

Absence of Localization in Disordered Two Dimensional Electron Gas at Weak Magnetic Field and Strong Spin-Orbit Coupling

Ying Su^{1,2}, C. Wang^{1,2}, Y. Avishai^{3,4,*}, Yigal Meir³, and X. R. Wang^{1,2†}

¹Physics Department, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong

²HKUST Shenzhen Research Institute, Shenzhen 518057, China

³Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel and

⁴Department of Physics, NYU-Shanghai University, Shanghai, China

(Dated: July 31, 2018)

The one-parameter scaling theory of localization predicts that all states in a disordered two-dimensional system with broken time reversal symmetry are localized even in the presence of strong spin-orbit coupling. While at constant strong magnetic fields this paradigm fails (recall quantum Hall effect), it is believed to hold at weak magnetic fields. Here we explore the nature of quantum states at weak magnetic field and strongly fluctuating spin-orbit coupling, employing highly accurate numerical procedure based on level spacing distribution and transfer matrix technique combined with finite-size one-parameter scaling hypothesis. Remarkably, the metallic phase, (known to exist at zero magnetic field), persists also at finite (albeit weak) magnetic fields, and eventually crosses over into a critical phase, which has already been confirmed at high magnetic fields. A schematic phase diagram drawn in the energy-magnetic field plane elucidates the occurrence of localized, metallic and critical phases. In addition, it is shown that nearest-level statistics is determined solely by the symmetry parameter β and follows the Wigner surmise irrespective of whether states are metallic or critical.

PACS numbers: 71.30.+h, 73.20.Jc

The one-parameter scaling theory (1PST) of localization [1–3] has been instrumental in our current understanding of the metal-insulator transition (MIT) in disordered non-interacting systems. This theory assumes that the scaling function $\beta(g)$, determining how the dimensionless conductance g changes with system size, depends only on g itself, and predicts that the occurrence of a MIT depends on the symmetry of the system [4–6]. In two dimensions, for both the orthogonal and unitary universality classes under spin rotation symmetry, with and without time reversal symmetry, the 1PST asserts that all states are localized. On the other hand, for the symplectic universality class, where time reversal symmetry is maintained but spin rotation symmetry is broken, there could be a MIT. Thus, according to 1PST, despite the presence of spin-orbit scattering (SOS), *even an infinitesimal magnetic field causes all states to be localized*. At high magnetic fields, the observation of the quantum Hall effect indicates that extended states do exist, but the reason is that in this regime, 1PST should be modified to incorporate two scaling parameters (e.g. the conductance and the Hall conductance) [7, 8]. The question addressed in this work is whether 1PST is still valid (as is widely believed) at weak magnetic fields and spatially fluctuating SOS. Our answer is negative, as we demonstrate here that under these conditions, the band of extended states that exists at zero magnetic field persists at weak magnetic fields, and eventually, with increasing magnetic field, crosses over into a band of critical states that has been shown to exist at strong magnetic fields [9].

In order to substantiate our claim, we study the nature of non-interacting electronic states in 2D under the

influence of weak magnetic field, disorder potential and strongly fluctuating SOS, and carry out two kinds of numerical calculations: The first one studies the nearest level spacing distribution in various energy regimes, in order to identify the localized phase and the appropriate universality classes [4–6]. The second one consists of highly accurate procedure for identifying MIT, based on the transfer matrix technique and finite-size scaling arguments.

