

# Manifolds of Differentiable Densities

Nigel J. Newton \*

January 9, 2019

## Abstract

We develop a family of infinite-dimensional Riemannian manifolds of finite measures. The latter are defined on an underlying Banach space, and have densities with respect to a reference measure that are of class  $C_b^k$ . (The case  $k = \infty$ , in which the manifolds are modelled on Fréchet space, is included.) The manifolds admit the Fisher-Rao metric and the full geometry of Amari's  $\alpha$ -covariant derivatives for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The subset of *probability* measures of each manifold is shown to be a  $C^\infty$ -embedded submanifold. This embedding, together with the affine charts it supplies, is a natural way of studying the dually flat geometry of statistical manifolds. The likelihood function associated with a finite sample is a continuous linear function on each of the manifolds constructed.

Keywords: Fisher-Rao Metric; Banach Manifold; Fréchet Manifold; Information Geometry; Riemannian Geometry; Non-parametric Statistics.

2010 MSC: 46A20 60D05 62B10 62G05 94A17

## 1 Introduction

*Information Geometry* is the study of differential-geometric structures arising in the theory of statistical estimation, and has a history going back (at least) to the work of C.R. Rao [20]. The subject in finite dimensions is well developed, and treated pedagogically in a number of texts [1, 2, 6, 11, 13].

---

\*School of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, CO4 3SQ, United Kingdom. njn@essex.ac.uk

A classical example is the finite-dimensional *exponential model*, in which linear combinations of a finite number of real-valued random variables (defined on an underlying probability space  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ ) are exponentiated to produce probability density functions with respect to the reference measure  $\mu$ . The topology induced on the set of probability measures, thus defined, is consistent with the important statistical divergences of estimation theory, and derivatives of the latter can be used to define geometric objects such as a Riemannian metric (the Fisher metric) and a family of covariant derivatives that have application, for example, in asymptotic statistics.

Central to any infinite-dimensional extension of these ideas, is the use of charts with respect to which statistical divergences are sufficiently smooth. The Kullback-Leibler divergence, for example, between two probability measures  $P \ll Q$  is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{KL}}(P | Q) := \mathbf{E}_Q(dP/dQ) \log(dP/dQ), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_Q$  represents expectation (integration) with respect to  $Q$ . As is clear from (1), the regularity of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{KL}}$  is closely connected with that of the density,  $dP/dQ$ , and its log (considered as elements of dual spaces of real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{X}$ ). In fact, much of information geometry concerns the interplay between these two representations of  $P$ , and the exponential map that connects them. The two associated linear structures form the basis of a Fenchel-Legendre transform underpinning the subject, and so manifolds that fully accommodate these structures are particularly amenable to analysis.

In the series of papers [5, 10, 18, 19], G. Pistone and his co-workers developed an infinite-dimensional version of the exponential model outlined above. Probability measures in the manifold are mutually absolutely continuous with respect to the reference measure  $\mu$ , and the manifold is covered by the charts  $s_Q(P) = \log dP/dQ - \mathbf{E}_Q \log dP/dQ$  for different “patch-centric” probability measures  $Q$ . These readily give  $\log dP/dQ$  the desired regularity, but require exponential Orlicz model spaces in order to do the same for  $dP/dQ$ . The exponential Orlicz manifold has a strong topology, under which  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{KL}}$  is of class  $C^\infty$ .

The author’s own papers [15, 17] use, instead, the “balanced” global chart  $\phi(P) = dP/d\mu - 1 + \log dP/d\mu$ , thereby enabling the use of model spaces with weaker topologies. (In order for  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{KL}}$  to be of class  $C^k$ , it suffices to use the Lebesgue model space  $L^{k+1}(\mu)$ .) The Hilbert case, in which  $k = 1$ , is developed in detail in [15].

The Hilbert, Lebesgue  $L^p(\mu)$  and exponential Orlicz manifolds all support the infinite-dimensional extension of the Fisher metric (the *Fisher-Rao metric*), but admit only limited notions of covariant differentiation. Amari's  $\alpha$ -covariant derivatives can be defined on “statistical bundles”, which (with the exception of the case  $\alpha = 1$  on the Orlicz manifold) differ from the tangent bundle. The manifolds do not fully accommodate the linear structure associated with the density, and the latter, although smooth, cannot be used as a chart since the associated “transition map” is not homeomorphic.

The topologies of these manifolds (like those of all manifolds of “pure” information geometry) have no direct connection with any topology that the underlying space  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  may possess. They concern statistical inference in its barest form – statistical divergences measure dependency between random quantities without recourse to structures in their range spaces any richer than a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events. Nevertheless, metrics, topologies and linear structures on  $\mathbb{X}$  play important roles in many applications. In *maximum likelihood estimation*, for example, it is desirable for the likelihood function associated with a finite sample to be continuous, which is not so on these manifolds. It is, therefore, of interest to develop statistical manifolds that embrace both topologies. This is a central aim here; we incorporate the topology of  $\mathbb{X}$  by using model space norms that explicitly include derivatives of the densities. A different approach is pursued in [9]. The exponential manifolds developed there admit, by construction, continuous evaluation maps (such as the likelihood function) since they are based on reproducing kernel Hilbert space methods. However, they do not fully accommodate the linear structure associated with the density.

The paper is structured as follows. Sections 2 and 3 construct  $M$ , a manifold of *finite* measures on a *Banach space*  $\mathbb{X}$ , whose densities with respect to a reference measure are of class  $C_b^k$ .  $M$  supports the natural extensions (from finite dimensions) of the Fisher metric and  $\alpha$ -covariant derivatives. Of course, this extra regularity over the manifolds of [5, 10, 15, 17, 18, 19] is gained at the cost of inclusiveness. (See Remark 2.1(i).) The properties of the  $\alpha$ -divergences, and their connections with the geometry are explored. Section 4 shows that the subset of *probability* measures is a dually-flat  $C^\infty$ -embedded submanifold of  $M$ , from which it inherits all its important properties. Finally, section 5 uses the method of projective limits to extend these results to manifolds of *smooth* densities.

