

Isotropic Layer Construction and Phase Diagram for Fracton Topological Phases

Sagar Vijay^{1,2}

¹*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

²*Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

Starting from an isotropic configuration of intersecting, two-dimensional toric codes, we construct a fracton topological phase introduced in Ref. [26], which is characterized by immobile, point-like topological excitations (“fractons”), and degenerate ground-states on the torus that are locally indistinguishable. Our proposal leads to a simple description of the fracton excitations and of the ground-state as a “loop” condensate, and provides a basis for building new 3D topological orders such as a natural, Z_N generalization of this fracton phase, which we introduce. We describe the rich phase structure of our layered Z_N system. By invoking a lattice duality, we demonstrate that when $N \geq 5$, there is an intermediate phase that appears between the decoupled, layered system and the fracton topologically-ordered state, which opens the possibility of a continuous transition into the fracton topological phase. We conclude by presenting a solvable model, that interpolates between the fracton phase and a confined phase in which the phase transition is first-order.

Topological phases of matter have been a subject of active interest in condensed matter physics. The emergence of local conservation laws in certain quantum many-body systems leads to a convenient, low-energy description of the system as a gauge theory, which captures the behavior of a wide range of topological phases ranging quantum Hall states to quantum spin liquids [1–13]. The long-ranged entanglement in the degenerate, locally indistinguishable ground-states of a topological phases have led to proposals for their use as platforms for universal quantum computation [14–18].

In recent years, new kinds of gapped topological phases have been discovered in three spatial dimensions by studying exactly solvable models [19–24]. A general feature of these topological phases is the presence of certain point-like topological excitations which are created at the *corners* of operators with support on fractal- or membrane-like regions. These excitations are strictly immobile at zero temperature, as no local operator can move a single excitations without creating additional gapped excitations in the system. This is in contrast to the behavior of point-like charges in discrete gauge theories, which may be created at the ends of Wilson lines and behave like mobile particles. As the point-like excitations in these exotic topological phases appear either as fractions of topological excitations with restricted mobility or at the corners of operators with support on a fractal region of the lattice, they are referred to as “fractons” [26]. Apart from providing an exotic alternative to Fermi or Bose statistics in three dimensions, fracton topological orders are of interest for studying clean quantum systems with “glassy” dynamical behavior [19, 29], and for building robust quantum memories such as Haah’s code [22, 25]. The phenomenology of fracton topological phases is thoroughly reviewed in Ref. [24, 26].

Recently, it has been shown that gapped fracton topological phases may be understood through a generalization of conventional lattice gauge theory for interacting quantum systems that have an extensive set of global symmetries (e.g. planar symmetries) [26]. As a consequence, fracton topological phases may be obtained as

the quantum dual of these interacting systems. This provides a generalization of the well-known Wegner duality in (2+1)-dimensions that relates symmetry-breaking phase transitions to the confinement transition of conventional lattice gauge theories [1]. Furthermore, this generalized lattice gauge theory has been used to find new fracton topological phases of bosons and fermions [24, 26], and to find exotic, interacting systems with fractal symmetries such as the dual of Haah’s code [26, 27]. Recently, certain gapless phases that have gapped, charge excitations with reduced mobility have also been found in “higher-rank” $U(1)$ gauge theories [28].

In this work, we introduce a new construction of a fracton topological phase introduced in Ref. [26] which yields an intriguing connection between two-dimensional topological orders and exotic three-dimensional topological phases that have excitations with reduced mobility. The starting point for our construction is an isotropic configuration of inter-penetrating, two-dimensional (2D) toric codes [14]. We demonstrate that condensing appropriate excitations in the layered system – termed “composite excitations” – can lead to (i) three-dimensional Z_2 topological order, (ii) a fracton topological order known as the “X-cube” phase [26], whose phenomenology we thoroughly review, or (iii) a topologically trivial paramagnetic state. Condensing composite excitations effectively binds the charges or fluxes of the two-dimensional toric codes, resulting in an emergent topological excitation with reduced mobility. Our proposal also gives rise to a “loop-gas” picture for the ground-state wavefunction of fracton topological phases, as well as a simple understanding of the origin of the immobile excitations, which opens a possible route for constructing other exotic, three-dimensional topological orders.

We present a natural generalization of this procedure that yields a new, Z_N analog of the X-cube phase, before turning our attention to the rich phase structure of this microscopic model. Our results are summarized in the schematic phase diagrams in Fig. 8a and b. Of importance is a lattice duality between certain phase transition(s) into the fracton topological phase and a confine-




FIG. 1. **Intersecting Layers of 2D Toric Codes:** A stack of two-dimensional, square-lattice toric codes in the xy (green), yz (red) and xz (blue) directions, which intersect at sites. The resulting three-dimensional cubic lattice has two spins per *link* (σ , μ) as shown. A single layer of the square-lattice toric code is shown as well, with the “star” and “plaquette” operators defined as shown.

ment transition in a conventional (3+1)-d Z_N gauge theory driven by the condensation of Z_N flux loops. From this duality and from the well-known phase structure of Z_N gauge theories, we determine that when $N \geq 5$, there must be an intermediate phase between the decoupled layers and the fracton topological phase, with an emergent $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. While we believe this phase is generically gapped and topologically trivial, we determine that this phase remains gapless along a specific line of the phase diagram, along which the phase transition into the fracton topological phase is believed to be continuous. This opens the possibility of a continuum field theory description of this particular fracton topological phase, which we leave to future work [62] to explore. We conclude by presenting a solvable projector model in which the transition from the fracton topological phase to a trivial confined phase is first order, though this may not capture the generic behavior of this transition.

I. ISOTROPIC LAYER CONSTRUCTION

We begin by introducing the microscopic model for our layer construction, before analyzing its rich phase structure and the emergence of fracton topological order. Consider a single layer of the two-dimensional (2D) toric code on the square lattice [14], which describes the zero-correlation length limit of the deconfined phase of two-dimensional Z_2 gauge theory. The Z_2 gauge field lies on the links of the square lattice, and at each lattice site and plaquette, there are four-spin operators that measure the Z_2 charge and flux, respectively (the so-called “star” and




FIG. 2. **Z_2 charge & flux operators for the decoupled layers:** The locations of the (a) Z_2 charge and (b) Z_2 flux operators at each site of the three-dimensional cubic lattice for the decoupled, intersecting layers of two-dimensional toric codes; each charge or flux operator is oriented along the xy (green), yz (red), or xz (blue) planes.

“plaquette” operators), as shown in the inset in Fig. 1. We take as our convention that a star operator is given as the product of the x -component of the Pauli spins, while a plaquette operator involves a product of the z -component.

We now place L copies of the square-lattice toric code in the xy , yz , and xz planes, respectively; any three mutually orthogonal planes intersect at a single site of the 2D square lattice. As shown schematically in Fig. 1, this intersecting, three-dimensional arrangement of the toric codes forms a three-dimensional (3D) cubic lattice with L^3 sites and *two* spins per link. The 2D Z_2 charge operators lie at the sites of the cubic lattice while the Z_2 flux operators lie at plaquettes. Initially, different copies of the 2D toric codes are completely decoupled, and the Hamiltonian for the full system in terms of the two species of spins (σ and μ) at each link may be written in the form

$$H_0 = -J \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{j=xy,yz,xz} \left[A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} + B_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} \right] \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over the sites \mathbf{r} on the cubic lattice. Here, $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ is the four-spin star operator at site \mathbf{r} which measures the Z_2 charge along the copy of the square-lattice toric code that is oriented along the j^{th} plane (with $j = xy, yz, \text{ or } xz$). We associate three plaquette operators $B_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ with each site of the cubic lattice, which measure the elementary Z_2 flux through an elementary plaquette oriented in the j^{th} plane. The star and plaquette operators in the 3D cubic lattice are shown schematically in Fig. 2. All of the operators in H_{toric} mutually commute, and the ground-state may be written exactly as an equal-amplitude superposition of closed electric-charge loops within each plane. The topological degeneracy D is simply $\log_2 D = 6L$ after imposing periodic boundary conditions. The topologically-degenerate ground-states are locally indistinguishable, so that the




FIG. 3. **Composite Charge Condensation:** The operator $\sigma_{ss'}^z \mu_{ss'}^z$, when acting on the ground-state $|\Psi_{\text{decoupled}}\rangle$ of the decoupled, two-dimensional toric codes, creates four electric charge excitations, two in each of the orthogonal planes that meet at the link, as shown. Condensing this composite charge excitation leads to a three-dimensional Z_2 topological phase.