In weak magnetic fields, the Landau levels mix and projection on the lowest Landau level is meaningless. An appropriate and convenient procedure is then to consider a tight-binding model for 2D electrons hopping on a square lattice of unit lattice constant. The lattice sites are labeled as $i = (n_i, m_i)$, with $1 \leq n_i \leq L$ and $1 \leq m_i \leq M$ integers. The Hamiltonian reads,

$$H = \sum_{i,\sigma} \epsilon_i c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma} + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma, \sigma'} \exp(i\phi_{ij}) V_{ij}(\sigma, \sigma') c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma'}. \quad (1)$$

Here $c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ ($c_{i,\sigma}$) is the electron creation (annihilation) operator at site i with spin projection $\sigma = \pm$, and $\langle ij \rangle$ denotes nearest-neighbour lattice sites. The on-site energies ϵ_i are randomly distributed in $[-W/2, W/2]$, (hereafter we take $W = 1$ [10]), and the magnetic field is introduced by the Peierls substitution in which phase factors $\phi_{ij} = (e/\hbar) \int_i^j \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{l}$ multiply the hopping amplitudes, where \vec{A} is the vector potential [11]. The dimensionless parameter B is defined such that magnetic flux through a unit cell is $B \times \phi_0$ where $\phi_0 \equiv hc/e$ is the quantum flux unit. Accordingly, B is a measure of the magnetic field strength in this lattice model.

The SOS is encoded by random $SU(2)$ matrices V_{ij} acting in spin space, defined as,

$$V_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha_{ij}} \cos(\beta_{ij}/2) & e^{-i\gamma_{ij}} \sin(\beta_{ij}/2) \\ -e^{i\gamma_{ij}} \sin(\beta_{ij}/2) & e^{i\alpha_{ij}} \cos(\beta_{ij}/2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where α_{ij} and γ_{ij} are uniformly and independently distributed in a range $[0, 2\pi]$, while $\cos \beta_{ij}$ is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$. This model is hereafter referred to as the 2DSU model. For $B = 0$ it displays the (so called) symplectic MIT, pertaining to systems with conserved time reversal and broken spin rotation symmetry, as also predicted within 1PST [12]. For strong magnetic field (e.g. $B \geq 1/5$) the 2DSU model exhibits a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition (BKTT) between a band of localized states and a band of critical states [9]. In the following we concentrate on the physics at weak magnetic fields, and even reach $B < 10^{-4}$.

We first concentrate on the distribution $P(s)$ of nearest level spacings s (in units of the mean level spacing). This analysis enables the distinction between localized and extended states, and in the latter case, identification of the relevant universality class: More concretely, for *localized states*, it is expected to follow the Poisson distribution $P_{\text{Loc}}(s) = \exp[-s]$, while for *extended states*, $P_\beta(s)$ is specified by the symmetry parameter $\beta = 1, 2, 4$ (corresponding respectively to the Gaussian orthogonal (GOE), unitary (GUE) and symplectic (GSE) ensembles). These three distributions are excellently approximated by the Wigner surmise expressions $P_\beta(s) = C_1(\beta)s^\beta \exp[-C_2(\beta)s^2]$. (The constants C_1 and C_2 are determined by normalization conditions for probability and unit mean level-spacing $\langle 1 \rangle = \langle s \rangle = 1$).

For the actual computation, a finite lattice of size $M \times (M+1)$ is considered and periodic boundary conditions are imposed on both directions using the almost antisymmetric gauge suggested in Ref. [13]. That makes it possible to treat a weak field $B = \frac{1}{M(M+1)}$. The Hamiltonian (1) is diagonalized, yielding all eigenvalues $\{E\}$ and normalized wave functions $\{\psi_E(n_i, m_i)\}$ for each value of B and M . As shown in Fig. 1, $P(s)$ for $B=0$ and strong SOS displays, for a wide energy range $-2.5 \leq E \leq -0.5$, GSE statistics (data in black squares, theory in black curve). It suggests the existence of a band of extended states within the symplectic ensemble, commensurate with the prediction of 1PST [12]. Remarkably, *adding a single flux through the entire area*, corresponding to $B = 1/10100$ for $M = 100$ (red circles) is already sufficient to modify $P_{\beta=4}(s)$ into $P_{\beta=2}(s)$, where the level statistics follows the GUE Wigner surmise (red line in Fig. 1). In any case, the fact that in both cases $P(s)$ follows the Wigner surmise and not Poisson distribution indicates that these are *metallic-like states, where level repulsion occurs at small s* . This behavior persists for different system sizes and for all $B > 0$. On the other




