# Closed-form formulas for the distribution of the jumps of doubly-stochastic Poisson processes

Arturo Valdivia<sup>∗</sup>

July 26, 2021

#### Abstract

We study the obtainment of closed-form formulas for the distribution of the jumps of a doubly-stochastic Poisson process. The problem is approached in two ways. On the one hand, we translate the problem to the computation of multiple derivatives of the Hazard process cumulant generating function; this leads to a closed-form formula written in terms of Bell polynomials. On the other hand, for Hazard processes driven by Lévy processes, we use Malliavin calculus in order to express the aforementioned distributions in an appealing recursive manner. We outline the potential application of these results in credit risk.

Keywords: doubly-stochastic Poisson process; Bell polynomials; Malliavin calculus; Credit risk; Hazard process; Integrated non-Gaussian OU process. AMS MSC 2010: 60G22, 60G51, 60H07, 91G40.

## 1 Introduction

Consider an ordered series of random times  $\tau_1 \leq \ldots \leq \tau_m$  accounting for the sequenced occurence of certain events. In the context of credit risk, these random times can be seen as credit events such as the firm's value sudden deterioration, credit rate downgrade, the firm's default, etcetera. The valuation of defaultable claims (see [\[5,](#page-13-0) [18\]](#page-14-0)) is closely related to computation of the quantities

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_n > T | \mathcal{F}_t), \qquad t \ge 0, \quad n = 1, ..., m,
$$

where the *reference filtration*  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t>0}$  accounts for the information generated by all state variables.

<sup>∗</sup>Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 585, E-08007 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: arturo@valdivia.xyz

An interesting possibility to model these random times consists in considering  $\tau_1, ..., \tau_m$ as the succesive jumps of a *doubly-stochastic Poisson process (DSPP)*. That is, a timechanged Poisson process  $(P_{\Lambda_t})_{t\geq 0}$ , where the time change  $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a non-decreasing càdlàg F-adapted process starting at zero; and the Poisson process  $(P_t)_{t\geq0}$  has intensity rate equal to 1, and it is independent of  $\mathbb F$ . We refer to  $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geq0}$  as the Hazard process.

The purpose of this note is to study the obtainment of closed-form formulas for the distributions of the n-th jump of a doubly-stochastic Poisson process. We address the problem from two different approaches. First, we relate this problem to the computation of the first n derivatives of the Hazard process cumulant generating function. As shown below, the result is written in closed-form in terms of *Bell polynomials* on the aforementioned derivatives —see [\[6,](#page-13-1) [12,](#page-14-1) [16\]](#page-14-2) for details on these polynomials.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** For  $0 \le t < T$ , denote the cumulant generating function of  $\Lambda_T$  by

$$
\Psi(u) := \log \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp\{i u \Lambda_T\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right].
$$

If  $\Lambda_T$  has a finite conditional n-th moment (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}[\Lambda_T^n | \mathcal{F}_t] < \infty$ ), then the following equation holds true

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_n > T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n > t\}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{\Psi(i)}}{k! i^k} \mathbf{B}_k \left( \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u}(i), \dots, \frac{\partial^k \Psi}{\partial u^k}(i) \right), \tag{1.1}
$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_k$  is the k-th Bell polynomial.

In light of this result, two considerations are in order. On the one hand, it is desirable to consider a model for  $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geq 0}$  having a cumulant generating function  $\Psi$  being analytic (around i), so that arbitrary jumps of the doubly-stochastic Poisson process can be handled. On the other hand, it is straightforward to compute  $(1.1)$  in closed-form given a tratactable expression for the cumulant generating function  $\Psi$ . See examples in Section 2.

As a second approach, we compute the aforementioned distributions direcly, by means of the Malliavian calculus. For this approach we consider a strictly positive pure-jump Lévy process  $(L_t)_{t\geq0}$  with Lévy measure  $\nu$ , and having moments of all orders —see [\[1,](#page-13-2) [17\]](#page-14-3) and [\[7\]](#page-13-3) for a general exposition about Lévy processes and Malliavin calculus. We then assume that the Hazard process is of the form

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\Lambda_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sigma(s, z) \widetilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z), \qquad t \ge 0,
$$
\n(1.2)

where  $\tilde{N}$  is the compensated Poisson random measure associated  $(L_t)_{t\geq0}$ , and  $\sigma$  is a deterministic function, integrable with respect to  $\widetilde{N}$ . Assume further that  $\mathbb F$  is given by the natural filtration generated by the driving Lévy process  $(L_t)_{t>0}$ . In this setting, we have the following result.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** The conditional distribution of the n-th jump of doubly-stochastic Poisson process with Hazard process satisfying [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) is given by

