

Data-Driven Forecast of Dengue Outbreaks in Brazil: A Critical Assessment of Climate Conditions for Different Capitals.

Lucas M. Stolerman^{‡*}, Pedro D. Maia[†], and J. Nathan Kutz[†]

Abstract. Local climate conditions play a major role in the development of the mosquito population responsible for transmitting Dengue Fever. Since the *Aedes Aegypti* mosquito is also a primary vector for the recent Zika and Chikungunya epidemics across the Americas, a detailed monitoring of periods with favorable climate conditions for mosquito profusion may improve the timing of vector-control efforts and other urgent public health strategies. We apply dimensionality reduction techniques and machine-learning algorithms to climate time series data and analyze their connection to the occurrence of Dengue outbreaks for seven major cities in Brazil. Specifically, we have identified two key variables and a period during the annual cycle that are highly predictive of epidemic outbreaks. The key variables are the frequency of precipitation and temperature during an approximately two month window of the winter season preceding the outbreak. Thus simple climate signatures may be influencing Dengue outbreaks even months before their occurrence. Some of the more challenging datasets required usage of compressive-sensing procedures to estimate missing entries for temperature and precipitation records. Our results indicate that each Brazilian capital considered has a unique frequency of precipitation and temperature signature in the winter preceding a Dengue outbreak. Such climate contributions on vector populations are key factors in dengue dynamics which could lead to more accurate prediction models and early warning systems. Finally, we show that critical temperature and precipitation signatures may vary significantly from city to city, suggesting that the interplay between climate variables and dengue outbreaks is more complex than generally appreciated.

Author Summary. The high number of dengue, chikungunya and zika viral infections in Brazil were a major cause for concern during Rio de Janeiro’s 2016 Olympic games. News reports on microcephalic newborns in the poor northern regions of the country alarmed the world and urged public health authorities to take action. Since all such viruses are transmitted by the same disease vector, the mosquito *Aedes Aegypti*, a better understanding of which climate conditions favor their proliferation is crucial for vector-control strategies. We analyzed climate data from 7 Brazilian state capitals and found that climate effects often occurring months before the outbreaks may be of critical importance for prediction. Thus, the early incidence of key temperature and precipitation signatures – that vary from city to city – should urge municipal health authorities to anticipate early interventions and ensure adequate responses to the local climate conditions.

*Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. [‡] (Lucasms@impa.br). Questions, comments, or corrections to this document may be directed to that email address.

[†]Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 98195-2420. (pmaia@uw.edu , kutz@uw.edu)

Introduction. Dengue Fever is a tropical mosquito-borne viral disease present in more than 110 countries and a current threat to half of the world population [1, 2, 3, 4]. The DENV virus – and the more perilous Chikungunya and Zika virus – are primarily transmitted to humans through infected *Aedes Aegypti* mosquitoes, which were the subject of much debate during the 2016 Olympic Games in Rio de Janeiro. This main disease vector is well adapted to urban environments, which allow viruses to spread easily through cities. Still, regional climate conditions play a critical role in the development of epidemic outbreaks in major urban centers. In this work we analyze temperature and precipitation time series data for Brazilian state capitals and determine critical periods and seasons in which these climate variables might favor the mosquito development cycle and therefore the occurrence of Dengue outbreaks.

The first cases of Dengue in Brazil date from the end of the 19th century, and despite the elimination of the *Aedes Aegypti* in 1955, the mosquito was reintroduced in the country in the 70s. A historically important outbreak occurred in 1981 in Boa Vista, in the state of Roraima, following several outbreaks in Central America involving the DENV-1 and DENV-4 serotypes [5, 6]. Since then, Dengue has become one of the major public health problems in Brazil, with several epidemics reported yearly across the country. While Dengue symptoms are usually limited to fever and muscle/joint pain, some develop more severe forms of the disease such as hemorrhagic fever or shock syndrome. The epidemics were aggravated with the latest Zika and Chikungunya developments. In fact, 91,387 thousand cases of Zika and 39,017 thousand cases of Chikungunya were reported in 2016 from February to April alone [7], which caught the world’s attention just in time for the Olympic Games in Rio de Janeiro. Until recently, Brazilian authorities limited their actions to vector control measures, but a first-generation vaccine may represent a turning point for stopping these epidemics [8, 9, 10].

The proliferation of *Aedes aegypti* and the sustained transmission of Dengue are influenced by a complex, interplay of multi-scale factors such as the circulation of different serotypes [11, 12], the commuting of infected and susceptible humans within a city [13, 14, 15], and the population size of the mosquitoes. There is also a growing body of evidence showing that local climate conditions such as temperature and precipitation may highly influence the development of the mosquitoes throughout the different stages of their life cycle [16, 17, 19, 20]. Complicating our understanding is the fact that several regions experienced a nontrivial alternation between periods with and without epidemic outbreaks over the past years, suggesting that the specific critical climate conditions that propitiate the transmission of the disease is heterogeneous and still poorly understood [21, 22, 25, 23, 24].

In this work we analyze climate and epidemiological data from seven major Brazilian cities that in the recent past had years with and without Dengue outbreaks in order to identify critical climate signatures that may have contributed to the epidemic outcomes. Figure 0.1 is a schematic overview of the work presented. We estimate the influence of climate conditions in different epochs preceding epidemic periods using two data-driven methodologies; the first one is based of the singular value decomposition and exploits the low dimensional structures present in the climate time series [26, 27], and the second one is based of machine learning algorithms for clustering and classification [28, 29] such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) applied to climate variables that are key to the life cycle of the mosquito [30, 31]. A crucial step in our methodology includes the usage of compressed sensing to recover missing data [27,




Figure 0.1. Schematic Overview. We analyze time series data for climate variables from seven Brazilian state capitals (Aracajú, Belo Horizonte, Manaus, Recife, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador and São Luís) and their connection to Dengue outbreaks. (i) Illustrative example showing data from Rio de Janeiro. Two parameters define the epochs in which climate conditions are considered: the starting date t_0 (month/day) and period length p (days). (ii) By applying machine-learning algorithms to historical data we locate periods along the year where the separability between epidemic and non-epidemic climate is higher. Keeping track of signature differences at key epochs, that vary from capital to capital, may significantly improve Dengue outbreak forecasting in the upcoming years.

32, 33, 34, 35] – in a plausible and in a \mathcal{L}^1 -optimal way – from climate recordings by the National Institute of Meteorology (INMET) [36]. This allow us to explore the link between climate and Dengue in the following major Brazilian cities : Aracajú, Belo Horizonte, Manaus, Recife, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador and São Luís. For each city, we highlight epochs that are critical for both methodologies. Surprisingly, there is a strong correlation between dengue epidemics and favorable climate conditions during winter and spring. This long-term influence is important evidence that the interplay between climate, mosquito populations and dengue

outbreaks are extremely complex. The insights of this work may help tailor public health policies for each different city by increasing vector control measures during neglected critical epochs and ultimately improving the forecasting of Dengue outbreaks – which would allow the public health system to make earlier logistic preparations to better accommodate a large number of patients, or alternatively, mosquito eradication programs can be enacted during the winter and spring months that are known to be associated with epidemic outbreaks.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In the **Methods** section, we describe both epidemiological and climate datasets, our techniques for data completion and other details of our analysis. In the **Results** section we present our findings for all seven Brazilian capitals, emphasizing epochs that are critical for all methods. In the **Discussion** section we summarize the most important seasons for dengue epidemics in each city, highlighting the long-term impact of climate. We also discuss the limitations of this work, its potential impact for improving early warning systems, and the usage of our methods as a modest outbreak prediction tool.

