

# Universal description of three two-component fermions

O. I. Kartavtsev and A. V. Malykh

*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980, Russia*

(Dated: October 24, 2019)

## Abstract

Quantum mechanical three-body problem for two identical fermions of mass  $m$  and a distinct particle of mass  $m_1$  in the universal low-energy limit of zero-range two-body interaction is studied. It is demonstrated that for unambiguous formulation of the problem in the interval  $\mu_r < m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$  ( $\mu_r \approx 8.619$  and  $\mu_c \approx 13.607$ ) one should introduce an additional parameter  $b$ , which defines the wave function near the triple-collision point, thus defining a family of self-adjoint Hamiltonians. The dependence of the bound-state energies on  $m/m_1$  and  $b$  of angular momentum and parity  $L^P = 1^-$  is calculated and analysed with the aid of a simple model.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 31.15.ac, 67.85.-d

Low-energy dynamics of few two-species particles has attracted much attention as a basic quantum problems, which is in concern with the investigations of ultracold binary quantum gases [1–7]. The principal problem is the investigation of few two-species fermions, in particular, the present Letter is aimed to study two identical fermions of mass  $m$  interacting with a distinct particle of mass  $m_1$ . As the few-body properties become independent of the particular form of the short-range two-body interaction in the low-energy limit, the universal description is obtained by using the contact or zero-range interaction defined by a single parameter, the two-body scattering length  $a$ . As a consequence, one expects that for the properly chosen units the few-body properties depend on a single non-trivial parameter – mass ratio  $m/m_1$ .

Significant advance was attained in paper [8], which demonstrated that for  $m/m_1 > \mu_c$  ( $\mu_c \approx 13.607$ ), similar to the three-boson case, the problem of three two-species fermions is ambiguously defined in the limit of zero-range interaction. For correct formulation, the additional parameter is needed to define the oscillating wave function near the triple-collision point. By setting this parameter, one comes to the Efimov spectrum, which contains an infinite number of bound states, whose binding energies tend to infinity, and the ratio of subsequent energies tends to a constant.

For  $m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$ , one of important results was the analytical zero-energy solution, which reveals the two-hump structure in the low-energy three-body recombination rate's dependence on  $m/m_1$  [9]. The three-body energy spectrum and the scattering cross sections for  $L^P = 1^-$  were studied in [10], where two bound states were disclosed for  $m/m_1$  increasing to  $\mu_c$ . The conclusions of [10] were confirmed in papers [11, 12] by solving the momentum-space integral equations. The formation of the three-body clusters should affect the properties of fermionic mixtures, in particular, one expects the effective attraction between a diatomic molecule and a light particle in the  $p$ -wave state, which persists even if the three-body system is unbound. Therefore, a role of the  $p$ -wave  $2 + 1$  scattering was discussed in [4–6, 13] and the molecule-atom  $p$ -wave attraction in  $^{40}\text{K}$ – $^6\text{Li}$  mixture was detected in [7]. One more step was the investigation of the dynamics of an ultracold gas, which accounts for the interaction between the three-body clusters [14]. Another application to the many-body dynamics was the calculation of the third virial coefficient in the unitary limit  $a \rightarrow \infty$  [15, 16].

Despite much progress in this area, still it is necessary to correctly formulate the three-body problem for two-species fermions with the zero-range two-body interaction in the

mass-ratton interval  $m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$ , as indicated both in physical [17–19] and mathematical [20–22, 24] papers. In this respect, the basic question is the unambiguous definition of the wave function in the vicinity of the triple collision point. In this Letter the three-body problem is formulated for  $\mu_r < m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$  ( $\mu_r \approx 8.619$ ) by introducing the additional three-body parameter  $b$  that corresponds to the construction of the one-parameter family of self-adjoint Hamiltonians. Within the framework of this formulation, comprehensive analytical and numerical study of the three-body bound states is performed. As the states of unit total angular momentum  $L$  and negative parity  $P$  are of most interest at low energy due to the permutational symmetry of fermions, namely the  $L^P = 1^-$  sector is addressed.

The Hamiltonian in the center-of-mass frame, as in Ref. [10] is the six-dimensional kinetic-energy operator  $H_0 = -\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are the scaled Jacobi coordinates and the units  $\hbar = 2m/(1 + m/m_1) = 1$  are used. The two-body interaction is defined by the boundary condition for the wave function  $\Psi$ , imposed on two hyperplanes corresponding to zero distance  $r$  between either fermion and a distinct particle,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial \ln(r\Psi)}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{a}$ . As the wave function is antisymmetric under fermions' permutation, a single condition in one pair of interacting particles is needed.

