

# Optimal insider control and semimartingale decompositions under enlargement of filtration

Olfa Draouil<sup>1</sup> and Bernt Øksendal<sup>2,3</sup>

6 December 2015

## Abstract

We combine stochastic control methods, white noise analysis and Hida-Malliavin calculus applied to the Donsker delta functional to obtain new representations of semimartingale decompositions under enlargement of filtrations. The results are illustrated by explicit examples.

**Keywords:** Enlargement of filtration, Semimartingale decomposition, Optimal inside information control, Hida-Malliavin calculus, Donsker delta functional, Anticipative stochastic calculus.

**MSC(2010):** 60H40, 60H07, 60H05, 60J75, 60G48, 91G80, 93E20

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to obtain new enlargement of filtration formulas, i.e., expressions for the semimartingale decomposition of an Itô-Lévy process with respect to a filtration  $\mathbb{H}$  which is bigger than the natural filtration  $\mathbb{F}$  of the process, and yet under which the process is still a semimartingale. We obtain these results by solving a specific type of stochastic control problem in 3 different ways:

- By using semimartingale calculus within the filtration  $\mathbb{H}$ ,
- by using forward integrals, compensators and Hida-Malliavin calculus,

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia. Email: [olfadraouil@hotmail.fr](mailto:olfadraouil@hotmail.fr)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway. Email: [oksendal@math.uio.no](mailto:oksendal@math.uio.no)

<sup>3</sup>This research was carried out with support of CAS - Centre for Advanced Study, at the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters, within the research program SEFE.

- by using forward integrals, white noise calculus and the Donsker delta functional approach.

Our formulas are all expressed in terms of the conditional Donsker delta functional and its Hida-Malliavin derivative.

The system we consider, is described by a stochastic differential equation driven by a Brownian motion  $B(t)$  and an independent compensated Poisson random measure  $\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta)$ , jointly defined on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$  satisfying the usual conditions where  $\Omega = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  is the Gaussian measure on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ . We assume that the inside information is of *initial enlargement* type. Specifically, we assume that the inside filtration  $\mathbb{H}$  has the form

$$\mathbb{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \text{ where } \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee Y \quad (1.1) \quad \{\text{eq1.1}\}$$

for all  $t$ , where  $Y \in L^2(\mathbf{P})$  is a given  $\mathcal{F}_{T_0}$ -measurable random variable, for some  $T_0 > T$  (both constants). We also assume that  $Y$  has a Donsker delta functional  $\delta_Y(y) \in (\mathcal{S})^*$ . Here and in the following we choose the right-continuous version of  $\mathbb{H}$ , i.e. we put  $\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}_{t+} = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{H}_s$ .

We first present some details on the Donsker delta functional (see e.g. [DØ1] for details):

**Definition 1.1** *Let  $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable which also belongs to the Hida space  $(\mathcal{S})^*$  of stochastic distributions. Then a continuous functional*

$$\delta_Y(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S})^* \quad (1.2) \quad \{\text{donsker}\}$$

*is called a Donsker delta functional of  $Y$  if it has the property that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \delta_Y(y) dy = g(Y) \quad a.s. \quad (1.3) \quad \{\text{donsker pr}\}$$

*for all (measurable)  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the integral converges.*

The Donsker delta functional  $\delta_Y(y)$  of a given real random variable  $Y$  is related to the *regular conditional distribution* with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_t$  (see below for definitions) of  $Y$ , denoted by  $Q_t(dy) = Q_t(\omega, dy)$ , which is defined by the following properties (see e.g. [P]):

- For any Borel set  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Q_t(\cdot, \Lambda)$  is a version of  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{Y \in \Lambda} | \mathcal{F}_t]$ .
- For each fixed  $\omega$ ,  $Q_t(\omega, dy)$  is a probability measure on the Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

It is known that a regular conditional distribution always exists. See e. g. [B], page 79. From the required properties of  $Q_t(\omega, dy)$  it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) Q_t(\omega, dy) = \mathbb{E}[g(Y) | \mathcal{F}_t]; \text{ for all bounded measurable functions } g. \quad (1.4)$$

If we compare this with the definition of the Donsker delta functional, we obtain the following representation of the regular conditional distribution:

**Proposition 1.2** *Suppose  $Q_t(\omega, dy)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the Donsker delta functional of  $Y$ ,  $\delta_Y(y)$ , exists in  $(\mathcal{S})^*$  and we have*

$$\frac{Q_t(\omega, dy)}{dy} = \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (1.5)$$

The advantages with working with the Donsker delta functional, rather than the regular conditional distribution, include the following (see e.g. [DØ1] for details and examples):

- Using white noise theory and Wick calculus one can obtain explicit formulas for the Donsker delta functional as an element of the Hida stochastic distribution space  $(\mathcal{S})^*$ .
- The Malliavin derivative has a natural extension to the *Hida-Malliavin derivative* on  $(\mathcal{S})^*$ , and combining this extension with white noise theory and Wick calculus one can compute the Hida-Malliavin derivative of  $\delta_Y(y)$  as an element of  $(\mathcal{S})^*$ .
- Taking condition expectation typically brings us back to  $L^2(\mathbf{P})$ . See Example 2.2, Example 3.2 and Example 4.1, with corollaries.

