

Closed Systems of Invertible Maps

TIM BOYKETT^{1*†}

*Institute for Algebra, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria
and Time's Up Research, Linz, Austria*

We generalise clones, which are sets of functions $f : A^n \rightarrow A$, to sets of maps $f : A^n \rightarrow A^m$. We formalise this and develop language that we can use to speak about such maps. In particular we look at bijective mappings, which model the logical gates of reversible computation. Reversible computation is important for physical (e.g. quantum computation) as well as engineering (e.g. heat dissipation) reasons. We generalise Toffoli's seminal work on reversible computation to multiple valued logics. In particular, we show that some restrictions Toffoli found for reversible computation on alphabets of order 2 do not apply for odd order alphabets. For A odd, we can create all invertible mappings from the Toffoli 1- and 2-gates, demonstrating that we can realise all reversible mappings from four generators. We discuss various forms of closure, corresponding to various systems of permitted manipulations. This leads, amongst other things, to discussions about ancilla bits in quantum computation.

Key words: Toffoli gate, reversible computation, clones, multiple-valued logic, general algebra, mappings, iterative algebras

1 INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to generalise Toffoli's fundamental results about reversible computation. There are several motivations for an interest in reversible computation, some related to physics and engineering, others of a

* email: tim.boykett@jku.at and tim@timesup.org

† Research supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) research grants P24077, P24285 and Y464-N18.

more algebraic nature. The engineering perspective notes that destroying information creates entropy and thus heat, which is bad for circuitry and wasteful[7]. The physics perspective notes that fundamental physical processes such as inelastic collisions and quantum processes are reversible[12]. An algebraic perspective notes that we can say more about groups than about semigroups owing to the existence of inverses.

Toffoli [16, 17] introduced what later became known as the Toffoli gate, a simple yet universal basic element for computation with reversible binary logic. This paper is mostly concerned with the generalisation of this logic to multiple-valued logics. One main result is the generalisation of Toffoli gates to larger alphabets and the demonstration that the multiple-valued logics of odd arity are in some sense more powerful (Section 5).

We use language based upon the function algebras of [13], also known as clones[15]. Mal'cev [11] introduced the concept of an iterative algebra, a generalised clone. If an iterative algebra includes the projections $\pi_i^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i$, then it is a *clone*. In the next sections we will introduce some similar terminology to deal with arbitrary mappings, in particular bijections on A^n . Later we will see that *linear term algebras*, the “reduct of iterative algebras” that do not have the Δ operator, play an important role.

In Section 2 we introduce mappings and operations upon them, to show that there are several ways to talk about compositional closure. In particular we show that the algebra of maps can be expressed using a finite signature of finitary operations (Theorem 2.13). We look at the ways that a given set of maps can generate a closed system of mappings, then at ways of embedding other mappings into these closed systems. In Section 3 we talk about *realising* one mapping in a multiclone generated by some other mappings.

We show that all invertible mappings on a set of odd order can be generated by four small Toffoli gates and using a definition of generation that is important from an engineering perspective, show that these are enough for all alphabets. In Section 6 look at the various forms of functional closure that arise in the discussion.

2 FUNCTION TERMINOLOGY

We use \mathbb{N} for the set of positive integers. Given a set A , $\mathcal{O}(A) = \{f : A^n \rightarrow A \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the set of all functions on A . We will use the notation of [10]. The operations of an iterative algebra are $\tau, \zeta, \Delta, \nabla, *$, defined as follows. Let f be an n -ary function, g an m -ary function. The operations τ and ζ permute variables, $(\tau f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ and

$(\zeta f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1)$, with both being the identity on unary functions. The operation Δ identifies variables, so $(\Delta f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = f(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$, while Δ is the identity on unary functions. The operation ∇ introduces a dummy variable, $(\nabla f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$. Lastly we have composition, so

$$(f * g)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m-1}) = f(g(x_1, \dots, x_m), x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{n+m-1}).$$

The *full iterative algebra* is $(\mathcal{O}(A); \tau, \zeta, \Delta, \nabla, *)$ and an iterative algebra on A is a subuniverse of this. If an iterative algebra includes the projections $\pi_i^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i$, then it is a *clone* on A . The *full linear term algebra* is $(\mathcal{O}(A); \tau, \zeta, \nabla, *)$ and a linear term algebra on A is a subuniverse of this.

We use S_A to denote the permutations of a set A , S_n to denote the set of bijections on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $S_{(\mathbb{N})} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$. Juxtaposition multiplies permutations from left to right, so $(123)(12) = (1)(23)$, thus in order to avoid difficulties when we write permutations as functions, we introduce clarifying parentheses. Thus if $\alpha = (123)$ and $\beta = (12)$ then $(\alpha\beta)(1) = 1^{\alpha\beta} = (1^\alpha)^\beta = 1$ but $\alpha \circ \beta(1) = \alpha(\beta(1)) = (1^\beta)^\alpha = 3$.

Let A be a nonempty set. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, an (n, m) -map is a mapping $f : A^n \rightarrow A^m$. We call n the *arity*, m the *co-arity*. We write $M_{n,m}(A) = \{f : A^n \rightarrow A^m\}$. Then $M(A) = \bigcup_{n,m} M_{n,m}(A)$ is the set of all maps on A . Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. We define $f_i : A^n \rightarrow A$ to be the i -th *projection* of f , so we can write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. A *function* is an $(n, 1)$ -map. An (n, n) -map, with arity equal to co-arity, will be called *balanced*. Furthermore, we define $B_{n,m}(A)$ as the set of all (n, m) -maps that are bijections, so for A finite $n \neq m$ implies that $B_{n,m}(A) = \emptyset$ and the bijective maps are balanced. We will write $B_n(A)$ for the balanced bijections of arity n . Similarly we write $B(A) = \bigcup_{n,m} B_{n,m}(A)$ is the set of all bijections on A , $B(A) = \bigcup_n B_n(A)$ if A is finite.

For example the map $f(x, y) = (x + y, x - y)$ on an abelian group is a balanced $(2, 2)$ -map, it is a bijection if the group is of odd order.

Definition 2.1 *Let A be a set. Let $f : A^m \rightarrow A^n$, $t \leq n$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_t) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^t$ be a tuple without repetitions. Define $\mu(I, f) \in M_{m,t}(A)$ componentwise as $(\mu(I, f))_j = f_{i_j}$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. That is, $\mu(I, f)$ is obtained from f by projecting the output to the components indexed by the tuple I .*

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $a \in A$, define $\kappa(i, a, f) \in M_{m-1,n}(A)$ by

$$\kappa(i, a, f)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_{m-1}).$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in A$. That is, $\kappa(i, a, f)$ is obtained from f by substituting the constant a for the i -th variable.

We extend κ to allow the substitution of constants for several variables simultaneously. Let $r \leq m$, $I \in \{1, \dots, m\}^r$ increasing so that $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A^r$, define $\kappa(I, a, f) \in M_{m-r, n}(A)$ by the following recursion. Let $\kappa((), (), f) = f$, for nonempty I define

$$\kappa((i_1, \dots, i_r), (a_1, \dots, a_r), f) = \kappa(i_1, a_1, \kappa((i_2, \dots, i_r), (a_2, \dots, a_r), f))$$

Finally we introduce the following shorthand notation. For $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}^t$ and $I' \in \{1, \dots, m\}^r$, tuples as above, and for $o \in A$, define

$$f_{I', I}^o = \mu(I, \kappa(I', (o, \dots, o), f))$$

Then $f_{I', I}^o \in M_{m-r, t}(A)$

For example, take the map $f(x, y) = (x+y, x-y)$ on \mathbb{Z}_7 , then $f_{(2), (1)}^5(z) = \mu((1), \kappa((2), (5), f))(z) = z + 5$ is a unary function on \mathbb{Z}_7 .

2.1 Operations

In this section we look at the operations that allow us to combine mappings. Our main result is that all these combinations can be written as a finite number of finitary operations.

Definition 2.2 Let A be a set. Let $f \in M_{n, s}(A)$, $g \in M_{m, t}(A)$ be mappings.

Define $i_1 \in B_1(A)$ with $i_1(x) = x$, write $i_n \in B_n(A)$ for the identity function on A^n .

We place two mappings next to one another to form a wider mapping.

$$\begin{aligned} f \oplus g : A^{n+m} &\rightarrow A^{s+t} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) &\mapsto (f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_s(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ &\quad g_1(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}), \dots, g_t(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m})) \end{aligned}$$

We compose mappings. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n$, $k \leq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \circ_k(f, g) : A^{n+m-k} &\rightarrow A^{s+t-k} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+m-k}) &\mapsto (f_1(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_k(x_1, \dots, x_m), x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n-k}), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad f_s(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_k(x_1, \dots, x_m), x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n-k}), \\ &\quad g_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_t(x_1, \dots, x_m)) \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geq 2$, \circ_k is a partial operation.

We identify variables.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f &: A^{n-1} \rightarrow A^s \\ \Delta f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= f(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\end{aligned}$$

and define $\Delta f = f$ if f has arity 1.

We introduce dummy variables.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f &: A^{n+1} \rightarrow A^s \\ \nabla f(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})\end{aligned}$$

The following operations allow us to permute the inputs and outputs of a given mapping.

$$\begin{aligned}\tau f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n) \\ \zeta f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1) \\ \bar{\tau} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), \\ &\quad f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad f_s(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)) \\ \bar{\zeta} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), \\ &\quad f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad f_s(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), \\ &\quad f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)).\end{aligned}$$

In the case that f has arity 1, $\tau f = \zeta f = f$. In the case that f has co-arity 1, $\bar{\tau} f = \bar{\zeta} f = f$.

For example, in the case that $n = t$, $f \circ_n g$ is the composition that we would expect:

$$\begin{aligned}f \circ_n g &= (f_1(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_m)), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad f_s(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_m)))\end{aligned}$$

Note that there are many relations amongst these operations. For instance let f have co-arity n , then $\bar{\tau}f = (\tau i_n) \circ_n f$ and $\bar{\zeta}f = (\zeta i_n) \circ_n f$. The μ operator introduced above allows us to say $\mu((2, \dots, n+1), \nabla f) = f$. We will not examine the axiomatics of these operations in detail. In order to keep our notation clear, it is important to prove the following result.

Lemma 2.3 *The operations \circ_k are associative as partial operations, that is, for all $f, g, h \in M(A)$, for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$f \circ_k (g \circ_l h) = (f \circ_k g) \circ_l h \quad (1)$$

when both sides exist.

Proof: In order to shorten notation, we will write a_f for the arity of f and c_f for the co-arity of f .

