

Synchronization in a network of delay coupled maps with stochastically switching topologies

Mayurakshi Nag and Swarup Poria^{1, a)}

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 92, A.P.C Road, Kolkata-700009, India.

The synchronization behavior of delay coupled chaotic smooth unimodal maps over a ring network with stochastic switching of links at every time step is reported in this paper. It is observed that spatiotemporal synchronization never appears for nearest neighbor connections; however, stochastic switching of connections with homogeneous delay (τ) is capable of synchronizing the network to homogeneous steady state or periodic orbit or synchronized chaotically oscillating state depending on the delay parameter, stochasticity parameter and map parameters. Linear stability analysis of the synchronized state is done analytically for unit delay and the value of the critical coupling strength, at which the onset of synchronization occurs is determined analytically. The logistic map $rx(1-x)$ (a smooth unimodal map) is chosen for numerical simulation purpose. Synchronized steady state or synchronized period-2 orbit is stabilized for delay $\tau = 1$. On the other hand for delay $\tau = 2$ the network is stabilized to the fixed point of the local map. Numerical simulation results are in good agreement with the analytically obtained linear stability analysis results. Another interesting observation is the existence of synchronized chaos in the network for delay $\tau > 2$. Calculating synchronization error and plotting time series data and Poincare first return map the existence of synchronized chaos is confirmed. The results hold good for other smooth unimodal maps also.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a

Due to the nonzero propagation speed of the transmitted signals the time delay in coupling arises in any system. Delay systems are in general infinite dimensional and can display complex dynamics and a very little is known about the basic relations between network structure and delay dynamics. Delay induced synchronization in coupled map lattice (CML) has already been discussed in many papers. However, in many systems (for example, communication, ecological, social and contact networks) links are not always active and the connectivity between the units changes (stochastically or deterministically) in time with a rate ranging from slow to fast. These facts motivates us to find out the effects of time delay in a CML having stochastically switching network topology. In a stochastically switching network connection between nodes changes randomly with time. Conditions for the stability of the synchronized steady state and periodic orbit are derived analytically using the properties of block circulant matrix. It is shown that in certain range of coupling (depending on probability of random connection) the CML synchronizes to periodic or chaotic orbit depending on delay time(τ) and map parameters. In case of $\tau = 1$ synchronized *period - 2* is observed whereas for $\tau = 2$ synchronized steady state and for higher values of τ synchronized chaos are observed.

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been an increasing interest in the study of spatially extended systems with local and nonlocal interactions to understand almost all complex behaviors in nature. The analysis of collective behavior of time-delay coupled networks is a topic of great interest for its fundamental significance from a dynamical systems point of view and for its practical relevance in modeling various physical, biological, and engineering systems, such as coupled laser arrays, gene regulatory networks and complex ecosystems¹⁻³. Realistic modeling of many large networks with nonlocal interaction inevitably requires connection delays to be taken into account, since they naturally arise as a consequence of finite information transmission and processing speeds among the units. Delay systems are in general infinite dimensional and can display complex dynamics and a very little is known yet about the basic relations between network structure and delay dynamics. The first systematic investigation of delay coupled phase oscillators was done by Schuster and Wagner⁴. Delay can give rise to some significant phenomena like synchronization⁵⁻⁷, multistability^{8,9} and amplitude death^{10,11}. Dissipative systems with time delayed feedback can generate high dimensional chaos and the dimension of chaotic attractor can be made larger by increasing delay time¹²⁻¹⁵. In neural network and communication network time delays ubiquitously exist^{16,17}. Networks with time delay are also very useful for modeling coordinated brain activity¹⁸, pattern recognitions etc. Delay can enhance the coherence of chaotic motion²¹, as well as it can stabilize synchronous state in oscillator network²². Some new phenomena like oscillation death, stabilizing periodic orbits, enhancement or suppression of

^{a)} Electronic mail: swarup_p@yahoo.com

synchronization, chimera state etc arise due to the time delay^{23–28}. So there are strong reasons to shed light on the issue of such systems.

