SCHREIER THEORY OF TRACK CATEGORIES

MARIAM PIRASHVILI

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation of our study of non-abelian Baues-Wirsching cohomologies. In our previous paper [\[3\]](#page-7-0), we defined second non-abelian cohomology $H^2(\mathcal{C}, D)$ of a small category $\mathcal C$ with coefficients in a so-called centralised natural system D . We proved that $H^2(\mathcal{C}, D)$ classifies linear extensions of C by D, generalising the corresponding result for abelian natural systems proved in [\[2\]](#page-7-1).

For an abelian natural system D, the third cohomology classifies certain abelian track categories [\[1\]](#page-7-2). A track category is a 2-category where all 2-morphisms are isomorphisms. A track category is called abelian if for every 1-morphism f , the group $Aut(f)$ is abelian.

In a similar fashion to the above, we want to generalise this result for non-abelian track categories. In this paper we solve this problem for the folowing important case: Given categories K and C and a functor $\pi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{C}$, which is identity on objects and surjective on morphisms, and G , a centralised natural system of groups on K , we describe the equivalence classes of all track categories $\mathcal T$ for which $\mathcal K$ is the underlying category and $\mathcal C$ is the homotopy category and $G_f = Aut(f)$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Track categories. For a small groupoid **, the set of its objects will be denoted by**

 \mathbf{G}_0 and the set of morphisms by \mathbf{G}_1 . We have the source and target maps $\mathbf{G}_1 \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow}$ $\overrightarrow{G_0}$.

A groupoid is called abelian if all automorphism groups are abelian.

Definition 1. A category enriched in groupoids \mathcal{T} , also termed track category, is a 2category whose all 2-cells are invertible. It is thus a class of objects $Ob(\mathcal{T})$, a collection of groupoids $\mathcal{T}(A, B)$ for $A, B \in Ob(\mathcal{T})$ called hom-groupoids of \mathcal{T} , identities $1_A \in \mathcal{T}(A, A)_0$ and composition functors $\mathcal{T}(B, C) \times \mathcal{T}(A, B) \to \mathcal{T}(A, C)$ satisfying the usual equations of associativity and identity morphisms.

Thus $\mathcal{T}(A, B)_0$ denotes the set of objects of the groupoid $\mathcal{T}(A, B)$, while $\mathcal{T}(A, B)_0$ denotes the set morphisms of the groupoid $\mathcal{T}(A, B)$. Elements of $\mathcal{T}(A, B)$ ₀ we will call morphisms of T, while elements of $T(A, B)_1$ are called tracks of T. The underlying category of T is denoted by K

For $f, g \in \mathcal{T}(A, B)$ ₁ we shall write $f \simeq g$ (and say f is homotopic to g) if there exists a morphism α of T from f to g. Occassionally this will also be denoted by α : $f \simeq g$ or α : $f \Rightarrow g$. Sometimes α is called a *homotopy* or a *track* from f to g. Homotopy is a natural equivalence relation on morphisms of K and determines the homotopy category $C = \mathcal{K}/\simeq$. Objects of C are once again the objects in $Ob(\mathcal{T})$, while the morphisms of C are the homotopy classes of morphisms in K. We denote the canonical functor by π . So $\pi: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{C}.$

A morphism $g : B \to C$ in $\mathcal T$ induces the functors

 $g_* : \mathcal{T}(A, B) \to \mathcal{T}(A, C), \quad f \mapsto gf, \quad \alpha \mapsto g_*\alpha,$ $g^*: \mathcal{T}(C, D) \to \mathcal{T}(B, D), \quad h \mapsto hg, \quad \beta \mapsto g^*\beta.$

These functors are restrictions of the composition functors. It follows from the definition that the following relations hold:

TR 1
$$
(\alpha + \beta) + \gamma = \alpha + (\beta + \gamma),
$$

2 M. PIRASHVILI

TR 2
$$
\alpha + 0 = \alpha = 0 + \alpha,
$$

- TR 3 f * $(\alpha + \beta) = f^*(\alpha) + f^*(\beta),$
- TR 4 $g_*(\alpha + \beta) = g_*(\alpha) + g_*(\beta),$

TR 5
$$
f^*(0) = 0 = g_*(0),
$$

- TR 6 $(ff_1)^* = f_1^* f^*, \ 1^* = 1,$
- TR 7 $(gg_1)_* = g_*g_{1*}, 1_* = 1,$

$$
TR 8 \t\t g_* f^* = f^* g_*,
$$

TR 9
$$
g_*(\alpha) + f_1^*(\alpha_1) = f^*(\alpha_1) + g_{1*}(\alpha)
$$
.