The formal construction of the Hamiltonian does not obviously provide an unambiguous definition of the three-body problem, in particular, one should inspect the solution at the intersection of hyperplanes (triple-collision point). For analysis of the wave function, correct definition of the three-body problem, and calculation of the binding energies, it is suitable to expand the wave function  $\Psi = \rho^{-5/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\rho) \Phi_n(\rho, \Omega)$  into a set of the eigenfunctions  $\Phi_n(\rho, \Omega)$  of the auxiliary problem on a hypersphere, i. e., at a fixed hyper-radius  $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  [10]. This leads to an infinite set of coupled hyper-radial equations (HREs),

$$\left[ \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} - \frac{\gamma_n^2(\rho) - 1/4}{\rho^2} + E \right] f_n(\rho) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ P_{nm}(\rho) - Q_{nm}(\rho) \frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{d}{d\rho} Q_{nm}(\rho) \right] f_m(\rho) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where the eigenvalues of the auxiliary problem  $\gamma_n^2(\rho)$  are different branches of the multivalued function defined for  $L^P = 1^-$  by

$$\frac{\rho}{a} \cos \gamma \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{\gamma} \sin \gamma \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \frac{\cos \omega \gamma}{\sin 2\omega} + \frac{\sin \omega \gamma}{\gamma \sin^2 \omega}, \quad (2)$$

and the notation  $\sin \omega = 1/(1 + m_1/m)$  is used. The coupling terms  $Q_{nm}(\rho)$  and  $P_{nm}(\rho)$  are expressed in the analytical form via  $\gamma_n^2(\rho)$  and their derivatives [10, 25, 26].

At  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , the function  $\Phi_n(\rho, \Omega)$  and the coupling terms  $Q_{nm}(\rho)$  and  $P_{nm}(\rho)$  are regular, therefore, the wave function  $\Psi$  is essentially determined by the solution,  $f(\rho)$ , of one HRE containing the least singular term (the smallest  $\gamma^2(\rho)$ ). Hereafter, the channel index is omitted to denote the smallest eigenvalue and corresponding channel function. For determination of  $f(\rho)$  up to the leading-order terms at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , one should retain in the very HRE only the singular part  $(\gamma^2 - 1/4)/\rho^2 + q/\rho$ , where  $\gamma \equiv \gamma(0)$  and  $q = \left[ \frac{d\gamma^2(\rho)}{d\rho} \right]_{\rho=0}$  are introduced for brevity [27]. Generally,  $f(\rho) = C_+\varphi_+(\rho) + C_-\varphi_-(\rho)$  is a sum of two linear-independent solutions, which leading-order terms are  $\varphi_{\pm}(\rho) = \rho^{1/2 \pm \gamma} \left( 1 + \frac{q\rho}{1 \pm 2\gamma} \right)$  (except  $\gamma = 0, 1/2$ , for which  $\varphi_{\pm}(\rho)$  contains logarithmic terms).

Consider firstly if  $\gamma^2 \geq 1$  ( $m/m_1 \leq \mu_r \approx 8.6186$ ) [27], in that case  $\varphi_-(\rho)$  is not square-integrable at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  and one should take  $C_- = 0$ . In other words,  $f(\rho) \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 0} 0$  and it is sufficient to impose the requirement of square integrability of  $\Psi$ . Conversely, if  $\gamma^2 < 1$  ( $m/m_1 > \mu_r$ ) [27], both  $\varphi_+(\rho)$  and  $\varphi_-(\rho)$  are square-integrable and the additional boundary condition at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  is needed. One should further distinguish whether  $\gamma^2 < 0$  ( $m/m_1 > \mu_c \approx 13.607$  [27]), in that case  $\varphi_{\pm}(\rho)$  oscillate and a standard method to lift ambiguity of the solution is to specify the constant  $C_-/C_+$ , which must satisfy  $|C_-/C_+| = 1$  to provide self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian. Thus, one comes to a family of Hamiltonians depending on one parameter (the phase of  $C_-/C_+$ ) with the well-known Efimov spectrum of bound states [8].

