

Fast Phase-manipulation of the Single Nuclear Spin Induced by an Electric Field

T. Shimo-Oka,¹ Y. Tokura,² Y. Suzuki,³ N. Mizuochi¹

¹*Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 610-0011, Japan*

²*Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan*

³*Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan*

Abstract

We propose a fast phase-gating of single nuclear spins, which interacts with single electronic spins of nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond. Our gate operation is to be called the geometric quantum gate; a geometric phase shift of the electron spin induced by oscillating magnetic and electric fields, is utilized to control the nuclear-spin phase. The gate time is inversely proportional to the frequency of the electric-field rotation; we estimate that the phase-gate time is orders shorter than hitherto reported. We also show the robustness against decoherence and systematic errors.

The realization of long-lived quantum bits (qubits) with sufficient operability is an essential problem facing quantum information processing. From this perspective, single electronic spins of nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond, are one of the most attractive platforms owing to its outstanding spin coherence ($T_2 > 1$ ms at room temperature (RT)) [1]. In hybrid systems, the single NV electron spin couples to a wide range of forces: magnetic, optical and electrical. Optical pumping allows spin initialization, readout [2] and coherent couplings with single photons at low temperatures [3]. Stark shifts in the excited states cause spin-sublevel shifts in the ground states via spin-orbit couplings at RT [4]. The electrical control shows a new pathway to control individual defects at the nanoscale [5]. In addition, hyperfine couplings enable quantum controls of single nuclear spins [6–8]. Nuclear spins are well known for their prominent spin coherence ($T_2 > 1$ s) [9], and are utilized as quantum memories. However, nuclear Rabi oscillations are much slower than those of electron spins because of the small magnetic moment.

Nuclear spin phase-gates by electron spin transitions, have been theoretically proposed [10] and experimentally demonstrated [11] as a solution to this problem. The literature has reported phase-gates of the nitrogen nuclear spin. The operation is enabled by the hyperfine couplings and off-resonant transitions of electron spins; to suppress pulse errors of off-resonant driving, high-fidelity operations require high electron-spin Rabi frequency ($\gg 3$ MHz). The gate time is limited by the hyperfine constant (165 ns) [10].

Here, we propose a new method for fast phase controls of single nuclear spins. This gate time is not limited by the hyperfine constant; in principle, this method offers orders faster gate operations than hitherto reported. This gate operations utilize geometric phase shifts of single NV electron spins [12–15]. This electron spin rotation is controlled by

oscillating magnetic and electric fields; the electric-field control is better than all magnetic-field control for fast operations, the reason for which is discussed later. The time evolution of the electron spin depends on nuclear spin states. Therefore, the oscillating fields enable nuclear spin phase-shifts. We also find that our gates are robust against decoherence and systematic errors. Finally, we show how to implement conditional phase gates using two different nuclear spins.

Our NV electron spin ($S=1$) interacts with a single nitrogen-15 (^{15}N) nuclear spin ($I=1/2$). The ground-state spin Hamiltonian is described [4,16,17] as

$$H_0 = DS_z^2 + \gamma_e BS_z + d_{\perp} E_x (S_x^2 - S_y^2) + d_{\perp} E_y (S_x S_y + S_y S_x) + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z + A_{\perp} (S_+ I_- + S_- I_+), \quad (1)$$

where γ_e is the electron gyromagnetic ratio, B is magnetic fields oriented along the NV axis. $d_{\perp}/2\pi = 1.7$ kHz m/V is the electric dipole moment, and $E_{x,y}$ are electric fields whose direction is perpendicular to the NV axis. D is the zero-field splitting parameter, and A_{\parallel} (A_{\perp}) is the hyperfine coupling constant. These parameters are set to their reported values of $D/2\pi = 2.87$ GHz, $A_{\parallel}/2\pi = 3.03$ MHz, and $A_{\perp}/2\pi = 3.65$ MHz. Here, we omitted the nuclear Zeeman terms for simplicity because these terms are much smaller than the others. In the following, we use $|>_E$ ($|>_N$) to represent electron (nitrogen nuclear) spin states. The large zero-field splitting allows to neglect the electron-nuclear flip-flop terms of Eq. (1). Here, the electron spin can be described as two level systems. The Hamiltonian is simplified on the interaction picture of the zero-field splitting,

$$H = \gamma_e B \sigma_z + d_{\perp} E_x \sigma_x + d_{\perp} E_y \sigma_y + A_{\parallel} \sigma_z I_z, \quad (2)$$

where σ_i ($i=x, y, z$) is Pauli operators.

Our gate operations are performed by oscillating electric and magnetic fields. The Hamiltonian is re-written as,

$$H = \vec{\omega}_1(\theta, \varphi) \cdot \vec{\sigma} + A_{\parallel} \sigma_z I_z, \quad (3)$$

where $\vec{\omega}_1(\theta, \varphi)$ is the amplitude of each field on the polar coordinate system, and the angle

θ represents the field oscillation, $\theta = \omega t$. In our gate operation, the oscillation of the electric field plays a key role; if the amplitude ω_1 is too small, the electric effect is suppressed by orthogonal static magnetic fields [18]. In our paper, the amplitude satisfies the condition, $|\omega_1/A_{//}| \gg 10^{-3}$. On the other hand, if we use all magnetic-field control instead of the electric-field control, the large zero-field splitting makes it difficult to observe the effect of transverse magnetic fields. The effect requires the condition, $|\omega_1/D| \gg 10^{-3}$.