2D Z_2 topological order of the decoupled toric codes is stable in the presence of weak perturbations [30].

We now add an interaction

$$H_1 = -h \sum_{\langle r, r' \rangle} \sigma_{rr'}^z \mu_{rr'}^z - t \sum_{\langle r, r' \rangle} \sigma_{rr'}^x \mu_{rr'}^x \quad (2)$$

which couples the two spins on each link of the cubic lattice. We begin by analyzing the phase diagram of the Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + H_1. \quad (3)$$

The ground-state of (3) will have the same topological order as a decoupled set of $3L$ toric codes when $t, h \ll J$. We refer to this as the “decoupled phase” for the remainder of this work. In addition, however, we will demonstrate that this Hamiltonian realizes (i) a (3+1)-d Z_2 topological phase and (ii) a fracton topological phase, along with (iii) a trivial paramagnet which corresponds to a confined limit of the two topological phases.

A. (3+1)-d Z_2 Topological Order from Composite Charge Condensation

Starting from the decoupled phase, we now increase h , while keeping J and t fixed, which eventually leads to a (3+1)-dimensional Z_2 topological phase. Before demonstrating this explicitly with the microscopic Hamiltonian (3), we obtain intuition for this result by studying how the ground-state wavefunction changes as h is increased. When acting on the decoupled toric codes, the operator $\sigma_{ss'}^z \mu_{ss'}^z$ creates four electric charge excitations in the orthogonal layers that meet at the link connecting sites s and s' , as shown in Fig. 3. Increasing h leads to the condensation of this excitation, which we refer to as the *composite electric charge*.

Condensing the composite electric charge “glues” the planar electric charge loops in the wavefunction for the decoupled toric codes ($|\Psi_{\text{decoupled}}\rangle$). As shown in Fig.




FIG. 4. **“Gluing” Loops:** Condensing the composite charge excitation has the effect of “gluing” electric charge loops in adjacent, orthogonal layers. The resulting wavefunction is that of the 3D Z_2 topological phase, as described in Sec. I.

4, adding a composite electric charge has the effect of “cutting open” two electric charge loops in orthogonal layers that appear in a loop configuration in the state $|\Psi_{\text{decoupled}}\rangle$. This “cut” loop configuration is to be interpreted as a single, three-dimensional loop of the *emergent* electric charge $A_s^{(xy)} A_s^{(yz)} A_s^{(xz)}$, which remains well-defined in the condensed phase, and the resulting loop superposition is precisely the wavefunction for the 3D Z_2 topological phase. As we will soon show, composite charge condensation also has the effect of binding the 2D Z_2 fluxes into flux loops. In this way, the 3D electric charge inherits its bosonic self-statistics and π -mutual statistics with flux loops from the 2D Z_2 phase.

We now derive this result from the microscopic Hamiltonian (3). When $h \gg J, t$, we let $\sigma_{rr'}^z \mu_{rr'}^z = +1$ and define a single spin degree of freedom for every link of the cubic lattice as

$$\tau_{rr'}^z \equiv \sigma_{rr'}^z \quad \tau_{rr'}^x \equiv \sigma_{rr'}^x \mu_{rr'}^x \quad (4)$$

In terms of this spin, we obtain an effective Hamiltonian in perturbation theory

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} = -\tilde{J} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{r}} - J \sum_p B_p - t \sum_{\langle r, r' \rangle} \tau_{rr'}^x \quad (5)$$

where the coupling $\tilde{J} \sim O(J^3/h^2)$. Here, the operator

$$\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{r}} \equiv A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(xy)} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(yz)} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(xz)} = \prod_{r' \in \text{star}(\mathbf{r})} \tau_{rr'}^x \quad (6)$$

is precisely the six-spin operator that measures the Z_2 charge in a (3+1)-dimensional Z_2 topological phase, while

$$B_p \equiv \prod_{r, r' \in \partial p} \tau_{rr'}^z \quad (7)$$

is the four-spin operator measuring the flux through plaquette p on the cubic lattice. When $t = 0$, the effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ is precisely that of the 3D toric code [31]. Furthermore, increasing t eventually leads to condensation of the Z_2 flux loops, resulting in a trivial,

confined phase. As advertised, the 2D Z_2 fluxes are confined, while a bound-state of the Z_2 fluxes survives as a topological excitation, which becomes the flux loop of the three-dimensional Z_2 topological phase. Condensing a composite excitation has led to the emergence of a new topological excitation whose mobility is restricted.

B. “X-Cube” Fracton Topological Order from Composite Flux Loop Condensation

While composite charge condensation leads to three-dimensional Z_2 topological order, condensing a bound-state of the Z_2 fluxes in adjacent layers – a *composite flux loop* – yields the so-called “X-cube” fracton topological phase, as originally introduced and studied in Ref. [26] using an exactly solvable, commuting Hamiltonian. We first review the phenomenology of the X-cube fracton topological phase before demonstrating that this phase emerges within our layer construction. A detailed description of this fracton phase is provided in Ref. [26].

1. Phenomenology of the X-cube Phase

The X-cube phase is a gapped topological phase that was introduced in Ref. [26], by studying the dual description of the plaquette Ising model, an interacting spin system with an extensive set of planar spin-flip symmetries; the finite-temperature behavior of this system has been studied previously [53–56]. While the plaquette Ising model exhibits only symmetry-breaking or paramagnetic phases at zero temperature, its dual description is far more exotic, describing the “confinement” transition of a fracton topological phase. The solvable Hamiltonian for this fracton phase, termed the X-cube model, due to the geometry of the multi-spin interactions [26], was shown to have a topological ground-state degeneracy $\log_2 D = 6L - 3$ on the length- L three-torus, along with exotic topological excitations whose mobility is severely restricted. Since the degenerate ground-states are locally indistinguishable, this degeneracy is stable to perturbations, so that the X-cube model [26] describes a stable, gapped phase of matter.

The X-cube phase has two types of gapped, topological excitations. The first are point-like, immobile excitations (the “fractons”) which are created by acting on the ground-state with an operator supported on a flat, rectangular region. The fracton excitations are created at the *corners* of this membrane and may only be created in groups of four. No local operator can move a single fracton without creating other excitations in the system. While individual fracton excitations are immobile, a *pair* of fractons are mobile within a plane and have bosonic self-statistics. In the X-cube fracton phase, there is a second type of point-like topological excitation, referred to as a “dimension-1 quasiparticle”, which may only move along straight lines without creating ad-




FIG. 5. **Composite Flux Loop Condensation:** The operator $\sigma_{ss'}^x \mu_{ss'}^x$, when acting on the decoupled layers of the two-dimensional Z_2 phase, creates four flux excitations in orthogonal planes, as shown. Condensing this composite flux leads to the “X-cube” fracton topological phase, with fracton operator \mathcal{O}_c as given in the main text, and shown in Fig. 7.

ditional excitations. Pairs of fractons which are mobile within planes exhibit π mutual statistics with dim.-1 quasiparticles contained within their plane of motion.

