

# Many Faces of Mimetic Gravity

Katrin Hammer<sup>a</sup> and Alexander Vikman<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics,  
Ludwig Maximilian University Munich,  
Theresienstr. 37, D-80333, Munich, Germany

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Physics, the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,  
Na Slovance 2, CS-18221 Prague 8, Czech Republic  
and

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

E-mail: [katrin.a.hammer@physik.uni-muenchen.de](mailto:katrin.a.hammer@physik.uni-muenchen.de), [vikman@fzu.cz](mailto:vikman@fzu.cz)

**Abstract.** We consider the recently introduced mimetic gravity, which is a Weyl-symmetric extension of the General Relativity and which can play a role of an imperfect fluid-like Dark Matter with a small sound speed. In this paper we discuss in details how this higher-derivative scalar-tensor theory goes beyond the construction by Horndeski, keeping only one scalar degree of freedom on top of two standard graviton polarizations. In particular, we consider representations of the theory in different sets of Weyl-invariant variables and connect this framework to the singular Brans-Dicke theory. Further, we find solution of equations of motion for the mimetic gravity in the synchronous reference frame in a general curved spacetime. This solution is exact in the test-field approximation or in the case of a shear-free spacetime without any other matter.

---

## Contents

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>1 Introduction and Discussion</b>        | <b>1</b> |
| <b>2 Different faces of Mimetic Gravity</b> | <b>2</b> |
| <b>3 Solution in Synchronous Frame</b>      | <b>5</b> |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b>                     | <b>7</b> |
| <b>References</b>                           | <b>7</b> |

---

## 1 Introduction and Discussion

The origin of Dark Matter (DM) is one of the main problems in modern physics. Currently even basic macroscopic properties of DM are not properly understood, because the only known manifestations of DM are always through gravity. This fact, together with a more recent discovery of Dark Energy which also only reveals itself gravitationally, suggest to look for a suitable modification of General Relativity (GR) on large scales. The simplest modifications of GR extend it to scalar-tensor theories.

A particularly interesting scalar-tensor theory was recently introduced in [1] under the name *Mimetic Dark Matter*. This theory is Weyl-invariant and can naturally describe fluid-like DM. Indeed, it was realized that *Mimetic Dark Matter* is equivalent to the fluid-like irrotational dust [2, 3] with the *mimetic scalar field* playing the role of the velocity potential. Moreover, as it was demonstrated in [4] a higher-derivative extension of this theory can describe DM with a small sound speed. The latter property can be very useful to describe short-scale phenomenology of DM [5]. This DM corresponds to a nonstandard imperfect fluid [6]. In the latter work [6] there was also proposed a mechanism to generate the proper DM abundance during the radiation dominating époque.

Models similar *Mimetic Dark Matter* to also appear in the IR limit of the projectable version of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [7–9] and correspond to a scalar version of the so-called Einstein Aether [10]. Surprisingly these models can also emerge in the non-commutative geometry [11].

The origin of *Mimetic Dark Matter* is rooted in a substitution which is a singular [12] disformal transformation [13].

In this short paper we discuss in details how this higher-derivative scalar-tensor theory goes beyond the construction by Horndeski [14–16], keeping only one scalar degree of freedom on top of two standard graviton polarizations. In particular, we consider representations of the theory in different sets of Weyl-invariant variables (2.9) and connect this framework to the singular Brans-Dicke theory (2.5). Further, in the section 3 we find solution (3.10) of equations of motion for the mimetic gravity in the synchronous reference frame in a general curved spacetime. This solution is exact in the test-field approximation. Moreover, this solution is exact in the case of a shear-free spacetime which is curved by the *Mimetic Dark Matter* only - without any other external matter.