The three oscillating fields of Eq.(3) correspond to the one rotating field, whose rotating axis is perpendicular to z -axis (FIG.1 (a)). In analyzing the rotating field, rotating-frame Hamiltonian is useful. By using a unitary operator,

$$U_1(t) = \exp\left(-i\frac{\varphi}{2}\sigma_z\right)\exp\left(-i\frac{\omega t}{2}\sigma_y\right)\exp\left(i\frac{\varphi}{2}\sigma_z\right), \quad (4)$$

the Hamiltonian is transformed to the rotating frame. In this rotating frame, the electron spin interacts with the inertial force of the rotating field, $-iU_1^\dagger(t)d/dtU_1(t)$.

We assume that when the inertial force shifts adiabatically, the spin Hamiltonian follows it [19]. This shift is done by phase shifts of the electric field, $\varphi \rightarrow \omega't$. This rotation is analyzed in another rotating frame by using a unitary operator,

$$U_2(t) = \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega't}{2}\sigma_z\right). \quad (5)$$

The rotating-frame Hamiltonian is described by non-perturbation and perturbation terms as $H_2 + V_2(t)$ [18]; under high oscillating conditions, $|(2\omega_1 - \omega)/\omega| \ll 1$, the non-perturbation terms, H_2 , are approximated as

$$H_2 \sim -\frac{\omega}{2}\sigma_y. \quad (6)$$

The perturbation term is represented in the interaction picture of H_2 as,

$$V_1 = \exp(iH_2 t) V_2(t) \exp(-iH_2 t) = A_{\parallel} \sigma_z I_z. \quad (7)$$

According to Eqs.(4-7), the time evolution in the laboratory frame is

$$U(t) = \exp\left(-i \frac{\omega' t}{2} \sigma_z\right) \exp(-i A_{\parallel} t \sigma_z I_z). \quad (8)$$

We next consider the cyclic evolution of the electron spin. After one cyclic operations, the electron spin has a global phase, $U(\tau) |\psi(0)\rangle_E = \exp(-i \Omega(\tau)) |\psi(0)\rangle_E$, whose phase factor is obtained as

$$-i \Omega(\tau) = \int dt \langle \psi(0) | U^\dagger(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} U(t) \right) | \psi(0) \rangle_E. \quad (9)$$

The global phase depends on the effective Hamiltonian and the initial state of the electron spin. According to Eq.(6), the inertial force does not interact with nuclear spins; thus, gate operations of nuclear spins require that initial states of the electron spin depend on nuclear spin states.

In our gate sequence, the spin preparation is performed under static magnetic and electric fields, B_0, E_0 . During gate operations, we stop the static fields, and apply oscillating magnetic and electric fields. This switch process between static and oscillating fields, is done non-adiabatically. Thus, the spin states are not changed in this process. In this gate sequence, the initial state of the electron spin correspond to an eigenstate of the static-field Hamiltonian. The initial state is prepared by magnetic-resonance controls as,

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1'\rangle_E \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle_N + |\downarrow\rangle_N), \quad (10)$$

where $|1'\rangle_E$ is one of the electron spin eigenstates [18],

$$|1'\rangle_E \otimes |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N = \left(\cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}\right) |1\rangle_E + \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}\right) |-1\rangle_E \right) \otimes |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N. \quad (11)$$

Under large magnetic-field conditions, $|\gamma_e B_0 \pm 1/2 A_{\parallel}| \gg |d_{\perp} E_0|$, the weight factor satisfies,

$$\cos \theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 + (-)A_{\parallel} / 2} \right)^2. \quad (12)$$

According to Eq.(8), the oscillating magnetic and electric fields lead the cyclic evolution of electron spins, and the electron spin has phase shifts depending on nuclear spin states, $\Omega_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$. The gate speed is defined as $\Delta\Omega \equiv d/dt (\Omega_{\downarrow}(t) - \Omega_{\uparrow}(t))$, whose speed is approximated under large magnetic-field conditions, $|d_{\perp} E_0 / \gamma_e B_0|, |A_{\parallel} / \gamma_e B_0| \ll 1$, as

$$\Delta\Omega \sim -\frac{\omega'}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right) - A_{\parallel}. \quad (13)$$

Here, the nuclear spin phase is detected in a rotating frame, whose angular velocity corresponds to energy splitting of the nuclear spin under the static fields. Thus, the second term of Eq. (13) is canceled. We find that the gate speed is proportional to the frequency ω' . When we, for example, set the rotation frequency of the electric field to $\omega' / 2\pi = 1.0$ GHz, the gate time is about 165 ns (Fig.2); this is equal to the theoretical limit of the nuclear phase-gate by electron spin transitions [10,11]. Moreover, we can apply oscillating electric fields with terahertz frequency, $\omega' / 2\pi > 0.1$ THz, in experiments [20]; thus, we estimate that the theoretical limit of our gate time is, in principle, much shorter than 100ns.