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_n > T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n > t\}} e^{\Lambda_t} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\Lambda_t^j}{j!(k-j)!} m_{k-j}(t) \right),
$$

where the quantities  $m_0, m_1, ..., m_n$  are given recursively according to

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
m_0(t) := \exp\left\{ \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left[ e^{-\sigma(s,z)} - 1 + \sigma(s,z) \right] ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \right\},\tag{1.3}
$$

and for  $r \geq 1$ 

$$
m_{r+1}(t) = m_r(t) \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (e^{-\sigma(s,z)} - 1)\sigma(s,z)ds\nu(dz) + \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r}{k} m_{r-k}(t) \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\sigma(s,z)} \sigma^{k+1}(s,z)ds\nu(dz).
$$
 (1.4)

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section [2](#page-2-0) we present relevant examples appearing the literature. Finally in Section 3 we provide the proofs of our results.

Let us remark that eventhough our study is motivated by the valuation of defaultable claims, our results can potentially be also used in other areas; see for instance [\[2,](#page-13-4) [14,](#page-14-4) [21\]](#page-14-5) and references therein.

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>2 Examples

In many traditional models  $(e.g., [8])$  $(e.g., [8])$  $(e.g., [8])$  the Hazard processes  $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is assumed to be absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, that is,

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\Lambda_t := \int_0^t \lambda_s \, \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \ge 0,\tag{2.1}
$$

where the process  $(\lambda_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is usually refer to as the *hazard rate*, and it is seen as the instantaneous rate of default in the credit risk context. The following two examples show how to use Theorem using two prominent particular cases for the hazard rate —and consequently for the Hazard process.

**Example 2.1.** The *integrated square-root process*  $(\Lambda_t^{intSR})_{t\geq 0}$  (see [\[9\]](#page-14-7)) defined by means of [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1) where the hazard rate is given by the solution of

$$
d\lambda_t^{SR} = \vartheta(\kappa - \lambda_t^{SR})dt + \sigma \sqrt{\lambda_t^{SR}}dW_t,
$$

where  $(W_t)_{t\geq0}$  is a Brownian motion, and we assume  $\sigma>0$  and  $\vartheta\kappa\geq\sigma^2$  in order to ensure that  $(\lambda_t^{SR})_{t\geq 0}$  remains positive. Take now F as the natural filtration generated by  $(W_t)_{t\geq0}$ . It is well-known that the correspondent Hazard process has an analytic cumulant generating function given by

$$
\Psi^{intSR}(u) := A(u, T - t) + \lambda_t^{SR} B(u, T - t), \qquad T \ge t \ge 0,
$$

where the functions  $A$  and  $B$  are given by

$$
A(u,T-t) = \frac{2\vartheta\kappa}{\sigma^2} \log\left(\frac{2\gamma e^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma+\vartheta)(T-t)}}{(\gamma+\vartheta)e^{-\gamma(T-t)}-2\gamma}\right), \text{ and } B(u,T-t) = \frac{2\gamma(e^{-\gamma(T-t)}-1)}{(\gamma+\vartheta)e^{-\gamma(T-t)}-2\gamma}
$$

with  $\gamma := \gamma(u) := \sqrt{\vartheta^2 - 2iu\sigma^2}$ . The simplicity of  $\Psi^{intSR}$  allows to compute its par-tial derivatives involved in [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0). And finally we can use the *n*-th Bell polynomial  $\mathbf{B}_n$ characterization given by

$$
\mathbf{B}_{n}(x_{1},...,x_{n}) := \det \begin{bmatrix} {n-1 \choose 0}x_{1} & {n-1 \choose 1}x_{2} & {n-1 \choose 2}x_{3} & \cdots & {n-1 \choose n-2}x_{n-1} & {n-1 \choose n-2}x_{n} \\ -1 & {n-2 \choose 1}x_{1} & {n-2 \choose 1}x_{2} & \cdots & {n-2 \choose n-3}x_{n-2} & {n-2 \choose n-2}x_{n-1} \\ 0 & -1 & {n-3 \choose 1}x_{1} & \cdots & {n-3 \choose n-4}x_{n-3} & {n-3 \choose n-3}x_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & {1 \choose 0}x_{1} & {1 \choose 1}x_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & {0 \choose 0}x_{1} \end{bmatrix},
$$

where in each column the remaining entries below the −1 are equal to zero. For instance, one can easily see that the first three Bell polynomials are  $B_1(x_1) = x_1, B_2(x_1, x_2) =$  $x_1^2 + x_2$  and  $\mathbf{B}_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^3 + 3x_1x_2 + x_3.$ 

