New conditionally exactly solvable inverse power law potentials

A. López-Ortega

Departamento de Física. Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas. Instituto Politécnico Nacional. Unidad Profesional Adolfo López Mateos. Edificio 9. México, D. F., México. C. P. 07738 email: alopezo@ipn.mx

July 30, 2018

Abstract

We give two conditionally exactly solvable inverse power law potentials whose linearly independent solutions include a sum of two confluent hypergeometric functions. We notice that they are partner potentials and multiplicative shape invariant. The method used to find the solutions works with the two Schrodinger equations of the partner potentials. Furthermore we study some of the properties of these potentials.

KEYWORDS: Conditionally exactly solvable potentials; Shape invariance; Confluent hypergeometric equation.

PACS: 03.65.Ge, 03.65.Nk, 03.65.Ca, 02.90.+p

1 Introduction

In physics the search and study of exactly solvable systems have a long history. In non relativistic quantum mechanics a problem thoroughly investigated is to find potentials for which we can solve exactly their Schrödinger equations in terms of special functions, since they can be used as a basis in the analysis of more realistic problems and their solutions can be exploited to test numerical calculations. Several methods have been developed to obtain exact solutions to the Schrödinger equation [1]–[14], here we mention the methods based on the point canonical transformations [1], on the Darboux transformations [2], [3], and on the supersymmetric quantum mechanics [4]–[11] (see also the factorization method [12]–[14] related to the supersymmetric quantum mechanics).

At present time we know many potentials for which we can solve exactly their Schrödinger equations [6]–[11], [15]–[19]. Furthermore, there are potentials for which we can find their solutions in terms of special functions only if the parameters satisfy some condition [20]–[22]. They are called conditionally exactly solvable potentials (CES potentials).

In this work, our main objective is to give two potentials defined on the half line $x \in (0, \infty)$ such that each linearly independent solution of the Schrödinger equations includes a sum of two confluent hypergeometric functions. As far as we know these potentials are not previously studied [6]–[11], [15]–[22]. Here we notice that the method used in this paper works simultaneously with the two Schrödinger equations of the partner potentials.

The potentials that we study in this paper are algebraic modifications of the Coulomb potential (see the expression (1)). These potentials may be useful in the study of physical systems for which we expect deviations from the Coulomb potential near r = 0, but that are of Coulomb type as $r \to \infty$. It is convenient to notice that potentials with negative fractional powers have been used as models to study the quark-antiquark interaction [23] and sharp resonances [24]. From the shape of the potentials (see Figure 2 below) we think that these can be useful models to study scattering and tunneling problems [25]. Furthermore, in an interval the shape of the potential that we call V_{-} reminds us to the effective potentials that appear in the analysis of the propagation of the Dirac field in curved spacetimes, for example, in the Schwarzschild black hole [26].

We organize this paper as follows. In Sect. 2 we expound the potentials that we study through this work and we solve the Schrödinger equations of these potentials. We also find some properties of these solutions. Finally in Sect. 3 we discuss other properties of these potentials.

2 Solution method

Here we show that for $x \in (0, +\infty)$ and for the potentials

$$V_{\pm}(x,m) = \frac{m^2}{x} \pm \frac{m}{2} \frac{1}{x^{3/2}}$$
(1)
= $W^2 \pm \frac{\mathrm{d}W}{\mathrm{d}x},$

we can solve the Schrödinger equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Z_\pm}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \omega^2 Z_\pm = V_\pm Z_\pm,\tag{2}$$

in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions.¹ For the potentials (1) the superpotential W is equal to [7]–[11]

$$W(x) = -\frac{m}{\sqrt{x}}.$$
(3)

Thus, in the standard language of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics, V_+ and V_- are partner potentials [7]–[11].

¹To simplify some of the expressions that follow, we denote the energy E as ω^2 .

