Emergence of nontrivial magnetic excitations in a spin liquid state of kagomé volborthite

D. Watanabe^{1,2}, K. Sugii¹, M. Shimozawa¹, Y. Suzuki¹, T. Yajima¹, H. Ishikawa¹, Z. Hiroi¹, T. Shibauchi³, Y. Matsuda², M. Yamashita^{1*}

¹ Institute of Solid State Physics, the University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8581, Japan.

² Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.

³ Department of Advanced Materials Science, University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8561, Japan.

*Correspondence to: my@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

When quantum fluctuations destroy underlying long-range ordered states, novel quantum states emerge. Spin-liquid (SL) states of frustrated quantum antiferromagnets, in which highlycorrelated spins keep to fluctuate down to very low temperatures, are prominent examples of such quantum states. SL states often exhibit exotic physical properties, but the precise nature of the elementary excitations behind such phenomena remains entirely elusive. Here we utilize thermal Hall measurements that can capture the unexplored property of the elementary excitations in SL states, and report on the observation of anomalous excitations that may unveil the unique features of the SL state. Our principal finding is a negative thermal Hall conductivity κ_{xy} which the charge-neutral spin excitations in a gapless SL state of the two-dimensional **kagomé insulator volborthite Cu3V2O7(OH)2** ⋅**2H2O exhibit, in much the same way in which** charged electrons give rise to the conventional electric Hall effect. We find that κ_{rr} is absent **in the high-temperature paramagnetic state and develops upon entering the SL state in** accordance with the growth of the short-range spin correlations, demonstrating that κ_{xy} is a **key signature of the elementary excitation formed in the SL state. These results suggest the emergence of nontrivial elementary excitations in the gapless SL state which feel the presence of fictitious magnetic flux, whose effective Lorentz force is found to be less than 1/100 of that experienced by free electrons.**

SLs are novel states which can occur in a magnetic system when the underlying magnetic order gives way to quantum fluctuations [\(1\)](#page-4-0). In such states the constituent spins are highly correlated but continue to fluctuate strongly down to temperatures much lower than the spin interaction energy scale, \hat{I} . Novel notions such as emergent gauge fields, topological order and fractionalized excitations have been associated with collective phenomena in SLs. In particular, both experiments [\(2-](#page-4-1)[5\)](#page-4-2) and theories [\(6](#page-4-3)[-11\)](#page-4-4) suggest that SL states display many unusual properties. It has been reported, for instance, that low-energy spin excitations in organic insulators with a triangular lattice structure behave like mobile carriers in a paramagnetic metal with a Fermi surface [\(2,](#page-4-1)[3\)](#page-4-5), in contrast to the charge degree of freedom which is gapped. A description in terms of a SL state with fractionalized spin excitations was incorporated to account for the excitation continuum signal detected in a kagomé antiferromagnet [\(4\)](#page-4-6). A magnetization transport measurement has shown that a pyrochlore frustrated magnet exhibits the characteristics of a supercooled spin liquid state [\(5\)](#page-4-2). Exotic quasiparticles such as spinons [\(6-](#page-4-3)[8\)](#page-4-7), visons [\(9,](#page-4-8) [10\)](#page-4-9) and photons [\(11\)](#page-4-4) have been predicted theoretically. Despite these intensive activities, the precise character of the elementary excitations in SL states remain, from an experimental point of view, to be pinned down.