The following diagram explains the 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms in TR 9:

$$
A \underbrace{\qquad \qquad }_{f_1} B \underbrace{\qquad \qquad }_{g_1} C \; , \ \ \alpha : f \Rightarrow f_1, \ \ \alpha_1 : g \Rightarrow g_1.
$$

The equality TR 9 holds in $\mathcal{T}(qf, q_1f_1)$.

Definition 2. A track functor, or else 2-functor, $F : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ between track categories is a groupoid enriched functor. Thus F assigns to each $A \in Ob(\mathcal{T})$ an object $F(A) \in Ob(\mathcal{T}')$, to each map $f : A \to B$ in $\mathcal T$ a map $F(t) : F(A) \to F(B)$, and to each track $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$ for $f, g : A \to B$ a track $F(\alpha) : F(f) \Rightarrow F(g)$ in a functorial way, i.e. so that one gets functors $F_{A,B} : \mathcal{T}(A,B) \to \mathcal{T}'(F(A),F(B)).$ These assignments are compatible with identities and composition, or equivalently induce a functor $\mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}'_1$, that is $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)}$ for $A \in Ob(\mathcal{T})$, $F(fg) = F(f)F(g)$, and $F(\phi \times \psi) = F(\phi) \times (F\psi)$ for any $\phi : f \Rightarrow f'$, $\psi : g \Rightarrow g', \ f, f' : B \to C, \ g, g' : A \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{T}.$

A track functor $F: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ is called a *weak equivalence* between track categories if the functors $\mathcal{T}(A, B) \to \mathcal{T}'(F(A), F(B))$ are equivalences of groupoids for all objects A, B of 7 and each object A' of \mathcal{T}' is homotopy equivalent to some object of the form $F(A)$. Such a weak equivalence induces a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ between homotopy categories which is an equivalence of categories.

2.2. Natural systems. For a category I , one denotes by FI the *category of factorizations* of I. Let us recall that objects of the category FI are morphisms $f : i \rightarrow j$ of I. A morphism from f to $f': i' \to j'$ in **FI** is a pair (g, h) , where $g : i' \to i$ and $h : j \to j'$ are morphisms in I such that

$$
f'=h\circ f\circ g.
$$

In other words, the following diagram

$$
j \xrightarrow{h} j'
$$

$$
f \qquad \qquad f'
$$

$$
i \xleftarrow{g} k
$$

commutes. Composition in FI is defined by

$$
(g',h')(g,h)=(gg',h'h).
$$

It is clear that

$$
(g, h) = (g, \mathrm{Id}_{j'}) (\mathrm{Id}_i, h) = (\mathrm{Id}_{i'}, h)(g, \mathrm{Id}_j).
$$

Let I be a category. A *natural system* on I with values in a category C is a functor $D: \mathbf{F} \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{C}$. We denote the value of D on $f: i \to j$ by D_f or $D(f)$. If f is the identity

 $Id_i : i \to i$ we write D_i instead of D_{Id_i} . We also write g^* and h_* instead of $D(g, Id)$ and $D(\mathsf{Id}, h)$. Then

$$
D(g, h) = g^* h_* = h_* g^* : D_f \to D_{hfg}.
$$

If \bf{C} is the category of sets; respectively groups, or abelian groups; we say that D is a natural system of sets; respectively groups, or abelain groups.

Let I be a small category. A *centralised natural system of groups* is a natural system D of groups on **I** such that for any arrows $i \stackrel{f}{\to} j \stackrel{g}{\to} k$ and elements $x \in D_f$, $y \in D_g$ one has the equality

$$
g_*(x) + f^*(y) = f^*(y) + g_*(x)
$$

in the group D_{gf} . By putting $i = j = k$ and $g = f = id_i$, it follows that for any object i the group D_i is an abelian group.