Methods.

Description of epidemiological and climate datasets. All epidemiological data utilized in this work were taken from the publicly available datasets of the Brazilian Notifiable Diseases Information System (SINAN, [37]). This includes the total number of Dengue cases per year (from 2002 to 2012) for all Brazilian state capitals. We also include data made available for Rio de Janeiro by the city’s hall health department for 2013 [38]. A year is conventionally classified as an *epidemic* year for a given city if the incidence of Dengue is above 100 cases (per 100,000 inhabitants) and classified as a *non-epidemic* year otherwise. In order to find critical climate signatures that may have contributed to the epidemic outcomes, we restrict ourselves to seven state capitals that displayed at least 3 epidemic years and 3 non-epidemic years in the recent past. This allowed us to investigate the correlation between distinct climate conditions and the complicated alternations between years with and without epidemic outbreaks over time. The climate data utilized in this work was obtained from the National Institute of Meteorology (INMET) and included time series for the average temperature and precipitation for the state capitals Aracajú, Belo Horizonte, Manaus, Recife, Salvador, and São Luís (from 1/1/2001 to 12/31/2012) and for Rio de Janeiro (from 1/1/2002 to 12/31/2013).

Completing missing climate data via compressive sensing. The time series of our selected climate dataset contain episodic gaps on days where variables (temperature and precipitation) were not recorded. To fill in the missing data gaps, we employ two different methods: compressive sensing [27, 32, 33, 34, 35] and interpolation (see Fig. 0.2 for illustrative examples). For temperature time series data with 2 or more consecutive missing recordings, we use a recently developed compressive sensing method based upon \mathcal{L}^1 -convex optimization for approximating the missing data [27, 32, 33, 34, 35]. The compressive sensing method attempts to reconstruct a signal from a sparse, subsampling of the time series data. In this case, the sparse subsampling occurs from the fact that we have missing data. The signal reconstruction problem is nothing more than a large underdetermined system of linear equations. To be more precise, consider the conversion of a time series data to the frequency domain via the discrete cosine transform (DCT)




Figure 0.2. Completing missing data. The daily measurements of climate variables for Brazilian state capitals from the National Institute of Meteorology (*INMET*) contain episodic gaps. **a.** We reconstruct larger portions of lacking data with compressed sensing (\mathcal{L}^1 -convex optimization routines). **b.** Data values at minor holes were estimated by simpler interpolation protocols. Capitals with intractable missing portions of data were not considered (see appendix) for more details.

$$(0.1) \quad \psi \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{f}$$

where \mathbf{f} is the signal vector in the time domain and \mathbf{c} are the cosine transform coefficients representing the signal in the DCT domain. The matrix ψ represents the DCT transform itself. The key observation is that most of the coefficients of the vector \mathbf{c} are zero, i.e. the time series is sparse in the Fourier domain. Note that the matrix ψ is of size $n \times n$ while \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{c} are $n \times 1$ vectors. The choice of basis functions is critical in carrying out the compressed sensing protocol. In particular, the signal must be sparse in the chosen basis. For the example here of a cosine basis, the signal is clearly sparse, allowing us to accurately reconstruct the signal using sparse sampling. The idea is to now sample the signal randomly (and sparsely)

so that

$$(0.2) \quad \mathbf{b} = \phi \mathbf{f}$$

where \mathbf{b} is a few (m) random samples of the original signal \mathbf{f} (ideally $m \ll n$). Thus ϕ is a subset of randomly permuted rows of the identity operator. More complicated sampling can be performed, but this is a simple example that will illustrate all the key features. Note here that \mathbf{b} is an $m \times 1$ vector while the matrix ϕ is of size $m \times n$.

Approximate signal reconstruction can then be performed by solving the linear system

$$(0.3) \quad \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

where \mathbf{b} is an $m \times 1$ vector, \mathbf{x} is $n \times 1$ vector and

$$(0.4) \quad \mathbf{A} = \phi \psi$$

is a matrix of size $m \times n$. Here the \mathbf{x} is the sparse approximation to the full DCT coefficient vector. Thus for $m \ll n$, the resulting linear algebra problem is highly underdetermined. The idea is then to solve the underdetermined system using an appropriate norm constraint that best reconstructs the original signal, i.e. the sparsity promoting \mathcal{L}^1 is highly appropriate. The signal reconstruction is performed by using

$$(0.5) \quad \mathbf{f} \approx \psi \mathbf{x}.$$

If the original signal had exactly m non-zero coefficients, the reconstruction could be made exact (See Ref. [27], Ch. 18).

We applied this technique specifically to the climate series of Rio de Janeiro, Salvador and São Luís. For the other capitals, we just linearly interpolate the time series whenever a single daily recording is missing. We note that there were intractable large gaps for the INMET precipitation series for Rio de Janeiro, which forced us to use alternative data sources made available by the city's alert system of rain events [39]. See the SI tables for details.

Defining periods of critical climate conditions for Dengue. In what follows, we investigate the influence of climate conditions on Dengue outbreaks at different periods along the yearly cycle. We let (t_0, p) denote a sampling period of p days starting at the date t_0 . Then, for a fixed period, we evaluate a score quantifying the discrepancy between climate conditions in epidemic years and non-epidemic years. See Fig. 0.1 for an illustrative example using data from the city of Rio de Janeiro; we postulate that periods with high climate *separability* between epidemic years (in red) and non-epidemic years (in blue) might be of critical importance to the cycle of the urban mosquito population and consequently, to the occurrence of dengue outbreaks in the following year. We calculate the separability score of a period using two different methodologies: the first is based of the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) [27] and a low dimensional representation of the climate data, while the second is based of a machine learning algorithm know as support vector machine (SVM) [28, 29]. In both cases, the methods highlight potentially critical periods for the occurrence of Dengue. Finally, since Dengue outbreaks in Brazil typically take place between March–May in a given year, we limit the range of (t_0, p) from June (of the previous year) to May. In Fig. 0.1, we note that there are critical periods in the winter (green box with t_0 in June) that may be critical for the occurrence of dengue.




Figure 0.3. Outline of SVD methodology: Data matrix setup. (i) We select climate data with the same starting date t_0 and length p across the years $(1, 2, \dots, N)$. (ii) After normalizing each climate variable over the years, we store them in block matrices $\mathcal{B}_j(t_0, p)$, which in turn, are stacked in a matrix $\mathcal{B}(t_0, p)$. (iii) Reshape \mathcal{B} into \mathbf{X} , where different columns correspond to climate information collected at (t_0, p) in different years. The SVD of \mathbf{X} provides a low-dimensional representation of the internal structure of the data from its most informative (correlated) viewpoint. Our goal is to, based in the historic data, determine specific epochs of the year in which the separability between epidemic and non-epidemic climate is higher.