In conducting systems, it is the charge transport properties that act as the window through which we accumulate information that are essential in unraveling the physics of novel electronic states such as the quantum Hall states and other non-Fermi-liquids. Likewise, in insulating quantum magnets, thermal-transport measurements have been proven to be a powerful probe in unveiling the ground state and quasiparticle excitations [\(2,](#page-4-1) [12-](#page-4-10)[16\)](#page-4-11). Recently, theoretical works have suggested that thermal Hall measurements provide new insights into the nature of exotic excitations in magnetic insulators [\(17-](#page-4-12)[20\)](#page-4-13). In conducting systems, the electrical Hall (σ_{xy}) and thermal Hall (κ_{xy}) conductivities are related by the Wiedemann-Franz law $\kappa_{xy}/T = L\sigma_{xy}$ (ref. [21\)](#page-5-0), where L is the Lorentz number. In magnetic insulators, in contrast, there are no charged currents, and thus a magnetic field cannot exert a Lorentz force. Nevertheless the thermal Hall effect has been predicted to occur in both ordered $(17,18)$ $(17,18)$ and disordered magnets $(17,19,20)$ $(17,19,20)$ $(17,19,20)$ as a result of the intrinsic Berry phase curvature and an emergent gauge field, respectively. Indeed, a finite κ_{xy} was reported in the ferromagnetic ordered state of magnetic insulators [\(12,](#page-4-10) [13\)](#page-4-16). Very recently, its observation was also reported in the disordered states of the spin ice compound $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ (ref. [14\)](#page-4-17) and ferromagnetic kagomé $Cu(1,3-bdc)$ (ref. [15\)](#page-4-18). We note, however, that in the former study, a finite κ_{xy} was observed in the paramagnetic phase far above the temperature corresponding to $J(T \gg J/k_B \sim 1 \text{ K})$ (ref. [22\)](#page-5-1). Meanwhile, in the latter experiment there is no SL phase owing to the absence of geometrical frustration. In yet another study, thermal Hall measurements were performed in the SL state of triangular organic compound EtMe₃Sb[Pd(dmit)₂]₂, but no discernible κ_{xy} signal was observed [\(2\)](#page-4-1). Thus the experimental verification of thermal Hall conductivity in SL states remains to be a subject of vital importance.

Results

Heat capacity and magnetic susceptibility

measurements. Volborthite, Cu₃V₂O₇(OH)₂ ⋅2H2O, is a magnetic insulator, in which two dimensional (2D) layers of Cu^{2+} have a distorted kagomé structure with inequivalent exchange interactions[\(23,](#page-5-2) [24,](#page-5-3) [25,](#page-5-4) [26\)](#page-5-5) (see the inset of Fig. 1). The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility χ shows a behavior that is typical of 2D frustrated spin systems (Fig. 1). Below $T^* \sim 60$ K, $\gamma(T)$ begins to deviate from the paramagnetic Curie-Weiss behavior [\(24,](#page-5-3) [27,](#page-5-6) [28\)](#page-5-7), implying that the spin correlations grow gradually when the temperature energy scale $k_B T^*$ becomes comparable to the effective spin interaction energy J_{eff} . A peak of $\chi(T)$ at $T_p \sim 18$ K suggests that spins are highly correlated at lower temperatures. Recently, based on the observation of an extremely wide one-third magnetization plateau [\(26\)](#page-5-5), effective spin Hamiltonians featuring coupled frustrated chains [\(27\)](#page-5-6) and a coupled trimers [\(28\)](#page-5-7) were proposed. In the latter framework, the system can be viewed as a frustrated triangular lattice with competing antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions with exchange couplings whose energy scales are not far from $k_B T^*$. In either models, the combination of strong geometrical frustration with enhanced quantum fluctuations for $S = 1/2$ suppresses the magnetic ordering down to $T_N \sim 1$ K (~ 2 K) at zero field (15 T) (ref. [24,](#page-5-3) [25\)](#page-5-4), which infers the presence of a SL state in a wide temperature range $T_N < T < T^* \sim J_{\text{eff}}/k_B$. Clearly the χ above T_N extrapolated to $T =$ 0 remains finite, suggesting the gapless nature of the spin excitations in the SL state. A strong additional evidence supporting the presence of the gapless excitations comes from the specific heat measurements at low temperatures (Fig. 1), which show a large linear temperaturedependent contribution, $C/T(T \rightarrow 0) \sim 50$ mJ K^{-2} mol-Cu⁻¹.