The aim of this Letter is to unambiguously formulate the problem for  $1 > \gamma^2 \geq 0$  ( $\mu_r < m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$ ), which requires to define the boundary condition at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ . Again, a standard method is to specify the real-valued constant  $C_-/C_+$ , which provides self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian. It is convenient to represent  $-C_-/C_+ = \pm |b|^{2\gamma}$  via the length  $-\infty < b < \infty$ , where  $\pm$  refer to the sign of  $b$ . The boundary condition is straightforwardly written as

$$f(\rho) \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{1/2+\gamma} \mp |b|^{2\gamma} \rho^{1/2-\gamma} [1 + q\rho/(1 - 2\gamma)] \quad (3)$$

except for  $\gamma = 1/2$  ( $m/m_1 = \mu_e \approx 12.3131$  [27]). The last term  $\sim q$  can be optionally omitted if  $1/2 > \gamma > 0$  ( $\mu_e < m/m_1 < \mu_c$ ) and should be retained if  $1 > \gamma > 1/2$  ( $\mu_r < m/m_1 < \mu_e$ ), when it exceeds the first term  $\rho^{1/2+\gamma}$ . If  $\gamma = 0$  ( $m/m_1 = \mu_c$ ), one finds the boundary condition either in the limit of Eq. (3) or directly from  $\varphi_+ \sim \sqrt{\rho}$  and  $\varphi_- \sim \sqrt{\rho} \log(\rho)$ ,

$$f(\rho) \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{1/2} \log(\rho/b) , \quad (4)$$

where only  $b > 0$  is allowed. The boundary condition for specific case  $\gamma = 1/2$  ( $m/m_1 = \mu_e$ ) reads

$$f(\rho) \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho - b(1 + q\rho \log \rho) , \quad (5)$$

where one could replace  $\log \rho$  to  $\log(\rho/\rho_0)$  with any scale  $\rho_0$ , which is simply redefinition of length  $\tilde{b} = b/(1 - b \log \rho_0)$ . As all other channel functions  $f_n(\rho)$  tend to zero faster than  $f(\rho)$  at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , for complete formulation it is sufficient to impose the condition  $f_n(0) = 0$ . In addition, the boundary condition is conveniently written via the channel function  $f(\rho)$  and it's derivative [27]. It is of interest to write the boundary condition for the wave function  $\Psi$ , in particular, for  $\mu_e < m/m_1 < \mu_c$  ( $1/2 > \gamma > 0$ ) one finds from (3),

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left( \rho^{1-2\gamma} \frac{d \log(\rho^{2+\gamma} \Psi)}{d\rho} \pm \frac{2\gamma}{|b|^{2\gamma}} \right) = 0 , \quad (6)$$

however, for  $\mu_r < m/m_1 < \mu_e$  ( $1 > \gamma > 1/2$ ) the boundary condition becomes cumbersome.

The boundary condition at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  allows the interpretation as the zero-range three-body potential and  $b$  has a meaning of the generalised scattering length. Generally, this potential could represent either the effect of intersection of the two-body potentials or the existence the true three-body force. In this respect, it is of interest to trace the connection of  $b$  with the parameters of the finite-range potential, which range is allowed to shrink to zero [27].

The exact solution is easily found in the limit  $a \rightarrow \infty$  due to decoupling of the HREs (1), in this case the eigenvalues of Eq. (2) become independent of  $\rho$  constants  $\gamma_n^2(0)$  and the coupling terms  $Q_{nm}(\rho)$  and  $P_{nm}(\rho)$  vanish. Picking out one HRE with the smallest  $\gamma^2$  from the uncoupled system (1), one finds, if only  $b > 0$ , one bound state, which energy  $E = -4b^{-2} [-\Gamma(\gamma)/\Gamma(-\gamma)]^{1/\gamma}$  and eigenfunction  $f(\rho) = \rho^{1/2} K_\gamma(\sqrt{-E}\rho)$  are expressed via gamma function and the modified Bessel function. Scaling of the bound-state energy as  $b^{-2}$  corroborates the meaning of three-body parameter  $b$  as a generalized scattering length. In the limit  $b \rightarrow \infty$ , the bound state becomes a virtual state, which persists also for large enough negative  $b$ . The solution obtained in the limit  $a \rightarrow \infty$  provides a good approximation for the properties of the deep state if  $|a|/b \gg 1$ . The redefinition of the parameter  $\tilde{b} = \frac{b}{2} [-\Gamma(-\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma)]^{\frac{1}{2\gamma}}$  leads to usual relation between the energy and scattering length,  $E = -\tilde{b}^{-2}$ .