Suppose both sides of equation (1) exist. Then the two sides of (1) have equal arity and co-arity, that is arity $a_f + a_g + a_h - (k + l)$ and co-arity $c_f + c_g + c_h - (k + l)$. To exist we must have $k \leq \min(a_f, c_g + c_h - l, c_g)$ and $l \leq \min(a_g, c_h, a_f + a_g - k)$. Then $l \leq c_h$ so $c_g \leq c_g + c_h - l$ so $k \leq \min(a_f, c_g)$ and similarly $l \leq \min(a_g, c_h)$. We calculate, for any $1 \leq i \leq c_f + c_g + c_h - (k + l)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (f \circ_k (g \circ_l h))_i(x_1, \dots, x_{a_f+a_g+a_h-(k+l)}) \\ &= \begin{cases} f_i((g \circ_l h)_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}), \dots, (g \circ_l h)_k(x_1, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}), \\ \quad x_{a_g+a_h-l+1}, \dots, x_{a_f+a_g+a_h-(l+k)}) & \text{if } i \leq c_f \\ (g \circ_l h)_{k+i-c_f}(x_1, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}) & \text{if } c_f < i \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} f_i(g_1(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \dots, h_l(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), x_{a_h+1}, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}), \dots \\ \quad \dots, g_k(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \dots, h_l(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), x_{a_h+1}, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}), \\ \quad x_{a_g+a_h-l+1}, \dots, x_{a_f+a_g+a_h-(l+k)}) & \text{if } i \leq c_f \\ g_{k+i-c_f}(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \dots, h_l(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), x_{a_h+1}, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}) & \text{if } c_f < i \leq c_f + c_g - k \\ h_{l+i-(c_f+c_g-k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}) & \text{if } c_f + c_g - k < i \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} & ((f \circ_k g) \circ_l h)_i(x_1, \dots, x_{a_f+a_g+a_h-(k+l)}) \\ &= \begin{cases} (f \circ_k g)_i(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \dots, h_l(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \\ \quad x_{a_h+1}, \dots, x_{a_f+a_g+a_h-(k+l)}) & \text{if } i \leq c_f + c_g - k \\ h_{l+i-(c_f+c_g-k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}) & \text{if } i > c_f + c_g - k \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} f_i(g_1(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \dots, h_l(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), x_{a_h+1}, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}), \dots \\ \dots, g_k(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \dots, h_l(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), x_{a_h+1}, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}), \\ x_{a_g+a_h-l+1}, \dots, x_{a_f+a_g+a_h-(k+l)}) & \text{if } i \leq c_f \\ g_{k+i-c_f}(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), \dots, h_l(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}), x_{a_h+1}, \dots, x_{a_g+a_h-l}) & \text{if } c_f < i \leq c_f + c_g - k \\ h_{l+i-(c_f+c_g-k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{a_h}) & \text{if } i > c_f + c_g - k \end{cases}$$

These can be seen to be equal and we are done. \square

Note that one side of the associativity equation in the previous lemma can exist without the other existing, for instance if $k = l = 2$, $f, h \in M_{2,3}(A)$ and $g \in M_{2,1}(A)$ then $f \circ_k (g \circ_l h)$ exists while $(f \circ_k g) \circ_l h$ does not.

We can look at a general form of mapping composition corresponding to clones. Remember that to be closed under a 0-ary operation such as i_1 means to include that constant.

Definition 2.4 *Let A be a set. A collection of mappings on A is called a multiclone on A if it is closed under the operations $\{i_1, \oplus, \Delta, \nabla, \tau, \zeta\}$ and the partial operations $\{\circ_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $F \subseteq M(A)$ be a collection of mappings. Then we write $C_\Delta(F)$ for the closure under the operations, i.e. the multiclone generated by F .*

However in order to focus on the realm of bijective mappings, we are predominately interested in closure without the Δ and ∇ operations.

Definition 2.5 *Let A be a set. A collection of mappings on A is called a Toffoli algebra on A if it is closed under the operations $\{i_1, \oplus, \tau, \zeta\}$ and the partial operations $\{\circ_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $F \subseteq M(A)$ be a collection of mappings. Then we write $C(F)$ for the closure under the operations, i.e. the Toffoli algebra generated by F .*

Note that by the comments following Definition 2.2 we can express the $\bar{\tau}$ and $\bar{\zeta}$ operations in terms of these operations, thus not losing any expressive power.

We note that there are similarities to the Network Algebra used in e.g. [2, 14]. We note that the expression Toffoli Algebra has been used in [8] in a related but distinct form.

These operations reflect what Toffoli [16] calls a *combinatorial network*, as well as corresponding to the idea of a *read once function* as used in in [5].

In particular, every output is used at most once as an input to another mapping, avoiding the duplication of variables or so-called fan-out, where one data signal is spread to two or more outputs. The mapping $\phi_n \in M_{1,n}(A)$ with $\phi_n(a) = (a, \dots, a)$ is a *fan-out* mapping. Also, no input or output is thrown away. As a connection between these concepts, we have the following.

Lemma 2.6 *Let F be a Toffoli algebra. Then F is a multiclone iff $\phi_2 \in F$ and $g \in F$ where $\phi_2(x) = (x, x)$, $g(x, y) = y$.*

Proof: (\Rightarrow): We know that $i_2 = i_1 \oplus i_1 \in F$. We know F is a multiclone, so $\Delta i_2 \in F$. But $\Delta i_2(x_2) = (x_2, x_2) = \phi_2(x_2)$ so $\phi_2 \in F$. Similarly $\nabla i_1 = g$.

(\Leftarrow): Suppose $\phi_2 \in F$. Let $h \in F \cap M_{n,m}$ with $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (h \circ_2 \phi_2)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= h(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\ &= \Delta h(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

so F is closed under Δ .

Let $h \in F \cap M_{n,m}$. We calculate that $h \circ_1 g \in M_{n+2-1, m+1-1} = M_{n+1, m}$

$$\begin{aligned} (h \circ_1 g)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= h(g_1(x_1, x_2), x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= h(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \nabla h(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

so F is also closed under ∇ , thus F is a multiclone. \square

Definition 2.7 *A Toffoli algebra is called a reversible Toffoli algebra if each of its elements is bijective.*

Note that $i_1 \oplus i_1 \oplus \dots \oplus i_1 = i_n$. We will also use \oplus to concatenate tuples, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \oplus (y_1, \dots, y_m) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$. Let $\alpha \in S_n$ be a permutation. Then let π_α be the function $\pi_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\alpha^{-1}1}, \dots, x_{\alpha^{-1}n})$.

Example 2.8 *The set $\{\pi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a reversible Toffoli algebra, in fact it is the unique minimal Toffoli algebra on any set A .*

Other multiclones and reversible Toffoli algebras arise from linear algebra.

Example 2.9 *Let A be a field. Then the collection of linear mappings on vector spaces over A form a multiclone. The collection of invertible linear mappings $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} GL(n, A)$ forms a reversible Toffoli algebra, but not a multiclone. The mappings described by permutation matrices form the smallest Toffoli subalgebra and as a subalgebra of a reversible Toffoli algebra it is also reversible.*

Definition 2.10 Let f, g be mappings, f have arity n , g have co-arity m . Define $f \bullet g = f \circ_k g$ where $k = \min(m, n)$.

This is a total operation.

Lemma 2.11 Let f, g be mappings, f have arity n , g have co-arity m . Then

$$f \bullet g = \begin{cases} (f \oplus i_{m-n}) \bullet g = (f \oplus i_{m-n}) \circ_m g & \text{if } n < m \\ f \circ_m g & \text{if } n = m \\ f \bullet (g \oplus i_{n-m}) = f \circ_n (g \oplus i_{n-m}) & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

Also for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(f \bullet g) \oplus i_k = (f \oplus i_k) \bullet (g \oplus i_k)$.

Proof: Let f have co-arity s and g have arity t .

Suppose $n < m$, $f \bullet g = f \circ_n g$ has arity $n + t - n = t$. We see that $f \oplus i_{m-n}$ has arity $n + m - n = m$ so $(f \oplus i_{m-n}) \bullet g = (f \oplus i_{m-n}) \circ_m g$ holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (f \bullet g)(x_1, \dots, x_t) \\ &= (f \circ_n g)(x_1, \dots, x_t) \\ &= (f_1(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_t), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_t)), \dots \\ &\quad \dots, f_s(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_t), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_t)), \\ &\quad g_{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_t), \dots, g_m(x_1, \dots, x_t)) \\ &= (f \oplus i_{m-n})(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_t), \dots, g_m(x_1, \dots, x_t)) \\ &= ((f \oplus i_{m-n}) \circ_m g)(x_1, \dots, x_t) \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted.

The second claim is immediate from the definition of $f \bullet g$.

The third claim is proved analogously to the first.

For the fourth claim we start with the case that $n = m$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & ((f \bullet g) \oplus i_k)(x_1, \dots, x_{t+k}) \\ &= (f \bullet g)(x_1, \dots, x_t) \oplus (x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{t+k}) \\ &= (f \circ_n g)(x_1, \dots, x_t) \oplus (x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{t+k}) \\ &= (f_1(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_t), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_t)), \dots \\ &\quad \dots, f_s(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_t), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_t)), x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{t+k}) \\ &= ((f \oplus i_k) \circ_n g)(x_1, \dots, x_{t+k}) \\ &= ((f \oplus i_k) \circ_{n+k} (g \oplus i_k))(x_1, \dots, x_{t+k}) \\ &= ((f \oplus i_k) \bullet (g \oplus i_k))(x_1, \dots, x_{t+k}) \end{aligned}$$

so the claim holds in this case. Now note that if $n < m$ then $f \oplus i_{m-n}$ has arity m so $(f \bullet g) \oplus i_k = ((f \oplus i_{m-n}) \bullet g) \oplus i_k = (f \oplus i_{m-n} \oplus i_k) \bullet (g \oplus i_k) = (f \oplus i_k) \bullet (g \oplus i_k)$ so this case is done. Similarly we argue in the case that $n > m$ and thus we are done. \square

Lemma 2.12 *The \bullet operation is associative, that is, for all $f, g, h \in M(A)$, $f \bullet (g \bullet h) = (f \bullet g) \bullet h$.*

Proof: In order to simplify notation, we write a_f for the arity of f and c_f for the co-arity of f . Then $f \bullet (g \bullet h) = f \circ_k (g \circ_l h)$ where $k = \min(a_f, c_g + c_h - l)$ and $l = \min(a_g, c_h)$. Similarly $(f \bullet g) \bullet h = (f \circ_{\bar{k}} g) \circ_{\bar{l}} h$ where $\bar{k} = \min(a_f, c_g)$ and $\bar{l} = \min(a_f + a_g - \bar{k}, c_h)$.

Suppose $a_f \leq c_g$. Then $\bar{k} = k = a_f$ so $\bar{l} = \min(a_g, c_h) = l$ so by the associativity of \circ_i for all i , Lemma 2.3, we are done.

Similarly if $c_h \leq a_g$, $l = \bar{l} = c_h$ so $k = \min(a_f, c_g) = \bar{k}$ and we are done.

Suppose that neither of these cases applies, that is, $a_f > c_g$ and $c_h > a_g$. Then

$$(f \bullet g) \bullet h = (f \circ_{a_f} (g \oplus i_{a_f - c_g})) \bullet h \quad (2)$$

$$f \bullet (g \bullet h) = f \bullet ((g \oplus i_{c_h - a_g}) \circ_{c_h} h) \quad (3)$$

Let a_1 be the arity of $f \bullet g = f \circ_{a_f} (g \oplus i_{a_f - c_g})$, that is $a_1 = a_g + a_f - c_g$. Let c_2 be the co-arity of $g \bullet h = (g \oplus i_{c_h - a_g}) \circ_{c_h} h$, that is $c_2 = c_g + c_h - a_g$. Note that $a_1 - c_h = a_f - c_2$.

We proceed by cases.

