

On recovering missing values with minimal error

Nikolai Dokuchaev

June 15, 2019

Abstract

The paper studies optimal recovering of missing values for non-bandlimited sequences using band-limited approximations. A solution is obtained in the pathwise setting, i.e. without probabilistic assumptions. Some robustness of the solution with respect to noise contamination and truncation is established.

Key words: data recovery, discrete time, sampling theorem, band-limited interpolation.

1 Introduction

The paper studies optimal recovering of missing values for sequences, or discrete time deterministic processes. This important problem was studied intensively. The classical results for stationary stochastic processes with the spectral density ϕ is that a missing single value is recoverable with zero error if it is “band-limited” meaning that the spectral density is vanishing with a certain rate on an arc of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ [11].

For the forecasting and other applications, it is common to use band-limited approximations of non-bandlimited underlying processes.

There are many works devoted to causal smoothing and sampling, oriented on estimation and minimization of norm of the error, especially in stochastic setting; see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16].

In theory, a process can be converted into a band-limited and recoverable process with a low-pass filter. However, a ideal low-pass filter cannot be applied if there is a missing value. This lead

The author is with Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, 6845 Western Australia.

This work was supported by ARC grant of Australia DP120100928 to the author.

to optimal selection of an estimate for the missing value. We consider an optimal band-limited process approximating observed trace in ℓ_2 -norm rather than matching the values at selected points. The solution is not error-free; the error can be significant if the underlying process is not band-limited. This is different from a setting in [3, 9, 12]. Our setting is closer to the setting from [17, 19]. In [17], the problem of minimization of the total energy of the approximating bandlimited process within a given distance from the original process smoothed by an ideal low-pass filter was considered. In [9], error-free recovering was considered. In [19], extrapolation of a band-limited matching a finite number of points process was considered using special Slepian's type basis in the frequency domain.

We consider extrapolation in time domain, with the main focus on the minimization of an essential non-vanishing error in a pathwise setting, without using probabilistic assumptions on the ensemble. This setting targets situations where we deal with a sole sequence that is deemed to be unique and such that one cannot rely on statistics collected from observations of other similar samples. An estimate of the missing value has to be done based on the intrinsic properties of this sole sequence and the observed values. In particular, we use a pathwise optimality criterion that does not involve an expectation on a probability space.

An explicit solution is given (Theorem 1). In addition, we established numerical stability and robustness of the method with respect to the input errors and data truncation.

We illustrated the method with some numerical experiments.

2 Some definitions and background

Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integers. For a set $G \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\ell_2(G)$ a Hilbert space of real valued sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t \in G}$ such that $\|x\|_{\ell_2(G)} = (\sum_{t \in G} |x(t)|^2)^{1/2} < +\infty$. Let $\ell_2 = \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, let ℓ_2^s be the subspace in ℓ_2 consisting of all $x \in \ell_2$ such that $x(t) = 0$ for $t \neq s$.

For $x \in \ell_2$, we denote by $X = \mathcal{Z}x$ the Z-transform

$$X(z) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)z^{-t}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Respectively, the inverse $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$ of Z-transform is defined as

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{i\omega}) e^{i\omega t} d\omega, \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

We assume that we are given $\Omega \in (0, \pi)$.

Let \mathbb{B} be the set of all mappings $X : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $X(e^{i\omega}) \in L_2(-\pi, \pi)$ and $X(e^{i\omega}) = 0$ for $|\omega| > \Omega$. We will call the corresponding processes $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$ *band-limited*.

Let ℓ_2^{BL} be the set of all band-limited processes from ℓ_2 , and let $\ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})(G)$ be the subset of $\ell_2(G)$ consisting of traces $\{\hat{x}(t)\}_{t \in G}$ for all sequences $\hat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$.

We will use the notation $\text{sinc}(x) = \sin(x)/x$, and we will use notation \circ for the convolution in ℓ_2 .

Let $H(z)$ be the transfer function for an ideal low-pass filter such that $H(e^{i\omega}) = \mathbb{I}_{[-\Omega, \Omega]}(\omega)$, where \mathbb{I} denotes the indicator function. Let $h = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}H$; it is known that $h(t) = \Omega \text{sinc}(\Omega t)/\pi$. The definitions imply that $h \circ x \in \ell_2^{BL}$ for any $x \in \ell_2$.

3 The main results

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given. We consider below input processes $x \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ and their band-limited approximations. The sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}}$ represent the historical data available at the current time $t = 0$; the value at $t = s$ is unavailable.

