Global solutions to random 3D vorticity equations for small initial data

Viorel Barbu[∗] Michael Röckner[†]

Abstract

One proves the existence and uniqueness in $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$, $\frac{3}{2} < p < 2$, of a global mild solution to random vorticity equations associated to stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations with linear multiplicative Gaussian noise of convolution type, for sufficiently small initial vorticity. This resembles some earlier deterministic results of T. Kato [\[15\]](#page-16-0) and are obtained by treating the equation in vorticity form and reducing the latter to a random nonlinear parabolic equation. The solution has maximal regularity in the spatial variables and is weakly continuous in $(L^3 \cap L^{\frac{3p}{4p-6}})^3$ with respect to the time variable. Furthermore, we obtain the pathwise continuous dependence of solutions with respect to the initial data.

Keywords: stochastic Navier-Stokes equation, vorticity, Biot-Savart operator.

MSC: 60H15, 35Q30.

1 Introduction

Consider the stochastic 3D Navier–Stokes equation

[∗]Octav Mayer Institute of the Romanian Academy and Al.I. Cuza University, Ia¸si, Romania. Email: vb41@uaic.ro

[†]Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany. Email: roeckner@math.uni-bielefeld.de

$$
dX - \Delta X dt + (X \cdot \nabla) X dt = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (B_i(X) + \lambda_i X) d\beta_i(t) + \nabla \pi dt
$$

on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$,
 $X(0) = x$
(1.1)

$$
X(0) = x
$$

(1.2)
 $\lim (L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$,

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, x : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a random variable. Here π denotes the pressure and $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a system of independent Brownian motions on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with normal filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$, x is \mathcal{F}_0 –measurable, and B_i are the convolution operators

$$
B_i(X)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h_i(\xi - \bar{\xi}) X(\bar{\xi}) d\bar{\xi} = (h_i * X)(\xi), \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.2}
$$

where $h_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N$, and Δ is the Laplacian on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$.

It is not known whether [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) has a probabilistically strong solution in the mild sense for all time. Therefore, we shall rewrite [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) in vorticity form and then transform it into a random PDE, which we shall prove, has a global in time solution for P-a.e. fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, provided the initial condition is small enough.

Consider the vorticity field

$$
U = \nabla \times X = \text{curl } X \tag{1.3}
$$

and apply the curl operator to equation (1.1) . We obtain (see e.g. [\[4\]](#page-16-1), [\[8\]](#page-16-2)) the transport vorticity equation

$$
dU - \Delta U dt + ((X \cdot \nabla)U - (U \cdot \nabla)X)dt = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_i * U + \lambda_i U)d\beta_i
$$

in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$, (1.4)

$$
U(0,\xi) = U_0(\xi) = (\text{curl } x)(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.
$$

The vorticity U is related to the velocity X by the equation

$$
X(t,\xi) = K(U(t))(\xi), \ t \in (0,\infty), \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,
$$
\n(1.5)

where K is the Biot–Savart integral operator

$$
K(u)(\xi) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\xi - \bar{\xi}}{|\xi - \bar{\xi}|^3} \times u(\bar{\xi}) d\tilde{\xi}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{1.6}
$$

Then one can rewrite the vorticity equation [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1) as

$$
dU - \Delta U dt + ((K(U) \cdot \nabla)U - (U \cdot \nabla)K(U))dt
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_i * U + \lambda_i U)d\beta_i \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3,
$$

$$
U(0,\xi) = U_0(\xi), \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.
$$
 (1.7)

Equivalently,

$$
U(t) = e^{t\Delta}U_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}((K(U(s)) \cdot \nabla)U(s) - (U(s) \cdot \nabla)K(U(s)))ds
$$

+
$$
\int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-(t-s)\Delta}(h_i * U(s)) + \lambda_i(U(s))d\beta_i(s), \ t \ge 0.
$$
 (1.8)

Now, we consider the transformation

$$
U(t) = \Gamma(t)y(t), \ t \in [0, \infty), \tag{1.9}
$$

where $\Gamma(t) : (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 \to (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$ is the linear continuous operator defined by the equations

$$
d\Gamma(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (B_i + \lambda_i I)\Gamma(t)d\beta_i(t), \ t \ge 0, \quad \Gamma(0) = I, \qquad (1.10)
$$

where (see (1.2))

$$
B_i u = h_i * u, \ \forall u \in (L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))^3, \ i = 1, ..., N, \ p \in (1, \infty).
$$
 (1.11)

We also set

$$
\widetilde{B}_i = B_i + \lambda_i I, \ i = 1, ..., N,
$$
\n(1.12)

where I is the identity operator.