In [3, 4], the authors construct a Riemannian manifold of *smooth* densities on an underlying finite-dimensional manifold, by considering such densities to

be smooth sections of the associated *volume bundle*. (This is a vector bundle of dimension 1 that endows the underlying manifold with an intrinsic notion of volume.) They show that the Fisher-Rao metric is unique in its invariance under the action of the diffeomorphism group of the underlying manifold, and construct the Levi-Civita covariant derivative (Amari's 0-derivative). Here, we take a more "extrinsic" view, showing that all of Amari's  $\alpha$ -covariant derivatives arise from the natural embedding of the statistical manifold in the manifold of finite measures.

## 2 The exponential map

Let  $B$  be an open subset of a Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ , on which is defined a probability measure  $\mu$  with the following properties: (i)  $\mu(B) = 1$ ; (ii) for any open subset  $A \subset B$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$ . (For example,  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $B$  is an open rectangle, and  $\mu$  is normalised Lebesgue measure.) Let  $\mathbb{G} := C_b^k(B; \mathbb{R})$  be the space of continuous and bounded functions  $a : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , that have continuous and bounded (Fréchet) derivatives of all orders up to some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is a Banach space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with norm:

$$\|a\|_{\mathbb{G}} = \sup_{x \in B} |a(x)| + \sum_{i=1}^k \sup_{x \in B} \|a_x^{(i)}\|_{L(\mathbb{X}^i; \mathbb{R})}, \quad (2)$$

where  $a^{(i)} : B \rightarrow L(\mathbb{X}^i; \mathbb{R})$  is the  $i$ 'th derivative of  $a$ , and  $L(\mathbb{X}^i; \mathbb{R})$  is the space of continuous multilinear functions from  $\mathbb{X}^i$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , topologised by the operator norm. The (continuous bilinear) *multiplication operator*  $\pi : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ , and the (continuous linear) *expectation operator*  $\mathbf{E}_\mu : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , are as follows

$$(a \cdot b)(x) = \pi(a, b)(x) = a(x)b(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_\mu a = \int_B a(x)\mu(dx). \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *The Nemytskii (superposition) operator,  $\exp_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^+$ , defined by  $\exp_{\mathbb{G}}(a)(x) := \exp_{\mathbb{R}}(a(x))$ , is diffeomorphic and has first derivative  $\exp_{\mathbb{G}, a}^{(1)} u = \exp_{\mathbb{G}}(a) \cdot u$ . (Here,  $\mathbb{G}^+ := \{a \in \mathbb{G} : \inf_{x \in B} a(x) > 0\}$ .)*

*Proof.* Let  $F(a, b) := \exp(b) - \exp(a) - \exp(a) \cdot (b - a)$ . (We drop the domain subscript from the exponential map where no confusion can arise.) In order to establish that  $\exp$  is differentiable (with the stated derivative) it suffices to show that, for any  $a \in \mathbb{G}$ , there exists a  $K < \infty$  such that

$$\|F(a, b)\|_{\mathbb{G}} \leq K \|b - a\|_{\mathbb{G}}^2 \quad \text{for all } b \in B(a, 1), \quad (4)$$

where  $B(a, 1)$  is the open unit ball centered at  $a$ . That this is true when  $k = 0$  follows from Taylor's theorem applied to  $\exp_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose, then, that  $k \geq 1$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $S_i$  be the set of all permutations of the integers 1 to  $i$ , and let  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{X}^i$ . An induction argument, starting from the definition of  $F$ , shows that there exist constants  $\gamma_{i,\rho,j} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$F(a, b)_x^{(i)} \mathbf{y} = \sum_{\rho \in S_i} \sum_{j=1}^i \gamma_{i,\rho,j} b_x^{(j)} \mathbf{y}_{\rho_1}^{\rho_j} F(a, b)_x^{(i-j)} \mathbf{y}_{\rho_{j+1}}^{\rho_i} + H(a, b, \cdot)_x^{(i-1)} \mathbf{y}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_m^n := (\mathbf{y}_m, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$  and  $H : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \times B \rightarrow L(\mathbb{X}; \mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$H(a, b, x)y = \exp(a)(x)(b(x) - a(x))(b_x^{(1)} - a_x^{(1)})y.$$

For any  $a \in \mathbb{G}$ , there exists a  $K < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in B} \|H(a, b, \cdot)_x^{(i-1)}\|_{L(\mathbb{X}; \mathbb{R})} \leq K \|b - a\|_{\mathbb{G}}^2 \quad \text{for all } b \in B(a, 1). \quad (6)$$

An induction argument on  $i$  thus establishes (4). A further induction argument readily shows that  $\exp \in C^\infty(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{G})$ .

For any  $a \in \mathbb{G}$ , the linear map  $\exp_a^{(1)} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is clearly a toplinear isomorphism, and so the statement of the proposition follows from the inverse mapping theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** (i) *Boundedness is required of members of  $\mathbb{G}$  so that  $\exp(\mathbb{G})$  is open. This is a significant restriction if, for example,  $B = \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ . On the other hand, if  $\mu$  has compact support (and  $B$  is its interior) then boundedness is a very natural condition.*

(ii) *The results that follow hold true in other scenarios. For example, that in which  $\mathbb{X}$  is a compact smooth manifold (possibly of infinite dimension) supporting a probability measure  $\mu$ . (Cf. [3, 4].)  $\mathbb{G}$  can also be replaced by  $L^\infty(\mu)$ , but no account is then taken of the topology of  $\mathbb{X}$ .*

### 3 The manifold of finite measures

Let  $M$  be the set of finite measures on  $B$  that are mutually absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , and have densities of the form,

$$p = dP/d\mu = \exp(a) \quad \text{for some } a \in \mathbb{G}. \quad (7)$$

$M$  is covered by the single chart  $\phi_1 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ , defined by  $\phi_1(P) = \exp^{-1}(p)$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ , let  $\phi_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  be defined as follows:

$$\phi_\alpha(P) = \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \left( \exp \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \phi_1(P) \right) - 1 \right). \quad (8)$$

Proposition 2.1 shows that the map  $\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_1^{-1}$  is diffeomorphic, and so  $(\phi_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$  is a smooth atlas, each chart of which covers  $M$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *The maps  $\phi_\alpha$  are closely related to Amari's  $\alpha$ -embedding maps. (See section 2.6 in [1].) The offset  $-1$  is included in (8) so that  $\phi_\alpha(\mu) = 0$ . This also ensures that  $\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_1^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}^+} - 1) : \mathbb{G}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is Naudts'  $q$ -deformed logarithm (as defined in chapter 7 of [14]) with  $q = (1 + \alpha)/2$ .*

