Jin, M. Ozeki, Y. Yamada, and H. Hiai. 2002. Constitutive activation of Stat5a by retrovirus integration in early pre-B lymphomas of SL/Kh strain mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99:8253-8258.

Figure 1




Figure 2