The semimartingale decomposition problem we consider, is the following:

**Problem 1.3** *Let  $X(t); 0 \leq t \leq T$  be a given  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted process. Suppose  $X$  is a semimartingale with respect to  $\mathbb{H}$ . Find an integrable  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted process  $\alpha(s)$  (sometimes called the information drift) such that*

$$X(t) = M(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds; \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.6)$$

for some  $\mathbb{H}$ -local martingale  $M$ .

As mentioned above, we will approach this problem by solving a specific type of insider stochastic control problems by several different methods, and then combining the solutions. One of the methods is based on the Donsker delta functional, as described in [DØ1]. We summarize this method as follows:

We assume that the value at time  $t$  of our insider control process  $u(t)$  is allowed to depend on both  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . In other words,  $u$  is assumed to be  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted. Therefore it has the form

$$u(t, \omega) = u_1(t, Y, \omega) \quad (1.7) \quad \{\text{eq1.2}\}$$

for some function  $u_1 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u_1(t, y)$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . For simplicity (albeit with some abuse of notation) we will in the following write  $u$  in stead of  $u_1$ . Consider a controlled stochastic process  $X(t) = X^u(t)$  of the form

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = b(t, X(t), u(t), Y)dt + \sigma(t, X(t), u(t), Y)dB(t) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(t, X(t), u(t), Y, \zeta) \tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta); \quad t \geq 0 \\ X(0) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.8) \quad \{\text{eq1.3}\}$$

where  $u(t) = u(t, y)_{y=Y}$  is our insider control and the (anticipating) stochastic integrals are interpreted as *forward integrals*. We assume that the functions

$$\begin{aligned} b(t, x, u, y) &= b(t, x, u, y, \omega) : [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \\ \sigma(t, x, u, y) &= \sigma(t, x, u, y, \omega) : [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \\ \gamma(t, x, u, y, \zeta) &= \gamma(t, x, u, y, \zeta, \omega) : [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

are given bounded  $C^1$  functions with respect to  $x$  and  $u$  and adapted processes in  $(t, \omega)$  for each given  $x, y, u, \zeta$ , and that the forward integrals are well-defined. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a given family of admissible  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted controls  $u$ . The *performance functional*  $J(u)$  of a control process  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$J(u) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(t, X(t), u(t), Y)dt + g(X(T), Y)\right], \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, u, y) &: [0; T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \\ g(x, y) &: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

are given bounded functions,  $C^1$  with respect to  $x$  and  $u$ .

We consider the problem to find  $u^* \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} J(u) = J(u^*). \tag{1.12} \quad \{\text{eq1.5}\}$$

We use the Donsker delta functional of  $Y$  to transform this anticipating system into a classical (albeit parametrised) adapted system with a non-classical performance functional. Then we solve this transformed system by using modified maximum principles.

The results obtained in this paper are related to results presented in several earlier papers. We mention in particular [JY], [P], [A], [AIS], [J], [MY], [JP] and [AZ]. But our method is different from the methods used in these papers, and it gives new, and in some cases more explicit, representations. Perhaps the paper which has results closest to ours, is [A]. For example, Theorem 2.4 in [A] corresponds to our Theorem 4.3, and Theorem 2.6 in [A] corresponds to our Theorem 4.2.

We refer to [ØS] for an introduction to stochastic calculus and control of Itô-Lévy processes.

### Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Monique Jeanblanc for helpful comments.

## 2 The $\mathbb{H}$ -semimartingale decomposition of Brownian motion

Consider a controlled Itô diffusion  $X_1(t) = X_1^u(t)$

$$\begin{cases} dX_1(t) = X_1(t)u(t)[b(t)dt + \sigma(t)dB(t)]; & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ X_1(0) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1) \quad \{\text{eq2.1}\}$$

where  $b(t), \sigma(t)$  are given  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted processes,  $\sigma(t) > 0$  for all  $t$ . Here  $u$  is our control process, which is allowed to be  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted, where  $\mathbb{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ , with

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee Y, \quad (2.2)$$

and  $Y$  is a given  $\mathcal{F}_{T_0}$ -measurable random variable, for some  $T_0 > T$ , both given constants. Here and in the following we choose the right-continuous version of  $\mathbb{H}$ , i.e. we put  $\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{H}_{t^+} = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{H}_s$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}$  be a given family of admissible  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted controls  $u$ .