The partner potentials (1) are CES since the constants m^2 and $\pm m/2$ that multiply to the factors 1/x and $1/x^{3/2}$ satisfy the condition

$$-\frac{1}{4}m^2 + \left(\pm\frac{m}{2}\right)^2 = 0.$$
 (4)

As far as we see the partner potentials (1) do not appear in Refs. [6]–[11], [15]–[19] that enumerate the previously known exactly solvable potentials of the Schrödinger equation. Moreover the CES potentials (1) remind us to those of Refs. [11], [20]

$$\hat{V}_{1}(x) = \frac{K}{x} + \frac{L}{x^{1/2}} - \frac{3}{16x^{2}},$$

$$\hat{V}_{2}(x) = Mx^{2/3} + \frac{N}{x^{2/3}} - \frac{5}{36x^{2}},$$
(5)

where K, L, M, N are constants, since they include negative fractional powers of the variable x in addition to negative integral powers of the same variable.



Figure 1: Plots of the superpotential W for m = 1 (solid line) and for m = -1 (broken line).

In what follows we assume that m > 0. We notice that near x = 0 and as $x \to +\infty$ the superpotential (3) and the CES potentials (1) behave as

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} W = -\infty, \qquad W_+ = \lim_{x \to +\infty} W = 0^-,$$
$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} V_+ = +\infty, \qquad \lim_{x \to +\infty} V_\pm = 0^+, \qquad (6)$$
$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} V_- = -\infty,$$

where 0^+ (0⁻) means that the quantity goes to zero taking positive (negative) values. We also point out that the CES potentials V_{\pm} go to zero in the form



Figure 2: Plots of the potential V_+ (solid line) and the potential V_- (broken line) for m = 2.

1/x as $x \to +\infty$, and they diverge as $1/x^{3/2}$ as $x \to 0^+$. The potential V_+ is strictly positive, whereas the potential V_- crosses the x axis at $x_0 = 1/(4m^2)$.

Since the derivatives of the partner potentials (1) are equal to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\frac{m}{x^2} \left(m \pm \frac{3}{4x^{1/2}} \right),\tag{7}$$

the potential V_{+} is a decreasing function, and we get that the derivative of V_{-} is equal to zero at $x_1 = 9/(16m^2)$. This critical point is a maximum. Notice that $x_1 > x_0$, that is, the coordinate of the maximum is larger than the point where the potential V_{-} crosses the x axis. For $x \in (0, +\infty)$ the superpotential W is strictly negative and it is an increasing function. For W and V_{\pm} we illustrate these facts in Figs. 1, 2.

For $\omega \neq 0$ a straightforward calculation shows that the Schrödinger equations (2) for partner potentials transform into

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \mp W\right) \frac{1}{i\omega} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \pm W\right) Z_{\mp} = i\omega Z_{\mp}.$$
(8)

From these equations we get that the functions Z_{\pm} must be solutions to the coupled system of first order differential equations

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \pm W\right) Z_{\mp} = i\omega Z_{\pm},\tag{9}$$

and defining the functions \tilde{R}_1 and \tilde{R}_2 by

$$Z_{\pm} = e^{-i\pi/4} (\tilde{R}_1 \pm i\tilde{R}_2), \tag{10}$$

we find that the Schrödinger equations (2) of partner potentials simplify to the system of coupled differential equations for the functions \tilde{R}_1 and \tilde{R}_2

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{R}_2}{\mathrm{d}x} + i\omega\tilde{R}_2 = -iW\tilde{R}_1,
\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{R}_1}{\mathrm{d}x} - i\omega\tilde{R}_1 = iW\tilde{R}_2.$$
(11)

Thus to solve the Schrödinger equations of the partner potentials (1) we make the change of variable

$$y = -2i\omega x,\tag{12}$$

to obtain that Eqs. (11) take the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R_2}{\mathrm{d}y} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}_2 = -\frac{m}{(2\omega)^{1/2}i^{1/2}y^{1/2}}\tilde{R}_1,$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{R}_1}{\mathrm{d}y} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}_1 = \frac{m}{(2\omega)^{1/2}i^{1/2}y^{1/2}}\tilde{R}_2.$$
 (13)