## 2 Different faces of Mimetic Gravity

Following [1] let us consider a scalar-tensor theory with dynamical variables  $h_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\varphi$  whose dynamics is given by the action<sup>1</sup>

$$S_0[h, \varphi] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g(h, \varphi)} R(g(h, \varphi)) , \quad (2.1)$$

where the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}(h, \varphi)$  is composed out of the scalar field  $\varphi$  and the metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$  in the following way

$$g_{\mu\nu}(h, \varphi) = h^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi_{,\beta} \cdot h_{\mu\nu} , \quad (2.2)$$

with  $\varphi_{,\alpha} = \partial_\alpha \varphi$  and  $R(g(h, \varphi))$  corresponds to the Ricci scalar calculated for  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Thus  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is obtained by a conformal transformation of  $h_{\mu\nu}$  with a conformal factor  $h^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi_{,\beta}$ . By construction the scalar field satisfies the constraint

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi = 1 , \quad (2.3)$$

which is nothing else as the relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the particle of the unit mass moving in the spacetime with the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

The metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is manifestly invariant with respect to the Weyl transformations of the metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$

$$h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega^2(x) h_{\mu\nu} . \quad (2.4)$$

Hence the resulting induced scalar-tensor theory (2.1) obtained by the substitution (2.2) is manifestly Weyl-invariant. Making the conformal transformation (2.2) we obtain the explicit form of the action (2.1)

$$S_0[h, \varphi] = - \int d^4x \sqrt{-h} \left( X R(h) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{h^{\alpha\beta} X_{,\alpha} X_{,\beta}}{X} \right) , \quad (2.5)$$

where as usual we use the following notation for the standard kinetic term

$$X = \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi_{,\beta} , \quad (2.6)$$

and where we omitted a total derivative. Written as functional on  $X$  this action above (2.5) describes the singular Brans-Dicke theory with the parameter  $\omega = -3/2$ <sup>2</sup>. Thus one can obtain *mimetic gravity* or irrotational fluid-like DM by substituting the kinetic term instead of the scalar field into the singular / conformal Brans-Dicke theory. Thus there are two different types of substitutions one can make in order get the same *mimetic gravity*. Either one substitutes (2.2) into the Einstein-Hilbert action (2.1) or one substitutes (2.6) into the singular Brans-Dicke theory (2.5). In the presence of external matter fields a cautionary remark is necessary: the substitution of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}(h, \varphi)$  should be performed in the matter part of the action as well. Therefore, in the singular Brans-Dicke theory the matter should be coupled not to  $h_{\mu\nu}$  but to  $2X \cdot h_{\mu\nu}$  before the substitution of the kinetic term for  $\varphi$  instead of the scalar field  $X$ .

<sup>1</sup>Throughout the paper we use the signature convention  $(+, -, -, -)$  and the reduced Planck units where  $8\pi G_N = 1$ .

<sup>2</sup>We are thankful to Gilles Esposito-Farese for pointing out this correspondence.

The action (2.5) contains the square of the second derivative  $\varphi_{;\alpha;\beta}$  and goes beyond the usual scalar-tensor theories of the Horndeski type [14–16]. This scalar-tensor theory goes beyond the construction introduced by Horndeski, but still has only two graviton polarizations plus a scalar degree of freedom. There is no contradiction there, as the equations of motion are of the third order for  $h_{\mu\nu}$  and fourth order for  $\varphi$ . However, the theory under consideration is a gauge theory with the Weyl symmetry, so that physical Weyl-invariant degrees of freedom satisfy second order equations of motion. Clearly this way to go beyond the Horndeski scalar-tensor theories is different from the procedure recently introduced in [17, 18].

It is convenient to get rid of these second derivatives in the action by promoting  $X$  to be a dynamical variable and use a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$  in an equivalent action

$$S_0[h, \varphi, X, \lambda] = - \int d^4x \sqrt{-h} \left[ X R(h) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{h^{\alpha\beta} X_{,\alpha} X_{,\beta}}{X} + \lambda \left( X - \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi_{,\beta} \right) \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

This action is conformally invariant with the corresponding transformations

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow \Omega^2(x) h_{\mu\nu}, \\ \varphi &\rightarrow \varphi, \\ X &\rightarrow \Omega^{-2}(x) X, \\ \lambda &\rightarrow \Omega^{-2}(x) \lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

so that  $\lambda$  and  $X$  have conformal weight two.

