Left-ordered inp-minimal groups

Jan Dobrowolski and John Goodrick

Abstract

We prove that any left-ordered inp-minimal group is abelian, and we provide an example of a non-abelian left-ordered group of dp-rank 2.

0 Introduction and preliminaries

One of the model-theoretic properties that gained a lot of interest recently is dp-minimality, which, on one hand, significantly strengthens NIP, and on the other hand, is satisfied by all strongly minimal theories, all (weakly) o-minimal theories, algebraically closed valued fields (more generally, by all C-minimal structures), and the valued field of p-adics. Several interesting results were obtained for dp-minimal structures in the algebraic contexts of groups and fields (sometimes with additional structure), see for example [9, 4, 3, 7].

Throughout this note, we work in the context of a complete first-order theory T, and "formula" means a first-order formula in the language of T.

Definition 0.1. 1. An inp-pattern of depth κ (in the partial type $\pi(\overline{x})$) is a sequence $\langle \varphi_i(\overline{x}; \overline{y}_i) : i < \kappa \rangle$ of formulas and an array $\{\overline{a}_{ij} : i < \kappa, j < \omega\}$ of parameters (from some model of T) such that:

- (a) For each $i < \kappa$, there is some $k_i < \omega$ such that $\{\varphi_{i,j}(\overline{x}; \overline{a}_{i,j}) : j < \omega\}$ is k_i -inconsistent; and
- (b) For each $\eta: \kappa \to \omega$, the partial type

$$\pi(\overline{x}) \cup \{\varphi_i(\overline{x}; \overline{a}_{i,\eta(i)}) : i < \kappa\}$$

is consistent.

- 2. The inp-rank (or burden) of a partial type $\pi(\overline{x})$ is the maximal κ such that there is an inp-pattern of depth κ in $\pi(\overline{x})$. The inp-rank of T is the inp-rank of x = x, and T is inp-minimal if its inp-rank is 1.
- 3. An ict-pattern of depth κ (in the partial type $\pi(\overline{x})$) is a sequence $\langle \varphi_i(\overline{x}; \overline{y}_i) : i < \kappa \rangle$ of formulas and an array $\{\overline{a}_{ij} : i < \kappa, j < \omega\}$ of parameters (from some model of T) such that for each $\eta : \kappa \to \omega$, the partial type

$$\pi(\overline{x}) \cup \{\varphi_i(\overline{x}; \overline{a}_{i,\eta(i)}) : i < \kappa\} \cup \{\neg \varphi_i(\overline{x}; \overline{a}_{i,j}) : i < \kappa, j \neq \eta(i)\}$$

is consistent.

4. The dp-rank of a partial type $\pi(\overline{x})$ is the maximal κ such that there is an ict-pattern of depth κ in $\pi(\overline{x})$. The dp-rank of T is the dp-rank of x = x, and T is dp-minimal if its dp-rank is 1.

In spite of its name, dp-rank is really more like a cardinal-valued dimension than an ordinal-valued rank such as SU(p), and in the context of stable theories, dp-minimality is equivalent to every nonalgebraic 1-type having weight 1, as observed in [8]. It turns out that a theory is dp-minimal just in case it is both inp-minimal and NIP (see [1]).

One of the context investigated in [9] is that of (bi)-ordered groups.

Definition 0.2. A left-ordering on a group (G, \cdot) is a total ordering < on G such that for any $f, g, h \in G$, whenever g < h, we have that $f \cdot g < f \cdot h$. A right-ordering is defined similarly, and a bi-ordering on G is an ordering which is simultaneously a left-ordering and a right-ordering.

While Pierre Simon claimed that all inp-minimal "ordered groups" are abelian [9], his proof only applies to groups with a definable bi-ordering: his argument uses the fact that for any x, y in a bi-orderable group and any positive $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $x^n = y^n$ then x = y. But in left-orderable groups (such as in the example of the Klein bottle group below), one may have that $x^2 = y^2$ but $x \neq y$.