2.3. Natural system $Aut^{\mathcal{T}}$. Let \mathcal{T} be a track category. Recall that the underlying category K has the same objects as T, however the set of morphisms $Hom_K(A, B)$ is the set of objects of the category $\mathcal{T}(A, B)$. For any morphism $f : A \to B$ of K we let $Aut_f^{\mathcal{T}}$ be the collection of all automorphisms of f in the category $\mathcal{T}(A, B)$. Thus, this is the collection of all tracks α : $f \Rightarrow f$. It follows from TR 1 and TR 2 that D_f is a group. Moreover, for any morphism $g: B \to C$, we have maps $g_*: Aut_f^{\mathcal{T}} \to Aut_{gf}^{\mathcal{T}}$ and $f^*: Aut_g^{\mathcal{T}} \to Aut_{gf}^{\mathcal{T}}$, which are group homomorphisms thanks to TR 3 – TR 5. Moreover, in this way one obtains a natural system $Aut^{\mathcal{T}}$ of groups on K. This follows from the identities TR 6 – TR 8. We claim that $Aut^{\mathcal{T}}$ is centralised. To show this it suffices to put $f = f_1$ and $g = g_1$ in TR 9 to get:

$$
g_*(\alpha) + f^*(\alpha_1) = f^*(\alpha_1) + g_*(\alpha).
$$

3. Cohomology of pre-track categories

3.1. Pre-track categories. A pre-track category ($\pi : K \to C$, G), or (π , G) for short, is the following data:

- (1) K and C are categories and π is a functor which is identity on objects and surjective on morphisms,
- (2) G is a centralised natural system of groups on K .

Example 3. The main example for this is the following. Let \mathcal{T} be a track category and let C be its homotopy category. Then $(\pi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{C}, Aut^{\mathcal{T}})$ is a pre-track category.

So any track category gives rise to a pre-track category. The question is whether we can say something about the converse.

Definition 4. Let $(\pi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{C}, G)$ be a pre-track category. A (π, G) -track category is a pair (\mathcal{T}, σ) where

- (1) $\mathcal{T} = (T\mathcal{K} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{K})$ is a track category with underlying category \mathcal{K} satisfying the property: for all $f, g \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$ one has $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$ iff $\mathcal{T}(f, g) \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) σ is an isomorphism of natural systems on K

$$
\sigma:Aut^{\mathcal T}\to G.
$$

Two (π, G) -track categories (\mathcal{T}, σ) and (\mathcal{T}', σ') are equivalent if there exists a track functor $F: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ which is identity on objects and the following diagram commutes:

One easily sees that if such an F exists, then it is an isomorphism of 2-categories. We let Tracks(π , G) be the collection of equivalence classes of (π , G)-track extensions.

4 M. PIRASHVILI

3.2. Second cohomology of pre-track categories. Given a pre-track category ($\pi : \mathcal{K} \to$ C, G) we can also define the relative cohomology $H^2(\pi, G) := Z/\sim$ where Z is defined as follows.

Definition 5. Z is a collection of triples all (ξ, χ, ϕ) such that

- (1) ξ is a function which assigns to all triples of morphisms $f, g, h : i \to j$ of K with $\pi(f) = \pi(g) = \pi(h)$, an element $\xi(f, g, h) \in G_f$,
- (2) χ is a function which assigns to each diagram i \rightarrow $\frac{f}{g}$ \rightarrow $j \frac{x}{y}$ \overrightarrow{y} k with $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$ and $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ an element $\chi(f, g|x, y) \in G_{xf}$,
- (3) φ is a function which assigns to each pair of morphisms f, g in K with $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$ an isomorphism $\phi_{q,f}: G_q \to G_f$.

These functions must satisfy the following equations:

(i) To simplify notation, first we define $m_*\phi_{b,a}: G_{mb} \to G_{ma}$ by

 $(m_*\phi_{b,a})(t) := -\chi(a,b|m,m) + \phi_{mb,ma}(t) + \chi(a,b|m,m)$

where $a, b : i \to j$ and $m : j \to k$. Also, we define $b^* \phi_{n,m} : G_{nb} \to G_{mb}$ by

 $(b^*\phi_{n,m})(t) := -\chi(b,b|m,n) + \phi_{nb,mb}(t) + \chi(b,b|m,n),$

where $b : i \rightarrow j$ and $m, n : j \rightarrow k$. Then we have the following equations:

- (a) For $f, g, h : i \to j$, $\phi_{g,f} \circ \phi_{h,g}(t) = \xi(f, g, h) + \phi_{h,f}(t) + \xi(f, g, h)$.
- (b) For $a, b : i \rightarrow j$, $m, n : j \rightarrow k$, $\beta \in G_b$ and $\nu \in G_n$, $m_* \phi_{b,a}(m_*\beta)$ $m_*(\phi_{b,a}(\beta)), \quad a^*\phi_{n,m}(a^*\nu) = a^*(\phi_{n,m}(\nu)),$

(c) and for
$$
\mu \in G_m
$$
 and $\alpha \in G_a$, $m_*\phi_{b,a}(b^*\mu) = a^*\mu$, $a^*\phi_{n,m}(n_*\alpha) = m_*\alpha$.