The NV electron spins are surrounded by nuclear spin bath, whose fluctuation makes magnetic noise. The noise terms are generally described as, $H_{noise} = \gamma_e \delta B \sigma_z$, where we use Pauli operators of Eq.(2). According to the time evolution operator of Eq. (8), the noise term obviously commutes to this time evolution, and we focus on the noise-term time evolution. Here, we assume that the noise field only interacts with electron spins. However, the initial state of the electron spin depends on nuclear spin states; the noise term causes nuclear spin decoherence. We estimate the effective noise field from nuclear

phase-shifts. The noise field causes electron spin phase-shifts, $\Omega^{\uparrow(\downarrow)}_{noise}$, which depend on nuclear spin states. From the similar calculation of Eq.(13), the effective noise field is defined under large magnetic-field conditions, $|d_{\perp}E_0/\gamma_e B_0|, |A_{\parallel}/\gamma_e B_0| \ll 1$, as $\gamma_n \delta B_N \equiv d/dt (\Omega^{\downarrow}_{noise} - \Omega^{\uparrow}_{noise}(t)) \sim \gamma_e \delta B (d_{\perp}E_0/\gamma_e B_0)^2 (A_{\parallel}/\gamma_e B_0)$. Thus, the nuclear noise Hamiltonian is represented as,

$$H_{noise}^N = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_n \delta B_N f(t) \sigma_z^N, \quad (14)$$

where σ_z^N is Pauli operators of nuclear spins. Here, we assume that the noise field is a random classical field [21] described as $\delta B_N f(t)$, where $f(t)$ is the correlation function. The average is zero, $\langle f(t) \rangle = 0$, and the auto-correlation is $\langle f(t) f(0) \rangle = \exp(-t/\tau_c)$, where τ_c is the correlation time of the nuclear spin bath.

The decoherence rate is estimated by second-order calculations of the von Neumann equation. We set the initial state of the nuclear spin as $\rho_N(0) = |+\rangle\langle +|_N$, where $|+\rangle_N$ is a superposition state, $|+\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)$. The ensemble-averaged density matrix $\bar{\rho}_N(t)$ shows nuclear-spin coherence, which is approximated as $\langle +|\bar{\rho}(t)|+\rangle_N \sim \exp(-\xi(t))$, where $\xi(t) \sim 1/2 (\gamma_n \delta B_N t)^2$ under slow fluctuation of nuclear spin bath, $\tau_c \gg t$ [22,23]. The nuclear coherence time without echo is

$$1/T_{2N}^* = \frac{\gamma_e \delta B}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right). \quad (15)$$

In the simulation of figure 3(a), we assume nuclear spin bath whose ^{13}C concentrations is 0.03%, and the noise amplitude is $\gamma_e \delta B / 2\pi = 0.02$ MHz [22]. The coherence time is more than 1 ms, which is almost equal to the nuclear coherence time limited by the relaxation time of NV electron spin at RT ($T_{1e} \sim 5$ ms) [24].

The robustness against systematic errors is of practical importance [25,26]. This systematic error is represented as an unwanted shift of the magnetic and electric field, ΔB , ΔE . Here, we focus on nuclear spins. The gate error is calculated as $\varepsilon = 1 - \text{fidelity}$. The fidelity is defined by $\text{tr}(\rho_N \rho'_N)$ [24], where ρ_N is the ideal density matrix, and ρ'_N is the density matrix with errors. The systematic error causes unwanted unitary transformations, and does not cause decoherence; thus, ρ_N and ρ'_N are pure states. The systematic error of one nuclear phase-gates is described as

$$\varepsilon(\Delta B, \Delta E) \sim 4\pi^2 \left(-\frac{3\Delta B}{4B_0} + \frac{\Delta E}{2E_0} \right)^2, \quad (16)$$

where we assume that unwanted shifts are enough small, $|\Delta E/E_0|, |\Delta B/B_0| \ll 1$, and taking minimum order terms. We find that large magnetic and electric fields allow robust spin control (FIG.3 (b)).

For quantum computation, conditional phase-gates are necessary. Here, we show ^{15}N nuclear phase-gates controlled by nuclear spin states of a third-nearest-neighbor carbon-13 (^{13}C). The hyperfine constant of ^{13}C (^{15}N) nuclei is described as A_{\parallel}^{C} (A_{\parallel}^{N}), and $A_{\parallel}^{\text{C}}/2\pi = 14$ MHz [22]. This operation requires different phase shifts depending on the ^{13}C nuclear spin state, $\Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=\pm}$. To calculate these shifts, we add the hyperfine coupling of ^{13}C nuclear spins to the effective magnetic field [18]. When we set the static magnetic field to satisfy $|A_{\parallel}^{\text{N}}/(2\gamma_e B_0 \pm A_{\parallel}^{\text{C}})| \ll 1$, the relative phase shift is shown as

$$\Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=-} - \Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=\pm} \sim \frac{\omega'}{2} \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{N}} (d_{\perp} E_0)^2}{(\gamma_e B_0)^3} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k(2k+1) \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{C}}}{2\gamma_e B_0} \right)^{2k-1} \right). \quad (17)$$

The conditional gate corresponds to $(\Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=-} - \Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=\pm}) t = \pi$. When we set each parameter to $\omega'/2\pi = 1.0$ GHz, $d_{\perp} E_0 / 2\pi = 4.0$ MHz, and $\gamma_e B_0 / 2\pi = 40$ MHz, the time of the conditional gate is within 1 μs .

In summary, we have proposed a nuclear spin phase-gate controlled by a rotating field. The nuclear gate time is, in principle, much shorter than the gate time limited by the hyperfine constant, $T \ll \pi/A_{\parallel}$. We showed the robustness against decoherence ($T_{2N}^* > 1\text{ms}$) and systematic errors. We also confirmed multi-nuclear operations. Our spin systems are good candidates for quantum memories with sufficient coherence time and outstanding operability. Our proposal is important for quantum information processing.

We are grateful to Yasushi Kondo and Mikio Nakahara for useful discussions. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of SCOPE, Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows Grant Number 13J04142, CREST and KAKENHI (No. 15H05868, 25220601).