A tangent vector  $U$  at  $P \in M$  is an equivalence class of smooth curves passing through  $P$ : two curves  $(\mathbf{P}(t) \in M, t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$  and  $(\mathbf{Q}(t) \in M, t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$  being equivalent at  $P$  if  $\mathbf{P}(0) = \mathbf{Q}(0) = P$  and  $\phi_1(\mathbf{P})'(0) = \phi_1(\mathbf{Q})'(0)$ . We denote the tangent space at  $P$  by  $T_P M$  and the tangent bundle by  $TM := \bigcup_{P \in M} (P, T_P M)$ . The latter admits the global charts  $(\Phi_\alpha : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$ , where  $\Phi_\alpha(P, U) = (\phi_\alpha(P), U\phi_\alpha)$  and, for any differentiable Banach-space-valued map  $f : M \rightarrow Y$ ,

$$Uf := f(\mathbf{P})'(0) \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{P} \in U. \quad (9)$$

For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the derivative of the transition map  $\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1}$  is,

$$(\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1})_a^{(1)} u = \exp \left( \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2} a_1 \right) \cdot u \quad \text{where } a_1 = \phi_1 \circ \phi_\beta^{-1}(a). \quad (10)$$

**Remark 3.2.** (i) *Each of the charts  $\phi_\alpha$  covers  $M$ , and so induces its own global trivialisation of the tangent bundle; we introduce multiple charts to enable the definition of different notions of parallel transport on  $TM$ .*

(ii) *The charts  $\phi_{-1}$  and  $\phi_1$  are particularly important.  $\phi_{-1}$  reflects the inherent linear structure of a set of measures—tangent vectors can be interpreted in this chart as signed measures. On the other hand,  $\phi_1$  is surjective, and so introduces a Lie group structure on  $M$ . For  $P, Q \in M$ , the product  $(PQ)_M$  and inverse  $(P^{-1})_M$  are defined as follows:*

$$d(PQ)_M = d(QP)_M = (p \cdot q) d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad d(P^{-1})_M = p^{-1} d\mu, \quad (11)$$

*and the identity element is  $\mu$ .*

Let  $\Gamma TM$  be the space of smooth sections of  $TM$ . Each of the charts  $\Phi_\alpha$  induces a notion of parallel transport on  $TM$ ; tangent vectors in different fibres of  $TM$ ,  $U \in T_P M$  and  $\tilde{U} \in T_Q M$ , are  $\alpha$ -parallel transports of each other if  $U\phi_\alpha = \tilde{U}\phi_\alpha$ . The associated covariant derivative,  $\nabla^\alpha : \Gamma TM \times \Gamma TM \rightarrow \Gamma TM$ , is that for which  $\phi_\alpha$  is an affine chart:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^\alpha \mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha. \quad (12)$$

$M$  is  $\nabla^\alpha$ -flat (or simply  $\alpha$ -flat) for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The geodesics of  $\nabla^\alpha$  are curves of  $M$  whose  $\phi_\alpha$ -representations are straight lines in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

We can define a weak Riemannian metric (the *extended Fisher-Rao metric*) on  $M$  via the inclusion  $\phi_0(M) \subset L^2(\mu)$ : for any  $U, V \in T_P M$ ,

$$\langle U, V \rangle_P := \langle U\phi_0, V\phi_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle U\phi_\alpha, V\phi_{-\alpha} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (13)$$

where we have used (10) in the second step. (This is clearly symmetric and, since  $U\phi_0 \equiv 0$  if and only if  $U = 0$ , it is also non-degenerate and positive definite.) As is clear from (13), if  $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V} \in T_Q M$  are obtained by parallel transport of  $U, V \in T_P M$ , one according  $\nabla^\alpha$  and the other according to  $\nabla^{-\alpha}$ , then

$$\langle \tilde{U}, \tilde{V} \rangle_Q = \langle U, V \rangle_P. \quad (14)$$

In this sense  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\nabla^{-\alpha}$  are *dual* with respect to the metric. (Being self-dual,  $\nabla^0$  is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative associated with the metric.) As in the finite-dimensional case [1], this relation can be expressed in differential form: for any  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W} \in \Gamma TM$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}\langle \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W} \rangle &= \mathbf{U}\langle \mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha, \mathbf{W}\phi_{-\alpha} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha, \mathbf{W}\phi_{-\alpha} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} + \langle \mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha, \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}\phi_{-\alpha} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &= \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^\alpha \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{V}, \nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^{-\alpha} \mathbf{W} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The linear relation between the  $\alpha$ -covariant derivatives is also retained:

$$\nabla^\alpha = \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\nabla^{-1} + \frac{1+\alpha}{2}\nabla^1. \quad (16)$$

This follows from (10), which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^{\pm 1} \mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha &= (\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_{\pm 1}^{-1})^{(1)} \nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^{\pm 1} \mathbf{V}\phi_{\pm 1} \\ &= (\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_{\pm 1}^{-1})^{(1)} \mathbf{U} [(\phi_{\pm 1} \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1})^{(1)} \mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha] \\ &= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha + \frac{\alpha \mp 1}{2} \mathbf{U}\phi_1 \cdot \mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.1 The $\alpha$ -divergences

These are defined as follows. (See section 3.6 in [1].)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{-1}(P|Q) &= \mathcal{D}_1(Q|P) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_\mu(\phi_{-1}(Q) - \phi_{-1}(P)) + \langle \phi_{-1}(P) + 1, \phi_1(P) - \phi_1(Q) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)},\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

and, for  $\alpha \neq \pm 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_\alpha(P|Q) &= \frac{2}{1+\alpha} \mathbf{E}_\mu(\phi_{-1}(P) - \phi_\alpha(P)) + \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \mathbf{E}_\mu(\phi_{-1}(Q) - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q)) \\ &\quad - \langle \phi_\alpha(P), \phi_{-\alpha}(Q) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

It easily follows that, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}(P|Q) = \mathcal{D}_\alpha(Q|P)$  and

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(P|Q) + \mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}(P|Q) = \langle \phi_\alpha(P) - \phi_\alpha(Q), \phi_{-\alpha}(P) - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.\tag{19}$$