Separability scores from SVD methodology. Figure 0.3 shows how we select climate data over the same period (t_0, p) for different years and build a corresponding matrix $X(t_0, p)$ that allows for a SVD analysis: (i) We select data from k climate variables over the years, always starting at t_0 and ending p days later. (ii) We stack and normalize the data associated with year l in a block matrix $\mathcal{B}_l(t_0, p)$, for $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$. (iii) Finally, all blocks are reshaped into column vectors, forming a new matrix $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(t_0, p)$, which yields

$$(0.6) \quad \mathbf{X}(t_0, p) = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T(t_0, p).$$

The columns of \mathbf{U} – the SVD modes – form an orthogonal basis for the space generated by the columns of X and the projections of the principal components are given by the $\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T(t_0, p)$ matrix (see Fig 0.4 i).




Figure 0.4. Outline of SVD methodology: Convex Hull analysis. (i) The projection's component of the k -th column of X onto the j -th mode is the (j, k) -element of the matrix ΣV^T . We plot the projection for each year l ($l = 1, 2, \dots, N$) in the plane spanned by modes j and $j + 1$. (ii) For each year we color the projections according to epidemic or non-epidemic year criteria. We choose red if the (t_0, p) interval preceded a DF outbreak and blue if it doesn't. (iii) We compute the convex hulls for the epidemic and non-epidemic projections set. (a) If there is no overlapping between the hulls, we calculate the minimum distance between two vertices and set $\mathcal{H}(t_0, p) = d$. (b) $\mathcal{H}(t_0, p) = 0$ in the case of overlapping hulls. (iv) The SVD separability score \mathcal{H} can be obtained for a range of (t_0, p) intervals.




Figure 0.5. Outline of SVM methodology. A supervised learning technique for classification: (i) We calculate and plot mean of average temperature $\langle T_j \rangle$ and frequency of rain events $\langle \delta_j \rangle^{-1}$ for a fixed (t_0, p) interval of all years, using red and blue colors for periods preceding epidemic and non-epidemic years respectively. (ii)(a) For each (t_0, p) interval of the rectangle R , we apply (i) to obtain a cloud (dashed circles) of points in the plane, for each year. (b) Linear and RBF kernels are used to execute the SVM train/test and cross validation routines. (c) the SVM score for R is obtained. We plot $t_0 \times p$ Heatmaps with Regions of High and Low separability scores, which indicates where temperature and precipitation are better correlated with Dengue fever outbreaks.

In our analysis, we project climate data collected over (t_0, p) each year onto a 2-mode plane and label years as epidemic (red) or non-epidemic (blue) according to our outbreak convention (see Fig 0.4 ii). This yields a set of l points (one for each year) and allow us to quantify how separate the blue/red dots are from each other: we consider two convex hulls




Figure 0.6. Examples of high separability plots. For each state Capital we have selected special time windows in which there is a clear separation between climate signatures preceding epidemic and non-epidemic years. Note the distinct separation of the data for each individual city, suggesting that a universal model for climate effects across all cities may be unattainable. The separability of data further suggests that epidemics may be accurately predicted in a given capital six to nine months in advance of their outbreak. This separability notion is made quantitatively precise by the SVD and SVM separability scores (see text for details).

connecting red/blue vertices and evaluate the distance $\mathcal{H}(t_0, p)$ between them (see Fig 0.4 iii a,b). Finally, we explore a large range of values for t_0 and p to find periods along the yearly cycle in which discrepancies between climate conditions might have contributed to dengue outbreaks in the following year.

Separability scores from SVM methodology. Our second separability score for measuring discrepancies between climate conditions in epidemic/non-epidemic years is based on a super-

vised learning technique for classification. Figure 0.5 outlines the main steps of our Support Vector Machines (SVM) algorithm: (i) For a fixed (t_0, p) interval, we evaluate two climate indicators – the arithmetic mean of the average temperature $\langle T_j \rangle$ and average frequency of rain events $\langle \delta_j \rangle^{-1}$, where δ_j represents time intervals between consecutive peaks on precipitation data (see Fig 0.5 i). (ii) We label the climate indicators in a 2D plot as an epidemic year (red) or as a non-epidemic year (blue) according to our Dengue outbreak criteria. (iii) We repeat the process for t_0 and p within a rectangular range R in the parameter space. Then, instead of a single point representing year l , we have a collection of red/blue points (dashed ellipses in fig 0.5 iia). In our simulations, the rectangular range R was 5×6 , i.e, spanning 5 consecutive starting dates and 6 consecutive duration lengths. We tried both a linear kernel and a Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel for the SVM training step on R and cross-validated the climate indicators by sampling 80% of each dataset and testing the accuracy of the predictions in the remaining 20%. Our separability score is ultimately defined as the average classification accuracy after resampling and testing data for 100 trials.

Results.

Survey of critical climate conditions for different cities. In this section, we highlight significant differences between climate conditions during epidemic/non-epidemic years for a period starting at day t_0 and duration of p days along the yearly cycle. We postulate that periods with high separability scores might be of critical importance to the cycle of the urban mosquito population and consequently, to the occurrence of dengue outbreaks in the following year. The values of t_0 range from June 1st to February 21st and the values of p range from 10–100 days, which completely covers plausible periods that may influence Dengue outbreaks. The interpretation of the colormaps presented below should be straightforward and we highlight (in green) periods/epochs with high separability for both SVD and SVM methodologies. We restrict our SVD analysis to the five principal modes and fix the color bar for $\mathcal{H}(t_0, p)$ between 0 and 6 (highest value found for all simulations). For the SVM colormaps, we focus our analysis on the highest separability scores and choosing scores above 0.8 for the Linear Kernel. For the RBF kernel, which usually has a better predictive performance, the highlight threshold is 0.95.

Figures 0.4 and 0.5 demonstrate how assessments and scoring are performed for both the SVD and SVM based methods. In what follows, a detailed evaluation is made for each capital city. Before proceeding to this analysis, however, it is highly informative to interpret that a high score or low score achieves for separating epidemic and non-epidemic correlations. Figures 0.6 and 0.7 demonstrate the clustering of data, or lack thereof, for all cities. In Fig. 0.6, representative data for windows achieving a high correlation score is shown. Remarkably, the red (epidemic) and blue (non-epidemic) dots are well separated and distinguishable from visual inspection. Indeed, one could easily postulate decision regions which properly identify, months in advance, the oncoming presence of a dengue epidemic by simply considering the mean temperature and precipitation frequency. Figure 0.7 shows the data structure when a low correlation score is achieved. Note that in this case, there is significant overlap between the red and blue dots, suggesting that this region for prediction of an epidemic is highly suspect. Figures 0.6 and 0.7 provide an easily interpretable understanding of the predictive nature of our proposed analysis. It also highlights important and significant differences between the




Figure 0.7. Examples of low separability plots. *Specific time windows in which the epidemic and non-epidemic climate variables seems to be poorly distinguishable, therefore not suitable for Dengue prediction. Unlike Fig. 0.6, the mixing of data suggests poor predictability across all cities. This separability notion is made quantitatively precise by the SVD and SVM separability scores (see text for details).*

various Brazilian cities. Some cities are on the coast, while others are in the interior, but regardless, each city has a unique pattern of clustering that can be capitalized on in order to provide predictive metrics for epidemic outbreaks. In the figures that follow, a principled analysis is performed for each Brazilian city in order to compute regions that give high scores on the SVD/SVM metrics and provide strong predictive metrics.