**Problem 2.1** *We study the problem to find  $u_1^* \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}$  such that*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}} \mathbb{E}[\ln(X_1^u(T))] = \mathbb{E}[\ln(X_1^{u_1^*}(T))] \quad (2.3) \quad \{\text{eq2.3}\}$$

We will solve this problem by two different methods:

### 2.1 Method 1: Using enlargement of filtration

Suppose that  $B(t)$  is a semimartingale with respect to  $\mathbb{H}$ , with semimartingale decomposition

$$B(t) = \hat{B}(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s)ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (2.4) \quad \{\text{eq2.4}\}$$

for some  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted process  $\alpha(\cdot)$ , where  $\hat{B}(t)$  is a Brownian motion with respect to  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Example 2.1** *For example, if  $Y = B(T_0)$ , then it is well-known (see e.g. [J], Prop. 2.2.2) that*

$$B(t) = \hat{B}(t) + \int_0^t \frac{B(T_0) - B(s)}{T_0 - s} ds; \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (2.5)$$

*and hence in this case we have*

$$\alpha(s) = \frac{B(T_0) - B(s)}{T_0 - s}. \quad (2.6) \quad \{\text{eq2.6}\}$$

In general, if (2.4) holds, then we can write (2.1) as

$$\begin{cases} dX_1(t) = X_1(t)u(t)[\{b(t) + \sigma(t)\alpha(t)\}dt + \sigma(t)d\hat{B}(t)]; & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ X_1(0) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

This is a well-defined classical SDE in the context of the  $\mathbb{H}$ -filtration, and we can apply classical stochastic control theory to solve the problem (2.3). Doing this we find the well-known result that the optimal portfolio  $u^*$  is given by

$$u^*(t) = u_1^*(t) = \frac{b(t) + \sigma(t)\alpha(t)}{\sigma^2(t)} = \frac{b(t)}{\sigma^2(t)} + \frac{\alpha(t)}{\sigma(t)} \quad (2.8) \quad \{\text{eq2.8}\}$$

## 2.2 Method 2: The Donsker delta functional approach.

For this approach we do not need assumption (2.4). In stead, we assume that  $Y \in (\mathcal{S})^*$  and that the Donsker delta functional of  $Y$ ,  $\delta_Y(\cdot) \in (\mathcal{S})^*$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathbf{L}^2(m \times \mathbf{P}) \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathbf{L}^2(m \times \mathbf{P}), \quad (2.9) \quad \{\text{eq2.9}\}$$

where  $D_t$  denotes the Hida-Malliavin derivative at  $t$  with respect to  $B(\cdot)$  and  $m$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then by interpreting the SDE in (2.1) as a forward integral equation, it is shown in [DØ1] that the optimal control  $u^*$  is given by:

$$u^*(t) = u_1^*(t) = \frac{b(t)}{\sigma^2(t)} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}}{\sigma(t)\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}}. \quad (2.10) \quad \{\text{eq2.10}\}$$

Comparing (2.8) and (2.10) we get the following result:

**Theorem 2.2** *Suppose (2.4) and (2.9) hold. Then the  $\mathbb{H}$ -semimartingale decomposition of  $B(\cdot)$  is*

$$B(t) = \hat{B}(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s)ds \quad (2.11) \quad \{\text{eq2.11}\}$$

where

$$\alpha(s) = \Phi(s) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_s\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s]_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s]_{y=Y}}. \quad (2.12) \quad \{\text{eq2.12}\}$$

Let  $P_t(\omega, dy)$  be the conditional density of  $Y$  and suppose that the Jacod condition holds, i.e.

$$P_t(\omega, dy) \ll \nu(dy), \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (2.13) \quad \{\text{eq2.13}\}$$

where  $\nu$  is the law of  $Y$ . Then it is known that (see e.g. Proposition 2.3.2 in [J])

$$B(t) = \hat{B}(t) + \int_0^t \frac{d\langle P(\cdot, Y), B \rangle_s}{P_{s^-}(Y)}. \quad (2.14) \quad \{\text{eq2.14}\}$$

Comparing (2.12) and (2.14) we get

$$\alpha(t)dt = \frac{d\langle P_\cdot(Y), B \rangle_t}{P_{t^-}(Y)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_t \delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}} dt. \quad (2.15) \quad \{\text{eq2.15}\}$$

The point is that the last term in (2.15) may be easier to compute than the term before. For some explicit computations of the Donsker delta functional and its Hida-Malliavin derivative we refer to [DØ1]. Here is an example:

**Example 2.2** *Suppose*

$$Y = Y(T_0); \text{ where } Y(t) = \int_0^t \beta(s) dB(s), \text{ for } t \in [0, T_0] \quad (2.16) \quad \{\text{eq5.47}\}$$

for some deterministic function  $\beta \in \mathbf{L}^2[0, T_0]$  with

$$\|\beta\|_{[t, T]}^2 := \int_t^T \beta(s)^2 ds > 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.17)$$

In this case we know that the Donsker delta functional is given by

$$\delta_Y(y) = (2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^\diamond \left[ -\frac{(Y - y)^{\circ 2}}{2v} \right] \quad (2.18)$$