Case $a_1 < c_h$ so $c_2 > a_f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &= ((f \circ_{a_f} (g \oplus i_{a_f - c_g})) \oplus i_{c_h - a_1}) \circ_{c_h} h \\ &= ((f \oplus i_{c_h - a_1}) \circ_{a_f + c_h - a_1} (g \oplus i_{a_f - c_g} \oplus i_{c_h - a_1})) \circ_{c_h} h \\ &= ((f \oplus i_{c_2 - a_f}) \circ_{c_2} (g \oplus i_{c_h - a_g})) \circ_{c_h} h \\ &= (f \oplus i_{c_2 - a_f}) \circ_{c_2} ((g \oplus i_{c_h - a_g}) \circ_{c_h} h) \\ &= f \bullet (g \bullet h) = (3) \end{aligned}$$

Case $a_1 = c_h$ so $c_2 = a_f$. Then $a_f - c_g = c_h - a_g$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &= (f \circ_{a_f} (g \oplus i_{a_f - c_g})) \circ_{c_h} h \\ &= f \circ_{c_2} ((g \oplus i_{c_h - a_g}) \circ_{c_h} h) \\ &= f \bullet (g \bullet h) = (3) \end{aligned}$$

Case $a_1 > c_h$ so $c_2 < a_f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) &= (f \circ_{a_f} (g \oplus i_{a_f-c_g})) \circ_{a_1} (h \oplus i_{a_1-c_h}) \\
&= f \circ_{a_f} ((g \oplus i_{a_f-c_g}) \circ_{a_1} (h \oplus i_{a_1-c_h})) \\
&= f \circ_{a_f} ((g \oplus i_{a_f-c_g}) \bullet (h \oplus i_{a_1-c_h})) \\
&= f \circ_{a_f} (((g \oplus i_{c_h-a_g}) \bullet h) \oplus i_{a_f-c_2}) \\
&= f \bullet ((g \oplus i_{c_h-a_g}) \bullet h) = (3)
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. \square

Theorem 2.13 *Let F be a collection of mappings on a set A . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. F is a Toffoli algebra.
2. F is closed under $\{i_1, \oplus, \tau, \zeta\} \cup \{\circ_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
3. F is closed under $\{\oplus\} \cup \{\pi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S(\mathbb{N})\} \cup \{\circ_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
4. F is closed under $\{i_1, \oplus, \tau, \zeta, \bullet\}$.

Proof: The second case is a writing of the definition of the first. We demonstrate that the others are equivalent by showing that each collection of operations can be built from the others as terms.

$3 \Rightarrow 2$: In this signature, i_1 is π_α for α the identity permutation on $\{1\}$. \oplus is the same, as are \circ_k . Let $f \in M_{m,n}$. We see that $\tau f = f \circ_m \pi_{(1,2)}$, the permutation $(1, 2)$ acting upon $\{1, \dots, m\}$, and $\zeta f = f \circ_m \pi_{(m, \dots, 2, 1)}$, the permutation $(m, \dots, 2, 1)$ acting upon $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

$2 \Rightarrow 4$: From the definition of \bullet , we know that it can be written in terms of \circ_k for any given arguments.

$4 \Rightarrow 3$: Let α be a permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k$ for $\alpha_i \in \{(1, 2), (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$. Let $\beta_i = \tau$ if $\alpha_i = (1, 2)$, otherwise $\beta_i = \zeta$. Then $\pi_\alpha = \beta_k \dots \beta_1 (i_1 \oplus \dots \oplus i_1)$ with i_1 repeated n times.

Let f, g be mappings, $f \in M_{n,s}(A)$, $g \in M_{m,t}(A)$, $k \leq t$, $k \leq n$. Then we claim that

$$f \circ_k g = (f \oplus i_{t-k}) \bullet \pi_\alpha \bullet (g \oplus i_{n-k}) \quad (4)$$

with

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & k & k+1 & \dots & t & t+1 & \dots & t+n-k \\ 1 & \dots & k & n+1 & \dots & t+n-k & k+1 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix} \in S_{n+t-k}.$$

The left hand side of (4) has arity $n + m - k$, co-arity $s + t - k$ and is defined by

$$f \circ_k g(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m-k}) = \begin{cases} f_i(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_k(x_1, \dots, x_m), \\ \quad \quad \quad x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n-k}) & \text{if } i \leq s \\ g_{k+i-s}(x_1, \dots, x_m) & \text{if } s < i \end{cases}$$

On the right hand side of (4), we note that the co-arity of $g \oplus i_{n-k}$ and the arity of $f \oplus i_{t-k}$ are both $t + n - k$, the same as the arity and co-arity of π_α . Because of the structure of the permutation α ,

$$(\pi_\alpha \bullet (g \oplus i_{n-k}))_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n-k}) = \begin{cases} g_j(x_1, \dots, x_m) & \text{if } j \leq k \\ x_{m-k+j} & \text{if } k < j \leq n \\ g_{k+j-n}(x_1, \dots, x_m) & \text{if } n < j \leq t + n - k \end{cases}$$

We can then calculate the right hand side of (4). For $j \leq s$, the j th entry is:

$$f_j \bullet \pi_\alpha \bullet (g \oplus i_{n-k})(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m-k}) = f_j(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_k(x_1, \dots, x_m), x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n-k})$$

while for $s < j \leq s + t - k$, the j th entry is the output of the identity map, so noting that the preimage of $n + j - s$ by α is $k + j - s$:

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \oplus i_{t-k}) \bullet \pi_\alpha \bullet (g \oplus i_{n-k}))_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m-k}) = \\ (\pi_\alpha \bullet (g \oplus i_{n-k}))_{n+j-s}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m-k}) = g_{k+j-s}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing entries, we see that the left hand side and the right hand side agree at all entries and are thus equal. \square

The following result enables us to see that the operations of a Toffoli algebra do not destroy reversibility, so we know that $B(A)$ is the largest reversible Toffoli algebra on A .

Lemma 2.14 *Let A be a set. Let $F \subseteq B(A)$. Then $C(F) \subseteq B(A)$.*

Proof: We only need to check that $B(A)$ is a Toffoli algebra. The operations i_1, τ, ζ are invertible. Let $f, g \in B(A)$. The inverse of $f \oplus g$ is $f^{-1} \oplus g^{-1}$. If the co-arity of g is the same as the arity of f , then $(f \bullet g)^{-1} = g^{-1} \bullet f^{-1}$. If the co-arity of g is less than the arity of f , then note that $f \bullet g = f \bullet (g \oplus i_s)$ where s is the difference between the arity of f and the co-arity of g . Then the co-arity of $g \oplus i_s$ equals the arity of f and we are done. Similarly if the arity of f is less than the co-arity of g .

Thus all of the operations of a Toffoli algebra map bijections to bijections, so we are done. \square

We know that there is a finite signature for Toffoli algebras, so we can apply the standard tools and techniques of universal algebra, such as the following.

Corollary 2.15 *Let A be a set. Then the set of Toffoli algebras on A , ordered by inclusion, is an algebraic lattice. The set of reversible Toffoli algebras on A , ordered by inclusion, is an algebraic lattice.*

Proof: The set of Toffoli algebras on A is the set of subuniverses of the algebra $(M(A); i_1, \oplus, \tau, \zeta, \bullet)$. These operations are all finitary, so by [4][Cor 3.3] we have our result.

Similarly reversible Toffoli algebras are subuniverses of $(B(A); i_1, \oplus, \tau, \zeta, \bullet)$ and we are done. \square

We note also that the definition of a multiclone adds only two unary operations to the definition of a Toffoli algebra, so we obtain the following as a corollary to Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.15.

Corollary 2.16 *Let F be a collection of mappings on a set A . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. F is a multiclone.
2. F is closed under $\{i_1, \oplus, \tau, \zeta, \Delta, \nabla\} \cup \{\circ_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
3. F is closed under $\{\oplus, \Delta, \nabla\} \cup \{\pi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S_{(\mathbb{N})}\} \cup \{\circ_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
4. F is closed under $\{i_1, \oplus, \tau, \zeta, \Delta, \nabla, \bullet\}$.

The set of multiclones, ordered by inclusion, is an algebraic lattice.

3 REALISATION

In this section we look at the ways in which one set of mappings can be found within another.

Theorem 3.1 *Let A be a finite set, $g : A^m \rightarrow A^n$, $o \in A$ and let $r = \max(m, n + \lceil \log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \rceil)$. There exists an invertible $f \in B_r(A)$, such that $g = f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^o$ with $\theta_1 = (m + 1, \dots, r)$, $\theta_2 = (1, \dots, n)$. Furthermore $\max(m, n) \leq r \leq n + m$ and these bounds are achieved.*

Proof: Select $o \in A$ arbitrary but fixed.

Suppose that $r = n$. This occurs iff $\lceil \log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \rceil = 0$ and $m \leq n$. Now $\lceil \log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \rceil = 0$ iff $\max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| = 1$ iff g is injective. If $m = n$ then g is already bijective and we are done. If $m < n$ then define the partial map f on A^r by $f(x_1, \dots, x_m, o, \dots, o) = g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A$. Then f is a partial injective map on A^r , thus it can be completed to a bijection f of A^r . Then $f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^o = \kappa((m+1, \dots, n), o, f) = g$ and we are done.

Now suppose that $r > n$. For each $a \in A^n$, let $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)} \in A^m$ be a lexicographical ordering of $g^{-1}(a)$. Let $b^{(1)}, \dots, b^{(k)}$ be the first k elements of A^{r-n} in lexicographical order. Define $f(x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_m^{(i)}, o, \dots, o) = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_{(r-n)}^{(i)})$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Now f is a partial injective map on A^r , thus it can be completed to a bijection of A^r .

For any $z \in A^m$, let $b = g(z_1, \dots, z_m)$. Then $(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in g^{-1}(b)$, so $f(z_1, \dots, z_m, o, \dots, o) = (b_1, \dots, b_n, c_1, \dots, c_m)$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_m \in A$.

Thus $f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^o(z) = \mu(\theta_2, \kappa(\theta_1, (o, \dots, o), f))(z) = b = g(z)$, showing $f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^o = g$.

The average value of $|g^{-1}(a)|$ is $\frac{|A|^m}{|A|^n} = |A|^{m-n}$. Thus we know that $\lceil \log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \rceil \geq (m-n)$. In the case that $m \geq n$, this value can be minimised if all $|g^{-1}(a)|$ are equal to the average value, so $|g^{-1}(a)| = |A|^{m-n}$, so the minimum value of r is $m = \max(m, n)$. In the case that $m < n$, this value is minimised if g is injective, so $|g^{-1}(a)| \leq 1$ and thus $\lceil \log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \rceil = 0$ so $r = \max(m, n+0)$. The maximum value is obtained if g is constant, so there is some $a \in A^n$ such that $g^{-1}(a) = A^m$. In this case, $\log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| = m$ and thus $r = n + m$. \square

Corollary 3.2 ([16] Theorem 4.1) *Let A be a finite set. For all $g : A^m \rightarrow A^n$ there exists $r \leq n$, invertible $f : A^{m+r} \rightarrow A^{m+r}$, $o \in A$ and $\theta_1 \in \{1, \dots, m+r\}^m$, $\theta_2 \in \{1, \dots, m+r\}^n$ such that $g = f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^o$.*

Proof: Let r_0 be the value of r obtained in the theorem above. There are two possibilities for r_0 . If $r = m$ then we take $r = 0 < n$ in this theorem and we are done. If $r_0 = n + \lceil \log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \rceil$ then note that $g^{-1}(a) \subseteq A^m$ so $|g^{-1}(a)| \leq |A|^m$. So $r_0 \leq n + m$ so $r \leq n$ in this theorem and we are done. \square

3.1 Forms of Realisation

We now look at several ideas about generation, corresponding to different forms of closure. While the simplest definition talks about the multicloner

generated from a set of mappings, Toffoli introduces some interesting ideas about more general, engineering inspired forms of realisation. The following is a translation of his definitions to the language we have developed here.