FIG. 1: (color online). $P(s)$ for $W = 1$, various magnetic field strengths $B = 0, 1/10100, 1/5$, various system sizes $M = 50, 100$, and in various energy ranges [14]. Data are averaged over 1500 ensembles. It is evident that for $B > 0$ (no matter how small), $P(s)$ corresponding to *both critical and extended states* fits well into the Wigner surmise for GUE (red solid line), whereas $P(s)$ corresponding to extended states at $B = 0$ agree with the Wigner surmise for GSE (black solid line). The dashed line corresponds to the distribution suggested in Ref. [15] assuming $\nu = \infty \Rightarrow \gamma = 1$ since the localization length at a BKTT diverges faster than a power-law. For localized states with energies $-3.55 \leq E \leq -3.50$ far from BKTT ($E_c \simeq -3.0$ for $B = 1/5$), whose localization length is much smaller than the sample size ($M = 100$) $P(s)$ agrees with the Poisson distribution (blue solid line).

hand, for energies below the mobility edge (blue shapes and curve in Fig. 1), $P(s)$ obeys Poisson statistics, as expected for localized states. Thus, our analysis of nearest level spacing distribution suggests that states in the same energy range (as for $B = 0$) are still extended at finite magnetic field even though this 2D system now belongs to the unitary class. The wide range of parameters and energies, where the GUE statistics has been observed, indicates this result is robust and is not due to finite size effects. Further substantiation of this statement is presented below.

In order to corroborate our finding on the existence of extended states at weak magnetic field (that is so far based on level spacing analysis of finite size systems), we directly evaluate the localization length $\xi(E, B)$ of the 2D system (up to a multiplicative constant) employing the transfer matrix technique [16]. Within this procedure, one evaluates the localization length λ_M of a stripe of width M and (virtually infinite) length $L > 10^6$. According to the scaling analysis, the renormalized localization length of the strip, $\bar{\lambda}_M \equiv \lambda_M/M$, increases (decreases) with M for extended (localized) states and is independent of M for critical states. For the 2DSU model, Figs. 2(a,c,e) display $\bar{\lambda}_M$ v.s E for $B = 0, B = 1/1000$, and $B = 1/500$. It is clear from these figures that the system undergoes an Anderson MIT, since all curves for different M cross at two mobility edges at which $d\bar{\lambda}_M/dM$ changes sign. The results of Fig. 2(a) just reconfirm the familiar symplectic MIT, but the MIT displayed in




FIG. 2: (color online). The left panel displays $\bar{\lambda}_M \equiv \lambda_M/M$ v.s. E calculated for disorder strength $W = 1$ and for three values of the magnetic field (a) $B = 0$, (c) $B = 1/1000$, and (e) $B = 1/500$ for $M = 32$ (squares), 48 (circles), 64 (up-triangles), 80 (down-triangles), and 96 (left-triangles). The scaling function obtained from (a), (c), and (e) by collapsing data of $\bar{\lambda}_M$ near the transition points into a single curve $\xi \sim (E - E_c)^{-\nu}$ are shown in (b) for $B = 0$, (d) for $B = 1/1000$, and (f) for $B = 1/500$.

Fig. 2(c,e) occurring at mobility edges $E_c = \pm 3.245$ and ± 3.242 is novel, and agrees with the conclusion based on level-spacing analysis: In the presence of strong SOS fluctuations, a band of extended states occurs in 2D systems even when its Hamiltonian breaks time-reversal symmetry.