Now one can make a substitution

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu} &= (2X)^{-1} g_{\mu\nu}, \\ \varphi &= \varphi, \\ X &= X, \\ \lambda &= 2X \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

in the last action (2.7) in order to rewrite it in terms of gauge-invariant (Weyl-invariant) variables:  $\varphi$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu} = 2X \cdot h_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\rho = \lambda / (2X)$ . In this way we obtain

$$S_0[g, \varphi, \rho] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} R(g) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left( g^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi_{,\beta} - 1 \right) \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

This substitution corresponds to a gauge fixing with  $X = 1/2$  so that in the original  $X$  the required conformal transformation is

$$\Omega_X^2 = 2X. \quad (2.11)$$

As a result of the substitution (2.9) the matter is minimally coupled to the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

A similar discussion on the equivalence of *mimetic* gravity (2.1) with (2.10) was presented before in [3], see also [2]. The obtained action (2.10) describes irrotational fluid-like dust with the energy density given by the Lagrange multiplier  $\rho$  and velocity potential  $\varphi$ <sup>3</sup>. Here we assume the standard minimal coupling of matter to general relativity (GR), in particular, matter is not directly coupled<sup>4</sup> to the *mimetic* field  $\varphi$ .

<sup>3</sup>For the Lagrangian description of dust with vorticity see, [19, 20].

<sup>4</sup>This condition can be violated, if one applies the *mimetic* ansatz (2.2) in  $f(R)$  theories [21].

Interestingly there is an alternative set of gauge-invariant (Weyl-invariant) variables:  $\varphi$ ,  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \lambda \cdot h_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\chi = X/\lambda$ . We can obtain an equivalent theory by making the following ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu} &= \lambda^{-1} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}, \\ \varphi &= \varphi, \\ X &= \lambda \chi, \\ \lambda &= \lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

into the action (2.7). The resulting theory is given by

$$S_0[\hat{g}, \varphi, \chi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi_{,\beta} - \left( \chi R(\hat{g}) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{\hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \chi_{,\alpha} \chi_{,\beta}}{\chi} + \chi \right) \right], \tag{2.13}$$

which corresponds to the a gauge fixing with  $\lambda = 1$  so that in terms of the original  $\lambda$  the required conformal transformation is

$$\Omega_\lambda^2 = \lambda. \tag{2.14}$$

Here, contrary to the previous case, the matter except of  $\varphi$  is still coupled not to  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$  but to  $2\chi\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ . The action  $S_0[\hat{g}, \varphi, \chi]$  seems to be a novel description of the irrotational fluid-like dust.

Further in this paper we will concentrate on the imperfect DM from [4–6].

The action in the convenient invariant variables is

$$S_\gamma[g, \rho, \varphi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{1}{2} R(g) + \frac{\rho}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma (\square_g \varphi)^2 \right), \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\rho$  is a Lagrange multiplier field,  $\varphi$  is the *mimetic* field,  $\gamma$  is a free parameter<sup>5</sup> and  $\square_g = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu$  with  $\nabla_\mu ( ) = ( )_{;\mu}$  being the covariant derivative. This system was introduced in [4] and it generalizes [1, 22], see also [23]. It is interesting to formulate this theory as hinger-derivative scalar-tensor theory with Weyl symmetry. This is can be accomplished via the *mimetic* ansatz (2.2) into

$$S_\gamma[g, \varphi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{1}{2} R(g) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma (\square_g \varphi)^2 \right), \tag{2.16}$$

with the resulting action

$$S_0[h, \varphi] = - \int d^4x \sqrt{-h} \left[ X R(h) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{h^{\alpha\beta} X_{,\alpha} X_{,\beta}}{X} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \left( \square_h \varphi + \frac{h^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} X_{,\beta}}{X} \right)^2 \right], \tag{2.17}$$

where as before we denoted  $X = \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi_{,\beta}$ . This is another example of a higher-derivative scalar-tensor theory beyond Horndeski, but with just two graviton polarizations and one scalar degree of freedom [4].