2. Isotropic Layer Construction

To observe the emergence of the X-cube phase in our layer construction, we start from the decoupled phase of the Hamiltonian (3) and increase t , while keeping J and h fixed. Acting with the operator $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x \mu_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x$ on the ground-state of the decoupled planes of toric codes creates four Z_2 fluxes in orthogonal layers, which form a closed loop on the dual lattice as shown in Fig. 5a. We refer to this excitation as a *composite flux loop*.

The X-cube fracton phase emerges after condensation of this composite flux loop. When $t \gg J, h$, we let $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x \mu_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x = +1$ and define an effective spin degree of freedom for every link of the cubic lattice as $\tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x \equiv \sigma_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x$, $\tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z \equiv \sigma_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z \mu_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z$. We then obtain an effective Hamiltonian in perturbation theory as

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} = H_{\text{X-cube}} - h \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z \quad (8)$$

where

$$H_{\text{X-cube}} = -J \sum_{\mathbf{r}, j} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} - K \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r}} \quad (9)$$

with $K \sim O(J^6/t^5)$. Here, $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ is a four-spin operator for the spins along the four links emanating from site \mathbf{r} that lie in the j^{th} plane:

$$A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} = \prod_{\mathbf{s} \in \text{plane}_j(\mathbf{r})} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}}^x \quad (10)$$

while the operator $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r}}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r}} &\equiv B_{\mathbf{r}}^{(xy)} B_{\mathbf{r}}^{(yz)} B_{\mathbf{r}}^{(xz)} B_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{x}}^{(yz)} B_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{y}}^{(xz)} B_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{z}}^{(xy)} \\ &= \prod_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \in \text{cube}(\mathbf{r})} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$




FIG. 6. **Loop-Gas Representation:** Acting on the X-cube ground-state with (a) a straight, line-like operator W_z creates a pair of Z_2 flux excitations at the ends. This operator “cuts” open a closed loop configuration in the fracton ground-state; alternatively, this operator adds two open strings at its endpoints, when acting on an empty region. For the indicated loop configuration, acting with (b) a membrane operator \mathcal{M}_Σ yields two separated, open strings of composite flux. An isolated string endpoint cannot be moved on its own, or else it would be possible to generate a configuration of broken loops that violate the global constraints explained in Sec. IIB. These isolated excitations are the immobile fractons.

is precisely the product of the twelve τ^z spins surrounding an elementary cube as shown in Fig. 7. The Hamiltonian $H_{\text{X-cube}}$ is precisely the commuting Hamiltonian that describes the “fixed-point” properties of the X-cube fracton phase, as introduced in Ref. [26]. The ground-state at the solvable point satisfies $\mathcal{O}_r |\Psi\rangle = A_r^{(j)} |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$ for all r, j . Excitations may be created by acting with string or membrane operators to violate these constraints. The operators \mathcal{O}_r and $A_r^{(j)}$ measure the Z_2 “charge” of the fracton and dimension-1 excitations (i.e. the presence or absence of an excitation), respectively.

3. Loop Gas Wavefunction, Excitations and Degeneracy

Our layer construction provides insight into the origin of the exotic topological excitations and sub-extensive ground-state degeneracy of the X-cube phase. First, the ground-state wavefunction of the X-cube fracton phase may be written as a superposition of composite flux loop excitations of the layered 2D toric codes; heuristically

$$|\Psi_{\text{X-cube}}\rangle \sim \sum_{\mathcal{C}} |\mathcal{C}\rangle \quad (12)$$

with \mathcal{C} , a configuration of loops on the dual lattice. Excitations may be obtained at the end-points of “broken” composite flux loops. We note, however, that while any closed loop configuration is permissible in the ground-state, the manner in which these loops may be broken is highly constrained. First, a composite flux loop that has broken at a single point is precisely a 2D Z_2 flux excitation of the underlying toric codes. Since these excitations must appear in pairs, the composite flux loops

can only be broken at *pairs* of points along xy , yz or xz planes. As an example, acting on the ground-state with $W_z = \prod_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x$, a string operator along a line in the z -direction, has the effect of cutting composite flux loops at two points, as shown in Fig. 6a, and the resulting pair of 2D Z_2 fluxes may move within a plane. This operator creates the mobile anyon excitations in the X-cube phase, which clearly inherit their “topological” properties (i.e. self-statistics and mutual statistics with the dimension-1 quasiparticle), from the 2D Z_2 fluxes in the toric code.

An isolated string endpoint is precisely the fracton excitation of the X-cube model, and may be obtained by spatially separating the broken endpoints of composite flux loops. An isolated string endpoint cannot be moved on its own without creating additional excitations, for if such an endpoint were mobile, then it would be possible to smoothly deform a configuration of open strings into one which violates the previously-derived constraint. The immobile, isolated endpoints then describe the fracton excitations of the X-cube phase. In practice, acting on the ground-state with a flat membrane operator $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma = \prod_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \in \Sigma} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^x$ will localize these excitations at the corners of Σ , as shown in Fig. 6b. In the decoupled toric codes, this operator creates a sequence of 2D Z_2 fluxes in parallel layers. After condensing the composite flux loops, however, only the ends of this layered excitation carry an energy cost, since the bulk of this excitation is locally indistinguishable from a composite flux loop.

The topological degeneracy of the X-cube fracton topological phase – which was previously obtained [26] by counting independent constraints on the operators \mathcal{O}_r , $A_r^{(j)}$ on the three-torus using an algebraic representation of the solvable Hamiltonian [21, 22] – may now be understood by counting the number of topological sectors of the decoupled, two-dimensional toric codes that are made equivalent after condensation of the composite flux loop. A more extensive discussion of this counting is presented in the Supplemental Material [63].

C. Z_N X-cube Topological Phase

The spirit of our proposal motivates the construction of new, three-dimensional topological orders, by appropriately condensing “loop” objects in an array of two-dimensional topological phases. An extended discussion of new topological phases that may be built in this manner is the subject of forthcoming work [62]. Here, we discuss the simplest generalization of our construction, involving an array of two-dimensional Z_N toric codes [14] in the same configuration as shown in Fig. 1, which gives rise to a Z_N generalization of the X-cube model. After arranging the Z_N toric codes in a three-dimensional array, each link of the three-dimensional cubic lattice now has two Z_N qudit degrees of freedom, denoted $X_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}$, $Z_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}$ and $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}$, $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}$ respectively, and satisfying the algebra $XZ = \omega ZX$, $\tilde{X}\tilde{Z} = \omega\tilde{Z}\tilde{X}$ with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/N}$. We now




FIG. 7. **The Z_N X-cube Model:** Shown are the commuting operators that appear in the solvable Hamiltonian for the Z_N X-cube model $H = -K \sum_c (\mathcal{O}_c + \mathcal{O}_c^\dagger) - J \sum_{\mathbf{r}, j} (A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} + A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)\dagger})$. The Z_2 case discussed in Sec. IB, is obtained by replacing \mathbf{Z} , $\mathbf{Z}^\dagger \rightarrow \tau^z$ and \mathbf{X} , $\mathbf{X}^\dagger \rightarrow \tau^x$.

consider the Hamiltonian

$$H' = H'_0 + H'_1 \quad (13)$$

where H_0 describes the de-coupled layers of Z_N toric codes

$$H'_0 = -J \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{j=xy,yz,xz} [A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} + B_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}] + \text{h.c.} \quad (14)$$

with $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ and $B_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ the Z_N charge and flux operators at site \mathbf{r} , for the toric code oriented along plane j , respectively. The layers are coupled through the interactions

$$H'_1 = -h \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} [Z_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} + \text{h.c.}] - t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} [X_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} \tilde{X}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} + \text{h.c.}] \quad (15)$$

