

Quantum circuits for qubit fusion

Jonathan E. Moussa*

Center for Computing Research, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185, USA

We consider four-dimensional qudits as qubit pairs and their qudit Pauli operators as qubit Clifford operators. This introduces a nesting, $C_1^2 \subset C_2^4 \subset C_3^2$, where C_n^m is the n th level of the m -dimensional qudit Clifford hierarchy. If we can convert between logical qubits and qudits, then qudit Clifford operators are qubit non-Clifford operators. Conversion is achieved by qubit fusion and qudit fission using stabilizer circuits that consume a resource state. This resource is a fused qubit stabilizer state with a fault-tolerant state preparation using stabilizer circuits.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is increasing theoretical interest in using qudits for quantum information processing [1, 2], but most experimental efforts are focused on building qubits. A natural way to relate these activities is to consider embedding qudits in qubits [3]. The simplest invertible embedding is a four-dimensional qudit in two qubits. Quantum error correction is more complicated for qudits of composite dimension, but high-distance surface codes can be constructed for qudits of any dimension [4].

This paper develops a useful physical relationship between qubits and qudits that is represented as quantum circuits. All further use of “qudit” implicitly refers to a four-dimensional qudit. We assume the availability of stabilizer operations with negligible errors on both qubits and qudits. These operations combine projective measurements in a joint Pauli basis with unitary transformations in a joint Clifford group that are able to entangle qubits with qudits. Superficially, a qudit Clifford operation can be a non-Clifford operation on two underlying qubits. To achieve actual non-Clifford operations, we need to teleport quantum states between two qubits and a qudit. We then posit the existence of a circuit element for qubit fusion,

$$\begin{array}{c} |x\rangle \\ |y\rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{F} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} |2y + x\rangle, \quad (1a)$$

and another for the conjugate operation of qudit fission,

$$|x\rangle \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{F^\dagger} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} |x \bmod 2\rangle \\ |\lfloor x/2 \rfloor\rangle \end{array}. \quad (1b)$$

The relative orientation of input and output wires is used to distinguish the inequivalent qubit wires of F and F^\dagger .

To implement F or F^\dagger using stabilizer operations, a qudit ancilla state must be consumed. For logical qubits and qudits encoded in different quantum codes, this state is effectively a resource for code conversion. It can be distilled and teleported to correct faulty F operations analogous to gate teleportation of non-Clifford operations [5]. This combines aspects of code conversion [6] and resource state distillation [7] to implement an unconventional but universal set of quantum operations.

We use standard quantum circuit notation [8] throughout the paper with modifications to accommodate qudits. Qudits are labeled by a slash on the left end of the wire. Pauli and Clifford gates on qudit wires denote the corresponding qudit operations. An operation controlled by a qudit in the state $|x\rangle$ is applied x times. All state preparation and measurement is restricted to the qubit and qudit computational basis.

II. STABILIZER OPERATIONS

To enable a concise presentation of results, we assume that the reader is familiar with stabilizer operations on qubits that map between elements of the Pauli group using elements of the Clifford group [8]. The Pauli group is generated by an i phase factor and an X and Z operator for each qubit, and the Clifford group is generated by an $\omega = \exp(i\pi/4)$ phase factor, a controlled-NOT (CNOT) operation between qubit pairs, and a Hadamard (H) and phase (S) operation for each qubit.

We use the standard extension of Pauli and Clifford group structure to qudits [9] with notation similar to the qubit case. The Pauli group is still generated by a phase factor and an X and Z operator for each qudit, but the phase is now ω and the Pauli operator algebra on a qudit is summarized by

$$ZX = iXZ, \quad (2a)$$

$$Z^{-1} = Z^\dagger = Z^3, \quad (2b)$$

$$X^{-1} = X^\dagger = X^3. \quad (2c)$$

Generalizations of CNOT, H , and S operations still generate the qudit Clifford group up to a global phase [9]. They can be characterized by their action on the qudit X and Z operators,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{X} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{X} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} \quad (3a)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{X} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{X} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} \quad (3b)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{Z} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{Z} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} \quad (3c)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{Z} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \boxed{Z} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \oplus \end{array} \quad (3d)$$

* godotalgorithm@gmail.com

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \quad (3e)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} \boxed{X^\dagger} \text{---} \quad (3f)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} \times \omega \quad (3g)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \quad (3h)$$

It was initially conjectured that other single-qudit operations would be needed to generate the qudit Clifford group [10], but CNOT, H , and S were recently proven to be sufficient [9].

Except for the phase factor in the S gate (ω rather than i), Eq. (3) is consistent with the qubit case. X , Z , H , and CNOT are Hermitian and order two for qubits but are non-Hermitian and order four for qudits. This necessitates operator algebra rules that distinguish an operator from its conjugate.

We present further details of joint stabilizer operations on qubits and qudits in the next two subsections. In Sec. II A, we specify the standard representation of qudit Pauli and Clifford operations as qubit operations. In Sec. II B, we define hybrid Clifford operations between qubits and qudits.