- [1] G. Balasubramanian, P. Neumann, D. Twitchen, M. Markham, R. Kolesov, N. Mizuochi, J. Isoya, J. Achard, J. Beck, J. Tissler, V. Jacques, P. R. Hemmer, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, *Nat. Mater.* **8**, 383 (2009).
- [2] A. Gruber, A. Drabenstedt, C. Tietz, L. Fleury, J. Wrachtrup, and C. von Borczyskowski, *Science* **276**, 2012 (1997).
- [3] E. Togan, Y. Chu, A. S. Trifonov, L. Jiang, J. Maze, L. Childress, M. V. G. Dutt, A. S. Sørensen, P. R. Hemmer, A. S. Zibrov, and M. D. Lukin, *Nature* **466**, 730 (2010).
- [4] F. Dolde, H. Fedder, M. W. Doherty, T. Nöbauer, F. Rempp, G. Balasubramanian, T. Wolf, F. Reinhard, L. C. L. Hollenberg, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 459 (2011).
- [5] P. V. Klimov, A. L. Falk, B. B. Buckley, and D. D. Awschalom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 087601 (2014).
- [6] L. Robledo, L. Childress, H. Bernien, B. Hensen, P. F. A. Alkemade, and R. Hanson, *Nature* **477**, 574 (2011).
- [7] G. Waldherr, Y. Wang, S. Zaiser, M. Jamali, T. Schulte-Herbrüggen, H. Abe, T. Ohshima, J. Isoya, J. F. Du, P. Neumann, and J. Wrachtrup, *Nature* **506**, 204 (2014).

- [8] T. H. Taminiau, J. Cramer, T. van der Sar, V. V Dobrovitski, and R. Hanson, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 171 (2014).
- [9] P. C. Maurer, G. Kucsko, C. Latta, L. Jiang, N. Y. Yao, S. D. Bennett, F. Pastawski, D. Hunger, N. Chisholm, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, J. I. Cirac, and M. D. Lukin, *Science* **336**, 1283 (2012).
- [10] M. S. Everitt, S. Devitt, W. J. Munro, and K. Nemoto, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 052317 (2014).
- [11] S. Sangtawesin, T. O. Brundage, and J. R. Petta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 020506 (2014).
- [12] C. Zu, W. B. Wang, L. He, W. G. Zhang, C. Y. Dai, F. Wang, and L. M. Duan, *Nature* **514**, 72 (2014).
- [13] S. Arroyo-Camejo, A. Lazariiev, S. W. Hell, and G. Balasubramanian, *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4870 (2014).
- [14] K. Zhang, N. M. Nusran, B. R. Slezak, and M. V. G. Dutt, E-print:arXiv:1410.2791 (2015).
- [15] C. G. Yale, F. J. Heremans, B. B. Zhou, A. Auer, G. Burkard, and D. D. Awschalom, E-print:arXiv:1507.08993 (2015).
- [16] F. Dolde, M. W. Doherty, J. Michl, I. Jakobi, B. Naydenov, S. Pezzagna, J. Meijer, P. Neumann, F. Jelezko, N. B. Manson, and J. Wrachtrup, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 097603 (2014).
- [17] M. W. Doherty, N. B. Manson, P. Delaney, F. Jelezko, J. Wrachtrup, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, *Phys. Rep.* **528**, 1 (2013).
- [18] See Supplemental Material at [URL Will Be Inserted by Publisher].
- [19] J. A. Jones, V. Vedral, A. Ekert, and G. Castagnoli, *Nature* **403**, 869 (2000).
- [20] R. Heidinger, G. Dammertz, A. Meier, and M. K. Thumm, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **30**, 800 (2002).
- [21] C. D. Aiello, M. Hirose, and P. Cappellaro, *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1419 (2013).
- [22] N. Mizuochi, P. Neumann, F. Rempp, J. Beck, V. Jacques, P. Siyushev, K. Nakamura, D. J. Twitchen, H. Watanabe, S. Yamasaki, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 041201 (2009).

- [23] X. Rong, J. Geng, F. Shi, Y. Liu, K. Xu, W. Ma, F. Kong, Z. Jiang, Y. Wu, and J. Du, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8748 (2015).
- [24] P. Neumann, N. Mizuochi, F. Rempp, P. Hemmer, H. Watanabe, S. Yamasaki, V. Jacques, T. Gaebel, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, *Science* **320**, 1326 (2008).
- [25] H. K. Cummins, G. Llewellyn, and J. A. Jones, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 042308 (2003).
- [26] Y. Kondo and M. Bando, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **80**, 054002 (2011).




FIG. 1 T. Shimo-Oka et al.

FIG. 1 (a) The inertial force is generated by the rotating field in the laboratory frame (red arrow). Gate operations are performed by adiabatic rotations of the inertial force (blue arrow). (b) The adiabatic rotation of the inertial force leads the electron spin cyclic-evolution (blue dash arrow) in the NV electron Bloch square.




FIG. 2 T. Shimo-Oka et al.

FIG. 2. Nuclear spin phase-gate. $\gamma_e B_0$, $d_{\perp} E_0$ correspond to the amplitude of the magnetic and electric fields. The frequency of the electric-field rotation is $\omega' / 2\pi = 1.0$ GHz.




FIG. 3 T. Shimo-Oka et al.