Synchronization of coupled map lattice under delay coupling in globally coupled logistic maps^{31,32}, coupled chaotic maps with inter-neural communication³³ or intra-neural communication³⁴ has been intensively investigated. In modeling many realistic systems of biological, technological and physical significance using CML with either nearest neighbor interaction or global coupling is unable to capture all essential features of the dynamics of the extended systems³⁵. However, in many systems (for example, communication, ecological, social and contact networks) links are not always active and the connectivity between the units changes (stochastically or deterministically) in time with a rate ranging from slow to fast³⁶. One can think that time variations of links represent the evolution of interactions over time of the system. Such time-varying interactions are commonly found in social networks, communication, biological systems, spread of epidemics, computer networks, world wide web etc. and have been shown to result in significantly different emergent phenomena³⁷.

The synchronization behavior of time delay coupled network with dynamic random updating of links at every time are not yet investigated. In this work, we have considered homogeneous delay coupled ring network with stochastic updating of links at every time and investigated the effects of dynamic random updating of links and delay parameter on the synchronization phenomena of the network. Most interestingly, we study the stability of the synchronized state when the underlying network evolves in time taking delay parameter as one unit of time. We analyze synchronization behavior of dynamic random network with identical local maps. We consider Watts-Strogatz (WS) networks and vary the fraction of random links p to cover a broad range of networks varying from a regular ring topology for $p = 0$ to completely random networks for $p = 1$ and for intermediate values of p , such networks are characterized by small-world networks : small path length and high clustering coefficient.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II the model is discussed. Section III contains linear stability analysis results for complete synchronization. Numerical simulation results are also presented and analyzed in this section. Finally, conclusion is drawn in section IV.

II. MODEL

Governing equations for delay coupled maps with nearest neighbor interaction over a ring network are the following

$$x_{t+1}(i) = (1-\epsilon)f(x_t(i)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(x_{t-\tau}(i-1) + x_{t-\tau}(i+1)) \quad (1)$$

where, $x_t(i)$ represents the state variable, $t(\geq 0)$ the integer valued time index, $i = 1, \dots, N$ the space index, N be-

ing the linear size of the array. ϵ is the coupling strength, $\tau \geq 0$ represents the constant delay time. In our model every link in the network rewires stochastically and independently in every time step. In particular, at every time update we connect a fraction p of randomly chosen sites in the lattice to two other random sites, instead of their nearest neighbors. Then the evolution rule for p fraction of nodes of the network are followed by

$$x_{t+1}(i) = (1-\epsilon)f(x_t(i)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(x_{t-\tau}(\xi) + x_{t-\tau}(\eta)) \quad (2)$$

where ξ and η are random integers uniformly distributed in the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$. At the same time the dynamical equation of the time evolution rule for remaining $(1-p)$ fraction of nodes are governed by

$$x_{t+1}(i) = (1-\epsilon)f(x_t(i)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(x_{t-\tau}(i-1) + x_{t-\tau}(i+1)) \quad (3)$$

Thus $p = 0$ corresponds to the usual nearest-neighbor diffusion for all nodes, whereas $p = 1$ is related to completely random diffusion. For our present study we choose one dimensional unimodal smooth map.

III. RESULTS

In this section, we shall do linear stability analysis of synchronized spatiotemporal fixed point of the dynamic random network of delay coupled maps first.

A. Stability Analysis

We consider the case with delay parameter $\tau = 1$ and check the stability of the synchronized spatiotemporal fixed point of the map. Stability analysis of synchronized spatiotemporal fixed point for dynamic random network is relatively complicated since it will involve diagonalization of random matrices. To calculate the stability of the synchronized fixed point, we will construct an average probabilistic evolution rule for the sites, which becomes a sort of mean field version of the dynamics³⁸. We assume that the total contribution due to k randomly chosen neighbors is $k < x(t) >$. In our case, $k = 2$. Now, the averaged out evolution equation for any site i is the following

$$x_{t+1}(i) = (1-\epsilon)f(x_t(i)) + (1-p)\frac{\epsilon}{2}(x_{t-\tau}(i+1) + x_{t-\tau}(i-1)) + \frac{p\epsilon}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x_{t-\tau}(j). \quad (4)$$