To elucidate the qualitative features of the problem in connection with the three-body boundary condition, one constructs the simple model, which provides reliable dependence of

the bound-state energy on  $b$  and  $m/m_1$ . The model is based on the separation of the Hamiltonian in two parts: the singular one containing singular at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  terms and the remaining one describing a smooth dependence on  $m/m_1$ . The former part is defined as one HRE of (1) containing the smallest  $\gamma^2(\rho)$ , moreover, only singular terms,  $\gamma^2(\rho) = (\gamma^2 - 1/4)/\rho^2 + q/\rho$ , are taken into account that allows to reproduce accurately both small- $\rho$  behaviour and the attractive potential well. The remaining part is defined simply as a constant  $\epsilon(m/m_1)$ . Explicitly, one comes to the equation  $\left(\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} - \frac{\gamma^2 - 1/4}{\rho^2} - \frac{q}{\rho} + E - \epsilon\right) f(\rho) = 0$ , whose square-integrable solution is written as  $f(\rho) = \rho^{1/2+\gamma} e^{-\kappa\rho} \Psi(1/2 + \gamma + q/(2\kappa), 1 + 2\gamma; 2\kappa\rho)$ , where  $\kappa = \sqrt{\epsilon - E}$  and  $\Psi(a, c; z)$  is the confluent hyper-geometric function decaying at  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . The eigenenergy equation

$$(2\kappa|b|)^{2\gamma} = \mp \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma)\Gamma(1/2 - \gamma + q/(2\kappa))}{\Gamma(-2\gamma)\Gamma(1/2 + \gamma + q/(2\kappa))} \quad (7)$$

follows from the boundary condition (3) for all  $0 < \gamma < 1$  ( $\mu_c > m/m_1 > \mu_r$ ) except for  $\gamma = 1/2$  ( $m/m_1 = \mu_e$ ). For  $\gamma = 0$  the eigenenergy equation,  $\log(2\kappa b) + \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{q}{2\kappa}\right) + 2\gamma_C = 0$ , if only  $b > 0$ , is obtained either in the limit of Eq (7) or from the boundary condition (4). Hereafter,  $\psi(x)$  is the digamma function and  $\gamma_C \approx 0.5772$  is the Euler–Mascheroni constant. In the special case  $\gamma = 1/2$  ( $m/m_1 = \mu_e$ ) the eigenenergy equation,  $\frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{b} - \kappa\right) - \log\left(\frac{|q|}{2\kappa}\right) + \psi\left(1 + \frac{q}{2\kappa}\right) + 2\gamma_C - 1 = 0$ , comes from (5).

The model is equivalent to the generalised Coulomb problem incorporating the zero-range interaction. As follows from Eq. (7), the bound-states energies monotonically increase with increasing  $b$ , moreover, one bound state appears if  $b$  passes through zero. It is helpful to examine two limiting cases  $b = 0$  and  $b \rightarrow \infty$  that gives the eigenvalues  $\kappa_{nb} = -\frac{q}{2(n+s_b\gamma)+1}$ , where  $n$  is non-negative integer and  $s_0 = +1$  ( $s_\infty = -1$ ). The bound-state energies are

$$E_{nb} = -\frac{q^2}{[2(n + s_b\gamma) + 1]^2} + \epsilon, \quad (8)$$

where  $n$  is restricted by the condition  $2(n+s_b\gamma)+1 > 0$  if  $a > 0$  ( $q < 0$ ) and by  $2(n+s_b\gamma)+1 < 0$  if  $a < 0$  ( $q > 0$ ). Hereafter it is convenient to take  $|a|$  as a length unit that sets the two-body binding energy to unity. Estimating the constant  $\epsilon \approx -0.5$ , for  $a > 0$  one finds that there are two branches below threshold (at  $E \leq -1$ ) if  $b = 0$  and three branches if  $b \rightarrow \infty$ ; for  $a < 0$ , there is one branch below threshold (at  $E \leq 0$ ) if  $b \rightarrow \infty$  (see Fig. 1). For  $a > 0$ , from Eq. (8) follows degeneracy of the branches  $E_{n0}$  and  $E_{n\infty}$ ,  $n = 1, 2$ , at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_c$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ ),  $E_{00}$  and  $E_{1\infty}$  at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_e$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 1/2$ ), and  $E_{00}$  and  $E_{2\infty}$  at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_r$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ ).

Moreover, from Eq. (7) follows that, at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_c$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ ) the energies for any  $b < 0$  converge to either  $E_{00} = E_{0\infty}$  or  $E_{10} = E_{1\infty}$ . There are three options at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_e$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 1/2$ ), namely, the energies converge either to the threshold  $E = -1$ , to  $E_{00} = E_{1\infty}$ , or to  $-\infty$ . And at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_r$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ ) the energies converge to either  $E_{1\infty}$  or  $E_{00} = E_{2\infty}$  as shown in Fig. 1. For  $a < 0$ , the energies converge to  $E_{0\infty}$  at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_r$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ ) and to  $-\infty$  at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_e$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow 1/2$ ) for  $b \neq 0$ .