Rio de Janeiro. Figure 0.8 shows periods with high separability scores for the city of Rio de Janeiro. Notice that both SVD and SVM methodologies highlight critical epochs during the




Figure 0.8. Critical periods for Rio de Janeiro. *There is a good match between the different data-driven methods suggesting that specific climate conditions during winter season may be crucial to Dengue epidemics. Both methods also indicate a critical period of approximately 15 days during spring.*

winter. In fact, there is a good accordance between the projection of climate data to 3rd and 4th SVD modes and the linear SVM kernel for t_0 in June and p around 60 days. This suggests that time series for temperature and precipitation from June to August may be crucial for the occurrence of Dengue outbreak the following year. There is also good accordance between both criteria during the spring, for t_0 starting in October-November and p around 15 days.

São Luís. Figure 0.9a. shows critical periods for São Luís, the state capital of Maranhão. Overall, we found good accordance between separability scores provided by the SVM and SVD methods. The SVD method indicated (for modes 3,4 and 4,5) critical (t_0, p) intervals for t_0 in July and p varying from 30 to 85 days. A similar period was found with the SVM method (using a linear kernel). This match suggests that temperature and rain in late winter and beginning of spring may play an important role in the occurrence of Dengue outbreaks. Another critical period indicated by both methods has t_0 in December and duration p around 60 days.

Manaus. The capital of Amazonas has a set of periods with high separability scores in the winter (see Fig 0.9b). In the SVD colormaps (for modes 3,4 and 4,5), the separability score is high for t_0 between June and July and p between 60 and 90 days. This corresponds




Figure 0.9. Critical periods for São Luís and Manaus. *The two capitals in the north of Brazil exhibit good accordance between SVD separability scores (for modes 3,4 and 4,5) and SVM separability scores (for both Linear and RBF kernels). a. Temperature and precipitation are correlated with Dengue outbreaks during winter and summer in the case of São Luís. b. For Manaus, the correlation is higher during winter and spring.*

to the months of June, July and August. This is in good accordance with the scores given by the SVM methodology (using a linear kernel). We also highlight that there is a good match between the methods during a critical period with t_0 lying between July and August and




Figure 0.10. Critical periods for Aracajú and Belo Horizonte. For these cities, we have found periods with high correlation between climate indicators and Dengue outbreaks during winter, spring and summer. Aracajú (a.) and Belo Horizonte (b.) are the state capitals of Sergipe and Minas Gerais, located in the northeast and southeast regions of Brazil, respectively.

$p \leq 60$ days, which would also include the first days of spring.

Aracajú. The capital of Sergipe displays high separability scores according to the SVD methodology (for modes 1,2 and 2,3) for periods with t_0 in November – January and period length $p < 60$ days (see Fig. 0.10 a). Similar critical periods in the $t_0 \times p$ -plane also occur in the SVM-RBF color map. This suggests that the climate conditions during spring and summer are of crucial importance for the occurrence of Dengue in Aracajú. The SVM methodology




Figure 0.11. Critical periods for Recife and Salvador. *These two northeast capitals have exhibited strong correlation between climate signatures and Dengue epidemics, specially during spring and summer. a. For Aracajú, we have found accordance between SVD (modes 2,3 and 4,5) and the SVM methods. b. For Salvador, SVM methods has shown a good performance by showing big RHS for t_0 between August and December.*

also highlights critical periods for t_0 in August and p between 30 and 60 days, which would correspond to late winter and/or beginning of spring.

Belo Horizonte . In Fig 0.10 b. we show the highlights for Belo Horizonte, the state capital of Minas Gerais. The SVD color map (for modes 1,2) shows critical regions for t_0 between June–July and p between 30 and 60 days. These (t_0, p) -periods corresponds to the winter season in Brazil. A similar result was found in the SVM - RBF method, but with a

larger range of p . There was also a good accordance between SVD scores (for modes 4,5) and SVM (for the RBF kernel) when t_0 is between October and November and p is between 60 and 90 days. These critical periods with high separability scores correspond to the spring season. For the summer period and beginning of the fall (where the epidemic outbreaks usually occur), both SVM kernels indicate critical periods for t_0 between December and January and p between 45 and 90 days.

Recife. The capital of Pernambuco shows high separability scores for both SVD and SVM methodologies during the summer season (see Fig 0.11a). We found critical periods for t_0 between December–January and p varying from 15 to 60 days using the SVD methodology (for modes 2,3) and the SVM methodology (for both Linear and RBF kernels). Both SVD color map (for modes 4,5) and SVM color map (RBF kernel) indicate regions with high separability scores for t_0 between December and January and p around between 60 and 90 day, which would include the first days of the fall season. For winter and spring, the SVM methods (for both linear and RBF kernels) find critical periods for t_0 in August and p around 90 days.

Salvador. For the state capital of Bahia (see Fig 0.11 b), the SVM separability scores are high for t_0 between August and December (for both Linear and RBF kernels) and for all values of length p . This suggests that spring and summer are crucial for the development of Dengue epidemics in Salvador. We also highlighted that SVD separability scores (for modes 2,3 and 3,4) are high for t_0 between December and January and p between 60 and 90 days, which would also correspond to the summer season.

Discussion. In this work, we developed data-driven methods to identify in a systematic manner a set of critical periods in the annual cycle in which climate conditions may play a significant role in the development of Dengue outbreaks the following year. For a fixed time period starting at t_0 and lasting p days, we evaluate separability scores between the climate conditions on epidemic/non-epidemic years. We postulate that the periods where these climate conditions differ most might be crucial for the development of the life cycle of the mosquito population, and consequently, to Dengue outbreaks. The separability scores were calculated following two different methods. The first one is based on dimensionality reduction of data via Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) and the second one on the machine learning classification algorithm known as Support Vector Machines (SVM). We applied these methods to temperature and precipitation time series data for seven state capitals in Brazil where there was a significant alternation between epidemic and non-epidemic years in the recent past. Both methods indicated critical periods with remarkable agreement. The analysis of this particular dataset was only made possible due to the successful application of compressed sensing techniques to plausibly complete missing data. In fact, the cities of Rio de Janeiro, Salvador, and São Luís had the larger gaps in their daily recording of climate variables that were circumvented using compressive sensing.

After localizing the critical periods with high separability scores between epidemic/non-epidemic climate conditions we were able to find which seasons were crucial for the development of Dengue outbreaks at each city. See Table 0.1 for a summary of the results. We obtained strong evidence that the climate influence on epidemics varies significantly from place to place [21, 40, 41], and thus rejecting simplistic or universal explanations involving temper-

Table 0.1
Summary of most important seasons for dengue outbreaks.