Example 2.2. The integrated non-Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes (see [\[3\]](#page-13-5)) defined by means of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\Lambda_t^{intOU} := \frac{1}{\vartheta} (1 - e^{-\vartheta t}) \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\vartheta} \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\vartheta (t - s)}) dL_{\vartheta s}, \qquad t \ge 0,
$$
\n(2.2)

where  $\vartheta, \lambda_0 > 0$  are free parameters,  $\lambda_0$  being random, and  $(L_t)_{t>0}$  a non-decreasing purejump positive Lévy process. Equivalently, we can consider again the model in [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1) where this time  $(\lambda_t)_{t>0}$  is given by the solution of

$$
d\lambda_t = -\vartheta \lambda_t dt + dL_{\vartheta t}, \qquad \lambda_0 > 0.
$$

An interesting property of this Hazard rate process is that it has continuous sample paths. It can be shown that

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\Psi^{intOU}(u) := \frac{iu\lambda_0}{\vartheta} (1 - e^{-\vartheta T}) + \vartheta \int_0^T k_L \left(\frac{u}{\vartheta} (1 - e^{-\vartheta (T - s)})\right) ds, \qquad T \ge 0,
$$
 (2.3)

where we take  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(\lambda_0)$ , that is, the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\lambda_0$ . Particular cases of interest are the following. On the one hand, we have the so-called  $Gamma(a, b)$ -OU *process* which is obtained by taking  $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$  as a Compound Poisson process

$$
L_t = \sum_{n=1}^{Z_t} x_n, \qquad t \ge 0,
$$

where  $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Poisson process with intensity  $a\vartheta$ , and  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is a sequence of independent identically distributed  $Exp(b)$  variables. In this case, the correspondent Hazard process in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0) has a finite number of jumps in every compact time interval. Moreover, the equation [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) becomes

$$
\Psi_{Gamma}^{intOU}(u) := \frac{i u \lambda_0}{\vartheta} (1 - e^{-\vartheta T}) + \frac{\vartheta a}{i u - \vartheta b} \left( \left( b \log \left( \frac{b}{b - \frac{i u}{\vartheta} (1 - e^{-\vartheta T})} \right) - i u T \right) \right).
$$

On the other hand, we have the so-called *Inverse-Gausssian* $(a, b)$ -*OU process* (see [\[13\]](#page-14-8) and Tompkins and Hubalek (2000)) which is obtained by taking  $(L_t)_{t>0}$  as the sum of two independent processes,  $(L_t = L_t^{(1)} + L_2^{(2)}$  $\binom{2}{2}_{t\geq 0}$ , where  $(L_t^{(1)}$  $(t^{(1)})_{t\geq0}$  is an Inverse-Gausssian $(\frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}a, b)$ process, and  $(L_t^{(2)}$  $(t^{(2)})_{t\geq0}$  is a Compound Poisson process

$$
L_t^{(2)} = b^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{Z_t} x_n^2, \qquad t \ge 0,
$$

where  $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Poisson process with intensity  $\frac{1}{2}ab$ , and  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is a sequence of independent identically distributed  $Normal(0, 1)$  variables. In this case, the correspondent Hazard process in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0) jumps infinitely often in every interval. Moreover, the equation [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) becomes

$$
\Psi_{IG}^{intOU}(u) := \frac{\mathrm{i}u\lambda_0}{\vartheta}(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\vartheta T}) + \frac{2\mathrm{a}i u}{b\vartheta}A(u,T),
$$

where, using  $c := -2b^{-2}iu\vartheta^{-1}$ , the function A is defined by

$$
A(u,T) := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + c(1 - e^{-\vartheta T})}}{c} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c}} \left[ \arctanh\left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + c(1 - e^{-\vartheta T})}}{c}\right) - \arctanh\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c}}\right) \right].
$$

In both of the cases above, we can see that the simplicity of  $\Psi$  allows to compute [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) in a straightforward way.

This traditional approach reduces the analytical tractability of the model, along with its parameters calibration. Indeed, suffices to say the Laplace transform of a Hazard process as in [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1) is known in closed-form only for a reduced number of Hazard rates models.

That is one the reasons why in more recent contributions the modelling focus is set on the Hazard process itself, without requiring to make a reference to the Hazard rate —see for intance [\[4,](#page-13-6) [13\]](#page-14-8). In this line, consider a Hazard process  $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geq 0}$  as given in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). The following example provides an explicit computation the quantities involved in Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-2)

**Example 2.3.** (CMY Hazard process) In the financial literature, the CMY process —or one-sided CGMY process [\[15\]](#page-14-9)— with parameters  $C, M > 0$  and  $Y < 1$  refers to the positive pure-jump Lévy process  $(L_t^{CMY})_{t\geq 0}$  having Lévy measure  $\nu_{CMY}$  given by

$$
\nu_{CMY}(z) := \frac{Ce^{-Mz}}{z^{1+Y}} \mathbf{1}_{\{z>0\}}.
$$

The Gamma process and the Inverse Gaussian process can be seen as particular cases by taking  $Y = 0$  and  $Y = \frac{1}{2}$ , respectively, see [\[18\]](#page-14-0).

