Partial permutation decoding for binary linear and Z_4 -linear Hadamard codes *

Roland D. Barrolleta^{\dagger} Mercè Villanueva^{\dagger}

September 18, 2021

Abstract

Permutation decoding is a technique which involves finding a subset S, called PD-set, of the permutation automorphism group of a code C in order to assist in decoding. An explicit construction of $\left\lfloor \frac{2^m - m - 1}{1 + m} \right\rfloor$ -PD-sets of minimum size $\left\lfloor \frac{2^m - m - 1}{1 + m} \right\rfloor$ + 1 for partial permutation decoding for binary linear Hadamard codes H_m of length 2^m , for all $m \ge 4$, is described. Moreover, a recursive construction to obtain *s*-PD-sets of size *l* for H_{m+1} of length 2^{m+1} , from a given *s*-PD-set of the same size for H_m , is also established. These results are generalized to find *s*-PD-sets for (nonlinear) binary Hadamard codes of length 2^m , called \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes, which are obtained as the Gray map image of quaternary linear codes of length 2^{m-1} .

Index terms — automorphism group, permutation decoding, PD-set, Hadamard code, \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code

1 Introduction

Denote by \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{Z}_4 the rings of integers modulo 2 and modulo 4, respectively. Let \mathbb{Z}_2^n denote the set of all binary vectors of length n and let \mathbb{Z}_4^n be the set of all n-tuples over the ring \mathbb{Z}_4 . The Hamming weight $\operatorname{wt}(v)$ of a vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the number of nonzero coordinates in v. The Hamming distance d(u, v) between two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the number of coordinates in which u and v differ, that is, $d(u, v) = \operatorname{wt}(u+v)$. Let e_i be the binary vector or tuple over \mathbb{Z}_4 with a one in the *i*th coordinate and zeros elsewhere. Let $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ be the binary vectors or tuples over \mathbb{Z}_4 having 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively, repeated in each coordinate. It will be clear by the context whether we refer to binary vectors or tuples over \mathbb{Z}_4 .

Any nonempty subset C of \mathbb{Z}_2^n is a binary code and a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_2^n is called a *binary linear code*. Equivalently, any nonempty subset C of \mathbb{Z}_4^n is a quaternary

^{*}This work was partially supported by the Spanish MINECO under Grant TIN2013-40524-P, and by the Catalan AGAUR under Grant 2014SGR-691. The material in this paper was presented in part at IX "Jornadas de Matemática Discreta y Algorítmica" in Tarragona, Spain, 2014 [1].

[†]Departament d'Enginyeria de la Informació i de les Comunicacions, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, e-mails: rolanddavid.barrolleta@uab.cat and merce.villanueva@uab.cat.

code and a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_4^n is called a *quaternary linear code*. Quaternary codes can be seen as binary codes under the usual Gray map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_4^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$ defined as $\Phi((y_1, \ldots, y_n)) = (\phi(y_1), \ldots, \phi(y_n))$, where $\phi(0) = (0, 0)$, $\phi(1) = (0, 1)$, $\phi(2) =$ (1, 1), $\phi(3) = (1, 0)$, for all $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$. If \mathcal{C} is a quaternary linear code, the binary code $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is said to be a \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code. Moreover, since \mathcal{C} is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_4^n , it is isomorphic to an abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$ and we say that \mathcal{C} (or equivalently the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$) is of type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$ [7].

Let C be a binary code of length n and size $|C| = 2^k$. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and a set $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we denote by v_I the restriction of v to the coordinates in I and by C_I the set $\{v_I : v \in C\}$. A set $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of k coordinate positions is an *information set* for C if $|C_I| = 2^k$. If such an I exists, C is said to be a systematic code. For each information set I of size k, the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}\setminus I$ of the remaining n - k coordinate positions is a *check set* for C.

Let $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ be the symmetric group of permutations on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $\operatorname{id} \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ be the identity permutation. The group operation in $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ is the function composition $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$, which maps any element x to $\sigma_1(\sigma_2(x))$, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$. A $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ acts linearly on words of \mathbb{Z}_2^n or \mathbb{Z}_4^n by permuting their coordinates as follows: $\sigma((v_1, \ldots, v_n)) = (v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$. The *permutation automorphism group* of \mathcal{C} or $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$, denoted by $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{C})$ or $\operatorname{PAut}(C)$, respectively, is the group generated by all permutations that preserve the set of codewords.

A binary Hadamard code of length n has 2n codewords and minimum distance n/2. It is well-known that there exists an unique binary linear Hadamard code H_m of length $n = 2^m$, for any $m \ge 2$. The quaternary linear codes such that, under the Gray map, give a binary Hadamard code are called *quater*nary linear Hadamard codes and the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear codes are called \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes. These codes have been studied and classified in [10, 13], and their permutation automorphism groups have been determined in [9, 14].

Permutation decoding is a technique, introduced in [11] by MacWilliams for linear codes, which involves finding a subset of the permutation automorphism group of a code in order to assist in decoding. A new permutation decoding method for \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear codes (not necessarily linear) was introduced in [2]. In general, the method works as follows. Given a systematic *t*-error-correcting code *C* with information set *I*, we denote by y = x + e the received vector, where $x \in C$ and *e* is the error vector. Suppose that at most *t* errors occur, that is, wt(*e*) $\leq t$. The permutation decoding consists on moving all errors in *y* out of *I*, by using an automorphism of *C*. This technique is strongly based on the existence of some special subsets of PAut(*C*), called PD-sets. Specifically, a subset $S \subseteq PAut(C)$ is said to be an *s*-PD-*set* for the code *C* if every *s*-set of coordinate positions is moved out of *I* by at least one element of *S*, where $1 \leq s \leq t$. When s = t, *S* is said to be a PD-*set*.

In [4], it is shown how to find s-PD-sets of size s + 1 that satisfy the Gordon-Schönheim bound for partial permutation decoding for the binary simplex code of lenght $2^m - 1$ for all $m \ge 4$ and $1 < s \le \lfloor \frac{2^m - m - 1}{m} \rfloor$. In this paper, following the same technique, similar results for binary linear and \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes are established. In [15], 2-PD-sets of size 5 and 4-PD-sets of size $\binom{m+1}{2} + 2$ are found for binary linear Hadamard codes H_m , for all m > 4. Small PD-sets that satisfy the Gordon-Schönheim bound have also been found for binary

Golay codes [5, 16] and for the binary simplex code S_4 [8].

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove that the Gordon-Schönheim bound can be adapted to systematic codes, not necessarily linear. Furthermore, we apply this bound on the minimum size of s-PD-sets to binary linear and \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes, which are systematic but nonlinear in general, and we prove that their minimum size is s + 1. In Section 3, we regard the permutation automorphism group $PAut(H_m)$ as a certain subgroup of the general linear group GL(m + 1, 2) and we provide a criterion on subsets of matrices of such subgroup to be an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for H_m . In Section 4, we define recursive constructions to obtain s-PD-sets of size l for H_{m+1} from a given s-PD-set of the same size for H_m , where $l \geq s + 1$. Finally, in Sections 5 and 6, we establish equivalent results for (nonlinear) \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes.

2 Minimum size of *s*-PD-sets for Hadamard codes

There is a well-known bound on the minimum size of PD-sets for linear codes based on the length, dimension and minimum distance of such codes that can be adapted to systematic codes (not necessarily linear) easily.

Proposition 1. Let C be a systematic t-error correcting code of length n, size $|C| = 2^k$ and minimum distance d. Let r = n - k be the redundancy of C. If S is a PD-set for C, then

$$|S| \ge \left\lceil \frac{n}{r} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{r-1} \left\lceil \dots \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{r-t+1} \right\rceil \dots \right\rceil \right\rceil \right\rceil.$$
(1)

The above inequality (1) is often called the *Gordon-Schönheim bound*. The result given by Proposition 1 is quoted and proved for linear codes in [6]. We can follow the same proof, since the linearity of the code is only used to guarantee that the code is systematic. In [2], it is shown that \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear codes are systematic, and a systematic encoding is given for these codes. Therefore, the result can be applied to any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code, not necessarily linear.