From this coupled system we get that the functions \tilde{R}_1 and \tilde{R}_2 satisfy the decoupled equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \tilde{R}_j}{\mathrm{d}y^2} + \frac{1}{2y} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{R}_j}{\mathrm{d}y} + \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4} - \frac{m^2 i}{2\omega} \right) \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{4} \right] \tilde{R}_j = 0, \tag{14}$$

where j = 1, 2, and $\epsilon = 1$ ($\epsilon = -1$) for \tilde{R}_1 (\tilde{R}_2). To find the solutions to the previous equations we make the ansatz

$$\tilde{R}_j = e^{-y/2} \hat{R}_j,\tag{15}$$

to get that the functions \hat{R}_j must be solutions to

$$y\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\hat{R}_j}{\mathrm{d}y^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - y\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{R}_j}{\mathrm{d}y} - \left(\frac{1-\epsilon}{4} + \frac{im^2}{2\omega}\right)\hat{R}_j = 0.$$
 (16)

We notice that the previous equations are of confluent hypergeometric type [27]–[29]

$$y\frac{d^{2}F}{dy^{2}} + (b-y)\frac{dF}{dy} - aF = 0,$$
(17)

with the quantities a_j and b_j equal to

$$a_1 = a_2 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{im^2}{2\omega},$$

 $b_1 = b_2 = 1/2.$ (18)

Since the parameters b_j are not integral numbers, the functions \tilde{R}_j take the form [27]–[29]

$$\tilde{R}_j = e^{-y/2} \left(C_{Ij \ 1} F_1(a_j, b_j; y) + C_{IIj} \ y^{1-b_j} F_1(a_j - b_j + 1, 2 - b_j; y) \right), \quad (19)$$

where ${}_1F_1(a_j, b_j; y)$ denotes the confluent hypergeometric function and C_{Ij} , C_{IIj} are constants.

From the values (18) it is convenient to note that $b_1 = b_2 = 1/2$ and therefore making the change of variable $y = z^2$ we can transform Eqs. (16) into the Hermite differential equations [27]–[29]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\hat{R}_j}{\mathrm{d}z^2} - 2z\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{R}_j}{\mathrm{d}z} + \lambda_j\hat{R}_j = 0,$$
(20)

with $\lambda_j = -(1 - \epsilon + 2im^2/\omega)$. Thus using the linearly independent solutions of Eqs. (20) we can expand the functions \hat{R}_j , but to write the solutions of the differential equations (16) we prefer to use confluent hypergeometric functions. Furthermore, to increase the readability, in the expressions that follow we replace the quantities b_1 and b_2 by their numerical values, but we preserve the parameters a_1 and a_2 .

We point out that the four constants C_{Ij} and C_{IIj} are not independent since Eqs. (13) impose the following restrictions:

a) If we choose the function R_1 as

$$\tilde{R}_1 = C_{I1} e^{-y/2} {}_1F_1(a_1, 1/2; y), \qquad (21)$$

then the function \tilde{R}_2 must take the form

$$\tilde{R}_2 = C_{I1} \frac{2(2\omega)^{1/2} i^{1/2} a_1}{m} e^{-y/2} y^{1/2} {}_1F_1(a_2 + 1/2, 3/2; y),$$
(22)

that is, the constants C_{I1} and C_{II2} fulfill

$$C_{II2} = C_{I1} \frac{2(2\omega)^{1/2} i^{1/2} a_1}{m}.$$
(23)

b) When we select the function \tilde{R}_1 as

$$\tilde{R}_1 = C_{I2} e^{-y/2} y^{1/2} {}_1F_1(a_1 + 1/2, 3/2; y),$$
(24)

then the function \tilde{R}_2 must be of the form

$$\tilde{R}_2 = C_{I2} \frac{(2\omega)^{1/2} i^{1/2}}{2m} e^{-y/2} {}_1F_1(a_2, 1/2; y).$$
(25)

Hence the constants C_{I2} and C_{II1} satisfy

$$C_{II1} = C_{I2} \frac{(2\omega)^{1/2} i^{1/2}}{2m}.$$
(26)

From these results and considering the definition (10) we get that the linearly independent solutions Z_{\pm} to the Schrödinger equations of the CES potentials (1) are equal to

$$Z_{\pm}^{I} = C_{I1} e^{-i\pi/4} e^{-y/2} \left[{}_{1}F_{1}(a_{1}, 1/2; y) \right] \\ \pm \frac{2(2\omega)^{1/2} i^{3/2} a_{1}}{m} y^{1/2} {}_{1}F_{1}(a_{2} + 1/2, 3/2; y) , \qquad (27)$$

and

$$Z_{\pm}^{II} = C_{I2} e^{-i\pi/4} e^{-y/2} \left[y^{1/2} {}_{1}F_{1}(a_{1}+1/2,3/2;y) + \frac{(2\omega)^{1/2} i^{3/2}}{2m} {}_{1}F_{1}(a_{2},1/2;y) \right].$$
(28)