Together with Proposition 2.1, the representations (17) and (18) show that  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \in C^\infty(M \times M; \mathbb{R})$ . The first derivative and mixed second derivative are as follows (where  $U \in T_P M$  and  $V \in T_Q M$ )

$$\begin{aligned}U\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\cdot|Q) &= \langle \phi_{-\alpha}(P) - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q), U\phi_\alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ UV\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\cdot|\cdot) &= -\langle U\phi_\alpha, V\phi_{-\alpha} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

In finite-dimensional information geometry, the extended Fisher metric and  $\alpha$ -covariant derivative can be defined through derivatives of the  $\alpha$ -divergence, an approach originally suggested in [8]. If  $\theta : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a global chart for the finite-dimensional manifold  $S$ , and  $(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)$  are the associated basis vectors for the tangent space at point  $P$ , then the coordinate form of the extended Fisher metric is as follows:

$$g_{ij}(P) = \langle \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle_P = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\theta^{-1}(y) | \theta^{-1}(z)) \Big|_{y=z=\theta(P)}.\tag{21}$$

Setting  $Q = P$  in the second equation in (20), and using (13), we see that  $\langle U, V \rangle_P = -UV\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\cdot|\cdot)$ , justifying the definition of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$  in (13).

The Christoffel symbols for  $\nabla^\alpha$  on  $S$  are defined as follows:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{\alpha,k}(P) = -g^{kl}(P) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^l} \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\theta^{-1}(y) | \theta^{-1}(z)) \Big|_{y=z=\theta(P)},\tag{22}$$

where  $g^{kl}$  is the matrix inverse of  $g_{ij}$ . (See section 3.2 in [1].) If this mixed third derivative of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  is identically zero for a specific coordinate system,



then the latter is affine for  $\nabla^\alpha$ , and  $S$  is  $\alpha$ -flat. In the context of the infinite-dimensional manifold  $M$ , a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^{-1}|\phi_\alpha^{-1})_{a,a}^{(2,1)} \equiv 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in \phi_\alpha(M), \quad (23)$$

which justifies the definition of  $\nabla^\alpha$  in (12).

The relation (15) is part of a fuller duality (developed for the finite-dimensional case in section 3.3 of [1]).

**Proposition 3.1.** *For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ :*

- (i) *the set  $\phi_\alpha(M)$  is convex;*
- (ii) *the map  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^{-1}|Q) : \phi_\alpha(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strictly convex;*
- (iii) *for any  $a \in \phi_{-\alpha}(M)$ ,*

$$\mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}(\phi_{-\alpha}^{-1}(a)|Q) = \max_{b \in \phi_\alpha(M)} \left\{ \langle a - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q), b - \phi_\alpha(Q) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} - \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^{-1}(b)|Q) \right\}, \quad (24)$$

*and the unique maximiser is  $\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_{-\alpha}^{-1}(a)$ .*

*Proof.* Part (i) is trivial when  $\alpha = 1$  since  $\phi_1(M) = \mathbb{G}$ . Suppose, then, that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ . For any distinct  $P_0, P_1 \in M$ , and any  $t \in (0, 1)$ , let  $a_t := (1-t)\phi_\alpha(P_0) + t\phi_\alpha(P_1)$ ; then we can define

$$p_t = \left(1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}a_t\right)^{2/(1-\alpha)} = \left((1-t)p_0^{(1-\alpha)/2} + tp_1^{(1-\alpha)/2}\right)^{2/(1-\alpha)}.$$

Since the infimum (over  $x \in B$ ) of the term in brackets on the right-hand side here is strictly positive,  $\log p_t$  is well defined and bounded.  $p_t$  is thus the density of a measure  $P_t \in M$ , and  $\phi_\alpha(P_t) = a_t$ , which completes the proof of part (i). It follows from (20) that

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^{-1}|Q)_a^{(1)}u = \langle \phi_{-\alpha} \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}(a) - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q), u \rangle_{L^2(\mu)},$$

and so, according to (10),

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^{-1}|Q)_a^{(2)}(u, v) = \mathbf{E}_\mu \exp(\alpha \phi_1 \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}(a)) \cdot u \cdot v.$$

This completes the proof of part (ii), since the right-hand side is strictly positive whenever  $u = v \neq 0$ .

Let  $a \in \phi_{-\alpha}(M)$ , and let  $f : \phi_{\alpha}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows:

$$f(b) = \langle a - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q), b - \phi_{\alpha}(Q) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} - \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(b) | Q);$$

then, according to (20),

$$\begin{aligned} f_b^{(1)} u &= \langle a - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q), u \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} - \langle \phi_{-\alpha} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(b) - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q), u \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &= \langle a - \phi_{-\alpha} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(b), u \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is zero for all  $u \in \mathbb{G}$  if and only if  $b = \phi_{\alpha} \circ \phi_{-\alpha}^{-1}(a)$ , in which case

$$f(b) = \langle \phi_{-\alpha}(P) - \phi_{-\alpha}(Q), \phi_{\alpha}(P) - \phi_{\alpha}(Q) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} - \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(P | Q),$$

where  $P = \phi_{-\alpha}^{-1}(a) = \phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(b)$ . Part (iii) now follows from (19).  $\square$

## 4 The manifold of probability measures

Let  $\mathbb{G}_0 := \{a \in \mathbb{G} : \mathbf{E}_{\mu} a = 0\}$ , let  $N := \{P \in M : P(B) = 1\}$ , and let  $\phi_m : N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$  be the restriction of  $\phi_{-1}$  to  $N$ .  $N$  is a statistical manifold modelled on  $\mathbb{G}_0$ , with global *mixture chart*  $\phi_m$ . It is trivially a  $C^{\infty}$ -embedded submanifold of  $M$ . The tangent bundle,  $TN$ , admits the global chart  $\Phi_m : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_0 \times \mathbb{G}_0$ , defined by

$$\Phi_m(P, U) = (\phi_m(P), U\phi_m). \quad (25)$$

We can also define an *exponential chart*,  $\Phi_e : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_0 \times \mathbb{G}_0$ , as follows:

$$\Phi_e(P, U) = (\phi_e(P), U\phi_e) \quad \text{where} \quad \phi_e := \phi_1 - \mathbf{E}_{\mu}\phi_1. \quad (26)$$