Since $B_i B_j = B_j B_i$, equation [\(1.10\)](#page-2-0) has a solution Γ and can be equivalently expressed as (see [\[9\]](#page-16-3), Section 7.4)

$$
\Gamma(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left(\beta_i(t)\widetilde{B}_i - \frac{t}{2}\ \widetilde{B}_i^2\right), \ t \ge 0.
$$
 (1.13)

Here (1.10) is meant in the sense that, for every $z_0 \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$, the continuous (\mathcal{F}_t) –adapted $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ ³–valued process $z(t) := \Gamma(t)z_0, t \geq 0$, solves the following SDE on $H := (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$,

$$
dz(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widetilde{B}_i z(t) d\beta_i(t), \quad z(0) = z_0,
$$

where H is equipped with the usual scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Applying the Itô formula in (1.7) (the justification for this is as in [\[2\]](#page-15-0)), we obtain for y the random differential equation

$$
\frac{dy}{dt}(t) - \Gamma^{-1}(t)\Delta(\Gamma(t)y(t)) + \Gamma^{-1}(t)(K(\Gamma(t)y(t)) \cdot \nabla)(\Gamma(t)y(t))
$$

-(\Gamma(t)y(t) \cdot \nabla)(K(\Gamma(t)y(t))) = 0, t \in [0, \infty), (1.14)

$$
y(0) = U_0.
$$

Taking into account that, for all i, $B_i \Delta = \Delta B_i$ on $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows by [\(1.10\)](#page-2-0), [\(1.13\)](#page-2-2) that $\Delta \Gamma(t) = \Gamma(t) \Delta$ on $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\forall t \ge 0$.

In what follows, equation [\(1.14\)](#page-3-0) will be taken in the following mild sense

$$
y(t) = e^{t\Delta}U_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Gamma^{-1}(s)M(\Gamma(s)y(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, \infty), \tag{1.15}
$$

where

$$
(e^{t\Delta}u)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi - \bar{\xi}|^2}{4t}\right) u(\bar{\xi}) d\bar{\xi}, \ t \in [0, \infty), \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ (1.16)
$$

and M is defined by

$$
M(u) = -[(K(u) \cdot \nabla)(u) - (u \cdot \nabla)(K(u))], \ t \in [0, \infty).
$$
 (1.17)

We note that $U(t) = \Gamma(t)y(t)$ is the solution to the equation

$$
U(t) = e^{t\Delta} \Gamma(t) U_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Gamma(t) \Gamma^{-1}(s) M(U(s)) ds, \qquad (1.18)
$$

which may be viewed as the random version of the stochastic vorticity equation [\(1.8\)](#page-2-3).

Our aim here and the principal contribution of this work is to show that, for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and, for all $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, we have the existence and uniqueness of a solution (in the mild sense) for [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1) if the vorticity of x, i.e., $U_0 = \text{curl } x$, is P-a.s. sufficiently small in a sense to be made precise in Theorem [1.1](#page-5-0) below. We recall that, for a deterministic Navier–Stokes equation, such a result was first established by T. Kato [\[15\]](#page-16-0) (see also T. Kato and H. Fujita [\[16\]](#page-17-0)) and extended later to more general initial data by Y. Giga and T. Miyakawa [\[14\]](#page-16-4), M. Taylor [\[21\]](#page-17-1), H. Koch and D. Tataru [\[17\]](#page-17-2). However, the standard approach [\[15\]](#page-16-0), [\[16\]](#page-17-0) cannot be applied in the present case for one reason: the nonlinear inertial term $(X \cdot \nabla) X$ cannot be conveniently estimated in the space $C_b([0, \infty); L^p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ and similarly for the nonlinearity arising in [\(1.7\)](#page-2-1). As regards the stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations, to best of our knowledge all global existence results were limited to martingale solutions. Since the fundamental work [\[11\]](#page-16-5), the literature on (global) martingale solutions for stochastic 3D-Navier-Stokes equations has grown enormously. We refer, e.g., to [\[6\]](#page-16-6), [\[10\]](#page-16-7), [\[12\]](#page-16-8), [\[13\]](#page-16-9), [\[18\]](#page-17-3), and the references therein.

In the following, we denote by L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the space $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$ with the norm $|\cdot|_p$, by $W^{1,p}$ the corresponding Sobolev space and by $C_b([0,\infty); L^p)$ the space of all bounded and continuous functions $u : [0, \infty) \to L^p$ with the sup norm. We also set $D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and denote by $\nabla \cdot u$ the divergence of u , while

$$
((u \cdot \nabla)v)_j = u_i D_i v_j, \ j = 1, 2, 3, \ u = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^3, \ v = \{v_j\}_{j=1}^3.
$$

As usual

$$
q' = \frac{q}{q-1} \text{ for } q \in (1, \infty).
$$

We set for $p \in \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$ $(\frac{3}{2}, 3)$

$$
\eta(t) = \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^p, L^p)} \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}}, L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})} \|\Gamma^{-1}(t)\|_{L^q, L^q}, \ t \ge 0, \qquad (1.19)
$$

where for $q \in (1,\infty)$, $\|\cdot\|_{L(L^q,L^q)}$ is the norm of the space $L(L^q,L^q)$ of linear continuous operators on L^q .