The Gordon-Schönheim bound can be adapted to s-PD-sets for all s up to the error correcting capability of the code. Note that the error-correcting capability of any binary linear or \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code of length $n = 2^m$ is $t_m = \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor = \lfloor (2^{m-1}-1)/2 \rfloor = 2^{m-2} - 1$ [12]. Moreover, all these codes are systematic and have size $2n = 2^{m+1}$. Therefore, the right side of the bound given by (1), for binary linear and \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes of length 2^m and for all $1 \leq s \leq t_m$, becomes

$$g_m(s) = \left\lceil \frac{2^m}{2^m - m - 1} \left\lceil \frac{2^m - 1}{2^m - m - 2} \left\lceil \dots \left\lceil \frac{2^m - s + 1}{2^m - m - s} \right\rceil \right\rceil \dots \right\rceil \right\rceil.$$
 (2)

We compute the minimum value of $g_m(s)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let m be an integer, $m \ge 4$. For $1 \le s \le t_m$,

$$g_m(s) = \left\lceil \frac{2^m}{2^m - m - 1} \left\lceil \frac{2^m - 1}{2^m - m - 2} \left\lceil \dots \left\lceil \frac{2^m - s + 1}{2^m - m - s} \right\rceil \right\rceil \dots \right\rceil \right\rceil \ge s + 1,$$

where $t_m = 2^{m-2} - 1$ is the error-correcting capability of any binary linear and \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code of length 2^m .

Proof. We need to prove that $g_m(s) \ge s+1$. This fact is clear, since the central term

$$\left\lceil \frac{2^m - s + 1}{2^m - m - s} \right\rceil = 2$$

for all $s \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{m-2} - 1\}$, and in each stage of the ceiling function working from inside, $g_m(s)$ increases its value by at least 1.

The smaller the size of the PD-set is, the more efficient permutation decoding becomes. Because of this, we will focus on the case when we have that $g_m(s) = s+1$. For each binary linear and \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code of length 2^m , $m \ge 4$, we define the following integer:

$$f_m = \max\{s : 2 \le s, g_m(s) = s + 1\},\$$

which represents the greater s in which we can find s-PD-sets of size s + 1. The following result characterize this parameter from the value of m. Note that for m = 3, since the error-correcting capability is $t_3 = 1$, the permutation decoding becomes unnecessary and we do not take it into account in the results.

Lemma 3. Let *m* be an integer, $m \ge 4$. Then, $f_m = \left\lfloor \frac{2^m - m - 1}{1 + m} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. The result is easy to prove by Lemma 2 and following a similar argument as the one in the proof of Lemma 2 in [4]. \Box

3 Finding *s*-PD-sets of size *s*+1 for binary linear Hadamard codes

For any $m \ge 2$, there is an unique binary linear Hadamard code H_m of length 2^m [12]. A generator matrix G_m for H_m can be constructed as follows:

$$G_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & G' \end{pmatrix},\tag{3}$$

where G' is any matrix having as column vectors the $2^m - 1$ nonzero vectors from \mathbb{Z}_2^m , with the vectors e_i , $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, in the first m positions. Note that G' can be seen as a generator matrix of the binary simplex code of length $2^m - 1$.

By construction, from (3), it is clear that $I_m = \{1, \ldots, m+1\}$ is an information set for H_m . Let w_i be the *i*th column vector of G_m , $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2^m\}$. By labelling the coordinate positions with the columns of G_m , we can take as an information set I_m for H_m the first m + 1 column vectors of G_m considered as row vectors, that is, $I_m = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{m+1}\} = \{e_1, e_1 + e_2, \ldots, e_1 + e_{m+1}\}$. Then, depending on the context, I_m will be taken as a subset of $\{1, \ldots, 2^m\}$ or as a subset of $\{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m$.

Example 4. Let H_4 be the binary linear Hadamard code of length 16 with generator matrix

constructed as in (3). The set $I_4 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, or equivalently the set of column vectors $I_4 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\} = \{e_1, e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_4, e_1 + e_5\}$ of G_4 , is an information set for H_4 .

It is known that the permutation automorphism group $\operatorname{PAut}(H_m)$ of H_m is isomorphic to the general affine group $\operatorname{AGL}(m,2)$ [12]. Let $\operatorname{GL}(m,2)$ be the general linear group over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Recall that $\operatorname{AGL}(m,2)$ consists of all mappings $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ of the form $\alpha(x) = Ax + b$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, where $A \in \operatorname{GL}(m,2)$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, together with the function composition as the group operation. The monomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi: & \mathrm{AGL}(m,2) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}(m+1,2) \\ & (b,A) & \longmapsto & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ \mathbf{0} & A \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

defines an isomorphism between AGL(m, 2) and the subgroup of GL(m + 1, 2)consisting of all nonsingular matrices whose first column is e_1 . Therefore, from now on, we also regard $PAut(H_m)$ as this subgroup. Note that any matrix $M \in PAut(H_m)$ can be seen as a permutation of coordinate positions, that is, as an element of $Sym(2^m)$. By multiplying each column vector w_i of G_m by M, we obtain another column vector $w_j = w_i M$, which means that the *i*th coordinate position moves to the *j*th coordinate position, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 2^m\}$.

Let $M \in \text{PAut}(H_m)$ and let m_i be the *i*th row of $M, i \in \{1, \ldots, m+1\}$. We define M^* as the matrix where the first row is m_1 and the *i*th row is $m_1 + m_i$, $i \in \{2, \ldots, m+1\}$. An *s*-PD-set of size s+1 for H_m meets the Gordon-Schönheim bound if $2 \leq s \leq f_m$. The following theorem provides us a condition on sets of matrices of $\text{PAut}(H_m)$ in order to be *s*-PD-sets of size s+1 for H_m .

Theorem 5. Let H_m be the binary linear Hadamard code of length 2^m , with $m \ge 4$. Let $P_s = \{M_i : 0 \le i \le s\}$ be a set of s + 1 matrices in PAut (H_m) . Then, P_s is an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for H_m with information set I_m if and only if no two matrices $(M_i^{-1})^*$ and $(M_j^{-1})^*$ for $i \ne j$ have a row in common. Moreover, any subset $P_k \subseteq P_s$ of size k + 1 is a k-PD-set for $k \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Proof. Suppose that the set $P_s = \{M_i : 0 \le i \le s\}$ satisfies that no two matrices $(M_i^{-1})^*$ and $(M_j^{-1})^*$ for $i \ne j$ have a row in common. Let $E = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subseteq \{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ be a set of s different column vectors of the generator matrix G_m regarded as row vectors, which represents a set of s error positions. Assume we cannot move all the error positions to the check set by any element of P_s . Then, for each $i \in \{0, \ldots, s\}$, there is a $v \in E$ such that $vM_i \in I_m$. In other words, there is at least an error position that remains in the information set I_m after applying any permutation of P_s . Note that there are s + 1 values for i, but only s elements in E. Therefore, $vM_i \in I_m$ and $vM_j \in I_m$ for some $v \in E$ and $i \ne j$. Suppose $vM_i = w_r$ and $vM_j = w_t$, for $w_r, w_t \in I_m$. Then, $v = w_r M_i^{-1} = w_t M_j^{-1}$. Taking into account the form of the vectors in the information set $I_m = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{m+1}\}$, by multiplying for such inverse matrices M_i^{-1} and M_j^{-1} , we get the first row or a certain addition between the first row and another row of each matrix. Thus, we obtain that $(M_i^{-1})^*$ and $(M_j^{-1})^*$ have a row in common, contradicting our assumption. Let $P_k \subseteq P_s$ of size k+1. If this set satisfies the condition on the inverse matrices and we suppose that it is not a k-PD-set, we arrive to a contradiction in the same way as before.