$\phi_e$  is bijective; in fact, for any  $a \in \mathbb{G}_0$ ,  $d\phi_e^{-1}(a)/d\mu = \exp(a)/\mathbf{E}_{\mu}\exp(a)$ . Furthermore, Proposition 2.1 shows that  $\phi_e \circ \phi_m^{-1}$  is diffeomorphic. We define the *Fisher-Rao metric* on  $N$  to be the restriction of the metric of section 3 to  $N$ ; for any  $U, V \in T_P N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, V \rangle_P &= \langle U\phi_1, V\phi_{-1} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle U(\phi_e + \mathbf{E}_{\mu}\phi_1), V\phi_m \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &= \langle U\phi_e, V\phi_m \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$M$  and  $N$  are connected by the *normalisation map*  $\nu : M \rightarrow N$  ( $\nu(P) := P/P(B)$ ) and the *inclusion map*,  $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ . The associated tangent maps,

$T\nu$  and  $T\iota$ , have particularly simple representations in terms of the charts  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_e$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_e \circ T\nu \circ \Phi_1^{-1}(a, u) &= (a - \mathbf{E}_\mu a, u - \mathbf{E}_\mu u) \\ \Phi_1 \circ T\iota \circ \Phi_e^{-1}(b, v) &= (b - \log \mathbf{E}_\mu \exp(b), v - \mathbf{E}_P v),\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_P$  is expectation with respect to  $P = \phi_e^{-1}(b)$ . For any  $P \in N$ ,  $U \in T_P M$  and  $V \in T_P N$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U, V \rangle_P &= \langle U\phi_1, V\phi_{-1} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle T_P\nu U\phi_e + \mathbf{E}_\mu U\phi_1, V\phi_m \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &= \langle T_P\nu U\phi_e, V\phi_m \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle T_P\nu U, V \rangle_P,\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

and so  $T_P\nu U$  is the projection of  $U$  onto  $T_P N$  in the extended Fisher-Rao metric. More generally,  $T\nu$  effects 1-parallel transport from  $P \in M$  to  $\nu(P) \in N$ , followed by projection onto  $T_{\nu(P)} N$ .

We are now in a position to project the covariant derivatives  $\nabla^\alpha$  of (12) onto  $TN$ . For any  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \Gamma TN$ , we define  $\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^\alpha \mathbf{V} \in \Gamma TN$  as follows:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^\alpha \mathbf{V} = T\nu \circ \Phi_\alpha^{-1}(\phi_\alpha, \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha).\tag{30}$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \Gamma TN$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^\alpha \mathbf{V}\phi_e &= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}\phi_e + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} [(\mathbf{U}\phi_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{U}\phi_e) \cdot (\mathbf{V}\phi_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{V}\phi_e) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{E}_\mu(\mathbf{U}\phi_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{U}\phi_e) \cdot (\mathbf{V}\phi_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{V}\phi_e)].\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{W}_\alpha \in \Gamma TM$  be defined by  $\mathbf{W}_\alpha \phi_\alpha = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}\phi_\alpha$ . According to (10) and (28), for any  $P \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_\alpha(P)\phi_1 &= (\phi_1 \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1})_{\phi_\alpha(P)}^{(1)} \mathbf{U} \left[ (\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_1^{-1})_{\phi_1}^{(1)} (\phi_1 \circ \phi_e^{-1})_{\phi_e}^{(1)} \mathbf{v}_e \right]_P \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2} a_1\right) \cdot \mathbf{U} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2} a_1\right) \cdot (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{v}_e) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{v}_e) + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \mathbf{U}\phi_1 \cdot (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{v}_e) \\ &= \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{v}_e) + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} (\mathbf{u}_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{u}_e) \cdot (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{E}_P \mathbf{v}_e),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_e := \mathbf{U}\phi_e$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_e := \mathbf{V}\phi_e$  and  $a_1 = \phi_1(P)$ . Now  $\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^\alpha \mathbf{V} = (\nu, \nu_*)(\mathbf{W}_\alpha)$ , and so  $\nabla_{\mathbf{U}}^\alpha \mathbf{V}\phi_e = \mathbf{W}_\alpha \phi_1 - \mathbf{E}_\mu \mathbf{W}_\alpha \phi_1$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Setting  $\alpha = 1$  in (31), we see that  $N$  is 1-flat and that  $\phi_e$  is an affine chart for  $\nabla^1$ . Furthermore, since  $\phi_m(N) = \phi_{-1}(N) = \phi_{-1}(M) \cap \mathbb{G}_0$ , it is

clear that  $N$  is also  $-1$ -flat and that  $\phi_m$  is an affine chart for  $\nabla^{-1}$ .  $N$  is thus *dually flat*. Its  $-1$ -flatness arises from the trivial nature of its embedding in  $M$  when expressed in terms of the chart  $\phi_{-1}$ ; this is the natural linear embedding of a set of probability measures in a set of finite measures. Its  $1$ -flatness is associated with its Lie group structure: for any  $P, Q \in N$ , the product  $(PQ)_N$  and inverse  $(P^{-1})_N$  are defined as follows:

$$(PQ)_N = (QP)_N = \nu((PQ)_M) \quad \text{and} \quad (P^{-1})_N = \nu((P^{-1})_M), \quad (32)$$

and the identity element is  $\mu$ . The multiplication operator here is the “data fusion” operator of Bayesian estimation. Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  be a random variable with distribution  $\mu$ , defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $Y_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}_i$  be  $X$ -conditionally independent random variables taking values in measure spaces  $(\mathbb{Y}_i, \mathcal{Y}_i, \lambda_i)$ , such that  $P_{XY_i} \ll \mu \otimes \lambda_i$  (where  $P_{XY_i}$  is the joint distribution of  $X$  and  $Y_i$ ). In this scenario, it is possible to construct *regular conditional probability distributions* for  $X$  given  $Y_1, Y_2$  and  $(Y_1, Y_2)$ . (See section 1 in [16].) Denoting these  $P_1(\omega)$ ,  $P_2(\omega)$  and  $P_{1,2}(\omega)$  (and assuming that  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are such that they lie in  $N$ ), it can be shown that  $\mathbb{P}(P_{1,2} = (P_1 P_2)_N) = 1$ .

It follows from (31) that an  $\alpha$ -geodesic of  $N$  is a smooth curve  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfying the differential equation

$$\phi_e(\mathbf{P})'' = -\frac{1-\alpha}{2} [(\phi_e(\mathbf{P})' - \mathbf{E}_P \phi_e(\mathbf{P}))^2 - \mathbf{E}_\mu(\phi_e(\mathbf{P})' - \mathbf{E}_P \phi_e(\mathbf{P}))^2]. \quad (33)$$

The Fenchel-Legendre duality of Proposition 3.1 is preserved on  $N$ , in which context the dual variables  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_{-1}$  are replaced by  $\phi_e$  and  $\phi_m$ .