Longitudinal and transverse thermal

conductivities. Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of the longitudinal thermal conductivity (κ_{xx}/T) in zero field and in a magnetic field of 15 T ($H \perp 2D$ plane). In the present system, heat is transferred by spin excitations and phonons: $\kappa_{xx} = \kappa_{xx}^{\text{spin}} + \kappa_{xx}^{\text{ph}}$. Below around $T_{p, kx}/T$ is suppressed by the magnetic field. We point out that this

suppression arises from $\kappa_{xx}^{\text{spin}}$ because of the following reasons. The field dependence of κ_{xx}^{ph} is determined by spin-phonon scattering, which contains elastic and inelastic processes. The elastic scattering process is suppressed by the alignment of spins with the magnetic field. The inelastic scattering is directly related to the quantum dynamics of spin, which is also suppressed with field by the formation of Zeeman gap. Therefore an application of magnetic fields leads to an enhancement of κ_{xx}^{ph} .

The thermal conductivity of spin excitations can be expressed as $\kappa_{xx}^{\text{spin}} \sim C_{\text{spin}} v_{\text{spin}} \ell_{\text{spin}}$, where \mathcal{C}_{spin} is the heat capacity, v_{spin} is the velocity and ℓ_{spin} is the mean free path of the elementary spin excitations. Since the magnetic field enhances ℓ_{spin} by aligning spins and C_{spin} shows only a weak field dependence around 8 K (Fig. 1), the field suppression of $\kappa_{xx}^{\text{spin}}$ is dominated by the suppression of v_{spin} . Similar results have been reported in spin chain compounds [\(16\)](#page-4-11) where the velocity of elementary excitations is suppressed by fields. The lower limit of ℓ_{spin} is simply estimated by assuming that $\Delta \kappa = \kappa_{xx}(H = 0) - \kappa_{xx}(H = 0)$ 15 T) gives a lower limit of κ_{xx}^{spn} . From the assumption of a linear energy dispersion, the velocity is obtained as $v_{\text{spin}} \sim J_{eff} a / \hbar \sim 2.3 \times$ 10^3 m/s, where $J_{eff} \sim 60$ K and $\alpha \sim 2.9$ Å is the mean distance between the nearest Cu ions. From $C_{\text{spin}}/T \sim 50$ mJ K⁻² mol-Cu⁻¹ (Fig. 1) and $\Delta \kappa / T \sim 0.03 \text{ W K}^{-2} \text{ m}^{-1}$ (Fig. 2) at 8 K, we find the lower limit of ℓ_{spin} ~23 nm ~ 80 a, indicating that the elementary excitations are highly mobile.

Figure 3A depicts the transverse thermal response along the *y* axis, $\Delta T_y \equiv T_{L1} - T_{L2}$, at 8.3 K when the magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the 2D plane ($H \parallel z$) in the presence of the thermal
current along the x axis. The longitudinal current along the x axis. response due to misalignment of the contacts is cancelled by reversing the magnetic field. Asymmetric thermal response with respect to the

field direction, $\Delta T_v^{\text{Asym}}(H) \equiv$ $\left[\Delta T_{\nu}(+H) - \Delta T_{\nu}(-H)\right]/2$, is clearly resolved, establishing a finite thermal Hall effect. Special care was taken to detect the intrinsic thermal Hall signal from the sample (see Supplementary Information). Figure 3B depicts the temperature dependence of $-\kappa_{\gamma}$ /TB at the field of 15 T. Finite thermal Hall signal appears at $T \leq T^*$ ~ 60 K. The sign of κ_{xy} is negative, i.e. electron-like. As the temperature is lowered, $-\kappa_{xy}/TB$ first develops gradually and then increases steeply below 30 K. After reaching a maximum at around 15 K, $-\kappa_{xy}/TB$ decreases rapidly and changes sign slightly above T_N . It should be stressed that no discernible thermal Hall signal is observed in the paramagnetic state at $T \gtrsim T^* \sim 60 \text{ K}$. Moreover, $-\kappa_{xy}/TB$ or $-k_{xy}$ exhibits a peak at around T_p where χ becomes maximum due to the development of the spin-spin correlation length (see the inset of Fig. 3B). These results lead us to conclude that the observed thermal Hall effect in volborthite arises from the magnetic excitations in the SL state, not from phonons (see Supplementary Information).