The three-body bound-state energies are determined by numerical solution of the truncated system of HRE (1) with boundary conditions (3), (4), and (5). The numerical method is the same as in [10, 28] except for necessity to implement the boundary conditions (3), (4), and (5) at sufficiently small  $\rho$ . Reasonable accuracy was achieved by solving up to eight HREs. The calculated three-body bound-state energies as a function of  $b$  and  $\mu_r < m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$  are plotted in Fig. 1. The energy dependences are consistent with the




FIG. 1. Bound-state energies  $E$  as a function of  $m/m_1$  and  $b$ . The two-body scattering length  $a > 0$  in left diagram and  $a < 0$  in right diagram. Energy axis is scaled to map  $(-\infty, -1) \rightarrow (-1, 0)$  in left diagram and  $(-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (-1, 0)$  in right diagram. Values  $\mu_r$ ,  $\mu_e$  and  $\mu_c$  correspond to  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $1/2$  and  $0$ .

predictions of the simple model.

The calculations for  $a > 0$  show that at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_r$  the energies for any  $b$  converge either to  $E_{1\infty} \sim -4.7477$  or to  $E_{00} = E_{2\infty} \sim -1.02090$ , at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_e$  there is one limit  $E_{00} = E_{1\infty} \sim -1.74397$ , and at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_c$  the energies for any  $b \leq 0$  converge either to  $E_{00} = E_{0\infty} \rightarrow -5.89543$  or to  $E_{10} = E_{1\infty} \rightarrow -1.13767$ . In agreement with Ref. [10] it is found that at  $m/m_1 \leq \mu_r$ , where only  $b = 0$  is allowed, there is one bound state, which

arises at  $m/m_1 \approx 8.17260$  and naturally continues the branch  $E_{00}$ . The calculations for  $a < 0$  show that at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_r$  the energies for any  $b$  converge to the limit  $E_{0\infty} \rightarrow -4.7147$ . At  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_r$ , the limit  $E_{0\infty}$  for  $a < 0$  coincides with the limit  $E_{1\infty}$  for  $a > 0$ , as predicted by the simple model (8).

The elaborate calculations were carried out for  $\mu_r < m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$  to determine the critical three-body parameter  $b_c(m/m_1)$ , for which the bound state exists at the threshold energy [27]. The lines  $b_c(m/m_1)$ ,  $b = 0$ , and  $m/m_1 = \mu_e$  form the boundaries of the domains of the definite number of bound states in the  $m/m_1 - b$  plane as presented in Fig. 2. Few




FIG. 2. A number of bound states in each domain of the  $m/m_1 - b$  plane. Solid (red) line: critical three-body parameter  $b_c(m/m_1)$  corresponding to the bound-state energy at the threshold. Dashed (green) lines: domain boundaries determined by  $m/m_1 = \mu_e$  and  $b = 0$ . A part of left diagram is plotted in middle diagram to discern details. Values  $\mu_r$ ,  $\mu_e$  and  $\mu_c$  correspond to  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $1/2$  and  $0$ .

points of the dependences  $b_c(m/m_1)$  are of special interest, viz., one finds for  $a > 0$  that  $b_c = 0$  at  $m/m_1 \approx 12.9174$ ,  $b_c \rightarrow \pm\infty$  at  $m/m_1 \approx 10.2948$ ,  $b_c \approx 0.05166$  at  $m/m_1 = \mu_c$ , and  $b_c(m/m_1)$  has a local minimum  $b_c \approx -0.01754$  at  $m/m_1 \approx 12.550$ . Similarly, one finds for  $a < 0$  that  $b_c \approx 0.13620$  at  $m/m_1 = \mu_c$ , and  $b_c(m/m_1)$  has a local minimum  $b_c \approx -0.2501$  at  $m/m_1 \approx 10.15$ . As one could expect for the zero-range interaction, variation of its strength  $b$  for fixed  $m/m_1$  leads to appearance or disappearance of one bound state. Energy dependence on parameter  $b$  is typical for the total interaction being a sum of the finite-range potential and the zero-range potential, for which  $b$  is the scattering length.

Until now, a number of reliable investigations of three two-component fermions (for  $m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$ ) [9–12] used explicit or implicit assumption that only one type of solution near triple collision point is allowed, viz., the three-body parameter  $b$  is set to zero. Nev-



ertheless, necessity to take into account (for  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ) two square-integrable solutions near the triple-collision point was already discussed in [9, 17, 29]. Furthermore, to define a variety of the unambiguous three-body problems, it was proposed in [18] to set the logarithmic derivative of the wave function at small hyperradii. Relation between the present approach and the results of [18] is briefly discussed in [27].