Consider now a Hazard process of the form

$$
\Lambda_t^{CMY} := \int_0^t \sigma(s) dL_s^{CMY}, \qquad t \ge 0.
$$

This is equivalent to take, in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1), a function  $\sigma$  is of the form  $\sigma(s, z) = z\sigma(s)$ . Then the quantities in  $(1.3)$  and  $(1.4)$  are given by

$$
m_0^{CMY}(t) = \begin{cases} \exp\left\{C \int_t^T \Gamma(1 - Y) M^{Y-1} \sigma(s) + \Gamma(-Y) \left[ (M + \sigma(s))^Y - M^Y \right] ds \right\}, & Y \neq 0 \\ \exp\left\{C \int_t^T \frac{\sigma(s)}{M} - \log\left(1 + \frac{\sigma(s)}{M}\right) ds \right\}, & Y = 0 \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
m_{n+1}^{CMY}(t) = m_n^{CMY}(t)C\Gamma(1-Y) \left[ \int_t^T \sigma(s) \left( (M+\sigma(s))^{Y-1} - M^{Y-1} \right) ds \right] + \sum_{k=1}^n {n \choose k} m_{n-k}^{CMY}(t)C\Gamma(k+1-Y) \int_t^T \sigma^{k+1}(s) (M+\sigma(s))^{Y-(k+1)} ds.
$$

for  $n \geq 1$ .

Finally, let us remark that we when considering a model like [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1), the quantities appearing in Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2) and Theorem [1.2](#page-2-2) can be related according to the following.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Example 2.4.** Let the Hazard process  $(\Lambda_t)_{t>0}$  be given as in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). It can be seen that in this case (Lemma [3.2](#page-11-0) below) the cumulant generating function is given by

$$
\Psi(u) = iu\Lambda_t + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left[ e^{iu\sigma(s,z)} - 1 - iu\sigma(s,z) \right] ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z).
$$

Consequently, if the function  $\sigma$  has finite moments

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sigma^k(s, z) \mathrm{d} s \nu(\mathrm{d} z) < \infty, \qquad k = 1, \dots, n,\tag{2.4}
$$

then the *n*-th derivative of  $\Psi$  is given by

$$
\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u} = \Lambda_t + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left[ e^{iu\sigma(s,z)} - 1 \right] \sigma(s,z) ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z),
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{k!i} \frac{\partial^k \Psi}{\partial u^k} = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{iu\sigma(s,z)} \sigma^k(s,z) ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z), \qquad k = 2, ..., n.
$$

Indeed, these equations can be obtained by succesive differentiation under the integral sign due to the assumption [\(2.4\)](#page-6-0).

# 3 Proofs

Let us start by the construction of the doubly-stochastic Poisson process that we shall consider in what follows.

Let  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denote our reference filtration; we shall assume that it satisfies the usual conditions of P-completeness and right-continuity. Let the i.i.d. random variables  $\eta_1, ..., \eta_m$ be exponentially distributed with parameter 1, all being independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ . Then the *n*-th jump of the doubly-stochastic Poisson process with Hazard rate  $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geq 0}$  can be characterized as

$$
\tau_n = \inf \{ t > 0 \, : \, \Lambda_t \ge \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n \} \,. \tag{3.1}
$$

This construction leads to the following expression for the conditional distribution of the DSPP  $n$ -th jump

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_n > T \mid \mathcal{F}_T) = e^{-\Lambda_T} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j!} \Lambda_T^j, \qquad T \ge 0. \tag{3.2}
$$

Indeed, by construction,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_n > t | \mathcal{F}_{\infty}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j > \Lambda_t\right| \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right) = e^{-\Lambda_t} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Lambda_t^j}{j!},
$$

since conditioned to  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  the random variable  $\eta_1 + ... + \eta_n$  has an Gamma distribution. The result then follows by preconditioning to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  —recall that  $(\Lambda_t)_{t\geq0}$  is F-adapted.

Notice first that by conditioning [\(3.2\)](#page-6-1) to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  we get

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_n > T \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j!} \mathbb{E}\left[\Lambda_T^j e^{-\Lambda_T} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \qquad T \ge t \ge 0. \tag{3.3}
$$

Then the purpose of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2) and Theorem [1.2](#page-2-2) is to provide a way to compute the conditional expectations in the equation above.