where we have put  $v := \|\beta\|_{[0, T_0]}^2$ . See e.g. [AaØU], Proposition 3.2. Using the Wick rule when taking conditional expectation, using the martingale property of the process  $Y(t)$  and applying Lemma 3.7 in [AaØU] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] &= (2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^\diamond \left[ -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{(Y(T_0) - y)^{\circ 2}}{2v} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right] \\ &= (2\pi \|\beta\|_{[0, T_0]}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^\diamond \left[ -\frac{(Y(t) - y)^{\circ 2}}{2\|\beta\|_{[0, T_0]}^2} \right] \\ &= (2\pi \|\beta\|_{[t, T_0]}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(Y(t) - y)^2}{2\|\beta\|_{[t, T_0]}^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Similarly, by the Wick chain rule and Lemma 3.8 in [AaØU] we get, for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[D_t \delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] &= -\mathbb{E} \left[ (2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^\diamond \left[ -\frac{(Y(T_0) - y)^{\circ 2}}{2v} \right] \diamond \frac{Y(T_0) - y}{v} \beta(t) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= -(2\pi v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp^\diamond \left[ -\frac{(Y(t) - y)^{\circ 2}}{2v} \right] \diamond \frac{Y(t) - y}{v} \beta(t) \\ &= -(2\pi \|\beta\|_{[t, T_0]}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(Y(t) - y)^2}{2\|\beta\|_{[t, T_0]}^2} \right] \frac{Y(t) - y}{\|\beta\|_{[t, T_0]}^2} \beta(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

We conclude that in this case we have, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\alpha(s) = \Phi(s) = \frac{Y(T_0) - Y(s)}{\|\beta\|_{[s, T_0]}^2} \beta(s), \quad s \in [0, T], \quad (2.21)$$

which is an extension of (2.6).

### 3 The $\mathbb{H}$ -semimartingale decomposition of a Poisson process

Let  $N$  be a Poisson process with constant intensity  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_t^N = \sigma(N_s, s \leq t)$  its natural filtration and  $T > 0$  a fixed time. Then the process  $\tilde{N}(t) := N(t) - \lambda t$  is a martingale. We proceed as in the Brownian motion case above to get an explicit formula for the semimartingale representation of  $\tilde{N}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{H}$ .

To this end, consider a controlled Itô diffusion  $X_2(t) = X_2^u(t)$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} dX_2(t) = X_2(t)u(t)[b(t)dt + \gamma(t)d\tilde{N}(t)]; & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ X_2(0) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.1) \quad \{\text{eq3.1}\}$$

Here  $u$  is our control process, which is allowed to be  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted. We assume that  $\gamma(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t$ . In this new setting we study a problem corresponding to Problem 2.1, i.e.,

**Problem 3.1** Find  $u_2^* \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}} \mathbb{E}[\ln(X_2^u(T))] = \mathbb{E}[\ln(X_2^{u_2^*}(T))]. \quad (3.2) \quad \{\text{eq3.2a}\}$$

We solve Problem 3.1 by three different methods:

#### 3.1 Method 1: Using enlargement of filtration.

Suppose that  $\tilde{N}(t)$  is a semimartingale with respect to  $\mathbb{H}$ , with semimartingale decomposition

$$\tilde{N}(t) = M(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s)ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (3.3) \quad \{\text{eq3.2}\}$$

where  $M(t)$  is a martingale with respect to  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\alpha(\cdot)$  is a càdlàg  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted process.

**Example 3.1** For example, if  $Y = N(T_0)$  for some  $T_0 > T$ , and  $\lambda = 1$ , then it is known (see [JP] or [J], Prop. 2.2.7) that the process

$$M(t) := \tilde{N}(t) - \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{N}(T_0) - \tilde{N}(s)}{T_0 - s} ds; \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (3.4) \quad \{\text{eq3.3}\}$$

is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -martingale with predictable bracket given by

$$\Lambda(t) := \int_0^t \frac{N(T_0) - N(s)}{T_0 - s} ds \quad \text{for } t \leq T. \quad (3.5) \quad \{\text{eq3.4}\}$$

Therefore, in this case we have the semimartingale decomposition

$$\tilde{N}(t) = M(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s)ds, \quad (3.6)$$



where

$$\alpha(s) = \frac{\tilde{N}(T_0) - \tilde{N}(s)}{T_0 - s}. \quad (3.7) \quad \{\text{eq3.7a}\}$$

In the general case, if (3.3) holds we can write (3.1) as

$$dX_2(t) = X_2(t)u(t)[\beta(t)dt + \gamma(t)dM(t)] \quad (3.8) \quad \{\text{eq3.7}\}$$

where

$$\beta(t) = b(t) + \gamma(t)\alpha(t). \quad (3.9) \quad \{\text{eq3.8}\}$$

This is a well-defined SDE in the context of the  $\mathbb{H}$ -filtration, and we can apply classical stochastic control theory to solve the problem (2.3). For completeness we give the details:

Let

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \rho(s)ds$$

be the predictable bracket of  $M$ . By the Itô formula for semimartingales we get that the solution of the SDE (3.8) is

$$X_2(t) = \exp \left( \int_0^t \{u(s)\beta(s)ds + [\ln(1 + u(s)\gamma(s)) - u(s)\gamma(s)]d\Lambda(s) + \ln(1 + u(s)\gamma(s))dM(s)\} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