FIG. 3. Robustness against decoherence and systematic errors. (a) Coherence time. $\gamma_e B_0$, $d_{\perp} E_0$ correspond to the amplitude of the magnetic and electric fields. The rotation frequency of the electric field is $\omega' / 2\pi = 1.0$ GHz. The noise amplitude is $\gamma_e \delta B / 2\pi = 0.02$ MHz. (b) Systematic error. ΔB , ΔE denote unwanted shifts of the magnetic and electric fields. The rotation frequency of the electric field is $\omega' / 2\pi = 1.0$ GHz. The amplitude of the static electric field is $d_{\perp} E_0 / 2\pi = 4.0$ MHz, and of the static magnetic field is $\gamma_e B_0 / 2\pi = 20$ MHz.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

T. Shimo-Oka,¹ Y. Tokura,² Y. Suzuki,³ N. Mizuochi¹

¹*Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 610-0011, Japan*

²*Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan*

³*Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan*

1. Spin Hamiltonian (Laboratory frame)

Single NV electron spins ($S=1$) interact with the single nitrogen-15 (^{15}N) nuclear spin ($I=1/2$). The ground-state spin Hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = DS_z^2 + \gamma_e BS_z + d_{\perp} E_x (S_x^2 - S_y^2) + d_{\perp} E_y (S_x S_y + S_y S_x) + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z + A_{\perp} (S_+ I_- + S_- I_+), \quad (1.1)$$

The spin-1 operators are defined as,

$$S_x \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle (\langle 1|_S + \langle -1|_S) + (|1\rangle + |-1\rangle) \langle 0|_S), \quad (1.2)$$

$$S_y \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i|0\rangle (\langle 1|_S - \langle -1|_S) - i(|1\rangle - |-1\rangle)_S \langle 0|_S), \quad (1.3)$$

$$S_z \equiv |1\rangle \langle 1|_S - |-1\rangle \langle -1|_S. \quad (1.4)$$

and,

$$S_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (S_x \pm iS_y). \quad (1.5)$$

The large zero-field splitting allows to neglect the electron-nuclear flip-flop terms. In the interaction picture,

$$H = \exp(iDS_z^2 t) (H_0 - DS_z^2) \exp(-iDS_z^2 t), \quad (1.6)$$

the Hamiltonian is re-written as,

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \gamma_e B S_z + d_{\perp} E_x (S_x^2 - S_y^2) + d_{\perp} E_y (S_x S_y + S_y S_x) \\
& + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z + \frac{A_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{aligned} & (\exp(-iDt)|0\rangle\langle-1|_S + \exp(iDt)|1\rangle\langle 0|_S) I_- \\ & + (\exp(-iDt)|0\rangle\langle 1|_S + \exp(iDt)|-1\rangle\langle 0|_S) I_+ \end{aligned} \right). \quad (1.7)
\end{aligned}$$

The Rabi formula denotes, without on-resonant excitation, the maximum transition probability, $P_{0 \leftrightarrow \pm 1}$, between $m_s = 0$ and $m_s = \pm 1$ is approximately,

$$P_{0 \leftrightarrow \pm 1} \sim \left(1 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}D}{A_{\perp}} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} \sim 10^{-7}. \quad (1.8)$$

We assume, in this transition probability, the hyperfine off-diagonal terms are neglected.

Thus, the spin Hamiltonian is described as two-level systems,

$$H = \gamma_e B \sigma_z + d_{\perp} E_x \sigma_x + d_{\perp} E_y \sigma_y + A_{\parallel} \sigma_z I_z, \quad (1.9)$$

where σ_i ($i=x,y,z$) is,

$$\sigma_x \equiv S_x^2 - S_y^2 = |1\rangle\langle-1|_S + |-1\rangle\langle 1|_S, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\sigma_y \equiv S_x S_y + S_y S_x = -i|1\rangle\langle-1|_S + i|-1\rangle\langle 1|_S, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\sigma_z \equiv S_z = |1\rangle\langle 1|_S - |-1\rangle\langle-1|_S. \quad (1.12)$$

2. Spin Hamiltonian (rotating frame)

Our gate operations are performed by oscillating electric and magnetic fields;

$$\gamma_e B = \omega_1 \cos(\omega t), \quad (2.1)$$

$$d_{\perp} E_x = \omega_1 \sin(\omega t) \cos(\varphi), \quad (2.2)$$

$$d_{\perp} E_y = \omega_1 \sin(\omega t) \sin(\varphi), \quad (2.3)$$

where φ is a constant, which denotes the electric-field direction in the x - y plane of the Bloch square of the NV electron spin. The effective Hamiltonian (Eq.(1.9)) is re-written

as,

$$H = \omega_1 \cos(\omega t) \sigma_z + \omega_1 \sin(\omega t) (\cos(\varphi) \sigma_x + \sin(\varphi) \sigma_y) + A_{\parallel} \sigma_z I_z. \quad (2.4)$$

In our gate operation, the oscillation of electric fields plays a key role. If the amplitude ω_1 is too small, the electric-field effect is suppressed by the orthogonal static magnetic fields. This is confirmed from snap-shot Hamiltonian of the electron spin,

$$H_E = \omega_1 \cos \theta \sigma_z + \omega_1 \sin \theta \sigma_x + \omega_0 \sigma_z, \quad (2.5)$$

where the parameters φ , ωt of Eq. (2.4) are set to $\varphi = 0$ and $\omega t = \theta$, and ω_0 corresponds to the hyperfine constant A_{\parallel} . From the secular equation, the eigenvalues are,

$$\pm \sqrt{(\omega_1 \cos \theta + \omega_0)^2 + (\omega_1 \sin \theta)^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

In small electric-field conditions, $|\omega_1/\omega_0| \ll 1$, the eigenstates are,

$$|\psi_1\rangle_E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_1^2 + 4\omega_0\omega_1\cos\theta + 4\omega_0^2}} \left((\omega_1\cos\theta + 2\omega_0)|1\rangle_E + \omega_1\sin\theta|-1\rangle_E \right), \quad (2.7)$$

$$|\psi_{-1}\rangle_E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_1^2 + 4\omega_0\omega_1\cos\theta + 4\omega_0^2}} \left(\omega_1\sin\theta|1\rangle_E - (\omega_1\cos\theta + 2\omega_0)|-1\rangle_E \right). \quad (2.8)$$