Conclusions and Discussion. The presence of gapless spin excitations revealed by γ and C indicates that low-energy spin excitations in this insulating magnet have a similarity to fermions in paramagnetic metals with Fermi surface. Such a behavior has been predicted by the theory that features a spinon Fermi surface [\(6,](#page-4-3) [7,](#page-4-19) [8\)](#page-4-7). The theory of Katsura, Nagaosa and Lee [\(17\)](#page-4-12) emphasizes that deconfined fermionic spinons couple to an emergent gauge field. Through the coupling between the vector potential *A* and the gauge flux, the external magnetic field can exert a Lorentz force on spinons, which gives rise to a finite Hall effect, just as in the case of charged particles. Although applying this theory to the present system simply should be scrutinized, it is tempting to compare the Lorentz force acting on deconfined spinons with that acting on the free electrons. In a simple metal the thermal Hall angle $(\kappa_{xy}/T)/(\kappa_{xx}/T)$ equals to the electric Hall angle σ_{xy}/σ_{xx} due to the Wiedemann-Franz law and is given as $(\kappa_{xy}/T)/(\kappa_{xx}/T) =$

 $(eB)_e\tau_e/m_e$, where m_e is the mass of electron, τ_e is the scattering time and $(eB)_e$ is the quantity proportional to the Lorentz force on the electron. By analogy, the Hall angle of deconfined spinons can be given as $(\kappa_{xy}/T)/(\kappa_{xx}^{\text{spin}}/T) = (eB)_{\text{spin}}\tau_{\text{spin}}/m_{\text{spin}}$ Here $m_{\text{spin}} \sim \hbar^2 / (J_{eff} a^2) \sim 170 m_e$ and $\tau_{\text{spin}} = \ell_{\text{spin}}/v_{\text{spin}}$ is the effective mass and scattering time of spinons, respectively, and $(eB)_{\text{spin}}$ is proportional to the fictitious Lorentz force on the spinon. Using $\Delta \kappa/T \sim 0.03 \text{ W K}^{-2}$ m⁻¹ and $\kappa_{xy} \sim -3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W K}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1}$ at 8 K, we obtain the upper limit of the Hall angle $(\kappa_{xy}/T)/(\kappa_{xx}^{\text{spin}}/T) \sim -1 \times 10^{-3}$ at 15 T. Thus the ratio of the Lorentz force acting on spinons and that on electrons is estimated to be $|(eB)_{\text{spin}}/(eB)_e| \sim 8 \times 10^{-3}$ at most. This implies that the coupling between the applied magnetic field and the gauge flux in a SL state is very small [\(29\)](#page-5-8). Such a small coupling may be a reason of the absence of the quantum oscillations in a SL state of $EtMe₃Sb[Pd(dmit)₂]$ ₂ (ref. [3\)](#page-4-5). We also note that this value is ∼ 4 orders of magnitude smaller than the estimate reported in the paramagnetic state of $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ (ref. [14\)](#page-4-17).

At low temperatures below T_p where the spinspin correlation grows rapidly, κ_{xy}/T shows a rapid reduction and changes the sign slightly above T_N . This suppression of $\kappa_{xy}/T \sim$ $(c_{\text{spin}}/T)(\ell_{\text{spin}})^2(eB)_{\text{spin}}/m_{\text{spin}}$ is unusual, because C_{spin}/T and ℓ_{spin} do not show large temperature dependencies in this temperature range. There are several possible origins for this suppression. The suppression of $(eB)_{spin}$ may appear as a result of an instability of the fictitious gauge flux when the system approaches the ordered phase [\(29\)](#page-5-8). Another possibility is the emergence of an additional spin excitations with the opposite sign of $(eB)_{\text{spin}}$. A kagomé structure gives rise to two types of hopping loops, triangular and hexagonal [\(17\)](#page-4-12). The strong spinspin correlations at low temperatures can generate spin excitations of the long hexagonal loop, which may induce a different sign of κ_{xy} . Further experimental studies on other frustrated SL

systems may be important to clarify these nontrivial issues.

