GABOR TIGHT FUSION FRAMES: CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATIONS IN PHASELESS RETRIEVAL

MOZHGAN MOHAMMADPOUR, BRIAN TUOMANEN, AND RAJAB ALI KAMYABI GOL

ABSTRACT. Tight fusion frames are a topic of great interest and importance in Hilbert space frame theory; however, very little is known about their construction. In this paper, we demonstrate a method to concretely construct such fusion frames, borrowing ideas from Gabor theory. This result constitutes the first nontrivial example of tight fusion frames. Moreover, we use this construction to further show their applicability in the field of phaseless reconstruction, to allow for the retrieval of signals modulo phase.

1. Introduction

Fusion frame theory has recently garnered great interest among researchers who work in signal processing. Fusion frames extend the notion of a frame (i.e., an overcomplete set of vectors) within a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} to a collection of orthogonal projections $\{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ on \mathcal{H} with an associated collection of weights $\{\nu_i\}_{i \in I}$. This concept was originally introduced by Kutyniok and Casazza in [7].

A tight fusion frame is one such that we have the identity $\sum_{i \in I} P_i = CI_{N \times N}$, i.e., the sum of the projections is a multiple of the identity, with every weight set to 1. Such tight fusion frames are of interest for two reasons. First, they guarantee a very simple reconstruction of a signal; and second, tight fusion frames are robust against noise [5] and also remain robust against a one-erasure subspace when the rank of projections are equal to each other [11].

On the other hand, phaseless reconstruction is a field that has gathered interest in the mathematical community in the last decade. Phaseless reconstruction (or equivalently, *phaseless retrieval*) is defined as the recovery of a signal modulo phase from the absolute values of fusion frame measurement coefficients arising from a fusion frame [3]. This is known to have applications to a disparate array of other scientific and applied disciplines, including X-ray crystallography [8], speech recognition[1, 12, 14], and quantum state tomography[13].

Key words and phrases. Frame Theory, Fusion Frames, Sensor Networks, Gabor Frames, Gabor Fusion Frames, Phase Retrieval, Phaseless Reconstruction.

The second author was supported by NSF ATD 1321779.

In the case of phaseless retrieval, the signal must be recovered from coefficients of dimension higher than one. Here, in the context of fusion frames, the problem is to recover $x \in \mathcal{H}_M$ "up to phase" from the measurements $\{\|P_i x\|\}_{i=1}^N$.

There are few publications about the phaseless retrievability of projections. The paper [4] used semidefinite programming to develop a reconstruction algorithm for when $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are equidimensional random subspaces. In [3] the authors characterized the phaseless retrieval fusion frames. Moreover, they show the relationship between the phaseless retrievality of fusion frames and the usual phaseless retrieval problem with families of measurement vectors.

In this paper we demonstrate a new method for the construction of tight fusion frames. There are hithero few examples of tight fusion frames except trivial ones made up of orthogonal subspaces, so we believe this is a relevant and interesting advance. Moreover, there are few examples of phaseless retrieval fusion frames. In this paper, we present a condition that makes this structure allow phaseless retrieval.

This article is organized as follows: Section 2 starts with preliminaries about tight fusion frames and phaseless retrievability of fusion frames. Section 3 is devoted to a brief summary of Gabor frames which is used to construct the tight fusion frames. In section 4, we explain our method to construct tight fusion frames. Section 5 focuses on finding conditions that makes our tight fusion frame allow phaseless retrieval, and our conclusion is in section 6.

2. Preliminaries And Notation

A fusion frame is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1. Consider a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with a collection of subspaces $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ and an associated set of positive weights $\{\nu_i\}_{i \in I}$. We likewise denote the associated orthogonal projections $P_i : \mathcal{H} \to W_i$. Then we call $\{(W_i, \nu_i)\}$ a **fusion frame** if there are positive constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we have the following:

$$A\|x\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i \in I} \nu_{i}^{2} \|P_{i}x\|^{2} \leq B\|x\|^{2}$$

Definition 2.2. A **tight fusion frame** is a fusion frame as in 2.1 where A = B and $\nu_i = 1$ for all $i \in I$. That is to say, we have the following for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|P_i x\|^2 = A \|x\|^2$$