Conversely, suppose that the set $P_s = \{M_i : 0 \le i \le s\}$ forms an s-PDset for H_m , but does not satisfy the condition on the inverse matrices. Thus, some $v \in \{w_1, \ldots, w_{2^m}\}$ must be the *r*th row of $(M_i^{-1})^*$ and the *t*th row of $(M_j^{-1})^*$ for some $r, t \in \{1, \ldots, m+1\}, i, j \in \{0, \ldots, s\}$. In other words, we have that $v = e_r(M_i^{-1})^* = e_t(M_j^{-1})^*$. Therefore, $v = w_r M_i^{-1} = w_t M_j^{-1}$, where $w_r, w_t \in I_m$. Finally, we obtain that $vM_i = w_r$ and $vM_j = w_t$. These equalities implies that the vector v, which represents an error position, cannot be moved to the check set by the permutations defined by matrices M_i and M_j . Let $L = \{l : 0 \le l \le s, l \ne i, j\}$. For each $l \in L$, choose a row v_l of $(M_l^{-1})^*$. It is clear that $v_l = e_t(M_l^{-1})^* = w_t M_l^{-1}$, so $v_l M_l = w_t \in I_m$. Finally, since some of the v_l may repeat, we obtain a set $E = \{v_l : l \in L\} \cup \{v\}$ of size at most s. Nevertheless, no matrix in P_s will map every member of E into the check set, fact that contradicts our assumption.

We give now an explicit construction of an f_m -PD-set $\{M_0, \ldots, M_{f_m}\} \subseteq$ PAut (H_m) of minimum size f_m+1 for the binary linear Hadamard code H_m of length 2^m . We follow a similar technique to the one described for simplex codes in [4].

Lemma 6. Let $K = \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(f(x))$, where f(x) is a primitive polynomial of degree m. If α is a root of f(x), then $\alpha^{i+1} - \alpha^i, \ldots, \alpha^{i+m} - \alpha^i$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 , for all $i \in \{0, \ldots, 2^m - 2\}$.

Proof. It is straightforward to see that $\alpha^{i+1} - \alpha^i, \ldots, \alpha^{i+m} - \alpha^i$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 , for all $i \in \{0, \ldots, 2^m - 2\}$, if and only if $\alpha - 1, \ldots, \alpha^m - 1$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 , since $\alpha^i \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Note that $\alpha^m - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mu_j \alpha^j$, where the sum has and odd number of

Note that $\alpha^m - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mu_j \alpha^j$, where the sum has and odd number of nonzero terms, since f(x) is irreducible. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m-1}$. Note that in vectorial notation $\alpha^j - 1 = e_1 + e_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ and $\alpha^m - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mu_j e_{j+1}$. Finally, it is easy to see that the $m \times m$ binary matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{1} & \mathrm{Id}_{m-1} \\ 0 & \mu \end{array}\right),\,$$

which has as rows $\alpha - 1, \ldots, \alpha^m - 1$, has determinant $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mu_j = 1 \neq 0$. \Box

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, f_m\}$, consider the following $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ binary matrices:

$$N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \alpha^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{(m+1)i-1} \\ 0 & \alpha^{(m+1)i} - \alpha^{(m+1)i-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \alpha^{(m+1)i+m-1} - \alpha^{(m+1)i-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 7. Let $P_{f_m} = \{M_i : 0 \le i \le f_m\}$, where $M_i = N_i^{-1}$. Then, P_{f_m} is an f_m -PD-set of size $f_m + 1$ for the binary linear Hadamard code H_m of length 2^m with information set I_m .

Proof. Clearly, $N_0 \in \text{PAut}(H_m)$, since it is the identity matrix. By Lemma 6, $N_i \in \text{PAut}(H_m)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, f_m\}$. Moreover, rows of matrices $N_0^*, \ldots, N_{f_m}^*$ form the set $\{(1, a) : a \in \{0, 1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{f_m(m+1)+m-1}\}\}$. The elements of such set are different since α is primitive and $f_m(m+1)+m-1 \leq 2^m-2$. Theorem 5 completes the proof.

Example 8. Let H_4 be the binary linear Hadamard code of length 16 with generator matrix (4). Let $K = \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^4 + x + 1)$ and α a root of $x^4 + x + 1$. Matrices $N_0 = \text{Id}_5$,

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha^4 \\ 0 & \alpha^5 - \alpha^4 \\ 0 & \alpha^6 - \alpha^4 \\ 0 & \alpha^7 - \alpha^4 \\ 0 & \alpha^8 - \alpha^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha^9 \\ 0 & \alpha^{10} - \alpha^9 \\ 0 & \alpha^{11} - \alpha^9 \\ 0 & \alpha^{12} - \alpha^9 \\ 0 & \alpha^{13} - \alpha^9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where Id₅ is the 5 × 5 identity matrix, are elements of PAut(H_4) and $P_2 = \{N_0^{-1}, N_1^{-1}, N_2^{-1}\}$ is a 2-PD-set of size 3 for H_4 . It is straightforward to chech that matrices N_0^* ,

$N_{1}^{*} =$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1 0 0 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 $	0 1 1 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $,	and	$N_{2}^{*} =$	$ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1 \end{array}\right) $	0 1 0 1	1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1	1 \ 0 1 1),
	1	1	0	1	0 /				$\backslash 1$	1	0	1	1 /	/

have no rows in common. Finally, no s-PD-set of size s + 1 can be found for $s \ge 3$ since $f_4 = 2$.

Let S be an s-PD-set of size s+1. The set S is a nested s-PD-set if there is an ordering of the elements of $S, S = \{\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_s\}$, such that $S_i = \{\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_i\} \subseteq S$ is an *i*-PD-set of size i + 1, for all $i \in \{0, \ldots, s\}$. Note that $S_i \subset S_j$ if $0 \le i < j \le s$ and $S_s = S$. From Theorem 5, we have two important consequences. The first one is related to how to obtain nested s-PD-sets and the second one provides another proof of Lemma 3.

Corollary 9. Let m be an integer, $m \ge 4$. If P_s is an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for the binary linear Hadamard code H_m , then any ordering of the elements of P_s gives nested k-PD-sets for $k \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Corollary 10. Let *m* be an integer, $m \ge 4$. If P_s is an *s*-PD-set of size s + 1 for the binary linear Hadamard code H_m , then $s \le \left\lfloor \frac{2^m - m - 1}{1 + m} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. Following the condition on sets of matrices to be s-PD-sets of size s + 1, given by Theorem 5, we have to obtain certain s + 1 matrices with no rows in common. Note that the number of possible vectors of length m + 1 over \mathbb{Z}_2 with 1 in the first coordinate is 2^m . Thus, taking this fact into account and counting the number of rows of each one of these s + 1 matrices, we have that $(s+1)(m+1) \leq 2^m$, so $s+1 \leq \frac{2^m}{m+1}$ and finally $s \leq \left\lfloor \frac{2^m-m-1}{1+m} \right\rfloor$.

4 Recursive construction of *s*-PD-sets for binary linear Hadamard codes

In this section, given an s-PD-set of size l for the binary linear Hadamard code H_m of length 2^m , where $l \ge s + 1$, we show how to construct recursively an s-PD-set of the same size for $H_{m'}$ of length $2^{m'}$ for all m' > m.

Given a matrix $M \in \text{PAut}(H_m)$ and an integer $\kappa \geq 1$, we define the matrix $M(\kappa) \in \text{PAut}(H_{m+\kappa})$ as

$$M(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathrm{Id}_{\kappa} \end{pmatrix},\tag{5}$$

where Id_{κ} denotes the $\kappa \times \kappa$ identity matrix.

Proposition 11. Let m be an integer, $m \ge 4$, and $P_s = \{M_i : 0 \le i \le s\}$ be an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for H_m with information set I_m . Then, $Q_s = \{(M_i^{-1}(\kappa))^{-1} : 0 \le i \le s\}$ is an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for $H_{m+\kappa}$ with information set $I_{m+\kappa}$, for any $\kappa \ge 1$.