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2)

Let  $\mu_t$  stand for the conditional (to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ) law of  $\Lambda_T$ , so that the assumption on the *n*-th conditional moment reads

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n \mu_t(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty.
$$

As in the unconditional case  $(cf. [11, Theorem 13.2]),$  $(cf. [11, Theorem 13.2]),$  $(cf. [11, Theorem 13.2]),$  the condition above ensures that the conditional characteristic function

$$
\varphi(u;t,T) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\exp\{\mathrm{i}u\Lambda_T\}\right|\mathcal{F}_t\right]
$$

has continuous partial derivatives up to order  $n$ , and furthermore the following equation holds true

$$
\frac{1}{i^k} \frac{\partial^k \varphi(u;t,T)}{\partial u^k} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\Lambda_T^k e^{iu\Lambda_T}\right| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \qquad k = 0, 1, ..., n.
$$

Now, let us recall that the *n*-th Bell polynomial  $\mathbf{B}_n$  can also be written as

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{B}_{n}(x_{1},...,x_{n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbf{B}_{n,k}(x_{1},...,x_{n-k+1}),
$$
\n(3.4)

where  $\mathbf{B}_{n,k}$  stands for th *partial*  $(n,k)$ -th Bell polynomial, i.e.,  $\mathbf{B}_{0,0} := 1$  and

$$
\mathbf{B}_{n,k}(x_1,...,x_{n-k+1}) := \sum \frac{n!}{j_1!j_2! \cdots j_{n-k+1}!} \left(\frac{x_1}{1!}\right)^{j_1} \left(\frac{x_2}{2!}\right)^{j_1} \cdots \left(\frac{x_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!}\right)^{j_{n-k+1}},
$$

where the sum runs over all sequences of non-negative indices such that  $j_1 + j_2 + \cdots = k$ and  $j_1 + 2j_2 + 3j_3 + \cdots = n$ . Using the Bell polynomials we have an expression for the chain rule for higher derivatives:

$$
\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f \circ g = \sum_{k=0}^n (f^{(k)} \circ g) \mathbf{B}_{n,k}(g^{(1)}, ..., g^{(n+k-1)}),
$$

where the superscript denotes the correspondent derivative, *i.e.*,  $f^{(k)} := \frac{d^k}{dx^k} f$  and  $g^{(k)} :=$  $\frac{d^k}{dx^k}g$ , which are assumed to exist. This expression is known as the *Riordan's formula* —for these results on Bell polynomials we refer to [\[6,](#page-13-1) [12,](#page-14-1) [16\]](#page-14-2).

It remains to apply Riordan's formula to  $f = \exp$  and  $g = \Psi$  in order to get

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n}\varphi=\sum_{k=0}^n\varphi\mathbf{B}_{n,k}(\Psi^{(1)},...,\Psi^{(n+k-1)})=\varphi\mathbf{B}_n(\Psi^{(1)},...,\Psi^{(n)}),
$$

where the last equivalence follows from [\(3.4\)](#page-7-0).

## 3.2 Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-2)

From this moment on, we shall work with a strictly positive pure-jump Lévy process  $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$ having a Lévy measure  $\nu$  satisfying

$$
\int_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \mathrm{e}^{pz} \nu(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty
$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and certain  $p > 0$ . This condition implies in particular that  $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$  have moments of all orders, and the polynomials are dense in  $L^2(\mathrm{d}t \times \nu)$ . Notice that this condition is always satisfied if the Lévy measure has compact support.

In other to prove the corollary we need the following.

#### 3.2.1 Preliminaries on Malliavin calculus via chaos expansions

Let us now introduce basic notions of Malliavin calculus for Lévy processes which we shall use as a framework. Here we mainly follow [\[7\]](#page-13-3).

For every  $T > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_T^2((dt \times \nu)^n) := \mathcal{L}^2((0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_0)^n)$  be the space of determinisitic functions such that

$$
||f||_{\mathcal{L}^2_T((dt \times \nu)^n)} := \left( \int_{([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_0)^n} f^2(t_1, z_1, ..., t_n, z_n) dt_1 \nu(dz_1) \cdots dt_n \nu(dz_n) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,
$$

and such that they are zero over  $k$ -diagonal sets, see [\[19,](#page-14-11) Remark 2.1]. The symmetrization  $\tilde{f}$  of f is defined by

$$
\tilde{f}(t_1, z_1, ..., t_n, z_n) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} f(t_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(1)}, ..., t_{\sigma(n)}, z_{\sigma(n)}),
$$

where the sum runs over all the permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, ..., n\}$ . For every f in the subspace of symmetric functions,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_T^2((\mathrm{d}t \times \nu)^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{L}^2((\mathrm{d}t \times \nu)^n) : f = \tilde{f}\}\)$ , we define the n-fold iterated integral of f by

$$
I_n(f) := n! \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} f(t_1, z_1, ..., t_n, z_n) \widetilde{N}(\mathrm{d} t_1, \mathrm{d} z_1) \cdots \widetilde{N}(\mathrm{d} t_n, \mathrm{d} z_n).
$$