The delayed system (4) can now be written in following form by introducing a new variable $y_t(i)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t+1}(i) &= (1-\epsilon)f(x_t(i)) + (1-p)\frac{\epsilon}{2}\{y_t(i+1) \\ &\quad + y_t(i-1)\} + \frac{p\epsilon}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N y_t(j) \\ y_{t+1}(i) &= x_t(i) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now it becomes an equation with no delay term. In this way every coupled map lattice model with homogeneous delay can be easily transformed into an CML with no delay. Synchronization occurs when the state variables of each node adopt the same value for all the coupled maps at all times t , i.e. $x_t(1) = x_t(2) = x_t(3) = \dots = x_t(N) = x^*$ and $y_t(1) = y_t(2) = y_t(3) = \dots = y_t(N)$ for all times greater than a transient time. A range of the coupling strength may exist for which such a synchronized steady state can be obtained depending on the nature of the individual map and an adequate rewiring probability p and delay parameter τ . The linear stability analysis of synchronized spatiotemporal steady state of the model (5) is performed for smooth unimodal maps. The Jacobian matrix for the system (5) can be cast as

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} A+C & B+C & C & C & \dots & B+C \\ B+C & A+C & B+C & C & \dots & C \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ B+C & C & C & C & \dots & A+C \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\epsilon)a & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1-p)\epsilon/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p\epsilon/N \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a = \left. \frac{df(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=x^*}.$$

To derive the stability condition of the synchronized steady state we will study the eigenvalues of the matrix J . The matrix J is a block circulant matrix. It can be reduced to a block diagonal matrix using unitary transformation. The block diagonal form of J is the following

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} M_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & M_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & M_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where, the matrix $M_r (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1)$ are 2×2 matrices given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_r &= (A+C) + \omega_r(B+C) + \omega_r^2 C + \dots + \omega_r^{N-1}(B+C) \\ &= A + 2B\cos\theta_r + C(1 + e^{i\theta_r} + e^{2i\theta_r} + \dots + e^{(N-1)i\theta_r}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_r = e^{i\theta_r}, \theta_r = 2\pi r/N.$$

After substituting the values of A, B and C we obtain $M_r = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\epsilon)a & (1-p)\epsilon\cos\theta_r + \frac{p\epsilon}{N}\frac{1-e^{Ni\theta_r}}{1-e^{i\theta_r}} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Clearly, the matrix $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\epsilon)a & \epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Now, θ_r

can vary between 0 and 2π . The eigenvalues of M_0 are $(a(1-\epsilon) \pm \sqrt{a^2(1-\epsilon)^2 + 4\epsilon})/2$. If there exist at least one eigenvalue of J greater than 1 for all ϵ then the synchronized steady state is not stable for the system (5). This situation appears when $|a| > 1$ and consequently the network has no stable synchronized steady state. On the other hand for $|a| < 1$ of eigenvalues of M_0 lie inside the unit circle centered at origin in the complex plane. Also we calculated the eigenvalues of M_r for the case $r \neq 0$ and found them lying within unit circle centered at origin for $|a| < 1$. This indicates that for $|a| < 1$ stable synchronized steady state ought to occur; whereas, for $|a| > 1$ synchronized fixed point can never be achieved.

Next, we seek the stability criterion of synchronized period-2 orbit of the lattice, which are the period 2 points of the local map. To do this, we have to find the eigenvalues of the matrix $J' = J_1 J_2$, where $J_1 = J|_{x=x_1^*}$ and $J_2 = J|_{x=x_2^*}$ and $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is a period 2 orbit of the local map. Thus, the Jacobian turns out to be

$$J' = \begin{bmatrix} A'+C' & B'+C' & C' & C' & \dots & B'+C' \\ B'+C' & A'+C' & B'+C' & C' & \dots & C' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ B'+C' & C' & C' & C' & \dots & A'+C' \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where,

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\epsilon)^2 cd & 0 \\ (1-\epsilon)d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B' = \begin{pmatrix} (1-p)\epsilon/2 & (1-\epsilon)c(1-p)\epsilon/2 \\ 0 & (1-p)\epsilon/2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } C' = \begin{pmatrix} p\epsilon/N & (1-\epsilon)cp\epsilon/N \\ 0 & p\epsilon/N \end{pmatrix}, c = \left. \frac{df(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=x_1^*}, d = \left. \frac{df(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=x_2^*}.$$

The matrix J' is also block circulant matrix. So approaching as above we reach at a block diagonal matrix

$$D' = \begin{pmatrix} M'_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & M'_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & M'_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where, the matrix $M'_r (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1)$ are 2×2 matrices given by

$$\begin{aligned} M'_r &= (A'+C') + \omega_r(B'+C') + \omega_r^2 C' + \dots + \omega_r^{N-1}(B'+C') \\ &= A' + 2B'\cos\theta_r + C'(1 + e^{i\theta_r} + e^{2i\theta_r} + \dots + e^{(N-1)i\theta_r}) \end{aligned}$$

where, $\omega_r = e^{i\theta_r}$, $\theta_r = 2\pi r/N$.