To substantiate that these results are not merely due to finite size effects, we employ the one parameter finite-size scaling formalism, which is based on the hypothesis $\bar{\lambda}_M = f(x)$, where $x = M/\xi = CM/(E - E_c)^{-\nu}$. Here C is a constant and ν is the localization-length critical exponent. For optimal values of E_c and ν , the scaling function $f(x)$ should be smooth (actually there are two functions, one for the insulator and one for the metallic side). The numerical values of ν characterize the universality class of the MIT [17]. In Fig. 2(b) the different curves of Fig. 2(a), when plotted as function of x , indeed collapse on a smooth curve that represents the scaling function $f(x)$. Here, for $B = 0$, this result reconfirms the criticality of the symplectic MIT. The value of ν (see first row of the table below) agrees with previous ones [17–19].

B	E_c	ν	χ_{red}^2
0	-3.259 ± 0.005	2.73 ± 0.02	0.927
1/1000	-3.245 ± 0.001	3.43 ± 0.08	0.843
1/500	-3.242 ± 0.002	3.85 ± 0.10	0.876
1/100	-3.232 ± 0.002	4.47 ± 0.15	0.890

TABLE I: Table of the critical energy E_c , correlation length exponent ν , and reduced chi square χ_{red}^2 for different values of magnetic field.

Remarkably, inspection of Fig. 2(d,f) shows that the collapse scenario occurs also *at finite magnetic field*, namely the different curves in Fig. 2(c,e) fall on a single smooth curve. Moreover, for these novel MIT at $B > 0$, the dependence of $\nu(B)$ on B is dramatic and even puzzling (see table). This gradual increase of ν is most likely due to the transition from Anderson MIT to BKKT (where, by definition, $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, that occurs around $B = 1/70$). Thus, the two analyses confirm the existence of extended states for $0 \leq B \lesssim 1/70$.

In order to present a broader picture of the nature of states in the 2DSU model, we combine the results of the present study with those of Ref. [9], where the existence of a band of critical states at strong magnetic fields ($B \geq 1/5$) has been demonstrated. It is found that $E_c(B)$ is a slowly increasing function, and that somewhere around $B = 1/70$ the Anderson MIT (discussed here) crosses over into a BKT discussed previously [9] [20]. The resulting phase diagram in the E - B plane is depicted in Fig. 3. The emerging picture is that the band of extended states known to exist at $B = 0$, persists for finite B , until strong enough magnetic field $B \simeq 1/70$ it crosses over (either sharply or smoothly) into a band of critical states as discussed in Ref. [9].




FIG. 3: (color online). Schematic phase diagram in the E - B plane displaying the occurrence of three phases of localized states (pink), metallic states (blue), and critical states (green) [21]. See text for further details.

Summary: Starting from the 2DSU model Hamiltonian (Eq. 1), we focus on the localization issue at the weak field regime, starting at $B = 0$ where it is known to display MIT for system with the symplectic symmetry. Based on analyses of level statistics (Fig. 1) and localization length (Fig. 2), it has been demonstrated that a band of metallic states persists also for finite magnetic field $0 < B < B_c \simeq 1/70$. Combined with our previous results [9], we can suggest a schematic phase diagram in Fig. 3, that elucidates the nature of localization in the E - B plane under the influence of spatially random spin-orbit potential. Thus, the paradigm that all states in 2D disordered systems with unitary symmetry are localized should be reviewed when strong spin-orbit fluctuations are present. In other words, in contrast to the prediction of the one-parameter scaling theory of localization [2], localization in 2D disordered systems is not unambiguously determined by its symmetry. This suggests that, similar to what happens in the quantum Hall regime (occurring at strong magnetic field, without spin-orbit scattering), a second parameter is required to describe the scaling of the dimensionless conductance. Obvious questions are how to introduce such a parameter, and how the renormalization-group flow will look like in the presence of this additional parameter. Presently, the answers remain a theoretical challenge.