From this we get that

$$\mathbb{E}[\ln X_2(T)] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \{u(s)\beta(s) + [\ln(1 + u(s)\gamma(s)) - u(s)\gamma(s)]\rho(s)\} ds \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Maximizing this integrand with respect to  $u(s)$  for each  $s$  and using (3.5), we get the following first order equation for the optimal  $u = u_2^*$ :

$$\beta(s) + \left[ \frac{\gamma(s)}{1 + u_2^*(s)\gamma(s)} - \gamma(s) \right] \rho(s) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} u_2^*(t) &= \frac{\beta(t)}{\gamma(t)[\gamma(t)\rho(t) - \beta(t)]} \\ &= -\frac{b(t) + \gamma(t)\alpha(t)}{\gamma(t)[b(t) + \gamma(t)\{\alpha(t) - \rho(t)\}]}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13) \quad \{\text{eq3.12}\}$$

provided that

$$b(t) + \gamma(t)\{\alpha(t) - \rho(t)\} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.14) \quad \{\text{eq3.13}\}$$

### 3.2 Method 2: Using forward integrals and Hida-Malliavin calculus

Problem 3.1 is also studied in [DMØP], even in a more general setting (see below). Using forward integrals and Hida-Malliavin calculus, combined with uniqueness of semimartingale decompositions, it is a consequence of Theorem 11 in [DMØP] that if an optimal portfolio  $u_2^*$  exists, then it satisfies the equation

$$b(s) - \frac{u_2^*(s)\gamma^2(s)\lambda}{1 + u_2^*(s)\gamma(s)} = \frac{\gamma(s)(\lambda - \rho(s))}{1 + u_2^*(s)\gamma(s)}, \quad (3.15)$$

which gives

$$u_2^*(t) = \frac{b(t) + \gamma(t)(\rho(t) - \lambda)}{\gamma(t)[\lambda\gamma(t) - b(t)]}, \quad (3.16) \quad \{\text{eq3.15}\}$$

provided that

$$\lambda\gamma(t) - b(t) \neq 0. \quad (3.17) \quad \{\text{eq3.16}\}$$

### 3.3 Method 3: Using white noise theory and the Donsker delta functional.

For this approach we do not need assumption (3.3). In stead, we assume that  $Y \in (\mathcal{S})^*$  and that the Donsker delta functional of  $Y$ ,  $\delta_Y(\cdot) \in (\mathcal{S})^*$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathbf{L}^2(m \times \mathbf{P}) \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[D_{t,1}\delta_Y(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathbf{L}^2(m \times \mathbf{P}), \quad (3.18) \quad \{\text{eq3.17}\}$$

where  $D_{t,1}$  denotes the Hida-Malliavin derivative at  $t$  with respect to the Poisson process.

Then by interpreting the SDE in (3.1) as a forward integral equation, it is shown in [DØ1] Theorem 6.6 that the (unique) optimal control  $u_2^*$  exists and is given by:

$$b(t) - \frac{\lambda u_2^*\gamma^2(t)}{1 + u_2^*\gamma(t)} + \frac{\lambda\gamma(t)}{1 + u_2^*\gamma(t)}\Psi(t, 1) = 0. \quad (3.19) \quad \{\text{eq3.18}\}$$

where

$$\Psi(t, 1) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{t,1}\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T < T_0. \quad (3.20) \quad \{\text{eq3.20a}\}$$

By comparing (3.16) and (3.19) and solving for  $\rho(t)$ , we get:

**Theorem 3.2** [The  $\mathbb{H}$ -compensator of a Poisson process]

Suppose (3.3), (3.17) and (3.18) hold. Then the unique  $\mathbb{H}$ -predictable compensator of the compensated Poisson process  $\tilde{N}(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$  is given by

$$\rho(t) = \lambda(1 + \Psi(t, 1)). \quad (3.21) \quad \{\text{eq3.20}\}$$

Substituting (3.21) into (3.13) and (3.16) combining (3.13) and (3.16) we get

**Theorem 3.3** [The  $\mathbb{H}$ -enlargement of filtration formula for a Poisson process]  
 Suppose (3.3), (3.17) and (3.18) hold. Then the  $\mathbb{H}$ -semimartingale decomposition of  $\tilde{N}$  is

$$\tilde{N}(t) = M(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds, \quad (3.22) \quad \{\text{eq3.21}\}$$

where  $M(t)$  is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -martingale, and

$$\alpha(s) = \lambda \Psi(s, 1), \quad 0 \leq s \leq T. \quad (3.23) \quad \{\text{eq3.22}\}$$

**Example 3.2** We refer to [DØ1] and the references therein for more details in the following. Assume that the inside information from time  $t = 0$  is the value of  $Y = Y(T_0)$ , with

$$Y(t) = \beta B(t) + \tilde{N}(t); \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0 \quad (3.24) \quad \{\text{eq3.24}\}$$

where  $\beta \neq 0$  is a constant. As before  $\tilde{N}(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$ , where  $N(t)$  is a Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda > 0$ .