In this note, we prove that every inp-minimal left-ordered group is abelian, strengthening the result from [9], and we also show that the result fails already in the dp-rank 2 case, by providing a suitable example.

1 A non-abelian left-ordered group of dp-rank 2

In this section, we define the "Klein bottle group" (the fundamental group of a Klein bottle) which is presented as $G = \langle x, y : x^{-1}yx = y^{-1} \rangle$. In other words, $y^{-1}x = xy$, and routine algebraic manipulation shows:

1. Every $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as $g = x^n y^m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

2.
$$(x^n y^m) \cdot (x^{n'} y^{m'}) = x^{n+n'} y^{m'+(-1)^{n'} m}$$
, and

3.
$$(x^n y^m)^{-1} = x^{-n} y^{(-1)^{n+1} m}$$
.

We can define a left ordering \leq on G lexicographically on the exponents: $x^ny^m \leq x^{n'}y^{m'}$ iff either n < n' or else n = n' and $m \leq m'$. The subgroup generated by y is the minimal nontrivial convex subgroup of G, and the order type of G is $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

We note in passing that while G is non-abelian, it is abelian-by-finite: a simple calculation shows that the centralizer C(y) of y is $\{x^{2n}y^m:n,m\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, which is abelian, and for any $g\in G$, we have $g^2\in C(y)$.

Proposition 1.1. The structure (G, \cdot, \leq) is dp-rank 2.

Proof. To show that it is NIP and dp-rank at most 2, it suffices (thanks to the additivity of the dp-rank proved in [5]) to note that an isomorphic copy of G is definable in the dp-minimal structure $(\mathbb{Z}, <, +)$ with $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ as the the universe of the group; the definition of the group operation depends on the parity of one of the coordinates, but of course $2\mathbb{Z}$ is a definable subgroup of \mathbb{Z} .

Now we compute the centralizer C(x) of the generator x. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$x \cdot (x^a y^b) = x^{a+1} y^b$$

and

$$(x^a y^b) \cdot x = x^{a+1} y^{-b},$$

so we conclude that $C(x) = \langle x \rangle$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are pairwise disjoint intervals $\langle I_{n,k} : k < \omega \rangle$ such that for any $k, x^k \in I_{n,k}$ and $I_{n,k}$ intersects every right coset $C(x), C(x)y, \ldots, C(x)y^n$. So by compactness, in an ω -saturated extension of G, we can find pairwise disjoint intervals $\langle I_k : k < \omega \rangle$ such that $x^k \in I_k$ and each I_k intersects every right coset $C(x)y^n$. Therefore the formulas expressing $z \in I_k$ and $z \in C(x)y^j$ (in the free variable z) give an inp-pattern of depth 2, so G is not inp-minimal, hence by NIP it is not dp-minimal.

Question 1.1. Is the group G above dp-minimal in the pure language of groups?

2 Inp-minimal left-ordered groups

In this section, we prove that every inp-minimal left-ordered group is abelian. For a left-ordered group G and a subset $A \subseteq G$, by h(A) we will denote the convex hull of A in G.

Fact 2.1 ([9]). Let G be an inp-minimal group. Then there is a definable normal abelian subgroup H of G such that G/H has finite exponent.

Note that in a left-ordered group G a convex hull of a subgroup H need not be a subgroup of G, but still it is a union of right H-cosets. Thus, by repeating the proof of Lemma 3.2 from [9], we obtain:

Fact 2.2. Let G be an inp-minimal left-ordered group. Let H be a definable subgroup of G and let C be the convex hull of H. Then C is a union of finitely many right H-cosets.

We will also use a group-theoretic fact about FC-groups.

Definition 2.3. An FC-group is a group in which the centralizer of every element has finite index.

Note that if [G:Z(G)] is finite, then G is an FC-group. The following is Theorem 6.24 from [6]:

Fact 2.4. Every torsion-free FC-group is abelian.

Theorem 2.5. Every left-ordered inp-minimal group is abelian.