Proposition 1. *For any $x \in \ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$, there exists a unique $\hat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ such that $\hat{x}(t) = x(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}$.*

Equations for optimal recovering

Clearly, it is impossible to apply the ideal low-pass filter directly to the underlying processes $x \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ since the convolution with h requires the values for $t = s$ that is unavailable. We will be using approximation described in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *There exists a unique optimal solution $\hat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ of the minimization problem*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize} && \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}} |x_{BL}(t) - x(t)|^2 \\ & \text{over} && x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Under the assumptions of Lemma 1, there exists a unique band-limited process \hat{x} such that the trace $\hat{x}|_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}}$ provides an optimal approximation of its observable trace $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}}$. The corresponding value $\hat{x}(s)$ can be interpreted as a result of optimal recovery of missed value $x(0)$ (optimal in the sense of problem (1) given Ω).

It appears that the solution for the case of a single missing value allows a convenient explicit formula.

Theorem 1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given. The problem of optimal recovering a single missing value $x(s)$ for $x \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$, where $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\} = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}$, has an unique solution*

$$\hat{x}(s) = \frac{\Omega}{\pi - \Omega} \sum_{m \neq s} x(m) \text{sinc} [\Omega(s - m)]. \quad (2)$$

This solution is optimal in the sense of problem (1) given Ω . In addition,

$$|\hat{x}(s)| \leq \frac{\Omega}{\pi - \Omega} \|x\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})}.$$

Remark 1. *Theorem 1 applied to $x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ gives a well-known formula*

$$x_{BL}(s) = \frac{\Omega}{\pi - \Omega} \sum_{m \neq s} x_{BL}(m) \text{sinc} [\Omega(s - m)]$$

that follows from the Shannon sampling theorem; see e.g. [10]. The difference with (2) is that x in (2) is not necessarily band-limited.

4 Numerical stability

Let us consider a situation where an input process $x \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ is observed with an error. In other words, assume that we observe a process $x_\eta = x + \eta$, where $\eta \in \ell_2(0, +\infty)$ is a noise. Let $\hat{x}_\eta(s)$ be the corresponding value (2) with x_η as an input, and let $\hat{x}(s)$ be the corresponding value (2) with x as an input. By Theorem 1, it follows immediately that

$$|\hat{x}(s) - \hat{x}_\eta(s)| \leq \frac{\Omega}{\pi - \Omega} \|\eta\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})}$$

for all $\eta \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$. This demonstrates some robustness of the method with respect to the noise in the observations.

In particular, this ensures robustness with respect to truncation of the input processes, such that semi-infinite sequences $x \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ are replaced by truncated sequences $x_\eta(t) = x(t) \mathbb{I}_{\{|t| \leq q\}}$ for $q > 0$; in this case $\eta(t) = \mathbb{I}_{\{|t| \leq q\}} x(t)$ is such that $\|\eta\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})} \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow +\infty$. This overcomes principal impossibility to access infinite sequences of observations.

Illustrative example

The experiments with sequences were generated using Monte-Carlo simulation demonstrated a good numerical stability of the method; the calculations were completed in few seconds; the results were quite robust with respect to deviations of input processes and truncation.

Figure 1 shows an example of a process $x(t)$ and recovered values $\hat{x}(0)$ corresponding band-limited extensions $\hat{x}|_M$ obtained from (2). with $\Omega = 0.5\pi$ and $\Omega = 0.25\pi$, $q = -500$, $N = 60$, $m = 12$, $M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with two different dummy sequences z (i.e. dummy long-horizon forecasts). We used truncated input sequences $\{x(t)\}_{0 < |t| < q}$ and matrices $\{A_{t,m}\}_{k,m \in \{1, \dots, N\}}$, for $q = N = 500$. We

5 Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1. It suffices to prove that if $x(\cdot) \in \ell_2^{BL}$ is such that $x(t) = 0$ for $t \leq s$, then $x(s) = 0$. It is known that a bandlimited function can be recovered without error from a sample if a finite number of sample values is unknown [9]. This completes the proof of Proposition 1. \square

Proof of Lemma 1. It suffices to prove that $\ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ is a closed linear subspace of $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$. In this case, there exists a unique projection \hat{x} of $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}}$ on $\ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$, and the theorem will be proven.

Consider the mapping $\zeta : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ such that $x(t) = (\zeta(X))(t) = (\mathcal{Z}^{-1}X)(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}$. It is a linear continuous operator. By Proposition 1, it is a bijection.

Since the mapping $\zeta : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ is continuous, it follows that the inverse mapping $\zeta^{-1} : \ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is also continuous; see, e.g., Corollary in Ch.II.5 [18], p. 77. Since the set \mathbb{B} is a closed linear subspace of $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$, it follows that $\ell_2^{BL}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$ is a closed linear subspace of $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that the input sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}}$ are extended on $t = s$ such that $x(s) = \hat{x}(s)$. Then \hat{x} is a unique solution of the minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize} && \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |x_{BL}(t) - x(t)|^2 \\ & \text{over} && x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Consider a mapping $\nu : \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\}) \rightarrow \ell_2$ such that $\nu(x)(t) = x(t)$ for $t \neq s$ and $\nu(x)(s) = 0$.