If there is no electric field effect, these eigenstates are not changed from zero electric fields. Thus, the condition of Eq. (1.8) denotes that, under following conditions, the electric field effects are calculated without neglecting hyperfine off-diagonal terms,

$$|\langle -1|\psi_1\rangle_E|^2 \sim |\langle 1|\psi_{-1}\rangle_E|^2 \sim \left(\frac{\omega_1}{2\omega_0} \right)^2 \leq 10^{-7}. \quad (2.9)$$

In this paper, the amplitude of oscillating fields satisfies the condition, $|\omega_1/\omega_0| \gg 10^{-3}$.

The three oscillating fields of Eq.(2.4) correspond to one rotating fields, whose rotating axis is perpendicular to z -axis of the NV-defect coordinate system, (x, y, z) . Thus, it is useful to set another coordinate system, (X', Y', Z') ; here, the rotating axis corresponds to Z' axis. The coordinate transform are described as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \sigma'_y(\varphi) - \sin \varphi \sigma'_z(\varphi) \\ \sin \varphi \sigma'_y(\varphi) + \cos \varphi \sigma'_z(\varphi) \\ \sigma'_x(\varphi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

The Hamiltonian in the (X', Y', Z') -coordinate system, is represented as,

$$H = \omega_1 \left(\cos(\omega t) \sigma'_x(\varphi) + \sin(\omega t) \sigma'_y(\varphi) \right) + A_{\parallel} \sigma'_x(\varphi) I_z. \quad (2.11)$$

Rotating-frame Hamiltonian is useful in analyzing rotating fields. By a unitary operator,

$$U_1(t) = \exp\left(-i \frac{\omega t}{2} \sigma'_z(\varphi)\right), \quad (2.12)$$

the rotating-frame Hamiltonian is described as

$$U_1^\dagger(t) H U_1(t) - i U_1^\dagger(t) \frac{d}{dt} U_1(t) = H_1 + V_1(t), \quad (2.13)$$

$$H_1 = \omega_1 \sigma'_x(\varphi) - \frac{\omega}{2} \sigma'_z(\varphi), \quad (2.14)$$

$$V_1(t) = A_{\parallel} \exp\left(i \frac{\omega t}{2} \sigma'_z(\varphi)\right) \sigma'_x(\varphi) \exp\left(-i \frac{\omega t}{2} \sigma'_z(\varphi)\right) I_z, \quad (2.15)$$

where H_1 are non-perturbation terms, and $V_1(t)$ are perturbation terms.

We next represent the operator $U_1(t)$ in the (x, y, z) -coordinate system. From Eq. (2.10), the matrix, $\sigma'_z(\varphi)$, is written as,

$$\sigma'_z(\varphi) = \exp\left(-i \frac{\varphi}{2} \sigma_z\right) \sigma_y \exp\left(i \frac{\varphi}{2} \sigma_z\right). \quad (2.16)$$

The operator $U_1(t)$ is described in the (x, y, z) -coordinate system as,

$$U_1(t) = \exp\left(-i \frac{\varphi}{2} \sigma_z\right) \exp\left(-i \frac{\omega t}{2} \sigma_y\right) \exp\left(i \frac{\varphi}{2} \sigma_z\right). \quad (2.17)$$

The rotating-frame Hamiltonian denotes that NV electron spins interact with an inertial force of the rotating field. When the inertial force shifts adiabatically, the spin Hamiltonian follows it. The shifts are done by phase shifts of the rotating field,

$$\varphi = \omega't. \quad (2.18)$$

Eq. (2.17) denotes that the shift of the inertial force causes z -operation in the (x, y, z) -coordinate system. Thus, in analyzing the inertial-force shift, it is useful to use the (x, y, z) -coordinate system. By using a unitary operator

$$U_2(t) = \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega't}{2}\sigma_z\right), \quad (2.19)$$

the non-perturbation Hamiltonian are transferred to another rotating frame,

$$H_2 = U_2^\dagger(t)H_1U_2(t) - iU_2^\dagger(t)\frac{d}{dt}U_2(t) = \left(\omega_1 - \frac{\omega'}{2}\right)\sigma_z - \frac{\omega}{2}\sigma_y. \quad (2.20)$$

The eigenvalues are calculated from the secular equation. Under high oscillating conditions, $|\omega| \gg |\omega' - 2\omega_1|$, the eigenvalues are approximated as,

$$\pm\sqrt{\left(\omega_1 - \frac{\omega'}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)^2} \sim \pm\frac{\omega}{2}. \quad (2.21)$$

The eigenvectors are

$$|+\rangle_E \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\delta)}}\left(i(1+\delta)|1\rangle_S + |-1\rangle_S\right), \quad (2.22)$$

$$|-\rangle_E \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\delta)}}\left(|1\rangle_S + i(1+\delta)|-1\rangle_S\right), \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\delta \equiv \frac{2}{\omega}\left(\omega_1 - \frac{\omega'}{2}\right). \quad (2.24)$$

Thus, under a condition, $\delta \sim 0$, the diagonal Hamiltonian, H_2 , are approximated as

$$H_2 = \frac{\omega}{2}\left(|+\rangle\langle+|_E - |-\rangle\langle-|_E\right) \sim -\frac{\omega}{2}\sigma_y. \quad (2.25)$$