Proof. Since P_s is an s-PD-set for H_m , matrices $(M_1^{-1})^*, \ldots, (M_s^{-1})^*$ have no rows in common by Theorem 5. Therefore, it is straightforward to check that matrices $(M_1^{-1}(\kappa))^*, \ldots, (M_s^{-1}(\kappa))^*$ have no rows in common either. Moreover, $M_i^{-1}(\kappa) \in \text{PAut}(H_{m+\kappa})$, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Thus, applying again Theorem 5, we have that Q_s is an s-PD-set for $H_{m+\kappa}$.

It is important to note that the bound f_{m+1} for H_{m+1} cannot be achieved recursively from an *s*-PD-set for H_m , since the above recursive construction works for a given fixed *s*, increasing the length of the Hadamard code.

The above recursive construction only holds when the size of the *s*-PD-set is exactly s + 1. Now, we will show a second recursive construction which holds when the size of the *s*-PD-set is any integer $l, l \ge s+1$. In this case, the elements of PAut (H_m) will be regarded as permutations of coordinate positions, that is, as elements of Sym (2^m) instead of matrices of GL(m + 1, 2).

It is well known that a generator matrix G_{m+1} for the binary linear Hadamard code H_{m+1} of length 2^{m+1} can be constructed as follows:

$$G_{m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} G_m & G_m \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where G_m is a generator matrix for the binary linear Hadamard code H_m of length 2^m . Given two permutations $\sigma_1 \in \text{Sym}(n_1)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \text{Sym}(n_2)$, we define $(\sigma_1|\sigma_2) \in \text{Sym}(n_1 + n_2)$, where σ_1 acts on the coordinates $\{1, \ldots, n_1\}$ and σ_2 on $\{n_1 + 1, \ldots, n_1 + n_2\}$.

Proposition 12. Let m be an integer, $m \ge 4$, and S be an s-PD-set of size l for H_m with information set I. Then, $(S|S) = \{(\sigma|\sigma) : \sigma \in S\}$ is an s-PD-set of size l for H_{m+1} constructed from (6), with any information set $I' = I \cup \{i + 2^m\}, i \in I$.

Proof. Since I is an information set for H_m , we have that $|(H_m)_I| = 2^{m+1}$. Since H_{m+1} is constructed from (6), it follows that $H_{m+1} = \{(x, x), (x, \bar{x}) : x \in H_m\}$, where \bar{x} is the complementary vector of x. A vector and its complementary have different values in each coordinate, so $|(H_{m+1})_{I\cup\{i\}}| = 2^{m+2}$, for all $i \in \{2^m + 1, \ldots, 2^{m+1}\}$. Thus, any set of the form $I' = I \cup \{i + 2^m\}, i \in I$, is an information set for H_{m+1} .

If $\sigma \in \text{PAut}(H_m)$, then $\sigma(x) = z \in H_m$ for all $x \in H_m$. Therefore, since $(\sigma|\sigma)(x,x) = (z,z)$ and $(\sigma|\sigma)(x,\bar{x}) = (z,z+\sigma(\mathbf{1})) = (z,\bar{z})$, we can conclude that $(\sigma|\sigma) \in \text{PAut}(H_{m+1})$.

Let $e = (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$, where $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, and $n = 2^m$. Finally, we will prove that for every $e \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$ with $\operatorname{wt}(e) \leq s$, there is $(\sigma | \sigma) \in (S | S)$ such that $(\sigma | \sigma)(e)_{I'} = \mathbf{0}$. Let $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ be the binary vector defined as follows: $c_i = 1$ if and only if $a_i = 1$ or $b_i = 1$, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Note that $\operatorname{wt}(c) \leq s$, since $\operatorname{wt}(e) \leq s$. Taking into account that S is an s-PD-set with respect to I, there is $\sigma \in S$ such that $\sigma(c)_I = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, we also have that $(\sigma | \sigma)(a, b)_{I \cup J} = \mathbf{0}$, where $J = \{i + n : i \in I\}$. The result follows trivially since $I' \subseteq I \cup J$.

5 Finding *s*-PD-sets of size s + 1 for Hadamard \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear codes

For any $m \geq 3$ and each $\delta \in \{1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor\}$, there is an unique (up to equivalence) \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code of length 2^m which is the Gray map image of a quaternary linear code of length $\beta = 2^{m-1}$ and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$, where $m = \gamma + 2\delta - 1$. Moreover, for a fixed m, all these codes are pairwise nonequivalent, except for $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 2$, since these ones are equivalent to the binary linear Hadamard code of length 2^m [10]. Therefore, the number of nonequivalent \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes of length 2^m is $\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$ for all $m \geq 3$. Note that when $\delta \geq 3$, the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes are nonlinear.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of length $\beta = 2^{m-1}$ and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$, where $m = \gamma + 2\delta - 1$, and let $H_{\gamma,\delta} = \Phi(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ be the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code of length $2\beta = 2^m$. A generator matrix $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta}$ for the code $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ can be constructed by using the following recursive constructions:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\gamma+1,\delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta} & \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{2} \end{pmatrix},\tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta} & \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta} & \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

starting from $\mathcal{G}_{0,1} = (1)$. We first obtain $\mathcal{G}_{0,\delta}$ from $\mathcal{G}_{0,1}$ by using recursively δ times construction (8). Then, $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta}$ is managed from $\mathcal{G}_{0,\delta}$ by using γ times construction (7). Note that the rows of order four remain in the upper part of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta}$ while those of order two stay in the lower part.

A set $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{\gamma+\delta}\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \beta\}$ of $\gamma + \delta$ coordinate positions is said to be a *quaternary information set* for a quaternary linear code \mathcal{C} of type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$ if $|\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}| = 2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$. If the coordinates in \mathcal{I} are ordered in such a way that $|\mathcal{C}_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_{\delta}\}}| = 4^{\delta}$, it is easy to see that the set $\Phi(\mathcal{I})$, defined as

$$\Phi(\mathcal{I}) = \{2i_1 - 1, 2i_1, \dots, 2i_{\delta} - 1, 2i_{\delta}, 2i_{\delta+1} - 1, \dots, 2i_{\delta+\gamma} - 1\},\$$

is an information set for $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$. For example, the set $\mathcal{I} = \{1\}$ is a quaternary information set for $\mathcal{H}_{0,1}$, so $\Phi(\mathcal{I}) = \{1,2\}$ is an information set for $\mathcal{H}_{0,1} = \Phi(\mathcal{H}_{0,1})$. In general, there is not an unique way to obtain a quaternary information set for the code $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$. The following result provides a recursive and simple form to obtain such a set.

Proposition 13. Let \mathcal{I} be a quaternary information set for the quaternary linear Hadamard code $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ of length $\beta = 2^{m-1}$ and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$, where $m = \gamma + 2\delta - 1$. Then $\mathcal{I} \cup \{\beta + 1\}$ is a quaternary information set for the codes $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1}$, which are obtained from $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ by applying (7) and (8), respectively. *Proof.* Since $|\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta}| = 2^{\gamma+1}4^{\delta}$ and $|\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1}| = 2^{\gamma}4^{\delta+1}$, it is clear that a quaternary information set for codes $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1}$ should have $\gamma+\delta+1 = |\mathcal{I}|+1$ coordinate positions.

Taking into account that $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1}$ is constructed from (8), we have that $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1} = \{(u, u, u, u), (u, u+1, u+2, u+3), (u, u+2, u, u+2), (u, u+3, u+2, u+1) : u \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}\}$. Vectors u, u+1, u+2, and u+3 have different values in each coordinate, so $|(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1})_{\mathcal{I}\cup\{i\}}| = 2^{\gamma}4^{\delta+1}$ for all $i \in \{\beta+1, \ldots, 2\beta, 3\beta+1, \ldots, 4\beta\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{I} \cup \{\beta+1\}$ is a quaternary information set for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1}$.