Figure 1: Examples of $x(t)$ and recovered value $\hat{x}(0)$ for $\Omega = 0.5\pi$ (top) and $\Omega = 0.25\pi$ (bottom).

By the property of the low-pass filters, $\hat{x} = h \circ x$. Hence the optimal process $\hat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ from Lemma 1 is such that

$$\hat{x} = h \circ (\nu(x) + \hat{x}\mathbb{I}_{t=s}).$$

For $\hat{y} = \mathbb{I}_{t=s}\hat{x}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y} &= \mathbb{I}_{t=s}(h \circ (\nu(x) + \hat{x}\mathbb{I}_{t=s})) \\ &= \mathbb{I}_{t=s}(h \circ \nu(x)) + \mathbb{I}_{t=s}(h \circ (\hat{x}\mathbb{I}_{t=s})). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This gives that

$$\widehat{x}(s) = \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \sum_{m \neq s} x(m) \operatorname{sinc} [\Omega(s - m)] + \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \widehat{x}(s)$$

or $\widehat{x}(s) = \left(1 - \frac{\Omega}{\pi}\right)^{-1} y$, where

$$y = \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \sum_{m \neq s} x(m) \operatorname{sinc} [\Omega(s - m)].$$

Further,

$$|y| = \|\mathbb{I}_{\{t=s\}}(h \circ x)\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|h \circ x\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_2} = \|x\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{s\})}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

To prove of Remark 1, it suffices to observe that x_{BL} is a unique solution of the corresponding problem (1) with $x = x_{BL}$. \square

References

- [1] T. Cai, G. Xu, and J. Zhang. (2009), On recovery of sparse signals via ℓ_1 minimization, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3388-3397.
- [2] E. Candés, T. Tao. (2006), Near optimal signal recovery from random projections: Universal encoding strategies? *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 52(12) (2006), 5406-5425.
- [3] E.J. Candes, J. Romberg, T. Tao. (2006). Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **52** (2), 489–509.
- [4] N. Dokuchaev. (2012). On predictors for band-limited and high-frequency time series. *Signal Processing* **92**, iss. 10, 2571-2575.
- [5] N. Dokuchaev. (2012). Predictors for discrete time processes with energy decay on higher frequencies. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **60**, No. 11, 6027-6030.
- [6] N. Dokuchaev. (2015). A closed equation in time domain for band-limited extensions of one-sided sequences. Working paper: ArXiv 1504.02298.

- [7] N. Dokuchaev. (2016). Near-ideal causal smoothing filters for the real sequences. *Signal Processing* **118**, iss. 1, pp. 285-293.
- [8] D. L. Donoho and P. B. Stark. (1989). Uncertainty principles and signal recovery. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 906–931.
- [9] P. G. S. G. Ferreira (1994). Interpolation and the discrete Papoulis-Gerchberg algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **42** (10), 2596–2606.
- [10] A. Jerri. (1977). The Shannon sampling theorem - its various extensions and applications: A tutorial review. *Proc. IEEE* **65**, 11, 1565–1596.
- [11] A.N. Kolmogorov. (1941). Interpolation and extrapolation of stationary stochastic series. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 5:1, 3–14.
- [12] D.G. Lee, P.J.S.G. Ferreira. (2014). Direct construction of superoscillations. *IEEE Transactions on Signal processing*, V. 62, No. 12, 3125-3134.
- [13] V. V. Peller (2000). Regularity conditions for vectorial stationary processes. *In: Complex Analysis, Operators, and Related Topics. The S.A. Vinogradov Memorial Volume*. Ed. V. P. Khavin and N. K. Nikol'skii. Birkhauser Verlag, pp 287-301.
- [14] M. Pourahmadi (1984). On minimality and interpolation of harmonizable stable processes. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 1023–1030.
- [15] M. Pourahmadi. Estimation and interpolation of missing values of a stationary time series. *J. Time Ser. Anal.*, 10 (1989), pp. 149-169
- [16] J. Tropp and A. Gilbert. (2007). Signal recovery from partial information via orthogonal matching pursuit, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 4655–4666.
- [17] R. Tzschoppe, J.B. Huber. (2009). Causal discrete-time system approximation of non-bandlimited continuous-time systems by means of discrete prolate spheroidal wave functions. *Eur. Trans. Telecomm.***20**, 604–616.
- [18] K. Yosida. (1965). *Functional Analysis*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- [19] H. Zhao, R. Wang, D. Song, T. Zhang, D. Wu. (2014). Extrapolation of discrete bandlimited signals in linear canonical transform domain *Signal Processing* **94**, 212–218.