Using that for the linearly independent solutions of the confluent hypergeometric equation (17) its Wronskian is equal to [29], [30]

$$\mathcal{W}_{z}[{}_{1}F_{1}(a,b;z), z^{1-b}{}_{1}F_{1}(a-b+1,2-b;z)] = (1-b)z^{-b}e^{z},$$
(29)

we find that the Wronskian of the solutions (27) and (28) is (for $C_{I1} = C_{I2} = 1$)

$$\mathcal{W}_x[Z_{\pm}^I, Z_{\pm}^{II}] = \mp \frac{\omega \, i^{3/2}}{m} (2\omega)^{1/2},$$
(30)

which is a constant, as expected.

Moreover considering Eqs. (10), (11), and (14) we obtain that the functions Z_{\pm} are solutions of the equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Z_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}y^2} - \frac{1}{4} Z_{\pm} - \frac{im^2}{2\omega y} Z_{\pm} \pm \frac{m}{2(2\omega)^{1/2} i^{3/2} y^{3/2}} Z_{\pm} = 0.$$
(31)

In a straightforward way we can verify that these are the Schrödinger equations of the partner potentials V_{\pm} written using the variable y defined in the formula (12), and hence we find that the functions \tilde{R}_j yield the solutions to the Schrödinger equations of the partner potentials (1).

3 Discussion

We have not been able to transform the linearly independent solutions (27), (28) and write them in terms of one confluent hypergeometric function, but we think that this fact must be considered in detail. Thus we find in explicit form the partner potentials (1) whose linearly independent solutions include the sum of two confluent hypergeometric functions (see (10), (27), and (28)). In Ref. [31] some potentials with this property are studied, but they are given in implicit form. Hence the partner potentials (1) expand the previously known examples of potentials whose linearly independent solutions include a sum of (confluent) hypergeometric type functions [31].

Furthermore the CES potentials V_{\pm} are multiplicative shape invariant [7], [9], [32]. From their expressions (1) we find that they satisfy $V_{+}(x,m) = V_{-}(x,-m)$. When we compare with the definition of shape invariance for the partner potentials [32]

$$V_{+}(x,\alpha_{0}) = V_{-}(x,\alpha_{1}) + R(\alpha_{0}), \qquad (32)$$

where α_0 , $\alpha_1 = f(\alpha_0)$ are parameters and $R(\alpha_0)$ is a function of α_0 , we find that the partner potentials V_{\pm} fulfill $R(\alpha_0) = 0$, $\alpha_0 = m$, $\alpha_1 = -m$, and hence, $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_0$. We notice that the previously published multiplicative shape invariant potentials only are known in series form [7], [9], in contrast we find the potentials (1) in closed form.

In Ref. [33] is studied the concept of shape invariance with reflection transformations. For the analyzed potentials they consider transformations that include reflections of the coordinates and translations of the parameters. The relationship $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_0$ between the parameters of the partner potentials (1) reminds us a reflection, but this reflection is on the parameters of the potentials and not on the coordinates, as considered in Ref. [33].

Owing to the CES potentials (1) go to zero as $x \to +\infty$, in this limit Z_{\pm} behave as

$$Z_{\mp} \approx A_{\mp} \sin\left(\omega x + \delta_0^{\mp}(\omega)\right),\tag{33}$$

where $\delta_0^{\pm}(\omega)$ denote the phase shifts of V_{\pm} (A_{\mp} are constants). Using the known relationship between the phase shifts of the partner potentials [9], [10]

$$e^{2i\delta_0^-(\omega)} = \frac{W_+ - i\omega}{W_+ + i\omega} e^{2i\delta_0^+(\omega)},$$
(34)

where W_+ is the limit of the superpotential W as $x \to +\infty$ (see (6)), we get that the phase shifts of the CES potentials (1) fulfill

$$\delta_0^+(\omega) = \delta_0^-(\omega) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi,\tag{35}$$

where n is an integer.