Following our previous analysis, we consider the limit $h \gg J, t$, where we find that the ground-state exhibits 3D Z_N topological order. In this limit, we may identify a single Z_N degree of freedom on each link of the cubic lattice (with logical operators \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Z}), and obtain the effective Hamiltonian $H'_{\text{toric}} - t \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'} [X_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} + \text{h.c.}]$ where H'_{toric} is now the 3D Z_N toric code Hamiltonian [61]. Alternatively, when $t \gg J, h$ so that we have condensed the “ Z_N composite flux loop”, we obtain the effective Hamiltonian

$$H'_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{X-cube}_N} - h \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} [Z_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} + Z_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger] \quad (16)$$

where

$$H_{\text{X-cube}_N} = -K \sum_c [\mathcal{O}_c + \mathcal{O}_c^\dagger] - J \sum_{\mathbf{r}, j} [A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} + (A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)})^\dagger] \quad (17)$$

is the solvable Hamiltonian for the Z_N generalization of the X-cube model. The microscopic form of the operators \mathcal{O}_c and $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ are explicitly given in Fig. 7.

Since the operators \mathcal{O}_c and $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ commute, the ground-state of (17) again satisfies $\mathcal{O}_c |\Psi\rangle = A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$, and gapped excited states may be created by acting with straight Wilson line or flat membrane operators, in a straightforward generalization of the operators in the Z_2 X-cube model. Now however, the dimension-1 quasiparticles and fracton excitations carry a Z_N electric and magnetic charge, respectively, which is inherited from the underlying 2D Z_N toric codes. As a result, a pair of fractons which are mobile in a plane have bosonic self-statistics, but non-trivial mutual statistics $e^{i\theta_{pq}}$ with a dimension-1 quasiparticle of charge $q \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ in its plane of motion. Here, the statistical angle $\theta_{pq} = 2\pi pq/N$, where p is the magnetic charge carried by the fracton excitation.

II. PHASE DIAGRAM

We now turn to a discussion of the rich phase diagram of the coupled Z_N toric codes, as described by the Hamiltonian (13). Our results are summarized in the diagrams shown in Fig. 8a and b when $N < 5$ and $N \geq 5$, respectively. Both figures include the four distinct phases discussed previously, i.e.

- I. $J \gg h, t$: Decoupled 2D Z_N Topological Phase
- II. $h \gg J, t$: 3D Z_N Topological Phase
- III. $t \gg J, h$: Z_N X-Cube Fracton Phase
- IV. $h, t \gg J$: Trivial, Confined Phase

The remaining details in the phase diagram arise from an interesting duality between the Hamiltonian (13) when $h = 0$, and the 3D Z_N toric code in the presence of a field; increasing the strength of this field eventually leads to condensation of the Z_N flux loops and results in a trivial, confined phase. From this duality, and from knowledge of the phase structure of Z_N lattice gauge theory, we deduce that when $N < 5$, the Z_N X-cube model has a direct, first-order transition to a phase where the layers are effectively decoupled. When $N \geq 5$, however, there is an intermediate phase which is dual to a Coulomb phase that is known to appear in the phase diagram of (3+1)-d Z_N lattice gauge theory [40, 41], along the line $h = 0$. We argue, however, that this phase is gapped and topologically trivial when $h > 0$. Interestingly, one of the transitions out of the gapless phase is believed to be continuous in numerical studies [43, 44], which presents the intriguing possibility that there exists a continuum field theory description for the Z_N X-cube phase.

Several features of the phase diagrams in Fig. 8, including the manner in which these phases meet at the center of the phase diagram, as well as the generic behavior of the transitions between the Z_N X-cube and trivial confined phases, are unknown. We conclude by presenting a solvable projector model in which the transition is believed to be first-order, and by speculating on directions for future work.




FIG. 8. **Schematic Phase Diagram of the Coupled System:** The duality derived in Sec. II relates the points $(x, y) = (t/J, 0)$ with $(J/t, 1)$ that lie along the black and blue arrows, respectively, in the schematic phase diagrams above. From knowledge of the phase diagram of (3+1)-d Z_N lattice gauge theory, we argue that when $N < 5$, there is a direct, first-order transition between the X-cube phase and the decoupled phase. Alternatively, when $N \geq 5$, there must be an intermediate phase along the line $h = 0$ which is dual to a Coulomb phase that appears in the phase diagram of the (3+1)-d Z_N lattice gauge system. We argue that this phase is unstable [50] and becomes a gapped, topologically trivial phase when $h > 0$. From Monte Carlo studies of the transition along the blue arrow, between the deconfined phase of Z_N gauge theory and the Coulomb phase [43], we believe that the dual transition along the $h = 0$ line, into the fracton topological phase in (b), is continuous.

A. Confinement Transition from the Z_N Topological Phase

We begin from the top left corner of the phase diagram ($h \gg J, t$), where our system is in a 3D Z_N topological phase. Increasing the strength of the coupling t eventually leads to a condensation of Z_N flux loops, and the associated phase transition is captured by the finite-temperature behavior of the 4D classical Z_N lattice gauge theory with a “Wilsonian” imaginary time action $S_W[\theta] = \beta K \sum_p \cos[(\Delta \times \theta)_p]$, where θ is a Z_N variable defined on the links of the 4D cubic lattice, while $(\Delta \times \theta)_p$ is the lattice curl around plaquette p , and the sum is over all plaquettes on the 4D lattice.

When $N < 5$, classical Monte Carlo studies [43, 44] have observed a direct, first-order phase transition between a disordered phase where the magnetic flux loops have condensed at high temperatures, to one where they remain energetically costly, with the expectation value of classical Wilson loop operators decaying as the exponential of the area of an enclosed region (“area-law”) and as their total length (“perimeter-law”), respectively, in the two phases. In our problem, these two phases correspond to a trivial loop condensate and a phase with Z_N topological order, respectively, as indicated in the top portion of the phase diagram in Fig. 8a.

When N is large ($N \geq 5$), *three* phases are observed in Monte Carlo studies of the classical 4D Z_N lattice gauge theory [42–44]. This rich phase structure may be understood in a variety of ways. To begin, we recall that a similar phenomenon occurs in 2D classical, Z_k -symmetric

spin models when k is sufficiently large. In addition to a low-temperature symmetry-breaking phase and a disordered phase at high temperatures, there is an intermediate phase with algebraic correlations that resembles the low-temperature behavior of the XY model. The existence of this XY-like phase with an emergent global $U(1)$ symmetry may be motivated by recalling that in the classical 2D XY model, Z_k anisotropy is a marginally irrelevant perturbation when $k \geq 4$ [37]. A more rigorous argument for the existence of this phase, even when the anisotropy is infinitely strong, is provided in Ref. [40].

A similar argument may be used for the presence of an intermediate phase with emergent $U(1)$ gauge symmetry in the phase diagram of 4D Z_N lattice gauge theory in the large- N limit [40–42]. Ref. [41] studied a Villain form of the 4D Z_N gauge theory, which exhibits a discrete analog of the electric-magnetic self-duality of compact $U(1)$ gauge theory [39], and whose action may be re-written as that of Maxwell electrodynamics in the presence of both electric and magnetic charges. While the action exhibits only a Z_N gauge symmetry, it was argued that both the electric and magnetic excitations are strongly suppressed near the self-dual point in the large- N limit, so that the effective action is that of pure Maxwell electromagnetism. As a result, when $N \geq 5$, there is a “Coulomb phase” that is encountered in the top region of the phase diagram in Fig. 8b, with the Z_N variable θ effectively behaving as the emergent $U(1)$ gauge field.