Materials and Methods:

The single crystals of volborthite used in this study were prepared by the hydrothermal synthesis. Typical sample size is ~ 1 mm \times 0.5 mm \times 0.07 mm. The crystals have an arrowhead-like shape with one twin boundary in the middle [\(26\)](#page-5-5). All single crystals used in the thermal conductivity measurements were detwinned by cutting in half at the middle. The thermal conductivity and the thermal Hall conductivity were measured by the standard steady-state method in a variable temperature insert for 1.7 K to 80 K. An illustration of the setup is shown in the inset of Fig. 2 in the main text. A heat current (Q) was applied along the *b* axis of volborthite, and magnetic field, $\mu_0 H \leq$ 15 T, was applied perpendicular to the kagomé plane. We attached three CernoxTM thermometers (CX1050) and one heater on the sample. The configuration of the three thermometers $(T_{Hiah}, T_{L1}, T_{L2})$ is shown in the inset of Fig. 2 in the main text. These thermometers were carefully calibrated in fields. We confirmed that all three thermometers show the identical magnetoresistance which matches that of the previous work [\(30\)](#page-5-9) within our resolution.

The longitudinal thermal conductivity, κ_{xx} , and the thermal Hall conductivity, κ_{xy} , are obtained by

$$
\frac{1}{wt} \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} =
$$
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{xx} & \kappa_{xy} \\ -\kappa_{xy} & \kappa_{xx} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (T_{High} - T_{L1})/L \\ (T_{L1} - T_{L2})/w \end{pmatrix} = (S1)
$$
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{xx} & \kappa_{xy} \\ -\kappa_{xy} & \kappa_{xx} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta T_x/L \\ \Delta T_y/w \end{pmatrix},
$$

where $\Delta T_x \equiv T_{High} - T_{L1}$, $\Delta T_y \equiv T_{L1} - T_{L2}$, t is the thickness of the sample and $L(w)$ is the distance between the thermal contacts for T_{High} and T_{L1} (T_{L1} and T_{L2}).

Acknowledgments:

We thank K. Behnia, H. Katsura, P.A. Lee, T. Momoi, O. I. Motrunich, N. Nagaosa, S. Onoda, T. Senthil, A. Shitade, H. Takatsu, C. Varma for valuable discussions. This work was supported by Yamada Science Foundation, Toray Science Foundation and KAKENHI from JSPS and by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas ``Topological Materials Science'' (KAKENHI Grant No. 15H05852).

Author contributions:

D.W., K.S, M.S, Y.S, H.I., T.S., Y.M. and M.Y. performed experiments and data analysis on single crystals prepared by T.Y., H.I. and Z.H. T.S., Y.M. and M.Y. wrote the paper. All authors critically reviewed the paper.