Or, equivalently:

2

$$AI = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i$$

Now, consider an orthonormal basis for the range of P_i , that is $\{e_{i,\ell}\}_{i=1}^n$. We know that:

$$P_i x = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \langle x, e_{i,\ell} \rangle e_{i,\ell}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Summing these equations over $i = 1, \dots, N$ together

$$Ax = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{x}, e_{i,\ell} \rangle e_{i,\ell}$$

One can recover the signal modulo phase from fusion frame measurements. In this senario, consider we are given subspaces $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of M-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_M and orthogonal projections $P_i : \mathcal{H}_M \to W_i$. We want to recover any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}_M$ (up to a global phase factor) from the fusion frame measurements $\{||P_i\mathbf{x}||\}_{i=1}^N$. To fix notation, denote $\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$. The measurement process is then given by the map:

$$\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{C}^M/\mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N, \quad \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}(n) = \|P_n\mathbf{x}\|$$

We say $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^N$ allows phaseless reconstruction or allows phaseless retrieval if \mathcal{A} is injective; we call a frame (or fusion frame) with this property a phaseless retrieval frame. In the case where dim $W_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, the problem will be referred to as the classical phaseless reconstruction problem. In section 4, we will provide a novel structure of tight fusion frames where under particular conditions, will allow phaseless reconstruction.

3. Gabor Frames For \mathbb{C}^N

In this section, we provide a brief summary of Gabor frames which is used to construct our tight fusion frames. We index the components of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ by $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$, i.e., the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_N . We will write $\mathbf{x}(k)$ instead of \mathbf{x}_k to avoid algebraic operations on indices.

The discrete Fourier transform is basic in Gabor analysis and is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}\mathbf{x}(m) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{x}(n) e^{-2\pi i m \frac{n}{N}}$$

The most important properties of the Fourier transform are the Fourier inversion formula and the Parseval formula [6]. The inversion formula shows that any \mathbf{x} can be

written as a linear combination of harmonics. This means the normalized harmonics $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}e^{2\pi i m \frac{(.)}{N}}\}_{m=0}^{N-1}$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^N and hence we have

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(m) e^{2\pi i m \frac{n}{N}} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}.$$

Moreover, the Parseval formula states

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

which results in

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\mathbf{x}(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\mathbf{x}}(m)|^2$$

where $|\mathbf{x}(n)|^2$ quantifies the energy of the signal \mathbf{x} at time n, and the Fourier coefficients $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(m)$ indicates that the harmonic $e^{2\pi i m \frac{(.)}{N}}$ contributes energy $\frac{1}{N}|\hat{\mathbf{x}}(m)|^2$ to \mathbf{x} .

Gabor analysis concerns the interplay of the Fourier transform, translation operators, and modulation operators. The cyclic translation operator $T : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is given by

$$T\mathbf{x} = T(\mathbf{x}(0), \cdots, \mathbf{x}(N-1))^{t} = (\mathbf{x}(N-1), \mathbf{x}(0), \cdots, \mathbf{x}(N-2))^{t}.$$

The translation T_k is given by

$$T_k \mathbf{x}(n) = T^k \mathbf{x}(n) = \mathbf{x}(n-k).$$

The operator T_k alters the position of the entries of \mathbf{x} . Note that n - k is achieved modulo N. The modulation operator $M_{\ell} : \mathbf{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is given by

$$M_{\ell}\mathbf{x} = \left(e^{-2\pi i\ell \frac{0}{N}}\mathbf{x}\left(0\right), e^{-2\pi i\ell \frac{1}{N}}\mathbf{x}\left(1\right), \cdots, e^{-2\pi i\ell \frac{N-1}{N}}\mathbf{x}\left(N-1\right)\right)^{t}.$$

Modulation operators are implemented as the pointwise product of the vector with harmonics $e^{-2\pi i \ell \overline{N}}$.

