

# The set of connective constants of Cayley graphs contains a Cantor space

Sébastien MARTINEAU\*

*The Weizmann Institute of Science*

November 16, 2021

In this note, graphs are implicitly taken to be simple, unoriented, non-empty, connected and locally finite. Besides  $\mathbb{N}$  is taken to contain 0.

A graph is said to be **transitive** if it admits an action by graph automorphisms that is transitive on its set of vertices. Given a transitive graph  $\mathcal{G}$  and a vertex  $o$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , denote by  $c_n$  the number of paths starting at  $o$ , going through  $n$  edges and not visiting any vertex more than once. By Fekete's Subadditive Lemma, the sequence  $c_n^{1/n}$  converges to some real number  $\mu(\mathcal{G})$ . This number does not depend on the choice of  $o$  and is called the **connective constant** of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Let us now define Cayley graphs. Given a group  $G$  and a finite generating subset  $S$  of  $G$ , the **Cayley graph** associated with  $(G, S)$  is the graph with vertex-set  $G$  and such that two distinct elements  $g$  and  $h$  of  $G$  are connected by an edge if and only if  $g^{-1}h \in S \cup S^{-1}$ . This defines a transitive graph  $\text{Cay}(G, S)$  which satisfies the implicit assumptions of this paper.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *The set  $\{x : \exists(G, S), x = \mu(\text{Cay}(G, S))\}$  contains a Cantor space. In particular, this subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

This theorem implies the following result of Leader and Markström: the set of isomorphism classes of Cayley graphs has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . See [LM06].

An unpublished argument of Kozma [Koz] shows that the set of  $p_c$ 's of Cayley graphs contains a Cantor space, where  $p_c$  denotes the critical parameter for bond Bernoulli percolation. The strategy of proof used in the present paper is inspired by [Koz].

---

\*E-mail: sebastien.martineau@weizmann.ac.il.

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Conn}$  denote  $\{x : \exists(G, S), x = \mu(\text{Cay}(G, S))\}$ . Let  $\Omega_\infty$  stand for  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , which is endowed with the product topology. It is enough to show that there is a continuous injection  $f$  from  $\Omega_\infty$  to  $\text{Conn}$ . Indeed, as  $\Omega_\infty$  is compact and  $\mathbb{R}$  is Hausdorff, if there is such an  $f$ , then  $f$  induces a homeomorphism from the Cantor space  $\Omega_\infty$  to  $f(\Omega_\infty)$ . Besides, as  $\text{Conn}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and both  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\Omega_\infty$  have cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , the Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein Theorem implies that if there is an injection from  $\Omega_\infty$  to  $\text{Conn}$ , then  $\text{Conn}$  has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

To prove the existence of a function  $f$  as above, we will rely on several facts, which are listed below. Fact G is about “Groups”. For it, the reader is referred to the *proof* of Lemma III.40 in [dlH00]. Facts L and SI, respectively on “Locality” and “Strict Inequalities”, are due to Grimmer and Li: see respectively [GL] and [GL14]. Facts I and C are easy and classical. They provide an “Inequality” and a “Convergence”.

G There are a finitely generated group  $H$  and a subgroup  $C$  of  $H$  such that  $C$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$  and central in  $H$ .

L Let  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \leq \infty}$  be a sequence of Cayley graphs such that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  converges locally<sup>1</sup> to  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Z}$  the graph  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}, \{1\})$  and by  $\mathcal{G}_n \times \mathcal{Z}$  the Cartesian product of the graphs  $\mathcal{G}_n$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

Then,  $\mu(\mathcal{G}_n \times \mathcal{Z})$  converges to  $\mu(\mathcal{G}_\infty \times \mathcal{Z})$ .

SI Let  $G$  be a group generated by a finite subset  $S$ , and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Assume that  $N \neq \{1\}$  and that the ball of centre 1 and radius 2 of  $\text{Cay}(G, S)$  intersects  $N$  only at 1.

Then,  $\mu(\text{Cay}(G/N, \bar{S})) < \mu(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ .

I Let  $G$  be a group generated by a finite subset  $S$ , and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Then,  $\mu(\text{Cay}(G/N, \bar{S})) \leq \mu(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ .

C Let  $G$  be a group generated by a finite subset  $S$ . Let  $(N_n)_{n \leq \infty}$  be a sequence of normal subgroups of  $G$  such that for every finite subset  $F$  of  $G$ , for  $n$  large enough,  $N_n \cap F = N_\infty \cap F$ .

Then,  $\text{Cay}(G/N_n, \bar{S})$  converges locally to  $\text{Cay}(G/N_\infty, \bar{S})$ .

The proof may now begin. Let us fix  $(H, C_H)$  satisfying G. Let  $S_H$  be a finite generating subset of  $H$ . To avoid conflicts between additive and

---

<sup>1</sup>This means the following. Denote by  $\rho_n$  the vertex corresponding to the identity element of  $G_n$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_n = \text{Cay}(G_n, S_n)$ . For  $n \leq \infty$  and  $r \geq 0$ , let  $B_n(r)$  be the ball of centre  $\rho_n$  and radius  $r$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , considered as a *rooted graph*, rooted at  $\rho_n$ . Then we say that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  **converges locally** to  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$  if  $\forall r, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0, B_n(r) \simeq B_\infty(r)$ .

multiplicative notations, in the next three sentences, let us see  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  as  $(\langle a \rangle, \cdot)$ . Let  $G := H \times \langle a \rangle$  and  $S := S_H \times \{1\} \cup \{(1, a)\}$ . The finite subset  $S$  of  $G$  generates the group  $G$ . The subgroup  $C := C_H \times \{1\}$  of  $G$  is central and isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$ . Fix a basis  $(g_n)$  of the free abelian group  $C$ .

Let  $\Omega$  denote the set of the (finite and infinite) words on the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes a property which may be satisfied or not by elements of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , denote by  $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$  the set of the elements of  $\Omega$  whose length satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$ . In this context, we may use the subscript “ $k$ ” as an abbreviation for “ $= k$ ”. The  $\Omega_{\infty}$  introduced at the beginning of the proof agrees with this notation.

For every  $\omega \in \Omega_{<\infty}$ , we will define a group  $G_{\omega}$ , which will be a quotient of  $G$ . Before stating our conditions, let us point out that we set  $G_{\text{empty word}}$  to be  $G$ . As a result,  $G$  with no subscript or with an empty subscript are both defined, and refer to the same object.

We will proceed by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the following Induction Hypothesis. See the figure on the next page.

IH For every  $\omega \in \Omega_{\leq n}$ , we have built a group  $G_{\omega}$  which is  $G$  or a quotient of  $G$  by a subgroup of  $\langle g_i : i < n \rangle$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_{\omega}$  the Cayley graph of  $G_{\omega}$  with respect to  $\overline{S}$ .

For every  $\omega \in \Omega_{<n}$ , we have constructed a real number denoted by  $b_{\omega\star}$ , and we have  $G_{\omega 0} = G_{\omega}$ .

Setting “no letter”  $< 0 < \star < 1$  and ordering lexicographically the words on the alphabet  $\{0, \star, 1\}$ , the set

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \{(\mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega}), \omega) : \omega \in \Omega_n\} \cup \{(b_{\omega\star}, \omega\star) : \omega \in \Omega_{<n}\}$$

satisfies  $\forall (x, \eta), (x', \eta') \in \mathcal{S}_n, \eta < \eta' \iff x > x'$ .

Notice that IH holds for  $n = 0$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that IH holds at rank  $n$ , and let us prove that it holds at rank  $n + 1$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega_n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $N_k^{\omega}$  denote the subgroup of  $G_{\omega}$  generated by  $\overline{g_n}^k$ , which is normal in  $G_{\omega}$  by centrality of  $C$  in  $G$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $G_{\omega}$ . By IH at rank  $n$ , the map  $k \mapsto \overline{g_n}^k$  is injective from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $G_{\omega}$ . The set  $Z_F^{\omega} := \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : \overline{g_n}^j \in F\}$  is thus finite. For every  $k > \max_{j \in Z_F^{\omega}} |j|$ , we have  $k\mathbb{Z} \cap Z_F^{\omega} \subset \{0\}$ . As a result, for  $k$  large enough  $N_k^{\omega} \cap F = \{1\} \cap F$ . It follows from C that  $\text{Cay}(G_{\omega}/\langle k\overline{g_n} \rangle, \overline{S})$  converges locally to  $\mathcal{G}_{\omega}$  when  $k$  goes to infinity.