References

- 1. Balents L (2010) Spin liquids in frustrated magnets. *Nature* 464(7286):199-208.
- 2. Yamashita M, *et al.* (2010) Highly Mobile Gapless Excitations in a Two-Dimensional Candidate Quantum Spin Liquid. *Science* 328(5983):1246-1248.
- 3. Watanabe D, *et al.* (2012) Novel Pauliparamagnetic quantum phase in a Mott insulator. *Nat Commun* 3:1090 (2012).
- 4. Han TH, *et al.* (2012) Fractionalized excitations in the spin-liquid state of a kagome-lattice antiferromagnet. *Nature* 492(7429):406-410.
- 5. Kassner, ER, et al. (2015) Supercooled spin liquid state in the frustrated pyrochlore Dy2Ti2O7. *PNAS* 112(28):8549-8554.
- 6. Motrunich OI (2005) Variational study of triangular lattice spin-1 /2 model with ring exchanges and spin liquid state in κ- (ET)2Cu2(CN)3. *Phys Rev B* 72(4):045105.
- 7. Lee SS, Lee PA (2005) U(1) Gauge Theory of the Hubbard Model: Spin Liquid States and Possible Application to ^κ- (ET)2Cu2(CN)3. *Phys Rev Lett* 95(3):036403.
- 8. Mross DF, Senthil T (2011) Charge Friedel oscillations in a Mott insulator. *Phys Rev B* 84(4):R041102.
- 9. Read N, Sachdev S (1991) Large-N Expansion for Frustrated Quantum Antiferromagnets. *Phys Rev Lett* 66(13):1773-1776.
- 10. Senthil T, Fischer MPA (2001) Fractionalization in the Cuprates: Detecting the Topological Order. *Phys Rev Lett* 86(2):292.
- 11. Gingras MJ, McClarty PA (2014) Quantum spin ice: a search for gapless quantum spin liquids in pyrochlore magnets. *Rep Prog Phys* 77(5):056501.
- 12. Onose Y, *et al.* (2010) Observation of the Magnon Hall Effect. *Science* 329(5289):297- 299.
- 13. Ideue T, *et al.* (2012) Effect of lattice geometry on magnon Hall effect in ferromagnetic insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* 85(13):134411.
- 14. Hirschberger M, Krizan JW, Cava RJ, Ong NP (2015) Large thermal Hall conductivity of neutral spin excitations in a frustrated quantum magnet. *Science* 348(6230):106- 109.
- 15. Hirschberger M, Chisnell R, Lee YS, Ong, NP (2015) Thermal Hall Effect of Spin Excitations in a Kagome Magnet. *Phys Rev Lett* 115(10):106603.
- 16. Sologubenko AV, *et al.* (2007) Magnetothermal Transport in the Spin-1/2 Chains of Copper Pyrazine Dinitrate. *Phys Rev Lett* 98(10):107201.
- 17. Katsura H, Nagaosa N, Lee PA (2010) Theory of the Thermal Hall Effect in Quantum Magnets. *Phys Rev Lett* 104(6):066403.
- 18. Matsumoto R, Shindou R, Murakami S (2014) Thermal Hall effect of magnons in magnets with dipolar interaction. *Phys Rev B* 89(5):054420.
- 19. Romhányi J, Penc K, Ganesh R (2015) Hall effect of triplons in a dimerized quantum magnet. *Nat Commun* 6:6805.
- 20. Lee H, Han JH, Lee PA (2015) Thermal Hall effect of spins in a paramagnet. *Phys Rev B* 91(12):125413.
- 21. Zhang Y, *et al.* (2000) Determining the Wiedemann-Franz Ratio from the Thermal Hall Conductivity: Application to Cu and YBa2Cu3O6.95. *Phys Rev Lett* 84(10):2219.
- 22. Takatsu H, *et al.* (2015) Quadrupole Order in the Frustrated Pyrochlore Tb2+xTi2−xO7+y. arXiv:1506.04545.
- 23. Hiroi Z, *et al.* (2001) Spin-1/2 *Kagomé* – Like Lattice in Volborthite Cu3V2O7(OH)2⋅2H2O. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 70(11): 3377-3384.
- 24. Yoshida H, *et al.* (2012) Orbital switching in a frustrated magnet. *Nat Commun* 3:860.