A similar argument holds for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta}$ is constructed from (7), we have that $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta} = \{(u, u), (u, u + 2) : u \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}\}$. Vectors u and u + 2have different values in each coordinate, so $|(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta})_{\mathcal{I}\cup\{i\}}| = 2^{\gamma+1}4^{\delta}$ for all $i \in \{\beta + 1, \ldots, 2\beta\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{I} \cup \{\beta + 1\}$ is a quaternary information set for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta}$.

Despite the fact that the quaternary information set $\mathcal{I} \cup \{\beta + 1\}$, given by Proposition 13, is the same for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1}$, the information set for the corresponding binary codes $H_{\gamma+1,\delta}$ and $H_{\gamma,\delta+1}$ are $I' = \Phi(\mathcal{I}) \cup \{2\beta + 1\}$ and $I'' = \Phi(\mathcal{I}) \cup \{2\beta + 1, 2\beta + 2\}$, respectively. As for binary linear codes, we can label the *i*th coordinate position of a quaternary linear code \mathcal{C} , with the *i*th column of a generator matrix \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{C} . Thus, any quaternary information set \mathcal{I} for \mathcal{C} can also be considered as a set of vectors representing the positions in \mathcal{I} . Then, by Proposition 13, we have that the set $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta} = \{e_1, e_1 + e_2, \ldots, e_1 + e_{\delta}, e_1 + 2e_{\delta+1}, \ldots, e_1 + 2e_{\gamma+\delta}\}$ is a suitable quaternary information set for the code $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$. Depending on the context, $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta}$ will be considered as a subset of $\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$ or as a subset of $\{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{\delta-1} \times \{0, 2\}^{\gamma}$.

Example 14. The quaternary linear Hadamard code $\mathcal{H}_{0,3}$ of length 16 can be generated by the matrix

obtained by applying two times construction (8) over $\mathcal{G}_{0,1} = (1)$. The set $\mathcal{I}_{0,3} = \{1, 2, 5\}$, or equivalently the set of column vectors $\mathcal{I}_{0,3} = \{(1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1)\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{0,3}$, is a quaternary information set for $\mathcal{H}_{0,3}$. By applying constructions (7) and (8) over $\mathcal{G}_{0,3}$, we obtain that matrices

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{1,3} &= \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathcal{G}_{0,3} & \mathcal{G}_{0,3} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{2} \end{array}
ight), \ \mathcal{G}_{0,4} &= \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathcal{G}_{0,3} & \mathcal{G}_{0,3} & \mathcal{G}_{0,3} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} \end{array}
ight), \end{aligned}$$

generate the quaternary linear Hadamard codes $\mathcal{H}_{1,3}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,4}$ of length 32 and 64, respectively. By Propositions 13, it follows that $\mathcal{I}_{0,3} \cup \{17\} = \{1, 2, 5, 17\}$ is a quaternary information set for $\mathcal{H}_{1,3}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,4}$. Despite the fact that the quaternary information set is the same for both codes $\mathcal{H}_{1,3}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,4}$, it is important to note that in terms of column vectors representing these positions, we have that $\mathcal{I}_{1,3} = \{(1,0,0,0),(1,1,0,0),(1,0,1,0),(1,0,0,2)\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{0,4} =$ $\{(1,0,0,0),(1,1,0,0),(1,0,1,0),(1,0,0,1)\}$. Finally, $I' = \Phi(\mathcal{I}_{0,3}) \cup \{33\} =$ $\{1,2,3,4,9,10,33\}$ and $I'' = \Phi(\mathcal{I}_{0,3}) \cup \{33,34\} = \{1,2,3,4,9,10,33,34\}$ are information sets for the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes $\mathcal{H}_{1,3}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,4}$, respectively. Let \mathcal{C} be a quaternary linear code of length β and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$, and let $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ be the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear code of length 2β . Let $\Phi : \operatorname{Sym}(\beta) \to \operatorname{Sym}(2\beta)$ be the map defined as

$$\Phi(\tau)(i) = \begin{cases} 2\tau(i/2), & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 2\tau((i+1)/2) - 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

for all $\tau \in \text{Sym}(\beta)$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2\beta\}$. Given a subset $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(\beta)$, we define the set $\Phi(S) = \{\Phi(\tau) : \tau \in S\} \subseteq \text{Sym}(2\beta)$. It is easy to see that if $S \subseteq \text{PAut}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{Sym}(\beta)$, then $\Phi(S) \subseteq \text{PAut}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{Sym}(2\beta)$.

Let $GL(k, \mathbb{Z}_4)$ denote the general linear group of degree k over \mathbb{Z}_4 and let \mathcal{L} be the set consisting of all matrices over \mathbb{Z}_4 of the following form:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1&\eta&2\theta\\\mathbf{0}&A&2X\\\mathbf{0}&Y&B\end{array}\right),$$

where $A \in \operatorname{GL}(\delta-1, \mathbb{Z}_4), B \in \operatorname{GL}(\gamma, \mathbb{Z}_4), X$ is a matrix over \mathbb{Z}_4 of size $(\delta-1) \times \gamma$, Y is a matrix over \mathbb{Z}_4 of size $\gamma \times (\delta-1), \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{\delta-1}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{\gamma}$.

Lemma 15. The set \mathcal{L} is a subgroup of $GL(\gamma + \delta, \mathbb{Z}_4)$.

Proof. We first need to check that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \operatorname{GL}(\gamma + \delta, \mathbb{Z}_4)$, in other words, that $\det(\mathcal{M}) \in \{1,3\}$ (that is, an unit of \mathbb{Z}_4) for all $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{L}$. Note that if $\mathcal{M}' \in \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z}_4)$, then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}' + 2\mathcal{R} \in \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z}_4)$. Thus, since $\det(\mathcal{M}') \in \{1,3\}$, we have that $\det(\mathcal{M}) \in \{1,3\}$, where

$$\mathcal{M}' = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & \eta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & Y & B \end{array}\right).$$

It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{MN} \in \mathcal{L}$ for all $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{L}$.

Let ζ be the map from \mathbb{Z}_4 to \mathbb{Z}_4 which is the usual modulo two map composed with inclusion from \mathbb{Z}_2 to \mathbb{Z}_4 , that is $\zeta(0) = \zeta(2) = 0, \zeta(1) = \zeta(3) = 1$. This map can be extended to matrices over \mathbb{Z}_4 by applying ζ to each one of their entries. Let π be the map from \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{L} defined as

$$\pi(\mathcal{M}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta & 2\theta \\ \mathbf{0} & A & 2X \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta(Y) & \zeta(B) \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $\pi(\mathcal{L}) = \{\pi(\mathcal{M}) : \mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{L}\} \subseteq \operatorname{GL}(\gamma + \delta, \mathbb{Z}_4)$. By Lemma 15, it is clear that $\pi(\mathcal{L})$ is a group with the operation * defined as $\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{N} = \pi(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})$ for all $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \pi(\mathcal{L})$. By the proof of Theorem 2 in [9], it is easy to see that the permutation automorphism group $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ is isomorphic to $\pi(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, from now on, we identify $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ with this group. Recall that we can label the *i*th coordinate position of $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ with the *i*th column vector w_i of the generator matrix $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta}$ constructed via (7) and (8), $i \in \{1,\ldots,\beta\}$. Therefore, again, any matrix $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ can be seen as a permutation of coordinate positions $\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(\beta)$, such that $\tau(i) = j$ as long as $w_j = w_i \mathcal{M}, i, j \in \{1,\ldots,\beta\}$. For any $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$, we define $\Phi(\mathcal{M}) = \Phi(\tau) \in \operatorname{Sym}(2\beta)$, and for any $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$, we consider $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) = \{\Phi(\mathcal{M}) : \mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{P}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(2\beta)$. **Lemma 16.** Let $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of length β and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$ and let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$. Then, $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$ is an s-PD-set for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ with information set $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta})$ if and only if for every s-set \mathcal{E} of column vectors of $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\delta}$ there is $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\{g\mathcal{M} : g \in \mathcal{E}\} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta} = \emptyset$.