Definition 3.3 *Let A be a set, $F \subseteq M(A)$. Then $K(F)$ is the set of all functions we can obtain from F by inserting constants using the κ operation. Hence $K(F)$ the closure under all possible applications of κ , including none.*

$S(F)$ is the set of all submappings of mappings in F . Then $S(F)$ is the closure under all possible applications of μ .

$R(F)$ is the collection of bijections in F , i.e. $R(F) = F \cap B(A)$.

Definition 3.4 *Let F be a collection of mappings on a set A . A mapping $g \in M(A)$ is said to be isomorphically realised by F [16, p. 8], or realized without ancilla bits [18], if $g \in C(F)$.*

Let A be a set, $F \subseteq M(A)$ and $g \in M_{m,n}(A)$.

- *We say g is realised by F if there exists some $f \in C(F) \cap M_{l,k}(A)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{l-m} \in A$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A$:*

$$g_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_{l-m}) \quad (5)$$

Equivalently, we can say $g \in SKC(F)$.

- *We say g is realised with no garbage if there exists some $f \in M_{l,n}(A) \cap C(F)$ satisfying (5), equivalently $g \in KC(F)$. Otherwise g is realised with garbage.*
- *We say g is realised with no constants if there exists some $f \in M_{m,k}(A) \cap C(F)$ satisfying (5), equivalently $g \in SC(F)$. Otherwise g is realised with constants.*

A mapping is isomorphically realised iff it is realised with no constants and no garbage, that is, that there exists a single function that satisfies both claims. This should not be confused with the claim that for any $F \subseteq M(A)$, $C(F) = SC(F) \cap KC(F)$, which remains open. The inclusion \subseteq is clear since $C(F) \subseteq SC(F)$ and $C(F) \subseteq KC(F)$ for any $F \subseteq M(A)$, the other inclusion is unclear.

However we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.5 *Let A be a set, $F \subseteq M(A)$ a collection of balanced maps, then $C(F) = SC(F) \cap KC(F)$. In particular, let A be a finite set, $F \subseteq B(A)$, then $C(F) = SC(F) \cap KC(F)$.*

Proof: By the comment above, we only need show that $SC(F) \cap KC(F) \subseteq C(F)$. Suppose $g \in SC(F) \cap KC(F)$ and $g \in M_{m,n}(A)$. Also note that all the operations of a Toffoli algebra map balanced maps to balanced maps, so $C(F)$ consists only of balanced maps.

Since $g \in KC(F)$ we know there exists some $h \in C(F) \cap M_{l,n}(A)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{l-m} \in A$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $g_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) = h_i(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_{l-m})$. Because h is balanced, $l = n$, so $m \leq n$.

Since $g \in SC(F)$ we know there exists some $\bar{h} \in C(F) \cap M_{m,k}(A)$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $g_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \bar{h}_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)$. Because \bar{h} is balanced, $m = k$, so $n \leq k$.

Combining these we see that $m = n = k = l$ so $g = h = \bar{h}$ and $g \in C(F)$ so we are done.

We know that when A is finite, bijections in $B(A)$ are balanced, so we obtain the second result. \square

This language allows us to rephrase Corollary 3.2 as:

Corollary 3.6 *Let A be a finite set. For all $g \in M_{m,n}(A)$, g is realised by $B_{m+n}(A)$.*

There is a special class of realisations that have constants and garbage, but in some way do not use them up, the garbage representing the constants.

Definition 3.7 *Let A be a set, $F \subseteq M(A)$ and $g \in M_{m,n}(A)$. Then g is realised with temporary storage by F if there exists some $f \in C(F)$, $f \in M_{l,k}(A)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{l-m} \in A$ such that $n + l - m = k$ and*

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : g_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_{l-m}) \quad (6)$$

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, l - m\} \forall x_1, \dots, x_m \in A :$$

$$f_{n+i}(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_{l-m}) = a_i \quad (7)$$

Definition 3.8 *Let A be a set, $F \subseteq M(A)$ and $g \in M_{m,n}(A)$. Then g is realised with strong temporary storage by F if there exists some $f \in C(F)$, $f \in M_{l,k}(A)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{l-m} \in A$ such that $n + l - m = k$ and*

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : g_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_{l-m})$$

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, l - m\} \forall x_1, \dots, x_m \in A :$$

$$f_{n+i}(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_{l-m}) = a_i$$

$$\forall b_1, \dots, b_m \in A : (x_1, \dots, x_{l-m}) \mapsto (f_{n+1}(b_1, \dots, b_m, x_1, \dots, x_{l-m}), \dots, f_k(b_1, \dots, b_m, x_1, \dots, x_{l-m})) \in B_{l-m}(A)$$

Let $F \subseteq M(A)$ be some mappings on A . Then let $T(F)$ be the set of mappings realised with temporary storage by F , $T_S(F)$ the set of mappings realised with strong temporary storage by F .

These concepts and language are introduced based on Toffoli's work. When we compose maps, this temporary storage allows us to use the garbage again in the subsequent function, as we know what it looks like. Thus the extra inputs and outputs are in some sense not garbage, as they are appropriately recycled and reduce waste information. In the engineering perspective, this reduces waste heat in implementations.

Let's look at an example. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}_n$, $f(x, y) = (2x + y, xy)$. Then the map $g(x) = 2x$ is realised with temporary storage by $\{f\}$, since $g(x) = f_1(x, 0)$ and $f_2(x, 0) = 0$ for all x . However g is not realised with strong temporary storage, because $y \mapsto f_2(0, y) \notin B_1(A)$.

Lemma 3.9 *Let A be a finite set, $F \subseteq B(A)$. Then $T_S(F) \subseteq T(F) \subseteq B(A)$.*

Proof: The first inclusion is clear, as the definition of strong temporary storage is stricter than the definition of temporary storage.

Let $g \in T(F)$. This means there is some $f \in C(F)$, $a_1, \dots, a_{l-m} \in A$ satisfying the requirements (6) and (7) above. We know that $f \in B(A)$ because $F \subseteq B(A)$. Thus f is balanced, so $l = k$ and thus $n = m$. By (7), f fixes $\{(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1 \dots, a_{l-m}) \mid x_1, \dots, x_m \in A\}$ as a set. Because f is a bijection, f is a permutation of $\{(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1 \dots, a_{l-m}) \mid x_1, \dots, x_m \in A\}$. By the definition of g in (6), g is a permutation of A^m , so $g \in B(A)$. Thus $T(F) \subseteq B(A)$ and we are done. \square

4 THE FUNCTIONS OF A REVCLONE

In Toffoli's "Fundamental Theorem," our Corollary 3.2, he shows that all maps and thus all functions can be realised by the full reversible Toffoli algebra. If we look at clones, this means that the clone of all functions on A can be realised, i.e. $\mathcal{O}^A \subseteq KS(B(A))$.

Let A be a set. Let $F \subseteq M(A)$. We call $S_1(F) = \{\mu((1), f) \mid f \in F\} = \{f_1 \mid f \in F\}$ the *function set* of F .

Theorem 4.1 *Let F be a Toffoli algebra. Then $S_1(F)$ is a linear term algebra with projections.*

Proof: The operations τ and ζ act the same in the reversible Toffoli algebra and the linear term algebra. Let $f, g \in F$, then $\nabla(f_1) = (\bar{\tau}(i_1 \oplus f))_1$. Also

$f_1 * g_1 = (f \circ_1 g)_1$. Thus F being closed as a multiclon means that $S_1(F)$ is closed as a linear term algebra.

Moreover, the constants $\pi_\alpha \in F$ mean that all projections are also in $S_1(F)$, so with $(1\ i) \in S_n$, $\pi_i^n = (\pi_{(1\ i)})_1$. \square

This also applies for reversible Toffoli algebras. Note that we obtain the ∇ operation “for free” from the other operators. In general we see the following.

Corollary 4.2 *Let F be a multiclon. Then $S_1(F)$ is a clone.*

Proof: A multiclon is a Toffoli algebra closed under the Δ and ∇ operations on multiclones. By Theorem 4.1 we have ∇ operating on $S_1(F)$. For any $f_1 \in S_1(F)$, $\Delta(f_1) = (\Delta f)_1$ and we have clone closure. \square

This justifies the use of the expression “clone” in our expression multiclon.

Note that the mapping $F \mapsto \{f_1 \mid f \in F\}$ is not injective. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $C = \bigcup_n GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_5) \subseteq B(A)$ a reversible Toffoli algebra,

$$D = \bigcup_n \{-1, 1\}SGL(n, \mathbb{Z}_5) = \{f \in C \mid \det f \in \{-1, 1\}\}$$

a subclone. D is a proper sub reversible Toffoli algebra of C , by the determinant. Both $GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_5)$ and $D \cap B_n(A)$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}_5^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ so both reversible Toffoli algebras have function sets that are $\bigcup_n \{f : A^n \rightarrow A \mid f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum a_i x_i, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_5, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0\}$, the clone of nonzero linear forms on \mathbb{Z}_5 .

Corollary 4.3 *Let A be a finite set, let F be a reversible Toffoli algebra. Then $g \in S_1(F)$, g of arity m implies that for all $a \in A$, $|g^{-1}(a)| = |A|^{(m-1)}$.*

Proof: This is a corollary of Theorem 3.1. Let $g = \mu((1), f)$ for some $f \in F$, f has arity m . By requiring that the reversible mapping has the same arity, we require $r = m$ and $n = 1$ in the statement of the theorem. Because $r = \max(m, 1 + \lceil \log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \rceil) = m$, we know that $\log_{|A|} \max_{a \in A^n} |g^{-1}(a)| \leq m - 1$. However the average value of $|g^{-1}(a)|$ is $|A|^{m-1}$ so the average value must be equal to the maximal value, so all $|g^{-1}(a)|$ are equal and we are done. \square

5 SOME RESULTS ABOUT REALISATION

Here we collect some results on reversible mappings. Much of this is based upon section 5 in [16], with the extension to Theorem 5.11.

Definition 5.1 Let A be a set. Let α be a permutation of A , $o \in A$ some constant, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n > 1$, define $TG(n, \alpha, o) \in B_n(A)$ by

$$TG(n, \alpha, o)(x_1, \dots, x_n)_i = x_i \quad i < n$$

$$TG(n, \alpha, o)(x_1, \dots, x_n)_n = \begin{cases} \alpha(x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = o \\ x_n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $TG(1, \alpha, o)(x) = \alpha(x)$. Then $TG(n, \alpha, o) \in B_n$ is an invertible mapping, the Toffoli Gate induced by n , α and o .

In [16], this mapping is defined for $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$ with α swapping 0 and 1, $o = 1$, written as $\theta^{(n)} = TG(n, (01), 1)$.