Translation and modulation operators are referred to as time-shift and frequency shift operators. The time-frequency shift operator $\pi(k, \ell)$ is the combination of translation operators and modulation operators:

$$\pi(k,\ell): \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N \quad \pi(k,\ell) \mathbf{x} = M_\ell T_k \mathbf{x}.$$

Hence, the short time-Fourier transform $V_{\phi} : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with respect to the window $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^N$ can be written as

$$V_{\phi}\mathbf{x}\left(k,\ell\right) = \left\langle \mathbf{x}, \pi\left(k,\ell\right)\phi\right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{x}\left(n\right)\overline{\phi\left(n-k\right)}e^{-2\pi i\ell\frac{n}{N}} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}.$$

The short time-Fourier transform generally uses a window function ϕ , supported at neighborhood of zero that is translated by k. Hence, the pointwise product with

x selects a portion of **x** centered at k, and this portion is analyzed using a Fourier transform. The inversion formula for the short time-Fourier transform is [6]

$$\mathbf{x}(n) = \frac{1}{N \|\phi\|_{2}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} V_{\phi} \mathbf{x}(k,\ell) \phi(n-k) e^{-2\pi i \ell \frac{n}{N}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N \|\phi\|_{2}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \langle \mathbf{x}, \pi(k,\ell) \phi \rangle \pi(k,\ell) \phi(n) \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$$

So it can be easily seen that for all $\phi \neq 0$, the system is a $N \|\phi\|^2$ tight Gabor frame.

4. A Tight Fusion Frame Construction For Finite Dimensional Signals

In this section, we show our method to construct Gabor tight fusion frames. The key idea is to start with a general approach for the construction of tight fusion frames, which has certain conditions that must be satisfied. We then show that these conditions are indeed satisfied using methods from the Gabor frame theory.

We begin by showing the following proposition, which is the generalization of our approach with certain conditions:

Proposition 4.1. Consider a collection of frame sequences $\{\{f_{ij}\}_{i=1}^{L}\}_{j=1}^{M}$ within the finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^{N} , and denote $W_{i} := span\{f_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{M}$. Suppose there exists an index i_{0} such that $\{f_{i_{0}j}\}_{j=1}^{M}$ is a B-tight frame for $W_{i_{0}}$ and also a set of coisometry operators $\{\mathcal{U}_{i}\}_{i=1}^{L}$ from \mathbb{C}^{N} to \mathbb{C}^{N} such that for each j = 1, ..., M, we have

$${f_{ij}}_{i=1}^{L} = {\mathcal{U}_i f_{i_0 j}}_{i=1}^{L}.$$

Furthermore, the set $\{f_{ij}\}_{i=1}^{L}$ is a A_j -tight frame in \mathbb{C}^N for every $j = 1, \dots, M$. Then we will have that $\{(W_i, 1)\}_{i=1}^{L}$ is a tight fusion frame.

Proof. Consider $\mathbf{x} \in W_i$. The set $\{\mathcal{U}_i f_{i_0 j}\}_{j=1}^M$ is a *B*-tight frame for W_i over $i = 1, \dots, L$, because

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{U}_i f_{i_0 j} \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{M} |\langle \mathcal{U}_i^* \mathbf{x}, f_{i_0 j} \rangle|^2$$
$$= B ||\mathcal{U}_i^* \mathbf{x}||^2$$
$$= B ||\mathbf{x}||^2$$

Hence we have, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} \|P_i \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{1}{B} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |\langle P_i \mathbf{x}, f_{ij} \rangle|^2$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{1}{B} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |\langle \mathbf{x}, f_{ij} \rangle|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{B} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\langle \mathbf{x}, f_{ij} \rangle|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{B} \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_j \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} A_j}{B} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2,$$

where P_i is the orthogonal projection on W_i . The equality holds since $\{f_{ij}\}_{i=1}^L$ is a *A*-tight frame for \mathbb{C}^N for $j = 1, \dots, M$.

In the following, we explain the method to construct tight fusion frame based on the Theorem 4.1 and Gabor frames on finite dimensional signals [6].