## 5 Manifolds of smooth densities

In this section we consider the *sequences* of manifolds  $(M^k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  and  $(N^k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ , as developed in sections 3 and 4, making explicit their dependence on the number of derivatives in the definition of  $\mathbb{G}$  ( $= \mathbb{G}^k$ ). By developing projective limits of these sequences, we define Fréchet manifolds of measures having smooth densities with respect to  $\mu$ . The manifold of finite measures in this context, and its model space, are as follows:

$$\bar{M} := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} M^k \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathbb{G}} := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{G}^k. \quad (34)$$

Let  $\rho^k : \bar{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^k$  be the inclusion map.  $\bar{\mathbb{G}}$  is a Fréchet space, whose topology is generated by the sequence of norms  $(\|\rho^k\|_{\mathbb{G}^k}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ .

We denote the exponential map of section 2 by  $\exp^k$ , and its restriction to  $\bar{\mathbb{G}}$  by  $\overline{\exp}$ . The latter is *Leslie differentiable* [12], with derivative  $d\overline{\exp}_a u = \overline{\exp}(a) \cdot u$ , in the sense that, for any  $a \in \bar{\mathbb{G}}$ , the map  $R : \mathbb{R} \times \bar{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{G}}$ , with

$$R(t, u) := \begin{cases} t^{-1}(\overline{\exp}(a + tu) - \overline{\exp}(a)) - \overline{\exp}(a) \cdot u & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

is continuous at  $(0, u)$  for every  $u \in \bar{\mathbb{G}}$ . The study of the Leslie differentiability properties of a map between Fréchet spaces (including the regularity of its derivatives, considered as maps into spaces of continuous linear maps) becomes substantially easier if the map in question is the projective limit of a system of maps between Banach spaces [7], as is the case with  $\overline{\exp}$ .

For any  $0 \leq j \leq k < \infty$ , let  $\rho^{kj} : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^j$  be the (continuous linear) inclusion map. The system  $(\mathbb{G}^k, \rho^{kj}, 0 \leq j \leq k < \infty)$  is a *projective system* with *factor spaces*  $\mathbb{G}^k$  and *connecting morphisms*  $\rho^{kj}$ . The *projective limit* of this system is the following subset of the cartesian product  $\Pi := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{G}^k$ :

$$\varprojlim \mathbb{G}^k := \{(a^0, a^1, \dots) \in \Pi : \rho^{kj} a^k = a^j \text{ for all } 0 \leq j \leq k < \infty\}. \quad (36)$$

In this particular example, the map  $\varprojlim \mathbb{G}^k \ni (\rho^0 \bar{a}, \rho^1 \bar{a}, \dots) \mapsto \bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbb{G}}$  is a toplinear isomorphism, and so we can identify  $\varprojlim \mathbb{G}^k$  with  $\bar{\mathbb{G}}$ . The inclusion map  $\rho^k : \bar{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^k$  then plays the role of the *canonical projection* [7].

Suppose that  $(\mathbb{F}^k, \sigma^{kj}, 0 \leq j \leq k < \infty)$  is another projective system of Banach spaces with projective limit  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ . The sequence  $(f^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  is a *projective system of maps* if

$$\sigma^{kj} f^k = f^j \rho^{kj} \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq k < \infty. \quad (37)$$

The projective limit of this system is  $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}$ , defined by  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = (f^0(\bar{a}), f^1(\bar{a}), \dots)$ . If each  $f^k$  is (Fréchet) differentiable then  $\bar{f}$  is Leslie differentiable, and its derivative can be associated with a projective limit of those of  $f^k$ . (See Proposition 2.3.11 in [7].) The appropriate projective system of derivatives is  $(\Delta f^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow H^k(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F}), k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ , where

$$\Delta f^k := (f_{\rho^{k0}}^{0,(1)}, f_{\rho^{k1}}^{1,(1)}, \dots, f^{k,(1)}), \quad (38)$$

and  $H^k(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F})$  is the following subset of the cartesian product of spaces of continuous linear maps:

$$H^k(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F}) = \left\{ (\lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^k) \in \prod_{i=0}^k L(\mathbb{G}^i; \mathbb{F}^i) : \sigma^{ji} \lambda^j = \lambda^i \rho^{ji}, i \leq j \right\} \quad (39)$$

(This is a closed subspace of the product space occurring in its definition, and so is a Banach space. See the proof of Theorem 2.3.10 in [7].) The factor spaces  $H^k(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F})$  are connected by the morphisms  $h^{kj} : H^k(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^j(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F})$ ,

$$h^{kj}(\lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^k) = (\lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^j), \quad j \leq k,$$

and so constitute a projective system of Banach spaces. The associated projective limit is toplinear isomorphic with  $\bar{H}(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F})$  (defined by the obvious variant of (39)), and the map  $\epsilon : \bar{H}(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow L(\bar{\mathbb{G}}; \bar{\mathbb{F}})$ , defined by  $\epsilon(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \dots) = \varprojlim \lambda^k = (\lambda^0 \rho^0, \lambda^1 \rho^1, \dots)$ , is continuous linear. See Theorem 2.3.10 in [7]. (The space of continuous linear maps here,  $L(\bar{\mathbb{G}}; \bar{\mathbb{F}})$ , is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets.) The fact that  $\bar{H}(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{F})$  is a projective limit of Banach spaces is central to establishing the regularity of the Leslie derivative  $d\bar{f}$ . If each  $f^k$  is smooth then  $d\bar{f} : \bar{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow L(\bar{\mathbb{G}}; \bar{\mathbb{F}})$  is Leslie smooth. (See Propositions 2.3.11, 2.3.12 in [7].)

Applying these ideas to the exponential maps  $\exp^k$  and their inverses, we see that the projective limit  $\overline{\exp}$  is Leslie diffeomorphic, and all its derivatives (together with those of its inverse) are smooth maps from  $\bar{\mathbb{G}}$  to appropriate spaces of continuous linear maps.