Definition 5.2 Let A be a set. A permutation $f \in B_n$ is elementary if there exist distinct $x, y \in A^n$ such that $f(x) = y$, $f(y) = x$ and all other elements of A^n are fixed. An elementary permutation is atomic if x and y only differ in one entry, i.e. $x_i = y_i$ for all i except one.

Lemma 5.3 Let A be a set. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $o \in A$. Let $f \in B_n(A)$ be atomic. Then f can be isomorphically realised by $\{TG(n, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A\} \cup \{TG(1, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A\}$.

Proof: Suppose f exchanges $x, y \in A^n$ which differ at position i . Then $\pi_{(i,n)} \circ_n f \circ_n \pi_{(i,n)}$ is atomic, exchanging two vectors that differ at position n . Wlog suppose f is of this form. Let $\alpha_i := (o, x_i) \in S_A$ be a transposition of A . Let $\beta_i = TG(1, \alpha_i, o)$ for $i < n$, β_n the identity. Then $\beta = \beta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_n \in B_n$ is an involution, generated by $TG(1, \alpha_i, o)$ as a reversible Toffoli algebra. Note that $\beta(x)_i = \beta(y)_i = o$ for $i < n$.

Note that $f(\beta(z)) = \beta(z)$ unless $\beta(z) = x$ respectively $\beta(z) = y$. But $\beta(z) = x$ (resp. $\beta(z) = y$) iff $z_i = o$ for all $i < n$ and $z_n = x_n$ (resp. $z_n = y_n$). So $\beta \bullet f \bullet \beta$ fixes all elements of A^n except (o, \dots, o, x_n) and (o, \dots, o, y_n) , which it exchanges. Thus $\beta \bullet f \bullet \beta = TG(n, (x_n, y_n), o)$, so f is in the reversible Toffoli algebra generated by $\{TG(n, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A\} \cup \{TG(1, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A\}$. \square

Theorem 5.4 ([16] Thm 5.1) Let A be a set. Any $f \in B_n(A)$ can be isomorphically realised by atomic permutations.

Proof: If f is the identity, then $f = i_n$ and we are done. Suppose f is nontrivial. Any permutation can be written as a product of elementary permutations. So wlog, let f be elementary, exchanging $x, y \in A^n$.

Define a sequence $(a^{(i)} \in A^n \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1)$ such that for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, $(a^{(k)})_i = y_i$ if $i < k$, $(a^{(k)})_i = x_i$ otherwise. Then $a^{(1)} = x$, $a^{(n+1)} = y$. Note that $a^{(i)} = a^{(i+1)}$ or they differ in position i . Thus $f_i \in B_n(A)$ exchanging $a^{(i)}$ and $a^{(i+1)}$ is an atomic permutation. Now the permutation $f = f_1 \bullet f_2 \bullet \dots \bullet f_{n-1} \bullet f_n \bullet f_{n-1} \bullet \dots \bullet f_1$ is a product of atomic permutations, so we are done. \square

Corollary 5.5 *Let A be a finite set, say $A = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then $B_n(A)$ is realised by $\{TG(n, \alpha, 1) \mid \alpha \in \{(1, 2), (1, \dots, k)\}\} \cup \{(1, 2), (1, \dots, k)\}$.*

Proof: We remind ourselves that $TG(1, \alpha, 1) = \alpha$ and thus see that the four gates listed here generate $\{TG(n, \alpha, 1) \mid \alpha \in S_A\} \cup \{TG(1, \alpha, 1) \mid \alpha \in S_A\}$. By Lemma 5.3 this is enough to generate all atomic permutations and thus by Theorem 5.4, enough to generate $B_n(A)$. \square

This is one possible correct form of the result hoped for in Conjecture 1 of [18]. The conjecture can be demonstrated to be false using calculations in GAP [6] for A of order 5 which can be understood as follows, using a description kindly supplied by Erkki Lehtonen in a private communication.

Conjecture 5.6 (Conjecture 1 of [18]) *Let $m \geq 3$, $n \geq 2$ be integers, $A = \mathbb{Z}_m$. Then $B_n(A)$ is generated by the following permutations, where addition is modulo m .*

- Let $(ij) \in S_n$, $\sigma_{ij} = \pi_{(ij)}$
- Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, let $\nu_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$
- For all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, let

$$\Upsilon(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} (x_1 + 1, 0, \dots, 0) & \text{if } x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This can be falsified by considering the parities of the permutations.

The permutation σ_{ij} is a product of $m^{n-1}(m-1)/2$ transpositions. Thus σ_{ij} is odd iff $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or $(m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } n = 2)$.

Every element of A^n belongs to a cycle of length m of ν_i . Thus ν_i is a product of m^{n-1} cycles of length m , each of which is made of $m-1$ transpositions, so ν_i is a product of $m^{n-1}(m-1)$ transpositions, which is always even.

The permutation Υ is a cycle of length m , thus is even iff m is odd.

Thus for $m = 5$ or more generally $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ all of these permutations are even so they cannot generate $B_n(A)$ for any n .

For m even, $n \geq 2$, the conjecture might hold, calculations in GAP for small values find no contradiction. So we obtain the following conjecture that a smaller generating set might suffice.

Conjecture 5.7 For $A = \{1, \dots, m\}$ even, $n \geq 2$,

$$B_n(A) = C(\{(1, \dots, m), TG(n, (1, \dots, m), 1)\})$$

Below we will see that a small generating set exists for A of odd order.

It is worth noting that the main result in [18] is also subtly wrong for $n = 1$, as the permutation $(0\ 1)$ is not generated. The authors use an interesting property of ternary logic that any permutation of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ (written in \mathbb{Z}_3) can be written as $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ n & n+1 & n+1+1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ n & n+2 & n+2+2 \end{pmatrix}$ for some n , leading to their minimal generating set for $n \geq 2$. For this reason it might be that their result only applies for ternary alphabets.

The following results lets us calculate precisely what the n -ary part of a reversible Toffoli algebra looks like, given the generators.

Theorem 5.8 Let A be a set. Let $F \subseteq B(A)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F} = \{ & \bar{f} \mid f \in F, \text{ arity } f = m < n, \bar{f} = f \oplus i_{n-m} \} \\ & \cup \{ f \in F \mid \text{ arity } f = n \} \cup \{ \pi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the group $(\langle \bar{F} \rangle; \circ_n)$ is equal to $(C(F) \cap B_n(A); \circ_n)$.

Proof: Both groups are subgroups of $(B_n(A); \circ_n)$, so we need only prove they are equal as sets.

We proceed by induction. For the case $n = 1$, \bar{F} consists of the permutations of A within F . Then the subgroup of S_A generated by these permutations is precisely $C(F) \cap B_1(A) = C(F) \cap S_A$. Then we proceed with our induction. Suppose our claim holds up to $n - 1$.

(\subseteq): Every element $\bar{f} = f \oplus i_{n-m}$ of \bar{F} is within $C(F)$. The arity of all elements of \bar{F} is n so they are all within $B_n(A)$. So this inclusion holds.

(\supseteq): Let $f \in C(F) \cap B_n(A)$, so f is either in \bar{F} or is a term of the form:

1. $f = g \oplus h$ for some $g, h \in C(F)$, both of smaller arity than n .
2. $f = g \circ_k h$ for some $g, h \in C(F)$, both of smaller arity than n , $k < n$.
3. $f = g \circ_k h$ for some $g, h \in C(F)$, exactly one of them in $B_n(A)$, so the other one has arity k , $k < n$.

4. $f = g \circ_n h$ for some $g, h \in C(F) \cap B_n(A)$.

In case 1, let m be the arity of g , so h has arity $n - m$. We know from our induction hypothesis that g is a product $g = \bar{g}_1 \circ_m \dots \circ_m \bar{g}_l$ of elements of the form $\bar{g}_j = f_j \oplus i_{m_j}$ for some $f_j \in F$ with arity less than m , $\bar{g}_j = f_j$ for some $f_j \in F$ with arity m , or $\bar{g}_j = \pi_{\alpha_j}$ for some permutation $\alpha_j \in S_m$. Then let $\phi_j = f_j \oplus i_{m_j+n-m} = f_j \oplus i_{m_j} \oplus i_{n-m} \in \bar{F}$ respectively $\phi_j = f_j \oplus i_{n-m} \in \bar{F}$ respectively $\phi_j = \pi_{\alpha_j} \oplus i_{n-m} = \pi_{\beta_j}$ where $\beta_j \in S_n$ is equal to α_j on $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and fixes the elements $\{m+1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\phi_1 \circ_n \dots \circ_n \phi_l = g \oplus i_{n-m}$, so $g \oplus i_{n-m} \in \langle \bar{F} \rangle$.

Similarly we can write $h \oplus i_m$ as an element of $\langle \bar{F} \rangle$. Let δ be the permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ defined by

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m & (m+1) & \dots & n \\ (n-m+1) & \dots & n & 1 & \dots & (n-m) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\pi_{\delta^{-1}} \circ_n (h \oplus i_m) \circ_n \pi_{\delta} \circ_n (g \oplus i_{n-m}) = g \oplus h$$

Thus we see that $f \in (\langle \bar{F} \rangle; \circ_n)$.

In case 2 we proceed similarly. In this case g is m -ary and h is $n - m + k$ -ary. We use the same techniques as above to show that $g \oplus i_{n-m}, h \oplus i_{m-k} \in \langle \bar{F} \rangle$. Let δ be the permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ given by

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & k & (k+1) & \dots & (n-m+k) & (n-m+k+1) & \dots & n \\ 1 & \dots & k & (m+1) & \dots & n & (k+1) & \dots & m \end{pmatrix}$$

so $\pi_{\delta} \in \bar{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (g \oplus i_{n-m}) \circ_n \pi_{\delta} \circ_n (h \oplus i_{m-k})(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (g \oplus i_{n-m}) \circ_n \pi_{\delta}(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \dots, h_{n-m+k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \\ & \quad x_{n-m+k+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (g \oplus i_{n-m})(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \dots, h_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \\ & \quad x_{n-m+k+1}, \dots, x_n, \\ & \quad h_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \dots, h_{n-m+k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k})) \\ &= (g_1(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \dots, h_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), x_{n-m+k+1}, \dots, x_n), \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad g_m(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \dots, h_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), x_{n-m+k+1}, \dots, x_n), \\ & \quad h_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k}), \dots, h_{n-m+k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m+k})) \\ &= g \circ_k h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $f \in \langle \bar{F} \rangle; \circ_n$.

In case 3 we have $k < n$ then one of the terms is of lower arity, so as above we can write $g \oplus i_{n-k}$ (respectively $h \oplus i_{n-k}$) as an element of $B_n(A)$ and have $f = (g \oplus i_{n-k}) \circ_n h$ (respectively $f = g \circ_n (h \oplus i_{n-k})$). Then we have the next case.

In case 4 we have two terms of arity n but of strictly lower term complexity. We use induction on term complexity. For the initial case, we look at the trivial terms $t \in C(F) \cap B_n(A)$. These are either elements of F or the permutations π_α for $\alpha \in S_n$. In both these cases, $t \in \bar{F}$ so $t \in \langle \bar{F} \rangle$. Now we look at $f = g \circ_n h$. Both g and h have lower term complexity than f . Thus we know that $g, h \in \langle \bar{F} \rangle$. Thus $f = g \circ_n h \in \langle \bar{F} \rangle$ and we are done. \square

We can apply this to obtain a generalisation of one of Toffoli's results.