A. Standard qudit representation

We attribute the standard representation of the qudit Pauli group [9] to the qudits generated by the F gate in Eq. (1). It is typically defined by the action of Pauli and Clifford group generators on qudit computational basis states. X is a ‘‘shift’’ operation that increments the basis state by one modulo four,

$$|x\rangle \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} |(x+1) \bmod 4\rangle. \quad (4a)$$

Z is a ‘‘clock’’ operation that shifts the phase by a power of i ,

$$|x\rangle \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} i^x |x\rangle. \quad (4b)$$

CNOT is a modular addition operation on the basis index,

$$\begin{aligned} |x\rangle \text{---} \bullet \text{---} |x\rangle \\ |y\rangle \text{---} \oplus \text{---} |(x+y) \bmod 4\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4c)$$

H is a discrete Fourier transform of the quantum state,

$$|x\rangle \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=0}^3 i^{xy} |y\rangle. \quad (4d)$$

S is a phase shift by a power of ω with a quadratic exponent,

$$|x\rangle \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} \omega^{x^2} |x\rangle. \quad (4e)$$

Other suggested qudit Clifford operations [10] are redundant. For example, $|x\rangle \rightarrow |3x \bmod 4\rangle$ is the action of H^2 .

We use F and F^\dagger to rewrite Eq. (4) as operations on the underlying qubits. The qudit Pauli group generators X and Z ,

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---} \quad (5a)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---}, \quad (5b)$$

contain only qubit Clifford operations. By contrast, the qudit Clifford group generators CNOT, H , and S ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{---} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \oplus \text{---} \end{aligned} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---} \quad (5c)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---} \quad (5d)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---} \boxed{T} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---}, \quad (5e)$$

contain T , controlled- S , and Toffoli gates, which are standard qubit non-Clifford operations. If we label the n th level of the m -dimensional qudit Clifford hierarchy [5] as C_n^m , then it is clear from Eq. (5) that $C_2^4 \subset C_3^2$. C_2^4 must be a strict subset of C_3^2 because qudit Clifford operations are not universal.

We can also use F and F^\dagger to rewrite the qubit Pauli group generators as operations on qudits. Two in particular,

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---} \quad (6a)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---}, \quad (6b)$$

are also in the qudit Pauli group. The other two generators,

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} \boxed{H} \text{---} \boxed{X} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---} \quad (7a)$$

$$\text{---} \text{---} \boxed{Z} \text{---} = \text{---} \text{---} \boxed{F} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} \boxed{Z^\dagger} \text{---} \boxed{F^\dagger} \text{---}, \quad (7b)$$

are in the qudit Clifford group. We observe that $C_1^2 \subset C_2^4$.

We make a final observation about fusion by decomposing the qudit Clifford operators in Eq. (7) in a qudit Pauli basis,

$$X H^2 = \frac{1}{2} (X + X Z^2 + X^\dagger + Z^2 X^\dagger) \quad (8a)$$

$$Z^\dagger S^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\omega^* Z + \omega Z^\dagger). \quad (8b)$$

In the conversion between qubits and qudits, the new Pauli Z operators are functions of the old Pauli Z operators. This is an asymmetry in the qudit representation since the new Pauli X operators are functions of both old Pauli X and Z operators. A complementary representation is defined in the appendix.

B. Hybrid Clifford operations

A provable construction of all Clifford operations between qudits of different dimensions is an open problem [11] that we do not attempt to solve here. We simply introduce additional generators of the Clifford group to entangle qubits and qudits that are sufficient for the purpose of this paper. We conjecture that they are sufficient to generate the entire Clifford group.

-
- [1] E. T. Campbell, H. Anwar, and D. E. Browne, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 041021 (2012).
- [2] F. H. E. Watson, H. Anwar, and D. E. Browne, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 032309 (2015).
- [3] A. Hutter, J. R. Wootton, and D. Loss, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041040 (2015).
- [4] H. Bombin and M. A. Martin-Delgado, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 052105 (2007).
- [5] D. Gottesman and I. L. Chuang, *Nature (London)* **402**, 390 (1999).
- [6] J. T. Anderson, G. Duclos-Cianci, and D. Poulin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 080501 (2014).
- [7] S. Bravyi and A. Kitaev, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 022316 (2005).
- [8] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000).
- [9] J. M. Farinholt, *J. Phys. A* **47**, 305303 (2014).
- [10] D. Gottesman, *Chaos Soliton Fract.* **10**, 1749 (1999).
- [11] J. Bermejo-Vega and M. Van den Nest, *Quantum Inf. Comput.* **14**, 181 (2014).
- [12] C. Jones, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 042305 (2013).
- [13] M. Amy, D. R. Cheriton, D. Maslov, M. Mosca, and M. Roetteler, *IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst.* **32**, 818 (2013).
- [14] C. Jones, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 022328 (2013).
- [15] J. W. Cooley and J. W. Tukey, *Math. Comput.* **19**, 297 (1965).
- [16] N. C. Jones, R. Van Meter, A. G. Fowler, P. L. McMahon, J. Kim, T. D. Ladd, and Y. Yamamoto, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 031007 (2012).
- [17] D. DiVincenzo, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 030001 (2012).