These three phases may be distinguished by the behavior of the gauge-invariant Wilson loop operator W_C , which creates a closed tube of electric flux, along with its

(electric-magnetic) dual $\Gamma_{\tilde{C}}$, which is a membrane-like operator that creates a closed magnetic flux loop along loop \tilde{C} on the dual lattice. In the deconfined phase of the Z_N gauge theory, a large Wilson loop W_C exhibits perimeter-law behavior while $\Gamma_{\tilde{C}}$ has area-law behavior, while the opposite behavior occurs in the confined phase. In the intermediate phase, however, both charge and loop excitations are suppressed [41] and neither excitation has condensed. As a result, the Wilson loop operator W_C must exhibit perimeter-law behavior [41]. Since this phase is self-dual under the electric-magnetic duality transformation, the *same* behavior holds for $\Gamma_{\tilde{C}}$. As argued in Ref. [38], due to the non-trivial statistics of Z_N charges and flux loops, perimeter-law behavior for both operators can only occur in the presence of a gapless gauge field, which is consistent with the emergence of a Coulomb phase.

It remains unclear whether the transition between the Coulomb and confined phases is continuous [45, 46] or weakly first-order [47, 48]. However, the transition between the deconfined phase of the Z_N gauge theory and the Coulomb phase appears continuous in Monte Carlo studies [42–44]. Finally, as N increases, the region of stability for the Z_N topological phase shrinks, while the second transition from the Coulomb phase to the confined phase remains robust [43]. This is consistent with the expectation that the limit “ $N \rightarrow +\infty$ ”, should somehow reproduce the phase diagram of the pure (3+1)-d compact $U(1)$ gauge theory.

B. Transition(s) from the decoupled phase to the Z_N X-cube phase

We now study the bottom half of the phase diagrams shown in Fig. 8a & b. We first demonstrate that the transition from the decoupled layers of 2D toric codes to the X-cube fracton phase is *dual* to the confinement transition for the (3+1)-d Z_N gauge theory, which is driven by the condensation of flux loops. This result is intuitively apparent from our “loop-gas” representation of the X-cube phase, which suggests that the transition from the decoupled layers must be driven by the condensation of a loop excitation. Physically, our duality transformation maps the closed composite flux loops in the ground-state of the X-cube phase into the closed electric flux loops in the ground-state of the Z_N topological phase. We emphasize that this duality relates the bulk spectrum of two seemingly distinct physical systems, and provides relations between certain local operators on both sides.

We begin by explicitly implementing our lattice duality transformation on the Hamiltonian for the coupled Z_2 toric codes (3) when $h = 0$, before discussing its consequences. In practice, our transformation is identical to the (2+1)-d Wegner duality that maps the transverse-field Ising model to the (2+1)-d Z_2 gauge theory [1], in *each layer* of our coupled system; as a result, the generalization of this duality for the Z_N case is apparent from




FIG. 9. **Bond-Plaquette Duality:** We provide a dual representation of the Hamiltonian (3) by introducing spins (η) on the links of the dual cubic lattice, which measure the flux through an elementary plaquette in the decoupled layers. This dual representation allows us to demonstrate that the transition from the decoupled layers to the Z_N X-cube phase is dual to a confinement transition in Z_N gauge theory.

our following discussion. Recall that the Hamiltonian (3) for the coupled toric codes when $h = 0$ is given by

$$H = -J \sum_{r,j} [A_r^{(j)} + B_r^{(j)}] - t \sum_{\langle r,r' \rangle} \sigma_{rr'}^x \mu_{rr'}^x \quad (18)$$

We recognize that the 2D Z_2 charge operator $A_r^{(j)}$ and the operator $\mathcal{O}_r = B_r^{(xy)} B_r^{(yz)} B_r^{(xz)} B_{r+\hat{x}}^{(yz)} B_{r+\hat{y}}^{(xz)} B_{r+\hat{z}}^{(xy)}$, which is the product of six flux operators around an elementary cube, as in Eq. (11), commute with the Hamiltonian (18) and with each other $[\mathcal{O}_r, A_{r'}^{(j)}] = [A_r^{(j)}, H] = [\mathcal{O}_r, H] = 0$. Therefore, we work in a restricted Hilbert space where

$$A_r^{(j)} |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_r |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle \quad (19)$$

without loss of generality.

We now introduce a dual description of the transition from the decoupled theory ($t \ll J$) to the X-cube phase ($J \gg t$) that solves the first of these constraints, by introducing spins (η) on the links of the dual cubic lattice. These spins are to be interpreted as measuring the flux through a plaquette in the decoupled toric code layers. We implement the dual representation by performing the replacements

$$B_r^{(j)} \longrightarrow \eta_{r,j}^x \quad (20)$$

$$\sigma_{rs}^x \mu_{rs}^x \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{rs} \equiv \prod_{r',j \in \text{plaq}_{rs}} \eta_{r',j}^z \quad (21)$$

where \mathcal{B}_{rs} is a four-spin operator on a plaquette of the dual lattice pierced by the link $\langle r, s \rangle$ as shown in Fig. 9.

The duality transformation preserves the algebra of local operators in (18), and naturally solves the constraint $A_r^{(j)} |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$. Furthermore, the dual description of the operator \mathcal{O}_r is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r = \prod_{s,j \in \text{star}_r} \eta_{s,j}^x \quad (22)$$

where \mathcal{A}_r is precisely the six-spin Z_2 charge operator which acts along a “star” configuration of the η spins at

each site of the dual cubic lattice. We therefore find that the dual Hamiltonian is precisely

$$H_{\text{dual}} = -J \sum_{\mathbf{r}, j} \eta_{\mathbf{r}, j}^x - t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} \quad (23)$$

supplemented by the Z_2 Gauss's law constraint on the dual cubic lattice

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{r}} |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle \quad (24)$$

which describes the confinement transition for a 3D Z_2 topological phase driven by the condensation of flux loops. As advertised, the lattice duality relates the Z_2 X-cube phase to the deconfined phase of (3+1)-d Z_2 gauge theory, while the decoupled toric code layers are dual to the trivial, confined phase.

Our duality transformation establishes that the bulk transition from the decoupled toric codes to the X-cube fracton phase is dual to flux loop condensation in the 3D Z_2 gauge theory, which is known to be a direct, first-order transition. The natural Z_N generalization of this duality transformation leads us to conclude that along the $h = 0$ line of the phase diagram (i) when $N < 5$, there is a direct, first-order transition between the decoupled layers and the X-cube phase and (ii) when $N \geq 5$, there is an intermediate gapless phase for the layered system, which is dual to the Coulomb phase that emerges in the phase diagram of the (3+1)-d Z_N gauge theory. From our duality transformation, it appears that this gapless “dual Coulomb” phase along the $h = 0$ line of the phase diagram is characterized by an emergent $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, which should be generated by the natural generalization of the Gauss's law condition $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r}} = +1$ for the X-cube fracton phase. The $U(1)$ generalization of this condition may be read off from Fig. 7; if we consider an integer-valued “electric field” tensor E_{ij} with vanishing diagonal components $E_{ii} = 0$, and a conjugate gauge field A_{ij} , then this Gauss's law takes the simple form

$$\Delta_i \Delta_j E_{ij} = 0 \quad (25)$$

with Δ_i , the lattice derivative along direction i , and summation performed over repeated indices.