$\bar{M}$  is a manifold of finite measures on  $B$  with smooth densities (with respect to  $\mu$ ) of the form  $\overline{\exp}(\bar{a})$  where  $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbb{G}}$ . It is covered by the single chart  $\bar{\phi}_1(\bar{P}) := \overline{\exp}^{-1}(\bar{p})$ , where  $\bar{p} = d\bar{P}/d\mu$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ , we can define a chart  $\bar{\phi}_\alpha : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{G}}$  as in (8). The transition maps  $\bar{\phi}_\beta \circ \bar{\phi}_\alpha^{-1}$  are Leslie diffeomorphic, and their derivatives  $d(\bar{\phi}_\beta \circ \bar{\phi}_\alpha^{-1}) : \bar{\phi}_\alpha(\bar{M}) \rightarrow L(\bar{\mathbb{G}}; \bar{\mathbb{G}})$  are smooth maps. The tangent space at base point  $\bar{P} \in \bar{M}$  can be defined in the usual way:  $\bar{U} \in T_{\bar{P}}\bar{M}$  is an equivalence class of smooth curves  $(\mathbf{P}(t) \in \bar{M}, t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$  passing through  $\bar{P}$  at  $t = 0$ . (Such a curve is the projective limit of the sequence of Fréchet smooth curves  $(\iota^k \mathbf{P}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ , where  $\iota^k : \bar{M} \rightarrow M^k$  is the inclusion map. See Remark 3.1.9 in [7].) The tangent bundle,  $T\bar{M}$ , admits the global charts  $\bar{\Phi}_\alpha(\bar{P}, \bar{U}) = (\bar{\phi}_\alpha(\bar{P}), \bar{U}\bar{\phi}_\alpha)$ , where for any Leslie differentiable, Fréchet-space-valued map  $\bar{f} : \bar{M} \rightarrow Y$ ,

$$\bar{U}\bar{f} := \bar{f}(\mathbf{P})'(0) = d(\bar{f} \circ \bar{\phi}_\alpha^{-1})\bar{U}\bar{\phi}_\alpha \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{P} \in \bar{U}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Fisher-Rao metric is defined as in (13).

We can now define a special class of smooth vector fields of  $\bar{M}$  – those whose  $\bar{\Phi}_\alpha$ -representations are projective limits of smooth maps between the Banach spaces  $\mathbb{G}^k$ . Let  $S$  be the following set of sequences:

$$S = \{(\mathbf{n}_k \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}_0) : \mathbf{n}_k \leq \mathbf{n}_{k+1}, \sup \mathbf{n}_k = +\infty\}, \quad (40)$$

and note that, for any  $\mathbf{n} \in S$ ,  $(\mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{n}_k}, \rho^{\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{n}_j}, 0 \leq j \leq k < \infty)$  is a projective system of Banach spaces with projective limit  $\bar{\mathbb{G}}$ . For some  $\mathbf{n} \in S$ , let  $(\mathbf{u}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{n}_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  be a projective system of smooth maps, with projective limit  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} : \bar{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{G}}$ . We regard  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} \circ \bar{\phi}_1$  as being the  $\bar{\Phi}_1$ -representation of a smooth vector field  $\bar{\mathbf{U}}$  ( $\bar{\mathbf{U}} \bar{\phi}_1 := \bar{\mathbf{u}} \circ \bar{\phi}_1$ ). We denote the set of all such *projective-limit smooth vector fields*  $\Gamma_{\text{pl}} T\bar{M}$ . This has a linear structure, in which the sum of  $(\mathbf{u}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{n}_k})$  and  $(\mathbf{v}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{m}_k})$ , for  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in S$ , is the projective system  $(\mathbf{w}^k := \rho^{\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{l}_k} \mathbf{u}^k + \rho^{\mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{l}_k} \mathbf{v}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{l}_k})$ , where  $\mathbf{l}_k := \min\{\mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{n}_k\}$ .

**Remark 5.1.**  $\Gamma_{\text{pl}} T\bar{M}$  is strictly smaller than  $\Gamma T\bar{M}$  – it does not contain the vector field with  $\bar{\Phi}_1$ -representation  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}r(\bar{a}, 0)$ , for example, where  $r$  is the usual metric on  $\bar{\mathbb{G}}$ . However, it does contain many useful vector fields occurring in the theory of partial differential equations. For example, if  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  then the second-order differential operator  $\partial^2 / \partial x_i \partial x_j$  lifts to a vector field in  $\Gamma_{\text{pl}} T\bar{M}$ , whose associated projective system of functions  $(\mathbf{u}^k)$  involves the sequence  $(\mathbf{n}_k = \max\{0, k - 2\}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0) \in S$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} : \bar{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{G}}$  be as defined above, and let  $(f^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^k)$  be a projective system of smooth maps, as described in (37). Then the sequence of maps

$$\left( f_{\rho^{kl}}^{l,(1)} \rho^{\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{u}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^l, l = \min\{k, \mathbf{n}_k\}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right) \quad (41)$$

is a projective system, with projective limit  $d\bar{f}\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ , and  $\bar{\mathbf{U}}(\bar{f} \circ \bar{\phi}_1) = d\bar{f}\bar{\mathbf{u}} \circ \bar{\phi}_1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $0 \leq j \leq k < \infty$ , let  $l := \min\{k, \mathbf{n}_k\}$  and  $m := \min\{j, \mathbf{n}_j\}$ . Differentiating the projective relation  $\sigma^{lm} f^l = f^m \rho^{lm}$ , we obtain

$$\sigma^{lm} f^{l,(1)} = f_{\rho^{lm}}^{m,(1)} \rho^{lm}.$$

Restricting the base-point from  $\mathbb{G}^l$  to  $\mathbb{G}^k$ , and applying the resulting linear map to  $\rho^{\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{u}^k$ , we obtain

$$\sigma^{lm} f_{\rho^{kl}}^{l,(1)} \rho^{\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{u}^k = f_{\rho^{km}}^{m,(1)} \rho^{\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{u}^k = \left( f_{\rho^{jm}}^{m,(1)} \rho^{\mathbf{n}_j \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{u}^j \right) \rho^{kj},$$

which establishes the projective property. The projective limit is

$$\left( f_{\rho^{l_0}}^{l_0,(1)} \rho^{l_0} \bar{\mathbf{u}}, f_{\rho^{l_1}}^{l_1,(1)} \rho^{l_1} \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \dots \right) \equiv d\bar{f}\bar{\mathbf{u}},$$