(ii) For $f, g, h, e : i \to j$ with $\pi(f) = \pi(g) = \pi(h) = \pi(e)$, we have to have

$$
\xi(f, g, e) + \phi_{g, f} \xi(g, h, e) = \xi(f, h, e) + \xi(f, g, h).
$$

(iii) For $x, y, z : i \to j$ with $\pi(x) = \pi(y) = \pi(z)$ and $a, b, c : j \to k$ with $\pi(a) = \pi(b) =$ $\pi(c)$, we have to have

 $\xi(ax, by, cz) + \phi_{by, ax}(\chi(y, z | b, c)) + \chi(x, y | a, b) = \chi(x, z | a, c) + x^* \xi(a, b, c) + a_* \xi(x, y, z).$

(iv) For $x, y : i \to j$ with $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$, $a, b : j \to k$ with $\pi(a) = \pi(b)$ and $m, n : k \to l$ with $\pi(m) = \pi(n)$, we have to have

 $\chi(ax, by|m, n) + m_*\chi(x, y|a, b) = \chi(x, y|ma, nb) + x^*(\chi(a, b|m, n)).$

Definition 6. We say two such triples (ξ, χ, ϕ) and (ξ', χ', ϕ') are equivalent, if there is an element $\zeta(f,g) \in G_f$ such that

- (1) $\zeta(f, h) + \xi(f, g, h) \phi_{g, f} \zeta(g, h) \zeta(f, g) = \xi'(f, g, h),$
- (2) $\zeta(ax, by) + \chi(x, y|a, b) x^* \zeta(a, b) a_* \zeta(x, y) = \chi'(x, y|a, b)$, and
- (3) $\zeta(f,g) + \phi_{g,f}(t) \zeta(f,g) = \phi'_{g,f}(t).$

Theorem 7. There is a natural bijection

$$
Tracks(\pi, G) \simeq H^2(\pi, G).
$$

Proof. Let (\mathcal{T}, σ) be an element of $Tracks(\pi, G)$. Let $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$, so $\mathcal{T}(f, g) \neq \emptyset$. Choose a track $H_{f,g} \in T(f,g)$ so that

$$
H_{f,f} = 0, \quad H_{f,g} = -H_{g,f}.
$$

Now take (f, g, h) in such a way that $\pi(f) = \pi(g) = \pi(h)$. Then there is a unique element $\xi(f,g,h) \in G_f$ such that

$$
H_{f,g} + H_{g,h} = -\xi(f,g,h) + H_{f,h}.
$$

Take $x, y \in K(i, j)$ and $a, b \in K(j, k)$ for which $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ and $\pi(a) = \pi(b)$. Then there is a unique element $\chi(x,y|a,b) \in G_{ax}$ such that

$$
a_* H_{x,y} + y^* H_{a,b} = -\chi(x, y | a, b) + H_{ax, by}.
$$

For every f and g with $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$ we define an isomorphism $\phi_{g,f}(t) := H_{f,g} + t - H_{f,g}$, where $t \in T(g, g)$.

We claim that the triple (ξ, χ, ϕ) is an element of Z.

The Equations [\(i\)](#page-3-0) of Definition [5](#page-3-1) are straightforward to check. First, we check composition:

$$
\phi_{g,f} \circ \phi_{h,g}(t) = \phi_{g,f}(H_{g,h} + t - H_{g,h}) = H_{f,g} + H_{g,h} + t - H_{g,h} - H_{f,g}
$$

= $-\xi(f,g,h) + \phi_{h,f}(t) + \xi(f,g,h).$

Next, we have

$$
m_*(\phi_{b,a}(\beta)) = m_*(H_{a,b} + \beta - H_{a,b}) = m_*H_{a,b} + m_*\beta - m_*H_{a,b}
$$

= $(m_*\phi_{b,a})(m_*\beta).$

Similarly,

$$
a^*(\phi_{n,m}(\nu)) = a^*(H_{m,n} + \nu - H_{m,n}) = (a^*\phi_{n,m})(a^*\nu).
$$

The next equation

$$
m_*\phi_{b,a}(b^*\mu) = m_*H_{a,b} + b^*\mu - m_*H_{a,b} = a^*\mu
$$

follows from $TR9$, as shown by the diagram

$$
i \underbrace{\stackrel{a}{\bullet}}_{b} j \underbrace{\stackrel{m}{\bullet}}_{m} k
$$
, $H_{a,b}: a \Rightarrow b$, $\mu : m \Rightarrow m$.