- 25. Yoshida M, *et al.* (2012) High-Field Phase Diagram and Spin Structure of Volborthite Cu3V2O7(OH)2⋅2H2O. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 81(2):024703.
- 26. Ishikawa H, *et al*. (2015) One-Third Magnetization Plateau with a Preceding Novel Phase in Volborthite. *Phys Rev Lett* 114(22):227202.
- 27. Janson O, Richter J, Sindzingre P, Rosner H (2010) Coupled frustrated quantum spin-1/2 chains with orbital order in volborthite Cu3V2O7(OH)2⋅2H2O. *Phys Rev B* 82(10):104434.
- 28. Janson O, *et al.* (2015) Magnetic behavior of volborthite Cu3V2O7(OH)2⋅2H2O determined by coupled trimers rather than frustrated chains. arXiv:1509.07333.
- 29. Motrunich OI (2006) Orbital magnetic field effects in spin liquid with spinon Fermi sea: Possible application to κ -(ET)₂Cu₂(CN)₃. *Phys Rev B* 73(15):155115.
- 30. Brandt BL et al. (1999) Low temperature thermometry in high magnetic fields. VII. Cernox™ sensors to 32 T. *Rev Sci Instrum* 70(1):104-110.
- 31. Yamashita S, *et al.* (2010) Thermodynamic Properties of the Kagomé Lattice in Volborthite. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 79(8):083710.
- 32. Strohm C, Rikken GLJA, Wyder P (2005) Phenomenological Evidence for the Phonon Hall Effect. *Phys Rev Lett* 95(15):155901.
- 33. Sheng L, Sheng DN, Ting CS (2006) Theory of the Phonon Hall Effect in Paramagnetic Dielectrics. Phys Rev Lett 96(15):155901.
- 34. Wang JS, Zhang L (2009) Phonon Hall thermal conductivity from the Green-Kubo formula. Phys Rev B 80(1):012301.
- 35. Zhang L, Ren J, Wang JS, Li B (2010) Topological Nature of the Phonon Hall Effect. Phys Rev Lett 105(22):225901.
- 36. Qin T, Zhou J, Shi J (2012) Berry curvature and the phonon Hall effect. Phys Rev B 86(10):104305.
- 37. Araki K, et al. (2008) Magneto-elastic properties of $Tb_3Ga_5O_{12}$ (TGG). Eur Phys J B 61(3):257-259.
- 38. Sytcheva A, et al. (2010) Acoustic Faraday effect in $Tb_3Ga_5O_{12}$. Phys Rev B 81(21):214415.
- 39. Slack GA, Oliver DW (1971) Thermal Conductivity of Garnets and Phonon Scattering by Rare-Earth Ions. Phys Rev B 5(2):592-609.
- 40. Guillot M, Marchand A (1985) Step-like magnetisation curves in $Tb_3Ga_5O_{12}$. J Phys C: Solid State Phys 18(18):3547-3550.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Temperature dependence of the heat capacity divided by temperature C/T (closed circles, left axis) and the magnetic susceptibility χ (gray line, right axis) of a single crystal of volborthite. The peak temperature of the magnetic susceptibility is marked as T_n . Dashed line is the lattice heat capacity taken from ref. [31.](#page-5-10) At around 7 K, C/T shows a kink in zero field, which is pronounced in the magnetic field of 9 T. However no signature of a magnetic transition has been observed in NMR and magnetic susceptibility measurements at this temperature. Therefore, the kink may be related to a lattice anomaly, though no discernible anomaly is observed in the thermal conductivity. The inset illustrates the arrangement of Cu ions in the *ab* plane. J_1 and J_2 represent the nearestneighbor and next-nearest-neighbor interactions in the Cu2 spin chains, respectively. \int' and \int'' represent the nearest-neighbor interactions between Cu1 and Cu2 spins.