where  $\mathbf{l}_k := \min\{k, \mathbf{n}_k\}$ . NB. The fact that  $\sup_k \mathbf{n}_k = +\infty$  ensures that the sequence here is adequate to define the projective limit, even though its terms constitute only a subsequence of those in  $d\bar{f}\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{P} \in \bar{\mathbf{U}}(\bar{P})$ ; then

$$\bar{\mathbf{U}}(\bar{P})(\bar{f} \circ \bar{\phi}_1) = (\bar{f} \circ \bar{\phi}_1(\mathbf{P}))'(0) = d\bar{f}\bar{\mathbf{U}}(\bar{P})\bar{\phi}_1 = d\bar{f}\bar{\mathbf{u}} \circ \bar{\phi}_1(\bar{P}),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Suppose that  $\bar{\mathbf{V}} \in \Gamma_{\text{pl}}T\bar{M}$  is defined by the projective system of smooth maps  $(\mathbf{v}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{m}_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  for some  $\mathbf{m} \in S$ . By applying Proposition 5.1 to the projective system  $(\phi_\alpha^{\mathbf{m}_k} \circ (\phi_1^{\mathbf{m}_k})^{-1} \circ \mathbf{v}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{m}_k} (=:\mathbb{F}^k))$ , we can define the  $\alpha$ -covariant derivative on  $\bar{M}$ :  $\nabla_{\bar{\mathbf{U}}}^\alpha \bar{\mathbf{V}}\bar{\phi}_1 = \bar{\mathbf{w}} \circ \bar{\phi}_1$ , where

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}} = d(\bar{\phi}_1 \circ \bar{\phi}_\alpha^{-1})d(d(\bar{\phi}_\alpha \circ \bar{\phi}_1^{-1})\bar{\mathbf{v}})\bar{\mathbf{u}} = d\bar{\mathbf{v}}\bar{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\bar{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}. \quad (42)$$

The  $\bar{\Phi}_1$ -representation  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$  is the projective limit of a system of maps  $(\mathbf{w}^k : \mathbb{G}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^{\mathbf{i}_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ , where  $(\mathbf{i}_k = \min\{\mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{n}_k, \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{n}_k}\}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0) \in S$ , and so  $\nabla_{\bar{\mathbf{U}}}^\alpha \bar{\mathbf{V}} \in \Gamma_{\text{pl}}T\bar{M}$ .

The remaining constructions in sections 3 and 4 carry over to  $\bar{M}$  without difficulty. Key points to note are as follows.

- The smoothness of the  $\alpha$ -divergences on  $\bar{M}$  follows from their smoothness on  $M^k$ , and that of the inclusion map  $\iota^k$ . The connections between the metric/covariant derivatives and  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  are retained.
- The restriction of the domains of Fenchel-Legendre transform of Proposition 3.1 to  $\bar{M}$  is trivial.
- The statistical manifold  $\bar{N}$  is defined in the obvious way. It is trivially a Leslie  $C^\infty$ -embedded submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  since its  $\bar{\phi}_{-1}$ -representation is a subspace of that of  $\bar{M}$ .
- An  $\alpha$ -geodesic of  $\bar{N}$  is a smooth curve  $\mathbf{P}$  whose projection  $\iota^k\mathbf{P}$  satisfies (33) for all  $k$ . ( $\alpha$ -geodesics of  $\bar{M}$ , and  $\pm 1$ -geodesics of  $\bar{N}$  are, of course, straight lines in appropriate charts.)

## References

- [1] S.-I. Amari, H. Nagaoka, *Methods of Information Geometry*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 191, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2000.



- [2] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, *Information and Exponential Families in Statistical Theory*, Wiley, 1978.
- [3] M. Bauer, M. Bruveris, P.W. Michor, Uniqueness of the Fisher-Rao metric on the space of smooth densities, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **48** (2016) 499–506.
- [4] M. Bruveris and P.W. Michor, Geometry of the Fisher-Rao metric on the space of smooth densities on a compact manifold, arXiv:1607.04550 (2016).
- [5] A. Cena, G. Pistone, Exponential statistical manifold, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **59** (2007) 27–56.
- [6] N.N. Chentsov, *Statistical Decision Rules and Optimal Inference*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1982.
- [7] C.T.J. Dodson, G. Galanis, E. Vassiliou, *Geometry in a Fréchet Context: A Projective Limit Approach*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 428, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [8] S. Eguchi, Second order efficiency of minimum contrast estimators in a curved exponential family, *Ann. Statist.*, **11** (1983) 793–803.
- [9] K. Fukumizu, Exponential manifold by reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, in: P. Gibilisco, E. Riccomagno, M.P. Rogantin, H. Winn (eds.), *Algebraic and Geometric Methods in Statistics*, Cambridge University Press, (2009) 291–306.
- [10] P. Gibilisco, G. Pistone, Connections on non-parametric statistical manifolds by Orlicz space geometry, *Infinite-dimensional analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, **1** (1998) 325–347.
- [11] S.L. Lauritzen, *Statistical Manifolds*, IMS Lecture Notes Series, **10**, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1987.
- [12] J.A. Leslie, On a differential structure for the group of diffeomorphisms, *Topology*, **46** (1967) 263–271.
- [13] M.K. Murray, J.W. Rice, *Differential Geometry and Statistics*, Monographs in Statistics and Applied Probability, **48**, Chapman Hall, 1993.

- [14] J. Naudts, *Generalised Thermostatistics*, Springer, London, 2011.
- [15] N.J. Newton, An infinite-dimensional statistical manifold modelled on Hilbert space, *J. Functional Analysis*, **263** (2012) 1661–1681.
- [16] N.J. Newton, Information geometric nonlinear filtering, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, **18** (2015) 1550014.
- [17] N.J. Newton, Infinite-dimensional statistical manifolds based on a balanced chart, *Bernoulli*, **22** (2016) 711–731.
- [18] G. Pistone, M.P. Rogantin, The exponential statistical manifold: mean parameters, orthogonality and space transformations, *Bernoulli*, **5** (1999) 721-760.
- [19] G. Pistone, C. Sempì, An infinite-dimensional geometric structure on the space of all the probability measures equivalent to a given one, *Annals of Statistics*, **23** (1995) 1543–1561.
- [20] C.R. Rao, Information and accuracy obtainable in the estimation of statistical parameters, *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, **37** (1945) 81–91.