In this case the Lévy measure is  $\nu(d\zeta) = \lambda \delta_1(d\zeta)$  since the jumps are of size 1. Then we have, with  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ,

$$\delta_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp^\diamond [(e^{ix} - 1)\tilde{N}(T_0) + ix\beta B(T_0) + \lambda T_0(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2 T_0 - ixy] dx \quad (3.25) \quad \{\text{eq2.20a}\}$$

From this we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixy] dx \quad (3.26) \quad \{\text{eq2.21a}\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[D_{t,1}\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixy] (e^{ix} - 1) dx. \quad (3.27) \quad \{\text{eq2.22a}\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] = i\frac{1}{2\pi}\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixy] x dx. \quad (3.28) \quad \{\text{eq2.22a}\}$$

By (3.20) we conclude that

$$\Psi(t, 1) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixY] (e^{ix} - 1) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixY] dx} \quad (3.29)$$

Note that if we put

$$Z(t, x) := \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixY], \quad (3.30)$$

then

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x}(t, x) = iZ(t, x)[\tilde{N}(t) + \beta B(t) + ix\beta^2(T_0 - t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1) - Y], \quad (3.31)$$

or

$$Z(t, x)(e^{ix} - 1) = \frac{-i\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} - Z(t, x)[\tilde{N}(t) + \beta B(t) + ix\beta^2(T_0 - t) - Y]}{\lambda(T_0 - t)} \quad (3.32)$$

Therefore, since  $Z(t, x) = 0$  at  $x = \infty$  and at  $x = -\infty$ , we get by (3.27) the following result:

**Proposition 3.4** Suppose  $Y = Y(T_0)$ , with  $Y(t)$  as in (3.24). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t, 1) &:= \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{t,1}\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}} = \frac{Y - \beta B(t) - \tilde{N}(t)}{\lambda(T_0 - t)} - i\beta^2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} xZ(t, x)dx}{\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} Z(t, x)dx} \\ &= \frac{Y - \beta B(t) - \tilde{N}(t)}{\lambda(T_0 - t)} - \frac{\beta^2}{\lambda}\Phi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.33) \quad \{\text{eq3.33}\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &:= \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t]_{y=Y}} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixY] x dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [ix\tilde{N}(t) + ix\beta B(t) + \lambda(T_0 - t)(e^{ix} - 1 - ix) - \frac{1}{2}x^2\beta^2(T_0 - t) - ixY] dx}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Hence, by (3.20) we then get

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{Y - \beta B(t) - \tilde{N}(t)}{T_0 - t} - \beta^2\Phi(t). \quad (3.35)$$

In particular, by letting  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  in (3.33) we get as a special case the result from Example 3.1:

**Corollary 3.5** Suppose  $Y = \tilde{N}(T_0)$ . Then

$$\Psi(t, 1) = \frac{Y - \tilde{N}(t)}{\lambda(T_0 - t)}, \quad (3.36)$$

which by (3.20) gives

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\tilde{N}(T_0) - \tilde{N}(t)}{T_0 - t}. \quad (3.37)$$

## 4 The compensated Poisson random measure

We now proceed to the general case with a compensated Poisson random measure  $\tilde{N}(t, d\zeta) = N(t, d\zeta) - \nu(d\zeta)t$ , defined on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$  satisfying the usual conditions, with  $\mathbb{H}$  as before. Here  $\nu$  is the Lévy measure of  $N$ , which we assume satisfies the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^2 d\nu(\zeta) < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

We assume that  $\tilde{N}$  is a semimartingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathbb{H}$ , and we want to find an expression for the  $\mathbb{H}$ -predictable random measure  $\alpha(t, d\zeta)$  in the unique semimartingale decomposition

$$\tilde{N}(t, d\zeta) = M(t, d\zeta) + \alpha(t, d\zeta) \quad (4.2) \quad \{\text{eq4.2}\}$$

where  $M(t, d\zeta)$  is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -martingale.

To this end we consider a controlled SDE of the form

$$\begin{cases} dX_3(t) = X_3(t)u(t)[b(t)dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(t, \zeta)\tilde{N}(dt, d\zeta)]; & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ X_3(0) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.3) \quad \{\text{eq4.3}\}$$

As before  $u$  is our control process, which is allowed to be  $\mathbb{H}$ -adapted. We study the following stochastic control problem:

**Problem 4.1** Find  $u_3^* \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}}} \mathbb{E}[\ln(X_3^u(T))] = \mathbb{E}[\ln(X_3^{u_3^*}(T))]. \quad (4.4) \quad \{\text{eq4.4}\}$$

As in Section 3 we will solve this problem by 3 different methods:

### 4.1 Method 1: Enlargement of filtration.

If (4.2) holds, we can write (4.3) as

$$\begin{cases} dX_3(t) = X_3(t)u(t)[\beta(t)dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(t, \zeta)M(dt, d\zeta)]; & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ X(0) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.5) \quad \{\text{eq4.5}\}$$

where

$$\beta(t) = b(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(t, \zeta)\alpha(t, d\zeta) \quad (4.6)$$

This is a well-defined SDE in the semimartingale context of the  $\mathbb{H}$ -filtration, and we can apply classical semimartingale calculus to solve the problem, as follows:

Let

$$\nu_{\mathbb{H}}(dt, d\zeta)$$

denote the  $\mathbb{H}$ -compensator of  $M$ .

