ON THE EXISTENCE OF INFINITELY MANY UNIVERSAL TREE-BASED NETWORKS

MOMOKO HAYAMIZU∗† AND KENJI FUKUMIZU†∗

ABSTRACT. A tree-based network on a set X of n leaves is said to be universal if any rooted binary phylogenetic tree on X can be its base tree. Francis and Steel showed that there is a universal tree-based network on X in the case of $n = 3$, and asked whether such a network exists in general. We settle this problem by proving that there are infinitely many universal tree-based networks for any $n > 1$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, n denotes a natural number that is greater than 1 and X represents the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. All graphs considered here are directed acyclic graphs. A graph G' is said to be a *subdivision* of a graph G if G' can be obtained from G by inserting vertices into arcs of G zero or more times. Given a vertex v of a graph with $indeg(v) = outdeg(v) = 1$, smoothing (or supressing) v refers to removing v and then adding an arc from the parent to the child of v. Two graphs are said to be homeomorphic if they become isomorphic after smoothing all vertices of in-degree one and out-degree one.

For the reader's convenience, we briefly recall the relevant background from [\[1\]](#page-2-0) (see [\[4\]](#page-2-1) for the terminology in phylogenetics).

Definition 1.1. A rooted binary phylogenetic network on X is defined to be a directed acyclic graph (V, A) with the following properties:

- $X = \{v \in V | indeq(v) = 1, outdeg(v) = 0\};\$
- there is a unique vertex $\rho \in V$ with $indeg(\rho) = 0$ and $outdeg(\rho) \in \{1, 2\};$
- for all $v \in V \setminus \{X \cup \{\rho\}\},\{indeg(v),outdeg(v)\} = \{1,2\}.$

The vertices in X are called *leaves*, and the vertex ρ is called the root.

Definition 1.2. Suppose $\mathcal{T} = (V, A)$ is a rooted binary phylogenetic tree on X. A rooted binary phylogenetic network $\mathcal N$ on X is said to be a tree-based network on X with base tree $\mathcal T$ if there are a subdivision $\mathcal T' = (V', A')$ of $\mathcal T$ and a set I of mutually vertex-disjoint arcs between vertices in $V' \setminus V$ such that $(V', A' \cup I)$ is acyclic and is homeomorphic to N. The vertices in $V' \setminus V$ are called *attachment* points, and the arcs in I are called *linking arcs*.

Tree-based networks have an important role to play in modern phylogenetic inference as they can represent more intricate or realistic relationships among taxa

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C05; Secondary 05C20, 05C25.

Key words and phrases. binary phylogenetic network, tree-based network.

^{*} Department of Statistical Science, The Graduate University of Advanced Studies.

[†] The Institute of Statistical Mathematics.

than phylogenetic trees without compromising the concept of 'underlying trees' $(cf., [1, 3]).$ $(cf., [1, 3]).$ $(cf., [1, 3]).$ $(cf., [1, 3]).$

In order to state the problem formally, we now introduce the notion of universal tree-based networks. A tree-based network on X is said to be *universal* if any binary phylogenetic tree on X can be a base tree. We can define universal treebased networks in a more concrete manner with the number $(2n-3)!!$ of binary phylogenetic trees on X as follows.

Definition 1.3. A tree-based network $\mathcal{N} = (V, A)$ on X is said to be *universal* if for any binary phylogenetic tree $\mathcal{T}^{(i)}$ on X $(i \in \{1, 2, \dots, (2n-3)!!\})$, there is a set $I^{(i)} \subset A$ of linking arcs such that $(V, A \setminus I^{(i)})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{T}^{(i)}$.

Problem 1.4 ([\[1\]](#page-2-0)). Does a universal tree-based network on a set X of n leaves exist for all n ?

This problem is fundamental because it explores whether a phylogenetic tree on X is always reconstructable from a tree-based network on X. In [\[1\]](#page-2-0), Francis and Steel pointed out that the answer is 'yes' for $n = 3$. In this paper, we will completely settle their question in the affirmative and provide further insights into universal tree-based networks (Theorem [3.1\)](#page-2-3).

2. Preliminaries

Here, we slightly generalise the concept of tree shapes. Given a tree-based network $\mathcal N$ on X, ignoring the labels on the leaves of $\mathcal N$ results in an unlabelled tree-based network N with n leaves. We use the two different types of symbols, such as N and N , to mean unlabelled and labelled tree-based networks, respectively. Two tree-based networks N and N' on X are said to be *shape equivalent* if N and N' are isomorphic. This equivalence relation partitions a set of the tree-based networks on X into equivalence classes called *tree-based network shapes with n leaves*.

Definition 2.1. A tree-based network shape N with n leaves is said to be universal if for any rooted binary phylogenetic tree shape $T^{(i)}$ with n leaves $(i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r_n\})$, there is a set $I^{(i)}$ of linking arcs such that $(V, A \setminus I^{(i)})$ is homeomorphic to $T^{(i)}$. Here, r_n denotes the number of rooted binary phylogenetic tree shapes with n leaves.