The eigen values of the matrix

$$M'_r = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\epsilon)^2 cd + (1-p)\epsilon\cos\theta_r & (1-\epsilon)c\epsilon(1-p)\cos\theta_r \\ (1-\epsilon)d & (1-p)\epsilon\cos\theta_r \end{pmatrix}$$

are $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\{(1-\epsilon)^2 cd + 2(1-p)\epsilon\cos\theta_r \pm \sqrt{(1-\epsilon)^4 c^2 d^2 + 4(1-p)\epsilon\cos\theta_r(1-\epsilon)^2 cd}\}$. For the stability of period-2 all the eigenvalues must lie within

the unit circle of the complex plane. i.e. $|\lambda| \leq 1$. We check the eigenvalues for $\cos\theta_r$ between -1 to 1. For $\cos\theta_r = -1$, the inequality gives

$$\epsilon \geq \frac{u-1}{u-1+p} \quad (10)$$

and for $\cos\theta_r = 1$, we reach at the inequality

$$\epsilon \geq \frac{u-1}{u+1-p}. \quad (11)$$

where, $u = \sqrt{|cd|}$. Therefore, we point out that the period-2 fixed point is stable in the range

$$\text{Max}\left\{\frac{u-1}{u-1+p}, \frac{u-1}{u+1-p}\right\} \leq \epsilon < 1 \quad (12)$$

which implies,

$$\frac{u-1}{u-1+p} \leq \epsilon < 1. \quad (13)$$

The critical coupling strength depends on the properties of the local map and randomness of rewiring (p) and the delay parameter. The stability range of synchronized period-2 increases monotonically with the randomness of rewiring (p). Notice that this condition is independent of the lattice size N . Specifically, we take chaotic logistic map $f(x) = 4x(1-x)$ having nonzero fixed point $x_0 = 0.75$. Since $a = -2$ for this point of the map, i.e $|a| > 1$, period-1 fixed point never synchronizes for this chaotic logistic map. Now, for this map $cd = -4.096$, period-2 fixed points being $x_1^* = 0.9045$ and $x_2^* = 0.3454$. We find that the period-2 fixed point is stable in the range

$$\text{Max}\left\{\frac{1.0238}{1.0238+p}, \frac{1.0238}{3.0238-p}\right\} \leq \epsilon < 1. \quad (14)$$

which implies,

$$\frac{1.0238}{1.0238+p} \leq \epsilon < 1. \quad (15)$$

For fully random coupling the range of stability of synchronized period-2 orbit becomes $0.5058 < \epsilon < 1$.

B. Simulation Results and Analysis

For numerical simulation we have chosen the logistic map $f(x) = rx(1-x)$ and the lattice size to be 100. First we take the delay time to be $\tau = 1$. Bifurcation diagram of the state variables with the variation of the coupling strength ϵ of the lattice for fully random rewiring is drawn in figure 1 for $r = 4$ and in figure 2 $r = 2.8$. We observe synchronized fixed point for $r = 2.8$ but synchronized period-2 behavior for a long range of coupling strength for $p = 1$ which is consistent with our analytical findings. From numerical simulation the range of synchronized period-2 orbit is found to be $0.535 < \epsilon < 1$

which is very close to the analytically estimated range. Next, we draw the bifurcation diagram of the state variables for $\tau = 2$. Transition to synchronized fixed point for complete random rewiring is depicted in figure 3. The nature of bifurcation is the same as the bifurcation of a system without delay. The bifurcation diagram with the variation of delay time τ for $\epsilon = 0.6$ and $p = 1$ is shown in figure 4. This figure exhibits the existence of synchronized chaos for $\tau > 2$.




FIG. 1. Bifurcation diagram of delay coupled logistic maps $4x(1-x)$ for $\tau = 1$ and $p = 1$.