Then by the Itô formula for semimartingales we get that the solution of the SDE (4.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} X_3(t) = & \exp \left( \int_0^t u(s)\beta(s)ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\ln(1 + u(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)) - u(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)]\nu_{\mathbb{H}}(ds, d\zeta) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(1 + u(s)\gamma(s, \zeta))M(ds, d\zeta) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

From this we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}[\ln X_3(T)] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \{u(s)\beta(s)ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\ln(1 + u(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)) - u(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)]\nu_{\mathbb{H}}(ds, d\zeta)\} \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

Maximizing this integrand with respect to  $u(s)$  for each  $s$ , we get the following first order equation for the optimal  $u = u_3^*$ :

$$\beta(s)ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{\gamma(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} - \gamma(s, \zeta) \right] \nu_{\mathbb{H}}(ds, d\zeta) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$b(s)ds - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_3^*(s)\gamma^2(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \nu_{\mathbb{H}}(ds, d\zeta) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(s, \zeta)\alpha(s, d\zeta), \quad (4.9) \quad \{\text{eq4.9}\}$$

provided that

$$1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta) \neq 0. \quad (4.10) \quad \{\text{eq4.9a}\}$$

## 4.2 Method 2: Using forward integrals and Hida-Malliavin calculus

Problem 4.1 is also studied in [DMØP]. Using forward integrals and Hida-Malliavin calculus, combined with uniqueness of semimartingale decompositions, it is a consequence of Theorem 11 in [DMØP] that if an optimal portfolio  $u_3^*$  exists, then it satisfies the equation

$$b(s)ds - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_3^*(s)\gamma^2(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \nu(d\zeta)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} (\nu(d\zeta)ds - \nu_{\mathbb{H}}(ds, d\zeta)), \quad (4.11) \quad \{\text{eq4.10}\}$$

provided that

$$1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta) \neq 0. \quad (4.12) \quad \{\text{eq4.11}\}$$

## 4.3 Method 3: Using white noise theory and the Donsker delta functional.

For this approach we do not need assumptions (4.2). In stead we assume, in addition to (3.17), that  $Y \in (\mathcal{S})^*$  and that the Donsker delta functional of  $Y$ ,  $\delta_Y(\cdot)$ , is in  $(\mathcal{S})^*$  and satisfies the condition

$$\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathbf{L}^2(m \times \mathbf{P}) \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[D_{t,z}\delta_Y(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathbf{L}^2(m \times \nu \times \mathbf{P}), \quad (4.13) \quad \{\text{eq4.12}\}$$

where  $D_{t,\zeta}$  denotes the Hida-Malliavin derivative at  $t, \zeta$  with respect to  $N$ . Then by interpreting the SDE in (3.5) as a forward integral equation, it is shown in [DØ1] Theorem 6.8 that the optimal control  $u_3^*$  is a solution of:

$$b(s) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_3^*(s)\gamma^2(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \nu(d\zeta) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \Psi(s, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta), \quad (4.14) \quad \{\text{eq4.13}\}$$

where

$$\Psi(s, \zeta) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{s,\zeta} \delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_s]_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_s]_{y=Y}}. \quad (4.15) \quad \{\text{eq4.14}\}$$

Combining the results from the 3 methods above we get the following two results, the first of which may be regarded as a Donsker delta analogue of Theorem 2.6 in [A], and the second a Donsker delta analogue of Theorem 2.4 in [A]:

**Theorem 4.2 ( $\mathbb{H}$  compensator)** *The  $\mathbb{H}$ -compensator of  $\tilde{N}$  is given by*

$$\nu_{\mathbb{H}}(ds, d\zeta) = (1 + \Psi(s, \zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) ds. \quad (4.16) \quad \{\text{eq4.15}\}$$

Proof. Combining (4.11) and (4.14) we get:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \nu_{\mathbb{H}}(ds, d\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} (1 + \Psi(s, \zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) ds. \quad (4.17) \quad \{\text{eq4.15a}\}$$

Since this holds for all  $\gamma(s, \zeta)$ , we conclude that (4.16) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3 ( $\mathbb{H}$ -semimartingale decomposition)** *The process  $\alpha$  in the  $\mathbb{H}$ -semimartingale decomposition (4.2) of  $\tilde{N}$  is*

$$\alpha(t, d\zeta) = \Psi(t, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta). \quad (4.18) \quad \{\text{eq4.18a}\}$$

Proof. Substituting (4.16) into (4.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} b(s) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_3^*(s)\gamma^2(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \nu(d\zeta) \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_3^*(s)\gamma^2(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \Psi(s, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(s, \zeta) \alpha(s, d\zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19) \quad \{\text{eq4.16}\}$$

Substituting (4.14) into (4.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\gamma(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \Psi(s, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_3^*(s)\gamma^2(s, \zeta)}{1 + u_3^*(s)\gamma(s, \zeta)} \Psi(s, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(s, \zeta) \alpha(s, d\zeta) \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(s, \zeta) \Psi(s, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(s, \zeta) \alpha(s, d\zeta). \quad (4.21)$$

Since this holds for all  $\gamma(t, \zeta)$  and  $\alpha$  does not depend on  $\gamma$ , we deduce that (4.18) holds.