For $p \in [1,\infty)$, we denote by \mathcal{Z}_p the space of all functions $y:(0,\infty) \times$ $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$
t^{1-\frac{3}{2p}}y \in C_b([0,\infty); L^p),
$$

\n
$$
t^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}D_iy \in C_b([0,\infty); L^p), i = 1, 2, 3.
$$
\n(1.20)

The space \mathcal{Z}_p is endowed with the norm

$$
||y||_{p,\infty} = \sup \left\{ t^{1-\frac{3}{2p}} |y(t)|_p + t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} |D_i y(t)|_p; \ t \in (0,\infty), \ i = 1,2,3 \right\}.
$$
 (1.21)

In the following, we take $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
|\lambda_i| > (\sqrt{12} + 3)|h_i|_1, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, ..., N.
$$
 (1.22)

We note that

$$
||B_i||_{L(L^q,L^q)} \leq |h_i|_{L^1}, \ \forall i=1,...,N.
$$

Theorem [1.1](#page-5-0) is the main result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ such that

$$
\frac{3}{2} < p < 2, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{3} \tag{1.23}
$$

Let $\Omega_0 = \left\{ \sup_{t \geq 0} \eta(t) < \infty \right\}$ and consider [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1) for fixed $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Set $\Gamma(t) := \Gamma(t)(\omega)$, $\eta(t) := \eta(t, \omega)$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$ and there is a positive constant C^* independent of $\omega \in \Omega_0$ such that, if $U_0 \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is such that

$$
\sup_{t\geq 0} \eta(t) |U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C^*,\tag{1.24}
$$

then the random equation [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1) has a unique solution $y \in \mathcal{Z}_p$ which satisfies

$$
M(\Gamma(t)y) \in L^1(0, T; L^q). \tag{1.25}
$$

Moreover, for each $\varphi \in L^3 \cap L^{q'}$, the function $t \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} y(t,\xi)\varphi(\xi)d\xi$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$. The map $U_0 \to y$ is Lipschitz form $L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ to \mathcal{Z}_p .

In particular, the random vorticity equation [\(1.18\)](#page-3-2) has a unique solution U such that $\Gamma^{-1}U \in \mathcal{Z}_p$.

Remark 1.2. Concerning condition [\(1.24\)](#page-5-1), we note that an elementary calculation shows that

$$
\eta(t) \le \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp(3|\beta_i(t)|(|h_i|_1| + |\lambda_i|) - t\alpha_i), \ t \in [0, \infty),
$$

where $\alpha_i := \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^2 - \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ (|h_i|² + 2| λ_i ||h_i|₁), which is strictly positive by [\(1.22\)](#page-5-2). By the law of the iterated logarithm, it follows that

$$
\sup_{t\geq 0}\eta(t)<\infty, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e.},
$$

hence for $\Omega_r := \{\sup_{t \geq 0} \eta(t) \leq r\}$ we have $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_r^c) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.

But, taking into account that, for each $r > 0$ and all $\nu > 0$, $i = 1, ..., N$, we have (see Lemma 3.4 in [\[1\]](#page-15-1))

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\geq 0} \left\{\exp(\beta_i(t)-\nu t)\right\} \geq r\right] = r^{-2\nu},
$$

and, more explicitly, we get that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\Omega_r^c)\le 2Nr^{-\frac{N\alpha}{\gamma^2}},\ \ \forall r>0,
$$

where $\alpha = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \alpha_i$, $\gamma = 3 \max\{(|h_i|_1 + |\lambda_i|); i \leq N\}$. Therefore, if $\omega \in \Omega_r$ and $U_0 = U_0(\omega)$ satisfies

$$
|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}} \le \frac{C^*}{r},\tag{1.26}
$$

then condition [\(1.24\)](#page-5-1) holds. It is trivial to define such an $\mathcal{F}\text{-measurable}$ function U_0 : $\Omega \to L^{\frac{3}{2}}$, for instance,

$$
U_0 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^*}{n} \, 1\!\!1_{\{n-1 \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \eta(t) < n\}} u_0,
$$

for some $u_0 \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$. But, of course, U_0 is not \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable and so the process $U(t)$, $t \geq 0$, given by Theorem [1.1,](#page-5-0) is not $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t>0}$ -adapted. Therefore, $U =$ $\Gamma(t)y$ is not a solution to the stochastic vorticity equation [\(1.8\)](#page-2-3). However, it can be viewed as a generalized solution to [\(1.8\)](#page-2-3).

It should also be mentioned that assumption [\(1.22\)](#page-5-2) is not necessary for existence of a solution to equation [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1), but only to make sure that condition [\(1.24\)](#page-5-1) is not void.

2 Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-5-0)

To begin with, we note below in Lemma [2.1](#page-7-0) a few immediate properties of the operator Γ defined in (1.10) – (1.13) .

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$
|\Gamma(t)z|_q + |\Gamma^{-1}(t)z|_q \le C_t |z|_q, \ t \in [0, \infty), \ \forall z \in L^q, \ \forall q \in [1, \infty), \tag{2.1}
$$

and

$$
|\nabla(\Gamma(t)z)|_q \le ||\Gamma(t)||_{L(L^q, L^q)} |\nabla z|_q, \text{ for all } z \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{2.2}
$$

Proof. By (1.2) , (1.11) and by the Young inequality, we see that

$$
|B_i(u)|_q \le (|h_i|_1 + |\lambda_i|)|u|_q, \ \forall u \in L^q, \ i = 1, ..., N.
$$
 (2.3)

Recalling (1.13) , we see by (2.3) that (2.1) , (2.2) hold, as claimed.