FIG. 2. Bifurcation diagram of delay coupled logistic maps $2.8x(1-x)$ for $\tau = 1$ and $p = 1$.

Furthermore, we calculate the basin size of synchronization. If out of s random initial conditions synchronization is obtained in k cases then basin size will be $\frac{k}{s}$, i.e the basin size lies between the values 0 and 1. To compute basin size we have taken 100 random initial conditions. Variation of basin sizes with respect to the coupling strength for different connectivity $p = 1$ and $p = 0.5$ are displayed in figure 5. The range of synchronization increases with the increase in p . For different coupling strength $\epsilon = 0.55$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$ we plot the basin sizes with the variation of the rewiring probability in figure 6.

Coming to the scenario of synchronized chaos we have to justify the occurrence of chaos as well as the synchronization among the lattice points. For the confirmation




FIG. 3. Bifurcation diagram of delay coupled logistic maps for $\tau = 2$ and $p = 1$.




FIG. 4. Bifurcation diagram of delay coupled logistic map with variation in delay time τ with $p = 1$.

of existence of synchronized chaos for $\tau > 2$ we plot the synchronization error with the variation of coupling strength. In figure 7 we have displayed the nature of synchronization error for $p = 1$ and $\tau = 5$. This shows that for high coupling strength fully synchronized state is achieved irrespective of the nature of the orbit. We have plot the time series of one site for $p = 1$, $\tau = 5$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$ in figure 8 to show that chaos is generated at each node for higher delay time. We confirm the existence of chaos by plotting the Poincare first return map in figure 9.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have reported the synchronization behavior of homogeneous time delay coupled one dimensional ring network of unimodal maps in presence of stochastically switching of links. We have considered homogeneous delay coupled ring network with stochastic updating of links at every time and investigated the effects of dynamic random updating of links and delay parameter and coupling strength on the synchronization phenomena of the network. The key finding is the analytically derivation of




FIG. 5. Sizes of the basin of attraction of the synchronized period 2 state with the variation of coupling strength for (a) $p = 1.0$ and (b) $p = 0.5$.




FIG. 6. Sizes of the basin of attraction of the synchronized period 2 state with the variation of rewiring probability for (a) $\epsilon = 0.55$ and (b) $\epsilon = 0.8$ with $p = 1$.

the stability range of the synchronized steady state and synchronize period 2 orbit of the delay coupled CML for $\tau = 1$. It is observed that the critical coupling strength for obtaining synchronized period-2 depends on the properties of the local map and randomness of rewiring (p) and the delay parameter. The stability range of synchronized period-2 increases monotonically with the randomness of rewiring (p) but this condition is independent of the lattice size N . The analytically calculated stability range of synchronized period-2 orbit is in good agreement with the numerical one. We have taken logistic map for numerical simulations. The random rewiring of spatial connection has significant effect on spatiotemporal synchronization as usual. Either synchronized steady state or synchronized period 2 orbit is observed for $\tau = 1$ depending on the map parameters. Synchronized period-1 orbit is observed for $\tau = 2$. Existence of synchronized chaos is reported for $\tau > 2$. These results also hold for other smooth unimodal maps. We calculated the synchronization error to show the spatial synchronization of the nodes. The time evolution of one node is shown as an evidence of the existence of chaos. Further analysis




FIG. 7. Synchronization error of the lattice with respect to coupling strength for $p = 1$ and $\tau = 5$.




FIG. 9. Poincare section of the coupled map for $p = 1$, $\tau = 5$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$.




FIG. 8. Time evolution of one node of the lattice for $p = 1$, $\tau = 5$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$.

using Poincare first return map confirms chaos. Thus we confirm the existence of synchronized chaos for $\tau > 2$ for higher coupling strength. The coupling topology can crucially influence the synchronizability of the CML. In contrast to the non-delayed CML with stochastic updating of links where only synchronized steady state exists (at strong coupling strength)³⁸ here, because of homogeneous time delay the CML supports wide range of qualitatively different synchronized states including synchronous chaos. The results presented in this paper may help us to understand the origin of diversity of synchronous dynamical states observed in large number of biological, chemical and social networks, which can emerge either due to finite information transmission delays or small-world topology.