To do this, every subspace W can be modeled by a matrix whose rows are an orthonormal basis for W. On the other hand, every subspace of dimension M can be represented by a matrix $N \times N$ whose first M rows are an orthonormal basis for W, since $\mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ can be embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. For example if the subspace W is generated by $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_M\}$, then, the matrix associated to this subspace is as follows:

$$[\mathbf{e}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{e}_M,0,\cdots,0]^*$$

Moreover, a signal **x** of length N can be represented by a matrix of $N \times N$ since \mathbb{C}^N can be embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$.

$$\tilde{X} = [\mathbf{x}, 0 \cdots, 0]^*$$

Based on the notation stated above, we define $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ -valued inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ as follows:

$$\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}^*$$

The translation and modulation operators for the space of complex valued square matrix of dimension N are defined as follows: Consider $l \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. The translation operator $\tilde{T}_{\ell} : \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \to \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is defined as follows:

$$T_{\ell} (\mathbf{e}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_N)^* = (T_{\ell} \mathbf{e}_1, \cdots, T_{\ell} \mathbf{e}_N)^*$$

In fact the translation operator \tilde{T}_{ℓ} alters the position of each row of the matrix **X**. The modulation operator $\tilde{M}_{\ell} : \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \to \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is given by

$$\tilde{M}_{\ell}(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_N)^* = (M_{\ell}\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,M_{\ell}\mathbf{x}_N)^*$$

Modulation operators are implemented as the pointwise product of each row of the matrix \mathbf{X} with harmonics $e^{-2\pi i l \cdot \overline{N}}$. The translation and modulation operator on $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ are unitary operators and the following properties can be concluded

$$\left(\tilde{T}_{\ell}\right)^* = \left(\tilde{T}_{\ell}\right)^{-1} = \tilde{T}_{N-l} \text{ and } \left(\tilde{M}_{\ell}\right)^* = \left(\tilde{M}_{\ell}\right)^{-1} = \tilde{M}_{N-l}.$$

The circular convolution of two spaces $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is defined by the convolution of functions, which defined on the space $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$ or can be written as:

$$\mathbf{X} * \mathbf{Y} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{x}_i * \mathbf{y}_{0-i}, \cdots, \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{x}_i * \mathbf{y}_{N-1-i}\right)$$

Hence, if $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\mathbf{x}, 0, \cdots, 0)$, the convolution of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ and \mathbf{Y} is given by

$$ilde{\mathbf{X}} * \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}_{N-1})$$

Moreover, the circular involution or circular adjoint of $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{X}^* = (\mathbf{x}_1^*, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N^*)^*$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and $\mathbf{x}_i^*(\ell) = \overline{\mathbf{x}(N-\ell)}$. Note that the complex linear space $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ equipped with ℓ^1 -norm, the circular convolution and involution defined above is a Banach *-algebra.

The unitary discrete Fourier transform of $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \left(\mathcal{F}_{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), \cdots, \mathcal{F}_{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{N}\right)\right)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and the Fourier transform \mathbf{x}_i is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\left(\ell\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\mathbf{x}_{i}\left(k\right)\overline{\omega}_{\ell}\left(k\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\mathbf{x}_{i}\left(k\right)e^{-2\pi i\ell\frac{k}{N}}$$

The Fourier transform is a unitary operator on the $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with the Frobenius norm. In fact, for all $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$:

$$\|\langle \hat{\mathbf{X}}, \hat{\mathbf{X}} \rangle\| = \|\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \rangle\|$$

We also have the following relationships.

$$\widehat{\tilde{T}_{\ell}\mathbf{X}} = \tilde{M}_{\ell}\hat{\mathbf{X}} \quad \widehat{\tilde{M}_{\ell}\mathbf{X}} = \tilde{T}_{N-\ell}\hat{\mathbf{X}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{X}^*} = \overline{\hat{\mathbf{X}}} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{Y}} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}.\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$$

for $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. The inverse Fourier formula for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{X} = \left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{N}\right)^{*} = \left(\mathcal{F}_{N}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), \cdots, \mathcal{F}_{N}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{N}\right)\right)^{*}$$

Translation operators are referred as time shift operators and modulation operators are referred as frequency shift operators. Time-frequency shift operators $\pi(k, l)$ combines translations by k and modulation by l.

$$\pi\left(k,\ell\right)\mathbf{X} = \tilde{M}_{\ell}\tilde{T}_{k}\mathbf{X}$$

The Gabor Fusion transform $V_{\mathbf{Y}}$ of a signal $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with respect to the window $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is given by