 $\textbf{Lemma 2.2.} \ \textit{Let} \ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r_1}$ $\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$ $\frac{1}{r_2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$ < r_1 < ∞ , $r_1^* = \frac{3r_1}{3+r}$ $\frac{3r_1}{3+r_1}$, $1 < q < \infty$. Then, for some $C > 0$ independent of ω ,

$$
|M(\Gamma(t)z)|_q \le C \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_1},L^{r_1})}\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_2},L^{r_2})}(|z|_{r_1}|z|_{r_2} + |z|_{r_1^*}|\nabla z|_{r_2}),
$$
\n
$$
t \in [0,\infty),
$$
\n(2.4)

for all $z \in L^{r_1} \cap L^{r_2} \cap L^{r_1^*}$ with $\nabla z \in L^{r_2}$.

Proof. We have by (1.17) and (2.1)

$$
|M(\Gamma(t)z)|_q \le |(K(\Gamma(t)z) \cdot \nabla)(\Gamma(t)z)|_q + |(\Gamma(t)z \cdot \nabla)K(\Gamma(t)z)|_q. \tag{2.5}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.2) and the Hölder inequality we have

$$
|(K(\Gamma(t)z)\cdot\nabla)(\Gamma(t)z)|_q \leq |K(\Gamma(t)z)|_{r_1}|\nabla(\Gamma(t)z)|_{r_2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||\Gamma(t)||_{L(L^{r_1},L^{r_1})}||\Gamma(t)||_{L(L^{r_2},L^{r_2})}|K(z)|_{r_1}|\nabla z|_{r_2}.
$$
\n(2.6)

Now, we recall the classical estimate for Riesz potentials (see [\[20\]](#page-17-4), p. 119)

$$
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(\bar{\xi})}{|\xi - \bar{\xi}|^2} d\xi \right|_{\beta} \le C|f|_{\alpha}, \ \forall f \in L^{\alpha},
$$

where $\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$, $\alpha \in (1,3)$. By virtue of (1.6) , this yields

$$
|K(u)|_{\beta} \le C|u|_{\alpha}; \ \forall u \in L^{\alpha}, \ \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{3}, \tag{2.7}
$$

and so, for $\beta = r_1$, $\alpha = \frac{3r_1}{3+r_1}$ $\frac{3r_1}{3+r_1} = r_1^*$, we get by [\(2.2\)](#page-7-3) and [\(2.6\)](#page-7-4) the estimate $|(K(\Gamma(t)z)\cdot\nabla)(\Gamma(t)z)|_q\leq C\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_1},L^{r_1})}\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_2},L^{r_2})}|z|_{r_1}|\nabla z|_{r_2}.$ (2.8) (Here and everywhere in the following, $|\nabla z|_p$ means sup $\{|D_iz|_p$; $i=1,2,3\}$.) Taking into account that, by the Calderon–Zygmund inequality (see [\[7\]](#page-16-10), Theorem 1),

$$
|\nabla K(z)|_{\tilde{p}} \le C|z|_{\tilde{p}}, \ \forall z \in L^{\tilde{p}}, \ 1 \le \tilde{p} < \infty,\tag{2.9}
$$

one obtains that

$$
|(\Gamma(t)z \cdot \nabla)(K(t)\Gamma(t)z)|_q \le C \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_1},L^{r_1})}\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_2},L^{r_2})}|z|_{r_1}|z|_{r_2}.\tag{2.10}
$$

Substituting (2.8) , (2.10) in (2.5) , one obtains estimate (2.4) , as claimed.

Lemma 2.3. Let $r_1 = \frac{3r_2}{3-r_1}$ $\frac{3r_2}{3-r_2}$, $\frac{3}{2} < r_2 < 3$, $q = \frac{3r_1}{r_1+6}$. Then, we have, for some $C > 0$ independent of ω ,

$$
|M(\Gamma(t)z)|_q \le C \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_1},L^{r_1})}\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{r_2},L^{r_2})}|z|_{r_2}|\nabla z|_{r_2}, \forall z \in W^{1,r_2}.\tag{2.11}
$$

Proof. We have by the Sobolev–Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see, e.g., [\[5\]](#page-16-11), p. 278)

$$
|z|_{r_1} \leq C|\nabla z|_{r_2}, \ \forall z \in W^{1,r_2}(\mathbb{R}^3).
$$

Substituting in [\(2.4\)](#page-7-7) and taking into account that $r_1^* = r_2$, we obtain [\(2.11\)](#page-8-1), as claimed.

In the following, we fix $p = r_2, r_1$ and q as in Lemma [2.3,](#page-8-2) [\(2.11\)](#page-8-1), that is,

$$
\frac{3}{2} < p < 2, \ r_1 = \frac{3p}{3-p}, \ \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{3}.\tag{2.12}
$$

We write equation [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1) as

$$
y(t) = G(y)(t) = e^{t\Delta}U_0 + F(y)(t), \ t \in [0, \infty), \tag{2.13}
$$

where

$$
F(z)(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Gamma^{-1}(s) M(\Gamma(s)z(s)) ds, \ t \in [0, \infty).
$$
 (2.14)

By [\(1.16\)](#page-3-4), we have for $1 < \tilde{q} \leq \tilde{p} < \infty$ the estimates

$$
|e^{t\Delta}u|_{\tilde{p}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}\right)}|u|_{\tilde{q}}, \ \ u \in L^{\tilde{q}}, \tag{2.15}
$$

$$
|D_j e^{t\Delta} u|_{\tilde{p}} \le C t^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}} |u|_{\tilde{q}}, \ j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.16}
$$

(Everywhere in the following, we shall denote by C several positive constants independent of ω and $t \geq 0$.)