□

**Example 4.1** [*Semimartingale decomposition of an Itô-Lévy process*]

We refer to [DØ1] and the references therein for more details in this example. Consider the case when  $Y$  is a first order chaos random variable of the form

$$Y = Y(T_0); \text{ where } Y(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(s) dB(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta), \text{ for } t \in [0, T_0] \quad (4.22) \quad \{\text{eq4.22}\}$$

for some deterministic functions  $\sigma \neq 0, \theta$  satisfying

$$\int_0^{T_0} \left\{ \sigma^2(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta^2(t, \zeta) \nu(d\zeta) \right\} dt < \infty \text{ a.s.} \quad (4.23)$$

We also assume that the following growth condition holds:  
For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\epsilon, \epsilon)} e^{\rho \zeta} d\nu(\zeta) < \infty. \quad (4.24) \quad \{\text{eq8.4a}\}$$

In this case the Donsker delta functional of  $Y$  exists in  $(\mathcal{S})^*$  and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_Y(y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp^{\diamond} \left[ \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\theta(s, \zeta)} - 1) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^{T_0} ix\sigma(s) dB(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{T_0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\theta(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\theta(s, \zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) - \frac{1}{2} x^2 \sigma^2(s) \right\} ds - ixy \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

From this one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y) | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} ix\theta(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t ix\sigma(s) dB(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\theta(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\theta(s, \zeta)) \nu(d\zeta) ds - \int_t^{T_0} \frac{1}{2} x^2 \sigma^2(s) ds - ixy \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$



and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[D_{t,\zeta}\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} ix\theta(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t ix\sigma(s)dB(s) \right. \\
&+ \left. \int_t^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\theta(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\theta(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta)ds - \int_t^{T_0} \frac{1}{2}x^2\sigma^2(s)ds - ixy \right] \\
&\times (e^{ix\theta(t, z)} - 1)dx. \tag{4.27}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[D_t\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} ix\theta(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t ix\sigma(s)dB(s) \right. \\
&+ \left. \int_t^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\theta(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\theta(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta)ds - \int_t^{T_0} \frac{1}{2}x^2\sigma^2(s)ds - ixy \right] ix\sigma(t)dx. \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the following result, which may be viewed as an explicit Donsker delta functional version of Theorem 3.5 in [AZ]:

**Theorem 4.4** *If  $Y = Y(T_0)$  as in (4.22), then the process  $\alpha$  in the  $\mathbb{H}$ -semimartingale decomposition (4.2) of  $\tilde{N}$  is*

$$\alpha(t, d\zeta) = \Psi(t, \zeta)\nu(d\zeta) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[D_{s,\zeta}\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s]_{y=Y}}{\mathbb{E}[\delta_Y(y)|\mathcal{F}_s]_{y=Y}}\nu(d\zeta) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t, x, Y)(e^{ix\theta(t, \zeta)} - 1)dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t, x, Y)dx}\nu(d\zeta) \tag{4.29} \quad \{\text{eq4.26}\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t, x, y) &= \exp \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} ix\theta(s, \zeta) \tilde{N}(ds, d\zeta) + \int_0^t ix\sigma(s)dB(s) \right. \\
&+ \left. \int_t^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ix\theta(s, \zeta)} - 1 - ix\theta(s, \zeta))\nu(d\zeta)ds - \int_t^{T_0} \frac{1}{2}x^2\sigma^2(s)ds - ixy \right]. \tag{4.30}
\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [AaØU] K. Aase, B. Øksendal and J. Ubøe: *Using the Donsker delta function to compute hedging strategies. Potential Analysis 14 (2001), 351-374.*
- [A] J. Amendinger: *Martingale representation theorems for initially enlarged filtrations. Stoch. Proc. and their Appl. 89 (2000), 101-116.*
- [AIS] J. Amendinger, P. Imkeller and M. Schweizer: *Additional logarithmic utility of an insider. Stoch. Proc. and their Appl. 75 (1998), 263-286.*

- [AZ] S. Ankirchner and J. Zwiertz: *Initial enlargement of filtrations and entropy of Poisson compensators*. *J. Theor. Probab.* 24 (2011), 93-117.
- [B] L. Breiman: *Probability*. Addison-Wesley 1968.
- [DMØP] G. Di Nunno, T. Meyer-Brandis, B. Øksendal and F. Proske: *Optimal portfolio for an insider in a market driven by Lévy processes*. *Quantitative Finance* 6 (2006), 83-94.
- [DØ1] O. Draouil and B. Øksendal: *A Donsker delta functional approach to optimal insider control and application to finance*. *Comm. Math. Stat. (CIMS)* 3 (2015), 365-421; DOI 10.1007/s40304-015-0065-y.
- [J] M. Jeanblanc: *Enlargements of filtrations. Lecture Notes, Jena 2010*.
- [JP] J. Jacod and P. Protter: *Time reversal on Lévy processes*. *Ann. Probab.* 16 (1988), 620-641.
- [JY] Th. Jeulin and M. Yor (editors): *Grossissements de filtrations: exemples et applications*. *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1118, Springer 1985*.
- [MY] R. Mansuy and M. Yor: *Harnesses, Lévy bridges and Monsieur Jourdain*. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 115 (2005), 329-338.
- [ØS] B. Øksendal and A. Sulem: *Applied Stochastic Control of Jump Diffusions, Second Edition*. Springer 2007.
- [P] P. Protter: *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, Second Edition*. Springer 2004.