(4.1)
$$V_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{x}(k,\ell) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \pi(k,\ell) \mathbf{Y} \rangle = \left(V_{\mathbf{y}_0}\mathbf{x}(k,\ell), \cdots, V_{\mathbf{y}_{N-1}}\mathbf{x}(k,\ell) \right)^{2}$$

Now consider $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ and $\Lambda \subset \{0, \cdots, N-1\} \times \{0, \cdots, N-1\}$. The set

$$(\mathbf{Y}, \Lambda) = \{\pi (k, \ell) \, \mathbf{Y}\}_{(k,\ell) \in \Lambda}$$

is called the Gabor Fusion System which is generated by \mathbf{Y} and Λ . A Gabor Fusion System which spans \mathbb{C}^N is a fusion frame and is referred to as a Gabor Fusion Frame. Next theorem explains the necessary conditions that the set $\{\tilde{M}_{\ell}\tilde{T}_{k}\mathbf{Y}\}_{\ell=1,k=1}^{N,N}$ becomes a tight fusion frame.

Theorem 4.2. Assume $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_M\}$ is a *B*-tight fusion frame for $W_{N,N} = span\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_M\}$. Consider also $W_{k,\ell} = span\{T_k M_\ell \mathbf{y}_j\}_{j=1}^M$ for $k, \ell = 1, \dots, N$. Then, the set $\{W_{k,\ell}\}_{k=1,\ell=1}^{N,N}$ constitutes a $\frac{N ||\mathbf{Y}||_2^2}{B}$ tight fusion frame and we have the following equality:

$$\sum_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1} \|P_{k,\ell} \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \frac{N \|\mathbf{Y}\|_2^2}{B} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

Proof. All that has to be done is to verify that $\left\{\{T_k M_\ell \mathbf{y}_i\}_{k,\ell=1}^N\right\}_{i=1}^M$ satisfies the criteria of proposition 4.1. First, for a given value of j, we have that $\{T_k M_\ell \mathbf{y}_j\}_{k,\ell=1}^N$ is a $A_j = N ||\mathbf{y}_j||^2$ tight frame in \mathbb{C}^N by the elementary Gabor theory (this can be seen the prior section). It should clear by its nature that the time-frequency shift operator $T_k M_\ell$ is a co-isometry for a set k, ℓ , since it was mentioned before T_k and M_ℓ are both unitary operators for every k, ℓ . Finally, we know by the assumption that $\{\mathbf{y}_j\}_{j=1}^M$ is B-tight on its ambient space $W_{0,0}$. Seeing that the conditions for the proposition are satisfied, we have the conclusion that $\{(W_{k,\ell}, 1)\}_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1}$ is a $\frac{N ||\mathbf{Y}||_2^2}{B}$ -tight fusion frame on \mathbb{C}^N .

We can use the same procedure based on the Theorem 4.1 and any transforms which is defined on finite dimensional signals to construct tight fusion frame. In this case cyclic wave packet transform [10] and cyclic wavelet transform [9] are the transforms which are defined for finite dimensional signals.

5. Gabor Fusion Frames and Phaseless Reconstruction

In this section, we are looking for some conditions such that the tight Gabor fusion frame allows phaseless retrieval. To state these conditions, we provide some theorems should be necessary to explain the main result. The next lemma shows that if we add a vector to a phaseless retrieval frame, the new frame also allows phaseless retrieval.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a frame for \mathbb{C}^N that allows phaseless reconstruction. If we add a vector ϕ_{N+1} to $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^N$, then $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{N+1}$, this will also allow phaseless reconstruction.

Proof. Consider that for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{C}^N$, we have $\{|\langle x_1, \phi_i \rangle|\}_{i=1}^{N+1} = \{|\langle x_2, \phi_i \rangle|\}_{i=1}^{N+1}$. Hence, we have $\{|\langle x_1, \phi_i \rangle|\}_{i=1}^N = \{|\langle x_2, \phi_i \rangle|\}_{i=1}^N$. So, $x_1 = cx_2$ where |c| = 1 since $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ allows phaseless retrieval for \mathbb{C}^N . Thus $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{N+1}$ also allows phaseless retrieval.