The final equation is very similar to this.

To check [\(ii\)](#page-3-2), we use the associativity property for the expression $H_{f,g} + H_{g,h} + H_{h,e}$ and the definition of the function ξ to obtain

 $(H_{f,q} + H_{g,h}) + H_{h,e} = -\xi(f,g,h) + H_{f,h} + H_{h,e} = -\xi(f,g,h) - \xi(f,h,e) + H_{f,e}$ $H_{f,g} + (H_{g,h} + H_{h,e}) = H_{f,g} - \xi(g,h,e) + H_{g,e} = H_{f,g} - \xi(g,h,e) - H_{f,g} - \xi(f,g,e) + H_{f,e}.$ So we have

$$
\xi(f, h, e) + \xi(f, g, h) = \xi(f, g, e) + \phi_{g, f}(\xi(g, h, e)).
$$

Let $x, y, z : i \to j$ and $a, b, c : j \to k$ be morphisms in K such that $\pi(x) = \pi(y) = \pi(z)$ and $\pi(a) = \pi(b) = \pi(c)$. Then we have

$$
a_{*}(H_{x,y} + H_{y,z}) + z^{*}(H_{a,b} + H_{b,c})
$$

= $a_{*}H_{x,y} + (a_{*}H_{y,z} + z^{*}H_{a,b}) + z^{*}H_{b,c}$
= $a_{*}H_{x,y} + (y^{*}H_{a,b} + b_{*}H_{y,z}) + z^{*}H_{b,c}$
= $-\chi(x, y|a, b) + H_{ax,by} - \chi(y, z|b, c) + H_{by,cz}$
= $-\chi(x, y|a, b) + H_{ax,by} - \chi(y, z|b, c) - H_{ax,by} + H_{ax,by} + H_{by,cz}$
= $-\chi(x, y|a, b) + H_{ax,by} - \chi(y, z|b, c) - H_{ax,by} - \xi(ax, by, cz) + H_{ax,cz}.$

On the other hand,

$$
a_{*}(H_{x,y} + H_{y,z}) + z^{*}(H_{a,b} + H_{b,c})
$$

= $a_{*}(-\xi(x, y, z) + H_{x,z}) + z^{*}(-\xi(a, b, c) + H_{a,c})$
= $- a_{*}\xi(x, y, z) + a_{*}H_{x,z} - z^{*}\xi(a, b, c) - a_{*}H_{x,z} + a_{*}H_{x,z} + z^{*}H_{a,c}$
= $- a_{*}\xi(x, y, z) + a_{*}H_{x,z} - z^{*}\xi(a, b, c) - a_{*}H_{x,z} - \chi(x, z|a, c) + H_{ax, cz}$
= $- a_{*}\xi(x, y, z) - a_{*}\phi_{z,x}(z^{*}\xi(a, b, c)) - \chi(x, z|a, c) + H_{ax, cz}$
= $- a_{*}\xi(x, y, z) - x^{*}\xi(a, b, c) - \chi(x, z|a, c) + H_{ax, cz}$ using Equation (i).

We therefore obtain Equation [\(iii\)](#page-3-3).

 $\xi(ax, by, cz) + \phi_{by, ax}(\chi(y, z|b, c)) + \chi(x, y|a, b) = \chi(x, z|a, c) + x^* \xi(a, b, c) + a_* \xi(x, y, z).$

Let $x, y : i \rightarrow j$, $a, b : j \rightarrow k$ and $m, n : k \rightarrow l$ be morphisms in K such that $q(x) = q(y)$, $q(a) = q(b)$ and $q(m) = q(n)$. By the definition of χ we have

$$
m_* H_{a,b} + b^* H_{m,n} = -\chi(a,b|m,n) + H_{ma,nb}
$$

M. PIRASHVILI

and

$$
m_* a_* H_{x,y} + y^* H_{ma,nb} = -\chi(x, y | ma, nb) + H_{max,nby}.
$$

Expressing $H_{ma,nb}$ from the first equation and substituting in the second, we obtain that the expression $-\chi(x, y|ma, nb) + H_{max,nby}$ is equal to