Proof. If $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$ is an *s*-PD-set with respect to the information set $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta})$, then for every *s*-set $E \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 2\beta\}$, there is $\tau \in \mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Sym}(\beta)$ such that $\Phi(\tau)(E) \cap$ $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta}) = \emptyset$. For every *s*-set $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \beta\}$, let $E_o = \{2i - 1 : i \in \mathcal{E}\}$. We know that there is $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\Phi(\tau)(E_o) \cap \Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta}) = \emptyset$. By the definition of Φ , we also have that $\tau(\mathcal{E}) \cap \mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta} = \emptyset$, which is equivalent to the statement.

Conversely, we assume that for every s-set $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \beta\}$, there is $\tau \in \mathcal{P} \subseteq$ Sym (β) such that $\tau(\mathcal{E}) \cap \mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta} = \emptyset$. For every s-set $E \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 2\beta\}$, let \mathcal{E}_o be an s-set such that $\{i : \varphi_1(i) \in E \text{ or } \varphi_2(i) \in E\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_o$, where $\varphi_1(i) = 2i - 1$ and $\varphi_2(i) = 2i$. Since there is $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\tau(\mathcal{E}_o) \cap \mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta} = \emptyset$, we have that $\Phi(\tau)(E) \cap \Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta}) = \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ and let m_i be the *i*th row of \mathcal{M} , $i \in \{1, \ldots, \delta + \gamma\}$. We define \mathcal{M}^* as the matrix where the first row is m_1 and the *i*th row is $m_1 + m_i$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, \delta\}$ and $m_1 + 2m_i$ for $i \in \{\delta + 1, \ldots, \delta + \gamma\}$.

Theorem 17. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_s = \{\mathcal{M}_i : 0 \leq i \leq s\}$ be a set of s + 1 matrices in $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$. Then, $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_s)$ is an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ with information set $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta})$ if and only if no two matrices $(\mathcal{M}_i^{-1})^*$ and $(\mathcal{M}_j^{-1})^*$ for $i \neq j$ have a row in common.

Proof. By Lemma 16 and following a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 5. $\hfill \Box$

Corollary 18. Let \mathcal{P}_s be a set of s + 1 matrices in $\text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$. If $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_s)$ is an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for $H_{\gamma,\delta}$, then any ordering of elements in $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_s)$ provides nested k-PD-sets for $k \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Corollary 19. Let \mathcal{P}_s be a set of s + 1 matrices in $\text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$. If $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_s)$ is an *s*-PD-set of size s + 1 for $H_{\gamma,\delta}$, then $s \leq f_{\gamma,\delta}$, where

$$f_{\gamma,\delta} = \left\lfloor \frac{2^{\gamma+2\delta-2}-\gamma-\delta}{\gamma+\delta}
ight
floor.$$

Proof. Following the condition on sets of matrices to be s-PD-sets of size s + 1, given by Theorem 17, we have to obtain certain s + 1 matrices with no rows in common. Since the rows of length $\delta + \gamma$ must have 1 in the first coordinate, and elements from $\{0, 2\}$ in the last γ coordinates, the number of possible rows is $4^{\delta-1}2^{\gamma} = 2^{\gamma+2\delta-2}$. Thus, taking this fact into account and counting the number of rows of each one of these s+1 matrices, we have that $(s+1)(\gamma+\delta) \leq 2^{\gamma+2\delta-2}$, and the result follows.

We give now an explicit construction of an $f_{0,\delta}$ -PD-set of size $f_{0,\delta} + 1$ for $H_{0,\delta}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{GR}(4^{\delta-1})$ be the Galois extension of dimension $\delta - 1$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 . It is known that \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(h(x))$, where h(x) is a monic basic irreducible polynomial of degree $\delta - 1$. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ be a primitive polynomial of degree $\delta - 1$. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ be a primitive basic irreducible polynomial h(x) dividing $x^{\ell} - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$,

where α is a root of h(x). It is well known that any $r \in \mathcal{R}$ can be written uniquely as r = a + 2b, where $a, b \in \mathcal{T}$. We take \mathcal{R} as the following ordered set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= \{r_1, \dots, r_{4^{\delta-1}}\} \\ &= \{0+2 \cdot 0, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}+2 \cdot 0, \dots, 0+2 \cdot \alpha^{\ell-1}, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}+2 \cdot \alpha^{\ell-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\mathcal{R}|/\delta = f_{0,\delta} + 1$, we can form $f_{0,\delta} + 1$ disjoints sets of \mathcal{R} of size δ . For all $i \in \{0, \ldots, f_{0,\delta}\}$, we consider the $\delta \times \delta$ quaternary matrix

$$\mathcal{N}_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r_{\delta i+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & r_{\delta(i+1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 20. Let $\mathcal{P}_{f_{0,\delta}} = \{\mathcal{M}_i : 0 \leq i \leq f_{0,\delta}\}$, where $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{N}_i^{-1}$. Then, $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{f_{0,\delta}})$ is an $f_{0,\delta}$ -PD-set of size $f_{0,\delta} + 1$ for the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code $H_{0,\delta}$ of length $2^{2\delta-1}$.

Proof. We need to prove that $r_{\delta i+2} - r_{\delta i+1}, \ldots, r_{\delta(i+1)} - r_{\delta i+1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_4 , for all $i \in \{0, \ldots, f_{0,\delta}\}$, to guarantee that $\mathcal{N}_i \in \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{0,\delta})$. Note that these vectors are not zero divisors [7]. Since $\alpha^{\ell} = 1$, $\{r_{\delta i+2} - r_{\delta i+1}, \ldots, r_{\delta(i+1)} - r_{\delta i+1}\}$ is one of the following three sets:

$$L_{1} = \{1, \dots, \alpha^{\delta-2}\}, L_{2} = \{\alpha^{k+1} - \alpha^{k}, \dots, \alpha^{k+\delta-1} - \alpha^{k}\}, \text{ for some } k \in \{0, \dots, \ell-1\}, L_{3} = \{\alpha^{k+1} - \alpha^{k}, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1} - \alpha^{k}, -\alpha^{k} + 2(b_{j} - b_{i}), \alpha^{\ell} - \alpha^{k} + 2(b_{j} - b_{i}), \dots, \alpha^{k+\delta-2} - \alpha^{k} + 2(b_{j} - b_{i})\}, \text{ for some } b_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } k \in \{0, \dots, \ell-1\}.$$

Elements in L_1 are clearly linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Now we prove that the same property is satisfied in L_2 . Assume on the contrary that there are some $\lambda_i \neq 0, i \in \{1, \ldots, \delta - 1\}$, such that $\sum \lambda_i (\alpha^{k+i} - \alpha^k) = 0$. If $\lambda_i \in \{1, 3\}$ for at least one $i \in \{1, \ldots, \delta - 1\}$, we get a contradiction. Indeed, if we take modulo 2 in the previous linear combination, we obtain that $\sum \overline{\lambda}_i(\overline{\alpha}^{k+i} - \overline{\alpha}^k) = 0$, where $\overline{\lambda}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and at least one $\overline{\lambda}_i \neq 0$. This is a contradiction by Lemma 6. On the other hand, if $\lambda_i \in \{0, 2\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, \delta - 1\}$ and there is at least one $\lambda_i = 2$, then $\sum 2\lambda'_i(\alpha^{k+i} - \alpha^k) = 2[\sum \lambda'_i(\alpha^{k+i} - \alpha^k)] = 0$, where $\lambda'_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and at least one $\lambda'_i = 1$. Hence, $\sum \lambda'_i(\alpha^{k+i} - \alpha^k) = 2\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$, that is, it is a zero divisor. By taking modulo 2, we obtain a contradiction again by Lemma 6.