An equivalent action [6] to describe the dynamics of the system without explicit higher derivatives is

$$S_\gamma[g, \rho, \varphi, \theta] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} R(g) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\varphi^{;\mu} \varphi_{,\mu} - 1) - \gamma \left( \varphi_{,\mu} \theta^{;\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \right) \right], \tag{2.18}$$

<sup>5</sup>Contrary to [6], in this work we will only consider  $\gamma = const$  neglecting the short transition period in the early universe where  $\gamma(\varphi)$ .

where  $\theta$  is an auxiliary field. The equation of motion for this auxiliary field gives

$$\theta = \square_g \varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi) . \quad (2.19)$$

The theory is shift-invariant: symmetric with respect to  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + c$ , thus there is a conserved Noether current which is given by

$$J_\mu = \rho \varphi_{,\mu} - \gamma \theta_{,\mu} . \quad (2.20)$$

So that the equation of motion for  $\varphi$  corresponds to the conservation of the Noether current

$$\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0 . \quad (2.21)$$

This equation can be written in the form

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \rho + \theta \rho = \gamma \square_g \theta . \quad (2.22)$$

The energy-momentum tensor (EMT) is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{\gamma m}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \rho \varphi_{,\mu} \varphi_{,\nu} + \gamma \left[ g_{\mu\nu} \left( \varphi_{,\alpha} \theta^{,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \right) - \varphi_{,\mu} \theta_{,\nu} - \varphi_{,\nu} \theta_{,\mu} \right] , \quad (2.23)$$

where we subtract from the action the Einstein-Hilbert part:  $S_{\gamma m} = S_\gamma - S_{\text{EH}}$ , and we have assumed that the constraint (2.3) is satisfied together with the equations of motion.

### 3 Solution in Synchronous Frame

Because of the constraint (2.3) the four velocity  $u_\mu = \partial_\mu \varphi$  is tangential to the time-like geodesics [22]

$$a^\mu = u^\lambda \nabla_\lambda u^\mu = \nabla^\lambda \varphi \nabla_\lambda \nabla^\mu \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu (\nabla^\lambda \varphi \nabla_\lambda \varphi) = 0 . \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore we will call the  $\partial_\mu \varphi$  frame – the natural or the geodesic frame. It is convenient to consider local rest frame (LRF) given by a natural choice  $u_\mu = \partial_\mu \varphi$  and go the following synchronous frame

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \tau , \\ ds^2 &= d\tau^2 - \ell_{ik}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) dx^i dx^k . \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In this frame for every scalar quantity  $\mathcal{O}$

$$\dot{\mathcal{O}} = u^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{O} = \partial_\tau \mathcal{O} . \quad (3.3)$$

The auxiliary field  $\theta$  plays the role of expansion  $\theta = \nabla_\mu u^\mu$  and can be written as

$$\theta = \partial_\tau \ln \sqrt{\ell} . \quad (3.4)$$

The equation of motion (2.22) takes the form of the ordinary differential equation

$$\partial_\tau \rho + \partial_\tau \ln \sqrt{\ell} \rho = \gamma \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{\ell} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \partial_\tau \ln \sqrt{\ell}) . \quad (3.5)$$

For  $\gamma = 0$  this equation can be integrated as

$$\rho_0(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{Q(\mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{\ell}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $Q(\mathbf{x})$  is a free function. For nonvanishing  $\gamma$  one can use the method of variation of the constant and substitute

$$\rho(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{Q(\tau, \mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{\ell}}, \quad (3.7)$$

to obtain

$$\partial_\tau Q = \gamma \partial_\mu \left( \sqrt{\ell} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \partial_\tau \ln \sqrt{\ell} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

so that

$$Q(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \varrho_0(\mathbf{x}) + \gamma \sqrt{\ell} \partial_\tau \partial_\tau \ln \sqrt{\ell} - \gamma \partial_i \int_0^\tau d\tau' \sqrt{\ell} \ell^{ik} \partial_k \partial_{\tau'} \ln \sqrt{\ell}, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\varrho_0(\mathbf{x})$  is a free function. The corresponding solution is

$$\rho(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\varrho_0(\mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{\ell}} + \gamma \left( \partial_\tau \partial_\tau \ln \sqrt{\ell} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \partial_i \int_0^\tau d\tau' \sqrt{\ell} \ell^{ik} \partial_k \partial_{\tau'} \ln \sqrt{\ell} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

Only the first term in this formula corresponds to the standard DM. In the test-field approximation  $\ell$  does not depend on  $\rho$  through the Einstein equations and this formula above is sufficient to find the evolution of  $\rho$ .