The “higher-rank” compact $U(1)$ gauge theory [28, 49] defined by this Gauss's law condition is equivalent to a generalized dimer model studied in Ref. [50], which was shown to be in a gapped phase with crystalline order due to the proliferation of topological defects in the gauge field configurations (i.e. “monopole” events). Due to this result, we believe that the dual Coulomb phase is unstable, and gives way to a gapped, topologically trivial phase with conventional long-range order when $h > 0$. The $h = 0$ line then corresponds to the fine-tuned limit where monopole events in the gauge field are suppressed. This conclusion is consistent with the fact that the perturbation $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z \mu_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z$ corresponds to a highly non-local operator in terms of the dual η spins, and with our expectation for the behavior of the phase diagram in the $N \rightarrow +\infty$ limit.

The nature of the transitions between this trivial phase, the X-cube phase and the decoupled layers are unknown when $h > 0$. When $h = 0$, however, our duality mapping suggests that the phase transition from the X-cube phase to the dual Coulomb phase is dual to the transition between the deconfined phase of (3+1)-d Z_N gauge theory and the ordinary Coulomb phase, which may be continuous [42, 43], though we are unaware of the continuum field theory that governs the properties of this transition.

We conclude this section by identifying the following order parameters

$$W_{\Sigma} \equiv \prod_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \rangle \in \Sigma} X_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}} \widetilde{X}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}} \quad \Gamma_{\Sigma} \equiv \prod_{(p,j) \in \Sigma} B_p^{(j)} \quad (26)$$

that distinguish the X-cube phase, the decoupled phase and the dual Coulomb phase along the line $h = 0$. Here, Σ is a two-dimensional region with area A_{Σ} and perimeter P_{Σ} . The product appearing in the definition of W_{Σ} is taken along the bonds perpendicular to the region Σ , and this operator may be thought of as inserting a composite flux loop along the boundary of Σ . Furthermore, Γ_{Σ} is the product of the plaquette operators that measure the 2D Z_N flux through the region Σ . Our notation is meant to emphasize that these operators are dual to the Wilson loop and membrane operators that distinguish the various phases of the Z_N gauge theory. From our discussion in Sec. IIA, we determine that the operators W_{Σ} , Γ_{Σ} exhibit the following behavior along the $h = 0$ line, when the region Σ is sufficiently large:

- Decoupled Phase: $\langle W_{\Sigma} \rangle \sim e^{-\sigma A_{\Sigma}}$, $\langle \Gamma_{\Sigma} \rangle \sim e^{-c P_{\Sigma}}$
- Dual Coulomb Phase: $\langle W_{\Sigma} \rangle, \langle \Gamma_{\Sigma} \rangle \sim e^{-c P_{\Sigma}}$
- X-cube Phase: $\langle W_{\Sigma} \rangle \sim e^{-c P_{\Sigma}}$, $\langle \Gamma_{\Sigma} \rangle \sim e^{-\sigma A_{\Sigma}}$

C. Confinement of the X-cube fracton phase

The generic behavior of the confinement transition for the Z_N X-cube fracton topological phase – by condensing the dimension-1 quasiparticle excitations – are not known. This transition occurs in the far right region of the phase diagrams in Fig. 8. Instead of studying this transition directly, however, we propose a solvable Hamiltonian that interpolates between the Z_2 X-cube fracton topological order and a confined phase in this section and determine that the transition is first-order within this model. It is unknown whether this captures the generic behavior of this transition, outside of the solvable model.

We construct a solvable projector model by placing spins (τ) on the links of a three-dimensional cubic lattice. Now, however, we consider the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{\mathbf{r}, j} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} + J \sum_{\mathbf{r}, j} \left[\prod_{\mathbf{s} \in \text{plane}_j(\mathbf{r})} e^{-h \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}}^z / J} \right] \quad (27)$$

supplemented by the constraint

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r}} |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle. \quad (28)$$

where $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} = \prod_{\mathbf{s} \in \text{plane}_j(\mathbf{r})} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}}^x$ is the four-spin operator in the X-cube model that is oriented in the j th plane, and measures the presence of a dimension-1 quasiparticle excitation at site \mathbf{r} . As before, the operator $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the 12-spin τ^z operator as defined in Eq. (11) that measures the fracton “charge” at a cube $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r}} = \prod_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}' \in \text{cube}(\mathbf{r})} \tau_{\mathbf{r}'\mathbf{s}}^z$. We observe that the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} exhibits both the Z_2 X-cube fracton phase ($h \ll J$) as well as a trivial confined phase ($h \gg J$). Furthermore, when $h \ll J$, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} reduces to the effective Hamiltonian considered previously for the confinement transition of the X-cube phase

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -J \sum_{\mathbf{r}, j} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} - 2h \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z + O(h^2/J) \quad (29)$$

The full Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} (27) is a sum of projection operators $\Pi_{\mathbf{r}, j} \equiv -A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)} + \prod_{\mathbf{s} \in \text{plane}_j(\mathbf{r})} e^{-h\tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}}^x/J}$ and therefore has a positive semi-definite spectrum. As a result, a ground-state of the Hamiltonian is found by explicitly constructing a zero-energy wavefunction. Projector Hamiltonians similar to (27) have been extensively studied by considering generalizations of the Rokhsar-Kivelson (RK) point in the two-dimensional quantum dimer model [32–36], where the ground-state may be written as an equal-amplitude superposition of dimer configurations. For these generalized RK models, the Hamiltonian is related to the Markovian transition matrix for a classical system which satisfies detailed balance [34]. Spatial correlation functions of certain “diagonal” operators in the ground-state of the quantum model are identical to classical correlation functions in equilibrium.

Let $|\psi_{\text{fracton}}\rangle$ be a ground-state of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} when $h = 0$, i.e. one of the ground-states of the solvable X-cube fracton Hamiltonian. We may write $|\psi_{\text{fracton}}\rangle$ explicitly as

$$|\psi_{\text{fracton}}\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{r}, j} \left(\frac{1 + A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}}{2} \right) |\tau_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}'}^z = +1\rangle \quad (30)$$

where $|\tau_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}'}^z = +1\rangle$ is the state with all spins polarized in the z -direction. The ground-state of \mathcal{H} is then given by

$$|\Psi_{\text{gs}}\rangle \sim \prod_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \rangle} e^{h\tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}}^z/2J} |\psi_{\text{fracton}}\rangle \quad (31)$$

It is straightforward to check that $|\Psi_{\text{gs}}\rangle$ is indeed a zero-energy eigenstate of the Hamiltonian, as it is annihilated by all of the projectors $\Pi_{\mathbf{r}, j}$.

It is convenient to re-cast the ground-state wavefunction in an alternate form. Observe that configuration of spins appearing in the state $|\psi_{\text{fracton}}\rangle$ may equivalently be specified by the action of the $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ operators on the reference state $|\tau_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}'}^z = +1\rangle$. As a result, the ground-state wavefunction $|\Psi_{\text{gs}}\rangle$ may be re-written in terms of dual Ising variables μ, σ at the sites of a three-dimensional cubic lattice, which label the presence or absence of a particular operator $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}$ acting on the reference configuration. In this dual representation, the ground-state takes the form

$$|\Psi_{\text{gs}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{Z}}} \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \sum_{\{\mu\}} e^{-\beta H/2} |\{\sigma\}, \{\mu\}\rangle \quad (32)$$

where the classical Hamiltonian βH is given by

$$\beta H = \frac{h}{J} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} [\sigma_{\mathbf{r}} \sigma_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{x}} + \mu_{\mathbf{r}} \mu_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{y}} + \sigma_{\mathbf{r}} \mu_{\mathbf{r}} \sigma_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{z}} \mu_{\mathbf{r}+\hat{z}}] \quad (33)$$

and \mathcal{Z} is the partition function $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \sum_{\{\mu\}} e^{-\beta H}$ for this classical model.