Corollary 5.9 ([16] Thm 5.2) *Let A be a finite set of even order, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $B_n(A)$ is not isomorphically realised by $\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < n\}$ for any $o \in A$*

Proof: For $n = 1$ the set of generators is empty, so we only have the identity permutation. For $n = 2$, we calculate the value of $|C(\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < n\}) \cap B_2(A)|$ and $|B_2(A)|$. First note that $\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < n\} = \{TG(1, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A\}$ so our generating set consists only of permutations of entries in A . We see that $C(\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < n\}) \cap B_2(A)$ thus consists only of bijections that map $(a, b) \in A^2$ to (a^α, b^β) or (b^β, a^α) for some $\alpha, \beta \in S_A$. Thus $|C(\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < 2\}) \cap B_2(A)| = |S_A|^2 2 = 2(|A|!)^2$. On the other hand, $|B_2(A)| = (|A|!)^2$ which is strictly greater than $2(|A|!)^2$ for all A with at least two elements.

We carry on for the other cases. Using the terminology in Theorem 5.8, we note that $\overline{TG}(i, \alpha, o) = TG(i, \alpha, o) \oplus i_{n-i}$. The action of $\tau = TG(i, \alpha, o) \oplus i_{n-i}$ on A^n , when not identity, is of the form

$$\tau(o, \dots, o, a, a_1, \dots, a_{n-i}) = (o, \dots, o, \alpha(a), a_1, \dots, a_{n-i})$$

If we write α as a product of transpositions $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k$ we can use the expression above to see that there will be $k|A|^{n-i}$ transpositions when we write the action of τ on A^n . Thus $\overline{TG}(i, \alpha, o) = TG(i, \alpha, o) \oplus i_{n-i}$ is an even permutation in $B_n(A)$.

The action of π_β for $\beta \in S_n$ acting on A^n can also be calculated. If β is a transposition in S_n , say $\beta = (ij)$, then π_β acts nontrivially on $(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i \neq a_j$. There are $\frac{|A|(|A|-1)}{2} (|A|)^{n-2}$ such tuple pairs. This number is even when $|A|$ is even, except in the case $n = 2$ (when $|A| \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$) which we dealt with above.

From Theorem 5.8, we know that the arity n part of $C(\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < n\})$ is generated by precisely these permutations, which are all even. Thus for some $a, b \in A, a \neq b, TG(n, (a, b), a)$ is not in the generated reversible Toffoli algebra and thus $B_n(A)$ is not isomorphically realised by $\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < n\}$. \square

The following has been noted using examples in GAP[6].

Conjecture 5.10 *Let A be a finite set of even order, $n \geq 3, F = \{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < n, o \in A\}$. We claim that $C(F) \cap B_n$ is a subgroup of B_n isomorphic to the alternating group on A^n , except for $|A| = 2, n = 3$.*

Note added in referee process of this manuscript: The conjecture has been proven in [3].

The restriction shown in Corollary 5.9 does not hold for A odd, as we see in the following result. Moreover, it shows that we only need use the Toffoli gates of arity 1 and 2 to obtain all bijections on A .

Theorem 5.11 *Let A be a finite set of odd order. Then $B(A)$ is isomorphically realised by*

$$T_2 = \{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i < 3\} = S_A \cup \{TG(2, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A\}$$

for any $o \in A$.

Proof: Let $|A| = k$, wlog $A = \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $o = 1$. We proceed by induction on n , i.e. showing that $B_n(A) \subseteq C(T_2)$. Our start is for $n = 2$, given by the hypothesis. We assume we have shown the claim up to n . We first show that we can obtain $TG(n+1, (12), o)$ from $\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A, i \leq n\}$. Let $\gamma = (n \ n+1) \in S_{n+1}$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 = & (TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, (12), 1) \oplus i_1) \\ & \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, (12), 1)) \\ & \bullet (TG(n, (1 \dots k), 1) \oplus i_1) \in B_{n+1}(A) \end{aligned}$$

We calculate.

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ = & \begin{cases} (TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \dots \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, (12), 1))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}, x_{n+1}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1 \\ (TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \dots \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, (12), 1))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\
\quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = k \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}, x_{n+1}) \\
\quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq k \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\
\quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
\quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1
\end{array} \right. \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, (12), 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}^{(12)}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}) \\
\quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = k \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, (12), 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}) \\
\quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq k \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, (12), 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}^{(12)}, x_n) \\
\quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, (12), 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \\
\quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1
\end{array} \right. \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}^{(12)(12)}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}) \\
\quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = k \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}^{(12)}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}) \\
\quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq k \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}^{(12)}, x_n) \\
\quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\
(TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \\
\quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}, x_{n+1}) \\ \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = k \\ (TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1 \dots k)}, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\ \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq k \\ (TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\ \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ (TG(n, (1 \dots k)^{-1}, 1) \oplus i_1) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{array} \right. \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = k \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq k \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that Σ_1 is nonidentity iff:

1. Not all of $x_1 \dots, x_{n-1}$ are 1 and $x_n = 1$, then $x_{n+1} \mapsto x_{n+1}^{(12)}$, or
2. $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1$ and $x_n \neq k$, then $x_{n+1} \mapsto x_{n+1}^{(12)}$.

For $m \in \{2, \dots, k-1\}$, let

$$\sigma_m = (TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, (12), 1)) \bullet (TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1) \in B_{n+1}(A)$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_m(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, (12), 1))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1m)}, x_{n+1}) \\ \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1 \\ (TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, (12), 1))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1 \end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1 \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n^{(1m)}, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\
& \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = m \\
& \left(TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1 \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n^{(1m)}, x_{n+1}) \\
& \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq m \\
& \left(TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1 \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\
& \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\
& \left(TG(n, (1m), 1) \oplus i_1 \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \\
& \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(12)} \right) \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = m \\
& \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \right) \quad \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq m \\
& \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(12)} \right) \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\
& \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \right) \quad \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1
\end{aligned}$$

Once again this function is almost always identity, σ_m is nonidentity iff:

1. Not all of x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} are 1 and $x_n = 1$, then $x_{n+1} \mapsto x_{n+1}^{(12)}$, or
2. $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1$ and $x_n = m$, then $x_{n+1} \mapsto x_{n+1}^{(12)}$.

Then define

$$\Sigma_2 = \sigma_{k-1} \bullet \dots \bullet \sigma_2$$

We have then that Σ_2 is nonidentity iff

1. Not all of x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} are 1 and $x_n = 1$, then $x_{n+1} \mapsto x_{n+1}^{(12)^{k-2}} = x_{n+1}^{(12)}$ because k and thus $k-2$ are odd, or
2. $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1$ and $x_n \in \{2, \dots, k-1\}$, then $x_{n+1} \mapsto x_{n+1}^{(12)}$.

We see that $\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1$ is identity unless one of the factors is nonidentity.

There are three cases:

1. Both are nonidentity by their first case. Then not all of x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} are 1 and $x_n = 1$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1(x_1 \dots x_{n+1}) &= \Sigma_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\
&= (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(12)(12)}) \\
&= (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

so $\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1$ is the identity.

2. Both are nonidentity by their second case, so $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1$ and $x_n \in \{2, \dots, k-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1(x_1 \dots x_{n+1}) &= \Sigma_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(12)(12)}) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})\end{aligned}$$

so $\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1$ is the identity.

3. Only Σ_1 is nonidentity by the second case, so $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1(x_1 \dots x_{n+1}) &= \Sigma_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(12)}) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{(12)})\end{aligned}$$

so we have the only case that $\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1$ is nonidentity.

Thus we see that $\Sigma_2 \bullet \Sigma_1 = TG(n+1, (12), 1)$.

We now look at $TG(n+1, (1 \dots k), 1)$. Because the group generated by $(1 \dots k)$ is cyclic of odd order, the homomorphism $x \mapsto x^2$ of this group is an automorphism. Thus there exists some β such that $\beta^2 = (1 \dots k)$. This will also be a k -cycle, write $\beta = (\beta_1 \dots \beta_k)$. Let $k = 2l + 1$. Define $\alpha = (\beta_1 \beta_k)(\beta_2 \beta_{k-1}) \dots (\beta_l \beta_{l+2})$. Then $\alpha \beta \alpha = \beta^{-1}$, so $\beta \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha = \beta^2 = (1 \dots k)$.

Let $\gamma = (n \ n+1) \in S_{n+1}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma &= \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, \beta^{-1}, 1)) \bullet \pi_\gamma \\ &\quad \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1) \bullet \pi_\gamma \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, \beta, 1)) \in B_{n+1}(A)\end{aligned}$$

We calculate.

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \begin{cases} \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^\beta) & \text{if } x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if } x_n \neq 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^\beta, x_n) & \text{if } x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) & \text{if } x_n \neq 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{\beta\alpha}, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^\beta, x_n) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^\alpha, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \dots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, \beta^{-1}, 1)) & (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{\beta\alpha}) \\ & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, \beta^{-1}, 1)) & (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^\beta) \\ & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, \beta^{-1}, 1)) & (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^\alpha) \\ & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet (i_{n-1} \oplus TG(2, \beta^{-1}, 1)) & (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{\beta\beta^{-1}}) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^\alpha) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet \cdots \bullet \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}}, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^\alpha, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq 1 \\ \pi_\gamma \bullet (TG(n, \alpha, 1) \oplus i_1)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha}, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}^\alpha, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq 1 \\ \pi_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n = 1 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1, x_n \neq 1 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \neq 1, x_n \neq 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{(1\dots k)}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $\Sigma = TG(n+1, (1, \dots, k), 1)$.

We have shown that we can realise the two Toffoli gates that generate all of $\{TG(n+1, \alpha, o) \mid \alpha \in S_A\}$. Thus by Corollary 5.5, $B_{n+1}(A)$ is realised for all n , so by induction, all of $B(A)$ is realised. \square

Thus we have a small generating set for the bijections.

Corollary 5.12 *Let $A = \{1, \dots, k\}$ be of odd order k . Let $\alpha = (1 \dots k)$, $\beta = (12) \in S_A$. Then $B(A)$ is realised by $\{\alpha, \beta, TG(2, \alpha, 1), TG(2, \beta, 1)\}$.*

We see that when A is of odd order, $TG(i + 1, \alpha, 1)$ is in the reversible Toffoli algebra generated by $\{TG(i, \alpha, 1) \mid \alpha \in S_A\}$, which is not the case for even order A . We see a distinct property looking at closure with temporary storage in the following. Note that $TG(n, \alpha, o) = \mu((2, 3, \dots, n + 1), \kappa(1, o, TG(n + 1, \alpha, o)))$ so $TG(n, \alpha, o)$ is realised with strong temporary storage from $TG(n + 1, \alpha, o)$. We see that closure under T_S is a stronger form of generation.