One should mention that rigorous treatment of few two-component fermions with contact two-body interactions and construction of the self-adjoint Hamiltonian in this case is of mathematical interest as discussed in [20–22, 24]. In addition, the approach of [22] was exploited in the calculation of the three-body bound states [23].

The scenario described in this Letter is a particular example of a general situation, which will appear in a number of few-body problems. One should anticipate the same type of description for any problems, whose essential properties can be related to the effective potential containing the singular part  $\sim x^{-2}$ . If the singular part's strength of the effective potential depends on a non-trivial parameter (similar to mass ratio in this Letter), one should in general obtain the transition from the Efimov picture to the picture described in this Letter under variation of the parameter. Evident example of this kind is a description of the three two-species particles [28] in different  $L^P$  sectors. Analogously to  $1^-$  sector for three two-species fermions, an additional three-body parameter should be introduced to correctly pose the three-body problem in other  $L^-$  sectors of odd  $L$  and in the  $L^+$  sectors of even  $L > 0$  for two identical bosons and a distinct particle. One should also expect that the described scenario will be realised for three-body problem in the mixed dimensions [30, 31], and for three particles with spin-orbit interaction [32, 33].

Furthermore, the dependence on the three-body parameter for  $m/m_1 \leq \mu_c$  should be taken into account while considering the crossover problem [34], i. e., to establish correspondence between the solutions for  $m/m_1$  below and upper  $\mu_c$ . It will be interesting to consider the crossover between the solutions for  $m/m_1$  around  $\mu_r$ . The disclosed dependence on the three-body parameter should display also in many-body properties; the simplest examples are the four-body  $(3+1)$  [35] and  $(2+2)$  [36] problems. Evidently, the study of the bound states should be continued to determine  $m/m_1$  and  $b$  dependences of the scattering cross sections, properties of the three-body resonances, and the recombination rates.

OIK acknowledges support from the Institute for Nuclear Theory during the program Universality in Few-Body Systems: Theoretical Challenges and New Directions, INT-14-1.

- 
- [1] C. Ospelkaus, S. Ospelkaus, K. Sengstock, and K. Bongs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 020401 (2006).
  - [2] E. Fratini and P. Pieri, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 063618 (2012).
  - [3] M. Iskin and C. A. R. S. de Melo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 100404 (2006).
  - [4] J. Levinsen, T. G. Tiecke, J. T. M. Walraven, and D. S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 153202 (2009).
  - [5] C. J. M. Mathy, M. M. Parish, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 166404 (2011).
  - [6] F. Alzetto, R. Combescot, and X. Leyronas, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062708 (2012).
  - [7] M. Jag, M. Zaccanti, M. Cetina, R. S. Lous, F. Schreck, R. Grimm, D. S. Petrov, and J. Levinsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 075302 (2014).
  - [8] V. Efimov, Nucl. Phys. A **210**, 157 (1973).
  - [9] D. S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 010703(R) (2003).
  - [10] O. I. Kartavtsev and A. V. Malykh, J. Phys. B **40**, 1429 (2007).
  - [11] S. Endo, P. Naidon, and M. Ueda, Few-Body Syst. **51**, 207 (2011).
  - [12] K. Helfrich and H.-W. Hammer, J. Phys. B **44**, 215301 (2011).
  - [13] J. Levinsen and D. S. Petrov, Eur. Phys. J. D **65**, 67 (2011).
  - [14] S. Endo, P. Naidon, and A. M. García-García, “Universal clusters as building blocks of stable quantum matter,” (2015), arXiv:1507.06309.
  - [15] K. M. Daily and D. Blume, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 013609 (2012).
  - [16] C. Gao, S. Endo, and Y. Castin, Europhys. Lett. **109**, 16003 (2015).
  - [17] Y. Nishida, D. T. Son, and S. Tan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 090405 (2008).
  - [18] A. Safavi-Naini, S. T. Rittenhouse, D. Blume, and H. R. Sadeghpour, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 032713 (2013).
  - [19] O. I. Kartavtsev and A. V. Malykh, Phys. At. Nucl. **77**, 430 (2014), [Yad. Fiz. **77**, 458 (2014)].
  - [20] R. A. Minlos, ISRN Math. Phys. **2012**, 230245 (2012).
  - [21] R. A. Minlos, Mosc. Math. J. **14**, 617 (2014).
  - [22] M. Correggi, G. Dell’antonio, D. Finco, A. Michelangeli, and A. Teta, Rev. Math. Phys. **24**, 1250017 (2012).
  - [23] A. Michelangeli and C. Schmidbauer, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 053601 (2013).
  - [24] M. Correggi, G. Dell’antonio, D. Finco, A. Michelangeli, and A. Teta, “A class of hamiltonians