Capital	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall (DF)
Aracajú	x		x	
Belo Horizonte	x	x	x	
Manaus	x			
Recife		x	x	x
Rio de Janeiro	x	x		
Salvador		x	x	
São Luís	x		x	

Remark: Peaks of Dengue Fever outbreaks happen typically during the fall (March – May).

ature and rain precipitation in urban centers. We found a high correlation between critical climate signatures during the winter season and the occurrence of outbreaks in Aracajú, Belo Horizonte, Manaus, Rio de Janeiro, and São Luís.

Several works report and quantify how climate influence the mosquito development on a weekly scale [42, 43, 44]. We suggest that climate conditions may have long-term effects as well, occurring even months before the outbreaks. As a consequence, intensifying mosquito control campaigns during the winter season may prove an interesting epidemic control strategy, especially due to the smaller size of the vector populations during that period. In Brazil, the national and local campaigns are usually restricted to spring and summer periods [45, 46]. In fact, the Brazilian government announced that a special task force for fighting mosquitos was to be formed November 3rd, 2016 [47]. We believe this starting date to be too late since critical climate conditions were detected in some cities even 9 months prior to epochs with higher Dengue incidence.

A number of early warning systems are available for calculating the risk of Dengue epidemics taking climate factors into account [23, 24, 48, 49, 50, 51]. In this sense, our methodology offers an additional set of key periods that may assist current warning systems or serve as basis to a new model focusing on climate signatures of epidemic years. Figure 0.12 illustrates how this could be achieved using SVM linear kernel separability scores: (i) We would train climate data projected onto the $\langle T_j \rangle \times \langle \delta_j \rangle^{-1}$ plane and divide it into two regions referring to epidemic (red) or non-epidemic (blue) data. (ii) Once we obtain temperature and precipitation measurements for the following year we can quantify the fraction of climate data falling into each region and use it to forecast Dengue the following year. (iii) After the Dengue outcome is known for that year, we can append that data to our set and retrain the classifier line between epidemic/non-epidemic regions. This should improve, at least in theory, the precision of future forecasts and our understanding of critical climate signatures.

There are several limitations to our work and all of our results must be interpreted with caution and parsimony. Ultimately, we are only *suggesting* that temperature and precipitation discrepancies in key epochs affected mosquito populations in a critical way, and despite the plausible hypotheses, they were not yet directly measured or reported satisfactory by field studies. Moreover, we didn't consider several other factors believed to be important for explaining dengue dynamics in details, such as: (i) Circulation of different strains of the




Figure 0.12. Forecasting Dengue Outbreaks and appending data for further analysis. Example for the SVM-Linear methodology on climate data from Rio de Janeiro. (i) We choose a high scored (t_0, p) -rectangle, for which we plot the climate indicators with their respective colors. (ii) We apply a SVM training algorithm on this 2D-dataset. (a) A classifier line can be drawn and two semi-planes (Dengue and No-Dengue) are obtained. (b) With data from a new year for the same (t_0, p) periods (black crosses), we can compute the percentage of indicators that falls into each of those semi-planes. Therefore we are able to estimate the correlation between new and previous climate data with respect to Dengue epidemics. (iii) Depending on the classification of the new year as epidemic or not, the new data is colored red or blue to become part of a new SVM-training set. This procedure will give a more accurate information about the importance of the chosen (t_0, p) -rectangle on Dengue prediction.

dengue virus [11, 12, 52, 53]; once the cross-immunity wanes with time, the introduction of new DENV serotypes may affect an entire population. (ii) Human mobility within and among the cities [13, 14, 15, 54, 55]; the lifetime movement range of an Ae.Aegypti mosquito is typically less than a kilometer and the spread of Dengue through an urban area is most likely driven

by everyday human movement [56, 57]. In fact, humans act as vectors between relatively localized mosquito populations and might change their commute strategies based on climate factors as well. (iii) Human demographic dynamics: lower death rates may increase the longevity of immune individuals and lower birth rates may decrease the number of susceptible individuals. Such fluctuations over the years may change the magnitude of the infections [58, 59]. (iv) Global warming and global climate changes: several studies examined for instance the influence of El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in dengue incidence. While some argue that ENSO is behind the synchronization of dengue epidemics and traveling waves of infections, others dismiss it as a minor factor [60, 61, 62, ?]. In any case, global climate changes are likely to affect local climate conditions and consequently, dengue transmission. (v) Our methodological limitations constrained the analysis to cities that experienced at least three epidemic and three non-epidemic years in the recent past. Poorly recordings of climate data also prevented us from including two additional capitals to our dataset (see Appendix and SI for details). In the future, we expect to extend our analysis to other capitals. Finally, at this stage, we outline a modest prediction system for Dengue outbreaks using our methods only as a proof of concept, leaving detailed forecasting (as done in [23, 24]) for future works.

Conclusion. Epidemic control of Dengue, Zika and Chikungunya is one of the most urgent public health challenges in a globalized world, and their effects have dramatic societal consequences in large tropical countries such as Brazil. A better understanding of the the multi-scale and long terms effects of climate conditions on the development of *Aedes Aegypti* populations is crucial for improving the timing of vector-control efforts and other policies. In this sense, this work adds a new piece to the complex puzzle that is the development of mosquito populations in dynamic urban environments under variable climate conditions. Figures 0.6 and 0.7 illustrates the power of our methodology. We have found two specific parameters – mean of temperature and frequency of precipitation – that may be crucial for Dengue prediction in Brazil.

Not only are the analytic metrics developed in this manuscript predictive, they are also easily interpretable in terms of simple to acquire measurement proxies of temperature and precipitation. Moreover, in all cities considered where data was readily available, distinct and separable climate patterns were shown which suggest that accurate prediction of epidemics can be achieved in the winter preceding the outbreak. This suggests that many of the eradication strategies should be performed well in advance of the summer months where the epidemic is manifest.

Table 0.1 summarizes the potential for predictive success of dengue outbreaks. Remarkably, in almost all cities, aside from Recife and São Luís, a prediction can be made approximately six to nine months in advance of the epidemic outbreak. And aside from Manaus, all cities offer multiple windows of opportunity for forecasting the dengue levels during the annual cycle. Interestingly, the summer in Rio de Janeiro offers little insight into this matter, since data of years with and without dengue are qualitatively similar from a climate perspective. Yet public strategies have typically been enacted and decided during this time period, which is both too late and does not leverage the predictive capabilities of the climate data. We conjecture that the winter months are critical for establishing the ideal breeding conditions, through temperature and frequency of precipitation, which ultimately determine the

size of the *Aedes Aegypti* population. This suggests that disrupting the breeding cycle six to nine months in advance may be a robust strategy for vector control. For instance, in Rio de Janeiro, if during the winter months the rain frequency is approximately once per week and the average temperature is approximately 22 Celcius (See Fig. 0.6), then it is highly likely that an epidemic will occur, thus requiring an intervention strategy 9 months in advance.