 $m_* a_* H_{x,y} + y^* (\chi(a,b|m,n)) + y^* m_* H_{a,b} + y^* b^* H_{m,n}$ $=m_*a_*H_{x,y}+y^*(\chi(a,b|m,n))-m_*a_*H_{x,y}+m_*a_*H_{x,y}+y^*m_*H_{a,b}+y^*b^*H_{m,n}$ $=m_*a_*H_{x,y}+y^*(\chi(a,b|m,n))-m_*a_*H_{x,y}+m_*(a_*H_{x,y}+y^*H_{a,b})+y^*b^*H_{m,n}$ $=m_*a_*H_{x,y}+y^*(\chi(a,b|m,n)) - m_*a_*H_{x,y} - m_*\chi(x,y|a,b) + m_*H_{ax,by} + y^*b^*H_{m,n}$ $=m_*a_*H_{x,y}+y^*(\chi(a,b|m,n))-m_*a_*H_{x,y}-m_*\chi(x,y|a,b)-\chi(ax,by|m,n)+H_{max,nby}$ $=x^*(\chi(a,b|m,n)) - m_*\chi(x,y|a,b) - \chi(ax,by|m,n) + H_{max,nby}$ $=x^*(\chi(a,b|m,n)) - m_*\chi(x,y|a,b) - \chi(ax,by|m,n) + H_{max,nby}$ $=x^*(\chi(a,b|m,n)) - m_*\chi(x,y|a,b) - \chi(ax,by|m,n) + H_{max,nby}$, using Equation (*i*). Therefore we have

$$
\chi(ax, by|m, n) + m^* \chi(x, y | a, b) = \chi(x, y | ma, nb) + x^* (\chi(a, b | m, n)).
$$

If we choose another $H'_{f,g} \in \mathcal{T}(f,g)$, there is a unique element $\zeta(f,g) \in G_f$ such that

$$
\zeta(f,g) + H_{f,g} = H'_{f,g}.
$$

We let ξ', χ' and ϕ' be the functions corresponding to H'. For all (f, g, h) with $\pi(f)$ = $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$ we have

$$
H'_{f,g} + H'_{g,h} = -\xi'(f,g,h) + H'_{f,h}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\zeta(f,g) + H_{f,g} + \zeta(g,h) + H_{g,h} = \zeta(f,g) + H_{f,g} + \zeta(g,h) - H_{f,g} + H_{f,g} + H_{g,h}
$$

= $-\xi'(f,g,h) + \zeta(f,h) + H_{f,h}.$

This gives

$$
\zeta(f, h) + \xi(f, g, h) - \phi_{g,f}\zeta(g, h) - \zeta(f, g) = \xi'(f, g, h).
$$

For $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}(i, j)$ and $(a, b) \in \mathcal{K}(j, k)$ with $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ and $\pi(a) = \pi(b)$, we have

$$
a_* H'_{x,y} + y^* H'_{a,b} = -\chi'(x, y|a, b) + H'_{ax, by}.
$$

So we get

$$
a_*\zeta(x,y) + a_*H_{x,y} + y^*\zeta(a,b) + y^*H_{a,b} = a_*\zeta(x,y) + a_*\phi_{y,x}(y^*\zeta(a,b)) - \chi(x,y|a,b) + H_{ax,by}
$$

= -\chi'(x,y|a,b) + \zeta(ax,by) + H_{ax,by}.

Hence

$$
\zeta(ax, by) + \chi(x, y|a, b) - x^*\zeta(a, b) - a_*\zeta(x, y) = \chi'(x, y|a, b).
$$

We also have for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$ and all $t \in G_f$ ′

$$
\zeta(f,g) + \phi_{g,f}(t) - \zeta(f,g) = \phi'_{g,f}(t).
$$

The inverse map is constructed in the following way. Let $(\xi, \chi, \phi) \in H^2(\pi, G)$.

Let $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$. Then we define the set of tracks $T(f,g)$ to be the set $G_f \times f, g$. The track category structure is given by

$$
(\alpha, f, g) + (\beta, g, h) = (\alpha + \phi_{g,f}(\beta) - \xi(f, g, h), f, h).
$$

\n
$$
a_*(\alpha, f, g) = (a_*\alpha - \chi(f, g|a, a), af, ag),
$$

\n
$$
b^*(\alpha, f, g) = (b^*\alpha - \chi(b, b|f, g), fb, gb).
$$

Let us check that this indeed gives a track structure.