Preliminary Monte Carlo studies of the classical Hamiltonian βH reveal a first-order phase transition when $h/J \approx 1.13$ [51, 52], which implies the presence of a first-order phase transition in our model. For example, the expectation value of the magnetization $M = \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \tau_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^z$ is precisely the energy of the classical system $E = \langle \Psi | M | \Psi \rangle$, which exhibits a discontinuity at the putative phase transition point [51, 52]. Classical correlation functions that are not invariant under the Z_2 transformation $\sigma \rightarrow -\sigma, \mu \rightarrow -\mu$ along any plane of the cubic lattice must vanish, as this is a symmetry of the classical Hamiltonian (33). To our knowledge, however, the behavior of higher-point correlation functions near the classical phase transition have not yet been studied. An intriguing possibility is that the *dynamical* behavior of this classical system is “glassy” in a certain range of couplings h/J [51, 52], which would imply in the quantum-mechanical problem that the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} has a gapless spectrum, and that certain correlation functions exhibit power-law decay in time [34, 35], while remaining spatially short-ranged. We leave an exploration of this exotic possibility to future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Liang Fu for helpful discussions and comments. This work is supported in part by DoE Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under Award de-sc0010526, and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY11-25915.

-
- [1] F. Wegner, “Duality in Generalized Ising Models and Phase Transitions without Local Order Parameters”, *J. Math Phys.* **12**, 2259 (1971).
- [2] E. Fradkin and S. Shenker, “Phase diagrams of lattice gauge theories with Higgs fields”, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 3682 (1979).
- [3] S. C. Zhang, T. H. Hansson, and S. Kivelson, “Effective-Field-Theory Model for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 82 (1989).
- [4] X. G. Wen and Q. Niu, “Ground-state degeneracy of the fractional quantum Hall states in the presence of a random potential and on high-genus Riemann surfaces”, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 9377 (1990).
- [5] S. Sachdev and N. Read, “Large- N expansion for frustrated quantum antiferromagnets”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1773 (1991).
- [6] X. G. Wen, “Mean-field theory of spin-liquid states with finite energy gap and topological orders”, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 2664 (1991).
- [7] T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, “ Z_2 gauge theory of electron fractionalization in strongly correlated systems”, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 7850 (2000).
- [8] R. Moessner, S. L. Sondhi, “Resonating Valence Bond Phase in the Triangular Lattice Quantum Dimer Model”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 1881 (2001).
- [9] M. Hermele, M. P. A. Fisher, L. Balents, “Pyrochlore photons: The $U(1)$ spin liquid in a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ three-dimensional frustrated magnet”, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 064404 (2004).
- [10] X. G. Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).
- [11] B. I. Halperin, “Statistics of Quasiparticles and the Hierarchy of Fractional Quantized Hall States”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1583 (1984).
- [12] D. Arovas, J. R. Schrieffer, and F. Wilczek, “Fractional Statistics and the Quantum Hall Effect”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 722 (1984).
- [13] A. Kitaev, “Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond”, *Ann. Phys.* **321**, 2 (2006).
- [14] A. Kitaev, “Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons”, *Ann. Phys.* **303**, 2 (2003).
- [15] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083 (2008).
- [16] S. Bravyi and A. Kitaev, *Quantum Computers and Computing*, 2, 43 (2001).
- [17] M. H. Freedman and D. A. Meyer, *Found. Comput. Math.* **1**, 325 (2001).
- [18] N. Read, “Topological phases and quasiparticle braiding”, *Physics Today*, **65**, 38 (2012);
- [19] C. Chamon, “Quantum Glassiness in Strongly Correlated Clean Systems: An Example of Topological Overprotection”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 040402 (2005).
- [20] S. Bravyi, B. Leemhuis, and B. Terhal, “Topological order in an exactly solvable 3D spin model”, *Ann. Phys.* **326**, 839 (2011).
- [21] J. Haah, “Commuting Pauli Hamiltonians as maps between free modules”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **324** 351-399 (2013).
- [22] J. Haah, “Local stabilizer codes in three dimensions without string logical operators”, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 042330 (2011).
- [23] B. Yoshida, “Exotic topological order in fractal spin liquids”, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 125122 (2013).
- [24] S. Vijay, J. Haah and L. Fu, “A New Kind of Topological Quantum Order: A Dimensional Hierarchy of Quasiparticles Built from Stationary Excitations”, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 235136 (2015).
- [25] S. Bravyi and J. Haah, “Quantum Self-Correction in the 3D Cubic Code Model”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 200501 (2013).
- [26] S. Vijay, J. Haah and L. Fu, “Fracton Topological Order, Generalized Lattice Gauge Theory and Duality”, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 235157 (2016).
- [27] D. Williamson, “Fractal Symmetries: Ungauging the Cubic Code”, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 155128 (2016).
- [28] M. Pretko, “Sub-dimensional Particle Structure of Higher Rank $U(1)$ Spin Liquids”, arXiv:1604.05329
- [29] I. H. Kim and J. Haah, “Localization from Superselection Rules in Translationally Invariant Systems”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 027202 (2016).
- [30] S. Bravyi, M. Hastings and S. Michalakis, “Topological quantum order: stability under local perturbations”, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** 093512 (2010).
- [31] A. Hamma, P. Zanardi, and X.-G. Wen, “String and membrane condensation on three-dimensional lattices”, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 035307 (2005).
- [32] D. Rokhsar and S. Kivelson, “Superconductivity and the Quantum Hard-Core Dimer Gas”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2376 (1988).
- [33] E. Ardonne, P. Fendley, and E. Fradkin, *Ann. Phys.* **310**, 493 (2004).
- [34] C.L. Henley, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **16** S891 (2004).
- [35] C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, and D. Sherrington, “Quantum mechanical and information theoretic view on classical glass transitions”, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 184303 (2010).
- [36] C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, C. Mudry, and P. Pujol, *Ann. of Phys.* **318**, **316** (2005); C. Castelnovo and C. Chamon, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 054433 (2008).
- [37] J. V. José, L. P. Kadanoff, S. Kirkpatrick, and D. R. Nelson, “Renormalization, vortices, and symmetry-breaking perturbations in the two-dimensional planar model”, *Phys. Rev. B* **16**, 1217 (1977).
- [38] G. ‘t Hooft, “On the phase transition towards permanent quark confinement”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B138**, 1 (1978).
- [39] A. Casher, “Self-Dual $Z(N)$ Gauge Theories”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **151**, 353 (1979).
- [40] S. Elitzur, R. B. Pearson, and J. Shigemitsu, “Phase structure of discrete Abelian spin and gauge systems”, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 3698 (1979).
- [41] A. Ukawa, P. Windey, and A. H. Guth, “Dual variables for lattice gauge theories and the phase structure of $Z(N)$ systems”, *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 1013 (1980).
- [42] B. Svetitsky and L. G. Yaffe, “Critical behavior at finite-temperature confinement transitions”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **210**(4):423-447 (1982).
- [43] M. Creutz, L. Jacobs and C. Rebbi, “Monte Carlo Studies of Abelian Lattice Gauge Theories”, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 1915 (1979).
- [44] M. Creutz, L. Jacobs and C. Rebbi, “Experiments with a Gauge-Invariant Ising System”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1390 (1979).