Remarkably, (and unlike the localization issue), level statistics is found to be determined solely by symmetry, whether states are metallic or critical. As shown in Fig. 1, for $B = 0$, $P(s)$ follows the Wigner surmise for the GSE, while for $B = 1/10100$, $P(s)$ follows the Wigner surmise for the GUE. Moreover, $P(s)$ obeys the GUE statistics also for the band of critical states discussed in Ref. [9]. This latter band is obtained following BKTT at strong magnetic field. In contrast, for critical states around a mobility edge in a standard Anderson MIT, a novel $P(s)$ statistics is suggested [15]. What we conclude here is that $P(s)$ is the same for metallic and critical states and depends solely on symmetry.

This work is supported by NSFC of China grant (11374249) and Hong Kong RGC grants (163011151 and 605413). The research of Y.A is partially supported by grant 400/12 of the Israeli Science Foundation. Y. A acknowledges fruitful discussions with T. Ohtsuki. Y.M. acknowledges ISF grant 292/15.

* corresponding author: yshai@bgumail.bgu.ac.il

† corresponding author: phxwan@ust.hk

[1] J. T. Edwards and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C **5**, 807

(1972); D. C. Licciardello and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C **8**, 4157 (1975).

- [2] E. Abrahams, P. W. Anderson, D. C. Licciardello, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 673 (1979).
- [3] Patrick A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 287 (1985).
- [4] E. P. Wigner, *Group Theory and its Application to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra* (Academic Press, New York, 1959).
- [5] F. J. Dyson, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 140 (1962); **3**, 157 (1962); **3**, 166 (1962).
- [6] M. L. Mehta, *Theory of Random Matrices*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
- [7] H. Levine, S. B. Libby, and A. M. M. Pruisken, Nucl. Phys. B **240**, 30 (1984); **240**, 49 (1984); **240**, 71 (1984).
- [8] B. Huckestein, Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 357(1995).
- [9] C. Wang, Ying Su, Y. Avishai, Y. Meir, and X.R. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 096803 (2015).
- [10] As long as $W < W_c \simeq 6.3$, the results are similar. The model does not support any extended states for $W > W_c$.
- [11] X. R. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 9310(1995); *ibid.* **53**, 12035(1996).
- [12] Shinobu Hikami, Anatoly I. Larkin and Yosuke Nagaoka, Progress of Theoretical Physics **63**, 707 (1980).
- [13] Y. Avishai, *Note on Azbel-Hofstadter Butterfly with an Almost Antisymmetric Gauge*, (unpublished, 2012). By using a $M \times (M+1)$ lattice with the gauge of $A_x = \frac{\phi_0}{M+1}y$ and $A_y = \frac{\phi_0}{M}x$, one can study the model at the weakest possible field of $B = \phi_0/[(M+1)M]$ (corresponding to one flux quantum $\phi_0 = hc/e$ in the system).
- [14] The density of states is flat in the energy range $0.5 \leq E \leq 2.5$ so that the average of level spacing is a constant in the range. One expects that the energy average and the ensemble average of level spacing distribution function $P(s)$ are the same.
- [15] B.I. Shklovskii, B. Shapiro, B.R. Sears, P. Lambrianides, and H.B. Shore, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 11487 (1993); V.E. Kravtsov, I.V. Lerner, B.L. Altshuler, and A.G. Aronov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 888 (1994); A.G. Aronov, V.E. Kravtsov, and I.V. Lerner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1174 (1995).
- [16] B. Kramer and A. Mackinnon, Rep. Prog. Phys. **56**, 1469(1993); X. C. Xie, X. R. Wang, and D. Z. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3563 (1998).
- [17] H. Obuse, A. Furusaki, S. Ryu, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 115301 (2008).
- [18] Y. Asada, K. Slevin, and T. Ohtsuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 256601 (2002).
- [19] M. Janssen, Phys. Rep. **295**, 1 (1998).
- [20] Elucidating the nature of this crossover is beyond our scope. It requires the calculations of λ_M for many points in the E - B plane, with the hope to establish a critical curve separating the two bands of metallic and critical states.
- [21] Here the metallic states spread over the whole space (*e.g.* plane waves and Bloch states), to be distinguished from critical states who possess non-trivial multi-fractal structure.