Theorem 5.13 ([16] Thm 5.3) *Let A be a set, $o \in A$. For every n , for every α , $TG(n, \alpha, o)$ can be realised with strong temporary storage by $\{TG(i, \alpha, o) \mid i \leq 3, \alpha \in S_A\}$.*

Proof: We proceed by induction. Assume that we can construct $TG(n - 1, \alpha, o)$ for all α . Let $p \in A$ some non- o element, $\beta = (op)$ be an transposition of A . Define $f \in B_{n+1}(A)$ by

$$f = (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2) \bullet (i_{n-2} \oplus TG(3, \alpha, o)) \bullet (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2) \bullet (i_{n-2} \oplus TG(3, \alpha, o))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}^\beta, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = o \\ (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2) \bullet (i_{n-2} \oplus TG(3, \alpha, o))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}^\beta, x_n, x_{n+1}^\alpha) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = x_{n-1}^\beta = x_n = o \\ (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}^\beta, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = o \wedge (x_{n-1}^\beta \neq o \vee x_n \neq o) \\ (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}^\alpha) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} \neq o, x_{n-1} = x_n = o \\ (TG(n - 1, \beta, o) \oplus i_2)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}^\alpha) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = x_{n-1}^\beta = x_n = o \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = o \wedge \\ & (x_{n-1}^\beta \neq o \vee x_n \neq o) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}^\alpha) & \text{if some } x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} \neq o, x_{n-1} = x_n = o \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_i$ for all $i \leq n$.

Let g be the reduct

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu((1, 2, \dots, n-2, n, n+1), \kappa(n-1, p, f))$$

From above we obtain, knowing that $p^\beta = o$ and $p \neq o$

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(n-1, p, f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &= \begin{cases} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n^\alpha) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = p^\beta = x_{n-1} = o \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = o \wedge \\ & (p^\beta \neq o \vee x_{n-1} \neq o) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n^\alpha) & \text{if } x_j \neq o \exists j \leq n-2, \\ & p = x_{n-1} = o \text{ [contradiction]} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n^\alpha) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = x_{n-1} = o \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = o \wedge (x_{n-1} \neq o) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n^\alpha) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = x_{n-1} = o \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, p, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \mu((1, 2, \dots, n-2, n, n+1), \kappa(n-1, p, f))(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \begin{cases} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n^\alpha) & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = x_{n-1} = o \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= TG(n, \alpha, o) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $f_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_{n-1}$, so we have strong temporary storage, thus completing our claim. \square

Thus we see some essential differences between isomorphic realisation and realisation with (strong) temporary storage. In the next section we will further investigate the differences between certain types of realisation and closure.

6 VARIOUS CLOSURES

The following result shows us how the various class and closure operators that we have seen above relate and thus we will be able to determine information about the relationships between various types of closure.

Theorem 6.1 $KK = K$, $SS = S$, $CC = C$, $C_\Delta C_\Delta = C_\Delta$, $SC = CS$, $KC = CK$, $C_\Delta K = KC_\Delta$, $C_\Delta S = SC_\Delta$ and $SK = KS$.

Proof: The K and S operators are idempotent as a simple implication of the definitions. The C and C_Δ operators are idempotent because they are algebraic closure operations.

To show that $SC = CS$ we show that all Toffoli algebra operations commute with s . We use the operation set $\{\oplus, \pi_\alpha, \circ_k \mid \alpha \in S_{(\mathbb{N})}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We start with the inclusion $SC \subseteq CS$. Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $g \in M_{l,p}(A)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \{1, \dots, m+p\}^r$ without repetitions, $\alpha \in S_m$.

Let $J' \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $j \in J'$ iff $I_j \leq m$. Write $J' = \{j_1, \dots, j_t\}$ in ascending order $j_1 < \dots < j_t$, then define $J = (j_1, \dots, j_t) \in \{1, \dots, r\}^t$. Then let $I' = (I_{j_i} \mid i \in \{1, \dots, t\}) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^t$. Let $\{1, \dots, r\} \setminus J = \{\bar{j}_1, \dots, \bar{j}_u\}$. Then let $I'' = (I_{\bar{j}_i} - m \mid i \in \{1, \dots, u\}) \in \{1, \dots, p\}^u$. Finally define $\beta \in S_r$ with

$$\beta : i \mapsto h \text{ such that } \begin{cases} h = j_i & \text{if } i \leq t \\ h = \bar{j}_{i-t} & \text{if } i > t \end{cases}$$

which can be written as

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & t & t+1 & \dots & r \\ j_1 & \dots & j_t & \bar{j}_1 & \dots & \bar{j}_u \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $i \leq t$ iff $I_{\beta(i)} \leq m$ and that $I_i = I'_{\beta^{-1}i}$ if $I_i \leq m$, $I_i = I''_{(\beta^{-1}i)-t} + m$ otherwise. Then we claim that

$$\mu(I, f \oplus g) = \pi_\beta(\mu(I', f) \oplus \mu(I'', g))$$

The left hand side is

$$\mu(I, f \oplus g)_i = \begin{cases} (f)_{I_i} & \text{if } I_i \leq m \\ (g)_{I_i - m} & \text{if } I_i > m \end{cases}$$

The right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\beta(\mu(I', f) \oplus \mu(I'', g))_i &= (\mu(I', f) \oplus \mu(I'', g))_{\beta^{-1}i} \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu(I', f)_{\beta^{-1}i} & \text{if } \beta^{-1}i \leq t \\ \mu(I'', g)_{(\beta^{-1}i) - t} & \text{if } \beta^{-1}i > t \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (f)_{I'_{\beta^{-1}i}} & \text{if } \beta^{-1}i \leq t \\ (g)_{I''_{(\beta^{-1}i) - t}} & \text{if } \beta^{-1}i > t \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (f)_{I_i} & \text{if } I_i \leq m \\ (g)_{I_i - m} & \text{if } I_i > m \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which shows our claim.

It is a simple calculation that

$$\mu(I, \pi_\alpha f) = \mu(\alpha^{-1}(I), f)$$

where α^{-1} acts upon the entries in I , so $(\alpha^{-1}I)_i = \alpha^{-1}(I_i)$.

For composition, we use a similar argument to the \oplus case above. Assume that I is increasing. Let t be such that $I_t < m$ and $I_{t+1} > m$ and let $I' = (I_1, \dots, I_t)$. Let $I'' = (1, \dots, k) \oplus (I_{t+1} - m + k, \dots, I_r - m + k)$. We claim that

$$\mu(I, f \circ_k g) = \mu(I', f) \circ_k \mu(I'', g)$$

The left hand side is

$$\mu(I, f \circ_k g)_i = \begin{cases} f_{I_i} \circ_k g & \text{if } i \leq t \\ g_{I_i - m + k} & \text{if } i > t \end{cases}$$

The right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu(I', f) \circ_k \mu(I'', g))_i &= \begin{cases} \mu(I', f)_i \circ_k \mu(I'', g) & \text{if } i \leq t \\ \mu(I'', g)_{i - t + k} & \text{if } i > t \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} f_{I'_i} \circ_k g & \text{if } i \leq t \text{ because of the first } k \text{ entries in } I'' \\ g_{I''_{i - t + k}} & \text{if } i > t \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} f_{I_i} \circ_k g & \text{if } i \leq t \\ g_{I_i - m + k} & \text{if } i > t \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Which is what we wanted. If I is not increasing, then there is a permutation $\beta \in S_r$ such that $\pi_\beta I$ is increasing. Then

$$\mu(I, f \circ_k g) = \pi_{\beta^{-1}} \mu(\pi_\beta I, f \circ_k g) = \pi_{\beta^{-1}} (\mu(I', f) \circ_k \mu(I'', g))$$

Thus we see that $SC \subseteq CS$.

For the converse, i.e. $CS \subseteq SC$, we use similar techniques. Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $g \in M_{l,p}(A)$, $t, u \in \mathbb{N}$, $I' \in \{1, \dots, m\}^t$, $I'' \in \{1, \dots, p\}^u$, $\alpha \in S_t$.

Let $I = I' \oplus (I'' + m)$, i.e. $I_i = I'_i$ for $i \leq t$, $I_i = I''_{i-t} + m$ for $i > t$. Then it is a simple calculation to see that

$$\mu(I', f) \oplus \mu(I'', g) = \mu(I, f \oplus g)$$

Let $I \in \{1, \dots, m\}^t$, define $I_i^\alpha = I_{\alpha^{-1}i}$. Then we see that

$$\pi_\alpha \mu(I, f) = \mu(I^\alpha, f)$$

Let $\beta \in S_p$ be defined by $\beta^{-1}(i) = I''_i$ for $i \leq u$, with the rest filled in to make it a permutation. Because I'' contains no repeats, we know this can be done. Let $k \leq \min(n, u)$. Then we claim that

$$\mu(I', f) \circ_k \mu(I'', g) = \mu(I' \oplus (m+1, \dots, m+u-k), f \circ_k (\pi_\beta \circ_p g))$$

For $i \leq t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu(I', f) \circ_k \mu(I'', g))_i(x_1, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \\ &= f_{I'_i} \circ_k \mu(I'', g)(x_1, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \\ &= f_{I'_i}(g_{I''_1}(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, g_{I''_k}(x_1, \dots, x_l), x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \\ &= f_{I'_i}(g_{\beta^{-1}1}(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, g_{\beta^{-1}k}(x_1, \dots, x_l), x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \\ &= (\mu(I' \oplus (m+1, \dots, m+u-k), f \circ_k (\pi_\beta \circ_p g)))_i(x_1, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

while for $t < i \leq m+u-k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu(I', f) \circ_k \mu(I'', g))_i(x_1, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \\ &= g_{I''_{k+i-t}}(x_1, \dots, x_l) \\ &= g_{\beta^{-1}(k+i-t)}(x_1, \dots, x_l) \\ &= (\pi_\beta \circ_p g)_{k+(i-t)}(x_1, \dots, x_l) \\ &= (f \circ_k (\pi_\beta \circ_p g))_{m+i-t}(x_1, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \\ &= \mu(I' \oplus (m+1, \dots, m+u-k), f \circ_k (\pi_\beta \circ_p g))_i(x_1, \dots, x_{l+n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that $CS \subseteq SC$ and thus $SC = CS$.

Similarly we show that $KC = CK$. We start with $KC \subseteq CK$. Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $g \in M_{l,p}(A)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n+l\}$, $a \in A$. It is a simple application of the definitions to see that

$$\kappa(i, a, f \oplus g) = \begin{cases} \kappa(i, a, f) \oplus g & \text{if } i \leq n \\ f \oplus \kappa(i-n, a, g) & \text{if } i > n \end{cases}$$

Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $\alpha \in S_m$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $a \in A$. It is a simple calculation that

$$\kappa(i, a, \pi_\alpha f) = \pi_\alpha \kappa(i, a, f)$$

Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $g \in M_{l,p}(A)$, $k \leq \min(n, p)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n+l\}$, $a \in A$. Applying the definitions gives us

$$\kappa(i, a, f \circ_k g) = \begin{cases} f \circ_k \kappa(i, a, g) & \text{if } i \leq n \\ \kappa(i+k-n, a, f) \circ_k g & \text{if } i > n \end{cases}$$

For $CK \subseteq KC$ we proceed as follows. Note that we must also include the nonapplication of κ as a case here. Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $g \in M_{l,p}(A)$, $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i_2 \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Then we claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(i_1, a_1, f) \oplus g &= \kappa(i_1, a_1, f \oplus g) \\ f \oplus \kappa(i_2, a_2, g) &= \kappa(i_2+n, a_2, f \oplus g) \\ \kappa(i_1, a_1, f) \oplus \kappa(i_2, a_2, g) &= \kappa(i_1, a_1, \kappa(i_2+n, a_2, f \oplus g)) \end{aligned}$$

The first two follow by simple application of the definitions. The third claim combines these two.