Proof. By Fact 2.4, it is enough to show that [G:Z(G)] is finite. Let H be given by Fact 2.1. Notice that G=h(H): if $a \in G$, say a > e, then for l equal to the exponent of G/H, we have $e < a < a^2 < \cdots < a^l \in H$, so $a \in h(H)$. Hence, by Fact 2.2, we get that n := [G:H] is finite.

Claim 1. For any positive $x \in G$, the interval [e, x] is covered by finitely many right cosets of a central subgroup of G.

Proof of Claim 1. We can assume that G is non-trivial (hence it is infinite so also H is non-trivial). Notice that any coset Hg in G has a positive representative (if g is negative then one can take $g^{-(l-1)}$ as such a representative). It follows (thanks to the normality of H) that for any $y, g \in G$ we can find an element $z \in G$ such that Hg = Hz and z > y (by choosing z := yw, where w is a positive element of $Hy^{-1}g$).

Now, fix any positive $x = x_0 \in G$. Using the above observation, we can choose $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1} \in G$ such that $G = \bigcup_{i < n} Hx_i$. Since [G : H] is finite, and, by Fact 2.2, $h(C(x_i))$ is covered by finitely many right $C(x_i)$ -cosets for each i < n, we get that

$$C := \bigcap_{i < n} h(C(x_i))$$

is covered by finitely many right cosets of

$$A := H \cap \bigcap_{i < n} C(x_i)$$

(we use here that an intersection of right cosets of subgroups $G_0, \ldots, G_{k-1} < G$ is a right coset of $\bigcap_{i < k} G_i$). But, since H is abelian, and G is generated by H, x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} , we have that $A \subseteq Z(G)$. Also, since $x_0 < x_1 < \ldots, x_{n-1}$ and $\forall_{i < n} x_i \in C(x_i)$, we get that $x = x_0 \in C$, so, by convexity of C, $[e, x_0] \subseteq C$, which proves the claim. \square Now, suppose for a contradiction that [G : Z(G)] is infinite. Note that if some coset Z(G)g contains only negative elements, then the coset $Z(G)g^{-1}$ contains only positive elements, so in any case we may choose infinitely many positive representatives y_0, y_1, y_2, \ldots of pairwise distinct right cosets of Z(G) in G. Without loss of generality, G is ω -saturated, and there is an element $x \in G$ greater than all the y_i 's. Then [e, x] cannot be covered by finitely many right cosets of Z(G), so

Corollary 2.6. If $(G, \cdot, <)$ is a left-ordered group which is inp-minimal (in the pure language of ordered groups), then it is dp-minimal.

it cannot be covered by finitely many right cosets of any central subgroup of G, contradicting the

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, G is abelian, and any ordered abelian group is NIP, as shown in [2]; since NIP and inp-minimality imply dp-minimality, we are done.

References

claim.

- [1] H. Adler, Strong theories, burden, and weight, preprint, 2007. arXiv:1507.0391
- [2] Y. Gurevich and P. Schmitt, The theory of ordered abelian groups does not have the independence property, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 284:171-182, 1984. arXiv:1507.0391
- [3] F. Jahnke, P. Simon, and E. Walsberg, Dp-minimal valued fields, 2015. arXiv:1507.0391
- [4] W. Johnson, On dp-minimal fields, 2015. arXiv:1507.0274
- [5] I. Kaplan, A. Onshuus, and A. Usvyatsov, *Additivity of the dp-rank*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 365(11):5783-5804, 2013
- [6] T.Y. Lam, A First Course in Noncommutative Rings, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [7] E. Levi, I. Kaplan, P. Simon Some remarks on dp-minimal groups, 2016. arXiv:1605.07867
- [8] A. Onshuus and A. Usvyatsov, On dp-minimality, strong dependence, and weight, J. Symb. Log. 76-3, 2011.
- [9] P. Simon, On dp-minimal ordered structures, J. Symb. Log., Volume 76-2, 2011.

Jan Dobrowolski

Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University South Korea

e-mail: dobrowol@math.uni.wroc.pl

John Goodrick

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia e-mail: jr.goodrick427@uniandes.edu.co