We apply [\(2.15\)](#page-8-3), with $\tilde{q} = q$, $\tilde{p} = p$. By [\(2.11\)](#page-8-1)–[\(2.14\)](#page-8-4), we obtain that

$$
|F(z(t))|_{p} \leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{p}-1)} |\Gamma^{-1}(s)M(\Gamma(s)z(s))|_{q} ds
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}}, L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})}
$$

\n
$$
\|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{p}, L^{p})} \|\Gamma^{-1}(s)\|_{L(L^{q}, L^{q})}|z(s)|_{p} |\nabla z(s)|_{p} ds.
$$
\n(2.17)

Similarly, we obtain by [\(2.16\)](#page-8-3) that

$$
|D_j F(z(t))|_p \le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{2p}} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}}, L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^p, L^p)} \|\Gamma^{-1}(s)\|_{L(L^q, L^q)} |z(s)|_p |\nabla z(s)|_p ds, \ j = 1, 2, 3.
$$
\n
$$
(2.18)
$$

We consider the Banach space \mathcal{Z}_p defined by [\(1.20\)](#page-4-0), that is,

$$
\mathcal{Z}_p = \{ y; \ t^{1 - \frac{3}{2p}} y \in C_b([0, \infty); L^p), \ t^{\frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{p})} D_j y \in C_b([0, \infty); L^p), \ t^{1 - \frac{3}{2p}} y = 1, 2, 3 \}, \tag{2.19}
$$

with the norm

$$
||z||_{p,\infty} = ||z|| = \sup_{t>0} \left\{ \left(t^{1-\frac{3}{2p}} |z(t)|_p + t^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} |D_i z(t)|_p \right), i = 1,2,3 \right\}. \tag{2.20}
$$

We note that

$$
|z(t)|_p |\nabla z(t)|_p \le Ct^{-\frac{5}{2} + \frac{3}{p}} \|z\|^2, \ \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}_p, \ t \in (0, \infty). \tag{2.21}
$$

By [\(2.17\)](#page-9-0) and [\(2.20\)](#page-9-1) we see that, for $z \in \mathcal{Z}_p$, we have

$$
|F(z(t))|_{p} \leq \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}},L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{p},L^{p})}
$$

\n
$$
||\Gamma^{-1}(s)||_{L(L^{q},L^{q})}|z(s)|_{p}|\nabla z(s)|_{p} ds
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{p}-1)} |s|^{-\frac{5}{2}+\frac{3}{p}} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}},L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}},L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})} \tag{2.22}
$$

\n
$$
||\Gamma(s)||_{L(L^{p},L^{p})} \|\Gamma^{-1}(s)||_{L(L^{q},L^{q})} ds ||z||^{2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq Ct^{\frac{3}{2p}-1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \eta(s) \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{p}-1)} s^{-\frac{5}{2}+\frac{3}{p}} ds ||z||^{2}, \ \forall t > 0,
$$

where η is given by [\(1.19\)](#page-4-1). This yields

$$
t^{1-\frac{3}{2p}}|F(z(t))|_{p} \leq C \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \{\eta(s)\} B\left(\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{2}{p}-1\right), \frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right) \|z\|^{2}, \ \forall t > 0, \ (2.23)
$$

where B is the classical beta function (which is finite by virtue of (1.23)).

Similarly, by (2.16) and (2.21) , we have, for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$
|D_j F(z)(t)|_p \le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{2p}} s^{-\frac{5}{2} + \frac{3}{p}} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}}, L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})}
$$

$$
||\Gamma(s)||_{L(L^p, L^p)} ||\Gamma^{-1}(s)||_{L(L^q, L^q)} ds ||z||^2
$$

$$
\le C \sup_{0 \le s \le t} {\{\eta(s)\}} t^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} B\left(3\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right), 1 - \frac{3}{2p}\right) ||z||^2, \ t > 0.
$$
 (2.24)

Hence,

$$
t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}|D_jF(z(t))|_p \le C \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \eta(s) \|z\|^2, \ \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}_p, \ t > 0, \ j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.25}
$$

By (2.15) – (2.16) , we have

$$
|e^{t\Delta}U_0|_p \le Ct^{\frac{3}{2p}-1}|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad t > 0,
$$

$$
|D_j e^{t\Delta}U_0|_p \le Ct^{\frac{3}{2p}-\frac{3}{2}}|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad t > 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.
$$

Therefore, by [\(2.20\)](#page-9-1) we get

$$
||e^{t\Delta}U_0|| \le C|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}}.\tag{2.26}
$$

By [\(2.20\)](#page-9-1), [\(2.23\)](#page-10-0), [\(2.25\)](#page-10-1), [\(2.26\)](#page-10-2), we get,

$$
||G(z)|| \le C_1 \left(|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}} + \sup_{t \ge 0} \eta(t) ||z||^2 \right), \ \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}_p, \tag{2.27}
$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is independent of ω .