The perturbation terms (Eq.(2.15)) are described in the (x, y, z) -coordinate system as,

$$V_1(t) = A_\parallel \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega't}{2}\sigma_z\right)\exp\left(i\frac{\omega t}{2}\sigma_y\right)\sigma_z \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega t}{2}\sigma_y\right)\exp\left(i\frac{\omega't}{2}\sigma_z\right)I_z. \quad (2.26)$$

In the rotating frame of $U_2(t)$, this is transformed as,

$$V_2(t) = U_2^\dagger(t)V_1(t)U_2(t) = A_{\parallel} \exp\left(i\frac{\omega t}{2}\sigma_y\right)\sigma_z \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega t}{2}\sigma_y\right)I_z. \quad (2.27)$$

Moreover, on the limit of Eq. (2.25), the interaction picture of perturbation terms are

$$V_I = \exp(iH_2t)V_2(t) \exp(-iH_2t) = A_{\parallel}\sigma_z I_z. \quad (2.28)$$

We next show the time evolution of the electron spin. In the interaction picture of H_2 , the time-evolution operator is,

$$U_I = \exp(-iV_I t). \quad (2.29)$$

In the rotating frame of $U_2(t)$, the time evolution is also described as,

$$U_d = \exp(-iH_2t)\exp(-iV_I t). \quad (2.30)$$

According to Eqs. (2.17), and (2.19), the time evolution in the laboratory frame is

$$U(t) = U_1(t)U_2(t)U_d(t) = \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega' t}{2}\sigma_z\right)\exp(-iA_{\parallel}t\sigma_z I_z). \quad (2.31)$$

3. Gate operation

In our gate sequence, the initial state of the electron spin corresponds to its eigenstate under the static-field Hamiltonian, which is described in section 5. The initial state of the electron spin is, from Eq.(5.3), described as,

$$|1'\rangle_E \otimes |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N = \left(\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}\right)|1\rangle_E + \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}\right)|-1\rangle_E \right) \otimes |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}\right) = \frac{1}{C_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}} \left(\gamma_e B_0 + (-)\frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 + (-)\frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp}E_0)^2} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}\right) = \frac{d_{\perp}E_0}{C_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}}. \quad (3.3)$$

where $C_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ is a normalized constant.

In our gate operations, the spin initial state is set as,

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1'\rangle_E \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle_N + |\downarrow\rangle_N). \quad (3.4)$$

Under oscillating fields, the electron spin performs cyclic evolutions. After the cyclic evolution, the electron spin has phase shifts depending on nuclear spin states, $\Omega_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$,

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\exp(-i\Omega_{\uparrow}(t))|1'\rangle_E \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_N + \exp(-i\Omega_{\downarrow}(t))|1'\rangle_E \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_N \right) \quad (3.5)$$

We next calculate the phase factors, $\Omega_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$. From Eq. (9) of the main text, the phase factor, $\Omega_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$, depends on the expected value,

$$\langle 1' | \sigma_z | 1' \rangle_E = \cos \theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}. \quad (3.6)$$

This is approximated under large magnetic-field conditions, $|\gamma_e B_0 \pm 1/2 A_{\parallel}| \gg |d_{\perp} E_0|$ as,

$$\cos \theta_{\uparrow(\downarrow)} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 + (-) A_{\parallel} / 2} \right)^2. \quad (3.7)$$

The gate speed, $\Delta\Omega \equiv d/dt (\Omega_{\downarrow} - \Omega_{\uparrow})$, is calculated as,

$$\Delta\Omega = -A_{\parallel} - \frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 - A_{\parallel} / 2} - \frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 + A_{\parallel} / 2} \right) - \frac{\omega'}{4} \left(\left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 - A_{\parallel} / 2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 + A_{\parallel} / 2} \right)^2 \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Under large magnetic-field conditions, $|d_{\perp} E_0 / \gamma_e B_0|, |A_{\parallel} / \gamma_e B_0| \ll 1$, the second term are,

$$\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 - A_{\parallel} / 2} - \frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 + A_{\parallel} / 2} \right) \sim \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2. \quad (3.9)$$

The term is much smaller than the fast term, and we neglect it. Third terms are similarly,

$$\frac{\omega'}{4} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 - A_{\parallel} / 2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 + A_{\parallel} / 2} \right)^2 \sim \frac{\omega'}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, the gate speed is proportional to the frequency ω' ,

$$\Delta\Omega \sim -\frac{\omega'}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right) - A_{\parallel}. \quad (3.11)$$

4. Multi-qubit system

We consider ^{15}N nuclear phase-gates controlled by ^{13}C nuclear spins. This operation requires different phase shifts depending on the ^{13}C nuclear spin. To show these shifts, we add the hyperfine coupling of the ^{13}C nucleus to the effective magnetic field as

$$\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}^{13\text{C}}}{2} \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{N}}}{2} = \gamma_e B_{\text{eff}}^{C=\pm} \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{N}}}{2}, \quad (4.1)$$

where A_{\parallel}^{C} (A_{\parallel}^{N}) is the hyperfine constant of ^{13}C (^{15}N) nuclei. Here, the static magnetic field satisfies $|A_{\parallel}^{\text{N}} / 2\gamma_e B_{0\text{eff}}^{C=\pm}| \ll 1$. From Eq. (3.11), the phase shift of ^{15}N -nuclei depends on the ^{13}C -nuclei, and each shifts are described as $\Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=\pm}$. The relative phase shift is,

$$\Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=+} - \Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=-} = \frac{\omega'}{2} A_{\parallel}^{\text{N}} (d_{\perp} E)^2 \left(\frac{1}{(\gamma_e B_z^{C=+})^3} - \frac{1}{(\gamma_e B_z^{C=-})^3} \right) \quad (4.2)$$