- for a three-particle fermionic system at unitarity,” (2015), arXiv:1505.04132.
- [25] O. I. Kartavtsev, Few-Body Syst. Suppl. **10**, 199 (1999).
  - [26] O. I. Kartavtsev and A. V. Malykh, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 042506 (2006).
  - [27] See Supplemental Material for discussion on the special mass-ratio values  $\mu_r$ ,  $\mu_e$ , and  $\mu_c$ , alternative form of the three-body boundary conditions, the dependences of  $\gamma(m/m_1)$  and  $q(m/m_1)$ , relation of the boundary condition to the zero-range limit of the finite-range potential, and properties of the threshold solutions.
  - [28] O. I. Kartavtsev and A. V. Malykh, Pis'ma ZhETF **86**, 713 (2007), [JETP Lett. **86**, 625 (2007)].
  - [29] F. Werner and Y. Castin, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 053604 (2006).
  - [30] Y. Nishida and S. Tan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 170401 (2008).
  - [31] Y. Nishida and S. Tan, Few-Body Systems **51**, 191 (2011).
  - [32] Z.-Y. Shi, X. Cui, and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 013201 (2014).
  - [33] Z.-Y. Shi, H. Zhai, and X. Cui, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 023618 (2015).
  - [34] S. Endo, P. Naidon, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062703 (2012).
  - [35] Y. Castin, C. Mora, and L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 223201 (2010).
  - [36] S. Endo and Y. Castin, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 053624 (2015).
  - [37] S. L. Yakovlev and V. A. Gradusov, J. Phys. A **46**, 035307 (2013).

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL: UNIVERSAL DESCRIPTION OF THREE TWO-COMPONENT FERMIONS

### Special values of mass ratio

Few values of mass ratio are of special interest, namely,  $\mu_r$ ,  $\mu_e$ , and  $\mu_c$  correspond to  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $1/2$ , and  $0$ . Using Eq. (2) at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , one comes to equations

$$(\sin \omega_r + 1/2) \sin 2\omega_r - \omega_r = 0 , \quad (\text{S1})$$

$$\cos \omega_e \cos \frac{\omega_e}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \tan \frac{\omega_e}{2} = 0 , \quad (\text{S2})$$

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \sin^2 \omega_c - \tan \omega_c + \omega_c = 0 . \quad (\text{S3})$$

Recall the definition  $\sin \omega = 1/(1 + m_1/m)$ . The roots of these equations are  $\omega_r \approx 1.11075583$ ,  $\omega_e \approx 1.18073571$ , and  $\omega_c \approx 1.19862376$  that correspond to mass-ratio values  $\mu_r \approx 8.61857692$ ,  $\mu_e \approx 12.3130993$ , and  $\mu_c \approx 13.6069657$ .

### Three-body boundary conditions

It is suitable to write the three-body boundary conditions in the alternative form, i. e., in terms of the derivative of the channel function  $f(\rho)$ . One finds for  $1 > \gamma > 0$  ( $\mu_r < m/m_1 < \mu_c$ ), except for  $\gamma = 1/2$  ( $m/m_1 = \mu_e$ )

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left( \rho^{1-2\gamma} \frac{d}{d\rho} \pm \frac{2\gamma}{|b|^{2\gamma}} \right) \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1/2}}{1-2\gamma+q\rho} f(\rho) = 0 , \quad (\text{S4})$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (3). In the limit  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  ( $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_c$ ) Eq. (S4) (where only  $b > 0$  is allowed) reduces to

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left( \rho \frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{1}{\log(\rho/b)} \right) \rho^{-1/2} f(\rho) = 0 , \quad (\text{S5})$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (4). In the specific case  $\gamma = 1/2$  ( $m/m_1 = \mu_e$ ) the boundary condition

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{1}{b} \right) \frac{f(\rho)}{1+q\rho \log \rho} = 0 \quad (\text{S6})$$

is equivalent to Eq. (5). Notice that the boundary condition for  $\gamma = 0$ , Eq. (4) or Eq. (S5), is similar to that for the 2D zero-range model [26], whereas for  $\gamma = 1/2$ , Eq. (5) or Eq. (S6), is similar to that for a sum of the zero-range and Coulomb potentials [37].

### Mass-ratio dependences of $\gamma$ and $q$

The smallest eigenvalue of the auxiliary problem on a hypersphere  $\gamma^2 \equiv \gamma^2(0)$  and its derivative  $q = \left[ \frac{d\gamma^2(\rho)}{d\rho} \right]_{\rho=0}$  are shown in Fig. 3. Note that the two-body scattering length is taken as a length unit ( $|a| = 1$ ) and  $q < 0$  ( $q > 0$ ) for  $a > 0$  ( $a < 0$ ).