The work also highlights that the patterns allowing for predictive success are quite distinct from city to city. Figure 0.6 demonstrates that a simple, universal rule about climate effects may be hard to achieve. Indeed, data on the seven cities demonstrate a remarkably heterogeneous range of behaviors despite each individual city giving rise to clear prediction windows. This is largely to be expected as climatic effects, such as proximity to ocean, jungle, forest, dense populations, etc. will all play a significant role in how precipitation and temperature favorably or unfavorably effects the growth of the disease vector *Aedes Aegypti*.

Acknowledgments. LS, PDM and JNK would like to acknowledge Prof. Stefanello Boatto from Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Joshua L. Proctor from the Institute of Disease Modeling, and Prof. Roberto.I. Oliveira (IMPA) for their insightful comments and enthusiastic support of this work. A significant part of this project was done during Lucas' internship at the University of Washington in the winter of 2016 funded by the Brazilian National Council of Research (CNPq).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ranjit S, Kissoon N Dengue hemorrhagic fever and shock syndromes. *Pediatr. Crit. Care Med.* 2011; 12 (1): 90-100. doi:10.1097/PCC.0b013e3181e911a7. PMID 20639791.
- [2] Gubler DJ Dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 1998; 11 (3): 480-96. PMC 88892. Freely accessible. PMID 9665979.
- [3] World Health Organization. Dengue and severe dengue. WHO Factsheet No 117. Geneva. 2015; Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs117/en/>
- [4] Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature.* 2013; 496, 504-507. doi: 10.1038/nature12060. pmid:23563266
- [5] Figueredo LTM. Dengue in Brazil : Past, Present and Future Perspectives. *Dengue Bulletin.* 2003; 27, 25-33.
- [6] Fares RC, Souza KP, Añez G, Rios M. Epidemiological Scenario of Dengue in Brazil. *Biomed research international.* 2015
- [7] Brazilian Ministry of Health. Epidemiological Bulletin (in Portuguese). 2016. Available from: <http://portalsaude.saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2016/abril/26/2016-014—Dengue-SE13-prelo.pdf>
- [8] World Health Organization. Weekly epidemiological record Dengue Vaccine : WHO position paper - July 2016. Available from: <http://www.who.int/wer/2016/wer9130.pdf?ua=1>
- [9] Rothman AL, Ennis FA. Dengue Vaccine : The Need, the Challenges and Progress. *Journal of Infectious Diseases.* 2016; jiw068.
- [10] Pitisuttithum P, Bouckennooghe A. The first licensed dengue vaccine: an important tool for integrated preventive strategies against dengue virus infection. *Expert review of vaccines.* 2016; Forthcoming
- [11] Rabaa MA, Simmons CP, Fox A, Le MQ, Nguyen TTT, et al. Dengue virus in sub-tropical northern and central Viet Nam: Population immunity and climate shape patterns of viral invasion and maintenance. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2013; 7 (12) e2581 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002581.
- [12] Raghvani J, Rambaut A, Holmes EC, Hang VT, Hien TT, et al. Endemic dengue associated with the co-circulation of multiple viral lineages and localized density-dependent transmission. *PLoS Pathog.* 2011 7: e1002064.

- [13] Adams B, Kapan DD. Man bites mosquito: understanding the contribution of human movement to vector-borne disease dynamics. *PloS one*.2009 4(8), e6763.
- [14] Stoddard ST, Morrison AC, Vazquez-Prokopec GM, Paz Soldan V, Kochel TJ, et al. The role of human movement in the transmission of vector-borne pathogens *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2009. 3: e481.
- [15] Stolerman, LM, Coombs D, Boatto S. SIR-Network Model and Its Application to Dengue Fever. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*. 2015. 75(6), 2581-2609.
- [16] Watts DM, Burke DS, Harrison BA, Whitmire RE, Nisalak A. Effect of temperature on the vector efficiency of *Aedes aegypti* for dengue 2 virus. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1987. 36: 143–152.
- [17] Yang HM, Macoris MLG, Galvani KC, Andrighetti MTM, Wanderley DMV. Assessing the effects of temperature on the population of *Aedes aegypti*, the vector of dengue *Epidemiol Infect*. 2009. 137: 1188–1202.
- [18] Foo LC, Lim TW, Lee HL, Fang R. Rainfall, abundance of *Aedes* and dengue infection in Selangor, Malaysia *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Pub Health*. 1985. 16: 560–568.
- [19] Honório NA, Castro MG, Barros FSM, Magalhães MAFM, Sabroza PC The spatial distribution of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in a transition zone, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2009. 25:1203–1214.
- [20] Hopp MJ, Foley JA Global-scale relationships between climate and the Dengue fever vector, *Aedes aegypti*. *Clim Change*. 2001. 48: 441–463.
- [21] Adde A, Roucou P, Mangeas M, Ardillon V, Desenclos J-C, Rousset D, et al. Predicting Dengue Fever Outbreaks in French Guiana Using Climate Indicators. *PLOS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10: e0004681. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004681. PMID:27128312
- [22] Hii YL , Huaiping Zhu, Nawi Ng, Lee Ching Ng, Joacim Rocklöv. Forecast of Dengue Incidence Using Temperature and Rainfall *PloS Negl Trop Dis*. 2012;(11):e1908
- [23] Buczak AL, Koshute PT, Babin SM, Feighner BH, Lewis SH. A data-driven epidemiological prediction method for dengue outbreaks using local and remote sensing data. *BMC Medical Informatics & Decision Making* 2012; 12:124.
- [24] Buczak AL, Baugher B, Babin SM, Ramac-Thomas LC, Guven E, Elbert Y, et al. Prediction of high incidence of dengue in the Philippines. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2014; 8:24:e2771.
- [25] Hii YL , Huaiping Zhu, Nawi Ng, Lee Ching Ng, Joacim Rocklöv. Forecast of Dengue Incidence Using Temperature and Rainfall *PloS Negl Trop Dis*. 2012;(11):e1908
- [26] Golub G, Kahan W Calculating the Singular Values and Pseudo-Inverse of a Matrix *Journal SIAM Numerical Analysis*. 1965; Series B, 2(2), 205-224.
- [27] Kutz JN. *Data-Driven Modeling & Scientific Computation: Methods for Complex Systems & Big Data*. Oxford University Press; 2013.
- [28] Murphy KP. *Machine learning: a probabilistic perspective*. MIT press; 2012 Sep 7.
- [29] Bishop CM. *Pattern recognition. Machine Learning*. 2006;128.
- [30] Cortes C, Vapnik V. Support-vector networks. *Machine learning*.1995. 20(3), 273-297.
- [31] Burges CJ. A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition. *Data mining and knowledge discovery 2.2* .1998. 121-167.
- [32] Candés EJ and Wakin MB. An introduction to compressive sampling. *IEEE signal processing magazine* 25.2 (2008): 21-30.
- [33] Gemmeke JF, Van Hamme H, Cranen B, Boves L. Compressive sensing for missing data imputation in noise robust speech recognition. *IEEE Journal of selected topics in Signal Processing* 4.2 (2010): 272-287.
- [34] Stankovic L, Stankovic S, and Amin M. Missing samples analysis in signals for applications to L-estimation and compressive sensing. *Signal Processing* 94 (2014): 401-408.
- [35] Zhang Y When is missing data recoverable?. Technical Report, 2006.
- [36] Brazilian National Institute of Meteorology (INMET) Temperature and precipitation time series. Available from (website in Portuguese): <http://www.inmet.gov.br/portal/>
- [37] Brazilian National Surveillance System (SINAN) Total number of Dengue cases in state capitals Available from (website in Portuguese): <http://portalsinan.saude.gov.br/dados-epidemiologicos-sinan>
- [38] Health department, city Hall. Cases of Dengue registered in Rio de Janeiro. Available from (website in Portuguese): <http://www.rio.rj.gov.br/web/sms/dengue>
- [39] Alert system of rain events, city Hall. Precipitation time series of Rio de Janeiro. Available from (website