- [45] J. Jersák, C. B. Lang, and T. Neuhaus, “Non-Gaussian Fixed Point in Four-Dimensional Pure Compact $U(1)$ Gauge Theory on the Lattice”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1933 (1996).
- [46] J. Jersák, T. Neuhaus, and H. Pfeiffer, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 054502 (1999).
- [47] H. G. Evertz, T. Jersak, T. Neuhaus, and P. M. Zerwas, “Tricritical point in lattice QED”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **251**, 279-298 (1985).
- [48] G. Arnold, B. Bunk, T. Lippert and K. Schilling, “Compact QED under scrutiny: it’s first order”, arXiv:hep-lat/0210010.
- [49] A. Rasmussen, Y.-Z. You, and C. Xu, “Stable Gapless Bose Liquid Phases without any Symmetry”, arXiv:1601.08235.
- [50] C. Xu and C. Wu, “Resonating plaquette phases in $SU(4)$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet”, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 134449 (2008).
- [51] D.A. Johnston and R. P. K. C. M. Ranasinghe, “The Dual Goniherdic Ising Model”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, 295004 (2011).
- [52] D. A. Johnston, R. P. K. C. M. Ranasinghe, “Another Dual Goniherdic Ising Model”, arXiv:1106.0325.
- [53] G. K. Savvidy and F. J. Wegner, “Geometrical string and spin systems”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **413**, 605 (1994).
- [54] G. K. Savvidy and K. G. Savvidy, “Self-avoiding surfaces and spin systems”, *Physics Letters B* **324** 72-77 (1994).
- [55] G. K. Savvidy and K. G. Savvidy, “Interaction hierarchy: string and quantum gravity”, *Modern Physics Letters A* **11** 1379-1396 (1996).
- [56] R. Pietig and F. J. Wegner, “Low temperature expansion of the goniherdic Ising model”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **525** 549-570 (1998).
- [57] A. Lipowski, D. Johnston and D. Espriu, “Slow dynamics of Ising models with energy barriers”, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 3404 (2000).
- [58] P. Dimopoulos, D. Espriu, E. Jan and A. Prats, “Slow dynamics in the three-dimensional goniherdic model”, *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 056112 (2002).
- [59] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. **150**, Springer-Verlag, (1994).
- [60] D. A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, “What Makes a Complex Exact?”, *Journal of Algebra* **25**, 259-268 (1973).
- [61] S. S. Bullock and G. K. Brennen, “Qudit surface codes and gauge theory with finite cyclic groups”, *J. Phys. A* **40**, 3481 (2007).
- [62] S. Vijay, unpublished.
- [63] Supplemental Material.

Appendix A

In the Appendix, we discuss the topological degeneracy of the Z_N X-cube models on the three-torus. We begin by elaborating on the degeneracy of the X-cube phase introduced in Ref. [24], as understood from our isotropic layer construction.

The topological degeneracy of the X-cube fracton topological phase – which was previously obtained [26] by counting independent constraints on the operators \mathcal{O}_r , $A_r^{(j)}$ on the three-torus using an algebraic representa-




FIG. 10. **2D Z_N Charge and Flux Operators**: Show are the star A_s and plaquette B_p operators that measure the Z_N charge and flux, respectively, in the 2D Z_N toric code.

tion of the solvable Hamiltonian [22] – may also be understood by counting the number of topological sectors of the decoupled, two-dimensional toric codes that are made equivalent after condensation of the composite flux. A more extensive discussion of this counting, is presented in the Supplemental Material [63]. For example, the vacuum sector of the completely decoupled theory is equivalent, after flux loop condensation, to the topological sector where a single Wilson line – which creates two-dimensional Z_2 flux excitations at its ends – wraps around all of the xy planes in the x -direction $W_x^{(xy)}$ and all of the yz planes in the z -direction $W_z^{(yz)}$. We label this topological sector of the decoupled toric codes as $W_x^{(xy)}W_z^{(yz)}$, which is now equivalent to the vacuum sector after composite flux condensation. By cyclically permuting the x , y and z indices and by taking products of the Wilson line operators, we obtain seven additional topological sectors of the decoupled theory that are equivalent to the vacuum sector in the flux condensed phase [we may explicitly enumerate these sectors, adopting the previous notation, as $W_y^{(yz)}W_x^{(xz)}$, $W_z^{(xz)}W_y^{(xy)}$, $W_x^{(xy)}W_z^{(yz)}W_y^{(yz)}W_x^{(xz)}$, $W_x^{(xy)}W_z^{(yz)}W_z^{(xz)}W_y^{(xy)}$, $W_y^{(yz)}W_x^{(xz)}W_z^{(xz)}W_y^{(xy)}$, and $W_x^{(xy)}W_z^{(yz)}W_y^{(yz)}W_x^{(xz)}W_z^{(xz)}W_y^{(xy)}$]. Since eight topological sectors of the decoupled theory are identified after condensation, we are led to conclude that on an $L_x \times L_y \times L_z$ three-torus, the X-cube fracton phase has topological degeneracy $D = 4^{L_x+L_y+L_z}/8$ or $\log_2 D = 2(L_x + L_y + L_z) - 3$ which reduces to the previously known degeneracy on the length- L three-torus when L is odd [26].

We may also compute the topological degeneracy of the Z_p generalization of the X-cube phase, which we have introduced in the main text, when p is prime. This fracton phase is constructed in the main text by coupling together copies of the Z_p toric code, whose charge and flux operators are shown in Fig. 10.

For the Z_p generalization of the X-cube phase, we find that the degeneracy on the length- L three-torus is given by $\log_p D = 6L - 3$ when $L = p^n - 1$, through an extension of the arguments presented in Ref. [24]. First, the algebraic representation [21, 22] of the Z_N generalization of the X-cube model is described by a stabilizer

map $S = S_x \oplus S_z$ where

$$S_x = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + \bar{x} & 1 - \bar{x} & 0 \\ 1 - \bar{y} & 0 & 1 - \bar{y} \\ 0 & -1 + \bar{z} & -1 + \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A1})$$

and

$$S_z = \begin{pmatrix} -(1-z)(1-y) \\ -(1-x)(1-z) \\ -(1-y)(1-x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Here, $\bar{x} \equiv x^{-1}$, $\bar{y} \equiv y^{-1}$, $\bar{z} \equiv z^{-1}$, and the polynomials shown are elements of the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_p[x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}]$ over the field \mathbb{F}_p with prime p . Let R be the quotient ring $R \equiv \mathbb{F}_p[x, y, z] / \langle x^L - 1, y^L - 1, z^L - 1 \rangle$. The degeneracy of the Z_p X-cube model on the length- L three-torus is given by $\log_p D = k_x + k_z$ where the quantities k_x and k_z are given by

$$k_x = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left[\frac{R}{\langle (1-z)(1-y), (1-x)(1-z), (1-y)(1-x) \rangle} \right]$$

and

$$k_z = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left[\frac{R^2}{\begin{pmatrix} -1+x & 1-y & 0 \\ 1-x & 0 & -1+z \end{pmatrix}} \right]$$

In the second expression, we have taken advantage of the fact that only two of the columns of S_x are linearly independent. Both quantities k_x and k_z may be evaluated in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p , which we denote \mathbb{F} , when the length $L = p^n - 1$, so that $x^L - 1$ has L distinct roots. In this case, we determine $k_x = 3L - 2$ by localizing at the maximal ideal $\langle x - t, y - 1, z - 1 \rangle$, where $t \neq 1$ is a root of $x^L - 1$. Similarly, k_z is determined from the fact that the second determinantal ideal of $\begin{pmatrix} -1+x & 1-y & 0 \\ 1-x & 0 & -1+z \end{pmatrix}$ is precisely $\langle (1-z)(1-y), (1-x)(1-z), (1-y)(1-x) \rangle$. As a result, localizing again at the maximal ideal $\langle x - t, y - 1, z - 1 \rangle$ yields $k_z = 3L - 1$. This yields the desired result for the topological degeneracy of the Z_p generalization of the X-cube model on a three-torus of length $L = p^n - 1$.