¹C. M. Gonzalez, C. Masoller, M. C. Torrent and J. Garcia-Ojalvo, *EPL* **79**, 64003 (2007).

²L.Chen and K. Aihara, *IEEE Trans Circuits and Syst.* **49**, 5 (2002).

³P. Yongzhen, G. Min and L. Changguo *Commun. in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, **16**, 4365-4378 (2011).

⁴H. G. Schuster and P. Wagner, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **81**, 5 (1989).

⁵T. Ouchi, H. Nijmeijer, T. Yamamoto, *Chaos* **18**, 037108 (2008).

⁶G. Ambika, R. E. Amritkar, *Chaos Soliton and Fractals* **44**, 1035-1042 (2011).

- ⁷L. Tang, X. Wu, J. Lü and Jun-an Lu, *Chaos* **25**, 033101 (2015).
- ⁸M. D. Shrimali, R. Sharan, A. Prasad, R. Ramaswamy, *Phys. Lett. A* **374**, 26362639 (2010).
- ⁹C. Hens, S. K. Dana and U. Feudel, *Chaos* **25**, 053112 (2015).
- ¹⁰D. V. Ramana Reddy, A. Sen, G. L. Johnston, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **80**, 5109 (1998).
- ¹¹N. Punetha, R. Karnatak, A. Prasad, J. Kurths and R. Ramaswamy, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**(4), 046204 (2012).
- ¹²V. Ahlers, U. Parlitz, and W. Lauterborn, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 7208 (1998).
- ¹³I. Fischer, R. Vicente, J. M. Buldu, M. Peil, C. R. Mirasso, M. C. Torrent, and J. Garcia-Ojalvo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 123902 (2006).
- ¹⁴E. Klein, N. Gross, M. Rosenbluh, W. Kinzel, L. Khaykovich and I. Kanter, *Phys. Rev. E* **73**, 066214 (2006).
- ¹⁵G. Kozyreff, A. G. Vladimirov, and P. Mandel, *Phys. Rev.Lett.* **85**, 3809 (2000).
- ¹⁶K. Pyragas, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 30673071 (1998).
- ¹⁷Q. Zhang, J. Lu, J. Le, and C. Tse, *IEEE Trans Circuits and Syst., II: Express Briefs* **55**, 183187 (2008)
- ¹⁸G. Deco, V. Jirsa, A. R. McIntosh, O. Sporns and R. Kotter, *Pnas* **106**,25 (2009).
- ¹⁹K. Ikeda, *Opt. Commun.* **30**, 257 (1979).
- ²⁰K. Pyragas, *Phys Lett A* **170**, 421 (1992).
- ²¹D. Goldbin, M. Rosenblum and A. Pikovsky, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 061119 (2003).
- ²²M. G. Earl and S. H. Strogatz, *Phys. Rev. E*, **67**, 036204 (2003).
- ²³T. Heil et al. , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 243901 (2001).
- ²⁴E. Scholl et al., *Philos. Trans. R. Soc, A* **367**, 1079 (2009).
- ²⁵M. Dhamala et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 074104 (2004).
- ²⁶J. H. Sheeba, V. K. Chandrasekar, M. Lakshmanan, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 055203(R) (2009).
- ²⁷M. K. Sen et al., *J. stat Mech* P08018 (2010) .
- ²⁸G. C. Sethia, A. Sen and F. M. Atay, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **100**, 144102(2008).
- ²⁹A. C. Marti, C. Masoller, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 056219 (2003) .
- ³⁰J. Zhou, T. Chen, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I* **53**(2), 733744 (2006).
- ³¹K. Kaneko, *Physica D*, **41** 137 (1990).
- ³²A. C. Marti, M. Ponce, and C. Masoller, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 066217 (2005).
- ³³F. M. Atay, J. Jost and A. Wende, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 144101 (2004).
- ³⁴R. Cheng et al., *Chaos*, **23** 043108 (2013).
- ³⁵D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz, *Nature* **393** (1998).
- ³⁶P. Holme and J. Saramki, *Phys. Rep.* **519**, 97 (2012).
- ³⁷V. Kohar, P. Ji, A. Choudhary, S. Sinha, and J. Kurths, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 022812 (2014).
- ³⁸S. Sinha, *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 016209 (2002).