- in Portuguese): <http://alertario.rio.rj.gov.br/>
- [40] Liao CM, Huang TL, Lin YJ, You SH, Cheng YH, Hsieh NH, hen WY Regional response of dengue fever epidemics to interannual variation and related climate variability. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*. 2015; 29(3), 947-958.
- [41] Johansson MA, Dominici F, Glass GE Local and global effects of climate on dengue transmission in Puerto Rico. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2009; 3(2), e382.
- [42] Pessanha JEMP, Caiaffa WT, Almeida MCDM, Brandao ST, Proietti FA Diffusion pattern and hotspot detection of dengue in Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Journal of tropical medicine*. 2012.
- [43] Honório, NA, Codeço CT, Alves FC, Magalhães MDA, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R. Temporal distribution of *Aedes aegypti* in different districts of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, measured by two types of traps. *Journal of Medical Entomology*. 2009; 46(5), 1001-1014.
- [44] Dibo MR, Chierotti AP, Ferrari MS, Mendonça AL, Chiaravalloti Neto, F. Study of the relationship between *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* egg and adult densities, dengue fever and climate in Mirassol, state of São Paulo, Brazil. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*. 2008; 103(6), 554-560.
- [45] Brazilian Ministry of Health. Promotion of national mobilization effort against *Aedes Aegypti* in 2013 (in Portuguese). Available from: <http://www.brasil.gov.br/saude/2013/11/governo-lanca-nova-campanha-de-mobilizacao-contra-dengue>
- [46] Brazilian Ministry of Health. Promotion of national mobilization effort against *Aedes Aegypti* in 2016 (in Portuguese). Available from: <http://www.brasil.gov.br/governo/2016/02/dilma-visita-rio-de-janeiro-no-dia-nacional-de-mobilizacao-zika-zero>
- [47] Brazilian Ministry of Health. Promotion of national mobilization effort against *Aedes Aegypti* for 2017. Available from: <http://www.brazilgovnews.gov.br/news/2016/11/government-promotes-national-mobilisation-effort-against-aedes-aegypti>
- [48] Lowe R, Bailey T, Stephenson D, Jupp T, Graham R, Coelho CA et al. Spatio-temporal modelling of climate-sensitive disease risk: Towards an early warning system for dengue in Brazil. *Computers & Geosciences*. 2011; 37(3), 371-381.
- [49] Lowe R, Bailey T, Stephenson D, Jupp T, Graham R, et al. The development of an early warning system for climate-sensitive disease risk with a focus on dengue epidemics in Southeast Brazil. *Statist Med*. 2012; 32: 864-883. doi: 10.1002/sim.5549
- [50] Racloz V, Ramsey R, Tong S, Hu W. Surveillance of dengue fever virus: a review of epidemiological models and early warning systems. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2012; 6(5), e1648.
- [51] Johansson MA, Reich NG, Hota A, Brownstein JS, Santillana M. Evaluating the performance of infectious disease forecasts: A comparison of climate-driven and seasonal dengue forecasts for Mexico. *Nature*. 2016; *Scientific Reports*, 6.
- [52] Díaz FJ, Black WC, Farfán-Ale JA, Loroño-Pino MA, Olson KE, Beaty BJ. Dengue virus circulation and evolution in Mexico: a phylogenetic perspective. *Archives of medical research*. 2006; 37(6), 760-773.
- [53] Adams B, Holmes EC, Zhang C, Mammen MP, Nimmannitya S, Kalayanarooj S, Boots M. Cross-protective immunity can account for the alternating epidemic pattern of dengue virus serotypes circulating in Bangkok. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2006; 103(38), 14234-14239.
- [54] Wesolowski A, Qureshi T, Boni MF, Sundsøy PR, Johansson MA, Rasheed SB, et al. Impact of human mobility on the emergence of dengue epidemics in Pakistan. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2015; 112(38), 11887-11892.
- [55] Barmak DH, Dorso CO, Otero M, Solari HG. Dengue epidemics and human mobility. *Physical Review E*. 2011; 84(1), 011901.
- [56] Harrington LC, Scott TW, Lerdthusnee K, Coleman RC, Costero A, Clark GG, et al. Dispersal of the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* within and between rural communities. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2005; 72(2), 209-220.
- [57] de Castro Medeiros LC, Castilho CAR, Braga C, de Souza W V, Regis L, Monteiro AMV. Modeling the dynamic transmission of dengue fever: investigating disease persistence. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2011; 5(1), e942.
- [58] Cummings DA, Iamsrithawor S, Lessler JT, McDermott A, Prasanthong R, Nisalak A, et al. The impact of the demographic transition on dengue in Thailand: insights from a statistical analysis and mathematical modeling. *PLoS Med*. 2009; 6(9), e1000139.
- [59] Mondini A, Chiaravalloti-Neto F. Spatial correlation of incidence of dengue with socioeconomic, demo-

- graphic and environmental variables in a Brazilian city. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2008; 393(2), 241-248.
- [60] Johansson MA, Cummings DA, Glass GE. Multi year climate variability and dengue—El Nino southern oscillation, weather, and dengue incidence in Puerto Rico, Mexico, and Thailand: a longitudinal data analysis. *PLoS Med*.2009; 6(11), e1000168.
- [61] Cazelles B, Chavez M, McMichael AJ, Hales S. Nonstationary influence of El Nino on the synchronous dengue epidemics in Thailand. *PLoS Med*. 2005; 2(4), e106.
- [62] Banu S, Guo Y, Hu W, Dale P, Mackenzie JS, Mengersen K, et al. Impacts of El Niño Southern Oscillation and Indian Ocean Dipole on dengue incidence in Bangladesh. *Scientific report*.2015; 5.
- [63] Naish S, Dale P, Mackenzie JS, McBride J, Mengersen K, Tong S. Climate change and dengue: a critical and systematic review of quantitative modelling approaches. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2014; 14(1), 1.

1. Supporting Information.

S1 Appendix. Details about the choice of the seven capitals As explained in our Methods section, we chose capitals that had at least 3 years with Dengue Epidemics (DE) and at least 3 years without DE in the recent past. The following 9 capitals passed this criterium: Aracajú, Belo Horizonte, Cuiabá, João Pessoa, Manaus, Recife, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador and São Luís. We completed missing data through linear interpolation and/or usage of alternative sources for precipitation time series given that the CVX routine does not work well for episodic data events. From the 9 capitals, the following 6 had only single precipitation gaps: Aracajú, Belo Horizonte, Manaus, Recife, Salvador and São Luís. The cities of Cuiabá, João Pessoa and Rio de Janeiro had big missing data epochs. For Rio de Janeiro we found an alternative source of precipitation data, but the other two capitals had to be discarded from our analysis.