We show that elements in $L_3 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{\delta-1}\}$ are also linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_4 by using a slight modification of the previous argument. Suppose that there is at least one $\lambda_i \neq 0$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, \delta-1\}$, such that $\sum \lambda_i v_i = 0$. By taking modulo 2, we obtain that $\bar{\lambda}_{\delta-1}\bar{\alpha}^k + \sum \bar{\lambda}_i(\bar{\alpha}^{k+i} - \bar{\alpha}^k) = \bar{\alpha}^k[\bar{\lambda}_{\delta-1} + \sum \bar{\lambda}_i(\bar{\alpha}^i - 1)] = 0$. Since $\bar{\alpha}^k$ is a unit, it follows that $\bar{\lambda}_{\delta-1} + \sum \bar{\lambda}_i(\bar{\alpha}^i - 1) = 0$, which gives a contradiction if $\lambda_i \in \{1, 3\}$ for at least one index, since $1, \bar{\alpha} - 1, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}^{\delta-2} - 1$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Note that the binary matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathrm{Id}_{m-1} \end{array}\right),$$

has determinant 1. If $\lambda_i \in \{0, 2\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \delta - 1\}$, we get a contradiction by applying a similar argument to the one used above.

Finally, by construction, matrices \mathcal{N}_i^* have no rows in common, since their rows are different elements of \mathcal{R} . By Theorem 17, the result follows.

Example 21. Let $\mathcal{H}_{0,3}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of length 16 and type 2^04^3 . Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(h(x))$, where $h(x) = x^2 + x + 1$. Note that h(x)is a primitive basic irreducible polynomial dividing $x^3 - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Let α be a root of h(x). Then, $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$ and elements in \mathcal{R} are ordered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= \{ r_1, \dots, r_{16} \} \\ &= \{ 0, 1, \alpha, 3 + 3\alpha, 2, 3, 2 + \alpha, 1 + 3\alpha, 2\alpha, 1 + 2\alpha, \\ &\quad 3\alpha, 3 + \alpha, 2 + 2\alpha, 3 + 2\alpha, 2 + 3\alpha, 1 + \alpha \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that matrices $\mathcal{N}_0^* = \mathrm{Id}_3^*$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{1}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{2}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{3}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{4}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

have no rows in common. Let $\mathcal{P}_4 = \{\mathcal{N}_0^{-1}, \mathcal{N}_1^{-1}, \mathcal{N}_2^{-1}, \mathcal{N}_3^{-1}, \mathcal{N}_4^{-1}\}$, where $\mathcal{N}_0 = Id_3$,

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The set $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_4)$ is a 4-PD-set of size 5 for $H_{0,3}$. Note that the bound $f_5 = 4$ is attained for $H_{0,3}$ despite the search of the 4-PD-set is done in the subgroup $\Phi(\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{0,3})) \leq \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{0,3})$, since $f_{0,3} = f_5 = 4$.

We have that the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code of length 2^m with $\delta = 1$ or $\delta = 2$ is equivalent to the binary linear Hadamard code of length 2^m [10]. However, the technique explained for binary linear Hadamard codes in Section 3 provides better results (in terms of s) that the one explained for \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes when applied for linear codes, since $f_{\gamma,\delta} \leq f_m$, where $m = \gamma + 2\delta - 1$.

Example 22. We have provided a 2-PD-set of size 3 for the binary linear Hadamard code H_4 of length 16 in Example 8. The code H_4 is equivalent to both \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes $H_{1,2}$ and $H_{3,1}$. However, a 2-PD-set of size 3 is not achievable for H_4 by using Theorem 17, since $f_{1,2} = f_{3,1} = 1$.

Example 23. The binary linear Hadamard code H_5 of length 32 admits a 4-PD-set of size 5 by Theorem 5, since $f_5 = 4$. Considering H_5 as the Gray map image of the quaternary linear Hadamard code $\mathcal{H}_{2,2}$ or $\mathcal{H}_{4,1}$, no more than a 3-PD-set of size 4 can be found by using Theorem 17, since $f_{4,1} = 2$ and $f_{2,2} = 3$.

6 Recursive construction of *s*-PD-sets for \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes

In this section, given an s-PD-set of size l for the \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code $H_{\gamma,\delta}$ of length 2^m and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$, where $m = \gamma + 2\delta - 1$ and $l \ge s + 1$, we show how to construct recursively an s-PD-set of the same size for $H_{\gamma+i,\delta+j}$ of length 2^{m+i+2j} and type $2^{\gamma+i}4^{\delta+j}$ for all $i, j \ge 0$.

We first provide a recursive construction considering the elements of $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ as matrices in $\operatorname{GL}(\gamma + \delta, \mathbb{Z}_4)$. This construction can be seen as a natural generalization of the technique introduced for binary linear Hadamard codes in Section 4. Given a matrix $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ and an integer $\kappa \geq 1$, we define

$$\mathcal{M}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta & \mathbf{0} & 2\theta \\ \mathbf{0} & A & \mathbf{0} & 2X \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathrm{Id}_{\kappa} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta(Y) & \mathbf{0} & \zeta(B) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

Proposition 24. Let $\mathcal{P}_s = {\mathcal{M}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_s} \subseteq \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_s)$ is an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}$ with information set $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma,\delta})$. Then, $\mathcal{Q}_s = {(\mathcal{M}_0^{-1}(\kappa))^{-1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_s^{-1}(\kappa))^{-1}} \subseteq \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+i,\delta+j})$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_s)$ is an s-PD-set of size s + 1 for $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+i,\delta+j}$ with information set $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_{\gamma+i,\delta+j})$, for any $i, j \ge 0$ such that $i + j = \kappa \ge 1$.

Proof. Note that if $\mathcal{M} \in \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$, construction (9) provides an element $\mathcal{M}(\kappa) \in \text{GL}(\gamma + \delta + \kappa, \mathbb{Z}_4)$. Taking this into account, together with the fact that Id_{κ} can split as

$$\mathrm{Id}_{\kappa} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Id}_{j} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathrm{Id}_{i} \end{array} \right),$$

where $i + j = \kappa \geq 1$, it is obvious that $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\kappa) \in \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+i,\delta+j})$ and so its inverse. Thus, $\mathcal{Q}_s \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+i,\delta+j})$. Finally, repeated rows in matrices $(\mathcal{M}_0^{-1}(\kappa))^*, \ldots, (\mathcal{M}_s^{-1}(\kappa))^*$ cannot occur, since this fact implies repeated rows in matrices $(\mathcal{M}_0^{-1})^*, \ldots, (\mathcal{M}_s^{-1})^*$ by construction (9). The result follows from Theorem 17.

Example 25. Let $\mathcal{P}_4 = \{\mathcal{M}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_4\} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{0,3})$ be the set, given in Example 21, such that $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_4)$ is a 4-PD-set of size 5 for $\mathcal{H}_{0,3}$. By Proposition 24, the set $\mathcal{Q}_4 = \{\mathcal{M}_i^{-1}(1)\}^{-1}$: $0 \leq i \leq 4\}$ is contained in both $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{1,3})$ and $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{0,4})$. Moreover, $\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_4)$ is a 4-PD-set of size 5 for $\mathcal{H}_{1,3}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,4}$. Nevertheless, it is important to note that the construction of $(\mathcal{M}_i^{-1}(1))^*$ depends on the group where $\mathcal{M}_i^{-1}(1)$ is considered.

As for binary linear Hadamard codes, a second recursive construction considering the elements of $\operatorname{PAut}(H_{\gamma,\delta})$ as permutations of coordinate positions, that is as elements of $\operatorname{Sym}(2^m)$, can also be provided. Given four permutations $\sigma_i \in \operatorname{Sym}(n_i), i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$, we define $(\sigma_1 | \sigma_2 | \sigma_3 | \sigma_4) \in \operatorname{Sym}(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4)$ in the same way as we defined $(\sigma_1 | \sigma_2) \in \operatorname{Sym}(n_1 + n_2)$ in Section 4.

Proposition 26. Let S be an s-PD-set of size l for $H_{\gamma,\delta}$ of length n and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$ with information set I. Then, $(S|S) = \{(\sigma|\sigma) : \sigma \in S\}$ is an s-PD-set of size l for $H_{\gamma+1,\delta}$ of length 2n and type $2^{\gamma+1}4^{\delta}$ constructed from (7) and the Gray map, with any information set $I' = I \cup \{i + n\}, i \in I$.