Now, to verify the relations $TR1 - TR9$: First, we check that the addition defined above is associative. We have

$$
((\alpha, f, g) + (\beta, g, h)) + (\gamma, h, e) = (\alpha + \phi_{g, f}(\beta) - \xi(f, g, h), f, h) + (\gamma, h, e)
$$

= (\alpha + \phi_{g, f}(\beta) - \xi(f, g, h) + \phi_{h, f}(\gamma) - \xi(f, h, e), f, e)
= (\alpha + \phi_{g, f}(\beta) + \phi_{g, f} \circ \phi_{h, g}(\gamma) - \xi(f, g, h) - \xi(f, h, e), f, e).

$$
^{6}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
(\alpha, f, g) + ((\beta, g, h) + (\gamma, h, e)) = (\alpha, f, g) + (\beta) + \phi_{h,g}(\gamma) - \xi(g, h, e), g, e)
$$

=
$$
(\alpha + \phi_{g,f}(\beta) + \phi_{g,f} \circ \phi_{h,g}(\gamma) - \phi_{g,f}(\xi(g, h, e)) - \xi(f, g, e), f, e).
$$

Using Equation [5](#page-3-1) [\(ii\)](#page-3-2), we can see that we have equality.

Next, for $TR2$ we have:

$$
(\alpha, f, g) + (0, g, g) = (\alpha + \phi_{g,f}(0) - \xi(f, g, g), f, g) = (\alpha, f, g) = (0, f, f) + (\alpha, f, g)
$$

. We also have that

$$
m^*((\alpha, f, g) + (\beta, g, h)) = m^*(\alpha + \phi_{g,f}(\beta) - \xi(f, g, h), f, h)
$$

= $(m^*(\alpha + \phi_{g,f}(\beta) - \xi(f, g, h)) - \chi(m, m|f, h), fm, hm)$
= $(m^*\alpha + m^*\phi_{g,f}(m^*\beta) - m^*\xi(f, g, h) - \chi(m, m|f, h), fm, hm)$
= $(m^*\alpha - \chi(m, m|f, g) + \phi_{gm, fm}(m^*\beta) + \chi(m, m|f, g) - m^*\xi(f, g, h) - \chi(m, m|f, h), fm, hm).$

According to Equation [5](#page-3-1) [\(iii\)](#page-3-3), $-m^*\xi(f,g,h)-\chi(m,m|f,h)=-\chi(m,m|f,g)-\phi_{gm,fm}(\chi(m,m|g,h)) \xi(fm, gm, hm)$. Therefore, we have

$$
= (m^*\alpha - \chi(m,m|f,g) + \phi_{gm,fm}(m^*\beta) - \phi_{gm,fm}(\chi(m,m|g,h)) - \xi(fm,gm,hm), fm,hm)
$$

= $m^*(\alpha, f,g) + m^*(\beta, g,h).$

For $TR4$, we have very similarly:

$$
x_*((\alpha, f, g) + (\beta, g, h)) = x_* (\alpha + \phi_{g,f}(\beta) - \xi(f, g, h), f, h)
$$

= $(x_* (\alpha + \phi_{g,f}(\beta) - \xi(f, g, h)) - \chi(f, h|x, x), xf, xh)$
= $(x_* \alpha + x_* \phi_{g,f}(x_* \beta) - x_* \xi(f, g, h) - \chi(f, h|x, x), xf, xh)$
= $(x_* \alpha - \chi(f, g|x, x) + \phi_{xg, xf}(x_* \beta) + \chi(f, g|x, x) - x_* \xi(f, g, h) - \chi(f, h|x, x), xf, xh).$

According to Equation [5](#page-3-1) [\(iii\)](#page-3-3), $-x_*\xi(f,g,h)-\chi(f,h|x,x)=-\chi(f,g|x,x)-\phi_{xg,xf}(\chi(g,h|x,x)) \xi(xf, xg, xh)$. Therefore, we have

$$
= (x_*\alpha - \chi(f,g|x,x) + \phi_{xg,xf}(x_*\beta) - \phi_{xg,xf}(\chi(g,h|x,x)) - \xi(xf,xg,xh), xf, xh)
$$

= $x_*(\alpha, f, g) + x_*(\beta, g, h).$

For $TR5$, we have

$$
f^*(0, g, g) = (f^*0 - \chi(f, f | g, g), gf, gf) = (0, gf, gf) = (g_*0 - \chi(f, f | g, g), gf, gf) = g_*(0, f, f).
$$