We set

$$
\eta_{\infty} = \sup_{t \ge 0} \eta(t), \tag{2.28}
$$

and so [\(2.27\)](#page-10-3) yields

$$
||G(z)|| \le C_1(|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}} + \eta_{\infty}||z||^2), \ \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}_p. \tag{2.29}
$$

We set

$$
\Sigma = \{ z \in \mathcal{Z}_p; \; \|z\| \leq R^* \}
$$

and note that, by [\(2.29\)](#page-10-4), it follows that $G(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$ if

$$
|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}}\eta_{\infty} \le (4C_1^2)^{-1},\tag{2.30}
$$

(so U_0 must depend on ω) and $R^* = R^*(\omega)$ is given by

$$
R^* = 2C_1|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}}.\t(2.31)
$$

(We recall that C_1 is independent of ω and U_0 .) Moreover, by [\(1.17\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2.14\)](#page-8-4), we have, for all $z, \overline{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_p$,

$$
G(z)(t) - G(\bar{z})(t) = -\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Gamma^{-1}(s) \left[(K\Gamma(s)(z(s) - \bar{z}(s)) \cdot \nabla) \Gamma(s) z(s) + (K(\Gamma(s)\bar{z}(s)) \cdot \nabla) \Gamma(s)(z(s) - \bar{z}(s)) - \Gamma(s)(z(s) - \bar{z}(s)) \cdot \nabla K(\Gamma(s) z(s)) - (\Gamma(s)\bar{z}(s) \cdot \nabla) K(\Gamma(s)(z(s) - \bar{z}(s))) \right] ds.
$$

Proceeding as above, we get, as in (2.17) , (2.22) , (2.23) , that

$$
|G(z)(t) - G(\bar{z})(t)|_p
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{p-3}}, L^{\frac{3p}{p-3}})} \|\Gamma(s)\|_{L(L^p, L^p)}
$$

\n
$$
\|\Gamma^{-1}(s)\|_{L(L^q, L^q)} (|z(s) - \bar{z}(s)|_p (|\nabla z(s)|_p + |\nabla \bar{z}(s)|_p) + |\nabla z(s)|_p)
$$

\n
$$
+ |\nabla z(s) - \nabla \bar{z}(s)|_p (|z(s)|_p + |\bar{z}(s)|_p)) ds
$$

\n
$$
\leq Ct^{-(1-\frac{3}{2p})} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \eta(s) \|z - \bar{z}\| (\|z\| + \|\bar{z}\|), \ \forall t > 0,
$$

and also (see [\(2.18\)](#page-9-4), [\(2.24\)](#page-10-5), [\(2.25\)](#page-10-1))

$$
|D_j G(z)(t) - D_j G(\bar{z}(t))|_p \le Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \eta(s) \|z - \bar{z}\| (\|z\| + \|\bar{z}\|), \ \forall t > 0,
$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, by (2.20) and (2.28) , we obtain that

$$
||G(z) - G(\bar{z})|| \le C_2 \eta_\infty R^* ||z - \bar{z}||, \ \forall z, \bar{z} \in \Sigma,
$$
\n(2.33)

where C_2 is independent of ω .

Then, by (2.31) , (2.33) , it follows that, if (2.30) and

$$
2C_1C_2\eta_\infty|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}} < 1,\tag{2.34}
$$

hold, then the operator G is a contraction on Σ and so there is a unique solution $U \in \Sigma$ to [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1) provided [\(1.24\)](#page-5-1) holds with $C^* < (2C_1C_2)^{-1}$.

Now, as seen earlier, by (2.11) , (1.15) and (2.21) we have

$$
|M(\Gamma(t)y(t))|_{q} \leq C \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}}, L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})} \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{p}, L^{p})} |y(t)|_{p} |\nabla y(t)|_{p}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}}, L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})} \|\Gamma(t)\|_{L(L^{p}, L^{p})} t^{-\frac{5}{2} + \frac{3}{p}} \|y\|^{2}, \ \forall t > 0.
$$
\n(2.35)

On the other hand, we have for all $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} y(t,\xi) \cdot \varphi(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (e^{t\Delta}) U_0(\xi) \cdot \varphi(\xi) d\xi \n+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Gamma^{-1}(s) M(\Gamma(s) y(s)) \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} \varphi(\xi) d\xi ds.
$$
\n(2.36)

Recalling that, for all $1 \leq \tilde{p} < \infty$, $|e^{t\Delta}\varphi|_{\tilde{p}} \leq |\varphi|_{\tilde{p}}$, it follows by [\(2.35\)](#page-12-0) that

$$
\left| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Gamma^{-1}(s) M(\Gamma(s) y(s)) \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} \varphi(\xi) d\xi ds \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \eta(s) \int_{0}^{t} s^{-\frac{5}{2} + \frac{3}{p}} ds ||y||^{2} |\varphi|_{q'} \qquad (2.37)
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \eta(s) t^{\frac{3}{p} - \frac{3}{2}} ||y||^{2} |\varphi|_{q'}, \forall t \in (0, \infty).
$$

We also have by [\(2.26\)](#page-10-2)

$$
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{t\Delta} U_0(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq C |U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}} |\varphi|_3, \ \forall t \in [0, \infty).
$$

Combining the latter with (2.36) , (2.37) , we obtain that, for $T > 0$,

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} y(t,\xi)\cdot\varphi(\xi)d\xi\right| \leq CT^{\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{2}}(|\varphi|_{q'}+|\varphi|_3), \ \forall \varphi\in L^{q'}\cap L^3, \ t\in[0,T].
$$