S1 Tables. Epidemic and non-epidemic years for each chosen capital and missing Climate data for each chosen capital We provide tables with estimated population, total number of Dengue cases, incidence per 100,000 inhabitants, and details of our climate data completing protocols (if any). For Rio de Janeiro, we consider the time period from 2003 to 2013 and we use epidemic data from municipal webpage ([link](#)). For the other capitals, the analyzed period ranges from 2002 to 2012 and data was collected from the Ministry of Health's Notifiable Diseases Information System. ([SINAN](#))

Table 1.1
Aracajú.

Year	Pop.	Cases	Incidence
2002	473,991	1,933	407.81
2003	479,767	1,301	271.17
2004	491,898	166	33.75
2005	498,619	271	54.35
2006	505,286	355	70.26
2007	520,303	728	139.92
2008	536,785	1,0702	1,993.72
2009	544,039	1,232	226.45
2010	571,149	302	52.88
2011	579,563	1,399	241.39
2012	587,701	2,656	451.93

Incidence = Cases per 100,000 inhabitants. Single gaps of missing climate data were filled by linear interpolation; temperature on 12/21/2006 and precipitation on 7/24/2006.

Table 1.2
Belo Horizonte.

Year	Pop.	Cases	Incidence	Temp (L.I)	Precip (L.I)
2001	–	–	–	8/9	8/9
2002	2, 284, 468	4, 749	207.88	8/31	8/31
2003	2, 305, 812	1, 800	78.06	–	–
2004	2, 350, 564	472	20.08	–	–
2005	2, 375, 329	149	6.27	–	–
2006	2, 399, 920	872	36.33	–	–
2007	2, 412, 937	5278	218.74	12/31	12/31
2008	2, 434, 642	12, 967	532.60	1/1 11/21	1/1 11/21
2009	2, 452, 617	14, 494	590.96	12/12	12/12
2010	2, 375, 151	52, 315	2,202.60	–	–
2011	2, 385, 640	1, 749	73.31	–	–
2012	2, 395, 785	635	26.50	–	–

Incidence = Cases per 100,000 inhabitants. L.I stands for Linear Interpolation.

Table 1.3
Manaus.

Year	Pop.	Cases	Incidence
2002	1, 488, 805	1, 855	124.60
2003	1, 527, 314	3, 731	244.29
2004	1, 592, 555	789	49.54
2005	1, 644, 690	915	55.63
2006	1, 688, 524	495	29.32
2007	1, 646, 602	1, 989	120.79
2008	1, 709, 010	5, 975	349.62
2009	1, 738, 641	623	35.83
2010	1, 802, 014	3, 748	207.99
2011	1, 832, 424	54, 342	2,965.58
2012	1, 861, 838	3, 703	198.89

Incidence = Cases per 100,000 inhabit. Single gaps of missing climate data were filled by linear interpolation; temperature on 12/23/2005 and precipitation on 2/11/2005.

Table 1.4
Recife.

Year	Pop.	Cases	Incidence	Temp (L.I)	Precip(L.I)
2001	–	–	–	–	–
2002	1,449,135	42,791	2,952.86	–	–
2003	1,461,320	449	30.73	–	–
2004	1,486,869	241	16.21	–	–
2005	1,501,008	830	55.30	–	–
2006	1,515,052	1,443	95.24	11/4 12/2	–
2007	1,533,580	1,503	98.01	–	–
2008	1,549,980	4,771	307.81	4/28	–
2009	1,561,659	578	37.01	4/30 7/31 11/19	–
2010	1,537,704	11,494	747.48	9/8	–
2011	1,546,516	5,471	353.76	–	–
2012	1,555,039	11,444	735.93	1/1 5/2 6/14 8/14	1/1 5/2 8/14

Incidence = Cases per 100,000 inhabitants. L.I stands for Linear Interpolation.

Table 1.5
Rio de Janeiro.

Year	Pop.	Cases	Incidence	Temp (CVX)	Precip (subst)
2002	–	–	–	8/31	–
2003	5,974,081	1,610	26.95	3/1 – 3/2 6/20 – 6/30	6/20 – 6/30
2004	6,051,399	607	10.03	–	–
2005	6,094,183	980	16.08	–	–
2006	6,136,652	14,435	235.23	–	12/13 – 12/31
2007	6,093,472	26,507	435.01	1/1 – 2/1	1/1 – 1/10
2008	6,161,047	110,861	1799.39	–	–
2009	6,186,710	2,961	47.86	2/11	–
2010	6,320,446	3,000	47.47	–	–
2011	6,355,949	78,645	1237.34	–	–
2012	6,390,290	137,505	2151.78	12/8 12/26 – 12/27	–
2013	6,429,923	66,278	1030.77	6/13 – 6/21	6/14 – 6/19

Incidence = Cases per 100,000 inhabitants. Number of Dengue cases in 2013 taken from the City's health department, because data from SINAN is not available for that year. For the larger gaps of missing data on precipitation time series, we have used data of the *Alerta Rio* system from Saúde neighborhood, the closest to the Santos Dumont airport where INMET's rain collectors are located.

Table 1.6
Salvador.

Year	Pop.	Cases	Incidence	Temp (CVX)	Precip (L.I)
2001	–	–	–	–	–
2002	2,520,504	26,838	1,064.79	10/9 – 10/21	–
2003	2,556,429	908	35.52	–	–
2004	2,631,831	154	5.85	–	–
2005	2,673,560	270	10.10	10/21 – 10/31	–
2006	2,714,018	377	13.89	–	–
2007	2,892,625	1,349	46.64	10/6 – 10/7	10/7
2008	2,948,733	2,476	83.97	–	–
2009	2,998,056	6,819	227.45	6/9 12/27	–
2010	2,675,656	6,159	230.19	–	–
2011	2,693,606	5,321	197.54	–	–
2012	2,710,968	5,161	190.37	–	–

Incidence = Cases per 100,000 inhabitants. L.I stands for Linear Interpolation.

Table 1.7
São Luís .

Year	Pop.	Cases	Incidence	Temp (CVX)	Precip (L.I)
2001	–	–	–	10/1 – 10/31 11/14 11/21	–
2002	906,567	448	49.42	4/30	–
2003	923,526	567	61.40	9/5 – 9/26 9/28 – 10/10	–
2004	959,124	154	16.06	–	–
2005	978,824	2,580	263.58	–	–
2006	998,385	1,395	139.73	–	–
2007	957,515	3,827	399.68	–	–
2008	986,826	1,183	119.88	–	–
2009	997,098	100	10.03	–	5/31
2010	1,014,837	2,731	269.11	–	–
2011	1,027,430	5,229	508.94	10/20	–
2012	1,039,610	1,315	126.49	6/8 – 6/9 6/12 – 6/13 7/24 – 7/25 7/29	–

Incidence = Cases per 100,000 inhabitants. L.I stands for Linear Interpolation.