

#P- and \oplus P-completeness of counting roots of a sparse polynomial

Alexey Milovanov
almas239@gmail.com

July 8, 2018

Abstract

We improve and simplify the result of the part 4 of “Counting curves and their projections” (Joachim von zur Gathen, Marek Karpinski, Igor Shparlinski, [1]) by showing that counting roots of a sparse polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} is #P- and \oplus P-complete under deterministic reductions.

1 Result

Consider the field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Its elements are presented as polynomials from $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ modulo some irreducible polynomial of degree n . This polynomial can be found in time polynomial in n , as well as the matrix that related two representation corresponding to different irreducible polynomials [2]. Therefore, we do not need to specify a choice of the irreducible polynomial speaking about polynomial reductions.

Consider the following counting problem (SparsePolynomialRoots): given n and a polynomial from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$, find the number of its roots in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . The polynomial is given in a sparse representation, i.e., as a list of coefficients and degrees. The size of input is the total bit size of all this information (each coefficient takes n bits).

Theorem. SparsePolynomialRoots is #P-complete and \oplus P-complete.

In the paper mentioned above [1] the authors provide a randomized polynomial reduction of some #P-complete problem to the problem of counting points on

a curve. We improve this result by (1) providing a deterministic reduction (proving #P-completeness and \oplus P-completeness with respect to deterministic reductions) and (2) replacing polynomials of two variables by univariate polynomials (this implies the result for curves by adding a dummy variable).

2 Proof

We use #3SAT (counting the number of satisfying assignments for a 3-CNF) as a standard #P-complete problem. Consider some 3-CNF S . Each clause in S can be converted into a polynomial equation of the form $l_1 \cdot l_2 \cdot l_3 = 0$, where every l_i is a literal (x_i or $1 + x_i$). All variables are elements of \mathbb{F}_2 (i.e., bits). We need to reduce this system of polynomial equations to one polynomial equation over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

Consider a basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} over \mathbb{F}_2 . Then every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ can be represented as

$$x = x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n,$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$. First we transform the clauses (conditions on x_1, \dots, x_n) into (sparse) polynomial conditions on x , and then show how the resulting system of polynomial equations can be replaced by one equation.

Every equation in S has the form $l_1 \cdot l_2 \cdot l_3 = 0$, where l_i are literals, so we need to find polynomials f_i such that $f_i(x) = x_i$. In other terms, all x whose i th coordinate x_i is zero should be roots of f_i , and f_i should be equal to 1 on the other half of the field (where $x_i = 1$). It is enough for our first step, since a product of three polynomials in sparse representation is again a polynomial in sparse representation whose size is only polynomially bigger. The following lemma [3, Lemma 3.51] helps.

Lemma. *Assume that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are elements of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} that are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_2 . Then the determinant*

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_1^4 & \dots & \alpha_1^{2^{k-1}} \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \alpha_2^4 & \dots & \alpha_2^{2^{k-1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha_n & \alpha_n^2 & \alpha_n^4 & \dots & \alpha_n^{2^{k-1}} \end{vmatrix}$$

is a non-zero element of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

Proof of the lemma. Consider this determinant as a function of α_1 when other α_i are fixed. In other words, consider the polynomial $P(x)$ that is obtained if we

replace α_1 by x everywhere in the first row. We get a polynomial of degree (at most) 2^{k-1} . The powers of x appearing in P are $1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^{k-1}$, so this polynomial is linear over \mathbb{F}_2 (recall that $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$ over a field of characteristic 2). It has roots $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ (two equal rows guarantee the zero determinant); all 2^{k-1} linear combinations of $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ are also roots due to linearity. Reasoning by induction, we may assume that the leading coefficient of P , being the determinant of the same type for smaller k , is not zero. Then we know that P has no other roots, and $P(\alpha_1) \neq 0$. \square

Now we can define the polynomial

$$f_1(x) := c \begin{vmatrix} x & x^2 & x^4 & \dots & x^{2^{n-1}} \\ \omega_2 & \omega_2^2 & \omega_2^4 & \dots & \omega_2^{2^{n-1}} \\ \omega_3 & \omega_3^2 & \omega_3^4 & \dots & \omega_3^{2^{n-1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_n & \omega_n^2 & \omega_n^4 & \dots & \omega_n^{2^{n-1}} \end{vmatrix}$$

for suitable $c \neq 0$. We know (see the proof of the lemma) that f_1 equals 0 on the linear combinations of $\omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$, i.e., on all elements with $x_1 = 0$. Lemma says that $f_1(\omega_1) \neq 0$, and the linearity guarantees that f_1 has the same values on all elements x with $x_1 = 1$. It remains to choose c to make $f(\omega_1)$ equal to 1.

Let us return to our goal: we know now that the number of satisfying assignments for S in \mathbb{F}_2^n is equal to the number of solutions of the system of polynomial equations $P_1(x) = 0, P_2(x) = 0, \dots$ in \mathbb{F}_2^n ; each P_k is a product of three polynomials chosen among f_i and $1 + f_i$. The number of equations equals the number of clauses. Assume for a while that it is at most n (does not exceed the number of variables). Then we can replace the system by one equation

$$P_1(x)\omega_1 + P_2(x)\omega_2 + \dots = 0$$

in \mathbb{F}_2^n using the fact that polynomials P_i may only have values 0 and 1 (being a product of three polynomials with this property).

This finishes the proof of the theorem for the case when the number of variables does not exceed the number of clauses. The general case can be reduced to this special case by adding dummy variables y_1, \dots, y_{2s} and “clauses” $y_1 \wedge y_2 = 1, y_3 \wedge y_4 = 1$, etc. There are two variables per “clause”, so this helps. Note also that these “clauses” also can be transformed into polynomial equations in the same ways as real clauses (they have conjunction instead of disjunction and 1 instead of 0, but this does not matter).

This finishes the proof of our main result.

3 Remarks and open questions

We consider sparse polynomials of exponentially large degree. What if we require the degree to be polynomially bounded, in other words, represent the polynomial as an array of coefficients? The question may be asked for polynomials of two variables and corresponding curves.

Question. Is the problem of finding the number of points on a curve of polynomial-bounded degree #P-complete?

Is it \oplus P-complete?

Does it belong to polynomial hierarchy?

Is it AM-simple?

Maybe results of Algebraic Geometry like Fulton's Trace Formula

(<http://math.stanford.edu/~dlitt/exposnotes/fultontrace.pdf>)

could help to answer positively the last two questions.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Alexander Shen for help in writing this paper.

References

- [1] J. von zur Gathen, M. Karpinski and I. Shparlinski, Counting curves and their projections, *Computational Complexity*, **6**, 64–99, 1996
- [2] H.W. Lenstra, Jr., Finding isomorphisms between finite fields, *Mathematics of Computation*, **56**, 329–347, 1991.
- [3] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Introduction to finite fields and their applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.