Lemma 5.1 is very important in constructing phaseless retrieval frame. If we have a phaseless retrieval frame for \mathbb{C}^N , then we can construct a new frame that allows phaseless reconstruction by adding a vector to the frame vector set. On the other hand, to show the phaseless retrievability of a frame, it is enough to show that a subset of the frame vectors that generates the space allows phaseless reconstruction.

Following theorem demonstrates the relationship of the phaseless retrievability of the Gabor fusion frames and the phaseless retrievability of the frame vectors which spans subspaces.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{C}^N with the property $T_k e_i = e_{i+k \mod N}$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$ span the n-dimensional subset $W_{0,0} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$. Moreover $W_{k,\ell} = \text{span } \{T_k M_\ell e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for $k, \ell = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. If there exists an i_0 such that $\{T_k M_\ell e_{i_0}\}_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1}$ is a phaseless retrieval frame for \mathbb{C}^N , then $\{W_{k,\ell}\}_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1}$ is a phaseless retrieval frame for \mathbb{C}^N , then $\{W_{k,\ell}\}_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1}$ is a phaseless retrieval frame.

Proof. We assume that $\{T_k M_\ell \mathbf{e}_{i_0}\}_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1}$ is a phaseless retrieval frame. We show that the derived fusion frame inherits this property:

We consider some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Notice that we have $|\langle \mathbf{x}, T_k M_\ell \mathbf{e}_i \rangle|^2 = |\langle \mathbf{x}, M_\ell T_k e_i \rangle|^2 = |\langle \mathbf{x}, M_\ell \mathbf{e}_{i+k \mod N} \rangle|^2$. This gives us the following:

(5.1)
$$||P_{k,\ell}\mathbf{x}||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \mathbf{x}, T_k M_\ell \mathbf{e}_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \mathbf{x}, M_\ell T_k \mathbf{e}_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \mathbf{x}, M_\ell \mathbf{e}_{i+k} \rangle|^2.$$

To show that there is an injective mapping from the fusion frame measurements, $\{\|P_{k,\ell}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2\}_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1}$, to the vector \mathbf{x} modulo phase (i.e., the equivalence class $\{c\mathbf{x} :$

|c| = 1), we can just show that we can derive the values of the original frame measurements $\{|\langle \mathbf{x}, T_k M_\ell \mathbf{e}_{i_0} \rangle|^2\}_{k,\ell=0}^{N-1}$ from the fusion frame measurements. We can see this in the following way:

For each $\ell \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, consider the vector:

$$v_{\ell} = [|\langle \mathbf{x}, T_0 M_{\ell} \mathbf{e}_{i_0} \rangle|^2, |\langle \mathbf{x}, T_1 M_{\ell} \mathbf{e}_{i_0} \rangle|^2, \cdots, |\langle \mathbf{x}, T_{N-1} M_{\ell} \mathbf{e}_{i_0} \rangle|^2]^T$$

Now, consider the operator $S : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$, where S is the matrix such that the j^{th} row is $T_{j-1}([1, \cdots, 1, 0, \cdots, 0])$, where the area of support in each row is n, and all nonzero values are 1.

	[1	1	1	 1	1	0	0		0
	0	1	1	 1	1	1	0	· · · ·	0
	:								
S =	1	0	0	 0	•••	0	1	• • •	1
	1	1	0	 0	•••	0	0		1
S =	:								:
	1	1	1	 1	0	0	0	•••	1

It can be seen that S is nonsingular. Now consider the vector Sv_{ℓ} . We will get the following output, based on equation 5.1 with regard to the fusion frame measurements:

$$[\|P_{0,\ell}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2, \|P_{1,\ell}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2, \cdots, \|P_{N-1,\ell}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2]^T = Sv_\ell$$

This tells us that the phaseless retrievability of the Gabor fusion frame is tantamount to S being nonsingular, which we have already seen.

As we can see, theorem 5.2 demonstrates the relationship between the phaseless retrievality of Gabor frame and its associated Gabor fusion frame. In [2] discusses the conditions on the window function such that the generated Gab or frame is phase retrieval and provide some examples of phase retrieval Gabor frames. Based on the Theorem 5.2, we presented a structure how to produce phase retrieval Gabor fusion frame.