Proof. Since $H_{\gamma+1,\delta} = \{(x,x), (x,\bar{x}) : x \in H_{\gamma,\delta}\}$, where \bar{x} is the complementary vector of x, the result follows using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 12. By the proof of Proposition 13, we can add any of the coordinate positions of $\{i+n : i \in I\}$ to I in order to form a suitable information set I' for $H_{\gamma+1,\delta}$.

Proposition 26 cannot be generalized directly for \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes $H_{\gamma,\delta+1}$ constructed from (8) and the Gray map. Note that if S is an s-PD-set for $H_{\gamma,\delta}$, then $(S|S|S|S) = \{(\sigma|\sigma|\sigma|\sigma) : \sigma \in S\}$ is not always an s-PD-set for $H_{\gamma,\delta+1}$, since in general $(\sigma|\sigma|\sigma|\sigma) \notin \text{PAut}(H_{\gamma,\delta})$. For example, $\sigma = (1,5)(2,8,3,6,4,7) \in \text{PAut}(H_{0,2}) \subseteq \text{Sym}(8)$, but $\pi = (\sigma|\sigma|\sigma|\sigma) \notin \text{PAut}(H_{0,3}) \subseteq \text{Sym}(32)$, since $\pi(\Phi((0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2,3,3,3,3))) = \Phi((0,0,0,0,0,2,0,2,2,2,2,2,2,0,2,0)) \notin H_{0,3}$.

Proposition 27. Let $S \subseteq \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ such that $\Phi(S)$ is an s-PD-set of size l for $H_{\gamma,\delta}$ of length n and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$ with information set I. Then, $\Phi((S|S|S|S)) = \{\Phi((\tau|\tau|\tau|\tau)) : \tau \in S\}$ is an s-PD-set of size l for $H_{\gamma,\delta+1}$ of length 4n and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta+1}$ constructed from (8) and the Gray map, with any information set $I'' = I \cup \{i + n, j + n\}, i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1}$ is constructed from (8), $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1} = \{(u, u, u, u), (u, u+1, u+2, u+3), (u, u+2, u, u+2), (u, u+3, u, u+1) : u \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta}\}$. It is easy to see that if $\tau \in \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$, then $(\tau | \tau | \tau | \tau) \in \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta+1})$.

Let $\sigma = \Phi(\tau)$. Finally, we need to prove that for every $e \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{4n}$ with $\operatorname{wt}(e) \leq s$, there is $(\sigma|\sigma|\sigma|\sigma) \in \Phi((\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{S}))$ such that $(\sigma|\sigma|\sigma|\sigma)(e)_{I''} = \mathbf{0}$, where $I'' \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 4n\}$ is an information set for $H_{\gamma,\delta+1}$ with $\gamma+2(\delta+1)$ coordinate positions. Using a similar argument to that given in the proofs of Propositions 12 and 26, the result follows. Moreover, by the proof of Proposition 13, any $I'' = I \cup \{i+n, j+n\}$ with $i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j$ is a suitable information set for $H_{\gamma,\delta+1}$.

Propositions 26 and 27 can be applied recursively to acquire *s*-PD-sets for any \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes obtained (by using constructions (7) and (8)) from a given \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code where we already have such set. With this aim in mind, let denote by 2S the set (S|S) and by $2^i S = 2(2(.i).(2S))$.

Corollary 28. Let $S \subseteq \text{PAut}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\delta})$ such that $\Phi(S)$ is an s-PD-set of size l for $H_{\gamma,\delta}$ of length 2^m and type $2^{\gamma}4^{\delta}$ with information set I. Then, $\Phi(2^{i+2j}S)$ is an s-PD-set of size l for $H_{\gamma+i,\delta+j}$ of length 2^{m+i+2j} and type $2^{\gamma+i}4^{\delta+j}$ with information set obtained by applying recursively Proposition 13, for all $i, j \geq 0$.

Proof. The result comes trivially by applying Propositions 13, 26 and 27. \Box

Note that, from Theorem 20 and Proposition 26, we have explicitly provided an $f_{0,\delta}$ -PD-set of size $f_{0,\delta}+1$ for each nonlinear \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard code $H_{\gamma,\delta}$, $\gamma \geq 0, \delta \geq 3$.

7 Conclusions

An alternative permutation decoding method that can be applied to $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear codes [3], which include \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear codes, was presented in [2]. However, it remained as an open question to determine PD-sets for some families of $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear codes. In this paper, the problem of finding *s*-PD-sets of minimum size s+1 for binary linear and \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes is addressed by finding s+1invertible matrices over \mathbb{Z}_2 or \mathbb{Z}_4 , respectively, which satisfy certain conditions. Moreover, note that the first examples and constructions of (nonlinear) \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear Hadamard codes are provided. This approach establishes equivalent results to the ones obtained for simplex codes in [4]. As a future research in this topic, it would be interesting to provide explicitly an $f_{\gamma,\delta}$ -PD-set of size $f_{\gamma,\delta} + 1$ for $H_{\gamma,\delta}$, s-PD-sets of minimum size for $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear Hadamard codes in general [13], or other families of \mathbb{Z}_4 -linear codes such as Kerdock codes [7].

References

- R. Barrolleta and M. Villanueva, "Partial permutation decoding for binary linear Hadamard codes," *Electron. Note Discr. Math.* 46, 35–42 (2014).
- [2] J. J. Bernal, J. Borges, C. Fernández-Córboda, and M. Villanueva, "Permutation decoding of Z₂Z₄-linear codes," *Des. Codes and Cryptogr.* 76(2), 269–277 (2015).
- [3] J. Borges, C. Fernández-Córdoba, J. Pujol, J. Rifà, and M. Villanueva, "Z₂Z₄-linear codes: generator matrices and duality," *Des. Codes and Cryp*togr. 54, 167–179 (2010).
- [4] W. Fish, J. D. Key, and E. Mwambene, "Partial permutation decoding for simplex codes," Adv. Math. Commun. 6(4), 505–516 (2012).
- [5] D. M. Gordon, "Minimal permutation sets for decoding the binary Golay codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 28(3), 541–543 (1982).
- [6] W. C. Huffman, Codes and groups, Handbook of coding theory, eds. V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman, Elsevier (1998).
- [7] A. R. Hammons, Jr, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé, "The Z₄-linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 40(2), 301–319, (1994).
- [8] H.-J. Kroll and R. Vicenti, "PD-sets for binary RM-codes and the codes related to the Klein quadric and to the Schubert variety of PG(5,2)," *Discrete Math.* **308**, 408–414, (2008).
- [9] D. S. Krotov and M. Villanueva "Classification of the Z₂Z₄-linear Hadamard codes and their automorphism groups," *IEEE Trans. Inf. The*ory **61**(2), 887–894 (2015).
- [10] D. S. Krotov, "Z₄-linear Hadamard and extended perfect codes," *Electron. Note Discr. Math.* 6, 107-112 (2001).
- [11] F. J. MacWilliams, "Permutation decoding of systematics codes," Bell System Tech. J. 43, 485–505 (1964).
- [12] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, North-Holland Publishing Company (1977).
- [13] K.T. Phelps, J. Rifà, and M. Villanueva, "On the additive Z₄-linear and non-Z₄-linear Hadamard codes. Rank and Kernel," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory* 52(1), 316–319 (2005).

- [14] J. Pernas, J. Pujol, and M. Villanueva, "Characterization of the automorphism group of quaternary linear Hadamard codes," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 70(1-2), 105–115 (2014).
- [15] P. Seneviratne, "Partial permutation decoding for the first-order Reed-Muller codes," *Discrete Math.* **309**(8), 1967–1970 (2009).
- [16] J. Wolfmann, "A permutation decoding of the (24,12,9) Golay code," IEEE Trans. on Information Theory 29(5), 748–750 (1983).