The third order terms are written as,

$$\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{C}}}{2} \right)^{-3} = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^3 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} \right) \left(\mp \frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{C}}}{2\gamma_e B_0} \right)^n \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Thus, the relative phase shift is approximated as,

$$\Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=+} - \Delta\Omega_{\text{C}=-} \sim -\frac{\omega'}{2} \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{N}} (d_{\perp} E_0)^2}{(\gamma_e B_0)^3} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k(2k+1) \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}^{\text{C}}}{2\gamma_e B_0} \right)^{2k-1} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

5. Static-field Hamiltonian

The static-field Hamiltonian is, from Eq. (1.9), described as,

$$H_{\text{sta}} = \gamma_e B_0 \sigma_z + d_{\perp} E_0 \sigma_x + A_{\parallel} \sigma_z I_z, \quad (5.1)$$

where B_0 and E_0 are static magnetic and electric fields. The diagonal Hamiltonian is,

$$H_{sta} = \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 + \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} |1'\rangle\langle 1'|_E |\uparrow\rangle\langle \uparrow|_N + \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 - \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} |1'\rangle\langle 1'|_E |\downarrow\rangle\langle \downarrow|_N \\ - \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 + \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} |-1'\rangle\langle -1'|_E |\uparrow\rangle\langle \uparrow|_N - \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 - \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} |-1'\rangle\langle -1'|_E |\downarrow\rangle\langle \downarrow|_N \quad (5.2)$$

and each electron eigenstate is,

$$|1'\rangle_E \otimes |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N = \frac{1}{C_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}} \left(\left(\gamma_e B_0 + (-) \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 + (-) \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} \right) |1\rangle_E + d_{\perp} E_0 |-1\rangle_E \right) |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N, \quad (5.3)$$

$$|-1'\rangle_E \otimes |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N = \frac{1}{C_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}} \left((d_{\perp} E_0)^2 |1\rangle_E - \left(\gamma_e B_0 + (-) \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 + (-) \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} \right) |-1\rangle_E \right) |\uparrow(\downarrow)\rangle_N, \quad (5.4)$$

where $C_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ is a normalized constant. The electron eigenstates depend on nuclear spins.

Under large magnetic-field conditions, $|d_{\perp} E_0 / (\gamma_e B_0 \pm A_{\parallel}/2)| \ll 1$, each factor is described as,

$$\sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} \sim \left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 \pm A_{\parallel}/2}\right)^2\right). \quad (5.5)$$

This factor is approximated by neglecting high order terms as,

$$\sim \gamma_e B_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0}\right)^2\right) \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0}\right)^2\right). \quad (5.6)$$

Thus, the static-field Hamiltonian is re-written as

$$H_{sta} \sim \gamma_e B_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0}\right)^2\right) S'_Z + \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0}\right)^2\right) S'_Z I_Z, \quad (5.7)$$

where S'_Z is defined as $S'_Z \equiv |1'\rangle\langle 1'|_E - |-1'\rangle\langle -1'|_E$.

6. Static-field Hamiltonian under noise magnetic fields

Noise magnetic fields cause decoherence. We assume that noise magnetic fields are enough small, $|\delta B/B_0| \ll 1$. By adding noise magnetic fields, $B_0 + \delta B$, Eq.(5.5) is,

$$\sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} + \gamma_e \delta B\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2}. \quad (6.1)$$

Under large magnetic-field conditions, it is approximated as,

$$\sim \sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} \left(1 + \gamma_e \delta B \frac{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)}{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} \right). \quad (6.2)$$

Thus, noise terms are separated from non-noise terms. By following approximations,

$$\frac{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)}{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} \sim \frac{1}{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}\right)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2}} \right)^2 \right), \quad (6.3)$$

and from Eq. (5.5) and (6.3), Eq. (6.1) is re-written as,

$$\sqrt{\left(\gamma_e B_0 \pm \frac{A_{\parallel}}{2} + \gamma_e \delta B\right)^2 + (d_{\perp} E_0)^2} \sim \gamma_e \delta B \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(1 \mp \frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right) \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Thus, the noise static-field Hamiltonian is shown as,

$$H_{sta}^{noise} \sim \gamma_e \delta B \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \right) S'_z + \gamma_e \delta B \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right) S'_z I_z. \quad (6.5)$$

7. Decoherence under static field

According to Eq.(3.5), the electron spin are its eigenstates under static fields, and we focus on nuclear spin decoherence. From Eq. (6.5), the effective noise Hamiltonian of nuclear spins is,

$$V_{noise}^N = \frac{b}{2} f(t) \sigma_z^N, \quad (7.1)$$

where σ_z^N is Pauli operators of nuclear spins, and the noise amplitude b is defined as,

$$b = \gamma_e \delta B \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right). \quad (7.2)$$

where $f(t)$ is the correlation function described in the main text.

The initial state of nuclear spins is set as $\rho_N = |+\rangle\langle+|_N$, where $|+\rangle_N = 1/\sqrt{2} (|\uparrow\rangle_N + |\downarrow\rangle_N)$. The time evolution is estimated by second-order calculations of the von Neumann equation. From the similar calculation of Eq. (15) of the main text, the coherence time of nuclear spin under static fields is estimated as,

$$1/T_{2N}^* \sim \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\gamma_e \delta B}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{d_{\perp} E_0}{\gamma_e B_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{A_{\parallel}}{\gamma_e B_0} \right). \quad (7.3)$$