For constant values  $f_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  we set  $I_0(f_0) := f_0$ . In these terms, the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion for Poisson random measures, due to [\[10\]](#page-14-12), states that every  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable  $F \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$  admits a representation

$$
F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n)
$$

via a unique sequence of elements  $f_n \in \tilde{L}_T^2((dt \times \nu)^n)$ . In virtue of this result, each random field  $(X_{t,z})_{(t,z)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0}$  has an expassion

$$
X_{t,z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n(\cdot, t, z)), \qquad f_n(\cdot, t, z) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_T^2((\mathrm{d}t \times \nu)^n),
$$

provided, of course, that  $X_{t,z}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measureble with  $\mathbb{E}[X_{t,z}^2] < \infty$  for all  $(t, z)$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0$ . Now we are in position to define the *Skorohod integral* and the *Malliavin derivative*.

**Definition.** The random field  $(X_{t,z})_{(t,z)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}_0}$  belongs to  $Dom(\delta)$  if

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)! \left\| \tilde{f}_n \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_T^2((\mathrm{d} t \times \nu)^n)}^2 < \infty
$$

and has Skorohod integral with respect to  $\widetilde{N}$ 

$$
\delta(X) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} X_{t,z} \widetilde{N}(\delta t, dz) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_{n+1}(\tilde{f}_n).
$$

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$  be the *stochastic Sobolev space* consisting of all  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measureble random variables  $F \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$  with chaos expansion  $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n)$  satisfying

$$
\|F\|_{\mathbb{D}_{1,2}}:=\sum_{n=1}^\infty (n) n!\left\|\widetilde{f}_n\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_T((\mathrm{d}t\times \nu)^n)}^2<\infty.
$$

For every  $F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$  its *Malliavin derivative* is defined as

$$
D_{t,z}F := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n I_{n-1}(f_n(\cdot,t,z)).
$$

Let us mention here that  $Dom(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P} \times dt \times \nu)$ ,  $\delta(X) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_{1,2} \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$  and  $DF \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P} \times dt \times \nu).$ 

We have the following theorems are central for the results below; for their proof and more details we refer to [\[7\]](#page-13-3) and references therein.

**Theorem.** (Duality formula) Let X be Skorohod integrable and let  $F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ . Then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[F\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}X_{t,z}\widetilde{N}(\delta t,\mathrm{d}z)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}_0}X_{t,z}D_{t,z}F\mathrm{d}t\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\right].
$$

**Theorem.** (Product rule) Let  $F, G \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$  with G bounded. Then  $FG \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$  and

$$
D_{s,z}(FG) = FD_{s,z}G + GD_{s,z}F + D_{s,z}FD_{s,z}G, \qquad dt \times \nu - a.e.
$$

**Theorem.** (Chain rule) Let  $F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ , and let g be a continuous function such that  $g(F) \in$  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$  and  $g(F + D_{s,z}F) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P} \times dt \times \nu)$ . Then  $g(F) \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$  and

$$
D_{s,z}g(F) = g(F + D_{s,z}F) - g(F).
$$

#### 3.2.2 A recursive formula

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Lemma 3.1. For every deterministic Skorohod integrable function f and non-negative integer n, define

$$
F := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} f(s, z) \widetilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z), \quad \text{and} \quad X_n := F^n \mathrm{e}^{-F}.
$$

If  $Y \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$  is bounded, then the Malliavin derivative of  $Y X_n$  is given  $(\mathrm{d} t \times \nu - a.e.)$  by

$$
D_{s,z}(YX_n) = e^{-f(s,z)} (Y + D_{s,z}Y) \left( \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} X_{n-k} f^k(s,z) \right) - YX_n.
$$

Proof. By the product rule we have

$$
D_{s,z}(YX_n) = (D_{s,z}(Ye^{-F})) (F^n + D_{s,z}F^n) + Ye^{-F}D_{s,z}F^n, \quad dt \times \nu - a.e,
$$

and

$$
D_{s,z}(Ye^{-F}) = YD_{s,z}e^{-F} + (D_{s,z}Y)(e^{-F} + D_{s,z}e^{-F}), \quad dt \times \nu - a.e.
$$