Hence, by [\(2.36\)](#page-12-1) and since $t \to e^{t\Delta}U_0$ is continuous on $L^{\frac{3}{2}}$, the function $t \to y(t)$ is $L^3 \cap L^{q'}$ weakly continuous on $[0, \infty)$, where $q' = \frac{3p}{4p-1}$ $\frac{3p}{4p-6}.$

If $U = y(t, U_0) \in \mathcal{Z}_p$ is the solution to [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1), equivalently [\(2.13\)](#page-8-5), we have for all U_0 , \overline{U}_0 satisfying [\(1.24\)](#page-5-1) (see [\(2.26\)](#page-10-2) and [\(2.33\)](#page-11-1))

$$
||y(\cdot, U_0) - y(\cdot, \overline{U}_0)|| \le ||e^{t\Delta}(U_0 - \overline{U}_0)|| + ||F(y(\cdot, U_0)) - F(y(\cdot, \overline{U}_0))||
$$

$$
\le C|U_0 - \overline{U}_0|_{\frac{3}{2}} + \eta_{\infty} R^* C_2 ||y(\cdot, U_0) - y(\cdot, \overline{U}_0)||.
$$

Recalling that by [\(2.31\)](#page-11-0) and [\(2.34\)](#page-12-3) we have $R^*C_2\eta_\infty < 1$, this yields

$$
||y(\cdot, U_0)-y(\cdot,\overline{U}_0)|| \leq \frac{C}{1-R^*C_2\eta_{\infty}} ||U_0-\overline{U}_0||_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C(\omega) |U_0-\overline{U}_0|_{\frac{3}{2}},
$$

and so, the map $y \to U(\cdot, U_0)$ is Lipschitz from $L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ to \mathcal{Z}_p . This completes the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-5-0)

It should be noted that, by [\(2.30\)](#page-11-2) and [\(2.31\)](#page-11-0), we have by the Fernique theorem

$$
|U_0|_{\frac{3}{2}}, R^* \in \bigcap_{r \ge 1} L^r(\Omega),
$$

and so, taking into account that $y \in \Sigma$, we see by (2.19) , (2.20) that

$$
\sup_{t\geq 0} \left\{ t^{1-\frac{3}{2p}} |y(t)|_p + t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} |D_i y(t)|_p \right\} \in \bigcap_{r\geq 1} L^r(\Omega), \ i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.38}
$$

We have, therefore, the following completion of Theorem [1.1.](#page-5-0)

Corollary 2.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem [1.1](#page-5-0), the solution $y =$ $y(t, \omega)$ to the equation [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1) satisfies [\(2.38\)](#page-13-0). The same result holds for the solution $U(t) = \Gamma(t)y(t)$ of the random vorticity equation [\(1.18\)](#page-3-2).

3 The random version of the 3D Navier-Stokes equation

We fix in (1.1) the initial random variable x by the formula

$$
x = K(U_0),\tag{3.1}
$$

where $U_0 = \text{curl } x$, $U_0 = U_0(\omega)$ satisfies condition [\(1.24\)](#page-5-1) for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$ (see Remark [1.2\)](#page-5-4). If y is the corresponding solution to equation [\(1.15\)](#page-3-1) given by Theorem [1.1,](#page-5-0) we define the process X by formula [\(1.5\)](#page-1-4), that is,

$$
X(t) = K(U(t)) = K(\Gamma(t)y(t)), \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).
$$
\n(3.2)

By (2.7) , where U is the solution to the vorticity equation (1.1) , we have

$$
|X(t)|_{\frac{3p}{3-p}} \le C|U(t)|_p, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty). \tag{3.3}
$$

(Everywhere in the following, C are positive constants independent of $\omega \in \Omega$.)

On the other hand, by the Carlderon–Zygmund inequality [\(2.9\)](#page-8-6), we have

 $|D_iX(t)|_p \leq C|U(t)|_p, i = 1, 2, 3.$ (3.4)

By [\(3.3\)](#page-14-0) and by Theorem [1.1,](#page-5-0) it follows that

$$
t^{1-\frac{3}{2p}}X \in C_b([0,\infty); L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}}), \tag{3.5}
$$

while, by (3.4) , we have for $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$
t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}D_i X \in C_b([0,\infty); L^p). \tag{3.6}
$$

Now, if in [\(2.9\)](#page-8-6) we take $z = D_j X$, we get that, for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$
t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}|D_iD_jX|_p \le Ct^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}|D_iU|_p \le C, \ \forall t \in [0, \infty).
$$

This yields

$$
t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}D_{ij}^2X \in C_b([0,\infty);L^p), \ i,j=1,2,3. \tag{3.7}
$$

Moreover, by Corollary [2.4,](#page-13-1) we also have

$$
t^{1-\frac{3}{2p}}X \in C_b([0,\infty); L^r(\Omega; L^{\frac{3p}{3-p}})), \ \forall r \ge 1,
$$
 (3.8)

$$
t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}D_iX \in C_b([0,\infty); L^r(\Omega; L^p)), \ i = 1, 2, 3,
$$
 (3.9)

$$
t^{\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}D_{ij}X \in C_b([0,\infty); L^r(\Omega; L^p)), \ i, j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{3.10}
$$

Now, if in equation (1.18) one applies the Biot–Savart operator K, we obtain for X the equation

$$
X(t) = K(e^{t\Delta} \Gamma(t) \operatorname{curl} x) + \int_0^t K(e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Gamma(t) \Gamma^{-1}(s) M(\operatorname{curl} X(s))) ds, \quad (3.11)
$$

 $t \ge 0,$

where M is given by [\(1.17\)](#page-3-3). It should be noted that, by virtue of $(3.7)-(3.10)$ $(3.7)-(3.10)$, the right hand side of [\(3.11\)](#page-14-4) is well defined.