Next,

 $m^*n^*(\alpha, f, g) = m^*(n^*\alpha - \chi(n, n|f, g), fn, gn) = (m^*n^*\alpha - m^*\chi(n, n|f, g) - \chi(m, m|fn, gn), fnm, gmm).$ Using Equation [5](#page-3-1) [\(iv\)](#page-3-4), we get that $-m^* \chi(n,n|f,g) - \chi(m,m|fn,gn) = -\chi(nm,nm|f,g)$, therefore giving us

= $(n^*m^*\alpha - \chi(nm, nm|f, g), fnm, gnm) = (nm)^*(\alpha, f, g).$

 $TR7$ can be shown in a very similar manner. For $TR8$, we have

$$
x_*m^*(\alpha, f, g) = x_* (m^*\alpha - \chi(m, m|f, g), fm, gm)
$$

= $(x_*m^*\alpha - x_*\chi(m, m|f, g) - \chi(fm, gm|x, x), xfm, xgm)$
= $(x_*m^*\alpha - m^*\chi(f, g|x, x) - \chi(m, m|xf, xg), xfm, xgm),$
= $m^*x_*(\alpha, f, g),$

due to Equation [5](#page-3-1) [\(iv\)](#page-3-4).

We also check $TR9$, the last equation:

$$
m_*(\alpha, a, b) + b^*(\mu, m, n) = (m_*\alpha - \chi(a, b|m, m), ma, mb) + (b^*\mu - \chi(b, b|m, n), mb, nb)
$$

=
$$
(m_*\alpha - \chi(a, b|m, m) + \phi_{mb, ma}(b^*\mu - \chi(b, b|m, n)) - \xi(ma, mb, nb), ma, nb)
$$

=
$$
(m_*\alpha - \chi(a, b|m, m) + \chi(a, b|m, m) + m_*\phi_{b,a}(b^*\mu) - \chi(a, b|m, m)
$$

$$
-\phi_{mb, ma}(\chi(b, b|m, n)) - \xi(ma, mb, nb), ma, nb).
$$

According to Equation [5](#page-3-1) [\(iii\)](#page-3-3), this equals to

$$
= (m_*\alpha + m_*\phi_{b,a}(b^*\mu) - m_*\xi(a,b,b) - m_*\phi_{b,a}(b^*\xi(m,m,n)) - \chi(a,b|m,n),ma,nb)
$$

$$
= (m_*\alpha + m_*\phi_{b,a}(b^*\mu) - \chi(a,b|m,n), ma,nb) = (m_*\alpha + a^*\mu - \chi(a,b|m,n), ma,nb).
$$

On the other hand,

$$
a^*(\mu, m, n) + n_*(\alpha, a, b) = (a^*\mu - \chi(a, a|m, n), ma, na) + (n_*\alpha - \chi(a, b|n, n), na, nb)
$$

= $(a^*\mu - \chi(a, a|m, n) + \phi_{na, ma}(n_*\alpha - \chi(a, b|n, n)) - \xi(ma, na, nb), ma, nb)$
= $(a^*\mu + a^*\phi_{n,m}(n_*\alpha) - \chi(a, a|m, n) - \phi_{na, ma}(\chi(a, b|n, n)) - \xi(ma, na, nb), ma, nb).$

According to Equation [5](#page-3-1) [\(iii\)](#page-3-3), this equals to

$$
= (a^*\mu + a^*\phi_{n,m}(n_*\alpha) - m_*\xi(a,a,z) - m_*\phi_{b,a}(b^*\xi(m,n,n)) - \chi(a,b|m,n), ma,nb)
$$

= $(a^*\mu + a^*\phi_{n,m}(n_*\alpha) - \chi(a,b|m,n),ma,nb) = (a^*\mu + m_*\alpha - \chi(a,b|m,n),ma,nb).$

As G is a centralised natural system, we have $a^*\mu + m_*\alpha = m_*\alpha + a^*\mu$ in G_{ma} , therefore giving equality. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] H.-J. BAUES and M. JIBLADZE. Classification of abelian track categories. K-Theory 25(2002) 299-311.
- [2] H.-J. BAUES and G. C. WIRSCHING. Cohomology of small categories J. Pure and Appl.Algebra 38(1985), 187-211.
- [3] M. Pirashvili. Second cohomotopy and nonabelian cohomology. 13(2014), 397-445.