Moreover, since  $D_{s,z}F = f(s, z)$ , then an application of the chain rule tells us that  $D_{s,z}e^{-F} = e^{-F}(e^{-f(s,z)} - 1)$  and

$$
D_{s,z}F^n = (F + D_{s,z}F)^n - F^n = (F + f(s,z))^n - F^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z).
$$

Combining these expressions we get

$$
D_{s,z}YX_n = \left(Ye^{-F}(e^{-f(s,z)} - 1) + (D_{s,z}Y)\left(e^{-F} + e^{-F}(e^{-f(s,z)} - 1)\right)\right)\sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z)
$$
  
+  $Ye^{-F}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z)$   
=  $Ye^{-F}\left((e^{-f(s,z)} - 1)\sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z)\right)$   
+  $(D_{s,z}Y)e^{-(F+f(s,z))}\sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z)$   
=  $Ye^{-F}\left(e^{-f(s,z)}\sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z)^{n-k} - F^n\right)$   
+  $(D_{s,z}Y)e^{-(F+f(s,z))}\sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} F^k f^{n-k}(s,z).$ 

Thus, rewritting the last equivalence in terms of  $X_1, ..., X_n$ , we get the result.

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Lemma 3.2.** The conditional characteristic function of such Hazard processes in  $(2.1)$ ,

$$
\varphi(u;t,T) := \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathrm{i}u\Lambda_T}\big|\,\mathcal{F}_t\right],
$$

is given by

$$
\varphi(u;t,T) = \exp\left\{i u \Lambda_t + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left[e^{i u \sigma(s,z)} - 1 - i u \sigma(s,z)\right] \mathrm{d} s \nu(\mathrm{d} z)\right\}.
$$
 (3.5)

*Proof.* Since the integrands  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are deterministic, then the increment  $\Lambda_T - \Lambda_t$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathrm{i}u\Lambda_T}\big|\,\mathcal{F}_t\right] = \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}u\Lambda_t\right\}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathrm{i}u(\Lambda_T-\Lambda_t)}\right].
$$

Notice that for every deterministic function f the process  $(\mathcal{E}_t(f))_{t\geq0}$  defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_t(f) := \exp\left\{ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} f(s, z) \widetilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left[ e^{f(s, z)} - 1 - f(s, z) \right] \mathrm{d}s \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \right\}
$$

is a Doléans-Dade exponental martingale. Thus  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}_T(f)]=1,$  and so

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{E}_T(f)\mathrm{e}^{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left[\mathrm{e}^{f(s,z)}-1-f(s,z)\right]\mathrm{d} s\nu(\mathrm{d} z)}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0}\left[\mathrm{e}^{f(s,z)}-1-f(s,z)\right]\mathrm{d} s\nu(\mathrm{d} z)}.
$$

In our case this reads as

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iu(\Lambda_T-\Lambda_t)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} iu\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)\sigma(s,z)\widetilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z)\right\}\right]
$$
  
=  $\exp\left\{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left[e^{iu\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)\sigma(s,z)} - 1 - iu\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)\sigma(s,z)\right] \mathrm{d} s\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\right\}.$ 

It remains to notice that  $e^{iu\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}\sigma} - 1 - iu\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}\sigma = \left[e^{iu\sigma} - 1 - iu\sigma\right]\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}$ .

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Lemma 3.3. Under the notation of Lemma [3.1](#page-10-0) we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right] = \exp\left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \left[ e^{-f(s,z)} - 1 + f(s,z) \right] \mathrm{d} s \nu(\mathrm{d} z) \right\},\,
$$

and for  $n \geq 1$  the following recursive formula holds true

$$
\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (e^{-f(s,z)} - 1) f(s, z) ds \nu(dz) + \sum_{k=1}^n {n \choose k} \mathbb{E}[X_{n-k}] \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-f(s,z)} f^{k+1}(s, z) ds \nu(dz).
$$

*Proof.* The Lemma [2.4](#page-5-0) provides the the base case  $(n = 0)$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , notice that

$$
\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}] = \mathbb{E}[FX_n]
$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} f(s, z) D_{s,z} X_n \, ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z)\right]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} f(s, z) \left(e^{-f(s,z)} \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} X_{n-k} f^k(s, z) - X_n\right) \, ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z)\right],$ 

where the second line follows from the duality formula, and the last one from Lemma [3.1](#page-10-0)  $\Box$ by setting  $Y = 1$ . The result then follows by the linearity of the expectation.