We supported our theory by providing an example of phase retrieval Gabor fusion frame as follows:

Example 5.3. Consider $e_1 = \mathbf{1}_{\{1,2,4\}}/\sqrt{3}$ and $e_2 = \mathbf{1}_{\{3\}}$ are in \mathbb{C}^7 . By the Proposition 2.2 in [2], $\{T_k M_l \mathbf{e}_1\}_{k,l=0}^6$ is a phase retrieval Gabor frame for \mathbb{C}^7 . Suppose that $Y_{k,l} = span \{T_k M_l e_i\}_{i=1}^2$ for $k, l = 0, \dots, 6$. Since e_1 and e_2 are orthogonal so they

GABOR TIGHT FUSION FRAMES

are tight frame for the subspace $W_{0,0}$. As a result we fullfill the requirements of the Theorem 5.2 and $\{\mathbf{Y}_{k,l}\}_{k,l=0}^{6}$ is a phase retrieval Gabor fusion frame.

6. Conclusion

We presented a new structure to produce tight fusion frames. We demonstrated this by translating and modulating a surface which is generated by the columns of the window matrix. It is important since there are few examples of tight fusion frames except trivial ones made up of orthogonal subspaces. Finally we showed the relation between phaseless retrievability of Gabor frame and Gabor fusion frame and provide an example to support our idea.

References

- C. Becchetti and L. P. Ricotti, Speech recognition theory and C++ implementation. Wiley (1999).
- [2] I. Bojarovska and A. Flinth, *Phase retrieval from gabor measurements*. Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, pages 126, 2015.
- [3] J. Cahill, P.G. Casazza, J. Peterson, L. Woodland, Using Projections for Phase Retrieval, Proceedings of SPIE, Optics and Photonics (2013)
- [4] M.Ehler, M. Gräf, F.Király, Phase Retrieval Using Random Cubatures and Fusion Frames of Positive Semidefinite Matrices, Waves, Wavelets and Fractals - Advanced Analysis, vol. 1, no. 1 (2015).
- [5] P.G. Casazza, M. Fickus, D. Mixon, Y. Wang, Z. Zhou, *Constructing tight fusion frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 30 (2011) 175187.
- [6] P. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, Finite Frames, Theory and Applications, Springer, 2013.
- [7] P. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, Frames of Subspaces, Wavelets, Frames and Operator Theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 345, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 87113. MR MR2066823 (2005 e :42090)
- [8] J. Drenth, Principles of protein x-ray crystallography, Springer, 2010.
- [9] A. G. Farashahi, M. Mohammadpour, A Unified Theoretical Harmonic Analysis Approach to the Cyclic Wavelet Transform (CWT) for Periodic Signals of Prime Dimensions, Journal of Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis (SCMA), vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1-17, Jan. 2014.
- [10] A. G. Farashahi, Cyclic Wave Packet Transform on Finite Abelian Groups of Prime Order, International Journal of Wavelets, Multiresolution and Information Processing, vol. 12, no. 6, 2014.
- [11] G. Kutyniok, A. Pezeshki, R. Calderbank, T. Liu, Robust Dimension Reduction, Fusion Frames, and Grassmannian Packings, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 26, no.1, 64-76. 2009.
- [12] L. Rabiner and B. H. Juang, Fundamentals of speech recognition. Prentice Hall Signal Processing Series (1993).
- [13] J. M. Renes, R. Blume-Kohout, A. J. Scott, and C. M. Caves, Symmetric Informationally Complete Quantum Measurements. J. Math. Phys., 45, pp. 2171-2180, 2004.
- [14] J. G. Proakis, J. R. Deller and J. H. L. Hansen, Discrete-Time processing of speech signals. IEEE Press (2000).

MOHAMMADPOUR, TUOMANEN, AND KAMYABI GOL

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{mozhganmohammadpour@gmail.com}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211-4100, USA *E-mail address*: btuomanen@outlook.com

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{kamyabi}\texttt{Qum.ac.ir}$

12