Equation [\(3.11\)](#page-14-4) can be viewed as the random version of the Navier-Stokes equation [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0). However, since, as seen earlier, U_0 is not \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable, the processes $t \to y(t)$, $t \to U(t)$ are not $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ -adapted, and so X is not $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ adapted, too. Therefore, [\(3.11\)](#page-14-4) cannot be transformed back into [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0). By Theorem [1.1,](#page-5-0) it follows that

Theorem 3.1. Under assumptions [\(1.24\)](#page-5-1), the random Navier-Stokes equation (3.11) has a unique solution X satisfying $(3.7)-(3.10)$ $(3.7)-(3.10)$ $(3.7)-(3.10)$.

Remark 3.2. As easily seen from the proofs, Theorem [1.1](#page-5-0) extends mutatismutandis to the noises $\sum_{n=1}^{N}$ $\frac{i=1}{i}$ $\sigma_i(t, X)\dot{\beta}_i(t)$, where

$$
\sigma_i(t, x)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h_i(t, \xi - \bar{\xi}) x(\bar{\xi}) d\bar{\xi}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ i = 1, ..., N,
$$

where $t \to h_i(t, \xi)$ is continuous and

$$
|h_i(t)|_1 \le C, \quad \forall t \ge 0, \ i = 1, ..., N.
$$

Remark 3.3. The linear multiplicative case $B_i(X) := \alpha_i X, i = 1, ..., N$, that is $h_i := \delta$, where δ is the Dirac measure, can be approximated by taking $h_i(\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \, \rho\left(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}\right)$ (ξ, ξ) , where $\rho \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, support $\rho \subset {\xi; |\xi|_d \leq 1}$, $\int \rho(\xi) d\xi = 1$.

Acknowledgements. Financial support through SFB701 at Bielefeld University is gratefully acknowledged. V. Barbu was also partially supported by a CNCS UEFISCDI (Romania) grant, project PN-II-ID-PCE-2012-4-0156.

References

- [1] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, Internal stabilization by noise of the Navier-Stokes equation, SIAM J. Control Optim., 49 (1) (2012), 1-20.
- [2] V. Barbu, M. Röckner, An operatorial approach to stochastic partial differential equations driven by linear multiplicative noise, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 17 (2015), 1789-1815.
- [3] V. Barbu, M. Röckner, D. Zhang, Stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equations with linear multiplicative noise: rescaling approach, J. Non*linear Sci.*, vol. 34 (2014), 383-409.
- [4] G.K. Batchelor, Fluid Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1988.
- [5] H. Brezis, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Springer, New York, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, 2011.
- [6] Z. Brzezniak, E. Motyl, Existence and martingale solution of the stochastic Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded 2D and 3D domains, J. Differential Equations, 254 (2013), 1627-1642.
- [7] A.P. Calderon, A. Zygmund, On the existence of certain singular integrals, Acta Mathematica, 88 (1) (1952), 85-139.
- [8] A.J. Chorin, J.E. Mardsen, A Mathematical Introduction to Fluid Mechanics, Springer–Verlag, 1975.
- [9] G. Da Prato, J.Zabczyk, Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- $[10]$ A. Debussche, C. Odasso, Markov solutions for 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, with state dependent noise, *J. Evol. Equ.*, 6 (2006), 305-324.
- [11] F. Flandoli, D. Gatarek, Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 102 (1995), 367-391.
- [12] F. Flandoli, M. Romito, Markov solutions for the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 140 (2008), 407-458.
- [13] B. Goldys, M. Röckner, X. Zhang, martingale solutions and Markov selections for stochastic partial differential equations, Stochastic Process. Appl., 119 (2009), 1725-1764.
- [14] Y. Giga, T. Miyakawa, Navier-Stokes flow in \mathbb{R}^3 with measures as initial vorticity and Morrey spaces, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 14 (1988), 577–618.
- [15] T. Kato, Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m with applications to weak solutions, Math. Z., 187 (1984), 471–480.
- [16] T. Kato, H. Fujita, On the nonstationary Navier–Stokes system, Rend. Sem. mat. Univ. Padova, 32 (1962), 243-260.
- [17] H. Koch, D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier–Stokes equations, Advances in Mathematics, 157 (1) (2001), 22–35.
- [18] R. Mikulevicius, B. Rozovskii, Global L_2 -solutions of stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, Ann. Probab., 33 (1) (2005), 137-176.
- [19] R. Mikulevicius, B. Rozovskii, Stochastic Navier-Stokes equations for turbulent flows, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 35 (2004), 1250-1320.
- [20] E.M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [21] M. Taylor, Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier–Stokes equation, Comm. PDE, 17 (1992), 1407–1456.