As we saw above, π_α commutes with κ .

Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $g \in M_{l,p}(A)$, $k \leq \min(n, p)$, $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i_2 \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Let $\beta \in S_n$ be (i_1, i_1+1, \dots, n) . Then we claim that

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ_k \kappa(i_2, a_2, g) &= \kappa(i_2, a_2, f \circ_k g) \\ \kappa(i_1, a_1, f) \circ_k g &= \begin{cases} \kappa(l+n-k, a_1, (f \circ_n \pi_\beta) \circ_k g) & \text{if } i_1 \leq k \\ \kappa(i_1+l-k, a_1, f \circ_k g) & \text{if } i_1 > k \end{cases} \\ \kappa(i_1, a_1, f) \circ_k \kappa(i_2, a_2, g) &= \begin{cases} \kappa(i_2, a_2, \kappa(l+n-k, a_1, (f \circ_n \pi_\beta) \circ_k g)) & \text{if } i_1 \leq k \\ \kappa(i_2, a_2, \kappa(i_1+l-k, a_1, f \circ_k g)) & \text{if } i_1 > k \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The first claim is direct from the definitions. The second requires some more work. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, m + p - k\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\kappa(i_1, a_1, f) \circ_k g)_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) \\
&= \begin{cases} (\kappa(i_1, a_1, f)_i \circ_k g)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i \leq m \\ g_{i-m+k}(x_1, \dots, x_l) & \text{if } i > m \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} f_i(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, g_{i_1-1}(\dots), a_1, g_{i_1}(\dots), \dots \\ \quad \dots, g_k(x_1, \dots, x_l), x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i_1 \leq k, i \leq m \\ f_i(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, g_k(x_1, \dots, x_l), x_{l+1}, \dots \\ \quad \dots, x_{i_1-k+l-1}, a_1, x_{i_1+l-k}, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i_1 > k, i \leq m \\ g_{i-m+k}(x_1, \dots, x_l) & i > m \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (f \circ_n \pi_\beta)_i(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, g_{i_1-1}(\dots), g_{i_1}(\dots), \dots \\ \quad \dots, g_k(x_1, \dots, x_l), x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}, a_1) & \text{if } i_1 \leq k, i \leq m \\ \kappa(i_1 + l - k, a_1, f \circ_k g)_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i_1 > k, i \leq m \\ g_{i-m+k}(x_1, \dots, x_l) & \text{if } i > m \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \kappa(l + n - k, a_1, (f \circ_n \pi_\beta) \circ_k g)_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i_1 \leq k, i \leq m \\ \kappa(i_1 + l - k, a_1, f \circ_k g)_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i_1 > k, i \leq m \\ g_{i-m+k}(x_1, \dots, x_l) & \text{if } i > m \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \kappa(l + n - k, a_1, (f \circ_n \pi_\beta) \circ_k g)_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i_1 \leq k \\ \kappa(i_1 + l - k, a_1, f \circ_k g)_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+l-k-1}) & \text{if } i_1 > k \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted. The third case is the combination of the first two cases.

So every expression in CK can be written in KC , so we obtain $CK = KC$.

We see that $SK = KS$ because it makes no difference whether the inputs are fed constants and then some outputs are ignored, or some outputs are ignored and then some inputs are fed constants. To see this formally, let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \{1, \dots, m\}^r$ and $a \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(I, \kappa(i, a, f))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= \mu(I, f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1})) \\
&= (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mid j \in I) \\
&= (\kappa(i, a, f_j) \mid j \in I)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\
&= \kappa(i, a, \mu(I, f))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we show that C_Δ is well behaved by showing that Δ and ∇ commute with S and K . Let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}^r$ and $a \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(I, \Delta f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= \mu(I, f(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})) \\
&= (f_j(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mid j \in I) \\
&= \Delta(f_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mid j \in I) \\
&= \Delta\mu(I, f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\
\mu(I, \nabla f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \mu(I, f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})) \\
&= (f_j(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mid j \in I) \\
&= \nabla\mu(I, f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

So we see that $C_\Delta S = SC_\Delta$.

Now let $f \in M_{n,m}(A)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $a \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(i, a, \Delta f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) &= (\Delta f)(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_{n-2}) \\
&= f(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_{n-2}) \\
&= \begin{cases} f(a, a, x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ f(x_1, x_1, a, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i = 2 \\ f(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i > 2 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \kappa(1, a, \kappa(1, a, f)) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \Delta\kappa(i+1, a, f) & \text{if } i \geq 2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(i, a, \nabla f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (\nabla f)(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \begin{cases} (\nabla f)(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ (\nabla f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ f(x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \nabla\kappa(i-1, a, f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $KC_\Delta \subseteq C_\Delta K$. For the converse we proceed as follows. Suppose $n = 1$, then $\Delta\kappa(1, a, f) = f(a) \in KC_\Delta$ and we are done. Suppose

$n = 2$, then $\kappa(1, a, f)$ and $\kappa(2, a, f)$ are both unary and thus fixed by Δ so we are done. Suppose $n \geq 3$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa(i, a, f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\
&= \begin{cases} f(a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ f(x_1, a, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = 2 \\ f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } i > 2 \end{cases} \\
&\Rightarrow \Delta \kappa(i, a, f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) \\
&= \begin{cases} f(a, x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ f(x_1, a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i = 2 \\ f(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-2}, a, x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i > 2 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \kappa(2, a, \Delta(f \bullet \pi_{(123)}))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \kappa(2, a, \Delta(f \bullet \pi_{(23)}))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i = 2 \\ \kappa(i-1, a, \Delta f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) & \text{if } i > 2 \end{cases} \\
&\nabla \kappa(i, a, f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \kappa(i, a, f)(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
&= f(x_2, \dots, x_i, a, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \\
&= (\nabla f)(x_1, \dots, x_i, a, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \kappa(i+1, a, \nabla f)(x_1, \dots, x_n)
\end{aligned}$$

So we see that $KC_\Delta \subseteq C_\Delta K \subseteq KC_\Delta$ so they are equal. \square

Let $F \subseteq M(A)$ be a collection of mappings. Then $g \in SKC(F)$ is equivalent to saying that g is realised by F . We see that we have some inclusions amongst the various closure operations introduced above, obtaining the inclusion diagram in Figure 1.

We know that many of these inclusions are strict. For A of even order, we know from Corollary 5.9 and Theorem 5.13 that $C(F)$ is strictly included in $T_S(F)$.

On the other hand, for specific classes of F , some closure classes fall together. If $F \subseteq B(A)$, then $RC(F) = C(F)$, $RT_S(F) = T_S(F)$ and $RT(F) = T(F)$. Thus we obtain the second inclusion diagram, where we also omit the Δ operator.

One of the general problems is to look at the ways in which we can define these various classes as a form of closure via a Galois connection. This has been preliminarily investigated in [9] for $C(F)$ and $T(F)$ from reversible F .




FIGURE 1

At the top we have inclusion of the various closure operations applied to a set of maps $F \subseteq M(A)$. When we are looking at a set $F \subseteq B(A)$ on a finite A and are not interested in Δ , we get the inclusions in the bottom diagram. Note that we know that $SC(F) \cap KC(F) = C(F)$ in this case.

7 CONCLUSION

We have presented a language based upon clone theory in order to discuss systems of mappings $A^m \rightarrow A^n$ on a set A . We have then written Toffoli's model of reversible computation as a theory of mapping composition, finding that larger alphabets leads to a more complex system than binary reversible logic.

We see that the ideas can be used more generally. We are now confronted with the spectrum of questions that arise naturally in clone theory and related fields of general algebra, such as the size and structure of the lattice of multi-clones and reversible Toffoli algebras on a given set. It would be of value to determine a set of axioms for a Toffoli algebra or a reversible Toffoli algebra, this might improve the clarity of many of the proofs. The largest challenge is to determine a suitable combinatorial structure to be used for invariance type results of the Pol-Inv type for the five natural types of closure. It seems probable that the results in [1, 9] will be of use to develop such a theory.

8 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Erhard Aichinger for many interesting conversations and suggestions about developing and presenting this paper. Erkki Lehtonen made many excellent and detailed suggestions that have vastly improved the paper and for which I am extremely grateful.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Aaronson, D. Grier, and L. Schaeffer. (2015). The classification of reversible bit operations. *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity*, (66).
- [2] J.A. Bergstra, C.A. Middleburg, and G. Ştefănescu. (2013). Network algebra for synchronous dataflow. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.0382.pdf>.
- [3] T. Boykett, J. Kari, and V. Salo. (2017). Finite generating sets for reversible gate sets under general conservation laws. *Theoretical Computer Science*, pages –. Electronic publication Feb 2017.
- [4] S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar. (1981). *A course in universal algebra*, volume 78 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin.
- [5] M. Couceiro and E. Lehtonen. (2012). Galois theory for sets of operations closed under permutation, cylindrification, and composition. *Algebra Universalis*, 67(3):273–297.
- [6] The GAP Group. (2013). *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.6.5*.
- [7] N. Gershenfeld. (1996). Signal entropy and the thermodynamics of computation. *IBM Systems Journal*, 35(3 & 4).
- [8] R. Jensen. (2014). The logic of reversible structures. Master's thesis, University of Copenhagen.

- [9] E. Jeřábek, (September 2014). Answer to classifying reversible gates. Theoretical Computer Science Stack Exchange. <http://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/25730>, Accessed June 2015.
- [10] E. Lehtonen. (2010). Closed classes of functions, generalized constraints, and clusters. *Algebra Universalis*, 63(2-3):203–234.
- [11] A. I. Mal'tsev. (1976). *Iterativnye algebrы Posta*. Novosibirsk. Gos. Univ., Novosibirsk. Seriya "Biblioteka Kafedry Algebrы i Matematicheskoi Logiki Novosibirskogo Universiteta", Vyp. 16. ["Library of the Department of Algebra and Mathematical Logic of Novosibirsk State University" Series, No. 16].
- [12] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang. (2000). *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [13] R. Pöschel and L. A. Kalužnin. (1979). *Funktionen- und Relationenalgebren [Function and Relation algebras]*, volume 15 of *Mathematische Monographien [Mathematical Monographs]*. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin. Ein Kapitel der diskreten Mathematik. [A chapter in discrete mathematics].
- [14] G. Ştefănescu. (2000). *Network algebra*. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (London). Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London.
- [15] Á. Szendrei. (1986). *Clones in universal algebra*, volume 99 of *Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures [Seminar on Higher Mathematics]*. Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC.
- [16] T. Toffoli. (1980). Reversible computing. Technical Report MIT/LCS/TM-151, MIT.
- [17] T. Toffoli. (1980). Reversible computing. In *Automata, languages and programming (Proc. Seventh Internat. Colloq., Noordwijkerhout, 1980)*, volume 85 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 632–644. Springer, Berlin-New York.
- [18] G. Yang, X. Song, M. Perkowski, and J. Wu. (2005). Realizing ternary quantum switching networks without ancilla bits. *J. Phys. A*, 38(44):9689–9697.