## 3.2.3 Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-2)

Notice that [\(3.3\)](#page-7-1) can be rewritten as

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_n > T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \mathbb{E} \left[ \Lambda_T^k e^{-\Lambda_T} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]
$$
  
=  $\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n > t\}} e^{\Lambda_t} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\Lambda_t^j}{j!(k-j)!} \mathbb{E} \left[ (\Lambda_T - \Lambda_t)^{k-j} e^{-(\Lambda_T - \Lambda_t)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right)$ 

Indeed, it suffices to expand the factor

$$
\Lambda_T^k = \left( [\Lambda_T - \Lambda_t] + \Lambda_t \right)^k = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (\Lambda_T - \Lambda_t)^{k-j} \Lambda_t^j,
$$

and use that  $\Lambda_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable. Now, since the integrand in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) is deterministic, we have that the increment  $\Lambda_T - \Lambda_t$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and thus

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Lambda_T-\Lambda_t\right)^{k-j}e^{-\left(\Lambda_T-\Lambda_t\right)}\Big|\,\mathcal{F}_t\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Lambda_T-\Lambda_t\right)^{k-j}e^{-\left(\Lambda_T-\Lambda_t\right)}\right]=m_{k-j}.
$$

Applying Lemma [3.3](#page-12-0) with  $f(s, z) := \mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)\sigma(s, z)$  we show that the quantities  $m_0, m_1, ..., m_n$ satisfy the recursion claimed. In order to remove factor  $\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)$  from the expression, it remains to take into account the basic identities

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (e^{-\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)\sigma(s,z)} - 1) \mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)\sigma(s,z) ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z) = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (e^{-\sigma(s,z)} - 1)\sigma(s,z) ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z),
$$

and

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s)\sigma(s,z)} \mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(s) \sigma^{n-k+1}(s,z) ds \nu(\mathrm{d}z) = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\sigma(s,z)} \sigma^{n-k+1}(s,z) \mathrm{d}s \nu(\mathrm{d}z).
$$

# <span id="page-13-2"></span>References

- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[1] Applebaum, D.: Lévy processes and Stochastic Calculus. Cambridge studies in advancem athematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2009.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[2] Barraza, N.: Compound and non homogeneous Poisson software reliability models. Proceedings of the Argentine Symposium on Software Engineering, (2010), 461–472.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[3] Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E. and Shepard, N.: Integrated OU processes and non-Gaussian OU-based stochastic volatility. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 30, (2002), 277–295.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[4] Bianchi, M.L., Rachev, S.T., and Fabozzi, F.J.: Tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes: a practical view. Working Paper Banca d'Italia, (2013).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[5] Bielecki, T.R. and Rutkowski, M.: Credit Risk: Modeling, Valuation and Hedging. Springer Finance Textbook, Heidelberg, 2002.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[6] Comtet, L.: Advanced Combinatorics. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht-Holland Boston, 1974.
- [7] Di Nunno, G., Øksendal, B., and Proske, F.: Malliavin Calculus for Lévy Processes with Applications to Finance. Universitext Springer, Heidelberg, 2009.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span><span id="page-14-6"></span>[8] Duffie, D., and Lando, D.: Term structure of credit spreads with incomplete accounting information. Econometrica 69, (2001), 633–664.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[9] Dufresne, D.: The integrate square-root process. Working Paper, University of Montreal, (2001).
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[10] Itô, K. Spectral type of the shift transformation of differential processes with stationary increments. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 81, (1956), 253–263.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[11] Jacod, J. and Protter, P.: Probability Essentials. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[12] Johnson, W.P.: The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula. American Mathematical Monthly 109, (2002), 217–234.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[13] Kokholm, T. and Nicolato, E.: Sato processes in default modelling. Applied Mathematical Finance  $17(5)$ ,  $(2010)$ , 377-397.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[14] Lando, D.: On Cox processes and credit risky securities. Review of Derivatives Research 2,  $(1998)$ , 99-120.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[15] Carr, P., Geman, H., Madan, D. and Yor, M.: The fine structure of asset returns: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Business* **75**, (2002), 305–332.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[16] Riordan, J.:. Derivatives of composite functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52(8), (1946), 664–667.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[17] Sato, K.: Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 68. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 2000.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[18] Schoutens, W. and Cariboni, J.: Lévy Processes in Credit Risk. The Wiley Finance Series, 2009.
- [19] Solé, J.L., Utzet, F. and Vives, J.: Canonical Lévy process and Malliavin calculus. Stochastic Processes and their Applications 117, (2007), 165–187.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[20] Tompkins, R. and Hubalek, F.: On closed form solutions for pricing options with jumping volatility. Working paper, Technical University Vienna, (2009).
- [21] Zacks, S.: Distributions of failure times associated with non-homogeneous compound Poisson damage processes. In: Dasgupta, A. (Ed.): A Festschrift for Herman Rubin. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes 45 - Monograph Series, pages 396– 407, 2004.