Thus, by taking  $m_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  large enough, L and SI guarantee that for every  $\omega \in \Omega_n$ , the connective constant  $x := \mu(\text{Cay}(G_{\omega}/\langle m_n \overline{g_n} \rangle, \overline{S}))$  satisfies  $x < \mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega})$  and, for every strict prefix  $\alpha$  of  $\omega$ ,  $b_{\alpha\star} < x$ . Taking  $m_n$  to be minimal such that the above holds and letting  $G_{\omega 0} := G_{\omega}$ ,  $G_{\omega 1} := G_{\omega}/\langle m_n \overline{g_n} \rangle$  and  $b_{\omega\star} := (\mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega 0}) + \mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega 1}))/2$ , we get IH at rank  $n + 1$ . By induction, the  $G_{\omega}$ 's are constructed with the desired properties, together with the “byproduct” sequence  $(m_n)$ .




FIGURE: Illustration of IH at rank 3. Above the vertical lines is “represented” the Cantor subset of Conn that we will build.

Now, for  $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ , let  $G_\omega := G/\langle m_i g_i : i \text{ such that } \omega(i) = 1 \rangle$  and let  $\mathcal{G}_\omega := \text{Cay}(G_\omega, \overline{S})$ . To conclude the proof, it is enough to show that  $f : \omega \mapsto \mu(\mathcal{G}_\omega)$  is injective and continuous as a function from  $\Omega_\infty$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $(\omega_n)$  be a converging sequence of elements of  $\Omega_\infty$ , and let  $\omega_\infty$  denote its limit. For  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , define  $N_n$  to be  $\langle m_i g_i : i \text{ such that } \omega_n(i) = 1 \rangle$ . For every element  $g = \prod_{i \leq i_0} g_i^{a_i}$  of the free abelian group  $C$ , we have

$$g \in N_n \iff \{i : a_i \neq 0\} \subset \{i : \omega_n(i) = 1\} \text{ and } \forall i \leq i_0, m_i | a_i.$$

Consequently,  $(N_n)_{n \leq \infty}$  satisfies the hypotheses of C. By C and L,  $f(\omega_n)$  converges to  $f(\omega_\infty)$ , so that  $f$  is continuous.

It remains to establish the injectivity of  $f$ . Let  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  be two distinct elements of  $\Omega_\infty$ . Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  be minimal such that  $\omega(i) \neq \omega'(i)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\omega(i) = 0$  and  $\omega'(i) = 1$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\omega_n$  the prefix of  $\omega$  of length  $n$ . Note that  $\omega_i = \omega'_i$ . By I and the construction, we have

$$\forall n > i, \mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega'}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega_i}) < b_{\omega_i^*} < \mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega_n}).$$

By C and L,  $\mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega_n})$  converges to  $\mu(\mathcal{G}_\omega)$ . Therefore,

$$\mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega'}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega_i}) < b_{\omega_i^*} \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}_\omega).$$

In particular,  $\mu(\mathcal{G}_{\omega'}) \neq \mu(\mathcal{G}_\omega)$ . The function  $f$  is thus injective, and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank the Weizmann Institute of Science and my postdoctoral hosts — Itai Benjamini and Gady Kozma — for the excellent working conditions they have provided to me. I am also grateful to my postdoctoral hosts for letting me know of [Koz].

## References

- [dlH00] Pierre de la Harpe. *Topics in geometric group theory*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000.
- [GL] Geoffrey Grimmett and Zhongyang Li. Locality of connective constants, I. Transitive graphs. arXiv:1412.0150.
- [GL14] Geoffrey Grimmett and Zhongyang Li. Strict inequalities for connective constants of transitive graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 28(3):1306–1333, 2014.
- [Koz] Gady Kozma. Personal communication.
- [LM06] Imre Leader and Klas Markström. Uncountable families of vertex-transitive graphs of finite degree. *Discrete mathematics*, 306(7):678–679, 2006.