Figure 2: Temperature dependence of the longitudinal thermal conductivity divided by the temperature, κ_{xx}/T , at 0 (blue) and 15 T (red). The inset illustrates our experimental setup. Three thermometers $(T_{\text{high}}, T_{L1}, T_{L2})$ were attached to the sample. A heater was attached at one end of the sample to produce the thermal gradient along the *x* axis. The magnetic field was applied along the *z* axis. ΔT_x and ΔT_y were defined as $T_{\text{high}} - T_{L1}$ and $T_{L1} - T_{L2}$, respectively.

Figure 3: (a) The field and heater-power dependences of the antisymmetrized transverse temperature difference, $\Delta T_v^{\text{Asym}}(H) \equiv$ $(\Delta T_{\gamma}(+H) - \Delta T_{\gamma}(-H))/2$, at 8.3 K. The inset shows the same data normalized by the heater power, together with the data at 7 K of a LiF sample used as the heat bath (black squares). (b) Temperature dependence of $-\kappa_{xy}/TB$ at 15 T. Inset shows $-\kappa_{xy}$ at 15 T (left) and χ (right). The dotted lines are guides to the eyes. Error bars correspond to 1 s.d.

Supporting Information

Watanabe et al. SI Text

S1. Tests to confirm the intrinsic thermal Hall signal

Since the antisymmetric component, $\Delta T_{\rm y}^{\rm Asym}(H) \equiv \left[\Delta T_{\rm y}(+H) - \Delta T_{\rm y}(-H) \right] / 2$, is very small, we have made careful measurements and made several tests to confirm that the observed thermal Hall signal is intrinsic. First, to avoid thermal Hall signals from metals used in the cryostat, a LiF single crystal was used as the heat bath. Also, a non-metallic grease was used to attach the sample to the LiF heat bath. We checked the background signal of $\Delta T_y^{\text{Asym}}(H)$ from the LiF heat bath without the sample is negligibly smaller than that of volborthite (see the inset of Fig. 3A) in all temperature and field ranges of this study. Second, dependences of $\Delta T_v^{\text{Asym}}(H)$ on the heater power were checked at the same temperature (main panel of Fig. 3A). As shown in the inset of Fig. 3A, $\Delta T_v^{\text{Asym}}(H)$ show a linear response to the heater power within our resolution. Finally, we reversed the thermal contacts to the thermometers L1 and L2 with keeping other setups, and confirmed that the antisymmetrized temperature gradient along the *y* axis shows the same sign (not shown, the antisymmetrized $T_{L1} - T_{L2}$ shows the reversed sign). These tests can exclude the possibility that the observed signal is an artifact, such as errors of field calibration of the thermometers or thermal leaks from the heater to the thermometers. Hence, we can safely confirm the observed $\Delta T_v^{\text{Asym}}(H)$ as intrinsic signals.

S2. Thermal Hall effect of phonons

Here, we note that the phonon Hall effect is unlikely as the origin of κ_{xy} of volborthite. The thermal Hall effect of phonons has been reported in dielectric garnet $Tb_3Ga_5O_{12}$ (TbGG) [\(32\)](#page-5-11). Theoretically, skew-like scattering effects of phonons by large spins [\(33-](#page-5-12)[36\)](#page-5-13) have been discussed as the origin of the phonon Hall effect. In fact, a large magneto-elastic coupling of TbGG has been shown by acoustic measurements [\(37,](#page-5-14) [38\)](#page-5-15). The strong scattering

effects of phonons by spins has also been shown by the small thermal conductivity of TbGG compared by other rare-earth gallium garnets [\(39\)](#page-5-16). The complex crystal-field structure of quasi-doublets of Tb ions with a large magnetic moment [\(40\)](#page-5-17) has been pointed out as the origin of the strong spin-phonon coupling [\(37,](#page-5-14) [38\)](#page-5-15). Obviously, these situations are completely different from that of volborthite, where spin-1/2 $Cu²⁺$ ions form a frustrated antiferromagnet. Moreover, κ_{xy} of phonons should show a larger signal at a higher temperature where the phonon contribution becomes dominant. In contrast, we find the κ_{xy} decreases as the temperature increases above 20 K where the field dependence of κ_{xx} (Fig. S1) shows a dominant contribution of κ_{xx}^{ph} . We, therefore, conclude that the thermal Hall signal is due to the Hall effect on the spin excitations.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Fig. S1 The field dependence of κ_{xx} at different temperatures. The normalized difference of κ_{xx} under field, $\Delta \kappa_{xx}(H)/$ $\kappa_{xx}(0) \equiv (\kappa_{xx}(H) - \kappa_{xx}(0))/\kappa_{xx}(0)$, is plotted as a function of field. At the lowest temperature of our measurement (2.0 K), κ_{xx} increases above \sim 5 T, which is due to a magnon contribution in the SDW phase [\(25\)](#page-5-4). At higher temperature above \sim 20 K, κ_{xx} turns to increase under fields due to the increase of κ_{xx}^{ph} by applying fields.