The following proposition is not directly relevant to this paper, but ideas behind it, which are summarised in Remark [2.3,](#page-1-0) will be useful in the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-2-3)

Proposition 2.2 ([\[2\]](#page-2-4)). Let $r_1 := 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > 1$. Then, we have the following recurrence equation:

$$
r_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2; \\ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \frac{r_k(r_k+1)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i r_{n-i}}} & \text{if } n = 2k - 1; \end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.3. We assume that T_1 represents a rooted chain shape. Any rooted binary phylogenetic tree shape T_n with n leaves can be decomposed into two first-order subshapes T_m and T_{n-m} with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, using Harding's notation [\[2\]](#page-2-4), we can write $T_n = T_m + T_{n-m}$.

3. Results

Theorem 3.1. For any natural number $n > 1$, there are infinitely many universal tree-based networks on a set X of n leaves.

Proof. First, we will show that there is a universal tree-based network shape with n leaves. Let U_n be a rooted binary phylogenetic network shape with n leaves as illustrated in the left panel of Figure 1, which can be obtained by adding $(n-1)(n-$ 2)/2 linking arcs and $(n-1)(n-2)$ attachment points to a rooted caterpillar tree shape with n leaves. By definition, U_n is a tree-based network shape with n leaves. We will prove that U_n is universal by induction. (i) It is easy to see that U_2 and U_3 are universal. (ii) Assuming U_k is universal for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $(2 \leq k \leq n)$, we will show that U_{n+1} is universal. We claim that any binary phylogenetic tree shape T_{n+1} with $T_{n+1} = T_n + T_1$ can be a base tree shape of U_{n+1} . Indeed, U_{n+1} contains mutually vertex-disjoint arcs whose removal turns U_{n+1} into the union of two subgraphs that are homeomorphic to U_n and T_1 , respectively (see the middle panel of Figure [1\)](#page-3-0). Because U_n is universal, our claim holds true. We next claim that any binary phylogenetic tree shape T_{n+1} with $T_{n+1} = T_k + T_{n-k+1}$ can be a base tree of U_{n+1} . The right panel of Figure [1](#page-3-0) indicates that U_{n+1} contains two distinct subsets of mutually vertex-disjoint arcs, one of which delineates U_{n-k+1} (shown in thick gray line) and the other distinguishes U_k from the remainder. Because both U_{n-k+1} and U_k are universal, our claim holds true. Therefore, U_{n+1} is universal. Hence, U_n is universal for all n.

Next, we will provide a method to create infinitely many universal tree-based networks on X from U_n . Let \mathcal{E}_n be a tree-based network on X obtained from U_n by specifying a permutation π_0 of X. In what follows, we use the same notation i both for a leaf labelled i and for the terminal arc incident with i. A crossover σ_{ij} refers to a pair of crossed additional arcs between two distinct terminal arcs i and j as described in Figure [2.](#page-3-1) Note that σ_{ij} can be viewed as representing the transposition (i, j) of the labels. For any permutation $\pi_1 \neq \pi_0$ of X, there is a series of adjacent crossovers that converts π_0 into π_1 and then vice versa (note that any permutation can be expressed as a product of transpositions and that the symmetric group S_n is generated by the adjacent transpositions). Then, by sequentially adding $n! - 1$ series of crossovers, we can construct a universal tree-based network \mathcal{U}_n on X from \mathcal{E}_n . Moreover, it is possible to create infinitely many universal tree-based networks on X because we may add an arbitrary number of redundant crossovers among the terminal arcs of \mathcal{U}_n . This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. R. Francis and M. Steel, Which phylogenetic networks are merely trees with additional arcs?, Systematic Biology 64 (2015), no. 5, 768–777.
- 2. E. F. Harding, The probabilities of rooted tree-shapes generated by random bifurcation, Advances in Applied Probability 3 (1971), no. 1, 44–77.
- 3. D. H. Huson, R. Rupp, and C. Scornavacca, Phylogenetic networks: concepts, algorithms and applications, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- 4. C. Semple and M. Steel, Phylogenetics, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 24, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003. MR 2060009 (2005g:92024)
- 5. LX. Zhang, On tree based phylogenetic networks, arXiv:1509.01663 [q-bio.PE] (2015), preprint.

FIGURE 1. The first part of the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-2-3)

Figure 2. The second part of the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-2-3) Left: A *crossover* σ_{ij} is defined to be a pair of crossed additional arcs placed between arcs i and j $(i \neq j)$ after subdividing both arcs twice. Right: When the two arcs in σ_{ij} are selected as tree arcs, σ_{ij} represents the transposition $(i j)$.

COMMENTS

Louxin Zhang [\[5\]](#page-2-5) studied Problem [1.4](#page-1-1) independently from us.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25120012 and 26280009.

Current address, Momoko Hayamizu, Kenji Fukumizu: The Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 10-3 Midori-cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-8562, Japan

E-mail address, M. Hayamizu, K. Fukumizu: {hayamizu,fukumizu}@ism.ac.jp