Now let us see what happens, if we do take into account how *mimetic* matter curves the spacetime.

First we need to recall some details from the hydrodynamical picture from [6]. The shift-symmetry Noether current can be decomposed using the local rest frame (LRF) given by a natural choice  $u_\mu = \partial_\mu \varphi$  as

$$J_\mu = n u_\mu - \gamma \perp_\mu^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \theta, \quad (3.11)$$

where the shift-charge density is

$$n = J^\mu u_\mu = \rho - \gamma \partial_\tau \theta, \quad (3.12)$$

and as usual we use the following notation for the projector to the hypersurface orthogonal to  $u^\mu$ :

$$\perp_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence for the charge density we obtain

$$n(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\varrho_0(\mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{\ell}} - \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\ell}} \partial_i \int_0^\tau d\tau' \sqrt{\ell} \ell^{ik} \partial_k \theta. \quad (3.14)$$

Decomposition of the EMT (2.23) reads

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon u_\mu u_\nu - p \perp_{\mu\nu} + q_\mu u_\nu + q_\nu u_\mu, \quad (3.15)$$

where the energy density in the LRF given by

$$\varepsilon = T_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu = \rho - \gamma \left( \dot{\theta} - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \right), \quad (3.16)$$

while the pressure is

$$p = -\frac{1}{3}T^{\mu\nu} \perp_{\mu\nu} = -\gamma \left( \dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 \right), \quad (3.17)$$

and the energy flux

$$q_\mu = \perp_{\mu\lambda} T_\sigma^\lambda u^\sigma = -\gamma \perp_\mu^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \theta = -\gamma \delta_\mu^i \partial_i \theta, \quad (3.18)$$

so that

$$T_i^0 = -\gamma \partial_i \theta. \quad (3.19)$$

Further we will need the Raychaudhuri equation for the timelike geodesics

$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{1}{3}\theta^2 - \sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu, \quad (3.20)$$

where  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  is the shear tensor

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \perp_\mu^\lambda \nabla_\lambda u_\nu + \perp_\nu^\lambda \nabla_\lambda u_\mu \right) - \frac{1}{3} \perp_{\mu\nu} \theta, \quad (3.21)$$

$\sigma^2 = \sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ . Now we are equipped to look at the Einstein equations in the synchronous frame, see [24]. Here we will only need  $R_i^0$ -equation

$$R_i^0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varkappa_{i;k}^k - \varkappa_{k;i}^k \right) = 8\pi G_N \left( T_i^0 + \mathcal{T}_i^0 \right), \quad (3.22)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_i^0$  is the energy momentum for all other matter fields and

$$\varkappa_{ik} = \frac{\partial \ell_{ik}}{\partial \tau}. \quad (3.23)$$

After simple algebra one obtains

$$\varkappa_{ik} = 2 \left( -\sigma_{ik} + \frac{1}{3} \ell_{ik} \theta \right), \quad (3.24)$$

so that using (3.19) we can rewrite the  $0i$  Einstein equation as

$$\theta_{,i} = -\frac{12\pi G_N}{1 - 12\pi G_N \gamma} \mathcal{T}_i^0 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sigma_{i;k}^k}{1 - 12\pi G_N \gamma}. \quad (3.25)$$

Thus if there is no shear and no external matter the integral in (3.10) and in (3.14) vanishes and the behavior of the mimetic imperfect DM is only different from a fluid-like dust by the derivative of the expansion scalar  $\theta$ . It is interesting to note that this  $0i$  Einstein equation above suggests that there is an effective Newton constant

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_N}{1 - 12\pi G_N \gamma}, \quad (3.26)$$

which was found in the cosmological context in [6].

## Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Eugeny Babichev, Cedric Deffayet, Gilles Esposito-Farese, Andrei Frolov, Viatcheslav Mukhanov, Shinji Mukohyama, Sabir Ramazanov, Ignacy Sawicki, Sergey Sibiryakov for very useful discussions and criticisms. AV is thankful to the Laboratoire de Gravitation et Cosmologie Relativistes ( $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}$ ), Universit  Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI) (UPMC) and Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris (IAP) for a very warm hospitality during the final stages of this project. The work of AV was supported by the J. E. Purkyn  Fellowship of the Czech Academy of Sciences and by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the grant P201/12/G028.



## References

- [1] A. H. Chamseddine and V. Mukhanov, “Mimetic Dark Matter,” *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 135, [arXiv:1308.5410 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [2] A. Golovnev, “On the recently proposed Mimetic Dark Matter,” *Phys.Lett.* **B728** (2014) 39–40, [arXiv:1310.2790 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [3] A. Barvinsky, “Dark matter as a ghost free conformal extension of Einstein theory,” *JCAP* **1401** no. 01, (2014) 014, [arXiv:1311.3111 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] A. H. Chamseddine, V. Mukhanov, and A. Vikman, “Cosmology with Mimetic Matter,” *JCAP* **1406** (2014) 017, [arXiv:1403.3961 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [5] F. Capela and S. Ramazanov, “Modified Dust and the Small Scale Crisis in CDM,” *JCAP* **1504** (2015) 051, [arXiv:1412.2051 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [6] L. Mirzaghali and A. Vikman, “Imperfect Dark Matter,” *JCAP* **1506** (2015) 028, [arXiv:1412.7136 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [7] P. Horava, “Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point,” *Phys.Rev.* **D79** (2009) 084008, [arXiv:0901.3775 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] S. Mukohyama, “Dark matter as integration constant in Horava-Lifshitz gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 064005, [arXiv:0905.3563 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] D. Blas, O. Pujolas, and S. Sibiryakov, “On the Extra Mode and Inconsistency of Horava Gravity,” *JHEP* **0910** (2009) 029, [arXiv:0906.3046 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, “Gravity with a dynamical preferred frame,” *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 024028, [arXiv:gr-qc/0007031 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [11] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and V. Mukhanov, “Quanta of Geometry,” [arXiv:1409.2471 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] N. Deruelle and J. Rua, “Disformal Transformations, Veiled General Relativity and Mimetic Gravity,” *JCAP* **1409** (2014) 002, [arXiv:1407.0825 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [13] J. D. Bekenstein, “The Relation between physical and gravitational geometry,” *Phys.Rev.* **D48** (1993) 3641–3647, [arXiv:gr-qc/9211017 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [14] G. W. Horndeski, “Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space,” *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **10** (1974) 363–384.
- [15] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. Steer, and G. Zahariade, “From k-essence to generalised Galileons,” *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 064039, [arXiv:1103.3260 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi, and J. Yokoyama, “Generalized G-inflation: Inflation with the most general second-order field equations,” *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **126** (2011) 511–529, [arXiv:1105.5723 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza, and F. Vernizzi, “Healthy theories beyond Horndeski,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** no. 21, (2015) 211101, [arXiv:1404.6495 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza, and F. Vernizzi, “Exploring gravitational theories beyond Horndeski,” *JCAP* **1502** (2015) 018, [arXiv:1408.1952 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [19] J. D. Brown, “Action functionals for relativistic perfect fluids,” *Class.Quant.Grav.* **10** (1993) 1579–1606, [arXiv:gr-qc/9304026 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [20] J. D. Brown and K. V. Kuchar, “Dust as a standard of space and time in canonical quantum gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D51** (1995) 5600–5629, [arXiv:gr-qc/9409001 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [21] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Mimetic  $F(R)$  gravity: inflation, dark energy and bounce,” [arXiv:1408.3561 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [22] E. A. Lim, I. Sawicki, and A. Vikman, “Dust of Dark Energy,” *JCAP* **1005** (2010) 012, [arXiv:1003.5751 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [23] Z. Haghani, T. Harko, H. R. Sepangi, and S. Shahidi, “The scalar Einstein-aether theory,” [arXiv:1404.7689 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [24] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschits, *The Classical Theory of Fields*. Pergamon Press, 1975.