FIG. 3. The dependences  $\gamma$  and  $|q|$  on  $m/m_1$  are depicted by solid (red) and dashed (blue) lines, respectively. Marked are the mass-ratio values  $\mu_r$ ,  $\mu_e$ , and  $\mu_c$ , which correspond to  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $1/2$ , and  $0$ .

### Zero-range limit of the finite-range three-body potential

Consideration of the zero-range limit in the simple examples is helpful to clarifying the introduction of the three-body boundary condition at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ .

#### *Square well potential*

The relation of the short-range potential and its zero-range limit is exemplified by using the simple square well potential for small  $\rho \leq \rho_0$ ,  $U(\rho) = -U_0\theta(\rho_0 - \rho)$ . The solution is  $\cos \kappa\rho$ , where  $\kappa = \sqrt{U_0 + E}$ , should satisfy the boundary condition (S4) or (3) at  $\rho = \rho_0$ . As a result, one finds the relation of the form  $U_0 = \frac{C_1}{\rho_0^2} \pm \frac{C_2}{|b|^{2\gamma}\rho_0^{2(1-\gamma)}}$ , i. e., the three-body parameter defines the next-to-leading-order term in the dependence of the potential strength on the interaction range.

### *Set-up of the logarithmic derivative*

To specify the wave function in the vicinity of the triple-collision point, it was proposed in Ref. [18] to study the dependence on two parameters  $\delta$  and  $\rho_0$ , i. e., to impose the three-body boundary condition at  $\rho_0$  by setting up  $\tan \delta$ , the dimensionless logarithmic derivative of the channel function  $f(\rho)$ . Using the asymptotic form of the solution at  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  (3), one readily finds that two parameters  $\tan \delta$  and  $\rho_0$  introduced in Ref. [18] for small  $\rho_0$  are related to the three-body parameter  $b$  of this Letter as

$$|b|^{2\gamma} = \pm \rho_0^{2\gamma} \frac{\tan \delta - \gamma - 1/2}{[1 + q\rho_0/(1 - 2\gamma)] \tan \delta + \gamma - 1/2 + q\rho_0(\gamma - 3/2)/(1 - 2\gamma)}, \quad (\text{S7})$$

except  $\gamma = 1/2$ . For sufficiently small  $\rho_0$ , this relation could be used to find a link between the results obtaining in Ref. [18] and those of present Letter. The dependence of the bound-state energy on  $\delta$  in [18] turns out to be discontinuous at some  $\delta_{cr}$  depending on  $\rho_0$ . It is a direct consequence of the discontinuous dependence of the parameter  $b$  on  $\delta$  and  $\rho_0$ , as follows from (S7)

$$\tan \delta_{cr} = \frac{(1 - 2\gamma)^2 + q\rho_0(3 - 2\gamma)}{2(1 - 2\gamma + q\rho_0)}, \quad (\text{S8})$$

which reduces for small  $\rho_0$  to  $\tan \delta_{cr} = 1/2 - \gamma$ , except in the neighbourhood  $\sim q\rho_0$  of the point  $m/m_1 = \mu_e$  (of the order  $|\gamma - 1/2| < q\rho_0$ ). This exact expression can be compared with the dependence  $\delta_{cr}(m/m_1)$ , which was numerically calculated and presented in Fig. 5 of Ref. [18]. In particular, the exact expression gives that  $\delta_{cr} \rightarrow \arctan(1/2) \approx 0.46$  at  $m/m_1 \rightarrow \mu_c \approx 13.607$ ; the discrepancy with  $\delta_{cr}$  in Fig. 5 of Ref. [18] indicates insufficient accuracy of calculation in this mass-ratio limit.

### **Threshold solution**

Critical dependence  $b_c(m/m_1)$  for appearance or disappearance of the bound state is determined by solving the eigenvalue problem for HREs at the two-body threshold  $E = -1$  for the two-body scattering length  $a > 0$  and at the three-body threshold  $E = 0$  for  $a < 0$ . The square-integrability of solution follows from asymptotic behaviour at  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  of the potential in HRE for  $f(\rho)$ , namely,  $-1 + 2/\rho^2$  for  $a > 0$  and  $35/(4\rho^2)$  for  $a < 0$  [10]. More exactly, at  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  the channel function  $f(\rho)$  decays as  $\rho^{-1}$  for  $a > 0$  and as  $\rho^{-7/2}$  for  $a < 0$ .

Note also that the bound state at the threshold turns to a narrow resonance under small variation of  $m/m_1$  and  $b$ .