From our previous results we think that a research problem is the search, in explicit form, of potentials for which each linearly independent solution of their Schrödinger equations includes a sum of three (or four, five, ...) (confluent) hypergeometric functions. For the case of three (confluent) hypergeometric functions we expect that the first linearly independent solution takes the form

$$Z^{I} = f_{1}(x)F_{1}(x) + f_{2}(x)F_{2}(x) + f_{3}(x)F_{3}(x),$$
(36)

where f_k are functions of x and F_k denotes the (confluent) hypergeometric functions (a similar expression is valid for the second linearly independent solution).² Notice that in Ref. [31] are studied some potentials with this property, but they are given in implicit form. If we find these potentials, they can be used as a basis to generate new exactly solvable potentials by means of first or higher order supersymmetric quantum mechanics [7]–[10].

4 Acknowledgments

We thank the support by CONACYT México, SNI México, EDI-IPN, COFAA-IPN, and Research Projects IPN SIP-20150707 and IPN SIP-20151031. Also, we thank the useful suggestions of the anonymous Referees.

 $^{^{2}}$ See the expressions (27) and (28) for linearly independent solutions of the Schrödinger equation that include two confluent hypergeometric functions.

References

- [1] Bhattacharjie A and Sudarshan E C G 1962 Nuovo Cimento 25 864
- [2] Darboux G 1888 Théorie Générale des Surfaces. Vol. 2 (Paris: Gauthier-Villars)
- [3] Bagrov V G and Samsonov B F 1995 Theor. Math. Phys. 104 1051
- [4] Witten E 1981 Nucl. Phys. B 188 513
- [5] Sukumar C V 1985 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 2917
- [6] Dutt R, Khare A, Sukhatme U P 1988 Am. J. Phys. 56 163
- [7] Cooper F, Khare A, Sukhatme U 1995 Phys. Rep. 251 267
- [8] Fernández D J and Fernández-García N 2005 AIP Conf. Procc. 744 236. Supersymmetries in Physics and Its Applications, edited by Bijker R, et al. (American Institute of Physics)
- [9] Cooper F, Khare A, Sukhatme U 2001 Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [10] Gangopadhyaya A, Mallow J V, Rasinariu C 2011 Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics: An Introduction (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [11] Bagchi B K 2000 Supersymmetry in Quantum and Classical Physics (Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press)
- [12] Schrödinger E 1940 Proc. R. Ir. Acad. A 46 183
- [13] Schrödinger E 1941 Proc. R. Ir. Acad. A 47 53
- [14] Infeld L, Hull T E 1951 Rev. Mod. Phys. 23 21
- [15] Khare A, Sukhatme U P 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L501
- [16] Derezinski J, Wrochna M 2011 Annales Henri Poincare 12 397
- [17] Dabrowska J W, Khare A, Sukhatme U P 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L195
- [18] Levai G 1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 3809
- [19] Levai G 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 689
- [20] de Souza Dutra A 1993 Phys. Rev. A 47 R2435
- [21] Dutt R, Khare A, Varshni Y P 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 L107
- [22] Roychoudhury R, Roy P, Znojil M, Levai G 2001 J. Math. Phys. 42 1996
- [23] Song X 1991 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 17 49

- [24] Stillinger F H 1979 J. Math. Phys. 20 1891
- [25] Flügge S 1971 Practical Quantum Mechanics I, II (Berlin: Springer Verlag)
- [26] Cho H T 2003 Phys. Rev. D 68 024003
- [27] Abramowitz M, Stegun I A 1965 Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables (New York: Dover Publications)
- [28] Wang Z X, Guo D R 1989 Special Functions (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [29] Olver F W J, Lozier D W, Boisvert R F, Clark C W 2010 *NIST Handbook* of *Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [30] Erdelyi A 1953 *Higher Transcendental Functions. Vol. I* (USA, McGraw-Hill Book Company)
- [31] Cooper F, Ginocchio J N, Khare A 1987 Phys. Rev. D 36 2458
- [32] Gendenshtein L E 1983 JETP Lett. 38 356
- [33] Aleixo A N F, Balantekin A B 2014 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47 135304